# UTILIZATION OF CORN GERM AND SUNFLOWER SEEDS AS A NEW SOURCES OF LECITHINS AND THEIR MODIFIED FORMS IN MANUFACTURE OF CARAMEL [22]

# Kishk<sup>1</sup>, Y.F.M. and Salwa, M. Abo-El-Fetoh<sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Fractionation and acylation by ethyl alcohol and acetic anhydride were carried out to produce a modified corn germ and sunflower lecithins from their crude lecithins. Emulsification activity and emulsion stability indices (EAI & ESI) were determined at different pH values (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10) for commercial and studied lecithins. EAI was significantly improved in modified lecithins in comparison to crude lecithins with increasing rate of 52.9 and 58.6 % for corn germ and sunflower ethanol soluble fraction lecithins and 15.6 and 20.5 % for acylated corn germ and sunflower lecithins, respectively. The changes in ESI after times of 20, 40 and 60 min. showed a higher stability of emulsions prepared using modified lecithins which were closed to that prepared using commercial soy lecithin. Caramel was prepared using commercial soy, corn germ, sunflower lecithins and their modified forms. Hardness of tested samples was determined in the start of storage time while, acid value, peroxide value, fatty acid pattern and sensory attributes were determined during storage period at room temperature for six months. Data revealed insignificant difference in caramels hardness. Samples contained crude sunflower; acylated corn germ and sunflower lecithins were more sensitive to oxidation while, those prepared using commercial soy, crude corn germ, ethanol soluble fraction of corn germ and sunflower lecithins showed higher oxidative stability with significant differences (P<0.05). Concerning sensory evaluation, both ethanol soluble fractions and acylated lecithins produced high quality caramels not differed (P>0.05) to control one that contained commercial soy lecithin. The quality and quantity of lecithin that used in prepared of caramel samples played a major role in their characteristics. The modified corn germ and sunflower lecithin forms were preferred than the raw lecithins owing to their higher emulsifying activity and lesser quantity used. The ethanol soluble lecithins fraction, especially of corn germ can be competitive to the commercial soy lecithin in all emulsified food products.

Key words: Caramel, Modified lecithin, Corn germ, Sunflower, Emulsifying activity, Emulsion stability.

(Received December 14, 2004) (Accepted January 12, 2005)

<sup>1-</sup> Food Sci. Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo, Egypt.

# INTRODUCTION

Under European legislation lecithins are labeled as "lecithin" or "E322" in the food additive group of substances with antioxidants properties. However, their main use is as emulsifiers (Van Nieuwenhuvzen, 1999). Lecithin can be produced from any crude vegetable oil, but because of the huge quantities of sovbeans grown and processed and owing to the relatively high percentage of phosphatides in sovbean oil practically all over world, soybean oil is the principal commercial source of natural and modified lecithins (Hollo et al 1993). In Egypt corn germ and sunflower seeds are the major source of oils. Thus, corn germ and sunflower oils must be considered as potential sources of lecithins. Distribution of the main phospholipid components of corn germ and sunflower lecithins appears to be rather similar to that of soybean lecithin. Comparison of published data shows no significant difference between soybean and sunflower lecithins, but it points to poorer surface activity of the latter. Concerning of corn germ lecithin, it has higher phosphatidylcholine content than in soybean lecithin (Weber, 1981; Hollo et al 1993).

Lecithin commonly refers to a mixture of phospholipids dissolved in oil. Crude lecithin contains  $\approx 60$  % phospholipids and 30 % oil, dry basis (**Temelli and Dunford, 1995**). Deoiling of crude lecithin is considered necessary in making high purity lecithin products. Acetone is currently used in industry for the separation of neutral oil and phospholipids, based on the fact that neutral oil is soluble in acetone but phospholipids are not (**Wu and Wang, 2003**). The crude lecithin can be fractionated into ethanol soluble and insoluble fractions. Phosphatidylcholine (PC) dissolves faster than phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and other acid-reacting phospholipids in ethanol, so that ethanol-soluble fractions contain a much higher PC/PE ratio. Acylation of the phosphatidylethanolamine enhances hydrophilicity and heat stability (Van Nieuwenhuyzen, 1999). The phospholipid molecule contains both a lipophilic fatty acyl group and a hydrophilic head group, and this amphiphilic structure make it a good surface-tension-reducing agent and thus a good emulsifier (Krog, 1997).

Emulsion stability refers to the ability of an emulsion to resist changes in its physical properties over time: the more stable the emulsion, the more slowly the phases separate. Phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine enriched fractions were used as emulsifiers for creating both o/w and w/o emulsions for the emulsion stability test (**Wu and Wang**, **2003**).

The purpose of using emulsifiers in caramel, toffee and fudge is to stabilize the fat distribution within the sugar mass, because with high fat content in these products, the manipulation of plastic masses can squeeze out of fat leading to the risk of surface oxidation and unsightly appearance. For these reasons and also to maintain a good fat distribution, which lubricates the cutting knives, emulsifiers are more frequently used in cut and wrap processes (Stansell, 1995). Caramel is basically oil in water emulsion, with the water phase comprising of highly concentrated sugar syrup. The oil phase was traditionally butter, though vegetable fats are commonly used now (Smith, 1998).

The fat and lecithin helps in flavor and texture by reducing stickiness and softening the bite. The emulsion activity of the lecithin used has a significant effect on the finished caramel texture and product standup (**Heim, 2003**).

In this study, crude corn germ and sunflower lecithins were fractionated and acylated to produce phosphatidylcholine enriched fractions and to enhance hydrophilicity of phosphatidylethanolamine. The modified lecithins were evaluated for their emulsification activity and stability indices. The crude corn germ, sunflower lecithins and their modified forms were used in manufacturing of caramel in comparison to commercial soybean lecithin, which, import from other countries.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Materials

Corn and sunflower oil crude wet gums used in preparation of lecithins were obtained from Arma Food Industry company 10<sup>th</sup> of Ramadan city, Egypt and Misr Gulf Oil Processing Company (MI-GOP) Suez city, Egypt. Commercial soy lecithin was obtained from the Egyptian Company for Food (Biscomisr), Ammeria, Cairo, Egypt. However, sweetened condensed whole milk (SCWM), sucrose, palm oil and salt (NaCl) were purchased from the local market, Cairo, Egypt.

#### Methods

## **Extraction of lecithin**

The extraction of lecithin was carried out according to **Sosada** *et al* (1994). Crude wet gum was centrifuged at 4000 g for 15 min. The precipitate was collected as reduced oil wet gum, diluted with an appropriate volume of acetone and blended in warring blendor (Model 32 BL 80) at high speed for 5 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min. The extraction with acetone was repeated for three times, and the precipitate was dried under vacuum at 25  $^{\circ}$ C for 12 hrs. The produced lecithins identified as crude lecithins.

## **Modification of lecithins**

Fractionation: Ethyl alcohol soluble fraction of corn germ and sunflower lecithins were prepared by stirring crude lecithins with ethyl alcohol (1:5 w/v) at 45  $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min and; then standing for 60 min. to separate the two layers. The upper layer was transferred to rotary evaporator to evaporate alcohol under vacuum.

Acylation: Corn germ and sunflower crude wet gums were acylated with 1.5 mol equivalent of acetic anhydride based on phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) content. Potassium hydroxide was added to the gum to neutralize acetic acid generated during the reaction. Acylation was carried out at 60°C for 1 hr. Thereafter, the acylated lecithin was separated as mentioned above in lecithin extraction, then dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 hr.

The procedures recommended by **Hollo** *et al* (**1993**) were applied to prepared the modified lecithins.

# Emulsifying activity and emulsion stability indices

Emulsifying activity index (EAI) (m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) was determined at different pH values (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10) according to **Pearce and Kinsella (1978)**. Ten ml of corn oil and 30 ml of aqueous lecithin solution (5 mg/ml water) were homoge-

nized by waring blendor for 60 sec. A 0.1 ml of sample was immediately taken from the bottom of the container and diluted to 50 ml with 0.1 % sodium dodecyl sulfate. The absorbance of the diluted emulsion (A) was measured at  $500_{nm}$ . The initial  $A_{500 nm}$  measurement was taken to be the emulsifying activity index (EAI), while emulsion stability index (ESI) was measured at  $A_{500 nm}$  after 20, 40 and 60 min. EAI or ESI were calculated as follows:

EAI or ESI =  $\frac{2 x 2.303 x A_{500 nm}}{25 x \text{ length of cuvette } x}$ Lecithin concentration

#### **Caramel preparation**

Caramel samples in 1 Kg lots were prepared according to Tonucci and Von Elbe (1988) by premixing the fat with commercial soy lecithin emulsifier and melted together at 80°C, in a stainless steel mixing bowl. The temperature was allowed to drop to just above the melting range of the fat (35 to 40°C) at which time SCWM and corn syrup were added. The mixture was blended for 2 min. until smooth. Sugar and salt were added slowly and mixing was continued for 15 min. The caramel mix was cooked until the final temperature reached of 118 °C, and then cooled by leaving it on a steel table. The cooled samples were then cut into cylindrical pieces (19 mm in diameter and 19 mm in width), wrapped in moisture-proof paper and stored at room temperature for later use. The caramel formulation was as follows:

Ingredient	%	Ingredient	%	
Corn syrup	39.9	Fat	9.9	

SCWM	34.9	Salt (NaCl)	0.3
Sucross	14.9	Commercial	0.1
Sucrose		soy lecithin	0.1

The commercial soy lecithin was replaced by an equivalent amounts of tested lecithins based on their emulsifying activity, to be equal to that of soy lecithins. The calculated amounts of lecithins added to 1 Kg caramel corresponded to 1.0 g soy lecithin were 1.7, 2.0; 1.0, 1.1; 1.3 and 1.4 g for crude, ethanol soluble fraction and acylated corn germ and sunflower lecithins.

## **Caramel hardness**

Caramels were measured for their hardness according to the method described by **Tonucci and Von Elbe (1988)** using Instron Universal Testing Machine. Cylindrical caramel pieces were held at 22 °C in an incubator for 24 hr. A load cell used had a maximum capacity of 1000 N. The rate of compression was 2 mm/minute and the chart speed was 50 mm/min.

#### Fat analyses

Lipids were extracted from caramel samples according to the method described by **Pearson (1976).** Acid, peroxide values and fatty acid profile of extracted lipids were estimated according to **A.O.A.C (2000)**.

## Sensory evaluation

Caramel samples prepared by using different types of lecithins were asked for their quality attributes by ten members preference taste panel, from staff of the Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. The panelists were asked to score color, texture, flavor, mouth feel, overall acceptability by giving a degree ranging between 1 to 9 (no difference from (R) = 5; more desirable than (R) Slight=6, Moderate=7, Much=8, Extreme=9; less desirable than (R) Slight=4, Moderate=3, Much=2, Extreme=1) where R is a control sample which prepared using commercial soy lecithin. The report sheet used was described by **Venkatesh** *et al* (1984).

### Statistical analysis

The obtained data was exposed to analysis of variance. Duncan multiple range at 5 % level of significance was used to compare between means. Results followed by different alphabetical letters were significantly differed. The analyses were carried out using the PROC ANO-VA procedure of Statistical Analysis System (**SAS**, **1988**).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

EAI ( $m^2/g$ ) of the commercial soy lecithin, crude extracted lecithins from corn germ and sunflower oils and their modified lecithins (ethyl alcohol soluble fraction and acylated corn germ and sunflower lecithins) was examined at different pH values (Table, 1). The EAI for all lecithins were gradually and significantly improved with increasing the pH values from 2 to 10. The ethyl alcohol soluble fraction of corn germ and sunflower lecithins had significantly the highest EAI values in comparison to other corn and sunflower lecithins ranging between 63.0 to 201.3 and 107.6 to 197.5 m<sup>2</sup>/g at pH values from 2 to 10, respectively. The EAI of acylated corn germ and sunflower lecithins were in the second order having the range values of 47.5 to 162.7 and 94.3 to 152.9  $m^2/g$  at pH values from 2 to 10, respectively. On the other hand, crude corn germ and sunflower lecithins had the lowest EAI values compared to their modified lecitihns. In comparison to commercial soy lecithin the data revealed that the ethanol soluble fractions had practically closed emulsifying activity, especially of corn germ to that of sov lecithin. While the other corn and sunflower lecithins shown lower emulsifying activity.

The ESI of emulsions made from tested lecithins was determined by the changes in EAI after times of 20, 40 and 60 min. (Figure, 1). It was clearly noticed that the ESI followed the same trend of EAI, i.e., the improver the EAI, the greater in its stability. It could be noticed that the stability of both modified lecithins was more than that of commercial soy lecithin. The crude corn germ and sunflower lecithins encouraged relatively coalescence and creaming, this was possible because of the increasing of average droplet oil diameter. However emulsions made with ethanol soluble fraction and acylated lecithins markedly improved creaming stability and retarded coalescence. It was appeared that the most important factor affecting creaming stability is particle diameter. This observation was in accordance with Stok's low. In this respect, Hollo et al (1993) indicated that acylation improved EAI and ESI by acylated the amino groups in phosphatidylethanolamine. While. according to Agboola et al (1998), the mechanism by

## Kishk and Salwa

Lecithin types		pH values							
		2	4	6	8	10			
Con	nmercial soy	27.6 <sup>Ge</sup>	145.9 <sup>Ad</sup>	179.6 <sup>Ac</sup>	182.9 <sup>Ab</sup>	205.2 <sup>Aa</sup>			
ade	Corn	53.6 <sup>Ee</sup>	105.6 <sup>Dd</sup>	109.6 <sup>Dc</sup>	116.0 <sup>Fb</sup>	141.1 <sup>Fa</sup>			
Cn	Sunflower	83.6 <sup>Ce</sup>	92.9 <sup>Ed</sup>	103.3 <sup>Dc</sup>	110.0 <sup>Gb</sup>	138.9 <sup>Ga</sup>			
S-F <sup>(!)</sup>	Corn	63.0 <sup>Dd</sup>	119.9 <sup>Cc</sup>	167.6 <sup>Bb</sup>	177.7 <sup>Bb</sup>	201.3 <sup>Ba</sup>			
EoH-	Sunflower	107.6 <sup>Ae</sup>	121.8 <sup>Bd</sup>	163.9 <sup>Bc</sup>	169.8 <sup>Cb</sup>	197.5 <sup>Ca</sup>			
lated	Corn	47.5 <sup>Fe</sup>	105.9 <sup>Dd</sup>	126.7 <sup>Cc</sup>	140.6 <sup>Db</sup>	162.7 <sup>Da</sup>			
Acy	Sunflower	94.3 <sup>Bd</sup>	93.0 <sup>Ee</sup>	124.5 <sup>Cc</sup>	133.2 <sup>Eb</sup>	152.9 <sup>Ea</sup>			

Table 1. Emulsification activity index  $(m^2/g)$  of commercial soy lecithin, crude corn and sunflower lecithins and their modified forms at different pH values

<sup>(1)</sup>EoH-S-F= ethanol soluble fraction

-Capital and small letters were used for comparison between means in the vertical and horizontal directions, respectively.

-Means with the same letter are not significantly different (P>0.05).

which creaming stability is preserved in system containing modified lecithin is unclear. The increasing of ESI of modified lecithin may also due to the improvement of their hydrophilic/lypophilic balance that lowered more effectively the interfacial tension of the film between oil droplets and water in the emulsion.

The improvement of EAI and ESI in emulsions prepared using ethyl alcohol soluble fraction lecithins ascribed to enriched its fractions with phosphatidylcholine (Van Nieuwenhuyzen, 1999; Wu and Wang, 2003). It could be concluded that the modified corn germ and sunflower lecithins give a good emulsification properties closed to that of commercial soy lecithin compared to their crude forms.

Textural measurement (Hardness) of caramel samples prepared by using different lecithins, was examined at zero time. The obtained data was presented in Figure (2). Insignificant difference was observed (p>0.05) between the prepared samples ranging between 532 to 605 N. The mutual approach of moisture content in different caramel samples ranging between 15.3 to 15.9% was considered the responsible about the closed hardness values with insignificant difference.

Fig. 1. Emulsion stability index (m<sup>2</sup>/g lecithin) of (A) commercial soy lecithin, (B) crude corn and sunflower lecithins, (C) ethanol soluble fraction of corn and sunflower lecithins, (D) acylated corn and sunflower lecithins at different pH values and times.



Fig. 2. Effect of different lecithin sources on hardness (A) and moisture content (B) of caramel samples

Data in Table (2) indicated that the extracted lipids from caramels prepared using tested lecithins had acid values ranging between 0.06 to 0.17 without significant difference (P>0.05) at zero time. The acid values were gradually increased versus storage period with significant difference. The values reached their maximal ranging "between" 0.89 to 1.20 after 6 month. These increases were probably due to lipolysis occurred by lipases in ingredients such as condensed whole milk. Such enzymes, which are often very heat-resistant, break down the triglycerides of the fat into its constituent fatty acids (Young, 1983). The free fatty acids may also produced in advanced state of double bonds oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids esters (Mounts and List, 1996).

Table (3) showed that the extracted lipids from caramel samples had peroxide values ranging between 0.16 to 0.27 meq/kg at zero time without significant differences. These values were more than that of the fat (0.00 meq/kg) used in preparation, due to the cooking treatment. Reifsteck and Jeon (2000) reported that the thermal induced oxidation involves hydrogen radical abstraction on lipids with the addition of molecular oxygen to form the peroxide radical followed by the formation of hydrogen peroxide. On storage at room temperature, the peroxide values of extracted lipids substantially increased reaching their maximal values at the end of storage period in all samples with significant differences in comparison to values at zero time. The peroxide values of extracted lipids from caramel samples prepared by using crude

nth) Ial		Cr	Crude		<sup>(1)</sup> EoH-S-F lecithin		Acylated	
Storage period (mor	Commerci soybean Lecithin	Corn lecithin	Sunflower lecithin	Corn germ	Sunflower	Corn lecithin	Sunflower lecithin	
0	$0.14^{Da}$	0.06 <sup>Ca</sup>	0.15 <sup>Ca</sup>	$0.12^{Da}$	0.13 <sup>Aa</sup>	0.13 <sup>Ca</sup>	$0.17^{\text{Ba}}$	
1	$0.50^{\text{CDa}}$	$0.50^{\text{Bca}}$	$0.50^{BCa}$	$0.32^{\text{CDa}}$	$0.60^{ABa}$	$0.45^{BCa}$	$0.70^{ABa}$	
2	$0.53^{\text{CDa}}$	$0.65^{ABa}$	$0.52^{ABCa}$	$0.50^{\mathrm{BCDa}}$	$0.65^{ABa}$	0.65 <sup>ABCa</sup>	$0.72^{ABa}$	
3	$0.61^{Ca}$	$0.70^{ABa}$	0.53 <sup>ABCa</sup>	$0.55^{\mathrm{BCDa}}$	$0.70^{ABa}$	0.69 <sup>ABCa</sup>	$0.80^{ABa}$	
4	$0.70^{BCa}$	$0.70^{ABa}$	$0.55^{ABCa}$	$0.66^{BCa}$	$0.70^{ABa}$	$0.70^{ABCa}$	$0.80^{ABa}$	
5	$1.05^{ABa}$	$0.73^{ABa}$	$0.75^{ABa}$	$0.87^{ABa}$	$0.87^{Aa}$	$0.88^{ABa}$	$0.81^{ABa}$	
6	1.20 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.09 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.03 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.19 <sup>Aa</sup>	0.89 <sup>Aa</sup>	1.20 <sup>Aa</sup>	0.89 <sup>Aa</sup>	

 Table 2. Acid value of extracted lipids from caramels prepared by using commercial soybean lecithin, crude corn germ and sunflower lecithins and their modified forms during storage at room temperature

 Table 3. Peroxide value of extracted lipids from caramels prepared by using commercial soybean lecithin, crude corn germ and sunflower lecithins and their modified forms during storage at room temperature

e (	cial n 1	Crude		<sup>(1)</sup> EoH-S	-F lecithin of	Асу	Acylated	
Storag period (month Commero soybea lecithii		Corn lecithin	Sunflower lecithin	Corn germ	Sunflower	Corn lecithin	Sunflower lecithin	
0	0.16 <sup>Ca</sup>	0.20 <sup>Ca</sup>	0.18 <sup>Ca</sup>	$0.18^{\text{Ba}}$	0.18 <sup>Ca</sup>	$0.27^{Da}$	0.20 <sup>Da</sup>	
1	0.37 <sup>Ca</sup>	0.35 <sup>Ca</sup>	$0.46^{Ca}$	$0.36^{\text{Ba}}$	$0.54^{Ca}$	$0.53^{Da}$	$0.44^{\text{CDa}}$	
2	$1.00^{Ca}$	$1.15^{BCa}$	1.43 <sup>Ca</sup>	$1.25^{ABa}$	$1.15^{BCa}$	$1.47^{Da}$	$1.58^{\text{CDa}}$	
3	$2.50^{BCa}$	$2.50^{ABCa}$	$5.00^{\operatorname{Ba}}$	$2.78^{ABa}$	$2.27^{ABCa}$	$4.57^{Ca}$	$3.58^{BCDa}$	
4	$3.12^{ABCc}$	3.33 <sup>ABCc</sup>	$6.67^{ABa}$	$3.12^{ABc}$	3.75 <sup>ABCbc</sup>	$5.88^{BCa}$	5.38 <sup>ABCab</sup>	
5	$5.35^{ABbc}$	$4.17^{ABc}$	$7.50^{ABab}$	$4.28^{ABc}$	4.69 <sup>ABc</sup>	$8.12^{ABa}$	$7.47^{ABab}$	
6	6.19 <sup>Abc</sup>	5.56 <sup>Ac</sup>	7.72 <sup>Aab</sup>	5.26 <sup>Ac</sup>	5.35 <sup>Ac</sup>	9.09 <sup>Aa</sup>	8.63 <sup>Aa</sup>	

<sup>(1)</sup>EoH-S-F= ethanol soluble fraction

-Capital and small letters were used for comparison between means in the vertical and horizontal directions, respectively.

-Means with the same letter are not significantly different (P>0.05).

sunflower, acylated corn germ and sunflower lecithins were significantly overabundance (ranging between 7.72 to 9.09 meq/kg) of those prepared by using commercial soy, crude corn germ, ethanol soluble fraction of corn germ and sunflower lecithins (ranging between 5.26 to 6.19 meq/kg) at the end of storage, owing to high content of phosphatidylcholine in these lecithins that reduced the rate of hydroperoxide formation (**Nasner, 1986**).

Table (4) shows the fatty acid pattern of lipids extracted from caramel samples evaluated at zero time and after 6 months. The major fatty acids at the start of storage period were palmitic acid 42.40%, followed by oleic acid 36.24%, linoleic acid 7.37% and stearic acid 6.64%. However, minor amounts, about 1% or less were detected for caproic, caprylic, capric, lauric, arachidic, behenic, myristoleic, palmitoleic, lenolenic and gadoleic. The total saturated fatty acids increased gradually from 54.58% during storage at room temperature reached to their maximal values ranging between 55.31 and 58.10 after 6 months.

These increases were mainly due to the increase of palmitic, stearic acids and the reduction of unsaturated fatty acids, mainly mono-unsaturated ones. The decreases in total unsaturated fatty acids could be attributed to partially oxidation of the double bonds in oleic and lenoleic acids to form peroxides, hydroperoxides, carbonyl compounds and further oxidative cleavage products. These oxidative reactions were slower for caramel samples prepared by using commercial soy lecithin, crude corn germ and ethanol soluble fraction lecithins due to their higher contents of phosphatidylcholine than other lecithins (Weber, 1981; Neidleman, 1993; Wu and Wang, 2003)

and the best anti-oxidative effects obtained with ethanol soluble fraction, this fact agreed with the results reported by **Nasner (1986)**.

The mean values of sensory evaluation scores of caramel samples are shown in Table (5). It could be noticed that the color and flavor of all samples at all storage period were closed without any significant differences in their mean score values.

Texture is an important quality attribute of caramel, which related to its physical properties. The fresh caramel samples prepared by using corn germ and sunflower lecithins received higher values than that of control sample prepared by using commercial soy lecithin without significant difference. The score values were declined during storage period with significant difference (P<0.05) in caramel samples prepared using crude sunflower and its ethanol soluble fraction lecithins and acylated corn germ lecithin. The mouthfeel of caramel samples followed the same trend of texture. The mean values among samples prepared using modified lecithins were higher than those of commercial soy lecithin and crude lecithins. While, all samples crossed the end storage period without significant difference, except caramel prepared using sunflower ethanol soluble fraction. The means of panelist score values on overall acceptability of caramel samples reflected all the previously judged quality attributes; it followed approximately a similar pattern.

In summary, the data showed that the modified corn germ and sunflower lecithins were good emulsifiers owing to their higher emulsifying activity. The prepared caramel samples using the modified lecithin forms showed a suitable Table 4. Fatty acid composition of extracted lipids from caramel manufactured using commercial soybean lecithin, crude corn germ and sunflower lecithins and their modified forms at zero time and after storage period for 6 month at room temperature

			After 6 month						
Fatty acid Zero time	le	Commercial soybean lecithin	Crude <sup>(1)</sup> EoH-S-F lecithin of		S-F lecithin of	Acylated			
	Zero tin		Corn lecithin	Sunflower lecithin	Corn germ	Sunflower	Corn lecithin	Sunflower lecithin	
C:6	0.56	0.43	0.46	0.62	0.50	0.42	0.59	0.47	
C:8	0.30	0.26	0.29	0.35	0.30	0.24	0.31	0.29	
C:10	0.55	0.52	0.60	0.63	0.59	0.49	0.61	0.54	
C:12	0.87	0.93	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.86	1.03	0.92	
C:14	2.92	2.98	3.15	3.21	3.14	2.81	3.32	2.96	
C:16	42.4 0	42.16	42.01	43.04	43.30	41.82	44.10	43.26	
C:18	6.64	7.70	8.10	9.69	7.54	10.26	8.00	9.84	
C:20	0.23	0.24	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.18	0.01	0.00	
C:22	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.10	
SFA <sup>(2)</sup>	54.58	55.31	55.70	58.65	56.52	57.18	58.10	58.39	
C14:1	0.59	0.49	0.54	0.52	0.53	0.45	0.55	0.46	
C16:1	0.75	0.48	0.61	0.49	0.33	0.26	0.62	0.43	
C18:1	36.2 4	35.21	34.92	32.46	34.85	34.76	33.24	32.63	
C18:2	7.37	8.01	7.73	7.36	7.28	6.89	6.99	7.60	
C18:3	0.32	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.33	
C20:1	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.14	
USFA <sup>(3)</sup>	45.42	44.68	44.30	41.35	43.48	42.82	41.90	41.61	

<sup>(1)</sup>EoH-S-F= ethanol soluble fraction

 $^{(2)}$  SFA = Saturated fatty acids

 $^{(3)}$  USFA = Unsaturated fatty acids

# Kishk and Salwa

Storage	Commercial	С	rude	<sup>(1)</sup> EoH-S	-F lecithin of	Acylated		
period	soybean	Corn	Sunflower	Corn		Corn	Sunflower	
(month)	lecithin	lecithin	lecithin	germ	Sunflower	lecithin	lecithin	
	Color							
0	5 0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5 3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5 0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5 0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5 0 <sup>Aa</sup>	6 0 <sup>Aa</sup>	6 3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
1	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.0 5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	
2	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
3	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
4	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	
5	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5 0 <sup>Aa</sup>	
6	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.6^{\text{Ba}}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.6^{Aa}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	
	0.0		Flav	vor		010	010	
0	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.3^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.3^{Aa}$	$5.3^{Aa}$	$5.6^{Aa}$	
1	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
2	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.3^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
3	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
4	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.3^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.3^{Aa}$	$5.3^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
5	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.3^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
6	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	4.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.6^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	
			Text	ure				
0	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.3 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.6 <sup>Aab</sup>	6.0 <sup>Aab</sup>	$6.0^{Aab}$	7.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	7.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	
1	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.3^{Aa}$	5.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	$6.0^{Aa}$	$6.0^{\operatorname{Aa}}$	$6.6^{ABa}$	6.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	
2	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.0^{Ba}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	$6.0^{\operatorname{Aa}}$	6.3 <sup>ABa</sup>	6.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
3	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.0^{\text{Ba}}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	$5.6^{ABa}$	$6.0^{ABa}$	$6.0^{\mathrm{Aa}}$	
4	$5.0^{Ab}$	$5.0^{Ab}$	$5.0^{\text{Bb}}$	$5.0^{Ab}$	$5.0^{ABb}$	5.3 <sup>ABab</sup>	$5.6^{Aa}$	
5	$5.0^{Aab}$	$4.6^{Aab}$	$5.0^{\text{Bab}}$	$5.0^{Aab}$	4.3 <sup>Bb</sup>	$5.0^{\text{Bab}}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
6	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$4.6^{Aa}$	$5.0^{\text{Ba}}$	$5.0^{Aa}$	$4.3^{Ba}$	$5.0^{\text{Ba}}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	
			Mout	hfeel				
0	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.6^{Aa}$	5.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	6.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	6.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
1	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.6^{Aa}$	$5.6^{Aa}$	$6.0^{Aa}$	$6.0^{\text{Aa}}$	
2	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	$5.6^{Aa}$	$5.6^{Aa}$	
3	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aab</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aab</sup>	$5.6^{Aab}$	5.6 <sup>Aab</sup>	$5.6^{Aab}$	$6.0^{\text{Aa}}$	
4	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.6^{Aa}$	$5.6^{Aa}$	
5	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	$5.0^{Aa}$	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	
6	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	4.3 <sup>Bc</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ab</sup>	5.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	
			Overall acc	ceptability				
0	5.0 <sup>Ac</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ac</sup>	6.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	$5.6^{Aab}$	5.3 <sup>Abc</sup>	$6.0^{Aa}$	$6.0^{Aa}$	
1	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	
2	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	
3	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	
4	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.3 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	
5	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.6 <sup>ABa</sup>	
6	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Aa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	$4.6^{Aa}$	5.0 <sup>ABa</sup>	5.0 <sup>Ba</sup>	

 Table 5. Mean values of sensory evaluation of caramel prepared by using commercial soybean lecithin and modified corn germ and sunflower lecithins

<sup>(1)</sup>EoH-S-F= ethanol soluble fraction

-Capital and small letters were used for comparison between means in the vertical and horizontal directions, respectively.

-Means with the same letter are not significantly different, (P>0.05)

hardness values, high oxidative stability and sensory attributes. It could be concluded the possible utilization of natural corn germ and sunflower lecithins and their modified forms in manufacturing of confectionary products especially caramel. However, these lecithins can be competing the imported commercial soy lecithin in all emulsified food products.

## REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (2000). *Official Methods of Analysis*, 17<sup>th</sup> Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Gaithersburg, MD, USA.

Agboola, S.O.; S. Harjinde; P.A. Munro; D.G. Dalgleish and A.M. Singh (1998). Stability of emulsions formed using whey protein hydrolysate: effects of lecithin addition and retorting. J. Agric. Food Chem., 46: 1814-819.

Heim, M. (2003). Caramel-Raw Materials and Formulations. *Manufacturing Confectioner.* 83: 55-61.

Hollo, J.; J. Peredi; A. Ruzics; M. Jeranek and A. Erdelyi (1993). Sunflower lecithin and possibilities for utilization. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 70: 997-1001.

Krog, N. J. (1997). Food emulsifiers and their chemical and physical properties, In: *Food Emulsions*, pp. 141-188. Friberg, S.E. and K. Larsson (eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York.

Mounts, T.L. and G.R. List (1996). Storage, stability, and transportation of fats and oils. In: *Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products*, 5<sup>th</sup> (ed.), p. 411. Hui, Y.H. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158-0012, USA. Nasner, A. (1986). Antioxidizing properties of lecithin. In: *Lipid Oxidation. Biological and Food Chemical Aspects*, by Marcuse, R. Conference Goeteborg, Sweden. 22-23 April 1985. Goeteborg, Sweden; Scandinavian Forum for Lipid research and Technology. (c.f. FSTA 89-01-N0009).

Neidleman, S.L. (1993). Occurrence and response to environmental stresses in nonmammalian organisms. In: *Phospholipids Handbook*; pp. 30-31. Cevc, G. (ed.) Marcel Dekker, INC. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016.

Pearce, K.N. and J.E. Kinsella (1978). Emulsifying properties of proteins: Evaluation of a turbidimetric technique. J. Agric. Food Chem., 26: 716-723.

**Pearson, D. (1976).** *The Chemical Analysis of Foods.* **pp. 37-438.** Longman Inc., 19 West 44<sup>th</sup> Street, New York.

Reifsteck, B.M. and I.J. Jeon (2000). Retention of volatile flavors in confections by extrusion processing. *Food Rev. Int.* 16: 435-452.

SAS Program (1988). SAS/STAT User's Guide, Release 6.03 Edition SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, NC., USA.

Smith, J. (1998). The essential ingredient. *Confectionery Production*, 64: 24-25.

Sosada, M.; Z. Dutkiewicz and B. Pasker (1994). Rapeseed wet gum as a direct source of high quality lecithin. *Fat Sci. Technol.*, *96*: 28-30.

**Stansell, D. (1995).** Caramel, toffee and fudge. In: *Sugar Confectionery Manu-facture* 2<sup>nd</sup> (ed.), p. 175. Jackson, E.B. (eds.), Blacie Academic & Professional, Chapman & Hall, 2-6 Boundary Row, London SEI 8HN, UK.

Temelli, F. and N.T. Dunford (1995). Modification of crude canola lecithin for food use. J. Food Sci., 60: 160-163. Tonucci, L.H. and J.H. Von Elbe (1988). Effect of cooking temperature and variations in sweetened condensed whole milk on textural properties of caramel confections. J. Food Processing & Prservation. 12: 125-138.

Van Nieuwenhuyzen, W. (1999). Lecithins, Functional emulsifiers in food and non-food applications. Agro. Food Industry Hi. Tech., 10: 11-14.

Venkatesh, K.V.L.; S. Dhanaraj; B. Mahadevaiah; S.M. Ananthakrishna (1984). Studies on packaging and storage

of sohan halwa. The Ind. J. Nutr. Dietet, 20: 241-248.

Weber, E.J. (1981). Compositions of commercial corn and soybean lecithins. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 72: 898-901.

Wu, Y. and T. Wang (2003). Soybean lecithin fraction and functionality. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 80: 319-326.

Young, C.C. (1983). Rancidity in confectionery products. In: Rancidity in Food; p. 180. Allen, J.C. and R.J. Hamilton (eds.), Applied Science Publishers LTD. Ripple Road, Barking, Essex, England.

مجلة اتحاد الجامعات العربية للدراسات والبحوث الزراعية ، جامعة عين شمس ، القاهرة ، 13(2) ، 361 - 365 ، 2005 إستخدام جنين الذرة ويذور عباد الشمس كمصادر جديدة لليسيثين وصورة المعدلة في تصنيع الكراميل [22]

1 باسر فكرى محمد كشك<sup>1</sup> – سلوى محمود ابو الفتوح 1- قسم علوم الأغذية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس - شبرا الخيمة - القاهرة - مصر

الإبثانول والمعاملة بحامض الخلبك اللامائي في تعديل كل من ليسيثين جنين الذرة وعباد الشمس الخام بغرض الحصول على الجزء الذائب في الكحول والذي يتصف بإرتفاع محتواة من الفوسفاتيديل كولين وكذلك إدخال وكذلك كل من رقم الحامض، رقم مجاميع الميثيل على جزئ الليسيثين في كلا

تم تقييم كل من معامل النشاط الاستحلابي وثبات المستحلب عند در جبات مختلفية من الأس الايدر وجيني (2 ، 4 ، 6، 8 ، 10)

تهدف هذه الدر اسة إلى تقبيم الكر اميل المصنع باستخدام ليسيثين الصويا التجاري وكل من ليسيثينات جنين الذرة وعباد الشمس الخمام والمعدامة. وقمد تم تقدير الصلابة للكر اميل المصنع في بداية فترة التخزين البير وكسيد، تركيب الأحماض الدهنية المعاملتين على الترتيب. لليبيدات المستخلصة من عينات الكراميل بالإضافة إلى التقييم الحسى أثناء التخزين لمدة ستة شهور على درجة حرارة الغرفة. وقد أجريت التجزية باستخدام كحول لكل من ليسيثين الصويا التجاري وكذلك

Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., 13(2), 2005

364

الليسيثينات موضع الدراسة. وقد لوحظ تحسن معنوي (p<0.05) في معامل النشاط الاستحلابى في الليسيثينات المعدلة مقارنة و 58.6 % لكل من الجزء الذائب في الكحول لليسيثين جنين الذرة و عباد الشمس وكذلك 15.6 و 20.5 % لكل من ليسيثين جنين الذرة و عباد الشمس المعاملان بحامض أطهر ثبات عالي للمستحلبات المحضرة باستخدام الليسيثينات المعدلة مماثلة في ذلك الثرات المستحلب المحضر بإستخدام ليسيثين

لم تظهر عينات الكراميل المصنعة من المصادر المختلفة من الليسيثينات تحت الدراسة فروقاً معنوية فيما بينها عند تقدير الصلابة. إضافة إلى ذلك فإن النتائج المتحصل عليها عند تقدير رقم الحموضة، رقم البيروكسيد وتركيب الأحماض الدهنية في الليبيدات المستخلصة من عينات الكراميل المصنعة باستخدام ليسيثينات عباد الشمس الخام، جنين الذرة وعباد الشمس

والذى تم معاملتهما بحامض الخليك الثلجي أظهرت حساسية هذه العينات للأكسدة (p<0.05)، بينما عينات الكراميل المصنعة بإستخدام ليسيثينات الصويا التجاري، جنين الذرة الخام، الجزء الذائب في الايثانول لكل من ليسيثين جنين الذرة وعباد الشمس أظهرت ثبات عالى مضاد للأكسدة.

أيضا فيما يتعلق بالتقييم الحسى اتصفت عينات الكر اميل المصنعة بإستخدام الليسيثينات المعدلة بعدم وجود فروق معنوية (P>0.05) مقارنة بالعبنة الكنترول. ونظر ا لتحسن الخصائص الوظيفية لليسيثينات المعدلة لجنين الذرة وعباد الشمس فإن الكمية المستخدمة منها أقل مقارنة بتلك المستخدمة من الليسيثين الخام لهذه المصادر وعلى ذلك يمكن القول بأن كمية وجودة الليسيثين يلعبان دورا رئيسيا في الخصائص المختلفة لعينات الكر اميل المصنعة. ولهذا فإن صور الليسيثينات المعدكة المنتجة من جنبين المذرة وعباد الشمس بمكن أن تكون بديلا عن الليسيثين الخام لهذه المصادر ومنافس لليسيثين الصويا التجاري في منتجات الأغذبة التي تتطلب خصائص استحلابية جيدة

> تحکیم: أ.د ماجده حبیب علام أ.د صلاح کامل السماحی