Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering

Journal homepage: <u>www.jssae.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jssae.journals.ekb.eg</u>

Treating Rice Plants with Zeolite Soil Addition and Foliar Application of Potassium Silicate to Mitigate the Expected Water Scarcity in North Nile Delta, Egypt

Baddour, A. G.*

Soil, Water and Environment Res. Institute, Agric. Res., Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT



Due to limited water resources in Egypt, the researchers should find various ways to save irrigation water without a reduction in rice yield. So, two field experiments were conducted to evaluate the impact of three irrigation intervals as main plots [irrigation every 6, 8 and 10 days], three addition levels of zeolite as sub-plots [0.0 (without addition), 7.0 and 10.0 ton fed⁻¹], foliar application of potassium silicate as sub-sub plots [0.0 (without foliar application) and 2.0 g K₂SiO₃ L⁻¹] and their interactions on performance and yield of rice plant. Also, post-harvest soil analysis was done. The results indicated that water stress (irrigation every 8 and 10 days) led to raising phenol content and superoxide dismutase activity in rice plant leaves, while zeolite and potassium silicate beneficially affected rice growth, yield and its components. Soil addition of zeolite at rate of 7.0 and 10 ton fed⁻¹ before sowing under watering rice plants every 8 days realized better results for growth, yield and its components than non- soil addition of zeolite under watering every 6 days (traditional irrigation) in presence and absence of K₂SiO₃. Usage of zeolite improved soil total porosity, CEC and FC values.It can be concluded that both potassium silicate as a foliar application and zeolite amendment represents an attractive option to mitigate the expected water scarcity.

Keywords: Rice plant, zeolite, irrigation intervals, potassium silicate and antioxidants.

INTRODUCTION

cereals in the world. Rice crop consumes so much irrigation water comparing with other crops. Nowadays, due to the

scarcity of water, Egypt faces a challenge in rice

productivity. Therefore, there is an urgent need to find new

irrigation regimes aiming to save the irrigation water

Rice (Oryza Sativa L.) is one of the most important

some soil properties to zeolite soil addition and foliar spraying with potassium silicate under deficit irrigation water.

Cross Mark

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Experiment Design and Crop Management.

without a decline of rice crop productivity. Soil amendments such as zeolite is one of the most eco-friendly techniques to enhance water use efficiency by reducing water leaching. Kulikova *et al.* (2020) indicated that zeolite amendment is an environmentally friendly product. Zeolite improves soil properties such as CEC and holding both water and nutrients and release them for plants at the need time, thereby improving plant growth with water scarcity (Bernardi *et al.* 2009; Bernardi *et al.* 2010; Azarpour *et al.* 2011; Gomah, 2015 and Khalifa, *et al.* 2019). Khalifa, *et al.* (2019) showed that using zeolite could increase available water values, available nutrients (N, P, and K), CEC and total porosity. Beside, El-Sherpiny *et al.* (2020) found that zeolite improved bulk density, total porosity, CEC and FC of soil.

Potassium silicate (K_2SiO_3) is a source of high potassium and silicon nutrients, so it is used in agricultural purposes as a silicon source and has supplying small amounts of potassium to improve the quality of rice yield (Tarabih *et al.* 2014).

Therefore, the aim of the current study is to assess response of rice plants in terms of growth and yield as well as

Two field experiments were carried out in a splitsplit plot design at a private farm located in Met Antar village, Talkha district, Dakahlia governorate, Egypt during the summer rice-growing seasons of 2019 and 2020 to evaluate the impact of three irrigation intervals as main plots [irrigation every 6, 8 and 10 days], three addition levels of zeolite as sub-plots [0.0 (without addition), 7.0 and 10.0 ton fed⁻¹ as soil addition at 30 days before sowing], foliar application of potassium silicate as sub-sub plots [0.0 (without foliar application) and $2.0 \text{ g } \text{K}_2 \text{SiO}_3 \text{ L}^{-1}$] and their interactions on attributes of rice plants growth (Oryza sativa L.) "Sakha 104 cultivar". The experiment included 18 treatments; each treatment was replicated three times. The experimental unit size was $18m^2$ (3×6). Starting from first irrigation, the studied irrigation intervals were applied (as continuous flooding) until rice crop harvest using main canal near the experimental site (Nile River). At the start of the experiment (before transplanting the rice seedlings in the permanent field), soil sample at depth of 0-30 cm was taken and analyzed according to Buurman et al., (1996), where the soil texture was clay with pH value 8.00 (1: 2.5 soil: water suspension) having low organic matter content (1.28%), available N of 55.2 mg kg⁻¹, available P of 7.75 mg kg⁻¹ and adequate available K with 305.1 mg kg⁻¹. Zeolite (from Alex Zeolite Company) contained SiO₂ (64.75%), K₂O (5.20%),

Baddour, A. G.

FeO (6.0%), P_2O_5 (1.05%), AlO₃ (12.5%), Na₂O (1.50%) and CaO (9.0%) as well as its CEC value was 158.50 cmol kg⁻¹ and EC of 2.35 dSm⁻¹.The rice seedlings were transplanted on 8th June in both seasons. The experimental field was prepared (plowed two times and well dry leveled).The traditional agricultural practices and mineral fertilization were done for the rice production according to the recommendations of Ministry of Agri. and Land Reclamation. Each irrigation treatment was isolated from another with 2.5 meter to prevent water seepage.

2.Data Recorded.

1.Measurements at panicle initiation and heading stage.

- Plant height (cm).
- Chlorophyll content (SPAD value).
- **Phenols (mg.g⁻¹ F.W)** were determined calorimetrically using the modified Folin-Ciocaltue colourimetric method (Eberhardt *et al.* 2000).
- Superoxide Dismutase activity (SOD enzyme, Unit.min⁻¹.mg⁻¹) in leaves of rice plant was determined by measuring inhibition of photochemical reduction of NBT as described by Peixoto *et al.* (1999).

2. Yield and its components at harvest stage.

- Panicle length (cm) and weight (g).
- No. of grains panicle⁻¹ and No. of filled grain.
- 1000 seeds weight (g) and grain yield (Mg ha⁻¹).

a.Grain quality parameters.

- Grain protein content (%) was calculated using the following formula: Crude protein % = Nitrogen (N)% \times 5.75. N (%) of rice grain was determined by Micro-Kjeldhal method as mentioned by Anonymous, (1990).
- Total carbohydrates (%) in rice grains were determined according to Hedge and Hofreiter (1962).

b. Soil analyses.

After harvest stage, soil samples (0-30 cm depth) from each sub-plot were taken and analyzed according to Buurman *et al.* (1996) to evaluate the effect of studied treatments on some soil properties, where the cation exchange capacity (CEC, cmol.kg⁻¹), electric conductivity (EC,dSm⁻¹),total porosity (TP,%) and field capacity (FC,%) were determined.

3.Statical Analysis.

Data obtained were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) using CoStat (Version 6.303, CoHort, USA, 1998–2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Phenol (mg.g⁻¹ F.W) and SOD enzyme (Unit.g⁻¹ F.W).

Data in Table 1 indicate that water stress (irrigation every 8 and 10) led to raising phenol (mg.g⁻¹ F.W) content and superoxide dismutase activity (SOD, Unit.g⁻¹ F.W) in rice plant leaves, where increases of irrigation intervals from 6 to 8 and 10 days caused raising self-production of the plant from antioxidants such as phenols and SOD enzyme to resist water deficit. Generally, irrigation every 10 days recorded the highest values of the studied antioxidants followed by irrigation every 8 days, while the lowest values were recorded with plants irrigated every 6 days. On the other hand, the plants grown without soil addition of zeolite produced the studied antioxidants in leaves of rice plants more than that with zeolite, where the lowest values of phenols and SOD enzyme were realized with zeolite at rate of 10.0 ton fed⁻¹ followed by 7.0 and 0.0, respectively. Also, the rice plants treated with potassium silicate at rate of $2g L^{-1}$ produced phenols and SOD enzyme less than untreated plants. Generally, it can be said that rice plants may upregulate various scavenging mechanisms like antioxidants to mitigate stress-induced damage. Also, zeolite and potassium silicate have a beneficial role in increasing rice plant resistance to water stress, thus the plant's requirement from antioxidants such as phenol and SOD enzyme were reduced. This result is in accordance with those of Goud and Kachole, (2011); Yi *et al.*, (2014); Palanivell *et al.* (2016); and Hellal *et al.* (2020). This trend was found in both growing seasons.

2. Agronomic Parameters.

Data in Tables 1, 2 and 3 show the effect of irrigation intervals, zeolite soil addition, foliar spraying with potassium silicate and their interactions on plant height (cm) and chlorophyll (SPAD Reading) as well as yield and its components [panicle length(cm), No. of grains panicle⁻¹, No. of filled grain, 1000 seed weight (g), grain yield (Mg ha⁻¹)] and some quality traits of grain [protein and carbohydrates (%)] of rice grains during two growing seasons of 2019 and 2020.

It is clear that all aforementioned traits were significantly affected due to studied irrigation intervals, where the values significantly increased as irrigation intervals decreased. Hence, the highest values were recorded with rice plants irrigated every 6 days followed by that irrigated every 8 and 10 days, respectively. These results confirm that rice grown under drought stress possess slow growth and low yield compared to that grown under traditional flooding irrigation (irrigation every 6 days). Generally, the increases of all agronomic parameters under irrigation every 6 days might be due to sufficient water and nutrients that are essential for biological and physiological processes including cell division and cell elongation compared to other intervals (8 and10 days). This result is in accordance with those of Zulkarnain *et al.* (2009) and Sultan *et al.* (2013).

Regarding soil addition of zeolite, results showed pronouncedly significant differences among additives zeolite, where soil addition at rate of 10 ton fed⁻¹ was the superior treatment followed by 7.0 ton fed⁻¹, while untreated plants gave the lowest values. The promotional effect of zeolite is due to its role in preventing soil moisture losses in addition its high content from adsorbed nutrients (as mentioned in the material section).This result is in accordance with those of Ahmed *et al.* 2010; Ozbahce *et al.* 2015 and Khalifa, *et al.* 2019 and El-Sherpiny *et al.* (2020).

Concerning foliar application of potassium silicate, the data in same Tables showed that foliar spraying with potassium silicate at rate of 2 g L⁻¹ gave results better than non-foliar. This may be attributed to potassium silicate (K₂SiO₃) as source of high soluble potassium and silicon; therefore foliar spraying with it caused improvement of all aforementioned traits. On the other hand, it is known that silicon nutrition alleviates many abiotic stresses like water deficit in addition to that silicon reduces plant transpiration (Epstein, 1994; Ma and Yamaji, 2006). Also, silicon in rice shoots may be enhance the thickness of the culm wall and the size of the vascular bundles that result in a reduction in lodging. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Abdel-Haliem *et al.* (2017).

The combination of irrigation every 6 days, treating with 10 ton zeolite fed^{-1} and foliar application of 2.0 g

 $K_2SiO_3 L^{-1}$ realized the highest values of all aforementioned traits, while the lowest values were recorded when rice plants irrigated every `0 days without both zeolite and K_2SiO_3 . Taking into account that soil addition of zeolite at rate of 7.0 and 10 ton fed⁻¹ before sowing with irrigation of rice plants every 8 days realized better results than nonaddition of zeolite with irrigation every 6 days (traditional irrigation) in presence and absence K_2SiO_3 . This trend was found in both growing seasons.

3.Soil Properties.

Table 4 shows that soil properties such as $EC (dSm^{-1})$, CEC (cmol.kg⁻¹), total porosity (%) and F.C (%) pronouncedly differ as a result of studied treatments, where the values are means of both seasons.

Soil EC values at harvest stage were more than that before transplanting and this may be attributed to residual salts in the soil due to fertilization processes during growing season. Soil addition of zeolite caused increasing soil EC.

On the contrary, irrigation every 6 days caused a slight decrease in soil EC values compared to 8 and 10 days, respectively. This may be attributed to irrigation every 6 days takes more water, thus leaching of salts out of the soil root zone is more far. The effect of foliar application of

 K_2SiO_3 on soil EC values is positive, where the values were reduced with foliar application of K_2SiO_3 compared to control treatment (0.0 g $K_2SiO_3 L^{-1}$) and this may be due to the role of potassium silicate in improving plant uptake.

As for cation exchange capacity (CEC, cmol.kg⁻¹), total porosity (TP,%) and field capacity (FC,%), the most effective factor affected these properties is zeolite. Irrigation intervals and foliar application have a slight impact on these physical properties. So, results presentation will be confined to zeolite impact. The soil CEC increased as zeolite rate increased and these increases may be due to the high CEC value of used zeolite (158.5 cmol kg⁻¹). Appling zeolite before transplanting at rate of 7.0 and 10.0 ton fed⁻¹ led to an increase of soil total porosity and these Increases may be attributed to the role of zeolite in aggregation process which caused improving soil structure which reflects positively on soil physical properties. F.C of soil after harvesting rice gradually increased with raising rate of zeolite. This behavior might be due to that zeolite holds a high quantity of water in its pores, where zeolite can retain more irrigation water in the root zone to be uptaked by plants as need, thus zeolite helps in tolerance the water stress (irrigation every 8 and 10 days).

Table 1. Impact of irrigation intervals, zeolite soil addition and foliar application with potassium silicate on plant height as well as chlorophyll. SOD and phenol contents of rice plants during two growing seasons of 2019 and 2020.

Chaste	well as chlorophyll, SOD and phenol contents of rice plants during two growing seasons of 2019 and 2020. Characters Plant height ,cm Chlorophyll SPAD Reading SOD, Unit.min ⁻¹ .mg ⁻¹ Phenol, mg.g ⁻¹ F.W									
Characters			8 /			,	U	,	00	
Treatments		2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	
				Irrigation ir		22.71	01 71	22.04	21.01	21.07
U U	ion every 6 d	•	100.76a	115.50a	33.00a	33.71a	21.71c	22.06c	31.91c	31.97c
\mathcal{O}	ion every 8 da	2	95.31b	107.48b	28.23b	28.75b	25.47b	25.81b	38.40b	38.40b
	ion every 10	days	89.79c	99.54c	23.45c	23.97c	29.11a	29.53a	44.90a	44.91a
LSD at	5%		0.25	2.32	0.57	0.08	0.04	0.13	0.15	0.36
XX7'41	1.			Zeolite app		22.02	20.17	20.50	44.00	44.01
	it zeolite		89.76c	99.52c	23.43c	23.93c	29.17a	29.59a	44.89a	44.91a
	Leolite fed ⁻¹		97.48b	110.68b	30.12b	30.64b	23.87b	24.21b	35.85b	35.85b
	Zeolite fed ⁻¹		98.62a	112.33a	31.13a	31.87a	23.26c	23.60c	34.47c	34.52c
LSD at	5%		0.19	0.46	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.13	0.13	0.45
0.0 1			04.211	Potassium		27.071	26.02	06.40	20.55	20.50
	$X_2SiO_3L^{-1}$		94.31b	106.24b	27.37b	27.97b	26.02a	26.40a	39.55a	39.59a
	$X_2SiO_3 L^{-1}$		96.26a	108.78a	29.08a	29.66a	24.84b	25.21b	37.25b	37.26b
LSD at	5%		0.27	0.92	0.25	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.26
			04.50	Interact		20.25	az 00:	0 < 5 51	a a aa:	20.12
y 6	Without	$0.0 \text{ g } \text{K}_2 \text{SiO}_3 \text{L}^{-1}$	94.72j	108.78g	27.66j	28.25j	25.90i	26.55h	39.09i	39.12i
Irrigation every 6 days	zeolite	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	95.95i	109.36fg	28.76i	29.34i	25.36j	25.70i	37.67j	37.68j
ion ev days	7 ton	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	101.75d	116.16bc	33.92d	34.80d	20.180	20.56n	30.760	30.760
di di		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	104.03b	119.53a	35.91b	36.30b	19.62p	19.910	28.03q	28.02q
ug.	10 ton	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	102.87c	118.20ab	34.84c	35.57c	20.380	20.47n	29.24p	29.60p
		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	105.22a	120.98a	36.90a	38.02a	18.84q	19.19p	26.66r	26.64r
8	Without	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	87.63p	95.44jk	21.53p	22.00p	30.52c	30.66c	47.48c	47.50c
/er/	zeolite	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	88.750	97.59ij	22.560	23.250	29.77d	30.08d	46.09d	46.10d
ion ev days	7 ton	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	97.12h	111.67ef	29.80h	30.12h	24.36k	24.69j	36.26k	36.25k
da	Zeolite fed-1	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	99.50f	112.46de	31.82f	32.13f	22.66m	23.311	33.43m	33.43m
iga	10 ton	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	98.25g	112.95de	30.78g	31.50g	23.571	24.01k	34.941	34.951
ц	Zeolite fed-1	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	100.63e	114.79cd	32.88e	33.52e	21.96n	22.12m	32.16n	32.15n
10	Without	0.0 g K2SiO3L-1	85.29r	92.81k	19.49r	19.72r	32.12a	32.76a	50.21a	50.19a
SIY	zeolite	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	86.23q	93.12k	20.58q	20.99q	31.35b	31.80b	48.79b	48.85b
ev(7 ton	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	90.05n	99.83i	23.61n	24.35n	29.00e	29.40e	44.69e	44.69e
ion ev days	Zeolite fed-1	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	92.411	104.42h	25.631	26.151	27.38g	27.42g	41.90g	41.92g
Irrigation every 10 Irrigation every 8 days days	10 ton	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	91.16m	100.31i	24.66m	25.39m	28.20f	28.50f	43.30f	43.27f
Ē	Zeolite fed-1	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	93.58k	106.74gh	26.70k	27.22k	26.63h	27.33g	40.53h	40.51h
LSD at 5%		0.82	2.77	0.76	0.25	0.26	0.28	0.25	0.80	

Baddour, A. G.

Table 2. Impact of irrigation intervals, zeolite soil addition and foliar application with potassium silicate on yield and
its components of rice plants during two growing seasons of 2019 and 2020.

Characters Treatments (cm) (g) grains/panicle grains weight (g) ((cm) (g) grains/panicle grains weight (g) (cm)	8a 9.12a 9b 7.70b 6c 6.15c		
Irrigation every 6 days 24.83a 25.35a 2.74a 2.80a 139.06a 141.72a 98.06a 100.22a 27.75a 28.19a 8.9 Irrigation every 8 days 23.16b 23.57b 2.50b 2.56b 129.06b 131.11b 89.44b 91.83b 26.07b 26.62b 7.5	9 2020 8a 9.12a 9b 7.70b 5c 6.15c		
Irrigation every 6 days24.83a25.35a2.74a2.80a139.06a141.72a98.06a100.22a27.75a28.19a8.9Irrigation every 8 days23.16b23.57b2.50b2.56b129.06b131.11b89.44b91.83b26.07b26.62b7.5	9b 7.70b 5c 6.15c		
Irrigation every 8 days 23.16b 23.57b 2.50b 2.56b 129.06b 131.11b 89.44b 91.83b 26.07b 26.62b 7.5	9b 7.70b 5c 6.15c		
	5c 6.15c		
Invigation argument 10 days = 2150a - 2106a - 205a - 200a - 11770a - 12000a - 9170a - 9244a - 2409a - 2490a - 66			
	8 0.01		
LSD at 5% 0.06 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.76 3.26 2.61 0.83 0.05 0.37 0.0			
Zeolite application			
Without zeolite 21.46c 21.88c 2.24c 2.29c 117.39c 120.00c 81.61c 83.72c 24.31c 24.83c 6.0			
7 ton Zeolite fed ⁻¹ 23.83b 24.25b 2.60b 2.65b 133.17b 135.11b 92.78b 94.78b 26.70b 27.15b 8.1			
$\frac{10 \text{ ton Zeolite fed}^{-1}}{24.20a \ 24.75a \ 2.65a \ 2.70a \ 135.28a \ 137.72a \ 94.83a \ 97.00a \ 27.09a \ 27.64a \ 8.4a \ 9.70b \ 9.70$			
LSD at 5% 0.05 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.57 1.88 1.84 1.37 0.07 0.30 0.4	6 0.01		
Potassium silicate 0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 22.87b 23.33b 2.45b 2.50b 126.74b 129.04b 88.59b 90.48b 25.72b 26.28b 7.2	9b 7.40b		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
LSD at 5% 0.07 0.07 0.02 0.03 0.69 1.36 1.10 0.82 0.07 0.24 0.07 0.2 Interaction			
	2j 7.53j		
$\stackrel{(1)}{=}$ zeolite 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 23.45i 23.93f 2.54hi 2.62f 129.33h 132.33e 90.33fg 92.33f 26.25i 26.86ef 7.	J J		
$\frac{1}{2} \approx 7$ ton Zeolite 0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 25.05d 25.76c 2.78cd 2.84cd 142.33cd 144.33bc 99.67bc 102.33bc 28.02d 28.69bc 9.3			
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			
$E_{0} = \frac{100}{10 \text{ ton}} 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$			
Ξ Zeolite fed ⁻¹ 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 26.13a 26.89a 2.93a 2.99a 147.67a 151.00a 104.33a 107.33a 29.17a 29.84a 10.			
Without 0.0 g K2SiO3L ⁻¹ 20.75p 21.15l 2.15op 2.20lm 112.67mn 116.33jk 78.67kl 81.00l 23.69p 24.26jk 5.4			
zeolite 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 21.120 21.76k 2.21no 2.25kl 114.67m 117.67ij 80.33jk 82.67kl 24.050 24.53j 5.8	1 1		
2 g 7 ton Zeolite 0.0 g K2SiO3L ⁻¹ 23.80h 24.05f 2.58gh 2.64f 132.33g 132.00e 92.33ef 93.67ef 26.59h 27.18e 8.0	3h 8.21h		
5×7 ton Zeolite 0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 23.80h 24.05f 2.58gh 2.64f 132.33g 132.00e 92.33ef 93.67ef 26.59h 27.18e 8.0 5×7^{-1} fed ⁻¹ 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 24.41f 24.65e 2.69ef 2.76de 138.67e 140.67cd 94.33de 97.33d 27.38f 28.04cd 8.7	4f 8.86f		
$\frac{10}{50}$ 10 ton 0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 24.12g 24.54e 2.63fg 2.70ef 135.67f 137.67d 93.67e 95.67de 27.03g 27.57de 8.4	4g 8.54g		
E Zeolite fed ⁻¹ 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 24.76e 25.26d 2.74de 2.81cd 140.33de 142.33c 97.33cd 100.67c 27.70e 28.17cd 9.0	3e 9.17e		
Without 0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 19.94r 20.07n 2.01q 2.04n 110.330 112.33k 75.33m 77.33m 22.76r 23.19l 4.7	lr 4.81r		
_ = = zeolite 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 20.36q 20.78m 2.08p 2.13m 112.33no 113.67jk 76.00lm 77.67m 23.22q 23.71kl 4.9	7q 5.02q		
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
$-\overset{\mathrm{p}}{2} \sum_{i} \mathrm{fed}^{-1} = 2.0 \mathrm{g} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SiO_{3}} \mathrm{L}^{-1} = 22.36 \mathrm{I} = 22.82 \mathrm{i} = 2.38 \mathrm{kl} = 2.41 \mathrm{hi} = 122.33 \mathrm{jk} = 124.67 \mathrm{fgh} = 85.33 \mathrm{hi} = 87.33 \mathrm{hi} = 25.10 \mathrm{I} = 25.73 \mathrm{gh} = 6.8 \mathrm{gh}$	41 6.971		
22.0111 22.0111 22.0111 22.0111 2.0011 2.0011 120.001 120.0011 00.0011 00.0011 24.0011 20.0111 20.0111 0.0011 00.0011 00.00111 24.0011 20.0111 20.0111 20.0011 120.	8m 6.60m		
\sim Zeolite fed ⁻¹ 2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹ 22.77k 23.22h 2.44jk 2.48gh 123.33ij 125.67fg 87.33gh 89.00gh 25.50k 25.88gh 7.1	3k 7.24k		
LSD at 5% 0.20 0.19 0.07 0.08 2.06 4.10 3.30 2.46 0.20 0.74 0.20	1 0.08		

 Table 3. Impact of irrigation intervals, zeolite soil addition and foliar application with potassium silicate on some quality traits of rice grain during two growing seasons of 2019 and 2020.

Characters		Prote	in %	Carbohydrates %		
Treatments			2019	2020	2019	2020
			Irrigation intervals	6		
Irrigation every 6 days			8.19a	8.31a	68.76a	70.27a
Irrigation ev	very 8 days		7.29b	7.40b	67.07b	68.49b
Irrigation ev	very 10 days		6.39c	6.48c	65.33c	66.67c
LSD at 5%			0.02	0.05	1.45	0.14
			Zeolite application	1		
Without zeol			6.40c	6.50c	65.30c	66.75c
7 ton Zeolite			7.64b	7.76b	67.75b	69.19b
10 ton Zeolit	te fed ⁻¹		7.83a	7.92a	68.11a	69.48a
LSD at 5%			0.02	0.04	0.32	0.18
			Potassium silicate			
0.0 g K2SiO	$D_3 L^{-1}$		7.13b	7.24b	66.72b	68.25b
2.0 g K ₂ SiO	$D_3 L^{-1}$		7.45a	7.56a	67.38a	68.70a
LSD at 5%			0.02	0.08	0.56	0.18
			Interaction			
	Without zeolite	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	7.24j	7.42fg	66.79f-j	68.19f
Irrigation		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	7.43i	7.59ef	67.26e-i	68.82e
Irrigation	7 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	8.33d	8.50b	69.18a-d	70.75b
every 6 days		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	8.73b	8.85a	69.78ab	71.18b
ouays	10 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	8.51c	8.53b	69.36abc	70.91b
		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	8.93a	8.95a	70.21a	71.78a
	Without zeolite	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	6.04p	6.07k	64.62lmn	66.38i
T		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	6.250	6.31j	65.03k-n	66.39i
Irrigation	7 ton Zeolite fed ⁻¹	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	7.58h	7.69e	67.64d-h	69.13de
every 8 days		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	7.95f	8.18c	68.39b-f	69.76c
o uays	10 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	7.79g	7.95d	67.97c-g	69.40cd
		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	8.13e	8.20c	68.76a-e	69.87c
	W/:41	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	5.64r	5.751	63.84n	65.14j
Irrigation every	Without zeolite	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	5.79g	5.88kl	64.28mn	65.62j
	7 ton Zeolite fed ⁻¹	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	6.44n	6.53ij	65.35j-n	66.93h
		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	6.811	6.82h	66.14h-l	67.39gh
10 days	10, 7, 1, 6,11	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	6.61m	6.68hi	65.75i-m	67.42gh
	10 ton Zeolite fed ⁻¹	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	7.03k	7.22g	66.59g-k	67.53g
LSD at 5%		0	0.06	0.23	n.s	0.53

Characters \ Treatments Initial soil before transplanting			E.C, dS.m ⁻¹	C.E.C, cmol.kg ⁻¹	Porosity, %	F.C, % 34.75	
			1.40	42.90	52.00		
	Without zeolite	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.45	44.10	52.33	35.13	
		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.42	44.11	52.39	35.28	
Irrigation every	7 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.65	45.92	53.22	36.44	
6 days		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.61	46.00	53.31	36.55	
	10 ton Zeolite fed ⁻¹	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.72	46.98	55.08	37.90	
	To ton Zeonie led	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.66	47.03	55.23	38.18	
		0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.51	43.88	52.03	34.79	
	Without zeolite	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.47	43.90	52.14	34.91	
Irrigation every 8	8 7, 7, 1, 6, 1,1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.81	45.59	53.93	36.06	
days	7 ton Zeolite fed ⁻¹	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.76	45.62	53.04	36.16	
	10 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.87	46.59	54.79	37.37	
		2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.85	46.63	54.90	37.55	
	Without zeolite	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.58	43.50	51.69	34.39	
	without zeonite	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.54	43.60	51.82	34.53	
Irrigation every	7 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.95	45.25	52.64	35.67	
10 days	/ ton Zeonte led	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.90	45.25	52.71	35.80	
	10 ton Zeolite fed-1	0.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	2.05	46.37	53.51	36.93	
	TO IOI Zeonte led	2.0 g K ₂ SiO ₃ L ⁻¹	1.98	46.42	53.60	37.08	

Table 4. Some soil properties as affected by studied treatments after harvesting rice plants (combined data over both seasons).

Generally, zeolite is one way to prevent soil moisture losses due to that aluminosilicates is scaffold structure and water molecules occupation in its cavities and removable in its structure so that ion exchange reactions and dehydration do as reversible (Habashy and Abdel-Razek 2011; and Ozbahce *et al.* 2015)

Generally, it can be said that zeolite led to improving soil properties due to its ability to hold water in its pores and adsorb nutrients on surfaces and this reflects on rice plant behavior under drought stress. On the other hand, all studied growth and yield measurements of rice plants under drought stress increased with potassium silicate compared to untreated plants (without K₂SiO₃) due to that potassium silicate is a source of high soluble potassium (K) and silicon (Si). K is associated with the interance of water, nutrients and carbohydrates in plant tissue. It's involved with enzyme activation within the plant, which affects protein, starch and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production. The production of ATP can regulate the rate of photosynthesis. On the other hand, plants benefit from the presence of Si and it is found that Si can increase biomass production and increase the tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses and it helps plant with stability and protection. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Pisarović et al., (2003); Habashy and Abdel-Razek (2011); Khalifa et al., (2019); El-Habet (2020) and El-Sherpiny et al. (2020).

CONCLUSION

Results confirmed that;

- 1- Zeolite improves soil water holding capacity and other soil properties as well as prevent soil moisture losses, thus it can be said that its effect positively reflects on rice plants grown under drought stress.
- 2- Potassium silicate has a role in alleviating the hazard effect of water deficit.

It can be concluded that both potassium silicate as a foliar application and zeolite amendment represents an attractive option to mitigate the expected water scarcity in Egypt.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Haliem, M. E., Hegazy, H. S., Hassan, N. S and Naguib, D. M. (2017). Effect of silica ions and Nano silica on rice plants under salinity stress. Ecological Engineering, 99, 282-289.
- Ahmed, O. H., Sumalatha, G and Muhamad, A. N. (2010). Use of zeolite in maize (*Zea mays*) cultivation on nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus uptake and use efficiency. International Journal of Physical Sciences, 5(15): 2393-2401.
- Anonymous, (1990). "Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists". 15th Ed. Vol. 11. Helrich (Ed.) Assoc. off. Ana. Chemists. Inc., Virginia, USA.
- Azarpour, E., Motamed, M. K., Moraditochaee, M and Bozorgi, H. R. (2011). Effects of zeolite application and nitrogen fertilization on yield components of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). World Applied Sciences Journal, 14(5): 687-692.
- Bernardi, A. D. C., da Mota, E. P., Souza, S. D. C. H. D., Cardoso, R. D and Oliveira, P. (2010). Ammonia volatilization, dry matter yield and nitrogen levels of Italian ryegrass fertilized with urea and zeolite. In World Congress of Soil Science. 2010;19. Brisbane. Soil solutions for a changing world-Anais. Brisbane: ASSSI, 2010. p.22-25.
- Bernardi, A. D. C., Mendonça, F. C., Haim, P. G., Werneck, C. G., and Monte, M. D. M. (2009). Water availability and rice yield due to levels of zeolitic concentrate. Irriga, Botucatu, 14(2): 123-134.
- Buurman, P., Van Lagen, B and Velthorst, E.J. (1996). "Manual for Soil and Water Analysis". Backhuys.
- Eberhardt, M.V., Lee, C.Y and Liu, R.H. (2000). Antioxidant activity of fresh apples. Nature 405:903–904.
- El-Habet, H. B. (2020). Role of silica in mitigation of Cd, Pb and Cr toxicities in rice under irrigation with drainage water in the Egypt Nile delta. Irrigation and Drainage. Irrig and Drain. 70 (1):52–69.

Baddour, A. G.

- El-Sherpiny, M. A., Baddour, A. G. and El-Kfarawy, M.M (2020). Effect of zeolite soil addition under different irrigation intervals on maize yield (*Zea mays* L.) and some soil properties. Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering. Fac. Agric. Mans. Univ, Egypt, 11(12):793-799.
- Epstein E. (1994). The anomaly of silicon in plant biology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 91:11-17.
- Gomah, H. H. (2015). In-Situ Remediation of Heavy Metals in Sewage Sludge Using Some Pesticides and Inorganic Amendments. Egypt J. Soil Sci, 55(4):453-462.
- Gomez; K. A and Gomez, A.A (1984). "Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research". John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.pp:680.
- Goud, P.B and Kachole,M.S. (2011). Effect of exogenous hydrogen peroxide on peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase activities in Cajanuscajan (L.) Millsp.detached leaves. Inter. J. of Current Res. 3: 61-65.
- Habashy, N. R and Abdel-Razek, M. K. M. (2011). Effect of some natural and organic soil amendments on improving some clayey soil properties and its productivity. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 7(11):1721-1731
- Hedge, I.E. and Hofreiter, B. T. (1962). "Carboydrate Chemistry i7 (Eds Whistler R.L. and Be Miller, J.N.) Academic Press New York.
- Hellal, F., El-Sayed, S., Gad, A. A., Karim, G. A and Abdelly, C. (2020). Antitranspirants application for improving the biochemical changes of barley under water stress. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 51(1):287-298.
- Khalifa, T. H. H., Elsaka, M. S., Shabana, M. A and Abo-Elsoud, H. M. (2019). Effect of zeolite and mineral fertilizers on some soil properties and growth of Jew's mallow in clayey and sandy Soils. International Journal of Plant and Soil Sci, 3(12): 1-12.
- Kulikova, A., Zakharov, N., Yashin, E and Khairtdinova, N. (2020). Efficiency of zeolite as maize fertilizer of Middle Volga chernozem. In BIO Web of Conferences (Vol. 27). EDP Sciences.

- Ma; J.F and Yamaji,N (2006). Silicon uptake and accumulation in higher plants. Trends Plant Sci. 11: 392-397.
- Ozbahce, A., Tari, A. F., Gönülal, E., Simsekli and Padem, H. (2015). The effect of zeolite applications on yield components and nutrient uptake of common bean under water stress. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 61(5):615-626.
- Palanivell, P., Ahmed, O. H and Ab Majid, N. M. (2016). Minimizing ammonia volatilization from urea, improving lowland rice (cv. MR219) seed germination, plant growth variables, nutrient uptake, and nutrient recovery using clinoptilolite zeolite. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 62(5), 708-724.
- Peixoto, P. H. P., Cambraia, J., Sant'ana, R., Mosquim, P. R and Moreira, M. A. (1999). Aluminum effects on lipid peroxidation and on activities of enzymes of oxidative metabolism in sorghum. Revista Brasileira de Fisiologia Vegetal, 11, 137–143.
- Pisarović, A., Filipan, T and Tišma, S. (2003). Application of zeolite based special substrates in agricultureecological and economical justification. Periodicum biologorum, 105(3):287-293.
- Sultan, M. S., El-Kassaby, A. T., El-Habashy, M. M and Taha, A. S. (2013). Yield and yield components of hybrid one rice cultivar as affected by irrigation intervals, Fertilization combinations and their interaction. Journal of Plant Production, 4(8): 1149-1157.
- Tarabih M. E., El-Eryan, E. E and El-Metwally, M. A. (2014).Physiological and pathological impacts of potassium silicate on storability of Anna apple fruits. American Journal of Plant Physiology, 9(2): 52-67.
- Zulkarnain, W. M., Ismail, M. R., Ashrafuzzaman, M., Saud, H. M., & Haroun, I. C. (2009). Growth, physiological and bbiochemical responses of malaysia rice cultivars to water stress. Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science, 32(2), 323-333.
- Yi, H., Liu, X., Yi, M and Chen, G. (2014). Dual role of hydrogen peroxide in Arabidopsis guard cells in response to sulfur dioxide. Advances in Toxicology, Article ID 407368, pages1-9.

معاملة نبات الأرز بالزيوليت كإضافة أرضية مع الرش الورقي بسيليكات البوتاسيوم لمجابهة ندرة المياه المتوقعة في شمال دلتا النيل، مصر. احمد جمال الدين عبد الخالق بدور معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجبزة – مصر

بسبب محدودية الموارد المائية في مصر، يجب على الباحثين إيجاد طرق مختلفة لتوفير مياه الري دون التقليل من محصول الأرز. لذلك، تم إجراء تجربتين حقليتين لتقييم تأثير ثلاث فترات ري كمعاملات رئيسية [الري كل ١٠،٨٠٦ ايام]، وثلاثة معدلات من الزيوليت كمعاملات منشقة اولي [٠, (بدون إضافة)، ٢٠، ١٠ طن/ فدان]، الرش الورقي بسيليكات البوتاسيوم كمعاملات منشقة ثانية [٠, (بدون إضافة ورقية) و ٢٠ جرام سليكات بوتاسيوم/ لتر) وتداخلاتها على أداء ومحصول نبات الأرز. كما تم إجراء تحليل للتربة بعد الحصاد. أشارت النتائج إلى أن الإجهاد الماتي (الري كل ٨ و ١٠ أيام) أدي إلى زيادة محتوى الفينول ونشاط انزيم GODفي أوراق نبات الأرز، بينما أدى الزيوليت وسيليكات البوتاسيوم إلى أن الإجهاد الماتي (الري كل ٨ و ١٠ أيام) أدي إلى زيادة من الزيوليت وسيليكات البوتاسيوم بشكل مفيد على نمو الأرز والمحصول ومكوناته، أدت إضافة الزيوليت إلى من تلك مضدات الأكسدة. أثر كل من الزيوليت وسيليكات البوتاسيوم بشكل مفيد على نمو الأرز والمحصول ومكوناته، أدت إضافة الزيوليت إلى الري كل ٨ و ١٠ أيام) أدي إلى زيادة ري نبتكات البوتاسيوم إلى الزيوليت وسيليكات الروز، بينما أدى الزيوليت وسيليكات البوتاسيوم إلى انخفاض احتياج النبات من تلك مضدات الأكسدة. أثر كل من الزيوليت وسيليكات البوتاسيوم بشكل مفيد على نمو الأرز والمحصول ومكوناته، أدت إضافة الزيوليت إلى التربية بمعدل ري نبتات الأرز كل ٨ أيام إلى نتائج أفضل بالنسبة لكل من النمو والمحصول ومكوناته مقارنة مع عدم إضافة الزيوليت مع الري كل ٦ أيام (الري التقليدي) في ري نبتات الأرز كل ٨ أيام إلى نتائج أفضل بالنسبة لكل من النمو والمحصول ومكوناته مقارنة مع عدم إضافة الزيوليت مع الري كل ٦ أيام (الري التقليدي) في ري نبتات الأرز كل ٨ أيام إلى نتائج أفضل بالنسبة الكامن النمو والمحصول ومكوناته مقارنة مع عدم إضافة الزيوليت مع الري النور التوليت حضور و غياب سليكات البوتاسيوم. أدى استخدام الزيوليت إلى تحسين قيم المسامية الكلية والسعة التبادية الحقلية التربة. يمكن استنتاج أن الإضافة الورقية لسيليكات البوتاسيوم والاضافة الزيوليت بيان خبارًا جذابًا لمجابهة ندرة المياه المتوقعة.