

INSECT PESTS INFESTATION, PRODUCTIVITY AND QUALITY OF SUGAR BEET AS INFLUENCE BY NITROGEN, POTASSIUM AND TRACE ELEMENTS FERTILIZERS

Besheit, R.S. and E.H.S. El-Laboudy

Sugar Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Centre, Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at Sakha agricultural farm, Kafer El-Sheikh Governorate Egypt, during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons, to study the impact of nitrogen in three rates 60, 80 and 100 kg N fed⁻¹, potassium with the rate of 24, 36 and 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ as soil application and, a mixture of three trace nutrients *i.e.* Zinc (1g/L), Manganese (1g/L) and Fe (2g/L) as a foliar application on insect infestation, yield and quality of sugar beet. The obtained results revealed that the infestation by beet fly, tortoise beetles and beet moth increased gradually and significantly by increasing nitrogen rate from 60 to 100 kg N fed⁻¹. Further, increasing potassium fertilization caused significant reduction in insect infestation. Moreover, foliar application of the used mixture of trace elements significantly reduced infestation beet by *P. mixta*. At the same time, insignificant reduction on the other two pests has been detected. However, individual root specification, root yield, sugar yield, sucrose and purity were maximized corresponding to 80 kg N fed⁻¹. More nitrogen application decreased all the studied traits except top yield and TSS. On the other hand, increasing potassium fertilizer from 24 up to 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ positively enhanced root diameter, root length, root weight, root, top, sugar yields/fed. and TSS. Nevertheless, sucrose and purity were maximized as potassium fertilized added at the rate of 36 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹. Moreover, foliar application of trace elements significantly improved all beet productivity characteristics and quality traits.

To conclude that fertilizing beet plants with 80 kg N fed⁻¹, 36 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ with sprayed the mixture of trace elements maximizing yield and quality of sugar beet and had negative impact on pests under study.

Key words: Sugar beet, nitrogen, potassium, microelements, insect pests infestation

INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet growing for sugar in Egypt becomes a successful industry since 1982 besides sugarcane cultivation. Sugar beet cultivation began early in some northern governorates, but nowadays, it spread to all northern (Delta) area and expanded to middle and Upper Egypt. The goal in sugar beet production is the development of a crop yielding high

tonnage and sugar content that can economically be processed into sugar, meantime, to cover the gap between sugar production and consumption under Egyptian conditions.

Nitrogen is the most important fertilizer element to be added because it is usually in short supply in different types of soils. Nitrogen has a pronounced impact on the growth and physiological processes of sugar beet, even to the extent of causing large changes in the physiological and chemical features of the crop at harvest. Further, many factors may affect the optimum rate of N fertilizer needed by sugar beet to yield fully, meantime, shortage in nitrogen fertilizer sugar beet could not produce profitable crop. Nevertheless, high nitrogen dressing slightly enhanced root growth but decreased sucrose production due to the increase in top growth at the expense of sugar storage (**Mekdad, 2015 and Ismail et al., 2016**).

Moreover, under Egyptian ecosystem, sugar beet plants are subjected to be attacked by numerous insect pests during its different growth stages. The key insect pests are sugar beet fly *Pegomyia mixta* (Vill.); tortoise beetle *Cassida vittata* (Vill.) and beet moth *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* (Boyd.) (**El-Dessouki et al., 2014 and Abbas, 2018**).

Nitrogen (N) is one of the main limiting factors for the optimal growth of insects. **Rashid et al. (2016)** stated that excessive and/or inappropriate use of inorganic fertilizers can cause nutrient imbalances and lower pest resistance, via produce more broad, succulent and fresh leaves which could serve as suitable surfaces for egg-laying, increase herbivore's feeding preference, food consumption, survival, growth, reproduction, and population density and reflected for heavy crop damage by insects (**Mace and Mills, 2015**). **Shalaby et al. (2012)** showed that infestation by *P. mixta* Vill., *S. ocellatella* Boyd. and *C. vittata* Vill. were significantly highest at 90 kg N/fed. as compared with lower doses (60 or 75 kg N/fed.).

With regard to potassium fertilizer, sugar beet is classified as a plant that has a high requirement for potassium and recognized as being absolutely indispensable and that it is present in high concentration in plants. Potassium plays an important role in activating the photosynthesis process via activating the enzymes involved in this process. Further, potassium in adequate quantity has a vital role in increase soluble carbohydrates and its translocation to beet root (**Enan, 2016**). Meantime, **Amtmann et al. (2008)** illustrated that K elements strongly impact plant susceptibility and attractiveness to insects and diseases. **Zörb et al. (2014) and Bala et al. (2018)** demonstrated that potassium provides high resistance against insect-pests.

For optimal growth, alongside the macronutrients N, P and K the sugar beet plant need in small quantities from other elements known trace

elements (micronutrients) such as B, Zn, Mn, Cl, Cu, and Mo. Experimental result of **Odeley and Animashaun (2007)** showed that foliar application of micronutrients increased plant resistance to pests and diseases and drought stress. Therefore, the trace elements have many contributions in cell wall formation and plant resistance to pests and diseases and environmental stresses (**Ghasemian et al., 2010**). Meantime, **Shafeek et al. (2014)** showed that hot pepper plants sprayed by a mixture of Fe, Mn and Zn gained the lowest insect and mite population besides the best plant growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation is aimed to study the impact of nitrogen, potassium and some trace nutrients on insect infestation, yield and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *saccharifera*, L.). Therefore, two field experiments were conducted at Sakha agricultural farm (latitude of 31.10° N and longitude 30.93° E, and altitude of 14 m above sea level) Kafer El-Sheikh Governorate during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

A split-split plot design with three replications was used, where, nitrogen as urea (46 % N) in three rates 60, 80 and 100 kg N fed⁻¹ had occupied the main plots. Potassium in the form of potassium sulfate (48% K₂O) was adapted in sub plots with the rate of 24, 36 and 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹, while, the mixture of three trace nutrients *i.e.* Zinc (1g/L), Manganese (1g/L) and Fe (2g/L) in the form of sulfate for the three elements were performed in sub-sub plots. The plot area was 36 m² consisted of 10 rows 6 m long and 60 apart, spacing within rows 20 cm give target plant population of 35000 hill/ fed. Eighteen treatments were distributed among plots randomly. Sugar beet seed of multi-germ Kawemira cv. was used. The planting dates were precisely on Sept. 15th and 10th 2018 and 2019 seasons, respectively.

Nitrogen fertilizer were applied in two equal split doses, the first being at the full establishment of seedling (thinning for one plant each hill) was after three weeks from sowing and the second was one month later. Potassium fertilizer was applied in two doses at the same time with nitrogen fertilizer. Super phosphate as a source of phosphorus elements was applied in a single dose at land preparation with a rate of 200 kg (15.5% P₂O₅) fed⁻¹. The mixture of trace elements was sprayed after two months from sowing and the other plot (control) was sprayed at the same time using tap water. No chemicals were used for controlling sugar beet insect pests throughout the whole period of the study.

The target insects under study are beet fly, *Pegomia mixta* larvae, beet moth, *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* larvae and tortoise beetle, *Cassida vittata* larvae and adults, when the sugar beet plants aged 120 days, 60 plants were taken from each treatment (as 20 plants x 3 replicate). Each sampled, plant was completely introduced into a plastic bag. The

confined plants were transferred to the laboratory. To avoid the escape of insects during inspection, a piece of cotton saturated with chloroform was introduced into the bag for 15 minutes to anaesthetize the mobile insect stages. The plants were visually examined and insect pests were counted and recorded fortnight, and continued up to harvest on selected randomly to determine the egg masses No., blotches No. and larvae No. of *P. mixta*; No. of *C. vittata* larvae & adults and No. of *S. ocellatella* larvae

Harvest was carried out after 210 days from planting date, a sample of ten guarded sugar beet plants were taken randomly from the four middle rows of each plot to determine the following characteristics: Root attributes and yield *i.e.* root diameter (cm), root length (cm) and Root weight (kg). Roots and green tops were separated and each was weighed in kg per each plot and used to calculate Root yield (t/fed.) and Top yield (t/fed.).

Quality traits:

1. Total Soluble Solids percentage (TSS) was determined in fresh roots by using hand refractometer.

2. Sucrose percentage was estimated polarimetrically on lead acetate extract of fresh macerated root according to the methods of **Le Docte (1927)**.

3. Purity percentage was calculated by dividing Sucrose% X 100 / TSS% according to the methods of **Carruthers et al. (1962)**.

Sugar yield per Fadden was calculated according the following equation:

$$\text{Sugar yield (t/fed.)} = \text{Root yield (t/fed.)} \times \text{Sucrose \%} \times \text{purity\%}$$

Statistical analysis: Percentage data were transformed to arc-sine before statistical analysis. The proper statistical analysis of the recorded data was carried out according to **Steel and Torrie (1980)** using "MSTAT" computer software package. The differences between means of the treatments were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability.

In all tables *, **, N.S. indicate significant, highly significant and not significant, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Influence of nitrogen, potassium and mixture of microelements on the infestation level with main insect pests:

1. Beet Fly, *Pegomya mixta*:

Average data Tables (1 and 2) revealed that increasing nitrogen rates application from 60 up to 80 and 100 kg N fed⁻¹ exhibited significant and gradual increase in beet fly egg masses number, blotches number and larvae number / 20 plants in the two seasons. Such effect may be due to that nitrogen on the higher rates encourages greatly

vegetative growth which reflected on top yield as mentioned later. These results are in harmony with those of Zafar *et al.* (2010), Shalaby *et al.* (2012) and Bala *et al.* (2018).

Results in the same Tables indicated that increasing potassium levels from 24 up to 36 and 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ significantly and gradually decreased beet fly, *P. mixta* infestation measured as egg masses, blotches and larvae numbers per 20 plants in both seasons. It is well known that potassium has an effective role in regulating cell leaf thickness. The findings are corroborated with those reviewed by Rashid *et al.* (2016) and Singh and Sood (2017).

Table (1): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on *P. mixta* infestation of sugar beet plants in 2018/2019 season

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | Potassium fertilization level (kg/ fed) | Egg masses No./20 plant | | | Blotches No./20 plant | | | Larvae No./ 20 plant | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------|--------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | | | | |
| | | without (control) | With | Mean | without (control) | With | Mean | without (control) | With | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 79.00 | 70.33 | 74.67 | 102.33 | 87.67 | 95.00 | 203.67 | 181.00 | 192.34 |
| | 36 | 71.67 | 64.00 | 67.84 | 99.67 | 85.00 | 92.34 | 201.00 | 178.67 | 189.84 |
| | 48 | 65.33 | 57.67 | 61.50 | 97.00 | 83.33 | 90.17 | 197.00 | 174.33 | 185.67 |
| Mean | | 72.00 | 64.00 | 68.00 | 99.67 | 85.33 | 92.50 | 200.56 | 178.00 | 189.28 |
| 80 | 24 | 124.00 | 110.00 | 117.00 | 110.67 | 94.00 | 102.34 | 216.00 | 191.67 | 203.84 |
| | 36 | 109.00 | 97.67 | 103.34 | 107.33 | 91.67 | 99.50 | 211.67 | 187.00 | 199.34 |
| | 48 | 96.33 | 85.00 | 90.67 | 105.00 | 89.33 | 97.17 | 209.00 | 185.33 | 197.17 |
| Mean | | 109.78 | 97.56 | 103.67 | 107.67 | 91.67 | 99.67 | 212.22 | 188.00 | 200.11 |
| 100 | 24 | 127.00 | 113.67 | 120.34 | 126.67 | 109.33 | 118.00 | 232.00 | 206.33 | 219.17 |
| | 36 | 119.67 | 106.33 | 113.00 | 123.00 | 104.67 | 113.84 | 226.67 | 201.00 | 213.84 |
| | 48 | 114.33 | 102.00 | 108.17 | 114.33 | 97.67 | 106.00 | 221.33 | 196.67 | 209.00 |
| Mean | | 120.33 | 107.33 | 113.83 | 121.33 | 103.89 | 112.61 | 226.67 | 201.33 | 214.00 |
| Aver. of K | 24 | 110.00 | 98.00 | 104.00 | 113.22 | 97.00 | 105.11 | 217.22 | 193.00 | 205.11 |
| | 36 | 100.11 | 89.33 | 94.72 | 110.00 | 93.78 | 101.89 | 213.11 | 188.89 | 201.00 |
| | 48 | 92.00 | 81.56 | 86.78 | 105.44 | 90.11 | 97.78 | 209.11 | 185.44 | 197.28 |
| Total Mean | | 100.70 | 89.63 | 95.17 | 109.55 | 93.63 | 101.59 | 213.15 | 189.11 | 201.13 |

L.S.D 5%:

| | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|
| N | 0.87** | 1.01** | 0.91** |
| K | 0.72** | 0.90** | 0.96** |
| T | 1.20** | 1.51** | 1.61** |
| NK | 0.97** | 0.82** | 0.83* |
| NT | 1.63* | 1.36* | 1.38* |
| KT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| NKT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |

Table (2): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on *P. mixta* infestation of sugar beet plants in 2019/2020 season

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | Potassium fertilization level (kg/ fed) | Egg masses No./20 plant | | | Blotches No./20 plant | | | Larvae No./ 20 plant | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|-------|--------|----------------------|--------|--------|
| | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | | | | |
| | | without (control) | With | Mean | without (control) | with | Mean | without (control) | with | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 73.33 | 64.67 | 69.00 | 90.67 | 83.33 | 87.00 | 197.00 | 183.67 | 190.34 |
| | 36 | 66.00 | 58.33 | 62.17 | 87.33 | 80.67 | 84.00 | 191.67 | 178.33 | 185.00 |
| | 48 | 60.67 | 51.00 | 55.84 | 84.67 | 78.00 | 81.34 | 187.67 | 174.00 | 180.84 |
| | Mean | 66.67 | 58.00 | 62.34 | 87.56 | 80.67 | 84.11 | 192.11 | 178.67 | 185.39 |
| 80 | 24 | 118.33 | 103.33 | 110.83 | 97.00 | 89.33 | 93.17 | 208.33 | 193.33 | 200.83 |
| | 36 | 103.67 | 91.33 | 97.50 | 95.67 | 87.33 | 91.50 | 203.33 | 189.67 | 196.50 |
| | 48 | 90.00 | 78.67 | 84.34 | 93.33 | 85.67 | 89.50 | 201.00 | 186.33 | 193.67 |
| | Mean | 104.00 | 91.11 | 97.56 | 95.33 | 87.44 | 91.39 | 204.22 | 189.78 | 197.00 |
| 100 | 24 | 125.67 | 109.00 | 117.34 | 108.33 | 99.00 | 103.67 | 224.00 | 208.67 | 216.34 |
| | 36 | 113.33 | 99.67 | 106.50 | 104.00 | 96.33 | 100.17 | 217.33 | 203.33 | 210.33 |
| | 48 | 108.00 | 94.33 | 101.17 | 100.33 | 92.67 | 96.50 | 212.67 | 198.00 | 205.34 |
| | Mean | 115.67 | 101.00 | 108.34 | 104.22 | 96.00 | 100.11 | 218.00 | 203.33 | 210.67 |
| Mean of K | 24 | 105.78 | 92.33 | 99.06 | 98.67 | 90.55 | 94.61 | 209.78 | 195.22 | 202.50 |
| | 36 | 94.33 | 83.11 | 88.72 | 95.67 | 88.11 | 91.89 | 204.11 | 190.44 | 197.28 |
| | 48 | 86.22 | 74.67 | 80.45 | 92.78 | 85.45 | 89.12 | 200.45 | 186.11 | 193.28 |
| Total Mean | | 95.44 | 83.37 | 89.41 | 95.71 | 88.04 | 91.87 | 204.78 | 190.59 | 197.69 |

L.S.D 5%:

| | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|
| N | 0.57** | 0.63** | 0.40** |
| K | 0.93** | 1.06** | 0.86** |
| T | 1.56** | 1.76** | 1.44** |
| NK | 1.11** | N.S. | 0.91* |
| NT | 1.85** | N.S. | N.S. |
| KT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| NKT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |

Dealing with the effect of foliar application mixture of trace element (Zn, Mn and Fe), average data in Tables (1 and 2) showed that spraying use of some trace elements significantly reduced the three noticeable sign of beet fly infestation (egg masses, blotches and larvae number /20 plants) measured at harvest time in both first and second seasons. The mixture of foliar application gave the lowest values of egg masses number (89.63 and 83.37 egg masses), blotches number (93.63 and 88.04 blotches) and larvae number (189.11 and 190.59 larvae) / 20 plants as compared with control (without foliar application). These results as similar to those obtained by **Odeley and Animashaun (2007)** and **Ghasemian et al. (2010)**.

Interactions effect:

Average data Tables (1 and 2) illustrated that various interaction degrees among the three studied factors have a significant effect on the three noticeable sign of beet fly infestation on beet foliage at harvest time in both seasons except the interaction between potassium + trace

elements and among the three factors together in the two seasons. Further, in the second seasons only, insignificant effect of the interaction between nitrogen + potassium on blotches No. and between nitrogen + trace elements mixture on blotches No. and larvae No. In general, the lowest egg masses No. (57.67 and 51.00 egg masses), blotches No. (83.33 and 78.00 blotches) and larvae No. (174.33 and 174.00 larvae) have been detected in the case of using (60 kg N+ 48 kg K +foliar application of Zn+Mn+Fe).

2. Tortoise beetles, *Cassida vittata*:

Appreciated data (Tables 3 and 4) manifested that nitrogen fertilization application at the rates of 60, 80 and 100 kg N fed⁻¹ significantly and gradually increased the population density of *C. vittata* in both seasons. However, application of 100 kg N fed⁻¹ gave the highest values of tortoise beetles infestation recording 299.72 and 295.67 larvae and adults / 20 plants, otherwise, the lowest population density of tortoise beetles (274.72 and 267.67 larvae and adults / 20 plants) was corresponding to the lowest nitrogen rate (60 kg N fed⁻¹). Such effect may be due to that excess nitrogen promotes leaf number and area which provides a favorable environment for pest infestation. Similar findings are reviewed by **Shalaby et al. (2012)** and **Singh and Sood (2017)**.

Increasing potassium rates from 24 up to 36 and 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ led to a clear gradual decrease in tortoise beetles *C. vittata* density with 291.61, 287.61 and 283.22 larvae and adults / 20 plants in first season, respectively, while, the second one, recorded 286.11, 282.33 and 277.39 larvae and adults / 20 plants, respectively. This result may be due to high levels of potassium enhance secondary compound metabolism, which adversely affects the biology and behavior of insects (**Bala et al., 2018**). These results are in agreement with those of **Amtmann et al. (2008)** and **Sarwar (2012)**.

As for the effect of microelements results (Tables 3 and 4) indicated that foliar application of mixture of trace elements *i.e.* Zn, Mn and Fe had insignificant effect on population density of *C. vittata* in both seasons as compared with control (untreated plants). Such effect may be due to that trace element applied early at 60 days from sowing, while, the insect population was counted at the end of the seasons or at harvest time after 210 days from planting. In this connection **Odeley and Animashaun (2007)** and **Chávez – Dulanto et al. (2018)** showed that mix of micronutrients increased plant resistance to pests and diseases.

Interactions effect:

The first and second interaction degrees among the three studies factors insignificantly affected the population density of *C. vittata* in both

seasons (Tables 3 and 4) except the interaction between nitrogen + potassium in the second season. These findings give evidence that each factor perform independently under this work.

3. The beet moth, *Scrobipalpa ocellatella*:

Data in Tables (3 and 4) indicated that No. of larvae / 20 plants at harvest time of *S. ocellatella* significantly and gradually increased as nitrogen levels increased from 60 up to 80 and 100 kg N fed⁻¹ in 2018/19 and 2019/20 seasons. Further, nitrogen at the higher rate (100 kg N fed⁻¹) exhibited the highest population density of beet moth recording 73.84 and 65.34 larvae / 20 plants in both seasons, respectively. These results are a reflection of the positive effect of excess nitrogen on vegetative growth, mentioned before. The obtained results are in harmony with those of **Shalaby et al. (2012)**, **Singh and Sood (2017)** and **Bala et al. (2018)**.

Average data Tables (3 and 4) showed that the lowest dose of potassium fertilizers 24 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ gave highest number of beet moth larvae / 20 plants recording 68.00 and 60.45 larvae in both seasons, respectively. Meantime, a gradual and apparent reduction in the population density of beet moth, *S. ocellatella* when K fertilizer increase to 36 and 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹. Adequate K increases phenol concentrations, which play a critical role in plant resistance (**Prasad et al., 2010**). Furthermore, **Sarwar (2012)** explained that less pest damage in higher K plants can be attributed to a lack of pest preference under sufficient nutrient concentrations, as well as the synthesis of defensive compounds leading to higher pest mortality.

Data given in Tables (3 and 4) revealed that foliar application of some trace elements in a mixture (Zn, Mn and Fe) was not significantly affected beet moth infestation in the first and the second seasons. These results are the same as discussed before with *C. vittata*.

Interactions Effect:

The first and second interaction degrees among the three study factors showed insignificant effect on population density of beet moth, *S. ocellatella* in both seasons (Tables 3 and 4). These findings give evidence that each factors perform independently under this work with regard to beet moth insect. In general, the lowest population density of beet moth, *S. ocellatella* recording 52.33 and 44.67 larvae was corresponding to nitrogen at 60 Kg N+ 48 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹ +mixture of trace elements.

Table (3): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on *C. vittata* and *S. ocellatella* infestations of sugar beet plants in 2018/2019 season

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | potassium fertilization level (kg/fed) | <i>Cassida vittata</i> (Larvae+Adult No./20 plant) | | | <i>Scrobipalpa ocellatella</i> (Larvae No./20 plant) | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------|--------|--|-------|-------|
| | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | |
| | | without (control) | With | Mean | without (control) | With | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 279.00 | 278.33 | 278.67 | 59.67 | 59.00 | 59.34 |
| | 36 | 275.00 | 274.67 | 274.83 | 57.00 | 56.33 | 56.67 |
| | 48 | 270.67 | 270.67 | 270.67 | 53.00 | 52.33 | 52.67 |
| Mean | | 274.89 | 274.56 | 274.72 | 56.56 | 55.89 | 56.23 |
| 80 | 24 | 292.00 | 291.67 | 291.83 | 68.67 | 67.33 | 68.00 |
| | 36 | 288.67 | 288.00 | 288.33 | 67.00 | 66.67 | 66.84 |
| | 48 | 284.33 | 283.33 | 283.83 | 65.67 | 64.00 | 64.84 |
| Mean | | 288.33 | 287.67 | 288.00 | 67.11 | 66.00 | 66.56 |
| 100 | 24 | 304.67 | 304.00 | 304.33 | 77.00 | 76.33 | 76.67 |
| | 36 | 300.00 | 299.33 | 299.67 | 75.00 | 74.67 | 74.84 |
| | 48 | 295.33 | 294.00 | 295.17 | 70.33 | 69.67 | 70.00 |
| Mean | | 300.00 | 299.44 | 299.72 | 74.11 | 73.56 | 73.84 |
| Aver. of K | 24 | 291.89 | 291.33 | 291.61 | 68.45 | 67.55 | 68.00 |
| | 36 | 287.89 | 287.33 | 287.61 | 66.33 | 65.89 | 66.11 |
| | 48 | 283.44 | 283.00 | 283.22 | 63.00 | 62.00 | 62.50 |
| Total Mean | | 287.74 | 287.22 | 287.48 | 65.93 | 65.15 | 65.54 |

L.S.D 5%:

| | | |
|-----|--------|--------|
| N | 1.02** | 0.91** |
| K | 0.93** | 1.25** |
| T | N.S. | N.S. |
| NK | N.S. | N.S. |
| NT | N.S. | N.S. |
| KT | N.S. | N.S. |
| NKT | N.S. | N.S. |

Table (4): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on *C. vittata* and *S. ocellatella* infestations of sugar beet plants in 2019/2020 season

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | potassium fertilization level (kg/fed) | <i>Cassida vittata</i> (Larvae+Adult No./20 plant) | | | <i>Scrobipalpa ocellatella</i> (Larvae No./20 plant) | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------|--------|--|-------|-------|
| | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | |
| | | Without (control) | With | Mean | without (control) | with | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 272.67 | 272.00 | 272.33 | 53.67 | 52.33 | 53.00 |
| | 36 | 267.67 | 267.33 | 267.50 | 50.00 | 49.67 | 49.84 |
| | 48 | 263.33 | 263.00 | 263.17 | 45.33 | 44.67 | 45.00 |
| Mean | | 267.89 | 267.44 | 267.67 | 49.67 | 48.89 | 49.28 |
| 80 | 24 | 287.67 | 287.00 | 287.33 | 60.33 | 59.67 | 60.00 |
| | 36 | 283.00 | 282.33 | 283.17 | 57.33 | 57.00 | 57.17 |
| | 48 | 277.33 | 276.67 | 277.00 | 56.00 | 55.33 | 55.67 |
| Mean | | 282.67 | 282.33 | 282.50 | 57.89 | 57.33 | 57.61 |
| 100 | 24 | 299.00 | 298.33 | 298.67 | 68.67 | 68.00 | 68.34 |
| | 36 | 296.67 | 296.00 | 296.33 | 66.33 | 65.67 | 66.00 |
| | 48 | 292.33 | 291.67 | 292.00 | 62.00 | 61.33 | 61.67 |
| Mean | | 296.00 | 295.33 | 295.67 | 65.67 | 65.00 | 65.34 |
| Aver. of K | 24 | 286.44 | 285.78 | 286.11 | 60.89 | 60.00 | 60.45 |
| | 36 | 282.44 | 282.22 | 282.33 | 57.89 | 57.45 | 57.67 |
| | 48 | 277.67 | 277.11 | 277.39 | 54.44 | 53.78 | 54.11 |
| Total Mean | | 282.19 | 281.70 | 281.95 | 57.74 | 57.08 | 57.41 |

L.S.D 5%:

| | | |
|-----|--------|--------|
| N | 0.79** | 0.75** |
| K | 0.78** | 1.06** |
| T | N.S. | N.S. |
| NK | 1.34** | N.S. |
| NT | N.S. | N.S. |
| KT | N.S. | N.S. |
| NKT | N.S. | N.S. |

Effect of nitrogen, potassium and mixture of microelements on yield and quality:**1. Yield and Yield attributes:**

Nitrogen addition at the rate of 80 kg N fed⁻¹ was improved root yield (ton/fed.) in both seasons compared with the rate of 60 kg N fed⁻¹ (Table 5). Such effect may be due to an enhancement in the specifications of the individual root in terms of average root weight and its dimensions (Table 5), and also may be due to less insect infestation as mentioned before. Otherwise, an increase in nitrogen application rate to 100 kg N fed⁻¹ slightly dimensioned root yield statistically insignificant in both seasons. These findings may be due to the positive effect of excess nitrogen on vegetative growth and the higher insect infestation. These results are in line with those reported by **Neameat Alla et al., (2014); Snyder (2017) and Paul et al. (2018).**

Data Table (6) also indicated a gradual and significant increase in top yield (ton/fed.) in both seasons as nitrogen rate increased up to 100 kg N fed⁻¹. The increase in top yield accompanied by the high nitrogen dressing may be due to that large nitrogen stimulates the initiation of new leaves, leaf area and dry matter accumulation (**Stevens et al., 2011 and Neameat Alla et al., 2014).**

Potassium is taken up rapidly by sugar beet and responded greatly to increasing rate of potassium. This element was needed for maximum yield. Whereas, a gradual increase in root yield and top yield (ton/fed.) have been detected with the increase in potassium fertilizer level from 24, 36 to 48 kg K₂O fed⁻¹ in both seasons (Table 6), at the same time, the increase in root yield may be corresponded to the same effect of K fertilizer on individual root weight and root dimension and less insect infestation accompanied K fertilizer (Table 5). Similar results were obtained by several workers among them **Awad et al. (2013) and Hamad et al. (2015).**

Potassium plays an important role in activating the photosynthesis process through its activating the enzymes involved in this process, moreover, potassium plays a vital role in synthesis of sugars (**Lakudzala, 2013).**

Regarding the effect of a mixture of trace elements *i.e.* Zinc, Manganese and Iron together as foliar application on beet foliage indicated a significant increase on root width, root length and individual root weight as compared to not spraying one (control) with mentioned elements (Table 5). This positive impact was reflected obviously on root yield (ton/fed.), where a significant increase in root yield has been detected in both seasons (Table 6). Moreover, the foliar application of the three trace elements in mixture improved significantly top yield in both seasons (Table 6). Similar trends were observed by **Masri and Hamza (2015) and Zewail et al. (2020).**

Table (5): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on root width, root length and root weight of sugar beet plants in two seasons

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | Potassium fertilization level (kg/fed) | 2018/2019 | | | | | | | | | 2019/2020 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | Root diameter (cm) | | | Root Length (cm) | | | Aver. Root Weight (kg) | | | Root diameter (cm) | | | Root Length (cm) | | | Aver. Root Weight (kg) | | |
| | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | | | | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | | | | |
| | | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.55 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 16.90 | 0.800 | 0.906 | 0.853 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 11.40 | 17.1 | 17.9 | 17.50 | 1.005 | 1.123 | 1.064 |
| | 36 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 10.85 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.00 | 0.930 | 1.192 | 1.061 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 12.40 | 18.3 | 19.6 | 18.95 | 1.161 | 1.305 | 1.233 |
| | 48 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 12.15 | 17.8 | 18.3 | 18.05 | 1.203 | 1.280 | 1.242 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 13.50 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 20.00 | 1.367 | 1.419 | 1.393 |
| Mean | | 10.37 | 11.33 | 10.85 | 17.53 | 17.77 | 17.65 | 0.978 | 1.126 | 1.052 | 12.13 | 12.73 | 12.43 | 18.50 | 19.13 | 18.82 | 1.178 | 1.282 | 1.230 |
| 80 | 24 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 12.40 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 20.15 | 1.299 | 1.404 | 1.352 | 13.4 | 13.8 | 13.60 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 20.60 | 1.328 | 1.351 | 1.340 |
| | 36 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 12.95 | 20.8 | 21.6 | 21.20 | 1.447 | 1.526 | 1.487 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 14.35 | 21.6 | 22.8 | 22.2 | 1.497 | 1.593 | 1.545 |
| | 48 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 13.80 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 22.05 | 1.554 | 1.616 | 1.585 | 14.5 | 14.9 | 14.70 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 23.10 | 1.578 | 1.625 | 1.602 |
| Mean | | 12.80 | 13.30 | 13.05 | 20.80 | 21.47 | 21.13 | 1.433 | 1.515 | 1.474 | 14.00 | 14.43 | 14.22 | 21.67 | 22.27 | 21.97 | 1.468 | 1.523 | 1.496 |
| 100 | 24 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 12.00 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 20.10 | 1.072 | 1.169 | 1.121 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 13.10 | 20.9 | 21.6 | 21.25 | 1.281 | 1.305 | 1.293 |
| | 36 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 12.70 | 20.8 | 20.5 | 20.65 | 1.265 | 1.328 | 1.297 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 13.55 | 21.9 | 22.3 | 22.10 | 1.316 | 1.458 | 1.387 |
| | 48 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 13.45 | 21.6 | 22.9 | 22.25 | 1.398 | 1.415 | 1.407 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 14.20 | 22.7 | 22.8 | 22.75 | 1.449 | 1.529 | 1.489 |
| Mean | | 12.53 | 12.90 | 12.72 | 20.77 | 21.23 | 21.00 | 1.245 | 1.304 | 1.275 | 13.40 | 13.83 | 13.62 | 21.83 | 22.23 | 22.03 | 1.349 | 1.431 | 1.390 |
| Mean of K | 24 | 11.03 | 11.60 | 11.32 | 18.80 | 19.30 | 19.05 | 1.057 | 1.160 | 1.109 | 12.50 | 12.90 | 12.70 | 19.50 | 20.07 | 19.79 | 1.205 | 1.260 | 1.233 |
| | 36 | 11.87 | 12.47 | 12.17 | 19.90 | 20.00 | 19.95 | 1.214 | 1.349 | 1.282 | 13.03 | 13.80 | 13.42 | 20.60 | 21.60 | 21.05 | 1.325 | 1.452 | 1.389 |
| | 48 | 12.80 | 13.47 | 13.13 | 20.40 | 21.17 | 20.79 | 1.385 | 1.437 | 1.411 | 14.00 | 14.27 | 14.14 | 21.70 | 22.00 | 21.85 | 1.465 | 1.524 | 1.495 |
| Total Mean | | 11.90 | 12.51 | 12.22 | 19.70 | 20.16 | 19.93 | 1.219 | 1.315 | 1.267 | 13.18 | 13.66 | 13.42 | 20.60 | 21.22 | 20.90 | 1.332 | 1.412 | 1.372 |

L.S.D 5%:

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| N | 0.08** | 0.05** | 0.008** | 0.20** | 0.18** | 0.013** |
| K | 0.08** | 0.07** | 0.007** | 0.19** | 0.11** | 0.018** |
| T | 0.13** | 0.12** | 0.012** | 0.31** | 0.19** | 0.031** |
| NK | 0.11** | 0.12** | 0.015** | 0.20** | 0.14** | 0.014** |
| NT | 0.18** | 0.20** | 0.024** | N.S. | N.S. | 0.024** |
| KT | N.S. | 0.20** | 0.024** | N.S. | 0.24** | 0.024** |
| NKT | 0.30** | 0.34** | 0.042** | N.S. | 0.41** | N.S. |

Table (6): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on root yield, top yield and sugar yield of sugar beet plants in two seasons

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | Potassium fertilization level (kg/fed) | 2018/2019 | | | | | | | | | 2019/2020 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|------|------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|------|------|
| | | Root Yield (t/fed) | | | Top Yield (t/fed) | | | Sugar Yield (t/fed) | | | Root Yield (t/fed) | | | Top Yield (t/fed) | | | Sugar Yield (t/fed) | | |
| | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | | | | | Trace elements mixture | | | | | | | | |
| | | without | With | Mean | Without | With | Mean | without | With | Mean | without | with | Mean | without | With | Mean | Without | with | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 20.99 | 21.11 | 21.05 | 6.06 | 6.17 | 6.12 | 2.47 | 2.87 | 2.67 | 23.32 | 23.67 | 23.50 | 7.49 | 7.60 | 7.55 | 2.90 | 3.12 | 3.01 |
| | 36 | 23.58 | 24.83 | 24.21 | 6.39 | 6.60 | 6.50 | 2.99 | 3.56 | 3.27 | 25.03 | 25.36 | 25.20 | 7.75 | 7.91 | 7.83 | 3.42 | 3.38 | 3.40 |
| | 48 | 24.06 | 26.59 | 25.33 | 6.81 | 6.86 | 6.84 | 3.43 | 3.77 | 3.60 | 26.23 | 26.19 | 26.21 | 8.08 | 8.24 | 8.16 | 3.64 | 3.61 | 3.63 |
| Mean | | 22.88 | 24.18 | 23.53 | 6.42 | 6.54 | 6.49 | 2.96 | 3.40 | 3.18 | 24.86 | 25.07 | 24.97 | 7.77 | 7.92 | 7.85 | 3.32 | 3.37 | 3.35 |
| 80 | 24 | 28.57 | 30.88 | 29.73 | 7.19 | 7.65 | 7.42 | 4.25 | 4.59 | 4.42 | 30.76 | 31.22 | 30.99 | 8.06 | 8.25 | 8.16 | 4.62 | 4.50 | 4.56 |
| | 36 | 31.83 | 33.58 | 32.71 | 7.76 | 7.90 | 7.83 | 5.37 | 5.67 | 5.51 | 32.00 | 32.71 | 32.36 | 8.75 | 8.95 | 8.85 | 5.32 | 5.59 | 5.46 |
| | 48 | 34.18 | 35.55 | 34.87 | 8.03 | 8.25 | 8.14 | 5.21 | 5.45 | 5.33 | 34.52 | 35.42 | 34.97 | 9.17 | 9.34 | 9.26 | 5.34 | 5.53 | 5.44 |
| Mean | | 31.53 | 33.34 | 32.44 | 7.66 | 7.93 | 7.80 | 4.94 | 5.24 | 5.09 | 32.43 | 33.12 | 32.78 | 8.66 | 8.85 | 8.76 | 5.09 | 5.21 | 5.15 |
| 100 | 24 | 27.31 | 29.22 | 28.27 | 8.81 | 9.02 | 8.92 | 3.49 | 3.83 | 3.66 | 29.85 | 30.94 | 30.40 | 9.62 | 9.82 | 9.72 | 4.62 | 4.83 | 4.73 |
| | 36 | 31.37 | 32.68 | 32.03 | 9.25 | 9.68 | 9.47 | 4.44 | 5.05 | 4.75 | 31.60 | 31.82 | 31.71 | 10.20 | 10.42 | 10.31 | 3.99 | 4.56 | 4.28 |
| | 48 | 34.15 | 36.17 | 35.16 | 10.03 | 10.31 | 10.17 | 4.38 | 4.85 | 4.62 | 32.46 | 33.44 | 32.95 | 10.61 | 10.86 | 10.74 | 4.15 | 4.46 | 4.32 |
| Mean | | 30.94 | 32.69 | 31.82 | 9.36 | 9.67 | 9.52 | 4.10 | 4.58 | 4.34 | 31.30 | 32.07 | 31.69 | 10.14 | 10.37 | 10.26 | 4.26 | 4.62 | 4.44 |
| Mean of K | 24 | 25.62 | 27.07 | 26.35 | 7.35 | 7.61 | 7.48 | 3.40 | 3.76 | 3.58 | 27.98 | 28.61 | 28.30 | 8.39 | 8.56 | 8.48 | 4.05 | 4.15 | 4.10 |
| | 36 | 28.93 | 30.36 | 29.65 | 7.80 | 8.06 | 7.93 | 4.27 | 4.76 | 4.51 | 29.54 | 29.96 | 29.75 | 8.90 | 9.09 | 9.00 | 4.24 | 4.51 | 4.38 |
| | 48 | 30.80 | 32.77 | 31.79 | 8.29 | 8.47 | 8.38 | 4.34 | 4.69 | 4.52 | 31.04 | 31.68 | 31.35 | 9.29 | 9.48 | 9.39 | 4.39 | 4.53 | 4.46 |
| Total Mean | | 28.45 | 30.07 | 29.26 | 7.81 | 8.05 | 7.93 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 29.42 | 29.97 | 29.80 | 8.86 | 9.04 | 8.95 | 4.23 | 4.37 | 4.31 |

L.S.D. 5%:

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| N | 0.21** | 0.09** | 0.11** | 0.11** | 0.11** | 0.04** |
| K | 0.20** | 0.10** | 0.07** | 0.09** | 0.09** | 0.03** |
| T | 0.33** | 0.17** | 0.12** | 0.15** | 0.15** | 0.03** |
| NK | 0.24** | 0.11** | 0.10** | 0.19** | 0.17** | 0.10** |
| NT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| KT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | 0.32** | N.S. | N.S. |
| NKT | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |

The main function of those trace elements in the plant may be due to its action as a metal component in series of enzymes, activate certain enzymes, synthesis of protein and carbohydrates and photosynthesis and building up chlorophyll. The available literature showed that foliar application of micronutrients increased plant resistance to pests and diseases (Ghasemian *et al.*, 2010). These findings are in harmony with those reviewed by Shafeek *et al.* (2014) and Chávez-Dulanto *et al.* (2018) showed that plants sprayed by the mixture of Fe, Mn and Zn gained the lowest insect and mite population besides the best plant growth and quality.

Interactions effect:

Concerning the interaction effect, data Tables (5 and 6) demonstrated that most first and second interaction degrees among the used factor had a significant effect on root yield and individual root specifications in both seasons.

Meantime, the interaction between nitrogen and potassium only significantly influences top yield, while, the other interaction degrees had insignificant effect (Table 6).

2. Root Quality:

Total Soluble Solids (TSS), sucrose and purity are considered as the main quality attributes which affected greatly together with root yield the produced sugar yield per unit area.

Average data Table (7) showed that TSS linearly and significantly increased as nitrogen fertilizers increased from 60, 80 and 100 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹ during 2018/19 and 2019/20 seasons. On the other hand, sucrose and purity were maximized in exchange for 80 kg N fed⁻¹ nitrogen fertilizer, thereafter; excess nitrogen 100 kg N fed⁻¹ reduced those traits significantly in both seasons. Such effect may be due to that the excess nitrogen encourage vegetative growth than sucrose accumulated in roots as already mentioned before, where, an increase in top weight was detected corresponding to 100 kg N fed⁻¹ (Masri and Hamza, 2015).

Potassium application on the rate of 24, 36 and 48 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹ increased gradually and significantly TSS values in both seasons to reach their maximum value with 48 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹ (Table 7). Nevertheless, sucrose and purity were maximized as potassium fertilized added on the rate of 36 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹. Suppling 48 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹ decreased purity significantly, while, sucrose in the first season insignificantly decreased (Awad *et al.* 2013 and Hamad *et al.* 2015). It's well known that potassium had an important rate in carbohydrates synthesis through its activation of photosynthesis in addition to its primary role in transporting and accumulating sugar in roots.

Regarding trace elements effect, data Table (7) illustrated that all quality attributes *i.e.* total soluble solid, sucrose and purity were increased significantly as a foliar application with a mixture of Zn, Mn and Fe after

two months from sowing in comparison with control (Table 7). **Masri and Hamza (2015) and Zewail et al. (2020)** reviewed similar findings.

Interactions effect:

Data Table (7) showed that all interaction among the three factors significantly affected sucrose and purity in both seasons. Where, the use of 80 Kg nitrogen + 36 Kg potassium and a mixture of Zn + Mn + Fe achieved sufficient root sucrose and purity percentages, meantime, TSS trait insignificantly affected by various interaction degrees.

Sugar Yield (ton/ fed.):

Data Table (6) stated the use of 80 kg N fed⁻¹ maximized sugar yield (T/fed) in both seasons, thereafter, excess nitrogen rate (100 kg N fed⁻¹) led to a significant decreased sugar yield in both seasons (Table 6). Such effect may be due to that excess nitrogen fertilizer encourage vegetative growth (top yield) and reduce sucrose synthesis than accumulating process of sugar to storage roots (**Neameat Alla et al., 2014**).

Looking to the effect of potassium application, data Table (6) showed that sugar yield increased significantly and gradually with the increase of K rate from 24, 36 and 48 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹. Such effect may be due to the positive impact of K fertilizer on root yield and sucrose synthesis which previously recorded. Similar observation was found by **Awad et al. (2013)**.

Data Table (6) also cleared that foliar application of a mixture of some trace elements *i.e.* Zn, Mn and Fe on beet foliage increased substantially sugar yield (Ton/Fed.) as compared with check treatments in both seasons. Such effect may be due to the positive effect on the enzyme system and may be reflected greatly on root yield and quality traits discussed before (Tables 6 and 7). The obtained results are in harmony with those reviewed by **Barlóg et al. (2016) and Zewail et al. (2020)**.

Interactions Effect:

With respect to the interaction among the three studied factors, data Table (6) demonstrated that the interaction between nitrogen + potassium only had a significant effect on this trait, where, the highest sugar yield (5.51 and 5.46 ton/fed.) as obtained from nitrogen on the rate of 80 Kg N fed⁻¹ and potassium at the rate of 36 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹.

Otherwise, all the other interaction degrees have insignificantly effect on sugar yield, but in general, the highest sugar yield in both seasons 5.67 and 5.59 ton/fed. achieved using N (80 Kg N fed⁻¹), K (36 Kg K₂O fed⁻¹) and foliar spraying of Zn + Mn + Fe.

To conclude, this study mentioned to the importance of using nitrogen, potassium and some trace element at the optimum rate to maximize the productivity and quality of sugar beet, in addition to reducing insect pests infestation.

Table (7): Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilization and mixture foliar spray on TSS%, Sucrose% and Purity% of sugar beet plants in two seasons

| Nitrogen fertilization level (kg/fed) | Potassium fertilization level (kg/fed) | 2018/2019 | | | | | | | | | 2019/2020 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| | | TSS% | | | Sucrose % | | | Purity% | | | TSS% | | | Sucrose % | | | Purity% | | |
| | | Trace elements | | | | | | | | | Trace elements | | | | | | | | |
| | | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | with | Mean | Without (control) | With | Mean | Without (control) | with | Mean |
| 60 | 24 | 17.83 | 18.00 | 17.92 | 14.48 | 15.64 | 15.06 | 81.21 | 86.89 | 84.05 | 18.33 | 18.50 | 18.42 | 15.10 | 15.56 | 15.33 | 82.38 | 84.11 | 83.25 |
| | 36 | 18.50 | 19.17 | 18.84 | 15.32 | 16.58 | 15.95 | 82.81 | 86.49 | 84.65 | 18.67 | 19.67 | 19.17 | 15.88 | 16.19 | 16.04 | 85.06 | 82.19 | 83.63 |
| | 48 | 20.00 | 20.17 | 20.09 | 16.82 | 16.94 | 16.88 | 84.10 | 83.99 | 84.05 | 20.00 | 20.67 | 20.34 | 16.65 | 16.89 | 16.77 | 83.25 | 81.71 | 82.48 |
| Mean | | 18.78 | 19.11 | 18.95 | 15.54 | 16.39 | 15.96 | 82.71 | 85.79 | 84.25 | 19.00 | 19.61 | 19.31 | 15.88 | 16.21 | 16.05 | 83.56 | 82.67 | 83.12 |
| 80 | 24 | 18.33 | 19.00 | 18.67 | 16.52 | 16.80 | 16.66 | 90.13 | 88.42 | 89.28 | 18.00 | 19.17 | 18.59 | 16.44 | 16.62 | 16.53 | 91.33 | 86.70 | 89.02 |
| | 36 | 19.07 | 19.50 | 19.29 | 18.23 | 18.11 | 18.17 | 92.53 | 92.87 | 92.70 | 19.33 | 20.00 | 19.67 | 17.93 | 18.48 | 18.21 | 92.76 | 92.40 | 92.58 |
| | 48 | 19.67 | 21.00 | 20.34 | 17.31 | 17.94 | 17.63 | 88.00 | 85.43 | 86.72 | 21.00 | 21.33 | 21.17 | 17.62 | 18.11 | 17.87 | 83.91 | 84.90 | 84.41 |
| Mean | | 19.02 | 19.83 | 19.43 | 17.35 | 17.62 | 17.49 | 90.22 | 88.91 | 89.57 | 19.44 | 20.17 | 19.81 | 17.33 | 17.74 | 17.54 | 89.33 | 88.00 | 88.67 |
| 100 | 24 | 19.00 | 19.83 | 19.42 | 15.58 | 16.13 | 15.86 | 82.00 | 81.34 | 81.67 | 19.17 | 19.67 | 19.42 | 14.12 | 15.52 | 14.82 | 73.66 | 78.90 | 76.28 |
| | 36 | 20.17 | 20.17 | 20.17 | 16.89 | 17.67 | 17.28 | 83.74 | 87.61 | 85.68 | 20.67 | 21.00 | 20.84 | 16.16 | 17.34 | 16.75 | 78.18 | 82.57 | 80.38 |
| | 48 | 20.50 | 21.00 | 20.75 | 16.22 | 16.78 | 16.50 | 79.12 | 79.91 | 79.52 | 21.00 | 21.20 | 21.10 | 16.45 | 16.82 | 16.64 | 78.33 | 79.34 | 78.84 |
| Mean | | 19.89 | 20.33 | 20.11 | 16.23 | 16.86 | 16.55 | 81.62 | 82.95 | 82.29 | 20.28 | 20.62 | 20.45 | 15.58 | 16.56 | 16.07 | 76.72 | 80.27 | 78.50 |
| Mean of K | 24 | 18.39 | 18.94 | 18.67 | 15.53 | 16.19 | 15.86 | 84.45 | 85.55 | 85.00 | 18.50 | 19.11 | 18.81 | 15.22 | 15.90 | 15.56 | 82.46 | 83.24 | 85.85 |
| | 36 | 19.25 | 19.61 | 19.43 | 16.77 | 17.45 | 17.11 | 86.36 | 88.99 | 87.68 | 19.56 | 20.22 | 19.89 | 16.66 | 17.34 | 17.00 | 85.33 | 85.72 | 85.53 |
| | 48 | 20.06 | 20.72 | 20.39 | 16.78 | 17.22 | 17.00 | 83.74 | 83.11 | 83.43 | 20.67 | 20.96 | 20.82 | 16.91 | 17.27 | 17.09 | 81.83 | 81.98 | 81.91 |
| Total Mean | | 19.23 | 19.76 | 19.50 | 16.36 | 16.95 | 16.66 | 84.85 | 85.88 | 85.36 | 19.58 | 20.10 | 19.84 | 16.26 | 16.84 | 16.55 | 83.21 | 83.65 | 83.43 |

L.S.D. 5%:

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| N | 0.05** | 0.09** | 0.35** | 0.25** | 0.04** | 1.23** |
| K | 0.06** | 0.12** | 0.21** | 0.20** | 0.09** | 0.96** |
| T | 0.11** | 0.20** | 0.36** | 0.33** | 0.15** | 1.60** |
| NK | 0.11** | 0.10** | 0.19** | 0.16** | 0.10** | 0.78** |
| NT | N.S. | 0.17** | 0.32** | N.S. | 0.16** | 1.30** |
| KT | N.S. | N.S. | 0.32** | N.S. | 0.16** | 1.30** |
| NKT | N.S. | 0.30** | 0.56** | N.S. | 0.28** | 2.26** |

REFERENCES

- Abbas, N.M. (2018).** Integrated pest control of sugar beet. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr Sheikh Univ., 93 pp.
- Amtmann, A.; S. Troufflard and P. Armengaud (2008).** The effect of potassium nutrition on pest and disease resistance in plants. *Physiol. Plant.*, 133: 682-691.
- Awad, N.M.M.; H.S. Gharib and S.M.J. Moustafa (2013).** Response of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) to potassium and sulphur supply in clayed soil at North Delta, Egypt. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 35(1): 77-91.
- Bala, K.; A.K. Sood; V.S. Pathania and S. Thakur (2018).** Effect of plant nutrition in insect pest management: A review. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(4): 2737-2742.
- Barlóg, P.; A. Nowacka and R. Blaszyk (2016).** Effect of zinc band application on sugar beet yield, quality and nutrient uptake. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 62 (1): 30–35.
- Carruthers, A.; J.F.T. Oldfield and H.J. Teague (1962).** Assessment of beet quality. The 15th Annual Technical Conference, British Sugar Corporation LTD. 36 pp.
- Chávez-Dulanto, P.N.; B. Rey; C. Ubillús; V. Rázuri; R. Bazán and J. Sarmiento (2018).** Foliar application of macro- and micronutrients for pest-mites control in citrus crops. *Food Energy Secur.*, 7(2): 1-17.
- El-Dessouki, S.; S. El-Awady; K. El-Khawass; M. Mesbah and W. El-Dessouki (2014).** Population fluctuation of some insect pests infesting sugar beet and associated predatory insects at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. *Annals of Agric. Sci.*, 59(1): 119-123.
- Enan, S.A.A.M. (2016).** Response of Sugar Beet to Different Levels of Potassium and Magnesium Fertilization Under Sandy Soil Conditions. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 7 (9): 963-972.
- Ghasemian, V.; A. Ghalavand; A. Soroosh and A. Pirzad (2010).** The effect of iron, zinc and manganese on quality and quantity of soybean seed. *J. Phytol.* 2:73–79.
- Hamad, A.M.; H.M. Sarhan and S.S. Zalat (2015).** Effect of nitrogen, potassium fertilizer and plant distribution patterns on yield and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 6 (4): 517 – 527.
- Ismail, F.S.; R.M. Abdel Aziz and S.H. Rashed (2016).** Effect of bio and mineral fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet in newly reclaimed lands in Egypt. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 5(10): 980-991.

- Lakudzala, D.D. (2013).** Potassium response in some Malawi soils. International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy, 8(2): 175-181.
- Le-Docte, A. (1927).** Commercial determination of sugar in the beet root using the Sacks. Le Docte process. Inter. Sugar, J. 29: 488-492.
- Mace, K.C. and N.J. Mills (2015).** Response of walnut aphid populations to increasing foliar nitrogen content. Agricultural and Forest Entomology, 17: 277–284.
- Masri, M.I. and M. Hamza (2015).** Influence of foliar application with micronutrients on productivity of three sugar beet cultivars under drip irrigation in sandy soils. World Journal of Agri. Sci., 11 (2): 55-61.
- Mekdad, A.A. (2015).** Sugar beet productivity as affected by nitrogen fertilizer and foliar spraying with boron. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 4 (4): 181-196.
- Nemeata Alla, H.E.A.; E.A.E. Nemeata Alla and A.A.E. Mohamed (2014).** Response of sugar beet to micronutrients foliar spray under different nitrogen fertilizer doses. Egypt. J. Agron., 36 (2): 165 – 176.
- Odeley, F. and M.O. Animashaun (2007).** Effects of nutrient foliar spray on soybean growth and yield (*Glycine max* L.) in south west Nigeria. Australian J. Crop Sci., 41: 1842–1850.
- Paul, S.K.; U. Paul; M.A.R. Sarkar and M.S. Hossain (2018a).** Yield and quality of tropical sugar beet as influenced by variety, spacing and fertilizer application. Sugar Tech, 20(2): 175–181.
- Prasad D.; R. Singh and A. Singh (2010).** Management of sheath blight of rice with integrated nutrients. Indian Phytopathol., 63: 11–15.
- Rashid, M.M.; M. Jahan and K.S. Islam (2016).** Impact of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on brown planthopper and tolerance of its host rice plants. Rice Science, 23(3):119-131.
- Sarwar M. (2012).** Effects of potassium fertilization on population buildup of rice stem borers (lepidopteron pests) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) yield. J. Cereals Oilseeds. 3 (1): 6–9.
- Shafeek, M.R.; A.Y.M. Ellaithy and Y.I. Helmy (2014).** effect of bio-fertilizer and some microelements on insect and mite pest infestation, growth, yield and fruit quality of Hot Pepper (*Capsicum annum*, L.) grown under plastic house conditions. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 3(4): 1022-1030.
- Shalaby, G.A.; E.S. El-Gizaway and B.M. Abou El-Magd (2012).** Effect of mineral nitrogenous fertilization and compost tea on insect infestation of sugar beet and yield characteristics. J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 3 (8): 825 – 834.

- Singh, V. and A.K. Sood (2017).** Plant Nutrition: A tool for the management of hemipteran insect-pests-A review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 38(4): 260-270.
- Snyder, C. (2017).** Nitrogen management in sugar beets important. [article_44430bef-603b-551a-a429-3ac1c710cbd8.html](https://doi.org/10.1080/00141801.2017.1444303)
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1980).** Principles and procedures of statistics. A biometrical approach, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, USA, pp 20-90.
- Stevens, W.B.; R.G. Evans; J.D. Jabro and W.B. Iverson (2011).** Sugar beet productivity as influenced by fertilizer band depth and nitrogen fertilizer rate in strip tillage. *J. of Sugar Beet Research*, 48 (3-4): 137-155.
- Zafar, U.Z.; H.U.R. Athar and M. Ashraf (2010).** Responses of two cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) cultivars differing in resistance to leaf curl virus disease to nitrogen nutrition. *Pakistan J. of Botany*, 42(3): 2085-2094.
- Zewail, R.M.Y.; I.S. El-Gmal; Botir Khaitovc and Heba S.A. El-Desouky (2020).** Micronutrients through foliar application enhance growth, yield and quality of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *J. of Plant Nutrition*, 43(15): 2275–2285.
- Zörb, C; M. Senbayram and E. Peiter (2014).** Potassium in agriculture-status and perspectives. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 171: 656-669.

تأثير التسميد النيتروجيني والботاسى وبعض العناصر الصغرى على الإصابة

بالآفات الحشرية وإنتاجية وجودة بنجر السكر

رامي سمير بشيت و عصام حنفى سيد اللبوى

معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكرية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بمحافظة كفر الشيخ خلال موسمي ٢٠١٨/٢٠١٩ و ٢٠١٩/٢٠٢٠ لدراسة تأثيرالإضافة الارضية للتسميد النيتروجيني بثلاث معدلات ٦٠ و ٨٠ و ١٠٠ كجم نتروجين/ فدان والتسميد البوتاسى بمعدل ٢٤ و ٣٦ و ٤٨ كجم من بو ٢ أ / فدان والرش على المجموع الخضرى بمخلوط من ثلاثة عناصر صغرى الزنك (١ جم / لتر) والمنجنيز (١ جم / لتر) والحديد (٢ جم / لتر) على الإصابات الحشرية وجودة ومحصول بنجر السكر. حيث إستخدام تصميم القطع المنشقة مرتين فى ثلاثة مكرارات. وتوضح النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها:

تلاحظ زيادة معنوية فى الاصابات بحشرات ذبابة البنجر وخنفساء البنجرالسلفائية وفراشة البنجرمع الزيادة فى معدل التسميد النيتروجيني. وقد سجلت أعلى إصابة بالآفات

الحشرية الثلاثة المشار إليها مع التسميد النيتروجيني بمعدل ١٠٠ كجم نتروجين / فدان ، بينما سجلت أقل إصابة حشرية عند إضافة التسميد النيتروجيني بمعدل ٦٠ كجم نتروجين / فدان. كما صاحب زيادة التسميد بالبوتاسيوم انخفاض معنوي في معدل الإصابة بحشرات بنجر السكرالثلاثة تحت الدراسة كما أدى الرش بالعناصر الصغرى الى انخفاضاً معنوياً في الإصابة بذبابة البنجر بينما لم يصل الانخفاض على الأفتين الآخرين الى درجة المعنوية.

جميع خصائص وجوده بنجر السكر مثل محصول العرش والمواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية (TSS) زادت بشكل ملحوظ بزيادة مستوى النيتروجين من ٦٠ إلى ١٠٠ كجم نتروجين / فدان بينما أعطى أعلى قطر وطول ووزن الجذر كما أعطى أعلى محصول جذور ومحصول سكر وكذلك السكر والبقاء عند إضافة ٨٠ كجم نتروجين / فدان. ومن ناحية أخرى ، فإن زيادة التسميد بالبوتاسيوم من ٢٤ حتى ٤٨ كجم بو ٢ أ / فدان الى زيادة قطر الجذر المحسن بشكل إيجابي وطول الجذر ووزن الجذر ومحصول العرش ومحصول السكر / فدان و TSS . ومع ذلك ، تمت زيادة صفة النقاوة والسكر الى أقصى حد عند إضافة التسميد البوتاسي بمعدل ٣٦ كجم بو ٢ أ / فدان. فيما يتعلق بتأثير العناصر الصغرى، فقد تم زيادة جميع الصفات الإنتاجية و الجودة لبنجر السكر معنوياً عند إضافة خليط (الزنك ، المنجنيز والحديد) على الأوراق مقارنة بالكنترول.

وبناء على ذلك توصى الدراسة باضافة التسميد النيتروجيني بمعدل ٨٠ كجم نيتروجين/فدان والتسميد البوتاسي بمعدل ٣٦ كجم بو ٢ أ / فدان مع رش خليط من الزنك والمنجنيز والحديد على اوراق بنجر السكر للحصول على أعلى محصول لبنجر السكر كما ونوعاً. كما توضح الدراسة أن المعدل المشار أدي الي التغطيه او تقليل الأثر الضار للإصابات الحشرية.