


Journal of Medieval and Islamic History (JMIH) Volume XII (2018-2019)

A Peer-Reviewed Journal Interested in Byzantine, Medieval, and Islamic History
Issued by Seminar of Medieval and Islamic History, Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University



ISSN: 2090-2883

Journal of Medieval and Islamic History

حولية التاريخ الإسلامي والوسيط

An Annual Peer-Reviewed Journal interested in Medieval,
Byzantine, and Islamic History

Issued by Seminar of Medieval and Islamic History
History Dept., Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University

(JMIH)

Vol. XII (2018-2019)



ISSN: 2090-2883

Journal of Medieval and Islamic History

حولية التاريخ الإسلامي والوسيط

(JMIH)

Annual Peer-Reviewed Journal issued by Seminar of Medieval and Islamic History, History Dept., Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University

ISSN: 2090-2883

Journal of Medieval and Islamic History (in Arabic حولية التاريخ الإسلامي والوسيط) is an annual peer-reviewed journal issued by Seminar of Medieval and Islamic History, History Dept., Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University. It is founded in 2000 AD by Prof. Rifaat Abdul-Hamid, Prof. Ahmed Abdel-Raziq, and Prof. Tarek M. Muhammad. It is a scholarly print and open access on-line international journal, which aims to publish peer-reviewed original research-oriented papers and book reviews in the fields of Medieval History, Medieval Slavonic History, Crusades, Byzantine History, Byzantine Egypt, Islamic History, and the relations between East and West. *Journal of Medieval and Islamic History* encourages and provides a medium for the publication of all original research contributions of significant value in all aspects of Medieval History and Civilization are welcome. It aims to publish research that contributes to the enlargement of historical knowledge or the advancement of scholarly interpretations.

The certified abbreviation of the journal is JMIH. It is presided over by a distinguished board of Historians and Academicians and is backed by an international advisory board panel consisting of prominent individuals representing many well-known Universities. JMIH publishes high-quality papers and review papers, too. It gives the chance to the academics to publish their top papers, book reviews, and studies widely.

Aims and Scope (أهداف المجلة)

Journal of Medieval and Islamic History aims to publish peer-reviewed original research-oriented papers and book reviews in the fields of Medieval History, Crusades, Byzantine History, Islamic History, and the relations between East and West. JMIH encourages and provides a medium for the publication of all original research contributions of significant value in all aspects of Medieval History and Civilization are welcome. It aims to publish research that contributes to the enlargement of historical knowledge or the advancement of scholarly interpretations, too.

Publisher

Seminar of Medieval and Islamic History, History Dept., Faculty of Arts,
Ain Shams University, Egypt.

Distributor: Dar Al-Fikr Al-Arabi, 94 Abbas Al-Akkad St., Madinet Nassr. Tel. ٠٠٢٠٢٢٢٧٥٢٩٨٤ : ٠٠٢٠٢٢٢٧٥٢٧٩٤ – Fax. ٠٠٢٠٢٢٢٧٥٢٧٣٥ website: <http://darelfikrelarabi.com>

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

Prof. Dr. Tarek M. Muhammad (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Co-Editor 1:

Dr. Samer S. Qandil (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Co-Editor 2:

Dr. Omar I. Imam (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Editorial Board:

Prof. Fathy Abou-Saif (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Prof. Khaled Hussein (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Prof. Mahasen M. El-Wakkad (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Prof. Mahmoud Ismael (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Advisory Board:

Prof. Albrecht Fuess (Marburg University, Germany)

Prof. Abdel-Aziz Ramadan (King Khaled University, Saudi Arabia)

Prof. Abdullah Al-Rabi'y (Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Saudi Arabia)

Prof. Fayz N. Iskander (University of Benha, Egypt)

Prof. Hatem El-Tahawy (King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia)

Prof. Juan Monferrer- Sala (University of Cordoba, Spain)

Prof. Michael Cook (Princeton University, USA)

Prof. Pablo Argarate (University of Graz, Austria)

Prof. Sanad A. Abdel-Fattah University of Kuwait, Kuwait)

Prof. Taxiarches Kolias (University of Athens, Greece)

Prof. Vassilios Christides (Institute of Graeco-African Studies, Greece)

Prof. Wessam A. Farag (University of Mansoura, Egypt)

Prof. Zbeda M. Atta (Helwan University, Egypt)

Secretary: Mr. Muhammad Abdullah (Ain Shams University, Egypt)

Website on ENSTINET: <https://jmih.journals.ekb.eg/>

Duties of the Editorial Board (واجبات هيئة التحرير)

Editor-in-Chief

The editor-in-chief oversees all the editors of a publication and ensures each issue is released on time. With the assistant editors, the editor-in-chief creates the editorial board, or outline, for each of the publication's editions or issues. The editor-in-chief reviews all articles, reviews, and photographs, and provides suggestions, if needed, about any changes to make before the publication goes to

press or is released digitally. Layouts and design need approval by the editor-in-chief. In the end, the editor-in-chief has the final word about which articles and reviews get published.

The editor-in-chief has the responsibility of drawing up budget proposals and any other information requested by the publishers. The editor-in-chief generates ideas for new ways of doing things, such as using new technology, implementing ways to increase readership, and how to call great scholars to write in the journal. Tough problems are often handled by the editor-in-chief, and advice about editorial issues is also provided. Whenever a social function happens, the editor-in-chief is the publication's representative, and some travel can be required.

Editors

- Treating all authors with fairness, courtesy, objectivity, honesty, and transparency
- Establishing and defining policies on conflicts of interest for all involved in the publication process, including editors, staff (e.g., editorial and administration), authors, and reviewers
- Protecting the confidentiality of every author's work
- Making editorial decisions with reasonable speed and communicating them in a clear and constructive manner
- Being vigilant in avoiding the possibility of editors and/or referees delaying a manuscript for suspect reasons
- Establishing clear guidelines for authors regarding acceptable practices for sharing experimental materials and information, particularly those required to replicate the research, before and after publication
- Establishing a procedure for reconsidering editorial decisions
- Describing, implementing, and regularly reviewing policies for handling ethical issues and allegations or findings of misconduct by authors and anyone involved in the peer-review process
- Informing authors of solicited manuscripts that the submission will be evaluated according to the journal's standard procedures or outlining the decision-making process if it differs from those procedures
- Clearly communicating all other editorial policies and standards.

Co-Editors

Under supervision of the editor-in-chief, they participate in all processes of editing, as editors, as a practice.

The Review Process for Papers (مراحل وآلية تحكيم البحوث المقدمة)

Double-Blind Peer Review

Journal of Medieval and Islamic History pays great attention to the role of peer review. Reviewers evaluate the article upon an assessment form includes the reviewing criteria of JMIH as follows:

- Importance / Originality
- Methodology
- Bibliography; both print and digital
- Language and the extent to which subjects of the paper are harmonic with one another
- Results

Procedures

- 1- Two reviewers are selected for the paper; at least one is from outside the Journal's community (Faculty, Editorial board, and advisory board).
- 2- The researcher receives the response of the reviewers: the evaluation, the paper, and the attached report, (if applicable).
- 3- If the reviewers respond by accepting, the paper is accepted for publication and two letters of acceptance are extracted in Arabic and English.
- 4- If the reviewers respond by refusing, the paper will be rejected without a refund of the reviewing fees.
- 5- If one of the two reviewers refuse and the other accepts, a third reviewer shall be chosen to separate, considering the report of the third reviewer the editorial committee gives the final decision.

Submission

- 1- The Author submits his research article through our journal's online submission system. He will need to register for an account to do this.
- 2- Once his account is set up, he will need to continue the submission process.

Journal check

The paper will then be checked by the journal Editor to see if it fits with the aims and scope of the journal. If ok, it will enter it into a rigorous, double-blind peer-review process that considers the quality, originality, approach, and clarity of the paper.

Decision

- 1- Once the reviewers (from two to three reviewers) have reviewed the manuscript, they will make one of the following recommendations:
- 2- Accept, minor revisions, major revisions, or reject. The final decision will be decided by the editorial committee.

3- Reviewer feedback on the paper will be provided to the corresponding author via e.mail.

Revision

The author will receive an email from the Editor containing the final decision.

If the author is asked to make revisions, he has two weeks to resubmit for minor revisions, and three weeks resubmit for major revisions.

Once he has resubmitted his paper, it will be reassigned to the same reviewers if they to check whether their comments have been addressed.

If sufficient improvements have been made, the paper will be accepted. If not, he may be asked to perform multiple revisions or have his paper rejected.

Guidelines for Reviewers: (إرشادات للمحكمين)

Reviewers must ensure that all authors have equal opportunity to publish and their origin, nationality, ethnicity, race, religion, gender or political beliefs do not influence the peer review process.

Following General Guidelines of Elsevier How to Contact a Review

General Roles

- A reviewer must carry the single-blind peer-review process
- Ensure proficient peer review process and submit reviews within the timeframe
- A reviewer will have to review a maximum of 2 in the same issue and of 10 manuscripts per annum
- Should contribute to the Journal with professional information representing their subject expertise
- Reviewers can suggest alternate reviewers with subject expertise relevant to the manuscript
- Reviewing process will be in light of JMIH assessment form via the online reviewing system, or the reviewer will send his report to the following e.mail:
<https://jmih.journals.ekb.eg/>

Guidelines for editors (Based on Elsevier Legal guide for editors concerning ethics issues)

Responsibilities of the editor(s) of JMIH include the vetting and reviewing of articles submitted by authors. In most cases, this process will be straightforward. However, in some cases, ethical issues may emerge either during the vetting and reviewing process or after publication when a complaint is made. The most ethical problem that may encounter the editor(s) is plagiarism.

Plagiarism & JMIH's Policy

Plagiarism is strictly forbidden, and by submitting the article for publication the authors agree that the publishers have the legal right to take appropriate action

against the authors if plagiarism or fabricated information is discovered. Plagiarism is condemned and discarded, and authors are blocked from future submission to JMIH. Editors and Reviewers are urged to check for plagiarism using available online applications, such as <http://smallseotools.com/plagiarism-checker/> , <https://www.grammarly.com/plagiarism-checker>

Publishing Ethics: Ethical guidelines for authors (Based on Elsevier ethical guidelines for authors) (أخلاقيات النشر)

Reporting standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain enough details and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Data Access and Retention

Authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data (consistent with the ALPSP-STM Statement on Data and Databases), if practicable, and should, in any event, be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgment of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors.

Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or another substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Examples of potential conflicts of interest that should be disclosed include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest stage possible.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.

Guides for Authors (إرشادات للمؤلفين)

JMIH publishes articles in Arabic, English, and French. Authors should submit the manuscript that has been carefully proofread and polished.

Authors are required to read carefully and follow the instructions for authors to *JMIH*. Acceptance or rejection notification will be sent to all authors through e-mail.

The submitted article is the final version. The manuscript should be submitted exactly according to the instructions for authors to *JMIH*.

The maximum number of illustrations, maps, plates, and figures is 12 per paper.

Submit your manuscript electronically.

If you have problems or difficulties uploading your files electronically, please contact the editorial board at <https://jmih.journals.ekb.eg/>

Send your manuscript as a pdf blind file (without the author's data) to <https://jmih.journals.ekb.eg/> for further peer-review process.

Important Dates

JMIH is an annual journal, its print issue is published each December since 2000.

The deadline is July 31st for publication in December of the same calendar year.

Ain Shams University Contributions

Authors from Ain Shams University expected to not exceed 25-50% percent per issue. Contributions over this percent will be delayed to the next issue considering the agreement of their authors. Priority depends on the submission date of the final article.

JMIH can publish a special issue (Monograph/supplement) any time of the year.

Please note that Review Papers/Articles are also acceptable.

Once a paper is accepted, authors are assumed to cede copyrights of the paper over to JMIH. Once the paper is accepted it will be published online even before the scheduled issue date.

Submit your paper along with a signed Copyrights Form, while submitting a paper to JMIH.

The Copyright Transfer Form submitted with us will become void in case the paper is not accepted in our Journal.

Note: In case manuscript accepted and Copyright Form and Payment received your paper will be published Online, even before the release date of Volume.

Additional Instructions for authors for a manuscript for the annual peer-reviewed Journal Issued by the Faculty of Arts – Ain Shams University (JMIH)

Abstract

This section should summarize the content of the paper and should detail the problems, experimental approach, major findings, and conclusion in one paragraph. Avoid abbreviation, diagram, and references in the abstract. It should be single-spaced and Try to keep the abstract below 150 words and should not exceed 250 words for full papers. Please make sure that the margins and layout explained below are followed as this will help us to maintain uniformity in the final print version of the journal. Both form and content of the paper have to be as per these guidelines else your paper will not be published even though its content has been accepted.

Keywords

Provide about 4-6 keywords that can identify the most important subjects covered by the paper. They must be placed at the end of the abstract.

Introduction

JMIH publishes papers in Arabic, English, and French in all main fields of the Medieval and Islamic History papers must be divided into various sections starting with 'Introduction' and ending with 'Conclusion'.

Text of paper should be divided into - Introduction, Materials, and Methods, Results, Discussions, References, or suitable headings in Medieval History, Crusades, Byzantium, Slavonic Countries, Islamic History, and Civilization, and related theoretically oriented papers and reviews.

The paper must be presented into one text with figures and Tables in their proper place.

The text heading may have 12 font titles. The graph/figure may have 12 pt font titles with good resolution.

The table/figure heading must be in text form and should not form part of the image.

The manuscript should include a brief introduction stating the purpose of the investigation and relating the manuscript to similar previous research.

Only information essential to the arguments should be presented.

The paper must be in final form since we will publish it directly. The paper should be exactly in this format.

The manuscript should be prepared in Arabic, English, or French using “MS Word” with A4 page. “Times New Roman” font should be used. The font size should be 12 pt, but the main subheadings may be 13 pt.

The article can be up to 7500 words excluding references and abstract.

For proper referring and fast publication, all manuscripts should be grammatically correct.

Text Formatting

The submitted article shall contain no tab or stylesheet. When necessary, the text shall be set in italic. Notes shall be put at the bottom of the page and automatically numbered from 1 to n. The basic structure of the submitted article shall be defined by unnumbered headings. Each table or illustration shall be provided in a high resolution in its proper place within the article. Illustration and table legends shall include the source unless the element is published for the first time, the author (photographer, designer, etc.), if any, and his/her affiliation

Major headings are to be in a bold font of 13 pt uppercase letters without underline as above.

Subheadings: Subheadings should be as here “Subheadings:”.

They should start at the left-hand margin on a separate line, followed by a colon “:” without space.

Text continues directly after the subheading in the same line. The beginning of this paragraph shows a sub-subheading.

Article's Title: The title should be centered across the top of the first page and should have a distinctive font of 13 points Times New Roman. It should be in a bold font and in lower case with initial capitals.

Authors' names and addresses should be centered below the title.

The name(s) should be 12 points Times New Roman, and the affiliation(s) is the same but 11 points.

Bibliographical references

In the footnotes, bibliographical references should be 10 points Times New Roman and shall refer to the references provided at the end of the article. They shall contain the author's family name and a short version of the article/volume's title. The authors must use Chicago University Style on the following website: <https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/home.html>

References

References should be 12 points Times New Roman at the end of the article shall be drafted according to as the following:

Monograph: Muhammad, T. M., *Muhammad, his Ethics and Qualities*, Cambridge 2021.

Collective work: Muhammad, T. M. and Romer, C. (Eds.), *Thought, Culture, and Historiography in Christian Egypt, 284-641 AD*, Cambridge 2020.

Book, proceedings: Salem, N., "A Fragment of a Deed of a Surety, " in Muhammad, T. M. and Romer, C. (Eds.), *Thought, Culture, and Historiography in Christian Egypt, 284-641 AD*, Cambridge 2020, 191-195.

Article: Ameen, A., "Travelers' Works as an Important Source in Studying Archaeology in Light of the Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens," *Shedet 2* (2015), 6-22.

Chapter or article in a collective book: Grossmann, P., "Madinet Madi," in *The Coptic Encyclopedia*, vol. V, New York 1991, 1497-149.

Websites: Websites have to be written as a hyperlink with the last visit and time.

Note: IFAO or Dumbarton Oaks Abbreviations of the Journals must be used.

As for the Greek references and texts, they must be written in IFAO Unicode Greek font.

Quotations in the text must be written between converted commas with font 11pt.

Transliteration

JMIH adopts the list of Transliteration of Arabic and Persian Characters published in *Encyclopaedia Islamica* (“System of Transliteration of Arabic and Persian Characters”, in *Encyclopaedia Islamica*, Editors-in-Chief: Wilferd Madelung and Farhad Daftary. Consulted online on 18 March 2017, First published online: 2013; First print edition: ISBN: 9789004246911, 20131209), published by Brill. Kindly download the list from this website: <https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-islamica/system-of-transliteration-of-arabic-and-persian-characters-transliteration>

Conclusion

The manuscript should have a relevant brief conclusion and should reflect the importance and future scope.

Arabic and English/French Abstracts

The manuscript should end with Arabic and an English abstract summarizing the content of the paper.

Acknowledgment

The editorial board of the Journal of Medieval and Islamic History extends its sincere thanks and appreciation to the distinguished Professors who have graciously reviewed the researches contained in this issue, wishing them continued success.

- Prof. Abdel Aziz Ramadan, Professor of Medieval History, Faculty of Arts, King Khaled University, Saudi Arabia.
- Prof. Afaf Sayed Sabra, Professor of Medieval History, Girls' College for Human Sciences, Al-Azhar University, Egypt.
- Prof. Daniel König, Professor of History of Religions, University of Konstanz, Germany.
- Prof. Fayez Naguib Iskandar, Professor of Medieval History, Faculty of Arts, Benha University, Egypt.
- Prof. Khaled Hussein, Professor of Islamic History, Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University, Egypt.
- Prof. Mahasen Muhammad Ali Al-Waqqad, Professor of Islamic History, Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University, Egypt.
- Prof. Tarek M. Muhammad, Professor of Medieval History, Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University, Egypt.

Contents

I. Non-Arabic Papers

-Béçhir Labidi (Sfax University, Tunisia):	‘Umar ibn ‘Abd Allah al-Akṭa‘: Histoire méconnue d’un émir guerrier.....	3
-Samer S. Qandil (Ain Shams University, Egypt):	Early aspects of the "Arabic-Latin Translation Movement" in Medieval Spain.....	19
- Eirini Artemi	Cyril of Alexandria (412-444) and his Patriarchic Period according to Socrates Scholasticus.....	29

II. Arabic Papers

-Islām Isma‘el Muhammad Abdel-Fattāḥ Abū-Zaid (Alexandria University, Egypt)	The Political Role of Ibrahim Ibn Hagag in Sivella and Carmona, 238-298 AH.....	49
-Samy b. Sa‘ad Al-Mokhayzim (King Saud University, Saudi Arabia):	Diseases of Mamluk Sultans and their Political, Economic, and Social Impacts 923-658 AH / 1260-1517 AD.....	55
-Sohair Muhammad Melegī Alī (Ain Shams University, Egypt):	The Clash between Political and Religious Authorities in the Byzantine State: John Chrysostomos, Patriarch of Constantinople as a Model, 398-407 AD.....	113
-Msā‘id b. Msā‘id Muhammad Al-Ṣofī (Saudi Arabia):	The Abbasid Caliph Al-Mostarshid Bi-Allāh in the Book of Al-Montazim fī Tārīkh al-Mulūk of Ibn Al-Jawzī, 512-529 AH/1118-1134 AD.....	165
-Moḏī b. ‘Abdullāh Al-Sarḥān (King Saud University, Saudi Arabia):	The Crusader Turcoples and their Role in the Military Operations against Muslims in Syria.....	193
-Heba Ramāḏan Maḥmoud Al-‘Awaīdī (Ain Shams University, Egypt):	<i>Logothete tou dromou</i> and his Role in the Byzantine Administration, 10-12 Centuries...	213

I. Non-Arabic Researches

- Béchir Labidi, 'Umar ibn 'Abd Allah al-Akṭa': Histoire méconnue d'un émir guerrier3
- Samer S. H. Qandil, Early Aspects of the "Arabic-Latin Translation Movement" in Medieval Spain:19
- Erinin Artemi, Cyril of Alexandria (412-444) and his Patriarchic Period according to Socrates Scholasticus.....29

Cyril of Alexandria (412-444) and his Patriarchic Period
according to Socrates Scholasticus

Eirini Artemi
MA & PH.D. of Theology
Bas of Theology and Classical Philology.
National and Capodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Abstract

Cyril of Alexandria (412-444) was not only one of the finest Christian theologians of his day, he also stands out in the ranks of the greatest patristic writers of all generations as perhaps the most powerful exponent of Christology the church has known. He brought great influence both in church life and in making the Christian teaching and especially in the formulation of Christological doctrine in the 5th century. For the life of the holy father, little is known. He was born between 370-380 AD Alexandria. The exact date of his birth we are not able to know it. He came from a wealthy family of the Greek city of Alexandria, although often the patriarch of Constantinople Nestorius calls him "Egyptian", i.e. one who hails from Egypt, in order to taunt him.

Nowhere was the divide more clearly seen in 415 CE than between Orestes, the Pagan Prefect of Alexandria and Cyril, the Archbishop of Alexandria, who lead the Christian mobs against the Jews of Alexandria, looted their synagogues and expelled them from the city. Orestes maintained his Paganism in the face of Christianity and cultivated a close relationship with Hypatia which Cyril, perhaps, blamed for Orestes' refusal to submit to the "true" faith and become a Christian. Tensions between the two men, and their supporters, grew increasingly high as each brushed off the other's advances of reconciliation and peace.

His early life is known only from notices in Socrates Scholasticus and a few elsewhere. The latter explains the relations of Cyril of Alexandria with Orestes and Hypatia. Also, Socrates, although, was an enemy to Cyril of Alexandria remains the most objective source for the life and actions of Cyril of Alexandria.

1. Early days of Cyril of Alexandria before his Episcopal Career and his relation to Isidore of Pelusium

Cyril was a great theologian, he was the most distinguished Saint of Byzantine Orthodoxy and exercised such an important influence on the ecclesiastical doctrine which, apart from Athanasius, was not exercised by any of the other Greek Fathers. And, as it has been said there is none among all the other fathers whose works have been adopted so extensively by ecumenical Councils as a standard expression of Christian Faith¹. As a ruler of the church of Alexandria and president of the Third Ecumenical Council of 431, Cyril was one of the most powerful men of the fifth century. There is almost no information on his life before his election to the important See of Alexandria. He was known for his Christological formulations during the Nestorian debate. His birth date was between 370 and 380. Our knowledge of his childhood, education, and early upbringing is quite meager. His mother and her brother, Theophilus, hailed from Memphis. Some researchers support that the father of Cyril of Alexandria and the bishop Theophilus were brothers. He (=Cyril) had the misfortune to become an orphan at a very young age. His father died, when he was a small child².

Cyril, a native Egyptian, was one of the greatest theologians and ecclesiastical leaders of the patristic age. He was born in the town of Theodosion, Lower Egypt, very close to the current city Mahalla El Kobra in the region of Mansoura and moved as a child to the cosmopolitan city of Alexandria³. He was the nephew of the patriarch of the city of Alexandria⁴, Theophilus⁵. From his writings, it appears that Cyril received a solid literary

¹ C. Drastellas, *Questions of the Soteriological Teaching of the Greek Fathers, With Special Reference to St. Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 1969, p. 10. J. A. McGuckin states that: "For the Eastern Church he is the father of Orthodox Christology par excellence; a great exegete as well as a spiritual guide, a Saint in the full rage of his doctrine and his life's energy and focus, the two aspects being inseparable in the Orthodox understanding of the nature of theology and sanctity", J. A. McGuckin, *Saint Cyril of Alexandria and the Christological Controversy*, St Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2004, p. 1.

² E. Artemi, "Saint Cyril of Alexandria and his relation to the governor Orestes and the philosopher Hypatia", *Ecclesiasticos Faros* 78 (2007), 7-16, p. 7.

³ L. M. Farag, *St. Cyril of Alexandria, A New Testament Exegete. His commentary on the gospel of John*, Gorgias Dissertations 29, Early Christian Studies 7, New Jersey 2007, p. 3.

⁴ E. Artemi, "Saint Cyril of Alexandria and his relation to the governor Orestes and the philosopher Hypatia", *Ecclesiasticos Faros* 78 (2007), 7-16, p. 7.

⁵ Socrates Scolasticus, *The Ecclesiastical History*, VII, 7, PG 67, 749C-762A. Theodoretus of Cyrhus (Cyrus). *The Ecclesiastical History*, V, 40, PG 83, 1277D. Nicephorus Callistus

and theological education at Alexandria and was ordained by his uncle. His education was thorough and comprehensive. At a young age, he studied Greek and Latin. He was later trained in rhetoric, grammar, and biblical studies by the time he reached the Catechetical School of Alexandria. Against Julian, he demonstrates his familiarity with the classic works of Aristotle, Homer, Pythagoreas, Hermes, and Euripides, which he probably studied in the Catechetical School. His knowledge of Latin allowed him to access St. Jerome's commentaries on the Holy Scripture and facilitated his later contacts with Rome.

Patriarch Theophilus, who knew the Alexandrian tradition of consecrating experienced and well-educated clerics, directed his young nephew Cyril to the monastic community at Nitria¹ to study Scriptures². The latter spent five years in the desert of Nitria, studying the Holy Scriptures under St. Macarius, St. Serapion of Thmuis, and St. Isidore of Pelusium. Cyril was Isidore's disciple. Especially, Isidore and Cyril lived in the surrounding area of Egypt for many years. Following the detailed studies of their lives, this finding is exported effortlessly that one knew each other. In our point of view, this acquaintance was not only social and superficial but deeper and more substantial both intellectually and socially³.

Isidore of Pelusium was considered the spiritual father of Cyril of Alexandria. Some researchers and scholars have expressed serious reservations if Cyril stayed or not as a monk near Isidore. Many of them converge on the view that the letters⁴ of St. Isidore of Pelusium, which were

Xanthopoulos, *The Ecclesiastical History*, XV, 14, PG 146, 1100A- 1104A. Mansi IV, 1464. Ed. Schwartz I, I, 3, p. 75. Chr.. Papadopoulos, *History of the church of Alexandria*, Alexandria 1933, p. 264. A. Theodorou, *The christological vocabulary and the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria and Theodoretus of Cyrrhus (Cyrus)*, Athens 1955, p. 37. Ch. Krikonis, «Cyril of Alexandria and his christological teaching», Proceedings of the 19th. theological conference «Saint Cyril of Alexandria» Thessaloniki 1999, p. 236. E. Artemi, "Saint Cyril of Alexandria and his relation to the governor Orestes and the philosopher Hypatia", *Ecclesiasticos Faros* 78 (2007), p. 7.

¹ C. Haas, *Alexandria in Late Antiquity: Topography and Social Conflict*, Baltimore 2006, p. 219.

² B. Evetts, trans., *History of the Patriarchs of the Coptic Church of Alexandria, vol. I*, Patrologia Orientalis, (eds.) R. Graffin and E. Nau, Paris 1907, p. 427.

³ E. Artemi, *Isidore's of Pelusium teaching for the Triune God and its relation to the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 2012, p. 33.

⁴ Isidore of Pelusium, *Epistle II*, 127 - *To Cyril Episcopo*, PG 78, 565A-572C. *Epistle III*, 306 - *To Cyril Episcopo*, PG 78, 976B. *Epistle I*, 370 - *To Cyril of Alexandria*, PG 78, 392CD. *Epistle I*, 310 - *To Cyril of Alexandria*, PG 78, 361BC. *Epistle I*, 323 - *To Cyril of*

referred to a bishop, Cyril or Cyril of Alexandria, had consignee Patriarch Cyril himself¹. We cannot say with confidence that the name of Cyril was that of the patriarch of Alexandria in Isidore's letters. We just suppose, always based on their content that rather had as a recipient the patriarch Cyril. The historian Evagrius Scholasticus supports that Isidore wrote letters to someone Cyril, but he doesn't address the question of whether it was the patriarch of Alexandria, or for another priest namesake to Patriarch².

However, I suppose that the name of Cyril should be correlated with the person of the Patriarch of Alexandria for the simple reason that determinations (adjectives and nouns) with the same or different cases are not used near the name of Cyril. It was known who Cyril was³. But if another Cyril was referred, then additional relevant information would be required to be attached⁴.

Also, there is the information that Cyril and Isidore belonged to the same family. Isidore of Pelusium was Egyptian by birth and from a prominent Alexandrian family, which included Alexandrian Patriarchs Theophilus and Cyril. Isidore and Cyril knew each other on a personal level. The relative relationship of the father of Pelusium with Theophilus and

Alexandria, PG 78, 369B. *Epistle I*, 497 – *To Cyril*, PG 78, 452CD. *Epistle I*, 25, 493 - *To Cyril*, PG 78, 197BC, 404B.

¹ Chr. Papadopoulos states that "Isidore's of Pelusium two of the epistles are referred not to Cyril of Alexandria but to another monk, who is called Cyril" (*Epistle I*, 25, 493 - *To Cyril*, PG 78, 197BC, 404B), Chr. Papadopoulos, *St. Cyril of Alexandria*, Alexandria 1933, pp. 30-31. Opposite, Metropolitan Filaret Vafidis accepts that all letters of Isidore were addressed to Cyril of Alexandria. F. Vafeidis, *Cyril of Alexandria and the struggles against Nestorius*, Thessaloniki 1932, pp. 7-8. Finally, P. Christou accepts that Cyril was a monk besides the Nitric desert and in Pelusium; for this reason it is very likely one of his letters to be addressed to someone Cyril, who could be the patriarch of Alexandria. Christou, *Patrology*, vol. 4, Thessaloniki 1989, p 339. E. Artemi, *Isidore's of Pelusium teaching for the Triune God and its relation to the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 2012, p. 33.

² Evagrius Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 1, 15, PG 86, 2464A: "Besides his numerous other writings, well stored with various profit, there are some addressed to the renowned Cyril; from which it appears that he flourished contemporary with the divine bishop. R. Ceiller, «Isidore de Péluse», *Histoire générale des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques* 13 (1937), p. 604.

³ E. Artemi, *Isidore's of Pelusium teaching for the Triune God and its relation to the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 2012, p. 34.

⁴ Today, if someone talks about Francesco, everyone will understand the Pope. If you say the name Cleopatra, everyone will understand Cleopatra VII Philopator, in 69BC–30BC).

Cyril¹, patriarchs of Alexandria, was predicated on the relative witness of Synaxarion², of Menologion of Basilius the Porphyrogenitus³ the young, and on writings of Fakoundos⁴, Bishop of Hermeianis. This information isn't referred to any other source of their time nor the letters of the sacred Isidore to Cyril. An important testimony for the spiritual relationship between two men is supported by Isidore's letter to Cyril of Alexandria⁵. There, Isidore reported that Cyril called him "father"⁶, revealing the spiritual relationship that had developed between them. Patriarch of Alexandria Cyril had stayed as a monk in Pelusium for a short time before he succeeded his uncle Theophilus in the patriarchal throne⁷. There, probably, he met Pelusiote father, and became his disciple⁸. Otherwise, how can it be explained that the supreme spiritual leader in the hierarchy of Alexandria called a simple monk as "a father"⁹? Later, in the same letter, Isidore

¹ D. Ballanos, in his article with the title, "Isidore of Pelusium" in *Patristic Biblical Lexicon* 4 (1991) 146, accepts that Isidore originated from the same wealthy family as Cyril and his uncle Theophilus. For this reason Isidore could make sharp and strict criticism to them. Also, Isidore dared to make strict criticism and to other ecclesiastical and political lords of his time. As for him, He was only a monk and a priest in a monastery. Cf H. Leclercq, «St. Isidore of Pelusium », *OCE* 8 (1910) 185-186.

² According to the Alexandrian Synaxarion, *CSCO* 78, p 489, the Arab Synaxarion Jacobean, Coptic Version: PO 56, p 814, Isidore of El Pharama otherwise Pelusium was relative with the Patriarchs of Alexandria, Theophilus and Cyril.

³ Basilius the Porphyrogenitus the young, *Menologion Greek*, 2, PG 117, 296A.

⁴ Facundi Hermiansis episcopi, *Pro Defensione trium capitulorum concilii Chalcedonensis*. Libri XII Ad Justinianum Imperatorem, PL 67, 573-574

⁵ Isidore of Pelusium, *Epist. I, 370 – Cyril of Alexandria*, PG 78, 392CD.

⁶ *Ibid*, PG 78, 392C.

⁷ Cf. Cyril of Alexandria, *Feast Letter*, W. H. Burns, *Lettres Festales*, t. I, SC 372, Paris 1991, pp.17-18.

⁸ P. Évieux considers this conclusion as exaggerated. He argues that Cyril called Isidore as "father", not because he had stayed as a monk near Isidore, but he did so because he was stimulated by courtesy. Isidore was a venerable and wise monk. He had the reputation of one of the best interpreter of the Scriptures and for this reason he was addressed with this way by Cyril. Moreover, Cyril frequently used the word "father" in his addresses to venerable monks, because it was common to be used as a simple and common type of courtesy among clergy of that time. Cf P. Évieux, *Isidore de Péluse*, TH 99, Paris 1995, p. 83.

⁹ Isidore of Pelusium, *Epist. I, 370 – Cyril of Alexandria*, PG 78, 392CD. The fact that Cyril called Isidore "father" and in conjunction with the word "believe (eoikas)" in the Isidore's letter II, 127 – Cyril Episcopo, PG 78, 565B, make C. Fouskas to believe in conclusion that Cyril had replied to letters of Isidore. Unfortunately the reply letters of Cyril were lost through the ages. Cf C. Fouskas, *St. Isidore of Pelusium – His life and works*, Athens 1970, p. 45, n. 133.

emphasized that he considered himself as the "son"¹ of holy Cyril. It is clear that Isidore didn't feel like Cyril's son because of his age, because he was born around 360 to 370 and Cyril around 380 to 390, but because of the position was held by each of them both in the priesthood hierarchy. Cyril, a successor to the Evangelist Mark in the episcopal throne of Alexandria², was the spiritual leader of the specific Patriarchate. He had the responsibility and supervision of all clerics and monks of Egypt³, besides Isidore.

So did Cyril experience the ascetic life in the desert of Nitria. As a desert resident, he was required to experience and practice good knowledge of Scriptures, the asceticism, prayer, and spirituality of the desert fathers⁴. These days of his life will have a continuous appearance in his writings and his commentaries. The desert monks had an esteemed status in the Church of Alexandria and when Nestorius' teaching and ideas began traveling to Egypt, Cyril sent a letter⁵ to the monks first with explanations for his attitude to Nestorius, explaining the orthodox faith⁶.

After finishing his studies he probably became a disciple of his uncle, Patriarch Theophilus, as testified by St. Jerome to Rufinus, and he loved Theophilus very much⁷. He stayed in the patriarch's cell, where he continued his study of the Fathers and won the heart of his uncle. His uncle was certainly present at the Council of Constantinople in 381, during which St. Cyril was a mere lad. It is uncertain whether at this time the Διδασκαλεῖον was still open since it began to languish after the departure of

¹ Isidore of Pelusium, *Epist. I, 370 – Cyril of Alexandria*, PG 78, 392C.

² Ibid.

³ P. Évioux, *Isidore de Péluse*, TH 99, Paris 1995, p. 83

⁴ L. M. Farag, *St. Cyril of Alexandria, A New Testament Exegete. His commentary on the gospel of John*, Gorgias Dissertations 29, Early Christian Studies 7, New Jersey 2007, p. 3.

⁵ Cyril of Alexandria, *Letter to the monks in Egypt*, PG 77, 9-40. ACO 1.1.1.

⁶ L. M. Farag, *St. Cyril of Alexandria, A New Testament Exegete. His commentary on the gospel of John*, Gorgias Dissertations 29, Early Christian Studies 7, New Jersey 2007, p. 3.

⁷ Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 7, 7. PG 67, 749C-762A; Theodoretus Cyrus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 5, 40 PG 83, 1277D; Nichephorus Callistus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 15,14 PG 146, 1100A- 1104A. Mansi IV, 1464. Ed. Schwartz I, I, 3, p. 75. Cf. Chr. Papadopoulos, *History of the church of Alexandria*, Alexandria 1933, p. 264; A. Theodorou, *The Christological terminology and teaching of Cyril of Alexandria and Theodoretus Cyrus*, Athens 1955, p. 37; Chr. Krikonis, «Cyril of Alexandria and his christological teaching», proceedings of 19th theological conference with subject «Saint Cyril of Alexandria», Thessaloniki 1999, p. 236.

St. Didymus. In 403, Theophilus ordained him a reader in the Church of Alexandria and he began his formal ministry. He accompanied Theophilus to the Synod of the Oak in July of 403, during which St. John Chrysostom¹ was deposed².

After Cyril's return in Alexandria, he was tonsured a reader by his uncle, Theophilus, in the Church of Alexandria and under his uncle's guidance advanced in knowledge and position³. Cyril became a lector in the church with a bright future in ministry.

2. Cyril of Alexandria, a powerful archbishop on the ecclesiastical throne of Alexandria

In the early part of the fifth century, the great city of Alexandria in Egypt was still nearly one-half pagan, and the Jewish population also was very large. No populace in the Empire was so turbulent and seditious, and therefore the emperors had invested the patriarchs with extensive civil authority, although the force at the prelates' disposal was not always sufficient to repress the disorders of the mob. In the year 413, St. Cyril was raised to the patriarchate and was almost immediately involved in difficulty

¹ «Cyril learned to overcome his prejudice against the memory of the great John Chrysostom. Theophilus, the Patriarch of Alexandria, and uncle of Cyril, was an antagonist of John, and presided in a council in judgment of him. Cyril thus found himself in a circle antagonistic to John Chrysostom, and involuntarily acquired a prejudice against him. Isidore of Pelusium repeatedly wrote to Cyril and urged him to include the name of the great Father of the Church into the diptychs of the saints, but Cyril would not agree. Once in a dream he saw a wondrous temple, in which the Mother of God was surrounded by a host of angels and saints, in whose number was John Chrysostom. When Cyril wanted to approach the All-Holy Lady and venerate her, John Chrysostom would not let him. The Theotokos asked John to forgive Cyril for having sinned against him through ignorance. Seeing that John hesitated, the Mother of God said, "Forgive him for my sake, since he has labored much for my honor, and has glorified me among the people calling me Theotokos." John answered, "By your intercession, Lady, I do forgive him," and then he embraced Cyril with love. Cyril repented that he had maintained anger against the great saint of God. Having convened all the Egyptian bishops, he celebrated a solemn feast in honor of John Chrysostom». See, E. Artemi, *Isidore's of Pelusium teaching for the Triune God and its relation to the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 2012, p. 38. Cf. Nickiforos Callistes-Xanthopoulos, *Ecclesiastical History*, XIV, 28, PG 146, 1149D-1152A; George Kedrinou, *Synopsis of Histories*, PG 121, 625BC; Athanasios Parios, *Collections of divine dogmata of our Faith*, Lipson 1806, p. 312.

² Palladius, *Dialogus*, xvi; Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastical History*, VI, 7; Sozomen, *Ecclesiastical History*, VIII, 12.

³ J. McGuckin, *St. Cyril of Alexandria: The Christological Controversy. Its History, Theology and Texts*, Leiden 1994, p. 5.

with Orestes, the imperial prefect. Often he conjured this officer on the Gospels to put an end to this enmity for the good of the city. When bishop Theophilus died in AD 412, Cyril was made the next bishop of Alexandria on 18 October 412, though not without controversy.

He succeeded his uncle Theophilus, following a contest with Archdeacon Timothy for the patriarchal throne. As the new Archbishop, he severely criticized the social inequality and the callousness of the rich. He found fault with the political power to tolerance in phenomena of injustice against the poor, fraud, perjury, in seemingly dissolute life¹. He did not hesitate to oppose the political establishment, which guided citizens of Alexandria, and generally of Egypt to a miserable living and exacerbated social inequality between rich and poor². Cyril maintained a harsh stance towards the Gentiles, because he regarded them as being responsible for the tendency of several Christians to be involved in sorcery, astrology, and not astronomy, and in general for remaining attached to superstitions, biases, and paganism. To wipe out the remnants of paganism, the saint cast out devils from an ancient pagan temple and built a church on the spot, and the relics of the Holy Unmercenaries Cyrus and John were transferred into it. According to Socrates Scholasticus, Patriarch Theophilus of Alexandria and Cyril's uncle had taken strict measures against gentiles and had destroyed the Serapeion and the Mithreion. The reason for this was that the idolaters were attacking Christians, which is why the former was afraid of Emperor Theodosius' wrath³. Pope Theophilus «attempted to turn one of the temples of the city into a church. After a resistance from the pagan side, which led to street-fighting and much destruction, Theophilus obtained the approval of the emperor Theodosius I for closing all the temples, including the Serapeum»⁴.

Cyril's initial plan was to fight all those who constituted a danger to the established Christian Church at that time⁵. At this point, he was accused

¹ . E. Artemi, "Saint Cyril of Alexandria and his relation to the governor Orestes and the philosopher Hypatia", *Ecclesiasticos Faros* 78 (2007), 7-16, p. 8.

² Chr. Krikonis, «Cyril of Alexandria and his christological teaching», proceedings of 19th theological conference with subject «Saint Cyril of Alexandria», Thessaloniki 1999, p. 240.

³ Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 5,16-17.

⁴ R. MacLeod, (ed.), *The Library of Alexandria. Centre of Learning in the Ancient World*, L. B. Tauris, London, New York 2000, p. 73

⁵ Aik. Christophilopoulou, *Byzantine History*, vol. I, Thessaloniki 1996, 4th ed., p. 206.

by many opponents such as Nestorius as an ill-tempered, quarrelsome, hasty, and violent man¹, but that is rebutted if someone studied his whole public action carefully. His purpose was to shield Christian Church from any appeal, any threat, which cloned the faithful and not its foundations, because it has as a head the enfleshed God's Word and it will be eternal.

Cyril began to exert his authority by causing the churches of the Novatians in the city to be shut up, and their sacred vessels and ornaments to be seized; an action censured by Socrates, a favourer of those heretics. Socrates was the main historical and objective source for the information about Cyril of Alexandria as Bishop. Socrates' writings are especially weighty, given that Socrates belonged to the heresy of Novatianism. This heresy was one that Cyril had fought against passionately². Also, Socrates had lived in the same era as Cyril of Alexandria.

He (i.e.Cyril) had quite bad relations with Orestes the eparch of Alexandria. Orestes was presented as a Christian striving to maintain delicate balances between the Judean, Gentile, and Christian inhabitants of Alexandria. Orestes had most probably acceded to Christianity out of political interest. Socrates Scholasticus mentioned that by many Christians of Alexandria, Orestes was referred to as "Sacrificer and Hellene", implying that he was an idolater on account of his unjust behaviour towards Christians. The same historian further mentioned the hatred that Orestes felt towards Christian bishops³. A fact, which was one of the causes for the beginning of bad relations between Orestes and Cyril, was about the Jews⁴,

¹ J. A. McGuckin, «Cyril of Alexandria: Bishop and Pastor», in *Theology of St. Cyril of Alexandria, A Critical Appreciation*, (eds.) Th. G. Weinandy and D. A. Keating, T & T Clark, London – N. York 2003, pp. 205-206.

² Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History* 7, 7. PG 67, 752A.

³ Socrates, *Ecclesiastic History* 7, 7. PG 67, 764A.

⁴ “About this same time it happened that the Jewish inhabitants were driven out of Alexandria by Cyril the bishop on the following account. The Alexandrian public is more delighted with tumult than any other people: and if at any time it should find a pretext, breaks forth into the most intolerable excesses; for it never ceases from its turbulence without bloodshed. It happened on the present occasion that a disturbance arose among the populace, not from a cause of any serious importance, but out of an evil that has become very popular in almost all cities, viz. a fondness for dancing exhibitions. In consequence of the Jews being disengaged from business on the Sabbath, and spending their time, not in hearing the Law, but in theatrical amusements, dancers usually collect great crowds on that day, and disorder is almost invariably produced. And although this was in some degree controlled by the governor of Alexandria, nevertheless the Jews continued opposing these measures. And although they are always hostile toward the Christians they were roused to

whom Cyril drove from the city which housed one of the largest Jewish communities of the Roman Empire. Governor Orestes with Cyril disagreed about some of his actions. But Cyril paid no heed and the Jews were expelled. The Jews had the overt support of the prefect Orestes and they practiced brutal usury towards Christians. The latter could not meet the depreciation of loans or generally debts and because of them; they became slaves to Jews and confiscated their property. For this reason, Cyril believed that the Jews were more dangerous than pagans because the first threatened the social status of Christians and the second they were doing the work of Satan. Some of the tensions between Jews and Christians were prompted by alleged slaughter of Christians at the hands of Alexandrian Jews who lured Christians into the streets at night claiming that the church was on fire. Socrates describes the events as follows: "Cyril was accompanied by an immense crowd of people, going to their synagogues—for so they call their house of prayer—took them away from the synagogues and drove the Jews out of the city, permitting the multitude to plunder their goods. Thus the Jews who had inhabited the city from the time of Alexander the Macedonian were expelled from it, stripped of all they possessed and dispersed some in one direction and some in another"¹.

Cyril had judged Orestes for his various incongruities, based on the Holy Bible. To refute Cyril's accusations as well as the other Christians', the Eparch stressed that he was also a Christian, who had been baptized by Atticus of Constantinople². We must mention the tortures that Orestes had imposed on Hierax³, a confidant of Cyril⁴. The latter was charged with the canonization of the monk Ammonius, who threw a stone and hit seriously

still greater opposition against them on account of the dancers. When therefore Orestes the prefect was publishing an edict -- for so they are accustomed to call public notices -- in the theatre for the regulation of the shows, some of the bishop Cyril's party were present to learn the nature of the orders about to be issued", Ibid, 7, 15-PG 67, 762C.

¹ Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, VII, 8.

² Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, VII, 13, PG 67, 761A-C.

³ "Hierax, a teacher of the rudimental branches of literature, and one who was a very enthusiastic listener of the bishop Cyril's sermons, and made himself conspicuous by his forwardness in applauding. When the Jews observed this person in the theatre, they immediately cried out that he had come there for no other purpose than to excite sedition among the people", Ibid.

⁴ Ibid, 7, 13-34. PG 67, 761C-764C.

Orestes in the head. The truth was that the canonization of Ammonius¹ was not a real fact. Ammonius was a monk from Nitria². In the region of Nitria, there were about five hundred monks, who fervently upheld the Christian teaching³. Cyril had lived monastically with them for quite many years, as we said before. Perhaps it was to them that the Alexandrian Patriarch's passion to defend the Christian teaching can be attributed. On account of Ammonius' verbal attack on the Eparch Orestes and the stone that he threw at him, he was arrested, tortured, and put to death. Cyril called him a martyr and buried him with honours, but he didn't proclaim him a saint. In Orthodoxy, saints are proclaimed by God, and not by people.

In those days, there appeared in Alexandria a female philosopher, a pagan named Hypatia, the daughter of the mathematician Theon, and she was devoted at all times to magic, astrolabes, and instruments of music, and she beguiled many people through (her) Satanic wiles⁴. And the governor of the city honored her exceedingly; for she had beguiled him through her magic. And he ceased attending church as had been his custom. But he went once under circumstances of danger⁵. And he not only did this, but he drew many believers to her, and he received the unbelievers at his house. And on a certain day when they were making merry over a theatrical exhibition connected with dancers⁶, the governor of the city published an edict regarding the public exhibitions in the city of Alexandria: and all the inhabitants of the city had assembled in the theater.

Hypatia was born in 365 A.D., at the time that Cyril became Patriarch, and she would have been nearing the age of fifty. This was quite an advanced age, both for men and women during that time; subsequently, the myth of a young and appealing woman, which had acquired flesh and

¹ Cf. Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, (Hierax)VII, 13, PG 67, 761B; Nicephorus Callistus, *Ecclesiastic History* XIV, 14 -PG 146; 1101 and (Ammonius) Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, VII, 14, PG 67, 765; Nicephorus Callistus, *Ecclesiastic History* XIV, 14-15, PG 146; 1102ss.

² John, Bishop of Nikiu, *Chronicle*, 84. 87-88.

³ J. Chapman, "St. Cyril of Alexandria", *The Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 4. New York: Robert Appleton Company, 1908. (26 January. 2014), <http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/04592b.htm>.

⁴ John, Bishop of Nikiu, *Chronicle*, pp. 84. 87-103.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ Ibid.

blood thanks to certain pseudo-historians of medieval times as Damascius¹, is debunked. Furthermore, it was a well known fact to all the inhabitants of Alexandria during that time that she was the main reason that Orestes could not achieve excellent relations with Cyril².

There is no mention whatsoever in historical sources of that time that Cyril had ever referred to Hypatia as a sorceress; on the contrary, he appeared to have great respect for her scientific knowledge. It is worth noting that many Christians were also students of Hypatia - for example Synesios, Bishop of Cyrene³, his brother Evoptios of Ptolemais, perhaps Isidore of Pelusium, and others. Many scholars are influenced by Damascius' writings and regard Cyril as being the moral perpetrator of Hypatia's death; however, neither Socrates the Scholastic nor any other historical source of that era ascribes such an act to the Patriarch of Alexandria. If there had been even the slightest suspicion that Cyril had indeed participated in the assassination of the philosopher, it would have been exploited by Nestorius of Constantinople in the theological dispute that he had with Cyril. Furthermore, his assorted enemies would have also made references to it. The Patriarch of Alexandria was proclaimed a saint by the Triune God, not only for his life but also for his theology on the incarnation of the second Person of the Holy Trinity, as well as for his defense of the term "Theotokos"⁴ for the mother of our Lord Jesus Christ.

¹ Damascius (458 – after 538) cf. P. Athanassiadi, "Persecution and Response in late Paganism. The evidence of Damascius", *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 113 (1993), pp. 1–29.

² Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 7, 15. PG 67, 768B; Nicephoros Kallistus, *Ecclesiastic History* 14,16, PG 146, 1105C-1108B.

³ C. Lacombrade, *Synesios de Cyrene, hellene et chrétien*, Paris 1951, p.54-55. An. Kalogiratou, "The Portrayal of Socrates by Damascius", *Phronimon: Journal of the South African Society for Greek Philosophy and the Humanities* 7/1 (2006), pp. 45-54.

Androniki Kalogiratou: "Theology in Philosophy: The Case of the Late Antique Neoplatonist Damascius", In *Skepsis: A Journal for Philosophy and Interdisciplinary Research* XVIII, i-ii, (2007), pp. 58-79.

⁴ E. Artemi, "The rejection of the term Theotokos by Nestorius Constantinople and the refutation of his teaching by Cyril of Alexandria", *De Medio Aevo* 2 (2012/2) ISSN-e 2255-5889, pp. 125-149.

<http://capire.es/eikonimago/index.php/demedioaevo/article/view/55/96>. See Also, E. Artemi, "Cyril of Alexandria's critique of the term Theotokos by Nestorius Constantinople", *Acta Theologica* 2 (2012), pp. 1-16, *Acta theologica* vol.32, no.2, Bloemfontein Dec.2012, University of the Free State, Print version ISSN 1015-8758; *ibid.*, "The Virgin Mary, *Theotokos*, and Christ, true God and true man. The mystery of Incarnation according to Cyril of Alexandria", *Mirabilia* 17 (2013/2), pp. 52-74, Jun/Dez

Hypatia's murder was the result of the political jealousy, which at that time prevailed. "Since Hypatia had frequent interviews with Orestes, the Christian populace calumniously thought that it was she who prevented Orestes from being reconciled to the bishop. Some of them, therefore, hurried away by a fierce and bigoted zeal, and led by a reader named Peter, waited her returning home in ambush. They dragged her from her carriage and took her to the church called Caesareum, where they completely stripped her, and then murdered her with tiles. After tearing her body in pieces, they took her mangled limbs to a place called Cinaron, and there burnt them"¹. The murder of Hypatia took place on 15th March 415. Cyril was not accused of the murder of Hypatia by anyone at his time², only some centuries later this accusation was charged to Saint Cyril by some Neoplatonic philosophers³.

2013/ISSN 1676-5818, <http://www.revistamirabilia.com/issues/mirabilia-17-2013-2>; *ibid.*, "The Modulation of the term THEOTOKOS from the Fathers of 2nd Century to Cyril of Alexandria", *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, vol. 2, issue 1 (January – March 2014), pp. 27-30, available online at www.researchpublish.com, ISSN 2348-3164; *ibid.*, "The Christological Controversy between Nestorius of Constantinople and Cyril of Alexandria", *VOX PATRUM* 32 (2012), t. 57, pp. 34-51, Lublin Poland.

¹ Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 7, 15. PG 67, 768B.

² McGuckin writes: "Socrates says that this event 'brought no small reproach on Cyril and the church of the Alexandrians'. Some, most famously Gibbon who calls the murder 'an exploit of Cyril's,' blatantly misinterpret this remark when they consider the murder as an act in which he was personally involved. The pagan philosopher Damascius also recounted the incident specifically attributing personal blame and complicity to Cyril, but he was writing 130 years after the events, and his whole account is evidently prejudiced from the start and suffused with a bitter hatred of the way in which Christianity had suppressed his profession and way of life. Following Gibbon, Charles Kingsley, with more regard for romance than fact in his novel 'Hypatia', lost no opportunity to paint Cyril as the evil villain of the piece, and the mythic caricature he provided became fashionable. More recently, Wickham is more just to Cyril, and certainly on the grounds of deeper scholarly judgment, when he summarizes the early crises of his administration as follows: 'The facts are not to be denied. The picture they yield is not one of a fanatical priest, hungry for power, heading a howling mob, but of an untried leader attempting, and initially failing, to master popular forces', J. A. McGuckin, *Saint Cyril of Alexandria and the Christological Controversy*, St Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2004, pp. 14-15.

³ J. McGuckin says that "the irresponsible condemnation of Cyril's moral character is found especially in the romantic nonsense pedaled as history in Charles Kingsley's novel Hypatia. This latter cost Cyril his volume in the Victorian series of patristic translations into English, such as the Nicene and Post – Nicene Fathers. C. Core and H. M. Relton exemplify those who, while versed in early in early Christian doctrine, advocated a Kenotic- Humanist Christology. Cyril then was one of the victims of a sea – change transpiring in the face of Anglican Christology in the generation after the Oxford Movement and in the time of that

Some researchers thought that responsible for Hypatia's murder were the Parabalani but this is not correct. It is needful to distinguish between the Parabalani¹ and the Christian mob who slew Hypatia. The Parabalani were not involved in her murder, but as we shall see, blame was wholly laid upon the Christian mob after the murder. Socrates Scholasticus underlines that the Parabalani were prone to social unrest².

Cyril was a worthy successor to the Patriarchal Throne of the Great Athanasius. He tried in every way to bring peace among the people of Alexandria. But this did not make him cringe towards any Political power. On the contrary, he did not hesitate to speak out when he saw that the things of the Church and other Christians Alexandria were threatened. His work and reputation were quickly spread throughout the Empire. His love for the Church was his shield against any threat from where and whether originated³. In later years, he did not hesitate to oppose with vigor in doctrinal falsehoods of Patriarch Nestorius of Constantinople. He reached the point of seeing by himself, Emperor Theodosius⁴, despite the obstacles he had to face up and report Emperor the delusions of Nestorius, which were thorn for the Christology of the Church. Of course, he was not merely

church's increasing self-alignment with the continental Liberal Protestant agenda", J. A. McGuckin, «Cyril of Alexandria: Bishop and Pastor», in *Theology of St. Cyril of Alexandria, A critical Appreciation*, (eds.) Th. G. Weinandy and D. A. Keating, T & T Clark, London – N. York 2003, p. 208.

¹ *A note on the epithet Parabalani: "From the Greek meaning "to venture" or "to expose one's self," the name denotes members of a brotherhood which in the early church, first at Alexandria and then at Constantinople, nursed the sick and buried the dead. They risked their lives in their exposure to contagious diseases, and probably originated during an epidemic. They were also a kind of bodyguard for the bishop. Their number was never large: the Codex Theodosianus (416) restricted the enrollment to 500 in Alexandria, with a later increase to 600, while in Constantinople their number was reduced from 1,100 to 950, according to the Codex Justinianus. Chosen by the bishop and under his control...they were listed among the clergy and enjoyed those privileges. Their presence at public gatherings or in theatres was legally forbidden, but they did take part in public life. It appears they are not mentioned after Justinian's time", J. D. Douglas (ed.), The New International Dictionary of the Christian Church: Revised Edition. Michigan, 1978, p. 747.*

² Socrates Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, VII, 13, PG 67, 761A

³ . E. Artemi, "Saint Cyril of Alexandria and his relation to the governor Orestes and the philosopher Hypatia", *Ecclesiasticos Faros* 78 (2007), p. 14.

⁴ Cyril transformed as a beggar and by this way, he appeared to the Emperor without the guards noted him.

content to see the Emperor. He had written epistles to the queens¹, who had more dynamic character than the Emperor. Finally, he organized the Third Ecumenical Council in Ephesus and achieved the condemnation of Nestorianism. His vindication came with the Fourth Ecumenical Council in Chalcedony in 451, seven years since his death. There, his teaching was recognized as very important for Christianity. Some centuries later, he was honored with the title of "doctor Ecclesiae".

Conclusion:

Socrates Scholasticus was one of the most important historical sources for Cyril of Alexandria. He wrote a seven-book *Ecclesiastical History* that continued the history of Eusebius Caesarea. Socrates' Ecclesiastical History covers religious and secular controversies and uses a wide variety of sources, including oral ones. Because of this source, we learn about Cyril of Alexandria. The latter was very significant Bishop as Athanasius the Great. Pastors like Cyril showed that the bishops of the Church should not have as their preoccupation only the ecclesiastical things, but they should stand vigil custodians of national and religious interests of the country, raising his voice even to the Emperor himself, or to any governor to protect him from intentional or unintentional faults. Cyril tried to become a good archbishop. Unfortunately, some bad facts of that period of Cyril were charged to him. Generally "Cyril of Alexandria had been the victim of a good deal of European scholarly myopia" as professor McGuckin writes. Through the history of Socrates, we tried to show that Cyril was not the assassin of Hypatia the Philosopher and he tried to have good relations with the perfect Orestes, but with no success. Socrates does not suggest that Cyril himself was to blame for the Hypatia's murder. Damascius, indeed, accused him, but he is a late authority and a hater of Christians. Also, he in all attempts to rule his turbulent church appeared himself an able and strategic thinker. He tried to protect his Christians from paganism and Jewish teaching. He adopted the characteristic titles of "the Pillar of faith" and "Seal of all the Fathers" for his teaching, for the enfleshed Word of God, and for the introduction of the term "Theotokos", the Holy Virgin Mother of Jesus.

¹ Cyril of Alexandria, *Oration for the real fide to the most pious queens*, PG 76, 1201-1420.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- Artemi E., "The historical inaccuracies of the movie "AGORA" by Alejandro Amenabar", the newspaper *"Orthodox Press"* (12-2-2010 Issue), <http://www.impantokratoros.gr/d3c02f38.en.aspx>
- Artemi, E., "Saint Cyril of Alexandria and his relation to the governor Orestes and the philosopher Hypatia", *Ecclesiasticos Faros*, t. 78 (2007), 7-16.
- Artemi, E., *Isidore's of Pelusium teaching for the Triune God and its relation to the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 2012.
- Artemi, E., "The rejection of the term Theotokos by Nestorius Constantinople and the refutation of his teaching by Cyril of Alexandria", *De Medio Aevo* 2 (2012/2) ISSN-e 2255-5889, p. 125-149.
<http://capire.es/eikonimago/index.php/demedioaevo/article/view/55/96>
- Artemi, E., "Cyril of Alexandria's critique of the term Theotokos by Nestorius Constantinople", *Acta Theologica* 2 (2012) 1-16, *Acta theologica* vol.32, no.2, Bloemfontein Dec.2012, University of the Free State, Print version ISSN 1015-8758.
- Artemi, E., "The Virgin Mary, *Theotokos*, and Christ, true God and true man. The mystery of Incarnation according to Cyril of Alexandria", *Mirabilia* 17 (2013/2) 52-74, Jun/Dez 2013/ISSN 1676-5818,
<http://www.revistamirabilia.com/issues/mirabilia-17-2013-2>.
- Artemi, E., "The Modulation of the term THEOTOKOS from the Fathers of 2nd Century to Cyril of Alexandria", *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, vol. 2, issue 1, pp. 27-30, Month January – March 2014, available online at www.researchpublish.com, ISSN 2348-3164.
- Artemi, E., "The Christological Controversy between Nestorius of Constantinople and Cyril of Alexandria", *VOX PATRUM* 32 (2012), t. 57, 34-51, Lublin Poland.
- Athanassiadi, P., "Persecution and Response in late Paganism. The evidence of Damascius", *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 113 (1993), p. 1–29.
- Basilus the Porphyrogenitus the young, *Menologion Greek*, 2, PG 117, 296A.
- Ceiller, R., «Isidore de Péluse», *Histoire générale des auteurs sacrés et ecclésiastiques*, 13 (1937), 604.
- Chapman, J., "St. Cyril of Alexandria", *The Catholic Encyclopedia*. Vol. 4. New York: Robert Appleton Company, 1908. (26 January. 2014),
<http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/04592b.htm>

- Christou, P., *Patrology*, vol. 4, Thessaloniki 1989, p. 339 - 351.
- Christophilopoulou, Aik., *Byzantine History*, vol. I, publ. Vantias, Thessaloniki 1996.
- Cyril of Alexandria, *Feast Letter*, W. H. Burns, *Lettres Festales*, t. I, SC 372, Paris 1991, p.17-18.
- Cyril of Alexandria, *Oration for the real fide to the most pious queens*, PG 76, 1201- 1420
- Douglas, J. D., ed., *The New International Dictionary of the Christian Church: Revised Edition*. Michigan, 1978
- Drastellas, C., *Questions of the Soteriological Teaching of the Greek Fathers, With Special Reference to St. Cyril of Alexandria*, Athens 1969.
- Evagrius Scholasticus, *Ecclesiastic History*, 1, 15, PG 86, 2464A.
- Evetts, B., trans., *History of the Patriarchs of the Coptic Church of Alexandria, vol. 1*, Patrologia Orientalis, ed. R. Graffin and E. Nau, Librairie de Paris, Paris 1907.
- Évieux, P., *Isidore de Péluse*, TH 99, Paris 1995,
- Facundi Hermiansis episcopi, *Pro Defensione trium capitulorum concilii Chalcedonensis*. Libri XII Ad Justinianum Imperatorem, PL 67, 573-574.
- Farag, L. M., *St. Cyril of Alexandria, A New Testament Exegete. His commentary on the gospel of John*, Gorgias Dissertations 29, Early Christian Studies 7, publ. Gorgias Press, New Jersey 2007.
- Fouskas, C., *St. Isidore of Pelusium – His life and works*, Athens 1970.
- Haas, C., *Alexandria in Late Antiquity: Topography and Social Conflict*, The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore 2006.
- Isidore of Pelusium, *Epistles I-V*.
- John, Bishop of Nikiu, *Chronicle*.
- Kalogiratou, An., "The Portrayal of Socrates by Damascius". In: *Phronimon: Journal of the South African Society for Greek Philosophy and the Humanities* 7 (1) 2006, pp. 45-54.
- Kalogiratou, An., Theology in Philosophy: The Case of the Late Antique Neoplatonist Damascius. In *Skepsis: A Journal for Philosophy and Interdisciplinary Research* XVIII, i-ii, 2007, pp. 58-79.
- Kedrinou, George, *Synopsis of Histories*, PG 121, 625BC.
- Krikonis, Ch., «Cyril of Alexandria and his christological teaching», Proceedings of the 19th theological conference «Saint Cyril of Alexandria» Thessaloniki 1999.
- Lacombrade, C., *Synesios de Cyrene, hellene et chretien*, Paris 1951.

- Le Clercq, H., «St. Isidore of Pelusium », *OCE* 8 (1910), pp. 185-186.
- McGuckin, J. A., *St. Cyril of Alexandria: The Christological Controversy. Its History, Theology and Texts*, publ. Brill, Leiden 1994.
- McGuckin, J. A., *Saint Cyril of Alexandria and the Christological Controversy*, St Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2004.
- McGuckin, J. A., «Cyril of Alexandria: Bishop and Pastor», in *Theology of St. Cyril of Alexandria, A critical Appreciation*, ed. Th. G. Weinandy, D. A. Keating, T & T Clark, London – N. York 2003.
- MacLeod, R., ed., *The Library of Alexandria. Centre of Learning in the Ancient World*, L.B. Tauris, London, New York 2000
- Nicephorus Callistus Xanthopoulos, *The Ecclesiastical History*, XV, 14, PG 146, 1100A- 1104A.
- Palladius, *Dialogus*.
- Papadopoulos, Chr., *History of the church of Alexandria*, Alexandria 1933.
- Parios, Athanasios, *Collections of divine dogmata of our Faith*, Lipson 1806
- Socrates Scolasticus, *The Ecclesiastical History*, VII, 7, PG 67, 749C-762A.
- Sozomen, *Ecclesiastical History*, VIII.
- Theodoretus of Cyrrihus (Cyrus). *The Ecclesiastical History*, V, 40, PG 83, 1277D.
- Theodorou, A., *The christological vocabulary and the teaching of Cyril of Alexandria and Theodoretus of Cyrrihus (Cyrus)*, Athens 1955.
- Vafeidis, F., *Cyril of Alexandria and the struggles against Nestorius*, Thessaloniki 1932.