# The Association between Nuchal Cord Detection and Perinatal Outcomes in Term Infants: A Prospective Study

GAMAL A. IBRAHIM, M.D.\*; MOSTAFA A. SAFWAT, M.Sc.\*; SHERIF E. ABDELMONEM, M.D.\*\* and SHERINE H.M. GADALLA, M.D.\*

The Departments of Obstetrics & Gynecology\* and Pediatrics\*\*, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

#### **Abstract**

Background: Nuchal cord is a defined as a full twist of umbilical cord around fetal neck for at least one round. While most nuchal cords have no adverse clinical consequences, emerging reports have demonstrated significant associations between the presence of nuchal cord and maternal/fetal outcomes, which appears to be correlated with the tightness and number of cords around the neck.

Aim of Study: In this study, we investigated the association between nuchal cord detection during labor and perinatal outcome among full term pregnant women.

Material and Methods: We conducted a prospective study on 250 full term pregnant women, who were examined by two-dimensional US and color Doppler US to detect nuchal cord presence. Only women with cephalic presentation and sonographically detected nuchal cord were included. The study's outcomes included mode of delivery, APGAR scoring at 1 and 5min, need for admission in neonatal Intensive care unit (NICU), and blood gases of the newborn.

*Results:* The mean age of studied group was 26.86±5.53 years and the mean parity was 1.59±1.21. Overall, 83% of the women had one loop.

*Conclusions:* In conclusion, the presence of two or more nuchal loops may affect the mode of delivery and early neonatal outcome.

**Key Words:** Nuchal cord – Mode of delivery – Neonatal outcomes – Color doppler – Cord around fetal neck

# Introduction

**DESPITE** that the description of nuchal cord was recorded 400 years B.C, the study of clinical implications of this prevalent condition has increased only in the past few decades, particularly after the evolving number of studies linking it to poor perinatal outcomes [1]. Nuchal cord is a defined as a

*Correspondence to:* Dr. Gamal A. Ibrahim, The Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

full twist of umbilical cord around fetal neck for at least one round [2]. The incidence of nuchal cord varies substantially amongst the published literature; however, observational studies reported an incidence rates ranging from 10 to 30% [3.4]. Risk factors for nuchal cord include advanced maternal age, multiparity, and male infants; nonetheless, the current body of evidence shows conflicting results regarding the significance of these risk factors [ 5,6]. Albeit the pathogenesis of nuchal cord is unclear, excessive fetal movements, dysregulated growth of the fetus or umbilical cords, vascular abnormalities, inadequate tensile strength and elastic forces, and poly or oligohydramnios are widely implicated in the pathogenesis of nuchal cord [7,8]. The diagnosis of nuchal cord is usually done by ultrasound (US) examination, through the detection of divot sign and abnormal flow velocimetry [2].

While most nuchal cords have no adverse clinical consequences, emerging reports have demonstrated significant associations between the presence of nuchal cord and maternal/fetal outcomes, which appears to be correlated with the tightness and number of cords around the neck [1]. Tight cord can compress the blood flow within the umbilical vein and lead to variable degrees of strangulation-like effects including hypoxia, anemia, and acidosis [9,10]. Physical, infants with nuchal cord may exhibit facial petechiae, conjunctival/ retinal hemorrhage, pallor, and hyoid bone fractures; these features may progressive in sever forms respiratory distress, hypotonia, and need for resuscitation [2,11]. This was confirmed by autopsy studies, which demonstrated significant hypoxia in stillbirths with nuchal cord [9]. Besides, nuchal cord was reported to significantly increase the risk of preterm labor and Caesarean delivery (CS) [12].

In this study, we investigated the association between nuchal cord detection during labor and perinatal outcome among full term pregnant women.

#### **Patients and Methods**

In this, cross sectional, prospective, study, we recruited pregnant women through the period from 2014 to 2017 at Kasr Al Ainy Maternity Hospital. Only women who agreed to sign the informed consent were included. An official approval of the responsible ethics committee was obtained before enrollment phase.

# Study participant and data collection:

The present study included pregnant females, who were scheduled to underwent normal vaginal delivery at term (37-40 gestational weeks), during the study period. Women were included if they gad singleton fetus with cephalic presentation and documented nuchal cord by US. We excluded women with gestational hypertension or diabetes, preeclampsia, congenital abnormalities, amniotic fluid abnormalities, and/or history of previous cesarean section or scarred uterus.

All eligible women underwent full history taking and clinical examination. Besides, the routine laboratory parameters were obtained. Eligible women underwent two-dimension and color Doppler ultrasonography using an SSD-1700 ultrasound scanner (Aloka, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 3.5-MHz convex-array transducer.

The presence of nuchal cord was confirmed at time of delivery and number of loops around the neck were recorded.

## Study's outcomes:

The primary outcome of the present study was the association between the presence and number of nuchal cords with neonatal outcomes (APGAR scoring at 1 and 5min, need for admission in neonatal Intensive care unit (NICU), and blood gases of the newborn). While the secondary outcome included the association between the presence and number of nuchal cords with mode of delivery.

#### Statistical analysis:

Data were analyzed using Statistical Program for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0. We employed descriptive analysis [mean ± standard deviation (SD) and frequency for quantitative and qualitative data, respectively] to describe different parameters of the study. The association between the presence and number of nuchal cords with study outcomes was examined using Chi-square test.

#### **Results**

The mean age of studied group was  $26.86\pm5.53$  years and the majority of the women were para 1 and 2. The mean gestational age was  $38.24\pm1.218$  weeks and the estimated fetal weight was  $3.236\pm0.378$ Kg. Nearly 42% of the fetuses were males (Table 1).

Table (1): Baseline characteristics of the included women.

	Frequency (n=250)	Percentage		
Age:				
Mean ± SD	26.86±	5.536		
Parity:				
Nulli-para	50	20.0		
Para 1	78	31.2		
Para 2	69	27.6		
Para 3	35	14.0		
Para 4	14	5.6		
Para 5	4	1.6		
GA:				
Mean $\pm$ SD	38.24±	1.218		
EFW:				
Mean ±SD	3.236±	0.3789		
MOD:				
CS	21	8.4		
Vaginal	229	91.6		
Reason for CS:				
Failed progress	13	62.0		
Fetal distress	8	38.0		
Neonatal gender:				
Female	143	57.2		
Male	107	42.8		

Ninety women had loop around the cord by 2D US; while all women had loop around the cord by color Doppler. During labor, only 235 women (94%) had loops around the neck after delivery. More than one loop was detected in 16.8% of the women. Concerning perinatal outcomes, 4.4% of the fetus had meconium staining and 12.4% had CTG changes during delivery. The 1 and 5-minutes APGAR scores were below 7 in 5.2% and 2% of the fetuses, respectively. Two percent of the fetuses need NICU admission. Concerning the mode of delivery, 8.4% of the women had CS (Table 2).

The association analysis demonstrated that there is significant association between number of loops of cord around the neck and mode of delivery (p<0.001), with higher incidence of CS rates in women with two and three loops. In addition, there was highly significant association presence of three

Gamal A. Ibrahim, et al. 269

loops of cord around the neck and meconium staining (p<0.001). There was no evidence of CTG changes in patients having no loops of cord around the neck; on the other hand, 4.3% and 76.2% of patients having one and two loops of cord around the neck developed CTG changes, respectively. All patients having three loops of cord around the neck developed CTG changes. There was significant association between number of loops of cord around the neck and CTG changes during labor (p<0.001). Besides, 28.6% (n=6) of patients having two loops of cord around the neck having APGAR score <7, and 83.3% (n=5) of patients having three loops of cord around the neck having APGAR

score <7. There was significant association between number of loops of cord around the neck and 1 min APGAR score as evident by (*p*<0.001). Likewise, 50% (n=3) of patients having three loops of cord around the neck had APGAR score <7. There was significant association between number of loops of cord around the neck and 5 min APGAR score (*p*<0.001). Finally, 9.5% (n=2) of patients having two loops of cord around the neck had NICU admission and 50% (n=3) of patients having three loops of cord around the neck admitted in the NICU. There was significant association between number of cords around the neck and NICU admission as evident by *p*-value <0.001 (Table 3).

Table (2): Frequency of detecting loops of cord around the neck, perinatal outcomes, and mode of delivery.

	Frequency (n=250)	Percentage
Loops of cord around the neck detected by 2D U/S:		
Not detected	90	36.0
Detected	160	64.0
Loops of cord around the neck detected by color Doppler:		
Detected	250	100.0
Loops of cord around the neck detected after delivery:		
Not detected	15	6.0
Detected	235	94.0
Number of loops around the neck detected after delivery:		
No loops	15	6.0
1 loop	208	83.2
2 loops	21	8.4
3 loops	6	2.4
Meconium staining during delivery:		
No meconium	239	95.6
Meconium	11	4.4
CTG changes during delivery:		
No	219	87.6
Yes	31	12.4
1 min APGAR score:		
<7	13	5.2
>7	237	94.8
5min APGAR score:		
<7	5	2.0
>7	245	98.0
Neonatal ABG:		
<7.2	11	4.4
>7.2	239	95.6
NICU admission:		
No	245	98.0
Yes	5	2.0
MOD:		
CS	21	8.4
Vaginal	229	91.6
Reason for CS:		
Failed progress	13	62.0
Fetal distress	8	38.0

Table (3): The results of association analyses.

Variables	Number of loops after delivery								- Total		CI :	
	0		1	1		2		3		10tai	Chi- Square	<i>p</i> - value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	- Square	varue
MOD:												
CS	2	13.3	5	2.4	9	42.9	5	83.3	21	8.4	86.383(a)	0.000
VD	13	86.7	203	97.6	12	57.1	1	16.7	229	91.6		
Total	15	100	208	100	21	100	6	100	250	100		
Meconium staining:												
No	15	100	206	99	17	81	1	16.7	239	95.6	106.119(a)	0.000
Yes	0	0	2	1	4	19	5	83.3	11	4.4		
Total	15	100	208	100	21	100	6	100	250	100		
CTG changes:												
No	15	100	199	95.7	214	96	No	15	100	199	.676(b)	0.000
Yes	0	0	9	4.3	9	4	Yes	0	0	9		
Total	15	100	208	100	223	100	Total	15	100	208		
APGAR-1:												
<7	0	0	2	1	2	0.9	<7	0	O	2	.146(b)	0.000
>7	15	100	206	99	221	99.1	>7	15	100	206		
Total	15	100	208	100	223	100	Total	15	100	208		
APGAR-5:												
<7	0	0	0	0	2	9.5	3	50	5	2	81.147(a)	0.000
>7	15	100	208	100	19	90.5	3	50	245	98		
Total	15	100	208	100	21	100	6	100	250	100		
NICU:												
No	15	100	208	100	19	90.5	3	50	245	98	81.147(a)	0.000
Yes	0	0	0	0	2	9.5	3	50	5	2		
Total	15	100	208	100	21	100	6	100	250	100		

## Discussion

A growing body of evidence has linked nuchal cord to poor perinatal outcomes. In the present study, we demonstrated that not only the presence or absence of cord influences the mode of delivery or perinatal outcome, but also the main factor is the number of coils around the neck. Mode of delivery and perinatal outcomes were not significantly influenced by the presence of one loop of cord around the neck but, on the other side, were significantly influenced by the presence of two or more loops of cord around the neck.

The incidence of the nuchal cord was 18.8%, and the single loop was the most common finding in our study, which is nearly similar to the incidence reported by Singh et al. [13], (18.57%) and Joshi et al. [14], (19.76%). Among the 235 newborns in the study group with nuchal cords, 208 (88.5%) had one loop, 21 (8.9%) had two loops, and 6 (2.6%) had three loops. Similarly, Shrestha et al. [15], in their study found that single nuchal cord loops were more prevalent than multiple nuchal cord loops. In our study, the incidence of nuchal

cord loop was more in multigravida (80%) in comparison to primigravida (20%). Likewise, Onderoglu et al. [16], quoted that the incidence of nuchal cord loop in the case of multigravida was higher (65.1%) compared to primigravida (34.9%). On the other hand, Tamrakar et al. [17], quoted that the incidence of nuchal cord loop in the case of primigravida was higher (62.98%), But no obvious reason was stated for this.

According to the study of Ghi et al. [18], the nuchal cord was detected in 32% of neonates. The chance of vaginal delivery within 24 hours from labor induction was comparable among the neonates with and without nuchal cord at birth (53% versus 59%), respectively. Consequently, the risk of induction failure does not seem to be increased with the entangled cord around the fetal neck, which came along with our study; the rate of CS was not significantly increased with the presence of one loop of cord around the neck (2.4%). However, in our study, the rate of CS increased significantly in case of the presence of two (42.9%) or three (83.3%) loops of cords around the neck.

Shrestha et al. [15], reported a 23% incidence rate of nuchal cord. In addition, they highlighted that in the nuchal cord group, the APGAR score <7 at 1 minute was substantially low (p=0.010), and the APGAR score at 5 minutes was not frequent. In the nuchal cord group, intrapartum complications such as FHR abnormalities and meconium staining of liquor were increased but not statistically significant. Therefore, nuchal cord is not associated with adverse perinatal outcome, and that correlates with the findings of our study in the presence of just one loop, but in our study, in the presence of several loops, the perinatal outcome was studied separately and poor perinatal outcomes were observed in the presence of two or more loops around the neck.

During the third trimester sonography, sonographic detection of the nuchal cord may be a significant finding, in particular when assessing cases of deteriorating fetal movements [19]. This agrees with the results of our study despite the fact that there was no perinatal mortality; however, results were significant only in case of presence of two or more loops of cord around the neck and not statistically significant in case of presence of only one loop of cord around the neck regarding meconium staining, CTG changes, 1-5min APGAR score, neonatal ABG and the need for NICU admission. In the view of our data, the presence of a single loop of nuchal cord has no Impact on the perinatal outcome, including the cord blood gases. On the other side, the presence of two or three loops had a negative impact on all perinatal outcomes, including (meconium staining, CTG changes, 1-5min APGAR score, neonatal ABG, and the need for NICU admission).

# Conclusion:

In conclusion, the presence of two or more nuchal loops may affect the mode of delivery and early neonatal outcome. Once nuchal cord is highly suspected either antenatal or intrapartum, patients should be closely monitored or shifted to a special ward offering close monitoring to fetal heart rate, CTG changes and progress of labor. If two or more loops of cord around the neck is highly suspected, patients should be counseled for all the possible risks and complications they may encounter during delivery or after labor.

# References

- 1- CLAPP J.F., STEPANCHAK W., HASHIMOTO K., EHRENBERG H. and LOPEZ B.: The natural history of antenatal nuchal cords. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 189 (2): 488-493, 2003.
- 2- PEESAY M.: Nuchal cord and its implications. Matern Heal Neonatol. Perinatol., 3 (1): 28, 2017.

3- HENRY E., ANDRES R.L. and CHRISTENSEN R.D.: Neonatal outcomes following a tight nuchal cord. J. Perinatol., 33 (3): 231-234, 2013.

- 4- LARSON J.D., RAYBURN W.F., CROSBY S. and THUR-NAU G.R.: Multiple nuchal cord entanglements and intrapartum complications. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., doi: 10. 1016/0002-9378(95)91359-9, 1995.
- 5- MISER W.F.: Outcome of infants born with nuchal cords. Obstet. Gynecol. Surv., 47 (11): 767-769, 1992.
- 6- HENRY E., ANDRES R.L. and CHRISTENSEN R.D.: Neonatal outcomes following a tight nuchal cord. J. Perinatol., 33 (3): 231-234, 2013.
- 7- LACRO R.V., JONES K.L. and BENIRSCHKE K.: The umbilical cord twist: Origin direction, and relevance. Am. J Obstet Gynecol., doi: 10.1016/S0002-9378(87) 80067-4, 1987.
- 8- HORN L.C., FABER R., STEPAN H., SIMON E., ROBEL R. and WITTEKIND C.: Umbilical cord hypercoiling and thinning: A rare cause of intrauterine death in the second trimester of pregnancy. Pediatr. Dev. Pathol., doi: 10.2350/08-05-0095.1, 2006.
- 9- BAERGEN R.N.: Cord abnormalities, structural lesions, and cord 'accidents'. Semin Diagn. Pathol., doi: 10. 1053/j.semdp.2007.02.004, 2007.
- 10- SHEPHERD A.J., RICHARDSON C.J. and BROWN J.P.: Nuchal Cord as a Cause of Neonatal Anemia. Am. J. Dis. Child., 139 (1): 71-73, 1985.
- 11- PEESAY M.: Cord around the neck syndrome. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, doi:10.1186/1471-2393-12-S1-A6. 2012.
- 12- STRONG T.H., JARLES D.L., VEGA J.S. and FELDMAN D. B.: The umbilical coiling index. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 170 (1): 29-32, 1994.
- 13- SINGH G. and SIDHU K.: Nuchal Cord: A retrospective analysis. Med J Armed Forces India, doi: 10.1016/S0377-1237(08)80102-5, 2008.
- 14- JOSHI K., SAXENA R., BHAT M., LOMROD Y. and VERMA K.: Incidence of cord around the neck and its effects on labour and neonatal outcome. Adv. Hum. Biol., doi: 10.4103/2321-8568.199535, 2017.
- SHRESTHA N.S. and SINGH N.: Nuchal cord and perinatal outcome. Kathmandu Univ. Med. J., doi: 10.1097/ 00006250-200304001-00171, 2007.
- 16- ÖNDEROG'LU L.S., DURSUN P. and DURUKAN T.: Perinatal features and umbilical cord blood gases in newborns complicated with nuchal cord. Turk J. Pediatr. 2008
- 17- TAMRAKAR S.R.: Incidence of nuchal cord, mode of delivery and perinatal outcome: A notable experience in Dhulikhel Hospital - Kathmandu University Hospital. Nepal Med. Coll. J., 2013.
- 18- GHI T., D'EMIDIO L., MORANDI R., CASADIO P., PILU G. and PELUSI G.: Nuchal cord entanglement and outcome of labour induction. J. Prenat Med., 2007.
- 19- JAUNIAUX E., RAMSAY B., PEELLAERTS C. and SCHOLLER Y.: Perinatal Features of Pregnancies Complicated by Nuchal Cord. Am. J. Perinatol., doi: 10. 1055/s-2007-994467, 1995.

# الحبل السرى القفوى المكتشف قرب تمام فترة الحمل وعلاقته بطريقة الولادة ونواتج ما حول الولادة

يعرف الحبل السرى حول رقبة الجنين على أنه الحبل السرى الذى يحيط بالرقبة من كل الجوانب ليكمل لفة كاملة واحدة على الأقل. وقد اختلف الباحثون فى وجود الحبل السرى حول رقبة الجنين من حيث أهميته حيث يعتقد البعض أن وجوده هو شئ فسيولوچى طبيعى أنه لا يتسبب فى إحداث مشاكل قبل أو أثناء الولادة.

ولكن البعض يعلل أسباب الأضرار التي يتعرض لها الطفل فور ولادته إلى وجود الحبل السرى حول رقبة الجنين بطريقة خانقة والتي قد تتسبب في وفاة الطفل فور ولادته أو حتى داخل بطن الأم.

تم عمل هذه الدراسة فى مستشفى النساء والتوليد بالقصر العينى من أغسطس ٢٠١٤ حتى ديسمبر ٢٠١٧ وتضمنت ٢٥٠ سيدة حامل بعد الاسبوع ٣٧ من الحمل مع عدم وجود مضاعفات مصاحبة للحمل وأن يكون الحمل فى جنين واحد. تم تشخيص وجود الحبل السرى القفوى فى ٢٥٠ حالة عن طريق الموجات الصوتية ثنائية الأبعاد والدوبلر الملون.

وقد تم ملاحظة وجود علاقة مؤثرة بين وجود الحبل السرى القفوى وطريقة الولادة عند وجود أكثر من حلقة واحدة حول رقبة الجنين. تم إجراء الولادة عبر عملية قيصرية في ٢١ حالة (٨٤٨٪) ولم يكن هناك حبل سرى قفوى في حالتين وحلقة واحدة من الحبل السرى حول رقبة الجنين في ٥ حالات وحلقتين في ٩ حالات و٣ حلقات في ٥ حالات. كانت النتائج ذات دلالة إحصائية لوجود فقط ٢ أو ٣ حلقات حول رقبة الجنين وليس لوجود حلقة واحدة حول رقبة الجنين.

وقد تم ملاحظة وجود علاقة إحصائية مؤثرة بين وجود الحبل السرى القفوى ونواتج ما حول الولادة ومنها حدوث تلوث العقى وتغييرات فى جهاز تخطيط نبض وحركة الجنين أثناء الولادة وحرز أبغاز أقل من ٧ فى الدقيقة الأولى والخامسة وكل ذلك فقط عند وجود أكثر من حلقة واحدة حول رقية الجنين.

وبالتالى فإن إمكانية التنبؤ لوجود حلاقات من الحبل السرى حول رقبة الجنين قبل الولادة سوف تساعد الطبيب فى اتخاذ القرارات المناسبة لضمان سلامة الأم والطفل.