Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology & Fisheries Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. ISSN 1110 – 6131 Vol. 25(1): 983 – 1015 (2021) www.ejabf.journals.ekb.eg



Aluminum, Chromium and Manganese in Sediments of Bahr Shebeen Nilotic Canal, Egypt: Spatial and Temporal Distribution, Pollution Indices and Risk Assessment

Elsayed A. Khallaf^{1*}, Mohammad M.N. Authman² and Alaa A. Alne-na-ei¹

¹Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Menoufia University, Shebeen Alkoom, Egypt

²Hydrobiology Department, National Research Centre, 33 EL Bohouth St. (Former EL Tahrir St.), Dokki, P.O. 12622, Giza, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received: Jan. 3, 2021 Accepted: Feb. 26, 2021 Online: Feb. 28, 2021

Keywords:

Aluminum, Chromium, Manganese, Sediments, Nile Canal, Egypt. *Corresponding author: <u>ekhallaf@yahoo.com</u>

ABSTRACT

The distribution of aluminum (Al), chromium (Cr), and manganese (Mn) in Bahr Shebeen Nilotic Canal (BSC) sediments were studied during the period from September 2014 to December 2015, at different selected sites (S1, S2, and S3) over the BSC at Shebeen Alkoom City, Menoufia Province, Egypt. Spatially, the levels of Al and Mn at different sites are arranged as follows: Site S1>Site S3>Site S2, whereas Cr levels were found to be in the following arrangement: Site S1>Site S2>Site S3. Seasonally, Al concentrations levels followed the order of summer> winter> autumn>spring, whereas the Cr and Mn levels were arranged in the order of winter> autumn>spring>summer. The different pollution indices of sediment and sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) showed that the BSC has uncontaminated, to low/or moderately contaminated sediments. Such occurrence might be related to biologically harmful health influences to the sediment-dwelling biota. The humans' hazard index (HI) values for adults were greater than one; therefore, there were chances of having non-cancer risk for all studied metals on adult health through dermal contact exposure. However, Cr value is considered a carcinogenic risk, since its index (CRI) is more than the threshold level, designating that exposed adult humans are facing that risk. Finally, it is recommended that untreated wastes should be prohibited to reduce metal pollution.

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals (HMs) were reported as dangerous contaminants for aquatic environments as a result of their persistency, bio-magnification, and bio-accumulation in the food chains, and toxicity effects on living organisms (Oyewumi *et al.*, 2017; Khallaf *et al.*, 2018a; Bing *et al.*, 2019; Xia *et al.*, 2020). The presence of domestic and industrial discharges, in addition to distributed agricultural inputs, could influence the aquatic environments, leading to the elevation of the potentially toxic element concentrations (Soliman *et al.*, 2018; Bibi *et al.*, 2020). The HMs pollution increasing has substantial adverse effects on invertebrates, fish, and human health (Yi *et al.*, 2011; Rodríguez Martín *et al.*, 2015; Proshad *et al.*, 2018). They were partitioned

among various components of the aquatic environment (water, biota, suspended solids, and sediments) (Shakweer and Abbas, 2005). As soon as they were drained to water sources, they became adsorbed on the sediment particles and accumulated in higher levels (Loska and Wiechuła, 2003). It is evident that about 99% of the HMs in the aquatic ecosystems are transferred eventually to the bottom sediment (Peng *et al.*, 2009; Goher *et al.*, 2019).

Sediments; in the fluvial environments; can be contaminated by numerous types of harmful matters and HMs (Proshad et al., 2019). Sediments had been known as a ready sink or storage of contaminants, including HMs, where they accumulate according to the pollution level (Muohi et al., 2003; Abdel-Satar, 2005; Xia et al., 2020). HMs concentrations in sediments are influenced by natural factors such as natural erosion, flow changes, benthic agitation, rocks weathering, etc. Besides, anthropogenic factors as atmospheric deposition, traffic emissions, terrestrial runoff, disposal of liquid effluents, agricultural runoff and fertilizer leaching, industrial wastewater discharge, and sewage discharge, etc. (Islam et al., 2014; Iqbal et al., 2016; Khallaf et al., 2018a; Proshad et al., 2019; Bibi et al., 2020). Also, the HMs accumulation from water to sediment is linked to many external ecological factors. These are pH value, ionic strength, Eh, the level and type of inorganic and organic ligands, the possible adsorption surface area induced by the grain size distribution variation, and anthropogenic input (Davies et al., 1991). In the aquatic ecosystem, sediments can subsequently act as a potential contaminants secondary source (Yu et al., 2008; Bai et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2020). Because of chemical plus physical disorders, contaminants as HMs in sediments may be liberated into the water column, which implies that the sediments act as an essential sink and a long term release agent of HMs into the ecosystem even long after the initial input, which is of great importance for the aquatic system safety (Bing et al., 2019). Sediments were used to detect insoluble pollutants and demonstrate the water system quality (Karthikeyan et al., 2007; Lakshmanasenthil et al., 2013). Sediment's HMs analysis enabled detecting contaminants that perhaps either at low levels or absent in the aquatic medium (Binning and Baird, 2001). So, the study on HMs in surficial sediments were found to give essential understandings into elemental pollution and related hazards to protect the aquatic environment (Iqbal et al., 2016). The riverine sediments provided preferable documentation to evaluate the pollution and probable ecological hazard of HMs in the aquatic ecosystem, and indicated both spatial and temporal trends of contaminants (Bing et al., 2019; Tan and Aslan, 2020). So, the sediment's HMs distribution analysis near inhabited regions could be used to examine anthropogenic influences on environments and help evaluate hazards caused by the wastes releases of humans (de Mora et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2011). Moreover, investigation of HMs in sediments of water environments is a substantial necessity to realize their influences on water and living organisms (Black and Williams, 2001; Elsayed et al. 2015, 2001; Ho and Hui, 2001).

So, the aim of the current work was as follows: (1) to explore the spatially and seasonally variations of the HMs (Al, Cr, and Mn) concentrations in the sediment samples collected from different sites of Bahr Shebeen Canal (BSC), Egypt; (2) to compare HMs concentrations in the sediment of BSC with those in other various Egyptian areas; (3) to compare HMs concentrations with the recommended international permissible limits and sediment quality guidelines; (4) to evaluate and assess HMs pollution level, potential ecological risk and the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic human health risk of HMs in the sediment of BSC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Study area

Bahr Shebeen Canal (BSC), located in the delta originating from a major canal (Alrayah Almenoufi) of Egypt (Fig. 1), represents a vital fishery source and used for irrigation. It extends for about 80 Km passing through Menoufia, Gharbia, and Dakahlia governorates. It is an important, semi-independent ecosystem (30 m wide and 2-3 m deep) from the Nile (**Khallaf and Authman, 1992**), BSC is surrounded by various villages, two major cities, and cultivated lands. Due to human interference (shore protection works), shore plants are rare, especially near towns, and on shores, macrophytes are prevalent (**Khallaf, 2002**). No submerged plants are noticed during the water closure (embankment), which occurs in winter every year from 15 January to 15 February. The canal's bottom consisted mainly of silt, and sides/margins were regular and muddy (**Sheir, 2018**).

2. Sediment sampling and pretreatment

Twenty-three surface bottom sediments (0–10 cm) samples, during the period from September 2014 till December 2015, were collected from 3 main sites (S1, S2, and S3) along several kilometers (2 km more/less). These sites were chosen over the BSC at Shebeen Alkoom City (30°53' and 30°58' N and 31°01' and 31°02' E), Menoufia Province, Egypt. The chosen sites were selected to symbolize different contaminated regions (near workshops, hospitals, agricultural fields, refuse centers, etc.). These sampling sites were chosen downstream to all the pollution points. The studied area water's environmental factors were previously mentioned in detail in **Khallaf** *et al.* (2018a)'s work. After collection using Ekman Dredge, the samples were put in dark bags for transportation to the laboratory and preserved frozen until the analyses. The sediment samples were brought to room temperature, dried in air, ground and homogenized in a mortar, and sieved to a fine powder.

3. Chemical analysis

Al, Cr, and Mn of sediment samples were treated according to **Kouadio and Trefry** (**1987**) method. In brief, 0.5 g of each sample was weighed and into a crucible and digested with a mixture of concentrated HNO_3+HClO_4+ HF. After cooling, the digestate was diluted to 50 ml with HNO_3 . Al, Cr, and Mn concentrations were analyzed using Inductive Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES, Agilent 720). The metal values are expressed as milligrams per kilogram dry weight (dw). Quality control protocols were previously mentioned in detail in the work of **Khallaf** *et al.* (**2018a**). All digestion and the samples' analysis were carried out at the Egyptian Mineral Resources Authority, Ministry of Petroleum, Dokki, Egypt.



Fig. (1): Map showing the area of study (Bahr Shebeen Canal, BSC, in the Egyptian Delta).

4. Contamination indices of sediment

Some pollution indices were used to evaluate the contamination and ecological risk posed by the HMs contamination in sediments. These used indices were classified into two types, single and integrated indices. The descriptive terminologies for contamination classes on single and integrated indices were presented in table (1).

Enrichment Fac	ctor (EF) ¹	Contamination Factor (CF) ²							
EF value	Categories	CF value	Pollution						
EF < 1	No enrichment	CF < 1	Low contamination						
1 < EF < 3	Minor enrichment	$1 \le CF \le 3$	Moderated contamination						
3 < EF < 5	Moderate enrichment	3 < CF < 6	Considerable contamination						
5 < EF < 10	Moderately severe enrichment	$CF \ge 6$	Very high contamination						
10 < EF < 25	Severe enrichment								
25 < FE < 50	Very severe enrichment								

Table (1): The descriptive terminologies for HMs pollution classes on single and integrated indices.

Potential contam	ination index (Cp) ³	Geo- a	ccumulation	index $(I_{geo})^4$		
Cp value	grade	Igeo	Igeo class	Pollution		
Cp< 1	Low contamination	< 0-0	0	Unpolluted		
1 <cp< 3<="" td=""><td>Moderate contamination</td><td>> 0-1</td><td>1</td><td>Unpolluted to moderated</td></cp<>	Moderate contamination	> 0-1	1	Unpolluted to moderated		
Cp> 3	Severe or very severe contamination	> 1-2	2	Moderated polluted		
		> 2-3	3	Moderated to high polluted		
		> 3-4	4	Highly polluted		
		> 4-5	5	Highly to extremely polluted		
		> 5-6	> 5	Extremely polluted		
potential ecologie	cal risk coefficient (E _{rc}) ⁵	Polluti	on Load Inde	ex (PLI) ⁶		
E _{rc}	grade	PLI		Pollution		
E _{rc} <40	Low potential ecological risk	0		Perfection		
$40 \le E_{rc} \!\! < 80$	Moderate potential ecological risk	≤ 1		Baseline levels		
$80 \le E_{rc} < 160$	Considerable potential ecological risk	> 1		Polluted		
$160 \le E_{rc} < 320$	High potential ecological risk					
$E_{rc}\geq 320$	Very high ecological risk					
Degree of Contai	mination (DC) ⁷	Potent	ial ecological	risk index (RI) ⁸		
DC	Description	RI		grade		
DC < 8	Low degree of contamination	RI < 1.	50	Low ecological risk		
$8 \le DC < 16$	Moderate degree of contamination	150≤	RI < 300	Moderate ecological risk		
$16 \leq DC < 32$	Considerable degree of contamination	300 ≤ 1	RI < 600	Considerable ecological risk		
$DC \ge 32$	Very high degree of contamination	$RI \ge 6$	00	Very high ecological risk		

EF > 50 Extremely severe enrichment

¹Sakan *et al.* (2009). ²Hakanson (1980). ³Dauvalter and Rognerud (2001). ⁴Müller (1969). ⁵Hakanson (1980). ⁶Tomlinson *et al.* (1980). ^{7,8}Hakanson (1980).

4.1. The single indices

4.1.1. Enrichment factor (EF)

The EF is used to determine the anthropogenic HMs pollution degree. It is computed by using the **Sinex and Helz (1981)** equation:

$$EF = \frac{(M/Al)_{sample}}{(M/Al)_{background}}$$

Where, (M/Al)_{sample} is the concentration ratio of the studied metal M to Al in sediment sample and (M/Al)_{background} is their concentration ratio in the selected reference background. For geochemical normalization, Al was chosen to be the reference background element, in the current study, to minimize grain size effect while assessing metal distribution (**Gu** *et al.*, **2013**). Average crustal abundance (**Taylor**, **1964**) and average shale values (ASV) (**Turekian and Wedepohl**, **1961**) were frequently used to provide concentrations of elemental background. Because the reference background values of HMs in local BSC sediment during pre-industrial times have not been determined, so, the average shale values (ASV) (**Turekian and Wedepohl**, **1961**), and the Pre-Aswan High Dam concentrations (PAHDC) of the River Nile sediments (**Abu Khatita**, **2011**) were used for the present study as background references (Table 2).

	Al	Cr	Mn
Sediment quality guidelines (SQGs)			
Shale standard ¹	80000	90	850
Earth Crust ²	82300	100	950
PAHDC ³	32206	58	276
LEL ⁴	NA	26	460
TEL ⁴ (ISQG)	NA	37.3	NA
SEL^4	NA	110	1110
PEL ⁴	NA	90	NA
MET^4	NA	55	_
ERL^4	NA	80	NA
ERM^4	NA	145	NA
TEC^4	NA	56	1673
Cons-Based TEC ⁴	NA	43.4	NA
PEC ⁴	58030	159	1081
Cons-Based PEC ⁴	NA	111	NA
TET^4	NA	100	NA
USEPA sediments classification ⁵			
Non-polluted	_	<25	<300
Moderately polluted	_	25 to 75	300 to 500
Heavily polluted	-	>75	>500

Table (2): Sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) and sediments classification used in the present study.

G. Avg. = grand average. NA = not available. PAHDC = Pre Aswan High Dam concentrations.

LEL = Lowest effect level; TEL = Threshold effect level; SEL = Severe effect level; PEL = Probable effect level; MET = Minimal effect threshold; ERL = effect range-low; ERM = effect range-median, TEC = Threshold effect concentration; Cons-Based TEC = Consensusbased threshold effect concentrations; PEC = Probable effect concentration; Cons-Based PEC = Consensus-based probable effect concentrations; TET = Toxic effect threshold; ISQG = Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines.

1Turekian and Wedepohl (1961). 2Taylor (1964). 3Abu Khatita (2011). 4Persaud et al. (1993); Jones et al. (1997); MacDonald et al. (2000); CCME (2012). 5Giesy and Hoke (1990).

4.1.2. Contamination factor (CF)

CF is the ratio of the metal content in the studied sediment to the background level of the same metal and obtained (**Hakanson, 1980**) by the following equation:

$$CF = \frac{M_{a}}{M_{b}}$$

Where M_x is the concentration of the metal in the sample, and M_b is its content in the designated background reference.

4.1.3. The potential contamination index (Cp)

Cp was introduced by **Dauvalter and Rognerud** (2001) as follows:

$$Cp = \frac{(Metal)_{Sample max}}{(Metal)_{Background}}$$

Where: $(Metal)_{Sample max}$ is the maximum metal concentration in the sediment sample and $(Metal)_{Background}$ is the same metal average value in a background reference.

4.1.4. Geo-accumulation index (*I*_{geo})

 I_{geo} has been applied to the assessment of any metal contamination in sediment by comparing its current concentration with its pre-industrial level. In the present work, I_{geo} values were calculated (**Müller, 1969**) as follows:

$$Igeo = \log_2\left(\frac{C_n}{1.5 B_n}\right)$$

Where: C_n is the content of any studied metal (n) in the sample and B_n is its geochemical background reference value in pre-industrial (pre-civilization) reference [ASV (**Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961**) and PAHDC (**Abu Khatita, 2011**)]. Factor 1.5 is introduced to reduce probable variations in the background values that are because of the lithogenic effects, besides the very small anthropogenic influences (**Qingjie** *et al.*, **2008**).

4.1.5. The potential ecological risk factor of a single heavy metal (E_r)

E_r can be computed (**Hakanson**, 1980) via the following equations:

$$E_r = T_r X CF$$

Where: CF is a single element contamination factor, and T_r is the same element toxic response factor, which represents the requirements of environmental toxicity and sensitivity. The Cr and Mn toxic response factors were 2 and 1, respectively (Hakanson, 1980; Xu *et al.*, 2008).

4.2. The integrated indices

4.2.1. The pollution load index (PLI)

PLI suggested by **Tomlinson** *et al.* (1980) is a comparative mean for evaluating the HMs pollution level between different sites and times. It is given in the following equation:

$PLI = (CF1 * CF2 * CF3 * \dots CFn)^{1/n}$

Where n is the number of the metal (3 here), and CF is each single metal contamination factor.

4.2.2. The degree of contamination (DC)

DC for a specified site is the summation of its all contamination factors. It is computed (Hakanson, 1980) as follows:

$$DC = \sum_{i=1}^{n} CF$$

Where CF is the single contamination factor and n is the number of the metal.

4.2.3. The potential ecological risk index (RI)

RI is a method proposed, from a sedimentological perspective, by **Hakanson** (1980) to evaluate the environmental behaviour and characteristics of HMs contaminants in sediments. It is computed as the summation of all potential ecological risk factors (E_r) for HMs in sediment as follows:

$$RI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i$$

Where: E_r = the potential ecological risk factor of a single element and n is metals number.

5. Sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) and sediment classification

SQGs assist in expecting the contaminated sediments antagonistic biological influences on aquatic organisms and are purposed for the sediment quality clarification (Long et al., 1995; MacDonald et al., 2000; Maanan et al., 2015). The dependability of the TEC (threshold effect concentration), Cons-TEC (consensus-based threshold effect concentrations), PEC (probable effect concentration), Cons-PEC (consensus-based probable effect concentrations), LEL (lowest effect level), TEL (threshold effect level), ERL (effect range low), MET (minimal effect threshold), SEL (severe effect level), PEL (probable effect level), ERM (effect range-median) and TET (Toxic effect threshold) (Table 2) for assessing sediment quality conditions is determined based on their predictive ability (Persaud et al., 1993; MacDonald et al., 2000). USEPA has characterized three sediment evaluations (Giesy and Hoke, 1990), in which the concentration of definite HMs is regarded as factors used to classify the quality of sediments (Table 2).

6. Human health risk assessment of intake of HMs through dermal contact pathway

There is a sub-human population exposes directly to the sediments during fisheries, agriculture and other activities (**Mahmoud** *et al.*, **2017**). HMs dermal contact from contaminated aquatic sediments has extraordinary significance in probable exposure pathways (**Proshad** *et al.*, **2019**). CDI_{dermal-sediment} (Chronic daily intake via dermal contact) is properly evaluated using site-specific data for the population at danger. The evaluating of HMs absorption by dermal contact exposure way of metals in the sediments (**USEPA**, **2007**; **Qing** *et al.*, **2015**), is calculated by this next mathematical equation:

$$CDI_{dermal-sediment} = \frac{CS X SA X AF X ABS X EF X ED}{BW X AT} X CF$$

Where: $CDI_{dermal-sediment}$ is HMs chronic daily intake (mg kg⁻¹) from sediment by dermal contact. Other values and factors of exposure mentioned in the above equation in the current study are listed and explained in table (3).

Also, current work assessed the non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic influences of HMs from the dermal exposure to contaminated sediments through the HQ (hazard quotient), HI (hazard index) and CRI (carcinogenic risk index) methods (**Chabukdhara and Nema**, 2013; Wei *et al.*, 2015).

The HQ is expressed by the ratio of the CDI to RfD (the reference daily dose) for each metal (**USEPA**, **1989**), by the following formula (**Qing** *et al.*, **2015**):

$$HQ_{dermal-sediment} = \frac{CDI}{RfD}$$

Where RfD (mg/kg day) is the maximum absorbed daily dose of a metal via dermal contact, for both children and adults, that is supposed not to lead to an significant hazard of harmful effects to sensitive persons during a life span. The RfD_{dermal} for Al, Cr, and Mn were 1.00E-01, 6.00E-05 and 1.84E-03 mg/kg/day, respectively (**USEPA**, 2002; **USDOE**, 2019). When CDI<RfD, then HQ \leq 1, so it is believed that no severe human health effects will occur, while if the CDI>RfD, then HQ>1, so it is possible that severe human health effects will occur (**USEPA**, 1989; **USEPA**, 2001).

Table (3): Exp	osure factors of	of dermal	contact for	health risk	assessment	of HMs	in sediments.
----------------	------------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	------------	--------	---------------

Factor	Definition	Unit	Value		- Poference
Factor	Deminion	Unit	Children	Adults	Kelelelice
CS	Heavy metal concentration in sediment	mg kg ⁻¹			This study
SA	Exposure skin surface area available for contact	cm ²	1600	5700	USEPA (2011)
AF	Sediment-to-skin adherence factor	mg/cm ²	0.2	0.7	USEPA (2011)
ABF	Dermal absorption factor	—	0.001	0.01	USEPA (2011)
EF	Exposure frequency	days/year	350	350	USEPA (2011)
ED	Exposure duration	years	6	24	USEPA (2001)
BW	Average body weight of the exposed individual	Kα	15	60	USEPA (1989);
DW	Average body weight of the exposed individual	кg	15	00	Chabukdhara and Nema (2013)
AT	Average time for non-carcinogens	days	365 x ED	365 x ED	USEPA (2011)
CF	Conversion factor	kg/mg	1 x 10 ⁻⁶	1 x 10 ⁻⁶	USEPA (2002)

The HI could be produced from the HQ values to compute the joint hazard of single HMs in the form of a mixture of pollutants (**USEPA**, **1989**; **Qing** *et al.*, **2015**). HI of dermal exposure way could be computed by the next formula (**Qing** *et al.*, **2015**):

 $HI_{dermal-sediment} = \sum HQ_n = HQ$ element 1 + HQ element 2 HQ elements n

When HI<1, then it is believed that no hazard of non-carcinogenic influences will happen, while if HI>1, this showed the possibility of harmful health influences, and the possibility increases with the HI values increases.

Carcinogenic risk index (CRI) is considered as the possibility of a person developing any cancer type in the entire life as a result of carcinogenic hazards exposure (Li *et al.*, 2014). CRI is calculated (Qing *et al.*, 2015) as follows:

$$CRI = \Sigma CDI_i X SF_i$$

Where: SF is the cancer slope factor (mg/kg-day) of individual metal which represents the possibility of developing cancer per unit exposure level. The values of SF for Al and Mn were not available, whereas SF value for Cr was 4.2E+01 (Qing *et al.*, 2015), so only CRI for Cr was estimated. If CRI< 10^{-6} , so the carcinogenic risk from the sediment to health can be negligible, whereas a CRI above 1×10^{-4} is likely to be a high risk of developing cancer in human beings. CRI values in the range from 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-4} indicate an acceptable or tolerable risk to social stability and to human health (**Wu et al.**, 2015).

7. Statistical analysis

Basic descriptive statistics of HMs concentrations in sediment were performed to calculate means and standard errors (SE). One-way ANOVA analysis, followed by a post hoc comparison using Duncan's multiple ranges (**Duncan, 1955**), was applied to determine the variance's position and identify significant differences for all metals. All statistical analyses were done using the computer program of SPSS Inc. (version 17.0 for Windows) at the P<0.05 and 0.01 levels of significance, and graphs were plotted with Microsoft Excel 2010.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Spatial and seasonal concentrations of HMs in the sediments

Sediments, in addition to water, can be considered as a sensitive indicator during aquatic habitat quality monitoring (Bastami et al., 2015). Contaminants in sediments constitute a hazard to the environment in the following two primary ways: (1) environmental risk to fish-eating and aquatic animals and (2) toxic hazards on terrestrial habitat when the polluted area is dredged and put on land (Khan et al., 2000). The spatial and seasonal Al, Cr, and Mn average concentrations in the BSC sediments (Table 4) showed that Al has the highest mean concentrations followed by Mn, whereas Cr has the lowest mean levels. The comparatively high Al value of sediments reflects the abundance of this element as a major component of clay minerals (Korium et al., 2006). This is because Al and Fe are the most common metals in Earth's crust and is also confirmed with that reported by Abdel-Satar (2005) and Khallaf et al. (2018a) on River Nile and BSC sediment, respectively. Also, Mookherjee (2018) mentioned that Al in the Earth's crust is the third most plentiful element. The wide ranges of Al, Cr, and Mn concentrations at different sites may be ascribed to: (1) the texture and particle size of the sediment, (2) the organic matter content (Anonymous, 1997), (3) the mud percent variations, and (4) the increase in heavy metal-rich urban wastes draining into Nile River (Abdel-Satar, 2005; El-Gamal, 2016). Adding to that, the higher values of Al, Cr, and Mn are possibly because of the decay of organic materials, agricultural wastes, fertilizers, insecticides, sewage, and illegal wastewater discharges, where BSC receives variable amounts of municipal wastewaters. It is probably associated with the municipal wastes of the nearby city (Shebeen Alkoom City). Spatially, statistical analysis revealed that concentrations of Al, Cr, and Mn showed significant (P < 0.05) differences between sites. The relatively significant high levels reflect likely anthropogenic point-source of these elements at these locations (Oyewumi et al., 2017). The coefficients of variation (CVs) showed that Cr has the highest value, followed by Mn and finally Al, with the CVs of 28.43, 25.70, and 22.97%, respectively. These indicate that the metals total concentrations vary between the three sampling sites (Soliman et al., 2019), which may be caused by anthropogenic inputs of HMs (Huang et al., 2019). It was found that Site S1 showed the Al, Cr, and Mn highest mean

values (Fig. 2A-C). This is showing that this site is comparatively more polluted with these metals compared to other sampling sites, and this is because the extensive discharging of urban waste drove HMs pollution in surface sediment (Khallaf et al., 2018a; Proshad et al., **2019**). The urban activities like household refuse, municipal wastewater, urban runoff, and industrial discharges are the main higher metal input sources at S1. The levels of total HMs contamination at different sites are arranged in Site S1> Site S3 > Site S2 for Al and Mn, whereas for Cr, it is arranged in the order of Site S1 > Site S2 > Site S3. Seasonally, only Cr and Mn concentrations showed a difference (P<0.05) between seasons significantly. The levels of Al concentrations are arranged in the following order: summer>winter> autumn> spring, whereas the Cr and Mn levels are arranged in the following order: winter>autumn> spring>summer (Fig. 2D-F). Previously, Alnenaei and Authman (2010) reported that Al is released into aquatic ecosystems through the recycled Al industries emissions and the stations of water purification discharge that contain an enormous amount of Al sulfate (alum), which are used as suspended solid particles coagulant. Also, higher concentrations of Al were detected in some drainage canals water in Menoufia Province, Egypt (Authman, 2008; Authman et al., 2008; 2011), which may finally find its way into BSC. The higher Cr values during winter attributed to the higher pH and dissolved oxygen during this period caused the oxidation of Cr²⁺ to Cr⁴⁺ and dissolution from water to sediment. Besides, Cr can precipitate from water to bottom sediment as carbonate or hydroxide resulted in the increasing pH value during the winter period (Korium et al., 2006). High Cr concentration in sediments has been related to industrial wastes (as tanneries and textile factories) and sewage wastewater discharge (Singh et al., 2004; 2010; Mohiuddin et al., 2011) from the towns and villages located within BSC catchment. Vasiliu and Dixon (2018) mentioned that the main human activities that increase chromium concentrations are electro painting and textile, leather, and chemical manufacturing. On the other hand, the low Cr values recorded in the summer season may be related to the higher water temperature. Consequently, the decreases in dissolved oxygen caused the Cr release from sediment to overlying water (Korium et al., 2006). High Mn concentration in sediments has been related to cosmetics, medical imaging agents, drycell batteries, paints, and fertilizers (ATSDR, 2012) present in refuse, traffic emissions, and sewage wastewater discharge from the towns and villages located within BSC catchment. The relatively higher Mn values were measured in winter due to Mn's precipitation from water to sediment as carbonate form (Korium et al., 2006). Gerke and Little (2018) reported that Mn carbonates are insoluble. Besides, higher concentrations of Cr and Mn during winter than in summer may be attributed to the water's lower flow in winter, which could help to the HMs accumulation in sediment (Mohiuddin et al., 2011; Islam et al., 2014). Adding to that, the Mn contents lower values during summer due to the dissolution of Mn hydroxides and oxides to the overlying water under low dissolved oxygen values and high water temperatures (Elewa, 1993). The slight increase in Cr and Mn concentrations in sediment during the spring period might be ascribed to the direct agricultural and industrial wastes inputs discharging into the River Nile (Osman et al., 2012).

Sitor	Aluminum (Al)				Chromiu	um (Cr)				Manganese	(Mn)			
Siles	Range		Mean	±	SE	Range			Mean	±	SE	Range		Mean	±	SE
Site 1	52959.800 -	82470.000	67027.542	±	1794.092 ^b	86.622	-	131.026	105.356	±	2.670 ^b	712.339 -	- 1095.530	891.353	±	28.333 ^b
Site 2	31451.000 -	65111.500	51033.150	±	2551.818 ^a	24.331	_	126.350	79.051	±	5.483 ^a	332.416 -	1058.170	745.990	±	44.059^{a}
Site 3	37051.500 -	69262.500	53242.795	±	2598.473 ^a	49.585	_	109.516	74.185	±	4.297 ^a	566.623 -	1223.250	886.411	±	56.225 ^b
G. Avg.	31451.000 -	82470.000	57268.917	±	1583.583	24.331	_	131.026	86.719	±	2.968	332.416 -	1223.250	839.288	±	25.964
F-value			14.311						15.221					3.722		
Sig.			0.000**						0.000**					0.029*		
Sasana	Aluminum (Al)				Chromiu	um (Cr)				Manganese	(Mn)			
Seasons	Aluminum (Al Range)	Mean	±	SE	Chromit Range	um (Cr)	Mean	±	SE	Manganese Range	(Mn)	Mean	±	SE
Seasons Spring	Aluminum (Al Range 32447.000 -) 63102.700	Mean 47638.567	± ±	SE 6429.144 ^a	Chromit Range 65.348	um (_	Cr) 107.994	Mean 86.241	± ±	SE 9.123 ^b	Manganese Range 712.339 -	(Mn) - 730.215	Mean 721.941	± ±	SE 2.620 ^{ab}
Seasons Spring Summer	Aluminum (Al Range 32447.000 - 31451.000 -) 63102.700 82470.000	Mean 47638.567 60445.267	± ± ±	SE 6429.144 ^a 7351.102 ^b	Chromiu Range 65.348 24.331	um (Cr) 107.994 103.901	Mean 86.241 62.731	± ± ±	SE 9.123 ^b 11.359 ^a	Manganese Range 712.339 - 332.416 -	(Mn) - 730.215 - 1067.130	Mean 721.941 661.776	± ± ±	SE 2.620 ^{ab} 106.201 ^a
Seasons Spring Summer Autumn	Aluminum (Al Range 32447.000 - 31451.000 - 37051.500 -) 63102.700 82470.000 76799.000	Mean 47638.567 60445.267 57654.050	± ± ± ±	SE 6429.144 ^a 7351.102 ^b 1806.029 ^{ab}	Chromiu Range 65.348 24.331 49.585	um (Cr) 107.994 103.901 126.350	Mean 86.241 62.731 90.203	± ± ± ±	SE 9.123 ^b 11.359 ^a 3.008 ^b	Manganese Range 712.339 332.416 585.675	(Mn) - 730.215 - 1067.130 - 1223.250	Mean 721.941 661.776 874.061	± ± ±	SE 2.620 ^{ab} 106.201 ^a 29.583 ^b
Seasons Spring Summer Autumn Winter	Aluminum (A) Range 32447.000 - 31451.000 - 37051.500 - 39617.900 -) 63102.700 82470.000 76799.000 71488.000	Mean 47638.567 60445.267 57654.050 58120.594	± ± ± ± ±	SE 6429.144 ^a 7351.102 ^b 1806.029 ^{ab} 2465.475 ^{ab}	Chromiu Range 65.348 24.331 49.585 54.214	um (Cr) 107.994 103.901 126.350 131.026	Mean 86.241 62.731 90.203 91.906	± ± ± ± ±	SE 9.123 ^b 11.359 ^a 3.008 ^b 6.274 ^b	Manganese Range 712.339 332.416 585.675 566.623	(Mn) - 730.215 - 1067.130 - 1223.250 - 1143.190	Mean 721.941 661.776 874.061 897.613	± ± ± ±	SE 2.620 ^{ab} 106.201 ^a 29.583 ^b 50.392 ^b
Seasons Spring Summer Autumn Winter G. Avg.	Aluminum (Al Range 32447.000 - 31451.000 - 37051.500 - 39617.900 - 31451.000 -) 63102.700 82470.000 76799.000 71488.000 82470.000	Mean 47638.567 60445.267 57654.050 58120.594 57268.917	± ± ± ± ± ± ±	SE 6429.144 ^a 7351.102 ^b 1806.029 ^{ab} 2465.475 ^{ab} 1583.583	Chromiu Range 65.348 24.331 49.585 54.214 24.331	um (Cr) 107.994 103.901 126.350 131.026 131.026	Mean 86.241 62.731 90.203 91.906 86.719	± ± ± ± ± ±	SE 9.123 ^b 11.359 ^a 3.008 ^b 6.274 ^b 2.968	Manganese Range 712.339 332.416 585.675 566.623 332.416	(Mn) - 730.215 - 1067.130 - 1223.250 - 1143.190 - 1223.250	Mean 721.941 661.776 874.061 897.613 839.288	± ± ± ± ±	SE 2.620 ^{ab} 106.201 ^a 29.583 ^b 50.392 ^b 25.964
Seasons Spring Summer Autumn Winter G. Avg. <i>F</i> -value	Aluminum (A) Range 32447.000 31451.000 37051.500 39617.900 31451.000) 63102.700 82470.000 76799.000 71488.000 82470.000	Mean 47638.567 60445.267 57654.050 58120.594 57268.917 1.299	± ± ± ± ±	SE 6429.144 ^a 7351.102 ^b 1806.029 ^{ab} 2465.475 ^{ab} 1583.583	Chromiu Range 65.348 24.331 49.585 54.214 24.331	um (Cr) 107.994 103.901 126.350 131.026 131.026	Mean 86.241 62.731 90.203 91.906 86.719 3.752	± ± ± ± ±	SE 9.123 ^b 11.359 ^a 3.008 ^b 6.274 ^b 2.968	Manganese Range 712.339 332.416 585.675 566.623 332.416	(Mn) - 730.215 - 1067.130 - 1223.250 - 1143.190 - 1223.250	Mean 721.941 661.776 874.061 897.613 839.288 3.791	± ± ± ±	SE 2.620 ^{ab} 106.201 ^a 29.583 ^b 50.392 ^b 25.964

Table (4): Spatial and seasonal variations of Al, Cr and Mn concentrations (mgkg⁻¹ dw) in sediment samples collected from different sites along BSC.

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error (SE)

Means with the same letter at the same column are not significantly different (P>0.05).

G. Avg. = grand average. *F*-value = ANOVA's *F*-test. Sig. = significance level.

*Significant (P<0.05). **Highly significant (P<0.01). †Insignificant (P>0.05).

2. Source variation of HMs in the sediments

Pearson correlation analysis showed that Al has a highly significant positive correlation with Cr and Mn (r = 0.693 and 0.505, P<0.01, respectively). **Bing** *et al.* (2019) mentioned that Al contained in clay minerals could adsorb HMs in sediments. Cr showed a highly significant positive correlation with Mn (r = 0.592, P<0.01). A higher correlation coefficient between the metals may indicate the similar distribution pattern of these metals and/or may reveal the same pollution levels, mostly produce from similar pollution origins (either anthropogenic and geogenic), and also move together (Batayneh *et al.*, 2015; Al-Alimi and Alhudify, 2016; El-Radaideh *et al.*, 2017; Proshad *et al.*, 2019). The overall order of profusion of heavy metals of interest in BSC sediments is Al>Mn>Cr (Fig. 2G).

3. Contamination assessment and pollution indices of HMs in the sediments

When compared with the average shale values (ASV) [geochemical background] of HMs (**Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961**), Earth's Crust (**Taylor, 1964**) and the PAHDC of the River Nile sediments (**Abu Khatita, 2011**) (Table 2), it was found that the Al, Cr, and Mn concentrations in some BSC sediments samples, in this investigation, were higher than these background references, which indicated the contamination of BSC sediments by these HMs. These findings also suggest that the early stage identifies these metals' anthropogenic pollution to the BSC area. The BSC sediments have higher or lower levels of Al, Cr, and Mn concentrations compared (Table 5) with those reported studies of other regions in Egypt. Generally, the estimated levels of metals in the current work were comparatively greater than the previously published articles. Differences between present results and the other literature perhaps originate from variations in the localities geological mining history and domestic and urban activities (**Yehia and Sebaee, 2012**).

The indices of pollution are used to determine the probable adverse effects intensity that could happen in an ecosystem exposed to contaminant stress (Qingjie et al., 2008). In the current study, pollution indices were calculated based on the background of HMs in ASV (Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961) and the PAHDC of the River Nile sediments (Abu Khatita, 2011). EF (Enrichment factor) is a standardization method commonly applied to classify the portions of metal linked with the sediments (Huang et al., 2014; Proshad et al., 2019). EF is used to distinguish between metals arising from anthropogenic or natural activities (Manoj and Padhy, 2014; Al-Taani et al., 2015). It was found that all metals were in no or minor enrichment at all stations and during different seasons (Tables 6 and 7). Generally, the studied metals average EF in the BSC sediments displayed contamination order of Mn>Cr>Al according to ASV and Mn>Al>Cr according to PAHDC. This is showed that Mn is the most abundant and enriched metal from anthropogenic activities, which is ascribed to refuse, fertilizer, sewage, and raw agricultural and industrial effluents. Sakan et al. (2009) reported that values of EF from 0 to 1 show that the metal comes totally from crustal materials or natural processes, while values of EF more than 1 show that the origins are more possibly to be anthropogenic (Maanan et al., 2015). The Cr and Mn EF values, in current work, revealed that EF > 1 indicates their enrichments. This suggests that the studied metals maybe came from non-crustal materials and/or non-natural weathering processes (Gao and Chen, 2012; Islam et al., 2015; Proshad et al., 2019) and were probably originated from human influence (anthropogenic inputs). The contamination factor (CF) determination of HMs is a vital aspect that shows the risk degree of HMs to an ecosystem in relation to its retention time (Wu et al., 2010; Buhari and Ismail, 2016) and to express the contamination level (Pekey et al., 2004; Barik et al., 2018). The CF values of all metals, in current work, were in low, moderate, and considerable pollution at all sites and during different seasons (Tables 6 and 7). Generally speaking, CF's values were arranged in descending arrangement of the average as follows: Mn>Cr>Al according to ASV and Mn>Al>Cr according to PAHDC. The high CF value of HMs shows low retention time and high hazard to the environment (Wu et al., 2010; Buhari and Ismail, 2016). Potential contamination index (Cp) shows that all HMs are at low, moderate, severe and/or very severe contamination levels at sampling sites and during different seasons. All HMs showed different degrees of anthropogenic influence according to the Cp values of the BSC sediments. Overall Cp values (Tables 6 and 7) were arranged in descending order of the average as follows: Mn>Cr>Al according to ASV and Mn>Al>Cr according to PAHDC. The geo-accumulation index (I_{eeo}), suggested by **Müller** (1969), deals with a common method to calculate the metal concentrations enrichment above background or baseline concentrations level. The I_{geo} values (Tables 6 and 7) vary according to metal type and spatially inside the BSC. According to the Müller (1969) scales, the negative values of I_{geo} indicated that the BSC sediment could be described as uncontaminated sediment with the studied metals, with some exceptions. According to the I_{geo} index, site S1 is the most impacted site with Mn classified as moderately polluted, Al and Cr considered unpolluted to moderately polluted. Site S3 comes next with Mn classified as moderately polluted, Al considered unpolluted to moderately polluted, whereas Cr considered unpolluted. At site S2, Al and Mn were classified as unpolluted to moderately polluted, whereas Cr was classified as unpolluted according to the I_{geo} index. Based on the I_{geo} values (Tables 6 and 7), the trace metals are arranged in the descending rank of the average as follows: Mn>Cr>Al according to ASV and Mn>Al>Cr according to PAHDC. The potential ecological risk factor (E_r) in the sediments (Tables 6 and 7) indicated that all the Cr and Mn mean Er values were lower than 40 (i.e., Er<40), which showed low ecological risk (Hakanson, 1980). Based on the E_r values, the trace metals are arranged in the next descending rank of the average as follows: Cr>Mn according to ASV and Mn>Cr according to PAHDC. Seasonally, E_r values were arranged in descending order: winter> autumn> spring> summer. The DC (degree of contamination) values indicate that the sediments of BSC have a low degree of contamination (Tables 6 and 7) based on Hakanson (1980) scale. DC values were arranged in descending order of the average as follows: S1>S3>S2 and winter> autumn> spring> summer. Pollution Load Index (PLI) is a powerful method by which the complete risks of HMs could be assessed (Tomlinson et al., 1980). It also offers to analyzing, process, and conveying relevant ecological data to policy managers, makers, technicians, and the community (Harikumar and Jisha, 2010; Mohiuddin et al., **2010**). The PLI can supply some understanding of the aquatic ecosystem quality that influences the residents (Ali et al., 2016). Furthermore, it also gives important data about the area of study pollution status that helps decision-makers make any decision (Suresh et al., **2011**). PLI values in different sites showed that Site S1 has the highest value of PLI, which indicates the pollution of this site. S3 comes next and finally S1 with the lowest PLI value. According to PAHDC (Abu Khatita, 2011), the canal's PLI indicated that the BSC sediments are polluted (Tables 6 and 7). PLI values were arranged in descending order of the average as follows: S1>S3>S2 and winter> autumn> spring> summer. During different seasons, the three sites were at a low-risk level of pollution where the RI (potential ecological risk index) values were much lower than 150 (Tables 6 and 7) as mentioned by Hakanson (1980). RI values were arranged in descending order of the average as follows: S1>S3>S2 and winter> autumn> spring> summer.



Fig. (2): Box and whisker plots of the spatial (A-C), seasonal (D-F) and total mean variations of Al, Cr and Mn concentrations in sediment of BSC.

Location	Al	Cr	Mn	References
Bahr Shebeen Canal,	31451-	24.33-	332.42-	N 1
Minoufiya governorate	82470	131.03	1223.25	Present study
River Nile at Aswan	51.73- 25716.32	44.01- 1140.9	0.0-2204.01	Korium <i>et al.</i> (2006)
River Nile at Aswan	-	8.8	210.36	Osman and Kloas (2010)
River Nile at Kena	_	11.1	159.84	Osman and Kloas (2010)
River Nile at Assiut	_	-	72.5-98.6	Mekkawy <i>et al.</i> (2008)
River Nile at Assiut	_	17.6	273.35	Osman and Kloas (2010)
River Nile at Beny-Suef	_	10.3	221.72	Osman and Kloas (2010)
River Nile at Beny-Suef	_	15.15	_	Mahmoud <i>et al.</i> (2017)
River Nile from Idfo to Cairo	-	_	94-2425	Abdel-Satar (2005)
Nile River at Greater Cairo district	-	38-46	290-640	LasheenandAmmar (2009)
Nile Delta Barrage on Rosetta Branch	12365.5	92.50	225.19	El Bouraie <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Rosetta branch of River Nile	_	8.7	269.96	Osman and Kloas (2010)
Damietta branch of River Nile	_	9.1	351.79	Osman and Kloas (2010)
Lake Nasser	_	109.4	567.57	Soltan et al. (2005)
Lake Nasser	_	30.79	279.6	Goher <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Lake Manzala	17,348- 40,455	10.64- 44.22	295-582	Elkady et al. (2015)
Lake Qarun	350.31– 671.35	54.7– 143.31	-	Soliman <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Lake El Temsah	_	12.90– 70.84	123.56– 642.37	Soliman <i>et al.</i> (2019)

Table (5): Comparison of HMs concentrations $(mgkg^{-1} dw)$ in sediments of BSC with other Egyptian regions.

4. Sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) and sediments classification

SQGs are used to estimate the adverse biological influences of HMs in sediments and give for permissible concentrations of toxic elements to protect the organisms living in near sediments (MacDonald et al., 2000; Palma et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017). The concentrations of HMs in the collected BSC sediment samples, the current study, were compared with LEL, TEL, TEC, ERL, MET, Cons-Based TEC, SEL, PEL, ERM, TET, PEC, and Cons-Based PEC values (Table 2). Al was higher than PEC in 56.52% of samples. Cr was greater than LEL, TEL, MET, ERL, TEC, Cons-Based TEC, SEL, PEL, TET, and Cons-Based PEC in 95.65, 95.65, 86.96, 68.12, 86.96, 95.65, 13.04, 43.48, 30.43 and 13.04% of samples, respectively. Mn was higher than LEL, SEL, and PEC in 95.65, 10.15, and 15.94% of samples, respectively. Based on the SQGs, the adverse impacts on aquatic biota cannot happen when the concentrations of HMs are underneath the TEL, whereas it may occur as the concentrations reach the PEL (Macdonald et al., 1996; Smith et al., 1996). The Cr concentrations in BSC sediments exceeded the levels of TEL. In their study on sediments of the Beisan River, Tianjin, Qi et al. (2013) proposed Mn values of 460 mg/kg and 1,100 mg/kg for TEC and PEC, respectively, so these values have been used for current work. In the present study, at all three sampling sites, Mn concentrations in some sediment samples exceed the TEC (Table .2), whereas some sediment samples at site S3 exceed the PEC. According to the USEPA sediments classification (Table 2), generally, it was observed that 4.35% of the collected sediment samples were non-polluted with Cr; 21.74% and 4.35% of samples were moderately-polluted with Cr and Mn, respectively, while 73.91% and 95.65% of sediment samples were heavily polluted with Cr and Mn, respectively. Present results revealed that the examined metals concentrations might be related to biologically adverse health effects to sediment-dwelling biota (MacDonald et al., 2000).

5. Human health risk assessment

The dermal contact of HMs in sediment is regarded as an important exposure pathway. There are many means to expose to metals due to sediment dermal contact as playing, wading, working, etc. (Proshad et al., 2019). The calculated CDI (chronic daily intakes) (Table 8) of the measured metals by dermal contact showed that CDI of Al, Cr, and Mn was lower than the values of dermal RfD for adult and children at different sites and during different seasons except for Cr in case of the adult at Site 1. So, there is a probability of developing non-carcinogenic hazard due to dermal exposure of Cr for the adult at this site. The potential non-carcinogenic lethal influences caused by HMs are commonly distinguished by hazard quotient (HQ) calculation. A glance at table (8) revealed that Al, Cr, and Mn have HQ values; as a result of dermal contact; lower than 1 (i.e., HQ<1) for adult and children at different sites and during different seasons except for Cr in case of the adult at Site 1. This proposes that there may be non-carcinogenic adverse health influence to adults via dermal absorption way on over Cr exposure at this site, indicating non-carcinogenic risk to adults. Due to the exposure to metals and metalloids, the collective effects were computed as HI (hazard index) (Qing et al., 2015). Table (8) showed that the HI values; at different sites and during different seasons; were higher than one (HI>1) for adults, whereas HI values for children were lower than one (HI<1). Therefore, there was a possibility of having a noncancer hazard for Al, Cr, and Mn on adult health via dermal contact exposure to BSC sediments indicating pose non-cancer risk. In contrast, there was no non-carcinogenic risk for children.

	Ac	cording to ASV (T	'urekian a	nd Wedepohl,	1961)			According to PAH	DC of the	River Nile sediments (Abu l	Khatita, 2	2011)
Sites	Al		Cr		Mn		Al		Cr		Mn	
	EF	Rank	EF	Rank	EF	Rank	EF	Rank	EF	Rank	EF	Rank
Site 1	1.000	No enrichment	1.414	Minor	1.255	Minor	1.000	No enrichment	0.883	No enrichment	1.555	Minor
Site 2	1.000	No enrichment	1.364	Minor	1.383	Minor	1.000	No enrichment	0.852	No enrichment	1.714	Minor
Site 3	1.000	No enrichment	1.260	Minor	1.626	Minor	1.000	No enrichment	0.787	No enrichment	2.015	Minor
G. Avg.	1.000	No enrichment	1.350	Minor	1.412	Minor	1.000	No enrichment	0.843	No enrichment	1.751	Minor
Sites	Al		Cr		Mn		Al		Cr		Mn	
	CF	Rank	CF	Rank	CF	Rank	CF	Rank	CF	Rank	CF	Rank
Site 1	0.838	Low	1.171	Moderate	1.049	Moderate	2.081	Moderate	1.816	Moderate	3.230	Considerable
Site 2	0.638	Low	0.878	Low	0.878	Low	1.585	Moderate	1.363	Moderate	2.703	Moderate
Site 3	0.666	Low	0.824	Low	1.043	Moderate	1.653	Moderate	1.279	Moderate	3.212	Considerable
G. Avg.	0.716	Low	0.964	Low	0.987	Low	1.778	Moderate	1.495	Moderate	3.041	Considerable
Sites	Al		Cr		Mn		Al		Cr		Mn	
	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollution
Site 1	1.031	Moderate	1.456	Moderate	1.289	Moderate	2.561	Moderate	2.259	Moderate	3.971	Severe or very severe
Site 2	0.814	Low	1.404	Moderate	1.245	Moderate	2.022	Moderate	2.172	Moderate	3.833	Severe or very severe
Site 3	0.866	Low	1.217	Moderate	1.439	Moderate	2.151	Moderate	1.897	Moderate	4.431	Severe or very severe
G. Avg.	1.031	Moderate	1.456	Moderate	1.439	Moderate	2.244	Moderate	2.109	Moderate	4.079	Severe or very severe
Sites	Al		Cr		Mn		Al		Cr		Mn	
	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank
Site 1	-0.852	Unpolluted	-0.368	Unpolluted	-0.533	Unpolluted	0.460	Unpolluted to moderated	0.266	Unpolluted to moderated	1.089	Moderated polluted
Site 2	-1.281	Unpolluted	-0.888	Unpolluted	-0.844	Unpolluted	0.032	Unpolluted to moderated	-0.254	Unpolluted	0.779	Unpolluted to moderated
Site 3	-1.208	Unpolluted	-0.912	Unpolluted	-0.586	Unpolluted	0.105	Unpolluted to moderated	-0.278	Unpolluted	1.037	Moderated polluted
G. Avg.	-1.109	Unpolluted	-0.714	Unpolluted	-0.657	Unpolluted	0.203	Unpolluted to moderated	-0.080	Unpolluted	0.965	Unpolluted to moderated
Sites	Al		Cr		Mn		Al		Cr		Mn	
	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade
Site 1	-	_	2.341	Low risk	1.049	Low risk	-	-	3.633	Low risk	3.230	Low risk
Site 2	-	-	1.757	Low risk	0.878	Low risk	-	-	2.726	Low risk	2.703	Low risk
Site 3	-	-	1.649	Low risk	1.043	Low risk	-	-	2.558	Low risk	3.212	Low risk
G. Avg.	-	-	1.927	Low risk	0.987	Low risk	-	_	2.990	Low risk	3.041	Low risk
Sites	DC		PLI		RI		DC		PLI		RI	
	value	Grade	value	Grade	value	Grade	value	Grade	value	Grade	value	Grade
Site 1	3.057	Low	1.006	Polluted	3.390	Low risk	7.127	Low degree of contamination	2.294	Polluted	6.862	Low ecological risk
Site 2	2.394	Low	0.783	Unpolluted	2.634	Low risk	5.650	Low degree of contamination	1.786	Polluted	5.429	Low ecological risk
Site 3	2.533	Low	0.821	Unpolluted	2.691	Low risk	6.144	Low degree of contamination	1.873	Polluted	5.770	Low ecological risk
G. Avg.	2.667	Low	0.872	Unpolluted	2.914	Low risk	6.314	Low degree of contamination	1.989	Polluted	6.031	Low ecological risk

Table (6): Results of the single and integrated pollution indices of the measured HMs in BSC sediment at different studied sites.

ASV = Average shale values. PAHDC = Pre-Aswan High Dam Concentrations. G. Avg. = grand average.

	Accord	ling to ASV (Tu	rekian a	and Wedep	ohl, 1961)		According to PAHDC of the River Nile sediments (Abu Khatita, 2011)								Khatita, 2011)	
Seasons	Al		Cr		Mn			Al			Cr			Mn		
	EF	Rank	EF	Rank	EF	Rank		EF	Rank		EF	Rar	k	EF		Rank
Spring	1.000	No enrichment	1.6	544 Mi	nor 1.	.569	Minor	· 1.(000	No enrichn	nent	1.027	Minor		1.945	Minor
Summer	1.000	No enrichment	0.8	866 Mi	nor 1.	.009	Minor	. 1.(000	No enrichn	nent	0.541	No enrichme	nt	1.252	Minor
Autumn	1.000	No enrichment	1.4	-05 Mi	nor 1.	.459	Minor	. 1.(000	No enrichn	nent	0.877	No enrichme	nt	1.809	Minor
Winter	1.000	No enrichment	1.3	84 Mi	nor 1.	.467	Minor	. 1.0	000	No enrichn	nent	0.865	No enrichme	nt	1.819	Minor
G. Avg.	1.000	No enrichment	1.3	50	1.	.412		1.0	000	No enrichn	nent	0.843	No enrichme	nt	1.751	Minor
Seasons	Al		Cr		Mn			Al			Cr			Mn		
	CF	Rank	CF	Rank	CF	Rank	-	CF	Rank		CF	Ran	k	CF		Rank
Spring	0.595	Low	0.958	Low	0.849	Low		1.479	Mod	erate	1.487	7 Mo	derate	2.61	6	Moderate
Summer	0.756	Low	0.697	Low	0.779	Low		1.877	Mod	erate	1.082	2 Mo	derate	2.39	8	Moderate
Autumn	0.721	Low	1.002	Moderat	e 1.028	Mod	erate	1.790	Mod	erate	1.555	5 Mo	derate	3.16	7	Considerable
Winter	0.727	Low	1.021	Moderat	e 1.056	Mod	erate	1.805	Mod	erate	1.585	5 Mo	derate	3.252	2	Considerable
G. Avg.	0.716	Low	0.964	Low	0.987	Low		1.778	Mod	erate	1.495	5 Mo	derate	3.04	1	Considerable
Seasons	Al		Cr		Mn			Al			Cr			Mn		
	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollution	Ср	Pollu	tion	Ср	Pollu	tion	Ср	Pol	ution	Ср		Pollution
Spring	0.789	Low	1.200	Moderat	e 0.859	Low		1.959	Mod	erate	1.862	2 Mo	derate	2.64	5	Moderate
Summer	1.031	Moderate	1.154	Moderat	e 1.255	Mod	erate	2.561	Mod	erate	1.793	3 Mo	derate	3.86	6	Severe or very severe
Autumn	0.960	Low	1.404	Moderat	e 1.439	Mod	erate	2.385	Mod	erate	2.172	2 Mo	derate	4.43	1	Severe or very severe
Winter	0.894	Low	1.456	Moderat	e 1.345	Mod	erate	2.220	Mod	erate	2.259) Mo	derate	4.14	1	Severe or very severe
G. Avg.	1.031	Moderate	1.456	Moderat	e 1.439	Mod	erate	2.244	Mod	erate	2.109) Mo	derate	4.07	9	Severe or very severe
Seasons	Al		Cr		Mn			Al			Cr			Mn		

Table (7): Results of the single and integrated pollution indices of the measured HMs in BSC sediment during different seasons.

	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank		Igeo	Rank	Igeo	Rank	
Spring	-1.402	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	-	Unnallutad		0.054	Unnolluted	0.802	Unpolluted	to
			0.688		0.821		0.089	Onponuted		-0.034	Onponuted	0.802	moderated	
Summer	-1.095	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	0.218	Unpolluted	to	-0.701	Unpolluted	0.521	Unpolluted	to
			1.335		1.101		0.210	moderated		-0.701	Onpolluted	0.521	moderated	
Autumn	-1.084	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	0 229	Unpolluted	to	0.020	Unpolluted to	1 049	Moderated nolluted	
			0.614		0.573		0.227	moderated		0.020	moderated	1.049	Moderated ponded	
Winter	-1.070	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	0.242	Unpolluted	to	0.020	Unpolluted to	1 073	Moderated polluted	
			0.614		0.549		0.212	moderated		0.020	moderated	1.075	moueratea ponatea	
G. Avg.	-1.109	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	-	Unpolluted	0.203	Unpolluted	to	-0.080	Unpolluted	0.965	Unpolluted	to
			0.714		0.657		0.200	moderated		0.000	enponatea	0.700	moderated	
Seasons	Al		Cr		Mn		Al			Cr		Mn		
	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade		Er	Risk grade	Er	Risk grade	
Spring	_	_	1.916	Low risk	0.849	Low risk	_	-		2.974	Low risk	2.616	Low risk	
Summer	_	_	1.394	Low risk	0.779	Low risk	_	_		2.163	Low risk	2.398	Low risk	
Autumn	_	_	2.005	Low risk	1.028	Low risk	_	_		3.110	Low risk	3.167	Low risk	
Winter	_	_	2.042	Low risk	1.056	Low risk	_	_		3.169	Low risk	3.252	Low risk	
G. Avg.	_	_	1.927	Low risk	0.987	Low risk	_	_		2.990	Low risk	3.041	Low risk	
Seasons	DC		PLI		RI		DC			PLI		RI		
	value	Grade	value	Grade	value	Grade	value	Grade		value	Grade	value	Grade	
Spring	2.403	Low	0.779	Unpolluted	2.766	Low risk	5.582	Low degree contamination	of	1.776	Polluted	5.590	Low ecological risk	
Summer	2.231	Low	0.739	Unpolluted	2.173	Low risk	5.356	Low degree contamination	of	1.686	Polluted	4.561	Low ecological risk	
Autumn	2.751	Low	0.900	Unpolluted	3.033	Low risk	6.512	Low degree contamination	of	2.052	Polluted	6.277	Low ecological risk	
Winter	2.804	Low	0.915	Unpolluted	3.098	Low risk	6.641	Low degree contamination	of	2.086	Polluted	6.421	Low ecological risk	
G. Avg.	2.667	Low	0.872	Unpolluted	2.914	Low risk	6.314	Low degree contamination	of	1.989	Polluted	6.031	Low ecological risk	

ASV = Average shale values. PAHDC = Pre-Aswan High Dam Concentrations. G. Avg. = grand average.

	Metals					
	Aluminiu	m (Al)	Chromiun	n (Cr)	Mangane	se (Mn)
	Adult	Children	Adult	Children	Adult	Children
CDI						
Sites						
Site 1	4.27E-02	1.37E-03	6.72E-05	2.16E-06	5.68E-04	1.82E-05
Site 2	3.25E-02	1.04E-03	5.04E-05	1.62E-06	4.76E-04	1.53E-05
Site 3	3.40E-02	1.09E-03	4.73E-05	1.52E-06	5.65E-04	1.81E-05
G. Avg.	3.65E-02	1.17E-03	5.53E-05	1.77E-06	5.35E-04	1.72E-05
Seasons						
Spring	3.04E-02	9.75E-04	5.50E-05	1.76E-06	4.60E-04	1.48E-05
Summer	3.85E-02	1.24E-03	4.00E-05	1.28E-06	4.22E-04	1.35E-05
Autumn	3.68E-02	1.18E-03	5.75E-05	1.85E-06	5.57E-04	1.79E-05
Winter	3.71E-02	1.19E-03	5.86E-05	1.88E-06	5.72E-04	1.84E-05
G. Avg.	3.65E-02	1.17E-03	5.53E-05	1.77E-06	5.35E-04	1.72E-05
RfD _{derm}	1.00E-01		6.00E-05		1.84E-03	
НО						
Sites						
Site 1	4.27E-01	1.37E-02	1.12E+00	3.59E-02	3.09E-01	9.91E-03
Site 2	3.25E-01	1.04E-02	8.40E-01	2.70E-02	2.59E-01	8.29E-03
Site 3	3.40E-01	1.09E-02	7.88E-01	2.53E-02	3.07E-01	9.85E-03
G. Avg.	3.65E-01	1.17E-02	9.22E-01	2.96E-02	2.91E-01	9.33E-03
Seasons						
Spring	3.04E-01	9.75E-03	9.17E-01	2.94E-02	2.50E-01	8.03E-03
Summer	3.85E-01	1.24E-02	6.67E-01	2.14E-02	2.29E-01	7.36E-03
Autumn	3.68E-01	1.18E-02	9.59E-01	3.08E-02	3.03E-01	9.72E-03
Winter	3.71E-01	1.19E-02	9.77E-01	3.13E-02	3.11E-01	9.98E-03
G. Avg.	3.65E-01	1.17E-02	9.22E-01	2.96E-02	2.91E-01	9.33E-03
н						
Sites	Adult	children	Seasons	Adult	children	
Site 1	1.86E+00	5.95E-02	Spring	1.47E+00	4.72E-02	
Site 2	1.42E+00	4.57E-02	Summer	1.28E+00	4.11E-02	
Site 3	1.44E+00	4.60E-02	Autumn	1.63E+00	5.23E-02	
G. Avg.	1.58E+00	5.06E-02	Winter	1.66E+00	5.32E-02	
C			G. Avg.	1.58E+00	5.06E-02	
CRI of Cr			U			
Sites	Adult	children	Seasons	Adult	children	
Site 1	2.82E-03	9.05E-05	Spring	2.31E-03	7.41E-05	
Site 2	2.12E-03	6.79E-05	Summer	1.68E-03	5.39E-05	
Site 3	1.99E-03	6.37E-05	Autumn	2.42E-03	7.75E-05	
G. Avg.	2.32E-03	7.45E-05	Winter	2.46E-03	7.90E-05	
			G. Avg.	2.32E-03	7.45E-05	

Table (8): Spatial and seasonal calculated CDI (mg/kg/day), HQ, HI and CRI values of metals from dermal contact in sediment of BSC.

CDI = chronic daily intake. HQ = hazard quotient. HI = hazard index.

CRI = Carcinogenic risk index. G. Avg. = grand average. RfD_{derm} = Dermal RfD (mg/kg/day).

HI can serve as a conservative evaluation tool to estimate high-end-risk rather than lowend risk to protect the public (Qu *et al.*, 2012). Paustenbach (2003) and Qing *et al.* (2015) reported that the chronic non-cancer effect is possible to happen and the possibility increases with the HI values increase, if the HI > 1, whereas, if the value of HI < 1, so, no risk of noncarcinogenic effects is believed to occur. By comparing the children's and adult's HI values, it can be deduced that adults have much more chances of non-carcinogenic risk from Cr in the sediment than children. Carcinogenic risk is considered as the possibility of an individual evolving any kind of cancer in the entire life span as a result of exposure to carcinogenic risks (Li *et al.*, 2014). The carcinogenic risk index (CRI) for dermal contact of exposure; of adults and children; was computed for Cr (Table 8). The CRI from Cr at all sites and during different seasons via dermal contact were higher than the target value 1 X 10⁻⁶ (USEPA, 2011), i.e., were so much higher than the standard value that there could be cancer risk to adult and children in the investigated area. It was reported previously that the chromium, especially Cr (VI), has been determined to be a human carcinogen for which there is adequate evidence of carcinogenic risk (Wang *et al.*, 2011; Karimi Nezhad *et al.*, 2015).

CONCLUSION

The present work has shown the presence of higher Al, Cr, and Mn concentrations in the BSC sediments, which are obvious anthropogenic activities influences sign within the BSC aquatic environment. Values of the sediment pollution indices may suggest that sediments of BSC are categorized as unpolluted to low/or moderately polluted. Comparing the HMs concentrations in the sediment of BSC with the SQGs and sediment classification grades indicate that there are levels with the potential to impact the ecosystem. Human health risk assessment indices were used to evaluate exposure to HMs from dermal sediments exposure. There is no non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic serious risk for children right now as their health risk indices values are lower than the safe threshold levels. For an adult, the Cr carcinogenic risk value is higher than the threshold value (1 X 10⁻⁶), indicating that adults are facing Cr threat. Finally, it is recommended to prohibit the discharges of agricultural runoffs, industrial wastes, and untreated urban and other wastes into the BSC. The present work can help decision-makers with an insightful view on improving the environmental protection strategies of BSC.

Funding

This research (2014 - 2016) was funded by the University of Minoufiya Research Projects Fund for this article's first author.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Satar, A.M. (2005). Quality of River Nile sediments from Idfo to Cairo. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 31(2): 182–199. <u>http://www.niof.sci.eg/images/ejar/vol-</u> 31/n2/QUALITY%200F%20RIVER%20NILE%20SEDIMENTS%20FROM%20IDFO%20TO.pdf
- Abu Khatita, A.M. (2011). Assessment of soil and sediment contamination in the Middle Nile Delta area (Egypt)- Geo-Environmental study using combined sedimentological, geophysical and geochemical methods. Faculty of Science, Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany, 214 p. <u>https://opus4.kobv.de/opus4-fau/frontdoor/index/index/docld/1884</u>

- Al-Alimi, A.K.A.A. and Alhudify, N.S. (2016). Assessment of heavy metals contamination and its ecological risk in the surface sediments of al-mukalla coast, Yemen. Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 3(3): 13–23. <u>http://jsaer.com/download/vol-3-iss-3-2016/JSAER2016-03-03-13-23.pdf</u>
- Al-Taani, A.A.; Rashdan, M. and Khashashneh, S. (2015). Atmospheric dry deposition of mineral dust to the Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea: Rate and trace elements. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 92(1): 252–258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.11.047</u>
- Ali, M.M.; Ali, M.L.; Islam, M.S. and Rahman, M.Z. (2016). Preliminary assessment of heavy metals in water and sediment of Karnaphuli River, Bangladesh. Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management, 5: 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.enmm.2016.01.002
- Alnenaei, A.E. and Authman, M. (2010). Impact of human activities on aluminum contamination in the drainage canals in the Nile Delta, Egypt. Toxicology Letters, 196S: S114, P108-002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2010.03.403
- Anonymous (1997). Investigation of Lake Qarun ecosystem, Final Report submitted to USAID and National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF), Cairo, Egypt, 287 p.
- ATSDR (2012). Toxicological profile for manganese. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Atlanta, GA, 556 p. <u>https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp.asp?id=102&tid=23</u>
- Authman, M.M.N. (2008). Oreochromis niloticus as a biomonitor of heavy metal pollution with emphasis on potential risk and relation to some biological aspects. Global Veterenaria, 2(3): 104–109. <u>http://idosi.org/gv/gv2(3)08/3.pdf</u>
- Authman, M.M.N. (2011). Environmental and experimental studies of aluminium toxicity on the liver of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fish. Life Science Journal, 8(4): 764–776. http://www.lifesciencesite.com/lsj/life0804/100_7479life0804_764_776.pdf
- Authman, M.M.N.; Bayoumy, E.M. and Kenawy, A.M. (2008). Heavy metal concentrations and liver histopathology of *Oreochromis niloticus* in relation to aquatic pollution. Global Veterenaria, 2(3): 110–116. <u>http://idosi.org/gv/gv2(3)08/4.pdf</u>
- Bai, J.; Cui, B.; Chen, B.; Zhang, K.; Deng, W.; Gao, H. and Xiao, R. (2011). Spatial distribution and ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in surface sediments from a typical plateau lake wetland, China. Ecological Modelling, 222(2): 301–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.12.002
- Barik, S.K.; Muduli, P.R.; Mohanty, B.; Rath, P. and Samanta, S. (2018). Spatial distribution and potential biological risk of some metals in relation to granulometric content in core sediments from Chilika Lake, India. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(1): 572–587. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0421-4</u>
- Bastami, K.D.; Afkhami, M.; Mohammadizadeh, M.; Ehsanpour, M.; Chambari, S.; Aghaei, S.;
 Esmaeilzadeh, M.; Neyestani, M.R.; Lagzaee, F. and Baniamam, M. (2015).
 Bioaccumulation and ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in the sediments and mullet *Liza klunzingeri* in the northern part of the Persian Gulf. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 94(1-2): 329–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.01.019

- Batayneh, A.T.; Ghrefat, H.; Zumlot, T.; Elawadi, E.; Mogren, S.; Zaman, H.; Al-Taani, A.A.; Nazzal, Y. and Elwahaidi, M. (2015). Assessing of metals and metalloids in surface sediments along the Gulf of Aqaba Coast, Northwestern Saudi Arabia. Journal of Coastal Research, 31(1): 163–176. https://doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-13-00143.1
- Bibi, M.; Wagreich, M. and Iqbal, S. (2020). Trace metals as markers for historical anthropogenic contamination: Evidence from the Peshawar Basin, Pakistan. Science of the Total Environment, 703: 134926. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134926</u>
- Bing, H.; Wu, Y.; Zhou, J.; Sun, H.; Wang, X. and Zhu, H. (2019). Spatial variation of heavy metal contamination in the riparian sediments after two-year flow regulation in the Three Gorges Reservoir, China. Science of The total Environment, 649: 1004–1016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.401
- Binning, K. and Baird, D. (2001). Survey of heavy metals in the sediments of the Swartkops River Estuary, Port Elizabeth South Africa. Water SA, 27(4): 461–466. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v27i4.4958</u>
- Black, M.C. and Williams, P.L. (2001). Preliminary assessment of metal toxicity in the middle tisza river (Hungary) flood plain. Journal of Soils and Sediments, 1(4): 213–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02987727
- Buhari, T.R.I. and Ismail, A. (2016). Heavy Metals Pollution and Ecological Risk Assessment in Surface Sediments of West Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, 7(10): 750–756. https://doi:10.18178/ijesd.2016.7.10.874
- CCME (2012). Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, December 2012, 9 p. <u>http://probeinternational.org/library/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/CCME-Sediment-</u> Quality-Guidelines-for-the-Protection-of-Aquatic-Life-Dec-2012.pdf
- Chabukdhara, M. and Nema, A.K. (2013). Heavy metals assessment in urban soil around industrial clusters in Ghaziabad, India: Probabilistic health risk approach. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 87: 57–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2012.08.032
- **Dauvalter, V. and Rognerud, S. (2001).** Heavy metal pollution in sediments of the Pasvik River drainage. Chemosphere, 42(1): 9–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00094-1</u>
- Davies, C.a.L.; Tomlinson, K. and Stephenson, T. (1991). Heavy metals in river tees estuary sediments. Environmental Technology, 12(11): 961–972. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593339109385095
- de Mora, S.; Fowler, S.W.; Wyse, E. and Azemard, S. (2004). Distribution of heavy metals in marine bivalves, fish and coastal sediments in the Gulf and Gulf of Oman. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 49(5): 410–424. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.02.029</u>
- **Duncan, D.B. (1955).** Multiple Range and Multiple *F* Tests. Biometrics, 11(1): 1-42. https://doi:10.2307/3001478
- El-Gamal, A.A. (2016). Sediment and Water Quality of the Nile Delta Estuaries. In: "The Nile Delta. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry", vol 55. Negm, A.M. (Ed.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, pp. 347–378 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2016_47</u>

- El-Radaideh, N.; Al-Taani, A.A. and Al Khateeb, W.M. (2017). Characteristics and quality of reservoir sediments, Mujib Dam, Central Jordan, as a case study. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 189(4): 143, 118 p. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5836-3</u>
- El-Sayed, S.A.; Moussa, E.M.M. and El-Sabagh, M.E.I. (2015). Evaluation of heavy metal content in Qaroun Lake, El-Fayoum, Egypt. Part I: Bottom sediments. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 8(3): 276–285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2015.02.011</u>
- El Bouraie, M.M.; El Barbary, A.A.; Yehia, M.M. and Motawea, E.A. (2010). Heavy metal concentrations in surface river water and bed sediments at Nile Delta in Egypt. Suoseura Finnish Peatland Society, 61(1): 1–12. <u>http://www.suoseura.fi/suo</u>
- **Elewa, A.S. (1993).** Distribution of Mn, Cu, Zn, and Cd in water, sediments and aquatic plants in River Nile and Aswan Reservoir. Egyptian Journal of Applied Science, 8(2): 711–723.
- Elkady, A.A.; Sweet, S.T.; Wade, T.L. and Klein, A.G. (2015). Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the aquatic environment of Lake Manzala, Egypt. Ecological Indicators, 58: 445–457. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.029</u>
- Gao, X. and Chen, C.-T.A. (2012). Heavy metal pollution status in surface sediments of the coastal Bohai Bay. Water Research, 46(6): 1901–1911. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.01.007</u>
- Gerke, T.L. and Little, B.J. (2018). Manganese. In: "Encyclopedia of Geochemistry: A Comprehensive Reference Source on the Chemistry of the Earth". White, W.M. (Ed.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland: pp. 864–867. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39312-4 119
- Giesy, J.P. and Hoke, R.A. (1990). Freshwater Sediment Quality Criteria: Toxicity Bioassessment.
 In: "Sediments: Chemistry and Toxicity of In-Place Pollutants". Baudo, R.; Giesy, J.P. & Muntau, H. (Eds.). Lewis Publishers Inc., Ann Arbor, MI, pp. 265–348
 http://www.usask.ca/toxicology/jgiesy/pdf/publications/BC-029.pdf
- Goher, M.E.; Ali, M.H.H. and El-Sayed, S.M. (2019). Heavy metals contents in Nasser Lake and the Nile River, Egypt: An overview. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2019.12.002
- Goher, M.E.; Farhat, H.I.; Abdo, M.H. and Salem, S.G. (2014). Metal pollution assessment in the surface sediment of Lake Nasser, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 40(3): 213–224. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2014.09.004</u>
- Gu, J.; Salem, A. and Chen, Z. (2013). Lagoons of the Nile delta, Egypt, heavy metal sink: With a special reference to the Yangtze estuary of China. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 117: 282–292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.06.012</u>
- Hakanson, L. (1980). An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A sedimentological approach. Water Research, 14(8): 975–1001. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8</u>
- Harikumar, P.S. and Jisha, T.S. (2010). Distribution pattern of trace metal pollutants in the sediments of an urban wetland in the southwest coast of India. International Journal of

EngineeringScienceandTechnology,2(5):840–850.https://www.ijest.info/docs/IJEST10-02-05-40.pdf

- Ho, K.C. and Hui, K.C.C. (2001). Chemical contamination of the East River (Dongjiang) and its implication on sustainable development in the Pearl River Delta. Environment International, 26(5): 303–308. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(01)00004-6</u>
- Huang, B.; Guo, Z.; Xiao, X.; Zeng, P. and Peng, C. (2019). Changes in chemical fractions and ecological risk prediction of heavy metals in estuarine sediments of Chunfeng Lake estuary, China. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 138: 575–583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.12.015
- Huang, P.; Li, T.-G.; Li, A.-C.; Yu, X.-K. and Hu, N.-J. (2014). Distribution, enrichment and sources of heavy metals in surface sediments of the North Yellow Sea. Continental Shelf Research, 73: 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.11.014</u>
- Iqbal, J.; Saleem, M. and Shah, M.H. (2016). Spatial distribution, environmental assessment and source identification of metals content in surface sediments of freshwater reservoir, Pakistan. Chemie der Erde, 76(1): 171–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer. 2016.02.002
- Islam, M.S.; Ahmed, M.K.; Raknuzzaman, M.; Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M. and Islam, M.K. (2015). Heavy metal pollution in surface water and sediment: A preliminary assessment of an urban river in a developing country. Ecological Indicators, 48: 282–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.08.016
- Islam, M.S.; Han, S.; Ahmed, M.K. and Masunaga, S. (2014). Assessment of Trace Metal Contamination in Water and Sediment of Some Rivers in Bangladesh. Journal of Water and Environment Technology, 12(2): 109–121. <u>https://doi.org/10.2965/jwet.2014.109</u>
- Jones, D.S.; Suter II, G.W. and Hull, R.N. (1997). Toxicological benchmarks for screening contaminants of potential concern for effects on sediment-associated biota: 1997 Revision. ES/ER/TM-95/R4, Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 by Lockheed Martin Energy Systems, INC., Oak Ridge, TN, 48 p. https://rais.ornl.gov/documents/tm95r4.pdf
- Karimi Nezhad, M.T.; Tabatabaii, S.M. and Gholami, A. (2015). Geochemical assessment of steel smelter-impacted urban soils, Ahvaz, Iran. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 152: 91–109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2015.02.005</u>
- Karthikeyan, R.; Vijayalakshmi, S. and Balasubramanian, T. (2007). Monthly Variation of Heavy Metal and Metal Resistant Bacteria from Uppanar Estuary (South East Coast of India).
 Research Journal of Microbiology, 2(1): 50–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/jm.2007.50.57</u>
- Khallaf, E.A. (2002). An ecological assessment of Bahr Shebeen Nilotic Canal (A Review Paper). Journal of Union of Arab Biologists (Zoology), Cairo, 17(A): 65–75.
- Khallaf, E.A.; Authman, M.M.N. and Alne-na-ei, A.A. (2018a). Contamination and Ecological Hazard Assessment of Heavy Metals in Freshwater Sediments and Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758) Fish Muscles in a Nile River Canal in Egypt. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(14): 13796–13812. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1521-5</u>
- Khallaf, E.A.; Authman, M.M.N. and Alne-na-ei, A.A. (2018b). Evaluation of organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides residues in the sediment and muscles of Nile tilapia

Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758) fish from a River Nile Canal, Egypt. International Journal of Environmental Studies, 75(3): 443–465. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00207233.</u> 2017.1378019

- Khallaf, E.A. and Authman, M.N. (1992). Changes in diet, prey size and feeding habit in *Bagrus bayad*, and possible interactions with *B. docmac* in a Nile canal. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 34(4): 425–431. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00004747</u>
- Khan, A.G.; Kuek, C.; Chaudhry, T.M.; Khoo, C.S. and Hayes, W.J. (2000). Role of plants, mycorrhizae and phytochelators in heavy metal contaminated land remediation. Chemosphere, 41(1): 197–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00412-9
- Korium, M.A.; Toufeek, M.E.F. and El-Haty, E.Y. (2006). Distribution of Al, Ag, Cr, Mn, Ni, Zn and some physicochemical characteristics of River Nile water and sediment at Aswan. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 32(2): 208–225. http://www.niof. sci.eg/images/ejar/vol-32/n2/DISTRIBUTION%20OF%20AL,%20AG,%20CR,%20MN, %20NI, %20ZN%20AND%20SOME.pdf
- Kouadio, I. and Trefry, J.H. (1987). Sediment trace metal contamination in the Ivory Coast, West Africa. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 32(1): 145–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227690
- Lakshmanasenthil, S.; Vinothkumar, T.; Ajithkumar, T.T.; Marudhupandi, T.; Veettil, D.K.; Ganeshamurthy, R.; Ghosh, S. and Balasubramanian, T. (2013). Harmful metals concentration in sediments and fishes of biologically important estuary, Bay of Bengal. Journal of Environmental Health Sciences & Engineering, 11(1): 33, 37 p. https://doi.org/10.1186/2052-336X-11-33
- Lasheen, M.R. and Ammar, N.S. (2009). Speciation of some heavy metals in River Nile sediments, Cairo, Egypt. Environmentalist, 29(1): 8–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10669-008-9175-3</u>
- Li, Z.; Ma, Z.; Van Der Kuijp, T.J.; Yuan, Z. and Huang, L. (2014). A review of soil heavy metal pollution from mines in China: Pollution and health risk assessment. Science of the Total Environment, 468–469: 843–853. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.090</u>
- Lin, L.; Li, C.; Yang, W.; Zhao, L.; Liu, M.; Li, Q. and Crittenden, J.C. (2020). Spatial variations and periodic changes in heavy metals in surface water and sediments of the Three Gorges Reservoir, China. Chemosphere, 240: 124837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124837
- Long, E.R.; Macdonald, D.D.; Smith, S.L. and Calder, F.D. (1995). Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. Environmental Management, 19(1): 81–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02472006</u>
- Loska, K. and Wiechuła, D. (2003). Application of principal component analysis for the estimation of source of heavy metal contamination in surface sediments from the Rybnik Reservoir. Chemosphere, 51(8): 723–733. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00187-5</u>
- Maanan, M.; Saddik, M.; Maanan, M.; Chaibi, M.; Assobhei, O. and Zourarah, B. (2015). Environmental and ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in sediments of Nador

lagoon, Morocco. Ecological Indicators, 48: 616–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ecolind.2014.09.034

- Macdonald, D.D.; Carr, R.S.; Calder, F.D.; Long, E.R. and Ingersoll, C.G. (1996). Development and evaluation of sediment quality guidelines for Florida coastal waters. Ecotoxicology, 5(4): 253–278. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00118995
- MacDonald, D.D.; Ingersoll, C.G. and Berger, T.A. (2000). Development and Evaluation of Consensus-Based Sediment Quality Guidelines for Freshwater Ecosystems. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 39(1): 20–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s002440010075</u>
- Mahmoud, H.M.; Nassar, H.F.; Hamouda, A.S. and Mabrook, F. (2017). Ecological Risk Assessment of Metals Contaminated Sediments from the Nile River at Beni-Suef Governorate, Egypt. Journal of Applied Life Sciences International, 14(1): 1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/JALSI/2017/36288</u>
- Manoj, K. and Padhy, P.K. (2014). Distribution, enrichment and ecological risk assessment of six elements in bed sediments of a tropical river, Chottanagpur Plateau: A spatial and temporal appraisal. Journal of Environmental Protection, 5(14): 1419–1434. https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2014.514136
- Mekkawy, I.A.A.; Mahmoud, U.M. and Ibrahim, A.T.A. (2008). Heavy metal distribution in some organs of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) collected from three localities at Assiut, Egypt and the corresponding tissue damage. Journal of the Egyptian German Society of Zoology, 55(B): 97–127.
- Mohiuddin, K.M.; Ogawa, Y.; Zakir, H.M.; Otomo, K. and Shikazono, N. (2011). Heavy metals contamination in water and sediments of an urban river in a developing country. International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology, 8(4): 723–736. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03326257</u>
- Mohiuddin, K.M.; Zakir, H.M.; Otomo, K.; Sharmin, S. and Shikazono, N. (2010). Geochemical distribution of trace metal pollutants in water and sediments of downstream of an urban river. International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology, 7(1): 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03326113
- Mookherjee, M. (2018). Aluminum. In: "Encyclopedia of Geochemistry: A Comprehensive Reference Source on the Chemistry of the Earth". White, W.M. (Ed.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland: pp. 27–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39312-4_214</u>
- Müller, G. (1969). Index of geo-accumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. Geojournal, 2(3): 108–118.
- Muohi, A.W.; Onyari, J.M.; Omondi, J.G. and Mavuti, K.M. (2003). Heavy metals in sediments from Makupa and Port–Reitz Creek systems: Kenyan Coast. Environment International, 28(7): 639–647. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00104-6</u>
- Osman, A.G.M.; Abuel-Fadl, K.Y.; Elbtar, A.M. and Taha, M.a.M. (2012). Seasonal variations of some heavy metals in water, sediments and fish samples collected from the River Nile, Egypt. Environmental Research Journal, 6(5): 321–328. https://doi.org/10.3923/erj.2012.321.328

- Osman, A.G.M. and Kloas, W. (2010). Water quality and heavy metal monitoring in water, sediments, and tissues of the African Catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) from the River Nile, Egypt. Journal of Environmental Protection, 1(4): 389–400. https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2010.14045
- Osman, G.Y.; Radwan, N.A.; Khalil, A.I. and Abo Msalam, A.M. (2008). Helminth communities of *Bagrus docmac* and *Malepterurus electricus* in three water bodies at Menoufiya Governorate, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Experimental Biology (Zoology), 4(0): 177–192. http://www.egyseb.net/ejebz/?mno=188917
- **Oyewumi, O.; Feldman, J. and Gourley, J.R. (2017).** Evaluating stream sediment chemistry within an agricultural catchment of Lebanon, Northeastern USA. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 189: 141, 115 p. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5856-z</u>
- Palma, P.; Ledo, L. and Alvarenga, P. (2015). Assessment of trace element pollution and its environmental risk to freshwater sediments influenced by anthropogenic contributions: The case study of Alqueva reservoir (Guadiana Basin). CATENA, 128: 174–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2015.02.002
- Paustenbach, D.J. (2003). Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: Theory and Practice. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment, 9(4): 1089–1090. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/713610025</u>
- Pekey, H.; Karakaş, D.; Ayberk, S.; Tolun, L. and Bakoğlu, M. (2004). Ecological risk assessment using trace elements from surface sediments of İzmit Bay (Northeastern Marmara Sea) Turkey. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 48(9): 946–953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.11.023
- Peng, J.-F.; Song, Y.-H.; Yuan, P.; Cui, X.-Y. and Qiu, G.-L. (2009). The remediation of heavy metals contaminated sediment. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 161(2-3): 633–640. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.04.061</u>
- Persaud, D.; Jaagumagi, R. and Hayton, A. (1993). Guidelines for the protection and management of aquatic sediment quality in Ontario. Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy, Ontario, Canada, 39p <u>http://www.itrcweb.org/contsedsbioavailability/References/guide_aquatic_sed93.pdf</u>
- Proshad, R.; Islam, M.S.; Kormoker, T.; Masud, M.E.M. and Ali, M.M. (2018). Assessment of toxic metals contamination with ecological risk of surface water and sediment of Korotoa River in Bangladesh. International Journal of Advanced Geosciences, 6(2): 214– 221. <u>https://doi.org/10.14419/ijag.v6i2.13742</u>
- Proshad, R.; Kormoker, T. and Islam, S. (2019). Distribution, source identification, ecological and health risks of heavy metals in surface sediments of the Rupsa River, Bangladesh. Toxin Reviews: 1–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15569543.2018.1564143</u>
- Qi, W.; Liu, H.; Han, H.; Chai, W. and Qu, J. (2013). A study of metal contamination in sediments in Beisan River System. Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 33(1): 117–124. http://caod.oriprobe.com/articles/31317602/A study of metal contamination in sedi ments in Beisan River System.htm
- Qing, X.; Yutong, Z. and Shenggao, L. (2015). Assessment of heavy metal pollution and human health risk in urban soils of steel industrial city (Anshan), Liaoning, Northeast China.

Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 120: 377–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.06.019

- Qingjie, G.; Jun, D.; Yunchuan, X.; Qingfei, W. and Liqiang, Y. (2008). Calculating Pollution Indices by Heavy Metals in Ecological Geochemistry Assessment and a Case Study in Parks of Beijing. Journal of China University of Geosciences, 19(3): 230–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0705(08)60042-4
- Qu, C.; Sun, K.; Wang, S.; Huang, L. and Bi, J. (2012). Monte Carlo Simulation-Based Health Risk Assessment of Heavy Metal Soil Pollution: A Case Study in the Qixia Mining Area, China. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal, 18(4): 733–750. https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2012.688697
- Rodríguez Martín, J.A.; De Arana, C.; Ramos-Miras, J.J.; Gil, C. and Boluda, R. (2015). Impact of 70 years urban growth associated with heavy metal pollution. Environmental Pollution, 196: 156–163. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.10.014</u>
- Sakan, S.M.; Đorđević, D.S.; Manojlović, D.D. and Predrag, P.S. (2009). Assessment of heavy metal pollutants accumulation in the Tisza river sediments. Journal of Environmental Management, 90(11): 3382–3390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.05.013</u>
- Shakweer, L.M. and Abbas, M.M. (2005). Effect of ecological and biological factors on the uptake and concentration of trace elements by aquatic organisms at Edku Lake. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 31(1): 271–287. <u>http://www.niof.sci.eg/images/ejar/vol-31/n1/EFFECT%200F%20ECOLOGICAL%20AND%20BIOLOGICAL%20FACTORS%20ON%20</u> THE%20UPTAKE%20AND%20CONCENTRATION%20OF%20TRACE%20ELEMENTS%20BY% 20AQUATIC%20ORGANISMS%20AT%20EDKU%20LAKE.PDF
- Sheir, S.K. (2018). Diversity of zooplankton communities at Bahr Shebeen Nilotic canal, El-Menoufia, Egypt: environmental fluctuations or chemical pollution effects? Egyptian Journal of Zoology, 69(69): 175–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.12816/0049669</u>
- Sinex, S.A. and Helz, G.R. (1981). Regional geochemistry of trace elements in Chesapeake Bay sediments. Environmental Geology, 3(6): 315–323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02473521</u>
- Singh, K.P.; Mohan, D.; Sinha, S. and Dalwani, R. (2004). Impact assessment of treated/untreated wastewater toxicants discharged by sewage treatment plants on health, agricultural, and environmental quality in the wastewater disposal area. Chemosphere, 55(2): 227–255. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.10.050</u>
- Smith, S.L.; Macdonald, D.D.; Keenleyside, K.A.; Ingersoll, C.G. and Jay Field, L. (1996). A Preliminary Evaluation of Sediment Quality Assessment Values for Freshwater Ecosystems. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 22(3): 624–638. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(96)70985-1
- Soliman, N.F.; El Zokm, G.M. and Okbah, M.A. (2018). Risk assessment and chemical fractionation of selected elements in surface sediments from Lake Qarun, Egypt using modified BCR technique. Chemosphere, 191: 262–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.chemosphere.2017.10.049
- Soliman, N.F.; Younis, A.M. and Elkady, E.M. (2019). An insight into fractionation, toxicity, mobility and source apportionment of metals in sediments from El Temsah Lake, Suez

Canal. Chemosphere, 222: 165–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.009

- Soltan, M.E.; Moalla, S.M.N.; Rashed, M.N. and Fawzy, E.M. (2005). Physicochemical characteristics and distribution of some metals in the ecosystem of Lake Nasser, Egypt. Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry, 87(2): 167–197. https://doi.org/10.1080/02772240500043322
- Suresh, G.; Ramasamy, V.; Meenakshisundaram, V.; Venkatachalapathy, R. and Ponnusamy, V. (2011). Influence of mineralogical and heavy metal composition on natural radionuclide concentrations in the river sediments. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 69(10): 1466– 1474. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2011.05.020</u>
- Tan, İ. and Aslan, E. (2020). Metal pollution status and ecological risk assessment in marine sediments of the inner Izmit Bay. Regional Studies in Marine Science, 33: 100850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2019.100850</u>
- Taylor, S.R. (1964). Abundance of chemical elements in the continental crust: a new table. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 28(8): 1273–1285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(64)90129-2</u>
- Tomlinson, D.L.; Wilson, J.G.; Harris, C.R. and Jeffrey, D.W. (1980). Problems in the assessment of heavy-metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen, 33(1): 566–575. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02414780</u>
- Turekian, K.K. and Wedepohl, K.H. (1961). Distribution of the Elements in Some Major Units of the Earth's Crust. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 72(2): 175–192. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1961)72[175:DOTEIS]2.0.CO;2
- **USDOE (2019).** The Risk Assessment Information System (RAIS). Toxicity Profiles. United States Department of Energy. Available from: https://rais.ornl.gov/tox/profiles/Aluminum _ragsa.html; https://rais.ornl.gov/tox/profiles/mn_ragsa.html. Accessed June 2019
- USEPA (1989). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A). EPA/540/1-89/002. Interim final. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20450, 291 p. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-09/documents/rags_a.pdf</u>
- USEPA (2001). Baseline human health risk assessment: Vasquez Boulevard and I-70 Superfund site, Denver, CO. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, Denver CO 80202, 170 p. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P1006STM.PDF?Dockey =P1006STM. PDF
- USEPA (2002). Supplemental Guidance gor Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites. OSWER 9355.4-24. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460, 187 p. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/91003IJK.PDF?Dockey=91003IJK.PDF
- USEPA (2007). Dermal exposure assessment: A summary of EPA approaches. EPA/600/R-07/040F. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460, 59 p. http://ofmpub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p download id=469581

- USEPA (2011). Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition (Final Report). EPA/600/R-090/052F. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460, 1466 p. https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1400/ML14007A666.pdf
- Vasiliu, M. and Dixon, D.A. (2018). Chromium. In: "Encyclopedia of Geochemistry: A Comprehensive Reference Source on the Chemistry of the Earth". White, W.M. (Ed.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland: pp. 255–256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39312-4_59</u>
- Wang, Z.-X.; Chen, J.-Q.; Chai, L.-Y.; Yang, Z.-H.; Huang, S.-H. and Zheng, Y. (2011). Environmental impact and site-specific human health risks of chromium in the vicinity of a ferro-alloy manufactory, China. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 190(1-3): 980–985. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.04.039</u>
- Wei, X.; Gao, B.; Wang, P.; Zhou, H. and Lu, J. (2015). Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of heavy metals in street dusts from different functional areas in Beijing, China. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 112: 186–192. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.11.005
- Wu, P.; Yin, A.; Yang, X.; Zhang, H.; Fan, M. and Gao, C. (2017). Toxic elements in the stream sediments of an urbanized basin, Eastern China: urbanization greatly elevates their adverse biological effects. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 189: 167, 113 p. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5887-5</u>
- Wu, S.; Peng, S.; Zhang, X.; Wu, D.; Luo, W.; Zhang, T.; Zhou, S.; Yang, G.; Wan, H. and Wu, L. (2015). Levels and health risk assessments of heavy metals in urban soils in Dongguan, China. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 148: 71-78. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gexplo.2014.08.009
- Wu, Y.-G.; Xu, Y.-N.; Zhang, J.-H. and Hu, S.-H. (2010). Evaluation of ecological risk and primary empirical research on heavy metals in polluted soil over Xiaoqinling gold mining region, Shaanxi, China. Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China, 20(4): 688–694. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(09)60199-0
- Xia, F.; Zhang, C.; Qu, L.; Song, Q.; Ji, X.; Mei, K.; Dahlgren, R.A. and Zhang, M. (2020). A comprehensive analysis and source apportionment of metals in riverine sediments of a rural-urban watershed. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 381: 121230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121230
- Xu, Z.-Q.; Ni, S.-J.; Tuo, X.-G. and Zhang, C.-J. (2008). Calculation of Heavy Metals' Toxicity Coefficient in the Evaluation of Potential Ecological Risk Index. Environmental Science & Technology, 31(2): 112–115. http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTotal-FJKS20080 2029.htm
- Yehia, H.M. and Sebaee, E.S. (2012). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in water, sediment and fish (*Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias anguillaris*), in Rosetta branch of the River Nile, Egypt. African Journal of Biotechnology, 11(77): 14204–14216. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5897/AJB11.3745
- Yi, Y.; Yang, Z. and Zhang, S. (2011). Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in sediment and human health risk assessment of heavy metals in fishes in the middle and lower reaches

of the Yangtze River basin. Environmental Pollution, 159(10): 2575–2585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.011

- Yu, R.; Yuan, X.; Zhao, Y.; Hu, G. and Tu, X. (2008). Heavy metal pollution in intertidal sediments from Quanzhou Bay, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 20(6): 664–669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62110-5</u>
- Zheng, N.; Wang, Q.; Liang, Z. and Zheng, D. (2008). Characterization of heavy metal concentrations in the sediments of three freshwater rivers in Huludao City, Northeast China. Environmental Pollution, 154(1): 135–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.envpol. 2008.01.001