# **Journal of Plant Production**

Journal homepage: <u>www.jpp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Productivity and Quality of Rice as Influenced by Foliar Spray of Different Silicon Sources and Rates Under Salinity Soil Conditions

# Bassiouni, S. M. <sup>1\*</sup>; M. S. M Abdel-Aal<sup>2</sup> and O. A. M. Ali<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rice Research & Training Center, Field Crops Research Institute, Kafr El-Shiekh, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Crop Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University, Egypt.



Cross Mark

ABSTRACT



A field experiment was carried out at El-Sirw Agriculture Research Farm at Damietta governorate, Egypt in 2018 and 2019 seasons. This investigation aimed to be study the effect of foliar application of three silicon sources at three rates for each, i.e. nano silica at 50, 100 and 150 ppm and potassium silicate as well as magnesium silicate at 500, 1000 and 1500 ppm for both of them on the productivity of rice plants grown in saline soil. Foliar application of Si at different tested sources and rates significantly increased each of physiological characters (chlorophyll content and stomatal conductance), morphological characters (plant height, number of tillers/ m<sup>2</sup>, leaf area index and dry matter production/ m<sup>2</sup>), chemical composition in the leaf (K %, K / Na ratio and Si %), yield components (number of panicles/ m<sup>2</sup>, panicle weight and length, number of filled grains/ panicle and 1000 - grain weight), yields/ ha (grain and straw), grain quality characters (hulling %, milling %, head rice %, protein and amylose %), while significantly decreased Na % in the leaf, number of unfilled grains/ panicle and chalkiness grains % as compared with untreated plants (control treatment) in both seasons. Spraying of nano silica at 150 ppm and potassium silicate at 1500 ppm were found to be more efficiency in increasing most abovementioned traits of rice plants grown in saline soil than the other tested silicon sources and rates.

Kewwords: Rice, silicon sources, growth, yield, quality, saline soil.

# INTRODUCTION

It is well known that around 20% of irrigated land is salt-affected which represents one-third of all foodproducing land. It has been estimated that about half of all fertile land will be affected by salinity by the middle of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Ladeiro, 2012). To overcome salinity stress in the future, Si-mediated salt tolerance mechanisms will help to enhance salt stress tolerance in various crop plants. However, many determinants and regulatory mechanisms have not been studied in detail and thus need further elucidation.

Silicon (Si) is a considerable element in the soil that helps plants tolerate environmental stress conditions and can ameliorate soil conditions. In this respect, Abdel-Haliem et al (2017) showed that silicon ions significantly attenuated the detrimental physiological and biochemical effects of NaCl on plants. Moreover, Viciedo et al (2019) found that Si application helps to induce multiple biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Recently, many investigators reported that Si is well recognized as a vital nutrient and plays a significant role in the growth, development and productivity of many crops such as corn (Sousa et al, 2010) and sugar cane (De Camargo et al, 2019). In addition, other investigators reported that the rice plants were positively and beneficially affected by Si application in their growth characters (Ahmed et al, 2013 and Mohamed et al, 2015), yield attributes (Deren et al, 1994 and El-Temsah, 2017), grain and straw yields (Wang et al, 2020) and grain quality and technology (Zhang et al, 2007). Furthermore, Wang *et al* (2015) reported that nanofertilizers may be more effective than regular fertilizers in improving plant nutrition, enhancing nutrition use efficiency, and protecting plants from environmental stress. Moreover, foliar application with nano silica improved the growth, Mg, Fe, and Zn nutrition and the contents of chlorophyll a of the rice seedlings under Cd stress. Nano silica application alleviated Cd toxicity in rice by decreasing Cd accumulation and its translocation from root to shoot. Also, Essa (2019) found that foliar application of silica nano-particles at mid tillering and panicle initiation stages of rice plant increased grain yield and quality as well as Si content under salinity soil condition.

Therefore, this investigation aimed to study the effect of silicon foliar application at different sources and rates on the growth, productivity and quality of rice under salinity soil conditions.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the Experimental Farm of El-Sirw Agricultural Research Station, Damietta Governorate (Latitude: 31° 24' 84'' and Longitude: 31° 65' 34''), Egypt, in 2018 and 2019 seasons to study the growth, yield and its attributes as well as grain quality of rice salt tolerant variety (Giza 179) as affected by foliar application with different silicon sources and rates under salinity soil conditions. Every experiment included ten foliar treatments of silicon which were the combination of three silicon sources at three rates of each, i.e. Nano silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs) at 50,100 and 150 ppm, potassium

silicate ( $K_2SiO_4$ ) at 500,1000 and 1500 ppm and Magnesium silicate (MgSiO<sub>4</sub>) at 500,1000 and 1500 ppm as well as the control treatment (water). The ten treatments were arranged in randomized complete block design with Table 1 Chemical analysis of the experimental soil in 2018 and 2019 seasons

four replications. The experimental soil was salinity clay and its chemical analysis properties described by Black *et al* (1965) are presented in Table 1.

Characteristic pH		EC	O.M.	Soluble cations meq $L^{-1}$ (soil paste) Soluble anions meq $L^{-1}$ (soil p						<sup>1</sup> (soil paste)
Seas	on pri	dS m <sup>-1</sup>	%	Ca++	$Mg^{++}$	$\mathbf{K}^+$	Na <sup>+</sup>	HCO <sup>3-</sup>	CL-	SO4
2018	8.12	8.29	1.52	7.8	5.4	0.50	70	9.34	68.5	6.33
2019	8.10	7.92	1.56	6.4	6.2	0.70	66	8.64	64.6	5.33

Rice grains at the rate of 140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were soaked in fresh water for 24 hours and incubated for another 48 hours. Thereafter, it was broadcasted with 2-3 cm standing water in the nursery in April 25th in both seasons. At 30 days from sowing, seedlings were carefully pulled from the nursery and manually transformed to plots (2 m width x 5 m length). Three seedlings were transplanted in each hill at 20 x 20 cm spacing among hills (land area of hill = 400cm<sup>2</sup>). Seven days after transplanting, the herbicide Saturn 50% was added at the rate of 4.8 L ha<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen fertilizer was soil added at the rate of 165 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of ammonium sulphate (20% N) in three equal splits applications at 15, 30 and 45 days after transplanting. Potassium fertilizer in the form of potassium sulphate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) at rate of 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> was soil added in two equal doses at 30 and 45 days after transplanting. All other agronomic practices were applied as recommended for rice under saline soil during the growing season.

### Characters studied:

At heading stage (90 days after sowing), plants of  $m^2$  were randomly taken from each plot to estimate the following characters:

### A- Physiological characters:

- 1- Chlorophyll content (Chl.): using SPAD meter (SPAD 502, Minolta, Japan)
- **2- Stomatal conductance (SC) (m mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>):** using leaf porometer (Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) according to Zhu *et al* (2016)

# **B-** Morphological characters:

1- Plant height (cm) 2- Number of tillers/  $m^2$ 

# 3- Leaf area index (LAI) 4- Dry matter production/m<sup>2</sup>

### C- Chemical composition in leaf:

- 1- Potassium (K) % and Sodium (Na) % were estimated using flame photometer as described by Jackson (1967).
- 2- Potassium / sodium ratio: It was calculated by dividing potassium % ÷ sodium %
- 3- Silicon (Si) %: It was determined according to the method of Wei- min *et al* (2005)

### D- Yield and its components and yields/ ha:

At harvest (130 days after sowing), plants of  $m^2$  included 5 rows were randomly taken from each plot to determine the following characters:

# 1- Yield attributes:

1- Number of panicles/ m<sup>2</sup> 2- Panicle length (cm)

- 3- Number of unfilled grains panicle
- 4- Number of filled grains panicle

5- 1000-grain weight (g) 6- Grain weight/ panicle (g) 2- Yields/ ha:

# Five inner rows of each plot were harvested, dried, threshed and the grain and straw yields were determined and then their yields/ ha were calculated and adjusted at 14% moisture content.

### **D-** Grain quality characters:

After threshing, rough rice grains were cleaned and the following grain quality characters were measured

- 1- Hulling % = brown rice weight  $\div$  rough rice weight x 100
- 2- Milling % = milled rice weight  $\div$  rough rice weight x 100
- 3- Head rice % = whole milled rice weight  $\div$  rough rice weight x 100 4- Chalkiness % = chalky grain weight  $\div$  rough rice weight x 100

Hulling %, milling % and head rice % were estimated according to the methods described by Adair (1952) as well as chalkiness % which was determined by using SATAKE model KU 120

- 5- Protein % was estimated in rough rice grains by multiplied N % by factor of 5.75.
- 6- Amylose % was estimated in milled rice grains according to the method described by Juliano (1971).

# Statistical analysis:

All Data obtained were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). Treatment means were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955). The mean values designated by the same letter (s) in each column are not significantly at 5 % level. All statistical analysis was performed using analysis of variance technique using CoStat computer software package.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 1- Physiological and morphological characters:

Data in Table 2 show the mean values of physiological characters studied (chlorophyll content and stomata conductance) as well as morphological (plant height, number of tillers/  $m^2$ , LAI and dry matter production/  $m^2$ ) as well as of rice plants as affected by foliar application of silicon (Si) at different sources, i.e. nano silica, potassium (K) silicate and Magnesium (Mg) silicate and their levels at heading stage during 2018 and 2019 seasons.

The data indicated that foliar application of various silicon sources at all tested levels caused an increase in the two physiological characters studied as compared with the control treatment (T1) in both seasons. Moreover, the values of such characters were increased gradually with increasing the levels of Si up to 150 ppm for nano silica  $(T_4)$  and 1500 ppm for both K  $(T_7)$  and Mg  $(T_{10})$ . In comparison among the three tested Si sources, it can be noticed that the highest values for Chl (42.13 and 42.20) and for SC (882.3 and 902.1) were obtained by foliar application of nano silica at 150 ppm  $(T_4)$  in the first and second seasons, respectively. However, there are no significant differences among the higher rates of the three tested Si sources for both traits in the two seasons. From these results, it can be noticed that silicon treatments involving the three tested sources especially at higher rates had marked positive and significant increasing effect on

Chl and SC especially under salinity stress condition of the experimental soil as shown in Table 1. The improving of Chl content obtained herein by foliar application of Si at different tested sources (nano, K and Mg) may be due to the beneficial role of silicon in enhancing rice salt tolerance as well as the magnesium element which represents the central atom of chlorophyll compounds and consequently raised plant pigments formation in rice plants. In this respect, Khan *et al* (2019) found that exogenous application of Si under salinity stress significantly improved photosynthesis rates and plant pigments contents of different plant species. Moreover, it can be suggested

that the enhancing effect of Si on the stomata conductance values, especially using potassium silicate source may be due to the beneficial role in keeping balance content of K element in guard cell of stomata and consequently regulated and encouraged the stomata opening. In this respect, Coskun *et al* (2016) found that Si mitigates saline stress by maintaining stomatal conductance, transpiration, net photosynthesis, membrane permeability and chlorophyll levels which is partly due to the higher K<sup>+</sup> ion concentration and lower Na<sup>+</sup> ion levels induced by the presence of Si in salt – stressed environments.

Table 2. Mean values of physiological and morphological characters of rice at heading stage as affected by foliar	
application of silicon in 2018 and 2019 seasons	

Characteristic	Chlorophyll content	Stomata conductance	Plant height	Number of	LAI	Dry matter	
Treatments	(SPAD value)	$(\mathbf{mmol}\ \mathbf{m}^{-2}\ \mathbf{s}^{-1})$	(cm)	tillers/ m <sup>2</sup>	LAI	production (g/ m <sup>2</sup> )	
		2018 season					
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	40.38 g	817.5 c	80.05 d	450.0 g	3.32 g	1568.8 f	
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	40.97 ef	833.6 c	84.83 ab	504.3 de	3.85 e	1662.5 de	
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	41.50 bc	865.3 ab	85.93 a	525.0 bc	4.20 bc	1766.3 abc	
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	42.13 a	882.3 a	85.87 a	540.0 ab	4.45 a	1819.3 a	
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	40.98 def	831.2 c	82.83 bc	494.3 ef	3.62 f	161.03 ef	
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	41.40 cde	871.8 ab	84.37 ab	506.8 de	4.07 cd	1706.3 cd	
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	41.85 ab	868.9 ab	86.17 a	548.3 a	4.28 ab	1777.5 ab	
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	40.60 fg	835.0 c	82.83 bc	480.8 f	3.55 f	1612.5 ef	
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	41.42 bcd	861.0 b	83.78 bc	497.5 def	3.90 de	1683.5 d	
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	42.10 a	865.0 ab	84.83 ab	512.5 cd	4.08 c	1757.0 bc	
Ftest	**	**	**	**	**	**	
		2019 season					
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	41.25 c	828.7 f	81.75 d	431.3 c	3.62 e	1628.0 f	
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	41.40 bc	845.2 e	86.15 bc	498.8 bcd	3.84 de	1681.3 ef	
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	41.65 abc	878.3 bc	88.35 ab	537.5 ab	4.30 bc	1793.8 ab	
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	42.20 a	902.1 a	89.50 ab	553.8 a	4.60 a	1852.5 a	
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	41.30 c	865.5 d	85.72 bc	502.5 bc	3.72 e	1707.5 de	
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	41.62 abc	873.3 cd	87.50 bc	531.3 ab	4.15 c	1756.3 bcd	
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	42.15 ab	895.5 ab	92.28 a	567.0 a	4.52 ab	1816.3 ab	
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	41.88 abc	840.3 ef	85.75 bc	482.5 cd	3.82 de	1668.8 ef	
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	41.68 abc	870.3 cd	87.25 bc	503.0 bc	4.10 cd	1728.8 cde	
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	41.25 a	882.0 bc	88.00 abc	525.0 ab	4.18 c	1787.5 bc	
Ftest	*	**	**	*	**	**	

With regard to morphological characters, it can be noticed that plant height, number of tillers/  $m^2$ , LAI and dry matter production/  $m^2$  were significantly increased by foliar application of various Si treatments at any source or rate compared to the control treatment  $(T_1)$  in both seasons. Moreover, it is obvious that increasing the rates of the tested varying silicon sources up to 150 ppm for nano silica (T<sub>4</sub>) and 1500 ppm for each of K silicate (T<sub>7</sub>) and Mg silicate  $(T_{10})$  gradually increased the abovementioned traits in both seasons. The highest values were obtained when the rice plants were sprayed with K silicate at a rate of 1500 ppm  $(T_7)$  for plant height and number of tillers/  $m^2$  and with nano silica at a rate of 150 ppm (T<sub>4</sub>) for leaf area index and dry matter production/  $m^2$  without significant differences between the two treatments for those characters in both seasons. However, Mg silicate came in the third order in this concern. The pronounced efficiency of Si in improving the morphological characters studied herein may be because of elevating different physiological processes to alleviate the illness impact of salt stress condition (Lee *et al*, 2010). In this respect, other investigators found that the application of Si at different sources to rice plants caused an enhancements in their plant height and number of tillers/ m<sup>2</sup> (Ahmed et al, 2013) as well as leaf area index and dry matter production/ hill (Mohamed *et al*, 2015).

# 2- Chemical composition in leaf:

The data in Table 3 indicate that foliar application of different sources and levels of Si significantly decreased sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>) content in the plant leaf but significantly increased potassium (K<sup>+</sup>), K / Na ratio and Si contents compared to that obtained by control treatment  $(T_1)$  in the two seasons. Moreover, it can be noted that foliar application of rice plants with K silicate at a rate of 1500 ppm (T<sub>7</sub>) produced the highest values of K content (1.425 and 1.442 %), K / Na ratio (1.73 and 1.78) and Si content (4.87 and 4.90 %) but the lowest values of Na content (0.425 and 0.410 %) in the first and second seasons, respectively compared to the other tested treatments. From these results, it can be suggested that application of silicon alleviate the adverse effects of salinity by preventing Na<sup>+</sup> uptake by the roots and subsequent movement to the shoots as well as increasing some beneficial nutrients uptake such as K and Si and consequently improving salinity tolerance in the plants. In this concern, Gong et al (2006) found that application of silicate on rice plants dramatically decreased the Na concentration but increased K / Na ratio in the shoots compared to plants grown without additional silicon. Moreover, Wang et al (2020) reported that silicon content in stem sheath of rice plant was increased with soil application of silicon fertilizer (SiO2).

Table 3. Mean values of chemical composition of rice leaves at heading stage as affected by for	oliar application of
silicon in 2018 and 2019 seasons.	

Characteristic	Na	K	K / Na	Si
Treatments	%	%	ratio	%
	2018 se	eason		
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	1.162 a	1.230 e	1.06 d	3.80 g
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	1.110 b	1.251 de	1.13 cd	4.20 f
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	1.088 bc	1.306 b-e	1.20 c	4.55 cd
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	0.960 d	1.382 ab	1.44 b	4.78 ab
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	1.090 bc	1.325 bcd	1.22 c	4.35 e
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	0.956 d	1.375 ab	1.44 b	4.65 bc
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	0.825 e	1.425 a	1.73 a	4.87 a
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	1.112 b	1.285 cde	1.17 c	4.15 f
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	1.000 b	1.298 b-e	1.30 b	4.47 d
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	0.975 cd	1.350 abc	1.39 b	4.65 bc
F test	**	**	**	**
	2019 se	eason		
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	1.142 a	1.280 f	1.11 d	3.87 f
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	1.090 b	1.370 cd	1.26 cd	4.35 de
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	0.970 cd	1.333 e	1.37 c	4.60 c
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	0.900 e	1.398 b	1.55 b	4.85 a
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	0.975 bc	1.360 d	1.40 bc	4.40 d
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	0.955 de	1.390 bc	1.46 bc	4.72 b
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	0.810 f	1.442 a	1.78 a	4.90 a
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	0.985 bc	1.323 e	1.34 c	4.26 e
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	0.968 cd	1.325 e	1.37 c	4.59 c
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	0.950 e	1.360 d	1.43 bc	4.72 b
F test	**	**	**	**

### 3- Yield and yield attributes:

The data presented in Table 4 show that yield attributes studied, i.e. number of panicles/ $m^2$  and panicle length as well as grain weight/ panicle and its main components (number of filled grains/ panicle and 1000-

grain weight) were positively and significantly affected by the tested Si treatments involving different sources and rates compared to control treatment in both seasons.

Fable 4. Mean values of yield and yield components of rice at harvest as affected by foliar application of silicon in	n
2018 and 2019 seasons	

Characteristic	Number	Panicle	Number of	Number of	0		Grain	Straw
Treatments	of	length	unfilled grains/	0	weight	weight/	yield	yield
	panicles/ m <sup>2</sup>	(cm)	panicles	panicles	(g)	Panicle (g)	(t/ ha)	(t/ ha)
			2018 seaso					
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	379.25 e	17.75 f	27.00 a	83.88 e	22.14 d	2.39 f	4.75 f	6.17 c
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	471.75 abc	19.07 c	17.98 bc	87.23 bcd	23.35 bc	2.67 cde	5.03 de	6.64 b
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	483.25 abc	19.47 ab	13.66 e	88.83 ab	23.72 ab	2.86 ab	5.43 ab	7.22 a
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	518.75 a	19.60 a	10.23 f	90.82 a	24.28 a	2.97 a	5.58 a	7.27 a
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	452.5 cd	18.68 d	18.83 b	85.23 de	23.32 c	2.60 de	4.93 e	6.50 bc
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	470.75 abc	19.33 abc	15.25 d	88.42 abc	23.60 ab	2.77 bc	5.32 bc	6.67 b
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	504.00 ab	19.43 ab	11.47 f	91.15 a	23.97 ab	2.85 ab	5.45 ab	6.83 ab
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	412.50 de	18.35 e	19.20 b	84.87 de	22.67 cd	2.57 e	5.03 de	6.48 bc
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	437.50 cd	19.18 bc	17.33 c	85.93 cde	23.27 bc	2.72 cd	5.17 cd	6.67 b
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	458.25 bcd	19.30abc	13.22 e	87.17 bcd	23.58 ab	2.78 bc	5.37 b	6.87 ab
Ftest	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
			2019 seaso	n				
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	400.00 f	18.25 c	23.15 a	85.25 f	22.55 g	2.45 e	4.93 e	6.55 d
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	456.25 cd	19.00 ab	15.25 bcd	89.10 de	22.97 f	2.75 cd	5.15 cd	6.90 bcd
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	487.50 b	19.55 ab	13.00 de	92.15 abc	23.65 cd	2.88 abc	5.55 ab	7.25 ab
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	528.75 a	19.75 a	10.15 e	95.12 a	24.35 a	3.00 a	5.72 a	7.50 a
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	463.75 c	18.85 bc	16.25 bc	87.25 ef	23.45 de	2.76 cd	5.05 de	6.89 bcd
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	480.00 b	19.60 ab	14.12 cd	90.13 cde	23.65 cd	2.80 cd	5.46 b	6.95 bc
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	527.50 a	19.65 a	10.50 e	93.50 ab	24.28 ab	2.95 ab	5.68 a	7.00 bc
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	428.75 c	19.23 ab	17.27 b	88.35 de	23.00 f	2.68 d	5.23 cd	6.75 cd
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	455.00 d	19.25 ab	16.50 bc	9.20 bcd	23.40 e	2.80 cd	5.36 bc	6.88 bcd
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	481.25 b	19.50 ab	13.00 de	92.15 abc	23.75 с	2.85 bc	5.54 ab	6.95 bc
F test	**	*	**	**	**	**	**	**

The highest values of all abovementioned yield attributes were attained when the rice plants were sprayed with nano silica at a rate of 150 ppm ( $T_4$ ) in the two seasons. Furthermore, it can be noticed that both treatments nano silica at 150 ppm ( $T_4$ ) and K silicate at 1500 ppm ( $T_7$ ) had the same level of significance for most previous traits in both seasons. However, the highest values of number of

unfilled grains/ panicle were obtained by control treatment  $(T_1)$ . The superiority of yield attributes as a result of Si application in different forms may be due to improving each of salinity withstanding presented in the experimental soil as shown in Table 1 as well as physiological and morphological characters as shown previously in Table 2. In this respect, many investigators reported that the

application of rice plant with Si at various sources and rates enhanced and increased grain yield attributes, i.e. number of panicles/  $m^2$  (El-Temsah, 2017), panicle length (Mohamed *et al*, 2015), number of filled grains/ panicle (Essa, 2019), 1000-grain weight (Ahmed *et al*, 2013) and grain weight/ panicle (Deren *et al*, 1994).

Grain and straw yields/ ha were significantly affected by foliar application at different silicon sources and levels compared to control treatment  $(T_1)$  in the two seasons as shown in Table 5. The highest values of the abovementioned were obtained when the plants were sprayed with nano silica at 150 ppm  $(T_4)$  without any significant differences with those obtained nano silica at 100 ppm  $(T_3)$  and K silicate at 1500 ppm  $(T_7)$  in the two seasons. The superiority of grain yield/ ha by the application of both Si sources (nano and K) may be due to such Si sources were useful in improving physiological and morphological traits (Table 2) and salinity tolerance by

decreasing Na content (Table 3) and finally rice grain yield attributes as formerly discussed. In this concern, other investigators found that application of different Si sources to rice plants led to increase grain yield as reported by El-Temsah (2017), Flavia *et al* (2017) and Essa (2019) as well as straw yield/ unit area as recorded by Ahmed *et al* (2013) and Mohamed *et al* (2015).

### 4- Grain quality characters:

Data presented in Table 5 show that grain technology characters (hulling %, milling % and head rice %) as well as grain quality characters (protein % and amylose %) tented to a significant increase when the plants were sprayed with silicon at varying sources and rates as compared with the control treatment in both growing seasons. Moreover, it can be found that increasing rate of any Si source progressively increased the previous characters studied of grain technology and quality in both seasons.

Table 5. Grain quality and technology characters of rice as affected by foliar application of silicon in 2018 and 2019 seasons

seasons						
Characteristic	Hulling	Milling	Head rice	Chalkiness	Protein	Amylose
Treatments	%	%	%	%	%	%
		2018 se	eason			
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	77.32 e	64.20 e	50.66 h	25.75 a	5.75 g	17.30 c
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	78.85 d	65.80 cd	51.63 e	22.36 d	6.25 cde	17.45 c
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	79.95 abc	66.32 abc	52.41 bc	20.20 f	6.45 bc	18.38 a
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	80.50 a	67.35 a	53.54 a	18.85 g	6.90 a	18.10 a
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	79.00 cd	65.75 cd	51.37 f	23.50 c	6.00 efg	17.38 c
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	80.25 a	66.20 bcd	52.00 d	21.25 e	6.40 bc	17.46 c
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	80.75 a	67.10 ab	52.50 b	19.35 g	6.65 ab	17.90 ab
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	78.90 d	65.33 d	51.09 g	24.20 b	5.95 fg	17.35 c
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	79.20 bcd	65.95 cd	51.96 d	23.00 c	6.12 def	17.40 c
$(T_{10})$ Mg silicate 1500 ppm	80.12 ab	66.00 cd	52.25 c	21.15 e	6.33 cd	17.75 b
F test	**	**	**	**	**	**
		2019 se	eason			
(T <sub>1</sub> ) Control	77.92 d	65.00 d	51.50 d	25.10 a	5.88 e	17.44 d
(T <sub>2</sub> ) Nano silica 50 ppm	79.30 c	66.45 bc	51.78 cd	21.75 d	6.15 d	17.50 cd
(T <sub>3</sub> ) Nano silica 100 ppm	80.20 bc	67.10 abc	52.75 b	20.10 f	6.50 b	18.25 a
(T <sub>4</sub> ) Nano silica 150 ppm	81.80 a	67.70 a	53.66 a	18.25 g	6.85 a	17.96 ab
(T <sub>5</sub> ) K silicate 500 ppm	79.50 c	66.25 c	51.67 cd	23.00 b	6.08 d	17.55 cd
(T <sub>6</sub> ) K silicate 1000 ppm	80.15 bc	66.50 bc	52.50 b	22.15 cd	6.52 b	17.69 bcd
(T <sub>7</sub> ) K silicate 1500 ppm	81.90 a	67.65 a	53.54 a	18.50 g	6.73 a	17.93 ab
(T <sub>8</sub> ) Mg silicate 500 ppm	79.45 c	66.25 c	51.71 cd	23.35 b	6.10 d	17.50 cd
(T <sub>9</sub> ) Mg silicate 1000 ppm	80.25 bc	66.88 abc	52.21 bc	22.30 c	6.25 cd	17.62 cd
(T <sub>10</sub> ) Mg silicate 1500 ppm	80.55 bc	67.00 abc	52.62 b	20.75 e	6.40 bc	17.90 abc
F test	**	**	**	**	**	*

The maximum values of those characters were gradually produced when the rice plants were sprayed by nano silica at a rate of 150 ppm (T<sub>4</sub>) with identical statistical with those brought by K silicate at a rate of 1500 ppm (T<sub>7</sub>) in both seasons. Reversely, chalkiness % character was significantly decline either with application of different Si sources or with increasing their different rates. However, the higher rates of Mg silicate occupied the third rank in this concern. From these results, it can be suggested that Si element can ameliorate the rice eating quality and nutritional quality of rice. The benefit role of silica exogenous application in various forms on grain quality is due to direct and indirect ways. Direct way is due to increasing potassium leaf content and other nutrient uptake that increased the stored carbohydrate in the terms of starch in rice grain. The increasing stored starch against grain husks in rice grain raised hulling, milling and head rice too. An abundance of starch as results of silica application could fill all of starch cell minimizing cracks formation and inducing few broken grains leading to huge head rice grain. With more clear benefit of silica exogenous application that might increase starch

particularity in straight form for in the terms of amylose. In addition, applying silica in different sources might be increased nitrogen uptake which increased amino acid formation and subsequently protein content of grain. Indirect useful effect of silica exogenous application is mainly due to enhancing rice salinity tolerance resulted in improving rice growth, photosynthesis and different metabolism activities as well as development process which finally improved rice grain quality and nutrition. In this concern, many researchers found that application of Si caused an increment in grain qualities of rice, i.e. hulling and milling % (Essa, 2019), head rice and amylose % (Zhang *et al*, 2007) and protein % (El-Temsah, 2017).

# CONCLUSION

Finally, it could be concluded that foliar application of nano silica at 150 ppm ( $T_4$ ) or potassium silicate at 1500 ppm ( $T_7$ ) were found to be more efficiency for increasing the growth, productivity and grain quality of rice by improving salinity tolerance under the experimental conditions.

### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Haliem, M.E.F.; Hegazy, H.S.; Hassan, N.S. and Naguib, D.M. (2017). Effect of silica ions and nano silica on rice plants under salinity stress. Ecological Engineering, 99(2): 282-289.
- Adair, R.W. (1952). The McGill Miller method for determining the milling quality of small samples of rice. Rice J., 55(2): 21-23.
- Ahmad, A.; Afzal, M.; Ahmad, A.U.H. and Tahir, M. (2013). Effect of foliar application of silicon on yield and quality of rice (Oryza sativa, L.). Cercetrari Agro. In Moldova, Vol 155 (3): 21-28.
- Black, C.A.; Evans, D.D.; Ensminger, L.E. and Clark, F.E. (1965). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2- Chemical and microbiological properties. American Soc. of Agronomy, Inc., Publisher, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Coskun, D.; Britto, D.T.; Huynh, W.Q. and Kronzucker, H.J. (2016). The role ofsilicon in higher plants under salinity and drought stress. Front. Plant Sci. 7,1072. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01072.
- De Camargo, M.S.; Bezerra, B.K.; Holanda, L.A.; Oliveira, A.L.; Vitti, A.C. and Silva, M.A. (2019). Silicon fertilization improves physiological responses in sugarcane cultivars grown under water deficit. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr.19 (1): 81–91.
- Deren, C.; Datnoff, L.; Snyder, G., Martin, F. (1994). Silicon concentration, disease response, and yield components of rice genotypes grown on flooded organic histosols. Crop Sci., 34: 733–737.
- Duncan, B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F. test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- El-Temsah, M.E. (2017). Response of rice yield, Its components and quality to silicon and boron foliar application. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 6(4): 1259-1267.
- Essa, Eman A.H. (2019). Effect of different potassium rates and foliar application of silica on rice yield and quality of Giza 179 under saline soil conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr ElSheikh Univ., Egypt.
- Flavia, B.A.; Brenda, S. T.; Murilo, S. M. and Lawrence, E. D. (2017). Effect of Different Silicon Sources on Yield and Silicon Uptake of Rice Grown under Varying Phosphorus Rates. *Plants* 2017, 6, 35; doi: 10.3390/plants 6030035
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, IRRI. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wily and Sons, New York, US, 680 P.
- Gong, H.; Randall, D.; Flowers, T. (2006). Silicon deposition in the root reduces sodium uptake in rice (Oryza sativa L.) seedlings by reducing bypass flow. Plant Cell Environ. 29, 1970–1979.

- Jackson, M. L. (1967). A simplified assay for milled rice analysis. Cereal Sci. Today J., (16): 334-338, 340-360.
- Juliano, B.O. (1967). "Soil Chemical Analysis" Prentica Hall Inc, Engleweed Cliffs, N. J.
- Khan, A.; Khan A.L.; Muneer, S., Kim Y-H; Al-Rawahi, A. and Al-Harrasi A. (2019). Silicon and salinity: crosstalk in crop-mediated stress tolerance mechanisms. Plant Sci. 10:1429. doi: 10.3389/fpls. 2019.01429.
- Ladeiro, B. (2012). Saline agriculture in the 21<sup>st</sup> century: using salt contaminated resources to cope food requirements. J. of Botany, Vol 2012: 1-7. doi:10.1155 /2012/ 310705.
- Lee, S.; Sohn E.; Hamayun, M.; Yoon, J. and Lee, I. (2010). Effect of silicon on growth and salinity stress of soybean plant grown under hydroponic system. Agroforestry Syst. 80, 333–340. doi: 10.1007/ s10457-010-9299-6.
- Mohamed, A.A.E.; Zayed, B.A.; Ghareib, H.S. and Essa, E.A. (2015). The role of silica application in raising rice salinity tolerance and productivity. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., 41(1): 232-245.
- Sousa, J.V.; Rodrigues, C.R.; Luz, J.M.Q.; Carvalho, P.C.; Rodrigues, T.M. and Brito, C.H. (2010). Foliar application of the potassium silicate in corn: photosynthesis, growth and yield. *Biosci. J.*, 26, 502– 513.
- Viciedo, D.O.; De Mello, P.R.; Toledo, R.L.; Dos Santos, L.C.; Hurtado, A.C.; Nedd, L.L. and Gonzalez L.C. (2019). Silicon supplementation alleviates ammonium toxicity in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.). J Soil Sci Plant Nutr, 19:1–7.
- Wang, L.; Ashraf, U.; Chang, C.; Abrar, M. and Cheng, X. (2020). Effects of silicon and phosphatic fertilization on rice yield and soil fertility. J. of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 20: 557–565.
- Wang, S.; Wang, F. and Gao, S. (2015). Foliar application with nano-silicon alleviates Cd toxicity in rice seedlings. Environ Sci. Pollut. Res. 22: 2837-2845.
- Wei-min, D.; Ke-qin, Z.; Bin-wu, D.; Cheng-Xiao, S., Kang, Z., Run, C. and Jie-yun, Z. (2005). Rapid determination of silicon content in rice. Rice science, 12 (2): 145-147.
- Zhang, G.L.; Dai, Q.G.; Wang, J.W.; Zhang, H.C.; Huo. Z.Y. and Ling, L. (2007). Effect of silicon fertilizer rate on yield and quality of japonica rice Wuyujing 3. Chinese J. of Rice Science 21:299-303.
- Zhu, X.; Song, F.; Liu, S. and Liu, F. (2016). Role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in alleviating salinity stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown under ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. J Agro Crop Sci. 202 (6): 486-496.

# انتاجية وجودة محصول الأرز تحت تأثير الرش بمصادر ومعدلات مختلفة من السيليكون تحت ظروف الأراضي الملحية شريف ماهر بسيوني1 ، محمد سيد محمود عبد العال<sup>2</sup> وأسامه علي محمد علي<sup>2</sup> امركز بحوث وتدريب الأرز – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية بكفر الشيخ- مركز البحوث الزراعية – مصر 2 قسم المحاصيل – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية – مصر

أجريت تجريتان حقليتان بالمزرعة البحثية بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو محافظة دمياط خلال موسمي الزراعة 2018 و 2019 وذلك لدراسة تأثير الرش بثلاث مصادر مختلفة من السيليكون وثلاث معدلات مختلفة لكل منها : ناتو سيليكا (50-100-101 جزء في المليون) ، سيليكات البوتاسيوم (500-1000-1001 جزء في المليون) ، سيليكات الماغنسيوم (500-1000-1001 جزء في المليون) علي نمو وانتاجية محصول الأرز (صنف جيزة 170) تحت ظروف الأرض الملحية. ويمكن ليجاز النتائج المتحصل عليها في الاتي: 1- أدى رش نباتات الأرز بجميع مصادر ومعدلات السيليكون المختبرة إلي زيادة معنوية في كل من الصفات الفسيولوجية (محتوى الكلوروفيل – التوصيل الثغري) عليها في الاتي: 1- أدى رش نباتات الأرز بجميع مصادر ومعدلات السيليكون المختبرة إلي زيادة معنوية في كل من الصفات الفسيولوجية (محتوى الكلوروفيل – التوصيل الثغري) والسيليكون ومعدل البوتاسيوم إلى النبات ، عد الفروع القاعدية/ م2 ، دليل مساحة الأوراق ، كمية المادة الجافة/م2) ، التحليل الكيمارى للأوراق (النسبة المنوية لكل من البوتاسيوم والسيليكون ومعدل البوتاسيوم إلى الصوديوم) ، مكونات المحصول (عدد السابل/م2) ملو ووزن السنبلة ، عدد الحبوب الماسية المنوية لكل من البوتاسيوم والسيليكون ومعدل البوتاسيوم إلى الصوديوم) ، مكونات المحصول (عدد السابل/م2) ملو ووزن السنبلة ، عدد الحبوب (النسبة المنوية لكل من البوتاسيوم والسيليكون ومعدل البوتاسيوم إلى الصوديوم) ، مكونات المحصول (عدد السابل/م2) ملو ووزن السنبلة ، عدد الحبوب (النسبة المنوية للار من تي والميلوز) ونلك من الحبوب والقش ، الصفات التكنولوجية للحبوب (النسبة المئوية لكل من التقشير والتبييض والحبوب السليمة) ، صفات جود النسيبة المئوية لكل من البوت ممارنة بمعاملة الكنترول التي لم ترش بالسيكون. 2- لوحظ نقص معنوى في كل من التشبية والحبوب السليمة) ، صفات جودة الحبوب (النسبة المئوية لكل من النسبة المؤية لعنص المنانية بمعاملة الكنترول التي لم ترش بالسيكون المختبرة وبأى معدل لمائية ، صفات جوم في الأوراق والحبوب الجيرية و مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول التي لم ترش بالسيكون. 2- لوحظ نقص معنوى في من النسبة المؤوية في المائينية معد رش النبلون و المنانية بمعاملة الكنترول التي لم ترش بالسيكون. 2- لوحظ نقص معنوى على معنول المي ولمال المائية بالمالية و سيليكان معاد الناتيون وبليها معرو السيكون المختبرة وبأى معد