# Endothelial Progenitor Cells in Peripheral Blood of Cardiac Catheterization Personnel

Soheir Korraa<sup>1</sup>, Tawfik M.S.<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Maher <sup>2</sup> and Amr Zaher <sup>3</sup>

1. Radiation Health research department, National center for radiation research and technology (NCRRT). 2. Zoology department, Science College, Suez Canal University. 3. National Heart Institute, Imbaba.

# Abstract

**Background:** The aim of the present study was to evaluate the rejuvenation capacity among cardiac catheterization technicians occupationally exposed to ionizing radiation.

**Subjects and methods:** The individual annual collective dose information was measured by thermoluminscent personal dosimeters (TLD) for those technicians and found to be ranging between 2.16 and 8.44 mSv/y. Venous blood samples were obtained from 30 cardiac catheterization technicians exposed to X-ray during fluoroscopy procedures at the National Heart Institute in Embaba. The control group involved 25 persons not exposed to ionizing radiation and not working in hospitals in addition to 20 persons not exposed to ionizing radiation and working in hospitals. Blood samples were assayed for total and differential blood counts, micronucleus formation (FMN) plasma stromal derived growth factor-1 $\alpha$  (SDF-1 $\alpha$ ) and cell phenotype of circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), whose surface markers were identified as the CD34, CD133 and kinase domain receptors (KDR).

**Results:** SDF-1 $\alpha$  (2650± 270 *vs.* 2170 ± 430 pg/ml) and FMN (19.9 ± 5.5 *vs.* 2.8 ± 1.4/1000 cells) were significantly higher among cardiac catheterization staff compared to those of the controls respectively. Similarly, EPCs: CD34 (53 ± 3.9 *vs.* 48 ± 8.5/10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells), CD133 (62.4 ± 4.8 *vs.* 54.2 ± 10.6 /10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells) KDR (52.7 ± 10.6 *vs.*43.5± 8.2 /10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells) were also significantly higher among cardiac catheterization staff compared to the values of controls respectively. Smoking seemed to have a positive effect on the FMN and SDF-1 but had a negative effect on EPCs. It was found that among cardiac catheterization staff, the numbers of circulating progenitor cells had increased and accordingly there was an increased capacity for tissue repair.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, the present work shows that occupational exposure to radiation, well within permissible levels, leaves a genetic mark on the somatic DNA of the cardiac catheterization technician. On the other hand, exposure of workers to ionizing radiation stimulates regenerative processes as indicated by the increase in EPCs numbers and SDF-1 levels. This regenerative process is decreased by smoking as evidenced by increased levels of SDF-1 and decreased numbers of EPCs. The technicians who work in cardiac catheterization laboratories should therefore carefully follow radiation protection procedures and should minimize radiation exposure to avoid possible genotoxic effects.

Key words: Cardiac catheterization personnel, Ionizing radiation, Smoking, Endothelial progenitor cells, Stromal Derived Factor-1  $\alpha$ .

# Introduction

The advent of complex and prolonged coronary interventional procedures has increased levels of radiation exposure among cardiac catheterization working staff <sup>(1, 2)</sup>, who due to close contact with patients, have the highest potential risk of receiving a long-term exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation that greatly increase the risk of health hazards <sup>(3, 4)</sup>. Although a causative link has long been established between exposure to ionizing radiation and the risk of mortality from many forms of cancer <sup>(5)</sup>, recently there is emerging evidence of excess risk of cardiovascular disease at much lower radiation doses (6) and occurring a long time after radiation exposure

<sup>(7, 8)</sup> and in various occupationally-exposed groups <sup>(9-13)</sup>.

Atherosclerosis is the most common pathological process that leads to coronary heart disease and stroke. It is a disease of large and medium-sized arteries that is characterized by the formation of atherosclerotic plaques consisting of necrotic cores, calcified regions, accumulated modified lipids, and inflamed smooth muscle cells (SMCs), endothelial cells (ECs), leukocytes, and foam cells <sup>(14)</sup>. Though previously initiation of atherosclerosis was attributed mainly to lipid accumulation within the arterial walls, it is now widely accepted that inflammation plays a vital role in the



initiation and progression of the disease (15-17). Elevated levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, CRP, TNF- $\alpha$  and INF- $\gamma$  and also increased levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 have been observed in the Japanese atomic bomb survivors who had been exposed to high levels of ionizing radiation (18-20) Recent studies have identified populations of multipotent progenitor cells <sup>(21)</sup>, immature hematopoietic endothelial cells and adult stem cells called endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) that circulate in peripheral blood <sup>(22)</sup>. EPCs counteract ongoing risk factorinduced endothelial cell injury, and in response to acute hypoxia are mobilized from bone marrow (BM) to the peripheral blood and participate in endothelial cell repair and regeneration and also in tissue neovascularization <sup>(23)</sup>. Experimental and human studies have shown that EPCs participate in the neovascularization processes in ischemic organs (24, 25). Increased cardiovascular risk factors and the presence of atherosclerosis are associated with dysfunction and reduced numbers of EPCs (25, 26). Moreover, a low number of EPCs is an independent risk factor for future cardiovascular events (27, 28). Recruitment of EPCs from remote locations such as the bone marrow into ischemic areas is promoted by the chemokine SDF-1  $\alpha$  <sup>(29, 30)</sup>, which has been shown to be up regulated in many damaged tissues as part of the injury response <sup>(31)</sup> and subsequently contributes to ischemic neovascularization in vivo by augmenting EPCs recruitment to ischemic sites <sup>(32)</sup>.

Until now, no study has investigated EPCs numbers or SDF-1 levels in the blood of cardiac catheterization technicians exposed to radiation. Thus the aim of the present study is to investigate EPCs and plasma SDF-1 in the peripheral blood of those technicians and find out if such cells are mobilized due to radiation exposure. Simultaneously, the frequency of occurrence of micronuclei (MNs) in dividing cells was also estimated in this study. These micronuclei which originate from chromosome breaks or whole chromosomes that fail to engage with the mitotic spindle when the cell divides <sup>(33)</sup>, have recently been endorsed by the International Atomic Energy Agency as one of the main cytogenetic methods of assessing chromosome damage after radiation accidents and as a biological dosimeter of radiation exposure (33-37). Since DNA is considered to be the main initiating

event by which radiation damage to cells results in development of cancer and hereditary disease, the present study also assessed the effects of chronic low-dose X-ray radiation exposure on the MN frequency in interventional cardiac catheterization technicians working in two high-volume cardiac catheterization laboratories.

#### Subjects and Methods: Radiation technicians:

Venous blood samples were obtained from 30 cardiac catheterization male physicians and technicians exposed to X-rays during fluoroscopy procedures at the National Heart Institute in Embaba. The mean age was  $42.8 \pm 5.2$  and the period of occupational exposure was  $16.32 \pm 5.7$  years. All subjected to medical participants were examination and underwent routine haematological tests and biochemical investigations to evaluate their state of health. The study cases were free from any clinical symptoms during the preceding three months and had no medical complaints.

No deviations in the basic laboratory tests, no infections were observed during the last three months before the study and no acute or chronic diseases were diagnosed in the participating subjects. Their economic and social statuses were nearly similar. The groups of professionals were exposed to X-rays emitted from catheterization apparatuses during operations.

# The control group:

Controls included 25 males (mean of age 42  $\pm$ . 4.8) not exposed to ionizing radiation and not working at hospitals in addition to 20 males (mean of age 41.2  $\pm$ . 6.9) not exposed to ionizing radiation and working at hospitals. They were non-smokers with no past history of exposure to ionizing radiations or chemicals. They had no negative experience of recent allergic responses or drug administration during the last three months and they were in a good state of health.

# Description of the X-ray Systems in use:

All studies were performed in cardiac catheterization units using Integris BH5000 biplane X-ray system (Philips) consisting of a frontal Poly Diagnost C2 and a lateral L-arc 2 U. Tube settings such as peak voltage and anode current were controlled by the automatic brightness control. Pulsed fluoroscopy (12.5 frames per second) and cineangiography (25 frames per second) were used. For fluoroscopy, 2 X-ray beam filtrations were available. The standard setting consisted of a filtration of 1.5 mm Al, combined with 0.2 mm Cu. The low-dose fluoroscopy setting had an extra filtration of 0.2 mm Cu. The halfvalue layers of the X-ray tubes for both fluoroscopy settings were measured at 80 kVp with a NE2571 Farmer ionization chamber (Thermo Electron, UK). For both tubes, values of 6.2 and 7.3 mm Al were obtained for the standard and low-dose fluoroscopy settings, respectively.

# **Radiation dose measurements:**

The Entrance Surface Dose (ESD) for technicians undergoing diagnostic heart catheterization and angiocardiography were thermo-luminescence measured using dosometer (Harshow TLD-100 LiF:Mg, Ti, Harshow chemical, Solon, USA). The TLD card containing two TLD-100 chips (lithium fluoride) were mounted between Teflon sheets on aluminum substrates. The TLD system was calibrated to determine the reader calibration factor that is used in evaluating the effective dose. The TLD cards were treated at preheat temperature of 150°C for 5s at a heating rate of 15°C/s up to a maximum temperature of 300°C within reading time of 13.3s.

#### Cytokinesis block MN assay:

Two separate cultures from each sample were set up by mixing 0.3 ml of whole blood with 4.7 ml of RPMI 1640 medium; cultures were incubated at 37°C and 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h. Cytochalasin B (6 µg/ml) was added 44 h after culture initiation. Cells were then harvested and fixed according to the standard methods in use in the laboratory <sup>(38)</sup>. For each sample, 1000 binucleated cells were scored by use of an optical microscope (final magnification: x 400) for MN analysis, the criteria for MN acceptance being followed <sup>(39)</sup>. micronucleated - binucleated cell The frequency was quantified as the number of micronucleated cells per 1000 cells.

#### Quantification of Vasoendothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) and SDF-1α by ELISA:

The plasma levels of SDF-1 $\alpha$  and VEGF were measured using a sandwich ELISA according to the manufacturer's instructions (R&D Systems). Absorbance at 450 nm was determined by an automated ELISA reader (Dynatech MR5000). The results were expressed in pg/ml.

# Flow cytometry for Circulating Progenitor cells:

To quantify EPCs in the circulation, peripheral mononuclear cells were first

isolated from the EDTA blood samples by Ficoll density-gradient centrifugation (Biochrom AG - Germany). The isolated cells were collected and labeled with the Rphycoenythrin (PE)-conjugated CD133 antibody (MACS Miltenv Biotech). Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated CD34 (MACS Milteny Biotech), and Allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated KDR (R & D Systems). The stained cells were washed with PBS/BSA and then EPCs number was determined by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis (40). Data was number of  $10^{5}$ expressed cells per mononuclear cells.

#### **Statistical Analysis:**

Statistical analysis of all experimental data was performed and expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Comparisons were made by Student's *t*-test using Statistica v.10 software program for Windows.

# Results

# **Annual Radiation Dose:**

Radiation exposure doses were measured using TLD worn under the lead apron on the chest of cardiac catheterization staff and they ranged between 2.16 and 8.44 mSv/year.

# **Biochemical assays:**

SDF-1 $\alpha$  (2650± 270 vs. 2170 ± 430 pg/ml) and FMN (19.9  $\pm$  5.5 vs. 2.8  $\pm$  1.4 per 1000 cells) were significantly higher among cardiac catheterization staff compared to controls respectively (table 2 & figure1). A significant increase in cells expressing CD 34 (53  $\pm$ 3.9 vs.  $48 \pm 4.5$  cells/10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cell), CD 133  $\pm$  4.8 vs. 52.7  $\pm$  10.6 cells/10<sup>5</sup> (62.4)mononuclear cell) and KDR cell numbers  $(48.7 \pm 12.5vs. 43.5 \pm 8.2 \text{ cells}/10^5)$ mononuclear cell) was observed in cardiac catheterization staff compared to those of the controls (table 3 & figure 1). SDF-1 $\alpha$  (2850 ± 250 vs. 2465  $\pm$  290 pg/ml) and FMN (24.8  $\pm$ 4.5 vs.  $13.4 \pm 6.4$  per 1000 cells) were significantly higher among cardiac catheterization staff who smoked compared to the non-smoking staff (table 4 & figure 2). A significant decrease in cells expressing CD 34  $(37.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs. } 68.2 \pm 6.4 \text{ cells}/10^5)$ mononuclear cell), CD 133 (49.7  $\pm$  3.8 vs.  $74.5 \pm 5.7$  cells/10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cell) and KDR cell numbers  $(41.5 \pm 8.8 \text{ vs. } 63.4 \pm 12.4 \text{ mm})$ cells/10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cell) was observed in cardiac catheterization staff who smoked compared to those of the non-smoking staff (table 4 & figure 2).

Tuble 1. Characteristics of the study population.					
	CC Staff	Controls			
Mean Age	$42.8 \pm 5.2$	42 ±. 4.8			
<b>Duration of occupational exposure (years)</b>	$15.8 \pm 6.5$	Nil			
Smoking habits					
Smokers	12	Nil			
Non-smokers	18				
Dose Range	2.16 - 8.44  mSv/v	Nil			

Table 1: Characteristics of the study population:

Table 2: Plasma SDF-1α and frequency of micronuclei in circulating lymphocytes among cardiac catheterization staff compared to controls

	SDF-1 pg/ml	FMN/1000 cells
CC Staff (n=30)	$2650{\pm}270$	$19.9 \pm 5.5$
Control subjects (n=45)	$2170\pm430$	$2.8 \pm 1.4$
P value	p<0.05	p<0.001

\*Each value represents mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

Fig. 1: Plasma SDF-1α, frequency of micronuclei per 1000 cells and endothelial progenitor cell surface markers per 10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells in the circulating lymphocytes among cardiac catheterization staff compared to controls.



Table 3: Endothelial progenitor cell surface markers per 10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells in the blood of cardiac catheterization staff compared to controls

	CD34	CD133	KDR
CC Staff (n=30)	$53 \pm 3.9$	$62.4 \pm 4.8$	$52.7 \pm 10.6$
Control (n=45)	$\textbf{48} \pm \textbf{8.5}$	$54.2 \pm 10.6$	$43.5\pm8.2$
P value	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001

\*Each value represents mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

Table 4: Smoking Effect on SDF-1 $\alpha$ , frequency of micronuclei in circulating lymphocytes and endothelial progenitor cell surface markers per 10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells in the blood of cardiac catheterization staff compared to controls

	SDF-1 pg/ml	FMN/1000	CD34	CD133	KDR
		cells			
Smoking	$2850\pm250$	$24.8\pm4.5$	$37.8 \pm 1.2$	$49.7 \pm 3.8$	$41.5\pm8.8$
CC Staff (n=12)					
Non-Smoking CC	$2465\pm290$	$13.4 \pm 6.4$	$68.2 \pm 6.4$	$74.5 \pm 5.7$	$63.4 \pm 12.4$
Staff (n=18)					
	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001	p<0.001

\*Each value represents mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Figure 2: Smoking effect on SDF-1 $\alpha$ , frequency of micronuclei in circulating lymphocytes and endothelial progenitor cell surface markers per 10<sup>5</sup> mononuclear cells in the blood of cardiac catheterization staff who smoked compared to non-smoking staff.



# Discussion

The intention of the present study was to find out whether, in spite of the required protection measures being observed during cardiac catheterization procedures, there is an alteration in endothelial progenitor mononuclear cells and the frequency of cytogenetic damage in the cardiac catheterization staff occupationally exposed to ionizing radiation at Embaba Heart Institute in Cairo. The physical exposure dose was estimated to be 2.16 - 8.44 mSv/year. Those technicians' measurements were within the range of accepted occupational dose limits of exposure to ionizing radiation which are typically monitored and restricted to effective doses of 100 mSv every 5 years (i.e., 20 mSv per year), with a maximum of 50 mSv allowed in any given year  $^{(1,2)}$ . However, these

TLD was placed beneath the lead apron on the chest of cardiac catheterization technicians with a high workload. Therefore, the true total exposure level may lead to a significant cumulative dose and radiation risk. Additionally, cardiac catheterization staffs are exposed to scattered radiation which results in non-uniform exposure doses (41). Cardiac catheterization staffs receive high doses to the head and extremities that may be unshielded, which may increase the cumulative risk <sup>(42)</sup>. Published reports on the radiation risk of electrophysiological studies focus only on the ablation procedure or the assessment of effective radiation doses and the associated detrimental risks <sup>(3, 41, & 42)</sup>. Non-shielded parts are exposed such as the head and extremities, which may result in spurious measurements (43,

measures are limited due to the fact that the

<sup>44)</sup>. Thus, the estimated dosage from each single dosimetry badge for all the enrolled physicians may have some limitations, because some of them may occasionally forget to wear their badges. The dosimeter, when worn correctly under the lead apron, usually yields a reasonable yet non conclusive estimate of total effective dose used. A dosimetry evaluation with multiple badges would be more accurate but certainly less practical <sup>(43)</sup>.

Biological dosimetry or biodosimetry, is mainly performed in addition to physical dosimetry, with the aim of individual dose assessment <sup>(45)</sup>. Biological dosimetry, based on the analysis of micronuclei (MN) in the cytokinesis-block micronucleus (CBMN) assay can be used as an alternative method for scoring dicentric chromosomes in the field of radiation protection. <sup>(46)</sup>. Results of the present study showed a significant increase in the frequency of micronuclei (MN) among cardiac catheterization technicians compared to the controls. Several previous in vivo studies indicated that chronic low doses of ionizing radiation can lead to significant somatic DNA damage in professionally exposed technicians. Studies carried out on intervention cardiac catheterization technicians in Italy showed significantly increased levels of MN compared to controls (47, 48). These studies related multiple risk alleles of DNA repair genes to inter-individual differences in radiation sensitivity and genetic susceptibility (48). Similar results were indicated by Iranian, Korean, Egyptian and Japanese studies <sup>(49-53)</sup>. A biological dosimeter that measures true cellular injury resulting from radiation could be a more accurate indicator of cancer risk than a physical dosimeter <sup>(6)</sup>. MN frequency has been reported in other major illnesses, including atherosclerosis and neurological diseases (54, 55)

Exposure to low dose ionizing radiation, which has been shown to induce apoptosis in macrovascular and microvascular human endothelial cells <sup>(56)</sup> and also to IRtreated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), interferes with endothelial cell viability and proliferative repair capacity <sup>(57)</sup>. Accordingly, the present study was aimed at measuring circulating EPCs numbers and SDF-1 levels, which have recently been established as a specific and sensitive marker of endothelial activation and damage in a variety of vascular disorders <sup>(58, 59)</sup>. SDF-1 in the present study was significantly higher among cardiac catheterization technicians compared to controls. SDF-1 is considered as a part of host defense processes that protect stem cells from DNA-damaging agents including ionizing radiation <sup>(60)</sup>. Radiation has been shown to induce a dose-dependent rise in pro-angiogenic C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR-4). In contrast, angiostatic chemokines and apoptosis are induced at higher (20 Gy) radiation doses <sup>(61)</sup>. SDF-1 $\alpha$ 

has been shown to be secreted by stromal and endothelial cells of many organs, suggesting that it is a pivotal regulator of trafficking of various types of stem cells in the body necessary for organ/tissue regeneration <sup>(62)</sup>. It is suggested that SDF-1 $\alpha$  may be secreted by hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells and be involved in autocrine/paracrine regulation of their development and survival <sup>(63)</sup>. However, because a strong correlation exists between inflammation and tumor progression/metastasis, inflammation-driven expression of SDF-1 $\alpha$  may also play an important role in dissemination/metastasis of cancer stem cells <sup>(62)</sup>.

The level of EPCs in the present study was significantly higher among cardiac catheterization technicians compared to controls. Animal studies have shown that infra-red (IR) irradiation increases stem cellactive mobilization factors as it activates a novel pathway stimulating EPCs migration directly through the expression of SDF-1 $\alpha$  that is independent of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-(64) (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) induction It alpha is hypothesized that IR irradiation improves mast cell migration into ischemic tissue and that mast cells express VEGF mRNA (63). Also, overexpression of SDF1 $\alpha$  in the peripheral circulation results in the mobilization of subpopulations of hematopoietic cells with repopulating capacity such as progenitor cells (64) and precursor cells Taking into consideration the previous information and the results of the present study that show increased plasma levels of SDF-1 $\alpha$  in the examined subjects, it could be concluded that those EPCs are therefore significantly increased.

Smoking significantly decreased levels of circulating EPCs and significantly

increased FMN among cardiac catheterization technicians who smoked compared to nonsmokers. In vitro (65) and in vivo studies (66) support the finding that cigarette smoke is genotoxic. These results are in agreement with previous results presented by Hogsted et al. (67) and Stenstrand et al. (68) that there is a positive correlation between smoking and increased FMN in human lymphocytes. However, it contradicts Sorsa et al. (69), Migliore et al. (70) and Ban et al. <sup>(71)</sup>, who reported that smoking does not affect the background MN frequency and X-ray sensitivity as determined with cytokinesis-blocking micronucleus assay. The mechanisms by which cigarette smoke induces genetic toxicity are multiple and well documented. Cigarette smoke is rich in multiple chemicals that directly form adducts with DNA and induce genetic toxicity. Of this coal tar, aldehydes, pyrolizidine products and benzopyrene derivatives present in the smoke induce the production of irritation <sup>(65, 66)</sup>. These compounds have been shown to directly induce apoptosis in vitro experiments (72). Cigarette smoke, also, directly causes oxidative damage to the lung endothelial cells by inducing an inflammatory response in the tissues and promoting the recruitment of inflammatory-immune cells such as neutrophils <sup>(73)</sup>. Also, the number and function of peripheral blood lymphocytes are decreased due to cigarette smoke as the latter induces the expression of FAS mechanism (66). Cigarette smoke also induces the production of oxygen free radicals whose increase in concentration leads to an induction of programmed cell death to the surrounding cells (74, 75).

The results of the present study which show that EPCs populations were significantly decreased among cardiac catheterization who smoked is contradictory to those found by Kondo et al. (76), who observed that in male individuals a sustained increase in the number of EPCs was observed, which was dependent on a cessation of smoking. A further study indicated that the mobilization rate of EPCs was not influenced by smoking <sup>(77)</sup>. Another study by Hill et al <sup>(78)</sup>, examined the correlation between blood levels of EPC and smoking status, but found no correlation between smoking and EPCs. However, in the present study there is a synergistic effect of ionizing radiation and smoking. Ionizing radiation induces its effects by increasing both

oxidative and nitrosative stress <sup>(79, 80)</sup> and cigarette smoking also causes a similar increase in both nitosative <sup>(81)</sup> and oxidative stress among smokers <sup>(82)</sup>.

Data concerning levels of SDF-1 $\alpha$  and smoking are scarce. The significant increase in SDF-1 $\alpha$  among cardiac catheterization staff who smoked indicates that exposure to both smoking and ionizing radiation induces damage, where SDF-1 $\alpha$  is increased. However; this damage is not repaired due to a decrease in circulating EPCs.

In conclusion, the present work shows that exposure to radiation, well within permissible levels, leaves a genetic mark on the somatic DNA of cardiac catheterization technicians. However, exposure to ionizing radiation stimulates regenerative processes as indicated by the increase in EPCs numbers and SDF-1 $\alpha$  levels. This regenerative process is decreased by smoking as obvious by the increased levels of SDF-1 $\alpha$  and decreased levels of EPCs. The personnel who work at cardiac catheterization laboratories should carefully adopt radiation protection procedures and should minimize overexposure to ionizing radiation to avoid possible genotoxic effects. biochemical and hematological Routine investigations should be carried for cardiac catheterization personnel for the early detection of any possible adverse effects of chronic exposure to ionizing radiation.

# **References:**

- National Research Council (2006): Health risks from exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation: BEIR VII phase 2. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. The 2007 recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection: ICRP publication 103. Ann ICRP, 37(2-4):1-332.
- 2) Wrixon AD (2008): New ICRP recommendations. J Radiol Prot., 28:161-168.
- 3) Delichas M, Psarrakos K, Molyvda-Athanassopoulou E, Giannoglou G, Sioundas A, Hatziioannou K and Papanastassiou E (2003): Radiation exposure to cardiac catheterization technicians performing interventional cardiology procedures. European Journal of Radiology, 48(3):268-273.
- 4) Kim KP, Miller DL, Balter S, Kleinerman RA, Linet MS, Kwon D and Simon SL(2008): Occupational radiation doses to operators performing cardiac catheterization procedures. *Health physics*, 94(3):211–227.
- 5) Muirhead CR, O'Hagan JA, Haylock RGE, Phillipson MA, Willcock T *et al* (2009): Mortality and cancer incidence following occupational

radiation exposure: third analysis of the National Registry for Radiation technicians. Br J Cancer, 100:206–212.

- 6) Little MP, Gola A and Tzoulaki I (2009): A model of cardiovascular disease giving a plausible mechanism for the effect of fractionated low-dose ionizing radiation exposure. PLoS Comput Biol., 5(10): e1000539.
- Yamada M, Wong FL, Fujiwara S, Akahoshi M and Suzuki G (2004): Noncancer disease incidence in atomic bomb survivors, 1958–1998. Radiat Res., 161:622–632.
- 8) Ivanov VK, Maksioutov MA, Chekin SY, Petrov AV, Biryukov AP *et al.* (2006): The risk of radiation-induced cerebrovascular disease in Chernobyl emergency technicians. Health Phys., 90:199–207.
- 9) McGeoghegan D, Binks K, Gillies M, Jones S and Whaley S (2008): The non-cancer mortality experience of male technicians at British Nuclear Fuels plc, 1946–2005. Int J Epidemiol.,37:506–518.
- 10) Azizova TV and Muirhead CR (2008): Epidemiological evidence for circulatory diseases – occupational exposure. EU Scientific Seminar 2008. "Emerging evidence for radiation induced circulatory diseases". Proceedings of a scientific seminar held in Luxembourg on 25<sup>th</sup> November 2008. Radiat Prot., 158:33–46.
- **11)** Borghini A, Gianicolo EA, Picano E and Andreassi MG (2013): Ionizing radiation and atherosclerosis: current knowledge and future challenges. Atherosclerosis, 230(1): 40-47.
- 12) Vrijheid M, Cardis E, Ashmore P, Auvinen A, Bae J-M et al. (2007): Mortality from diseases other than cancer following low doses of ionizing radiation: results from the 15-Country Study of nuclear industry technicians. Int J Epidemiol., 36:1126–1135.
- **13)** Lusis A (2000): Atherosclerosis. Nature, 407:233–241.
- 14) Ross R (1999): Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med., 1340:115–26.
- **15) Ross R (1995):** Cell biology of atherosclerosis. Annual Rev Physiol., 57:791–804.
- **16)** Ross R (1999): Atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease. Am Heart J., 138: S419–420.
- 17) Hansson GK (2005): Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med., 352:1685–1695.
- 18) Hayashi T, Kusunoki Y, Hakoda M, Morishita Y, Kubo Y et al. (2003): Radiation dose-dependent increases in inflammatory response markers in Abomb survivors. Int J Radiat Biol., 79:129–136.
- **19)** Hayashi T, Morishita Y, Kubo Y, Kusunoki Y, Hayashi I et al (2005): Long-term effects of radiation dose on inflammatory markers in atomic bomb survivors. Am J Med., 118:83–86.
- **20)** Cesselli D, Beltrami AP, Rigo S *et al.* (2009): Multipotent progenitor cells are present in human peripheral blood. Circ Res., 104(10):1225-1234.
- 21) Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, Silver M, van der Zee R, Li T *et al.* (1997): Isolation of putative progenitor endothelial cells for angiogenesis. Science, 275:964–967.
- 22) Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Waclawiw MA, Quyyumi AA et al. (2003):

Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med., 348:593–600.

- 23) Murohara T, Ikeda H, Duan J, Shintani S, Sasaki K, Eguchi H et al. (2000):Transplanted cord blood-derived endothelial precursor cells augment postnatal neovascularization. J Clin Invest,105:1527–1536.
- 24) Takahashi T, Kalka C, Masuda H, Chen D, Silver M, Kearney M *et al.* (1999): Ischemia- and cytokine-induced mobilization of bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells for neovascularization. Nat Med., 5(4):434-438.
- 25) Vasa M, Fichtlscherer S, Aicher A, Adler K, Urbich C, Martin H *et al.* (2001): Number and migratory activity of circulating endothelial progenitor cells inversely correlate with risk factors for coronary artery disease. Circ Res., 89: E1–E7.
- 26) Schmidt-Lucke C, Rössig L, Fichtlscherer S, Vasa M, Britten M, Kämper U *et al.* (2005): Reduced number of circulating endothelial progenitor cells predicts future cardiovascular events: proof of concept for the clinical importance of endogenous vascular repair. Circulation, 111:2981–2987.
- 27) Taguchi A, Matsuyama T, Moriwaki H, Hayashi T, Hayashida K, Nagatsuka K *et al.* (2004): Circulating CD34-positive cells provide an index of cerebrovascular function. Circulation,109:2972– 2975.
- **28)** Ceradini DJ, Kulkarni AR, Callaghan MJ *et al* .(2004): Progenitor cell trafficking is regulated by hypoxic gradients through HIF-1 induction of SDF-1. Nat Med, 10(8):858-864.
- **29)** Hattori K, Heissig B and Rafii S (2003): The regulation of hematopoietic stem cell and progenitor mobilization by chemokine SDF-1. Leuk Lymphoma, 44(4):575-582.
- 30) Askari AT, Unzek S, Popovic ZB, Goldman CK, Forudi F, Kiedrowski M, Rovner A, Ellis SG, Thomas JD, DiCorleto PE, Topol EJ and Penn MS (2003): Effect of stromal-cell-derived factor 1 on stem-cell homing and tissue regeneration in ischaemic cardiomyopathy. Lancet, 362(9385):697-703.
- 31) Yamaguchi J, Kusano KF, Masuo O, Kawamoto A, Silver M, Murasawa S, Bosch-Marce M, Masuda H, Losordo DW, Isner JM, Asahara T (2003): Stromal cell-derived factor-1 effects on ex vivo expanded endothelial progenitor cell recruitment for ischemic neovascularization. Circulation,107(9):1322-1328.
- **32)** Fenech M (2002): Biomarkers of genetic damage for cancer epidemiology. Toxicology, 181-182: 411-416.
- **33)** Fenech M (1981): Optimisation of Micronucleus Assays for Biological Dosimetry, in New Horizons in Biological Dosimetry (Gledhill, B. L., and Mauro, F., eds.), pp. 373.376, Wiley, New York.
- **34)** Maluf SW, Passos DF, Bacelar A, Speit G and Erdtmann B (2001): Assessment of DNA damage in lymphocytes of technicians exposed to X-radiation using the micronucleus test and the comet assay. Environ. Mol. Mutagen, 38, 311-315.
- **35)** Sari-Minodier I, Orsiere T, Bellon L *et al* (2002): Cytogenetic monitoring of industrial radiographers using the micronucleus assay. Mutat. Res., 521: 37-46.

- **36)** International Atomic Energy Agency (2001): Cytogenetic Analysis for Radiation Dose Assessment: A Manual. Technical Report Series No. 405. IAEA, Vienna.
- 37) Fenech M and Morely A (1985): Measurement of micronuclei in lymphocytes, Mut. Res., 147: 29-36.
- **38)** Fenech M (1993): The cytokinesis-block micronucleus technique: A detailed description of the method and its applications to genotoxicity studies in human populations, Mut. Res., 285: 35-44.
- **39)** Duda DG, Cohen KS, Scadden DT and Jain RK (2007): A protocol for phenotypic detection and enumeration of circulating endothelial cells and circulating progenitor cells in human blood. Nat Protoc., 2: 805–810.
- 40) Chida K, Kato M, Kagaya Y, Zuguchi M, Saito H, Ishibashi T, Takahashi S, Yamada S and Takai Y(2010): Radiation dose and radiation protection for patients and physicians during interventional procedure. J Radiat Res (Tokyo), 51(2):97-105.
- **41)** Chida K *et al.* (2009): Effect of radiation monitoring method and formula differences on estimated physician dose during percutaneous coronary intervention. Acta Radiologica, 50: 170–173.
- **42)** Folkerts KH, Műnz A and Jung S (1997): Estimation of radiation exposure and radiation risk for employees of a heart catheterization laboratory. Z Kardiol, 86(4):258-263.
- 43) Chong NS, Yin WS, Chan P, Cheng MC, Ko HL, Jeng SC and Lee JJ (2000): Evaluation of absorbed radiation dose to working staff during cardiac catheterization procedures. Zonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi (Taipei), 63(11):816-821.
- **44)** McNamee JP, Flegal FN, Greene HB, Marro L and Wilkins RC(2009): Validation of the cytokinesis-block micronucleus (CBMN) assay for use as a triage biological dosimetry tool. Radiat Prot Dosimetry, 135(4):232-242.
- **45)** Vral A, Fenech M and Thierens H (2011): The micronucleus assay as a biological dosimeter of in vivo ionizing radiation exposure. Mutagenesis, 26(1):11-17.
- 46) Andreassi MG, Foffa I, Manfredi S, Botto N, Cioppa A and Picano E (2009): Genetic polymorphisms in XRCC1, OGG1, APE1 and XRCC3 DNA repair genes, ionizing radiation exposure and chromosomal DNA damage in interventional cardiac catheterization technicians. Mutat Res., 18: 666(1-2):57-63.
- 47) Andreassi MG, Cioppa A, Botto N, Joksic G, Manfredi S, Federici C, Ostojic M, Rubino P and Picano E. (2005): Somatic DNA damage in interventional cardiac catheterization technicians: a case-control study. FASEB J., 19(8):998-999.
- **48)** Zakeri F and Assaei, RG (2004): Cytogenetic monitoring of personnel working in angiocardiography laboratories in Iran hospitals. Mutat. Res., 562: 1-9.
- **49)** An MYand Kim TH (2002): Frequencies of micronuclei in peripheral lymphocytes in Korean populations after chronic low-dose radiation exposure. J Vet Sci., 3(3):213-218.
- 50) Korraa SS, Wahba O, Shalbi H and Hussein M (2003): DNA Protein-cross links and the frequency of micronucleus formation among cardiac

catheterization physicians. Arab J Lab Med., 29(1): 47-57.

- **51)** Korraa S, Abdel Meguid S, Khalil R *et al* (2010): Markers of Apoptosis and Lymphocytes Subtypes among Persons Occupationally Exposed to X-rays in Cardiac Catheterization Units. In: Rapid Diagnosis in Populations at Risk from Radiation and Chemicals. Cebulska-Wasilewska A *et al.*(eds.) IOS Press, 73: 123-131.
- 52) Boyaci B, Yalçin R, Cengel A, Erdem O, Dörtlemez O, Dörtlemez H and Sardas S (2004): Evaluation of DNA damage in lymphocytes of cardiac catheterization technicians exposed to radiation during cardiac catheterization by the COMET ASSAY. Jpn Heart J.,45(5):845-853.
- **53)** Andreassi, MG and Botto N (2003): DNA damage as a new emerging risk factor in atherosclerosis. Trends Cardiovasc. Med., 13: 270-275.
- 54) Migliore L, Petrozzi L, Lucetti C, Gambaccini G, Bernardini S, Scarpato R, Trippi F, Barale R, Frenzilli G, Rodilla V et al. (2002): Oxidative damage and cytogenetic analysis in leukocytes of Parkinson's disease patients. Neurology, 58: 1809-1815.
- 55) Eissner G,Kohlhuber F, Grell MU, effing M, Scheurich P, Hieke A, Multhoff G Bornkamm GW and Holler E (1995): Critical involvement of transmembrane tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  in endothelial programmed cell death mediated by ionizing radiation and bacterial endotoxin. Blood, 86 (11) 4184-4193.
- 56) Lindner F, Holler E, Ertl B, Multhoff G, Schreglmann M, Klauke I, Schultz-Hector S, and Eissner G (1997): Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells Induce Programmed Cell Death in Human Endothelial Cells and May Prevent Repair: Role of Cytokines. Blood, 89(6) 1931-1938.
- **57)** Dignat-George F and Sampol J (2000): Circulating endothelial cells in vascular disorders: new insights into an old concept. Eur J Haematol ,65:215-220.
- 58) Woywodt A, Streiber F, De Groot K, Regelsberger H, Haller H and Haubitz M (2003): Circulating endothelial cells as markers for ANCAassociated small vessel vasculitis. Lancet, 361:206-210.
- 59) Ponomaryov T, Peled A, Petit I, Taichman RS, Habler L, Sandbank J, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Magerus A, Caruz A, Fujii N, Nagler A, Lahav M, Szyper-Kravitz M, Zipori D, Lapidot T (2000): Induction of the chemokine stromal-derived factor-1 following DNA damage improves human stem cell function. J Clin Inves.t, 106(11):1331-1339.
- 60) Chang CC, Lerman OZ, Thanik VD, Scharf CL, Greives MR, Schneider RJ, Formenti SC, Saadeh PB, Warren SM, Levine JP (2009): Dosedependent effect of radiation on angiogenic and angiostatic CXC chemokine expression in human endothelial cells. Cytokine,48(3):295-302.
- 61) Kucia M, Reca R, Miekus K, Wanzeck J, Wojakowski W, Janowska-Wieczorek A, Ratajczak J and Ratajczak M (2005): Trafficking of normal stem cells and metastasis of cancer stem cells involve similar mechanisms: Pivotal role of SDF- 1-CXCR4 axis. Stem Cells, 23:879–894.

- 62) Heissig B, Rafii S, Akiyama H, Ohki Y, Sato Y, Rafael T, Zhu Z, Hicklin DJ, Okumura K, Ogawa H, Werb Z and Hattori K (2005): Low-dose irradiation promotes tissue revascularization through VEGF release from mast cells and MMP-9-mediated progenitor cell mobilization. J Exp Med., 19;202(6):739-50.
- 63) Lerman OZ, Greives MR, Singh SP, Thanik VD, Chang CC, Seiser N, Brown DJ, Knobel D, Schneider RJ, Formenti SC, Saadeh PB and Levine JP(2010): Low-dose radiation augments vasculogenesis signaling through HIF-1-dependent and -independent SDF-1 induction. Blood,116(18):3669-3676.
- **64)** Ceradini DJ, Kulkarni AR, Callaghan MJ *et al.* (2004): Progenitor cell trafficking is regulated by hypoxic gradients through HIF-1 induction of SDF-1. Nat Med., 10: 858–864.
- **65) Pryor WA, Stone K, Zang LY, Bermúdez E** (**1998):** Fractionation of aqueous cigarette tar extracts: fractions that contain the tar radical cause DNA damage. Chem. Res. Toxicol, 11(5):441-448.
- **66) Bijl M, Horst G, Limburg PC, Kallenberg CG.** (2001): Effects of smoking on activation markers, Fas expression and apoptosis of peripheral blood lymphocytes. Eur J Clin Invest, 31(6):550-553.
- **67)** Hogsted B, Gullberg K, Hender A, Kolnig F, Mitelman S, Skerfving S and Widergren W (1983): Chromosome aberration and micronuclei in bone marrow cells and peripheral blood lymphocytes in humans exposed to ethylene oxide. Hereditas., 98: 105.
- **68)** Stenstrand K (1985): Effect of ionizing radiation on chromosome aberration, sister chromatid exchanges and micronuclei in lymphocytes of smokers and non-smokers Hereditas., 102: 71.
- 69) Sorsa M, Pyy L, Salomaa I, Nylund L and Yager V (1988): Biological and environmental monitoring of occupational exposure to cyclophosphamide in industry and hospitals. Mut. Res., 204: 455.
- 70) Migliore L, Guidotti C, Favre C, Nardi M, Sessa M and Brunori D (1991): Micronuclei in lymphocytes of young patients under antileukemic therapy. Mut. Res., 263: 243.
- **71)** Ban S, Cologne J, Fujita S and Awa A (1993): Radiosensitivity of atomic bomb survivors as determined with micronucleus assay. Rad. Res., 134: 1.
- 72) Lei W, Yu R, Mandlekar S and Kong AN(1998): Induction of apoptosis and activation of interleukin 1beta-converting enzyme/Ced-3 protease (caspase-3)

and c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase 1 by benzo(a)pyrene. Cancer Res., 58(10):2102-2106.

- **73) Tsuchiya M, Suzuki YJ, Cross CE and Packer L** (**1993)**: Superoxide generated by cigarette smoke damages the respiratory burst and induces physical changes in the membrane order and water organization of inflammatory cells. Ann N Y Acad Sci., 686:39-52.
- 74) Wang H, Ma L, Li Y and Cho CH (2000): Exposure to cigarette smoke increases apoptosis in the rat gastric mucosa through a reactive oxygen species-mediated and p53-independent pathway. Free Radic Biol Med., 28(7):1125-1131.
- **75)** Buttke TM and Sandstorm PA (1998): Oxidative stress as a mediator of apoptosis. Immunology Today,15(1):7-10.
- 76) Kondo T and Hayashi M, Takeshita K, Numaguchi Y, Kobayashi K, Iino S, Inden Y, Murohara T (2004): Smoking cessation rapidly increases circulating progenitor cells in peripheral blood in chronic smokers. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol., 24:1442–1447.
- **77)** Reichelt H, Barz D and Thude H (2009): CD34+ and CD133+ Primitive Stem Cell Expression in Peripheral Blood: Considering Gender, Age, and Smoking. Transfus Med Hemother., 36(2):129-134.
- 78) Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Waclawiw MA, Quyyumi AA and Finkel T (2003): Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med., 348:593–600.
- **79)** Al-Nimer MS and Ali NH (2012): Assessment of nitrosative stress and lipid peroxidation activity in asymptomatic exposures to medical radiation: The bystander effect of ionizing radiation. Int J Appl Basic Med Res.,2(1):48-51.
- **80)** Giardi MT, Touloupakis E, Bertolotto D and Mascetti G (2013): Preventive or potential therapeutic value of nutraceuticals against ionizing radiation-induced oxidative stress in exposed subjects and frequent fliers. Int J Mol Sci, 14(8):17168-17192.
- 81) Chávez J, Cano C, Souki A, Bermúdez V, Medina M, Ciszek A, Amell A, Vargas ME, Reyna N, Toledo A, Cano R, Suárez G, Contreras F, Israili ZH, Hernández-Hernández R and Valasco M (2007): Effect of cigarette smoking on the oxidant/antioxidant balance in healthy subjects. Am J Ther.,14(2):189-93.
- **82) Rahman I and MacNee W (1996):** Role of oxidants/antioxidants in smoking-induced lung diseases. Free Radic Biol Med., 21:669–681.