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#### Abstract

The study included 150 boarder student from physical education faculty as practical collage ( 35 male-40female) And faculty of law as theoretical collage ( 35 male- 40 female) Aged 18-22 years randomly selected from two university town in El-sadat university ,menoufia governorate .

The assessment included socio- economic status ,anthropometric measurement, dietary data, food habit between boarder student and their opinion about town meals according to (amount, temperature, maturity, taste, design and meal time). The result revealed that about 50-52 \% of male and female in physical education faculty had food budget $50-65 \%$ of income and about $40-45 \%$ of male and female in faculty of law had same budget.

The higher values of means of weight, height, BMI and skin fold were observed for male in faculty of law comparing with male in faculty of physical education and there is no significant between two groups in height, arm circumference and triceps circumference. The higher values of means of weight and BMI were observed for female in faculty of law comparing with female in faculty of physical education there is no significant between two groups in skin fold, arm circumference and triceps circumference. Majority of sample of male in faculty of physical education were eating breakfast and prefer vegetables, dairy product, starch and female of faculty of physical education prefer vegetables, starch and meat.

The result show that there is no significant difference of calories, total protein, fat, calcium and total iron and zinc, vit A,B1, B2, Niacin, B6 and folate between broader students(male and female) in faclty of law and faculty of physical education but there is significant difference of vit B12 between broader students(male and female) in faclty of law and faculty of physical education.


(العدد الأول)

## دراسة الاحتياجات الغذائية لطلبة الكليات العملية والنظرية بالمدينة الجامعية فرع اللمادات

أجريت هذه الدراسة علي . 10 طالب وطالبة من طلاب المدن الجامعية من كليتان كلية نربية رياضية ككلية عملية (0 (اطالب و • ع طالبة) وكلية حقوق ككلية نظرية (0 (0 طالب و • عطالبة)
 بمحافظة المنوفية. تم جمع البيانات عن الحالة الاجتماعية والاقتصادية, المقاييس الجسمية والعادات الغذائية, استرجاع غذاء 〔 ٪ ساعة ورأيهم في وجبة المدينة من حيث (الكمية, درجة الحرارة, النضج, تصميم الوجبة, وميعاد نقديمها) وقد أسفرت النتائج عن ما يلي: - متوسطات قيم الوزن, الطول, مؤشر كتلة الجسم وسمك طبقة الجلد اعلي في طلبة كلية الحقوق عن كلية طلبة تربية رياضية

- متوسطات قيم الوزن ومؤشر كنلة الجسم اعلى في طالبات كلية حقوق عن طالبات كلية نربية رياضية.
- أغلبية طلبة كلية نربية رياضية يهتمون بتتاول الإفطار ويفضلون تتاول الخضروات ومنتجات الألبان والنشويات بالمقارنة بطلبة كلية حقوق.
- أغلبية طالبات كلية تربية رياضبة يهنمون بنتاول اللحوم والخضروات والنشويات بالمقارنة بطالبات كلية الحقوق.
- لم يظهر اي فروق بين طلبة وطالبات كلية تربية رياضية وكلية الحقوق في مقدار السعرات الحرارية , البروتين, الكالسيوم, الحديد, فيتامينات (أ , ب7) وحمض الفـي
- متوسط تتاول فتامين بץ ( لطلبة وطالبات كلية حقوق اعلي من طلبة وطالبات كلية نربية رياضية.


## Introduction

A balanced diet and consumption of food prepared in accordance with good practices are factors that contribute to maintaining a healthy lifestyle. The Mediterranean diet is widely recognized as satisfying the requirements of healthy nutrition (Bonaccio et al., 2012).

The different stages of life, in particular work or study-related, can produce profound changes in eating habits. The start of University education is an important time in the life of an individual, since it often represents a period of greater responsibility for food choices and health (Colić Barić et al., 2003).

The departure from the ideal model of the Mediterranean diet appeared more pronounced among students who left their family home to relocate to the University town. Although some positive changes were recorded (i.e. higher consumption of raw vegetables), the diet of these students was characterized by a lower intake of fish, pulses and cooked vegetables than students living with their families. Students living away from their families also showed a trend towards lower consumption of home-cooked meals and more frequent use of quick- and easy-to-prepare meals such as ready meals, raw/cold meals and frozen meals. This finding supports the thesis that assumption of primary responsibility for food shopping and preparation can lead to unhealthy dietary habits among university students living away from home (Papadaki et al., 2007).
(Brache et al. 2003) stated that reasons for malnutrition of adolescent girls such as misinformation about food values, eating in fast food restaurants, skipping meals, consuming snacks , and foods high in sugar and going on fad dieting.

When an adolescent is also an athlete, there are many important factors to consider in terms of nutrition for both growth and sports performance. Participation in physical activity increases the energy and nutrient needs of an athlete (Croll et al, 2006).

The nutrients in which young athletes are most often deficient are carbohydrates, calcium, vitamin B, folate and iron (Kern 2006; Thompson 1998).

More and more young athletes are seeking personal fitness trainers for specialized workouts. As part of their training, we strive to help these young people become stronger and better able to withstand the rigors of sports competition.

But physical training is only one part of the equation: young athletes also need to learn why proper nutrition is vital to optimizing their sports
performance. Nutrient needs are higher during adolescence than at any other time in the life cycle (CroU et al., 2006).

Anthropometry is a key component of nutrition status assessment in children and adults (Simko). The NHANES anthropometry data have been used to track growth and weight trends in the U.S. population for more than thirty years (Flegal, 2002).

## Subjects and methods

Subject:
This study was designed to access the nutritional status of practical and theoretical boarder student at Sadat university town at Sadat city.
Target population:
Male\& Female boarder student age (18-22) years in practical and theoretical college (Physical Education faculty, faculty of law) at Sadat city, Sadat university town.
Sampling technique:
sample size: random sample of (150) boarder student (70)male , 80 (female) from practical and theoretical college in Sadat university town, Sadat city, menoufia governorate.
A. place of study : Sadat university town, boarder student, practical student (faculty of physical education) and theoretical student (faculty of law)
B. period of study: The study was carried in the period from 1 October 2013 to the end of march 2014
C. sample classification: The sample classified into four groups to theoretical boarder male (35) practical boarder male (35) theoretical boarder female (40) theoretical boarder female(40)

| Gender | male | female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practical student | 35 | 40 | 75 |
| Theoretical student | 35 | 40 | 75 |
| Total | 70 | 80 | 150 |

## Methods:

A questionnaire form was designed and include the following.

1) Socio - economic data : including age, sex, name of College, mother education level, mother occupation, father education level, father occupation, family income, Number of family members, nutrition budget.
2) Anthropometric management :

## A. Body weight:

Weight was measured with the subject tanding and wearing light clothes to the nearest 0.1 kg using an electronic scale(Khan et al., 2004).
(b) Body height:

Height was measured while the subject was standing without foot wear, to the nearest 0.1 cm , using a portable Steadiometer (Khan et al., 2004).
B. (c) Mid-Upper arm circumference(AC) :

The MUAC tape is a simple and reliable method of assessing MUAC and can, with training, be used by all level of health workers. (Myatt Mark et al., 2006)

MUAC is the circumference of the left upper arm and is measured at the mid-point between the tips of the shoulder and elbow. To measure:

1. Bend the left arm, find and mark with a pen the olecranon process and acromium.
2. Mark the mid-point between these two marks.
3. With the arm hanging straight down, wrap a MUAC tape around the arm at the midpoint mark.
4. Measure to the nearest 1 mm .

It is easy to measure MUAC even on very thin arms (United Nations System Standing Committee On Nutrition, 2008)
C. Triceps Skin- Fold thickness(TSF):

Measure the triceps skin fold on the posterior surface of the right upper arm, at the point previously marked for the mid-upper arm circumference. Have the SP stand upright with weight evenly distributed and feet together, shoulders relaxed, and the arms hanging freely at the sides. Stand behind the SP's right side and gently grasp a fold of skin and subcutaneous adipose tissue with thumb and index finger, approximately 2.0 cm above the marked point. The skin fold should be parallel to the long axis of the arm .Place the tips of the caliper jaws over the marked point, perpendicular to the length of the fold Measure the skin fold thickness to the nearest 0.1 mm while the fingers continue to hold the skin fold. Call the measurement to the recorder before releasing the fold and the caliper.

## (NHANES, 2004 "National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey") <br> D. Mid Upper Arm Muscle Circumference (AMC):

Was computed according to the following formula
Arm muscle circumference ( mm ) $=$ arm circumference -triceps- skin fold (mm)

Amc (cm) $=\mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{cm})-(0.314 \times \operatorname{TSF}(\mathrm{MM})$.

## E. Body Mass Index:

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio of body mass in kg and the square of height in metre. Division of BMI into four categories was done according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines: underweight <18.5, normal weight 18.5 to 24.9 , overweight 25
to 29.9 , and obese $>30$ The first index of adolescence was shown in height. From the age of 14 , the males were taller and weighed more than the females. This observation is in keeping with the findings of (De Koning, et al.,2007)

Dietary Data: It is designed from 20 questions to know the food habits and access the nutritional knowledge of the boarder students.

Food consumption and nutrient intake: Nutrient intake carried out by using 24 hours recall for 2 different days. each student was asked to show all food consumed during the previous 24 hours. The nutritive was calculated of the daily intake was calculated using computer diet analysis for egyptian foods (EL dashlooty\&Mohamed,1996)food analysis computer program.
(5) Statistical analysis for result: The collected data were subjested to statistical analysis to find out standard deviations ,significant differences ,correlation coefficients between variables, using the computer program SPSS/ P.C for IBM computer ( SPSS INC Chicago ,IL .V.S.A "Statistic Package of Social Science,1996" )

## Results

Table(1): Mean $\pm$ SE and T. value of age, income, family size, district for practical and theoretical male boarder student.

| Variables | Physics | Law | T. value | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ |  |  |
| .457 |  |  |  |  |
| Age(year) | $19.314 \pm 1.105$ | $19.657 \pm 1.282$ | -539 |  |
| Income | $2.942 \pm .905$ | $2.571 \pm .850$ | 1.769 | .532 |
| Family size | $4.685 \pm 1.761$ | $5.200 \pm 1.132$ | -1.453 | .091 |
| resident | $1.171 \pm .382$ | $1.285 \pm .458$ | -1.133 | .024 |

As regarding age the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ) being $19.657 \pm 1.282$ and $19.314 \pm 1.105$ year respectively.

As regarding income the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) being $2.571 \pm .850$ and $2.942 \pm .905$ pound respectively.

As regarding family size the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) being $5.200 \pm 1.132$ and $4.685 \pm 1.761$ member respectively.

As regarding the resident, the mean for male group in faculty of law who living in urban area was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) higher than male in faculty of physical education being $1.285 \pm .458$ and $1.171 \pm .382$

Table(2): Mean $\pm$ SE and T. value of age, income, family size, district for practical and theoretical female boarder student.

| Variables | Physics | Law | T.value | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ |  |  |
| Age(year) | $19.900 \pm 1.194$ | $20.220 \pm 1.049$ | $1.293-$ | .478 |
| Income | $2.825 \pm .780$ | $2.950 \pm .845$ | $-.687-$ | .965 |
| Family size | $5.200 \pm 1.017$ | $4.850 \pm .833$ | 1.683 | .246 |
| resident | $1.325 \pm .474$ | $1.300 \pm .464$ | .238 | .635 |

As regarding age the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ) being $20.220 \pm 1.049$ and $19.900 \pm 1.194$ year respectively.

As regarding income the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) being $2.950 \pm .845$ and $2.825 \pm .780$ pound respectively.

As regarding family size the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) being $4.850 \pm .833$ and $5.200 \pm 1.017$ member respectively.

As regarding resient the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ) being $1.300 \pm .464$ and $1.325 \pm .474$ respectively

Table 3 : anthropometric measurement for male

| Variables in male | Physical male | Law male | T value | Sig. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S. $\mathbf{E} \pm$ | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ |  |  |  |
| Length |  | $(\mathrm{m})$ | $1.742 \pm .057$ | $1.760 \pm .0762$ | $-1.093-$ |
| Weight |  | $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $71.428 \pm 7.597$ | $77.800 \pm 11.618$ | $-2.715-$ |
| BMI |  | $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 2)$ | $23.458 \pm 1.869$ | $25.016 \pm 2.624$ | $-2.860-$ |
| TSF | $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $.797 \pm .252$ | $1.182 \pm .46997$ | $-4.277-$ | $.011^{* *}$ |
| AC | $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $28.771 \pm 2.951$ | $28.214 \pm 2.361$ | .872 | .187 |
| AMC |  | $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $26.204 \pm 2.837$ | $24.496 \pm 2.090$ | 2.867 |

As regarding the weight, the mean for male group in faculty of law was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )higher than male in faculty of physical education being $77.800 \pm 11.618$ and $71.428 \pm 7.597 \mathrm{~kg}$ respectively. These result don't agree with( Sethi and Sidhu ,1990) and (Sidhu et al. ,1996) who reported more weight for sport boys as compared to control group from 11 to 19 years.
(Mokha et al. ,1988) also suggested that lesser weight is advantageous to runners as they have to carry their bodies while running.

Mean of BMI for male group in faculty of law was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) higher than male in faculty of physical education being $25.016 \pm 2.624$ and $23.458 \pm 1.869$ respectively

These finding agree with (Croll, 2006) who report that athletes have lower BMI than non athletes.

Mean of skin fold for male group in faculty of law was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )higher than male in faculty of physical education being $1.182 \pm .46997$ and $.797 \pm .252$ respectively. These result agree with ( Bhardwaj et al. ,1990) who reported that sports persons possess small value of skin fold thickness as compared to controls. (Singh ,1992) also reported in the same direction while working on sports and control boys of 11 to 19 years of age.

The mean of length, arm circumference and triceps circumference for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ )
Table 4 : anthropometric measurement for female

| Variables | Physics female | Law female | T.value | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ |  |  |
| Length (m) | $1.741 \pm .674$ | $1.721 \pm .100$ | 1.069 | .016** |
| Weight (cm) | $71.400 \pm 7,722$ | $73.425 \pm 14.530$ | -.778- | .000*** |
| BMI(kg/m2) | $23.494 \pm 1.901$ | $24.553 \pm 2.824$ | -1.967 | .001** |
| TSF (cm) | . $887 \pm .413$ | $1.270 \pm .496$ | -3.743- | . 094 |
| AC (cm) | $28.725 \pm 2.846$ | $27.512 \pm 2.779$ | 1.928 | . 993 |
| AMC (cm) | $25.879 \pm 3.024$ | $23.523 \pm 2.605$ | 3.733 | . 285 |

As regarding the weight, the mean for female group in faculty of law was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) higher than female in faculty of physical education being $73.425 \pm 14.530$ and $71.400 \pm 7.722 \mathrm{~kg}$ respectively. These result don't agree with (Ismail \& Zawiah, 1988) who reported that the athletes had higher body weight and BMI but their body fat was significantly lower when compared to non-athletes. Similar results were reported by( Leelarthaepin et al. ,1983) and (Nowak et al. 1988).
(Mokha et al. 1988) also suggested that lesser weight is advantageous to runners as they have to carry their bodies while running.

Mean of BMI for female group in faculty of law was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )higher than female in faculty of physical education being 24.553 $\pm 2.824$ and $23.494 \pm 1.901$ respectively These finding agree with ( Croll ,2006) who report that athletes have lower BMI than nonathletic.

Mean of length for female group in faculty of physical education was significantly higher female in faculty of law $1.741 \pm .674$ and $1.721 \pm .100$ respectively.

The mean of skin fold, arm circumference and arm muscle circumference for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ).
Table 5 : macro and micro nutrition for male

| Variable | Physical male | Law male | T value | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ |  |  |
| Calories | $2754.171 \pm 473.711$ | $2394.351 \pm 463.605$ | 3.212 | . 862 |
| Total .protein | $95.660 \pm 19.279$ | $80.501 \pm 16.350$ | 3.548 | . 526 |
| Total fat | $78.851 \pm 20.486$ | $67.208 \pm 15.872$ | 2.658 | . 084 |
| Carbohydrate | $413.542 \pm 73.494$ | $353.984 \pm 84.710$ | 3.142 | . 574 |
| Calcium | $620.650 \pm 259.758$ | $527.425 \pm 188.039$ | 1.720 | . 695 |
| Phosphorus | $1619.451 \pm 335.141$ | $1361.134 \pm 260.983$ | 3.598 | . 322 |
| total.iron | $18.922 \pm 4.457$ | $14.752 \pm 3.290$ | 4.452 | . 184 |
| Sodium | $2576.852 \pm 795.352$ | $2167.880 \pm 693.624$ | 2.293 | . 787 |
| Potassium | $3330.418 \pm 598.088$ | $2717.580 \pm 439.389$ | 4.885 | . 060 |
| Zinc | $16.805 \pm 2.873$ | $14.390 \pm 2.8305$ | 3.543 | . 516 |
| Magnesium | $567.792 \pm 89.959$ | $494.684 \pm 89.242$ | 3.413 | . 614 |
| vit.A | $528.548 \pm 285.492$ | $455.377 \pm 227.148$ | 1.187 | . 580 |
| Vit.C | $142.543 \pm 64.458$ | $99.077 \pm 33.045$ | 3.550 | . 000 *** |
| vit.D | $1.591 \pm 1.257$ | $2.722 \pm 2.005$ | -.828- | .001*** |
| vitE | $16.185 \pm 7.313$ | $11.810 \pm 4.170$ | 3.075 | . 500 |
| vit.B1 | $1.696 \pm .367$ | $1.370 \pm .327$ | 3.950 | . 335 |
| vit.B2 | $3.126 \pm .936$ | $2.565 \pm .679$ | 2.878 | . 415 |
| Niacin | $23.544 \pm 5.862$ | $20.318 \pm 5.464$ | 2.379 | . 865 |
| vit.B6 | $1.971 \pm .513$ | $1.901 \pm .382$ | . 699 | . 126 |
| vit.B12 | $2.614 \pm 1.441$ | $3.127 \pm 1.798$ | -.313- | .019* |
| Folate | $432.466 \pm 117.734$ | $329.257 \pm 94.810$ | 4.040 | . 277 |
| Cholesterol | $388.957 \pm 213.929$ | $313.493 \pm 131.850$ | 1.777 | . 124 |

As regarding carbohydrate the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ).it was $353.9843 \pm 84.71054$ and $413.5429 \pm 73.49409$ respectively.

These result agree with( kern, 2006) and ( Thompson, 1998) who reported that nutrition in which young athletes are most often deficient is carbohydrates.

As regarding calories and total protein the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) These result not agree with ( Nevin-folino ,2003) who reported young athletes need to consume enough calories each day to keep protein balance. While it has been suggested that adult athletes may need more protein than adults who are not athletes.

As regarding fat the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ )it was $67.2086 \pm 15.87231$ and $78.8511 \pm 20.48692$ respectively . Although (Montfort-Steiger and Williams, 2007) reported that fat is essential fuel for young athletes who engage in light to moderate intensity exercise.

As regarding calcium and total iron the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). These result agree with (kern, 2006) and (Thompson , 1998 )who reported that nutrition in which young athletes are most often deficient is calcium and total protien.

As regarding zinc the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) it was $14.3900 \pm 2.83053$ and $16.8057 \pm 2.87338$ respectively . More encouraging was our finding that iron and zinc intake appeared adequate in a majority of both swimmers and non-athletes, both male and female. Zinc and iron play important roles in promoting athletic performance (McDonald and Keen ,1988).

As regarding vit B1, Vit B2, Niacin, vit B6 and folate the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ).These result not agree with (kern, 2006) ; ( Thompson, 1998) who reported that nutrition in which young athletes are most often deficient is vit B and folate.

But regarding vit B12 the mean for male group in faculty of law and male in faculty of physical education was significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) it was $3.1257 \pm 1.79850$ and $2.6143 \pm 1.44138$ respectively and these agree with( Kern 2006);(Thompson, 1998). who reported that nutrition in which young athletes are most often deficient is vit B and folate.

As regarding vit $C$ the mean for male group in faculty of physical education was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )higher than male in faculty of law being $142.5443 \pm 64.45897$ and $99.0771 \pm 33.04508$ respectively These result not agree with (Rankinen and associates 1998) who reported that difference in energy intake, thiamine, riboflavin, folate, vitamin C, calcium, and iron intakes were similar between group.

As regarding vit D the mean for male group in faculty of law was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )higher than male in faculty of physical education being $2.7229 \pm 2.00501$ and $1.5914 \pm 1.25771$ respectively These result agree with (Rankinen and associates 1998) who reported that difference in vitamins D and E , zinc, and magnesium were significantly lower in the ski jumpers compared with the controls

Table 6 : macro and micro nutrition for female

| Variables | Physical female | Law female | T.value | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ | Mean $\pm$ S.E $\pm$ |  |  |
| Calories | $1998.775 \pm 306.029$ | $2025.262 \pm 361.323$ | $-.354-$ | .854 |
| Total .proten | $74.043 \pm 15.039$ | $69.472 \pm 14.580$ | 1.380 | .544 |
| Total fat | $58.377 \pm 13.044$ | $56.275 \pm 12.703$ | .730 | .432 |
| Carbohydrate | $292.235 \pm 57.736$ | $309.115 \pm 68.117$ | $-1.196-$ | .722 |
| Caicium | $452.456 \pm 119.201$ | $399.6463 \pm 152.761$ | 1.724 | .026 |
| Phosphorus | $1195.605 \pm 244.730$ | $1149.040 \pm 230.971$ | .875 | .741 |
| total.iron | $13.725 \pm 3.070$ | $13.076 \pm 3.338$ | .905 | .449 |
| Sodium | $2085.466 \pm 571.906$ | $1783.613 \pm 385.648$ | 2.767 | .147 |
| Potassium | $2455.457 \pm 405.150$ | $2490.113 \pm 448.742$ | $-.363-$ | .835 |
| Zinc | 11.655 | $\pm 2.088$ | 11.467 | $\pm 2.720$ |
| .346 | .387 |  |  |  |
| Magnesium | $412.593 \pm 78.838$ | $410.908 \pm 91.607$ | .088 | .399 |
| vit.A | $385.773 \pm 128.828$ | $346.435 \pm 130.958$ | 1.354 | .592 |
| Vit.C | $94.193 \pm 31.558$ | $98.406 \pm 37.236$ | $-.546-$ | .171 |
| vit.D | $1.482 \pm .397$ | $1.717 \pm .475$ | $-.731-$ | .978 |
| vitE | $11.717 \pm 7.454$ | $13.787 \pm 7.904$ | $-1.207-$ | .187 |
| vit.B1 | $1.298 \pm .343$ | $1.192 \pm .269$ | 1.539 | .096 |
| vit.B2 | $2.238 \pm .679$ | $2.102 \pm .596$ | .953 | .619 |
| Niacin | $17.930 \pm 3.845$ | $16.501 \pm 4.055$ | 1.617 | .878 |
| vit.B6 | $1.652 \pm .4073$ | $1.607 \pm .456$ | .465 | .986 |
| vit.B12 | $2.595 \pm 1.579$ | $3.037 \pm 1.992$ | $-1.101-$ | .034 |
| Folate | $281.757 \pm 63.823$ | $274.501 \pm 73.494$ | .471 | .279 |
| Cholesterol | $244.232 \pm 100.0614$ | $241.506 \pm 98.026$ | .123 | .972 |

As regarding calories the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) it was $2025.262 \pm 361.323$ and $1998.775 \pm 306.029$ respectively. These agree with (Beals,2002) who found that female athletes consumed fewer calories than they expended (energy intake $1 / 42248$ _ $414 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{d}$, energy expenditure $1 / 42815 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{d}$ ).

As regarding carbohydrate the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ).it was $309.115 \pm 68.117$ and $309.115 \pm 68.117$ respectively.These result agree with( kern, 2006);(Thompson, 1998) who reported that nutrition in which adolescent athletes are most often deficient is carbohydrates.

As regarding fat the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ )it was $56.275 \pm 12.703$ and $58.377 \pm 13.044$ respectively. Although (MontfortSteiger and Williams ,2007 )reported that fat is essential fuel for young athletes who engage in light to moderate intensity exercise.

As regarding Calcium the mean for female group in faculty of physical education was significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ )higher than female in faculty of law being $452.456 \pm 119.201$ and $399.6463 \pm 152.761$ respectively. These result not
disagree with( Papadopoulou SK,2002) who reported that adolescent athletes did not consume recommended intakes for calcium when he made study on dietary intake conducted in female Greek athletes.

As regarding total iron the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) it was $13.076 \pm 3.338$ and $13.725 \pm 3.070$ respectively .These agree with( Beals,2002 )who found that female athletes calcium and iron intakes were less than $100 \%$ of the recommendations, And agree with (Hassapidou and Manstrantoni,2001) who compared the dietary intake of elite Greek female athletes in four different sports (volleyball, middle distance running, ballet dancing, and swimming) with a nonathletic control group who reported a lower than recommended intake of iron in the athletic and nonathletic groups.

As regarding phosphorus the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\gg 0.05$ ) it was $1149.040 \pm 230.971$ and $1195.605 \pm 244.730$ respectively. These result disagree with (Beals,2002) who found that whereas intakes of phosphorus, thiamine, and riboflavin were greater in female athletes compared with controls.

As regarding zinc the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) it was $11.467 \pm 2.720$ and $11.655 \pm 2.088$ respectively.

As regarding magnesium the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ) it was $410.908 \pm 91.607$ and $412.593 \pm 78.838$ respectively. These disagree with Beals, 2002 who found that female athletes They also consumed less than the recommended intakes for folate, B-complex vitamins, vitamin C, iron, calcium, magnesium, and zinc these agree with( Papadopoulou SK,2002) who found that female adolescent athletes did not consume recommended intakes for calcium, iron, folate, magnesium, zinc, vitamin A , and the B vitamins

As regarding vit A the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ). it was $346.435 \pm 130.958$ and $385.773 \pm 128.828$ respectively.These result agree with (Papadopoulou SK,2002) reported that female adolescent athletes did not consume recommended intakes for vit A.

As regarding vit C the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ). it was $98.406 \pm 37.236$ and $94.193 \pm 31.558$ respectively .These result agree with (Rankinen et al., 1998) who reported that difference in vitamin C intakes were similar between group.

As regarding folate the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $p>0.05$ ). it was $274.501 \pm 73.494$ and $281.757 \pm 63.823$ respectively. these result agree with with (Rankinen and associates 1998) who reported that difference in energy intake, thiamine, riboflavin, folate, vitamin C, calcium, and iron
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intakes were similar between group (Papadopoulou SK,2002 )reported that female adolescent athletes did not consume recommended intakes for folate.

As regarding vit B1, Vit B2, Niacin, vit B6 the mean for female group in faculty of law and female in faculty of physical education was non significantly ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). These result not agree with (kern, 2006);(Thompson, 1998) who reported that nutrition in which young athletes are most often deficient is vit $B$ and folate

Table 7 : food habit for male

|  | Physical male |  | Law male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Eating three meals |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 29 | 82.9 | 20 | 57.1 |
| no | 6 | 17.1 | 15 | 42.9 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Eating breakfast |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 85.7 | 23 | 65.7 |
| no | 5 | 14.3 | 12 | 34.3 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 22 | 62.9 | 20 | 57.1 |
| no | 13 | 37.1 | 15 | 42.9 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Meat |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 33 | 94.3 | 33 | 94.3 |
| no | 2 | 5.7 | 2 | 5.7 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Fruit |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 34 | 97.2 | 35 | 100 |
| no | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Starches |  |  |  |  |
| yes | 20 | 57.1 | 16 | 54.3 |
| no | 15 | 42.9 | 19 | 45.7 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Dairy |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 33 | 94.3 | 30 | 85.7 |
| no | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Eating fast food |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 32 | 91.4 | 29 | 82.9 |
| No | 3 | 8.6 | 6 | 17.1 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Fast food reason |  |  |  |  |
| Inadequate | 3 | 85.7 | 26 | 74.2 |
| Not exist | 3 | 5.7 8.6 | 3 | 8.6 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |
| Follow diet |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.3 \\ & 85.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | 88.6 |
| Total | 35 | 100 | 35 | 100 |

As regarding food habit data among male in physical faculty and faculty of law:

About $62.9 \%$ of physical faculty male eating vegetables and about $57.1 \%$ of male in faculty of law eat it.

About of $97.2 \%$ physical education faculty male eating fruits and about $100 \%$ of male in faculty of law eat it.

About of 94.3 \% physical education faculty male eating dairy product and about $85.7 \%$ of male in faculty of law eat it
( Croll, 2006) reported that athletes consume more dairy products, fruit, vegetables, vitamins and minerals compared to peers who do not engage in sports. these result agree with our study in vegetables and dairy products but disagree with our study in fruits.

About of 57.1 \% physical education faculty male eating starch and about $54.3 \%$ of male in faculty of law eat it.These agree with( Cavadini, 2000) who found that athletes consume more cereals, dairy products, fruit, vegetables, larger quantities of carbohydrates, fiber, minerals and vitamins.

About of 85.7 \% physical education faculty male eating breakfast and about $65.7 \%$ of male in faculty of law eating breakfast. These agree with( Jacobson, 2001) who repoted that $13 \%$ of athletes male regularly skipped breakfast.

Table 5 : food habit for female

|  | Physical female |  | Law female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Eating three meals yes no | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.5 \\ & 22.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| Eating breakfast yes no | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.5 \\ & 17.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Vegetables } \\ \text { Yes } \\ \text { No } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| Meat <br> Yes <br> No | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.5 \\ 2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| Fruit yes no | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| Starches yes no | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 \\ & 52.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |


|  | Physical female |  | Law female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Dairy |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 35 | 87.5 | 35 | 87.5 |
| No | 5 | 12.5 | 5 | 12.5 |
| Eating fast food |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 32 | 80 | 33 | 82.5 |
| No | 8 | 20 | 7 | 17.5 |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| Fast food reason |  |  |  |  |
| Inadequate | 25 | 62.5 | 28 | 70 |
| Not exist | 7 | 17.5 | 5 | 12.5 |
| Not take fast food | 8 | 20 | 7 | 17.5 |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |
| Follow diet |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 4 | 10 | 2 | 5 |
| No | 36 | 90 | 38 | 95 |
| Total | 40 | 100 | 40 | 100 |

Table (1) shows food habit data among female in physical faculty .faculty of law

About of $75 \%$ physical education faculty female skipped breakfast and about $82.5 \%$ of female in faculty of law skipped breakfast.

These agree with (Jacobson, 2001) who reported that more than $20 \%$ of athletes female regularly skipped breakfast.

About $55 \%$ of physical faculty female eating vegetables and about $50 \%$ of female in faculty of law eat it.

About of $40 \%$ physical education faculty female eating fruits and about $40 \%$ of female in faculty of law eat it.

About of $35 \%$ physical education faculty female eating dairy product and about $87.5 \%$ of female in faculty of law eat it
( Croll, 2006) reported that athletes consume more dairy products, fruit, vegetables, vitamins and minerals compared to peers who do not engage in sports. These result disagree with our study in vegetables, dairy products and fruits.

About of $47.5 \%$ physical education faculty female eating starch and about $65 \%$ of female in faculty of law eat it.

These not agree with (Cavadini, 2000) who found that athletes consume more cereals, dairy products, fruit, vegetables, larger quantities of carbohydrates, fiber, minerals and vitamins.
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