

Occult Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Haemodialysis Unit: A Single-center Experience

Abdel Hamid A. Serwah¹, Waleed S. Mohamed¹, Mohamed Serwah¹, Awateif Edreis², Ahmad El Zaydi³

Internal Medicine Department, College of Medicine, Taif University, KSA, ¹ Tropical medicine Department, College of Medicine, Taif University, KSA, ² and Consultant of Nephrology, KAASH, KSA³

Author of Correspondence: Abdelhamid Serwah. Internal Medicine Department, College of Medicine, TaifUniversity.E-mail: abdelhamidserwah@yahoo.com. Mobile: 00966/557849852

Abstract

Background & Aims: Detection of hepatitis C virus RNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and/or hepatocytes in the absence of HCV RNA in serum, designated as 'occult HCV infection', has been a matter of controversy in the recent years. Occult hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection has not been investigated in haemodialysis patients. We investigated for the first time the prevalence of occult HCV infection in large cohorts of chronic hemodialysis (CHD) patients in a single haemodialysis center at Al-Taif, KSA.

Methods: We enrolled 84 CHD patients, whose sera are negative for HCV markers. HCV RNA was tested in PBMC using a sensitive commercial real time assay. In this study, real-time PCR was used to test for the presence of genomic HCV-RNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of all of these patients. For comparison, 20 patients on HD with evidence of chronic hepatitis C virus infection were included as a control group.

Results: In CHD patients, occult HCV infection, determined by the presence of genomic HCV-RNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMNCs), was found in 13.4 % of the patients; 83 % of these patients had ongoing HCV replication, indicated by the presence of HCV-RNA. Patients with occult HCV infection had spent a significantly longer time on haemodialysis and had significantly higher mean alanine aminotransferase levels during the 3 months before study entry. Compared to CHCV patients, those with occult HCV have less elevated bilirubin, AST and ALT.

Conclusions: The prevalence of occult HCV infection was moderate in our CHD patients, and it did not appear to be clinically relevant. Further studies in other geographic populations with high HCV endemicity are required to clarify the significance of occult HCV infection in these patient groups.

Abbreviations

HCV, Hepatitis C Virus ; antibody against HCV; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; rRT-PCR, real time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; CHD, chronic hemodialysis.

Keywords

Occult hepatitis C; HCV; PBMNCs; Peripheral blood mononuclear cells; Prevalence; Hemodialysis; HCV RNA; Anti-HCV.

Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a worldwide infection associated with an increased disease burden due to liver cirrhosis and considerable mortality. It is estimated that about 170 million people, 3% of the world's population, are infected with HCV.^{1,2} So far, six major genotypes (HCV-1 to HCV-6) have been described, each containing multiple subtypes,³ with significant differences in their global distribution and prevalence.⁴ Despite screening

of blood products for anti-HCV and implementation of precaution measures, HCV

infection is still a major problem in haemodialysis (HD) units.^{5,6} Chronic infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a serious public health problem associated with increased morbidity and mortality. It can lead to the development of cirrhosis and even hepatocellular carcinoma.^{7,8} The prevalence of HCV is high among Saudi patients,⁹ and the prevalence in patients with chronic renal failure

maintained on hemodialysis ranged from 52.5% to 72.3.¹⁰⁻¹³

A new entity of HCV infection was first described in 2004 in patients with persistently elevated liver function tests and who were anti-HCV and serum HCV RNA negative.¹⁴ Despite the absence of conventional HCV markers, 57% of these patients had HCV RNA in the liver and so this clinical situation was termed "occult HCV infection". Moreover, it was proven that the antigenomic HCV RNA strand could be detected also in the hepatocytes of a high proportion of those patients with occult HCV infection, thus indicating an active viral replication.¹⁴ Occult HCV infection has also been described in other different clinical settings.¹⁵⁻²⁰

Occult HCV infection has also been found in hemodialysis patients who were persistently anti-HCV and serum HCV RNA negative but with abnormal values of liver enzymes²¹ in the family setting of patients with occult hepatitis C²² and even in healthy subjects with normal alanine aminotransferase levels and no clinical evidence of liver disease.²²

Since HCV was replicated in the liver and PBMCs of patients with occult HCV infection, it was speculated that it should exist as circulating viral particles, but at such low levels that the virions could not be detected even using the most sensitive rRT-PCR technique. Viral RNA is detectable in the PBMCs and in ultracentrifuged serum of patients with occult HCV^{9,21} and anti-core HCV tested by a non-commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is also found in a substantial proportion of these patients.²¹ So, when occult HCV infection is suspected and a liver biopsy is not available for HCV RNA detection, the diagnosis can be made by testing, with a highly sensitive rRT-PCR technique, for the presence of viral RNA in PBMCs (that identifies between 60%-70% of the cases)¹⁴, or in ultracentrifuged serum (that allows identification of occult HCV in around 60% of the patients).^{23, 24}

Aims of the work:

To the best of our knowledge, the prevalence of occult hepatitis C virus infection among patients on regular hemodialysis in

Saudi Arabia is not available. So, we tried in this work to (1) study the existence of occult HCV infection by testing for genomic HCV-RNA in PBMC of hemodialysis patients and (2) describe the characteristics of these patients compared to overt HCV patients who are also on regular hemodialysis. The overall aim of this study is to know if there is a need to regularly check for occult HCV in the blood of patients who are subjected to regular hemodialysis or not. This will reduce the potential transmission of HCV to other HCV free patients on hemodialysis.

Methodology

Subjects and Methods

Study design: cross sectional analytic study

Setting and participants

We enrolled one cohort of patients on chronic hemodialysis (CJD) and one control group as follows:

CHD patients

Eighty four clinically stable adult patients undergoing chronic haemodialysis at single dialysis center in Al-Taif city between March 2013 and May 2014.

Positive controls

Twenty contemporaneous anti-HCV-positives, HCV RNA-positive with chronic HCV infection, who are on regular hemodialysis. Patients showing the following criteria were included in the study: negative serological evidence of HCV infection (HCV/Ab and HCV/RNA). Those patients and 20 controls were subjected to the following further investigations: CBC, liver enzymes (ALT, AST, and AP), and total serum bilirubin, serum creatinine and blood urea.

Work-up

Clinical Examination: Selected patients were subjected to thorough clinical examination stressing on the liver status. Abdominal ultrasound was done with emphasis on liver and splenic status and evidence of assets.

Laboratory methods: A peripheral blood sample for PBMC separation (about 10 ml) was collected at enrollment into two tubes containing EDTA. Plasma and PBMCs were separated from 5 ml of whole blood and stored immediately at -80°C. PBMCs were isolated using the Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient (Lonza, Walkersville,

Maryland, USA). Sera were tested for liver function tests, renal function tests and electrolytes. The presence of HBsAg, anti-HCV antibodies and HCV-RNA in serum samples were checked for HCV-RNA in PBMC was checked using rRT-PCR. Anti-HCV testing in serum was performed by chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay (CMIA) (Architect system, ABBOTT Diagnostic Division, Abbot Park, Illinois, USA). **HCV-RNA extraction and detection in serum and PBMCs**

Total RNA isolated from 150 µL of either serum or PBMCs of the same individuals using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The real-time PCR assay was performed using commercial, TaqMan hydrolysis probe based, real time PCR HCV detection Kit (Liferiver, Shanghai, China) in Eppendorf Mastercycler® ep realplex2. The detection of the amplified amplicon was performed in fluorimeter channel FAM with the fluorescent quencher BHQ1. Amplification reactions were performed in a volume of 25 µl containing 2.5 µl of DNA template, 21.5 µl reaction mix, 0.4 µl enzyme mix, 1 µl internal control according to the manufacturer's instructions.

According to the results of HCV lab. Examination our included patients were classified into 3 groups:

Group 1: 12 patients with occult HCV

Group 2: 72 patients who are negative for both occult HCV and chronic HCV

Group 3: 20 chronic (classical) HCV

Sequencing of selected samples

One-step RT-PCR amplification of the 5' Untranslated Region (UTR5') sequences was performed using one step RT PCR (KomaBiotech, Korea) in a total 50-µl reaction volume using 5 µl of the extracted RNA, 0.6 µM forward 5'-

GAAAGCGTCTAGCCATGGCGTTAGT-3' and reverse 5'-CTCGCAAGCACCTATCAGG-3' oligonucleotides.²⁵ Samples were incubated at 50°C for 30 min, and 95°C for 15 min. DNA amplification was performed for 35 cycles each

consisting of 94°C for 15 s, 50°C for 30 s, 72°C for 40 s. The last cycle was followed by a 7 min. Extension step at 72°C. Amplicons were purified and analyzed by ethidium bromide agarose gel electrophoresis. Samples showing a band of the 241 bp were excised from the gel and amplicon was purified using a gel extraction kit (KomaBiotech, Korea) for further analysis by DNA sequencing in both directions using the sense and antisense amplification primers (Macrogen Inc., Korea).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

Viral sequences aligned using CLUSTAL W software. Neighbor-joining (NJ) analyses performed using mega 4.1, software, with pairwise distances estimated using Kimura two-parameter distances.

Ethical considerations

A permission of the hemodialysis unit directors was taken. The study protocol got approval from the Ethics Committee of the Taif University. The study protocol also received approval from the research ethics committee of the King AbdelAziz Specialized Hospital (KAASH). Informed consents were taken from all patients before including in the study. The consent assured that subjects have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without compromising their rights for treatment and clinical care. All conducted tests are non-invasive. Sampling was minimized and samples of blood were taken on a single occasion to avoid needless repeated venous punctures. The data of the patients are confidentially treated.

Statistical analyses

All data were introduced to Excel program. Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS package release 18.0. Comparisons between groups were made by Student's t test, the Mann-Whitney, and ANOVA "when needed" for continuous variables, and by either the Chi square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical data.

Results

We studied 84 consecutive patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) on maintenance hemodialysis whose sera were negative for

HCV/Ab, HCV/RNA and HBsAg from March 2013 to March 2014 for prevalence of occult HCV infection.

The demographic, clinical features, etiology, history of blood transfusion and time on hemodialysis of groups (1&2) are described in table 1. The mean age was 44.3 (24-64) and 48 (28-72) years, respectively, with a male accounted for 65% and 58% in the two groups. The clinical presentations were generalized swelling in 12% and 14%, decreased urine output by 23% and 26%, hypertension by 35% and 42% and altered sensation in 8% and 11% respectively. The mean duration on maintenance HD was 38 (25-58) and 23 (18-47) months in patients with and those without the occult HCV infection ($p < 0.05$). Fifty two % and 34% of patients with and without occult HCV respectively received blood transfusions ($p < 0.05$). No statistically significant differences were found between the 2 groups regarding hemoglobin, blood urea and creatinine.

As shown in table 2, on study entry, mean AST, ALT and AP levels were, respectively, 66.4 (58-135), 68.5 (52-141), and 76.5 (58.6-155.2) in occult HCV group and were 48.4 (38-125), 51.5 (42-168) and 46.5 (42.6-135.2) in the negative occult HCV group. The differences between these enzymes in the 2 groups were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). HCV-RNA was found by strand-specific real-time PCR in PBMC of 10 (83.3%) of 12 patients, indicating that they had an occult HCV infection.

As shown in tables 1&3, the etiologies of ESRD were nearly similar in the studied groups with the following order of frequency: diabetes mellitus, hypertension, glomerulopathy of unknown etiology and chronic post infectious glomerulonephritis. In about 8% of cases the etiology of ESRD was not identified. Comparison of occult HCV patients to those with "classical" CHCV infection are presented in tables (3&4). The chronic (classical) hepatitis C patients were matched to those with occult HCV infection for age and gender. No differences regarding demographic, clinical or etiological factors were found between the two groups of patients. The biochemical characteristics of these patients are shown in table 4. The causes of ESRD in both groups of patients were nearly the same. There were

statistically significant differences in liver function tests in the two studied groups with mild elevation in bilirubin, transaminases, and alkaline phosphatases in the CHC group compared with occult HCV group. No statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding hemoglobin, blood urea and creatinine.

Discussion

This is the first study investigating the frequency of occult HCV infection among the hemodialysis patients in Saudi Arabia to the best of our knowledge. Using a highly sensitive test for detection of viral RNA in PBMCs, we found a low to moderate prevalence of occult HCV infection in this group. The findings from the present study are the first to come from State of Al Taif. Hemodialysis patients presented high susceptibility to acquiring HCV if the clinics do not follow the universal precautions recommended by the Ministry of health authorities. According to these norms, patients should be evaluated every 6 months for HCV and other HCV markers. Patients who are reactive to HCVAb are sent to the yellow room (reserved for patients with HCVAb positive), while the seronegative individuals are then tested for anti-HBs. Nonetheless, detecting such patients in hemodialysis clinics is of prime importance in avoiding dissemination of the virus inside these units, given that patients with unidentified occult HCV may transmit this infection to other patients as they undergo their treatment alongside other hemodialysis patients who are susceptible to HCV. The repeated exposure to body fluids during dialysis procedures predisposes dialysis patients to nosocomial transmission of HCV. HCV RNA is detectable in the serum and peripheral blood mononuclear cells of these patients, thus indicating that active virus replication is occurring.

Information about occult HCV infection in patients on maintenance hemodialysis is limited.^{14, 16, 17} The discrepancy in the reported incidence of occult HCV between several studies, including the present study^(16, 17, 24, 25), could be due to several factors. One could be the differences in sensitivity of the methods used for detection of the virus genome (nested PCR

versus quantitative real-time PCR). Small sample sizes in some of these studies may also be a factor. Another reason could be quantitative differences in the levels of HCV viremia during the course of the disease in different patient populations. This conclusion is based on data by some authors²⁶ who examined repeated sera from the same patients for the presence of HCV RNA, and demonstrated inconsistent results with previously negative samples being positive for HCV RNA and vice versa, which suggests a fluctuating level of viremia in the course of the disease. There are also differences in the prevalence of HCV in the general population, according to geographic location, which can influence the prevalence of HCV infection among hemodialysis patients.

In the current research, the age of patients with occult HCV ranged (24 -64) years, with male predominance. This comes into agreement with that of others.^{14, 27} According to the original report of occult HCV infection,¹⁴ all HD patients with HCV-RNA in PBMC must also have viral RNA in the liver. This could be verified in the patient who had an occult HCV infection and underwent a liver biopsy. Nevertheless, it should be stressed that detection of HCV-RNA in PBMC does not identify all cases with occult HCV, so some of the HD patients without viral RNA in PBMC could have an occult HCV infection in liver; however, liver biopsy is not routinely recommended for HD patients except in a subset of cases (*e.g.*, in renal transplant candidates, before starting antiviral therapy). Duration of HD was significantly longer in patients with occult HCV infection, compared to those who are negative HCV RNA in the PBMNCs, but it was still shorter than those with CHCV infection. Antecedents of blood transfusion were more in patients with occult HCV compared to negative patients for this infection, but still less in number if compared to patients with CHCV infection. This finding suggests the possible role of nosocomial transmission in the spread of occult HCV, as reported in HD units for "classical" HCV infection.^{2,27}

Aminotransferase levels (AST and ALT) were significantly higher in the group of patients with occult HCV infection compared to patients with negative HCV RNA in PBMNCs.

However, these enzymes were higher in chronic hepatitis C, than that of occult HCV infection indicating that the cytolysis is more severe in these cases than in patients with occult HCV infection. As HCV proteins may be implicated in liver damage by either interfering with intracellular signaling pathways or by their recognition by the host immune system,²⁸ the higher number of HCV-infected hepatocytes could explain why patients with chronic hepatitis C have greater liver damage. Furthermore, this difference may be explained by the fact that patients with occult HCV infection have a more refined immunological control of HCV infection. Thus, it has been recently reported that the breadth of the cellular immune responses is different in the peripheral blood between chronic hepatitis C and occult HCV infection^{29, 30}

In some studies, it was found that aminotransferase levels were in concordance with histological damage of the liver. Necroinflammatory activity and fibrosis were detected more frequently in chronic hepatitis C than in occult HCV infection patients.²² So occult HCV infection seems to be a less aggressive form of the disease caused by HCV. However, in the same study liver cirrhosis was detected in a similar percentage (4.4%) in occult HCV infection as that found in our population with chronic hepatitis C (7.2%). The existence of occult HCV infection may potentially have significant consequences for this population. These include the risk of nosocomial transmission of the virus within hemodialysis units. Therefore, detection of occult HCV infection not uncovered by routine diagnostic methods may have an important bearing on the development of new screening strategies and therapeutic interventions for HCV infection in these patients. However, data on the prevalence of occult HCV are conflicting and sparse in these patients. If occult HCV infection does transmit HCV within dialysis units, then it seems that current measures to control the spread of HCV, although they don't incorporate routine PCR or nucleic acid technology, should be adequate. However, more information is needed about occult HCV in this setting. The current study has some strong and some weak points. The strengths of our study are, inclusion

of positive control groups, use of a highly sensitive and validated commercial assay that is less prone to contamination and interference with cellular DNA or RNA, testing at a dedicated, single-site laboratory, comprehensive evaluation of multiple clinical factors and of patients with occult HCV infection and controls.

However, there are also some limitations that need to be mentioned. These include the inclusion of small cohort, absence of liver biopsies, as detection of HCV genome in the liver is the most accurate method for the diagnosis of occult HCV infection. However, it is reported that HCV RNA in PBMC has been demonstrated to be reliable for identifying patients with an occult HCV infection when a liver biopsy is not available; up to 70% of patients with occult HCV infection in liver have been found to have HCV RNA in PBMC^{14,22}. Moreover, liver biopsy is an invasive procedure that may be associated with an increased bleeding risk in CHD patients. Other limitations of this study are: the small sample size used in this study, and that the samples were collected cross sectionally at one time point and sequential, repeated analysis of the PBMC at different time points was not performed. Therefore, it remains theoretically possible that some of the occult HCV infection may have been missed owing to the intermittent and fluctuating nature of HCV viremia³¹. However, repeated testing in such large numbers would not only have been time- and resource-consuming and challenging, but would also have little practical application for routine evaluation in a clinical setting. Lastly, analysis of quantitative viral load or genotyping in the samples positive for occult HCV could not be performed because of the limited amount of samples left and low levels of HCV RNA.

In conclusion, preliminary data suggest a moderate frequency of occult HCV infection in dialysis patients. Furthermore, there are no available data showing the virulence of this form of virus that is present in only PBMCs and not in the circulation. Additional more detailed studies are required to determine the real prevalence and the clinical consequences of this infection in dialysis patients. Also further studies in different geographic populations with high HCV endemicity are required to clarify the

significance of occult HCV infection in these patient groups.

References

1. **Shepard CW, Finelli L, Alter MJ (2005):** Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection. *Lancet Infect Dis.*, 5:558-67.
2. **Clarke B (1997):** Molecular virology of hepatitis C virus. *J Gen Virol.*, 78: 2397-410.
3. **Bukh J, Miller RH, Purcell RH. (1995):** Genetic heterogeneity of hepatitis C virus: quasispecies and genotypes. *Semin Liver Dis.*, 15:41-63.
4. **Bostan N, Mahmood T (2010):** An overview about hepatitis C: a devastating virus. *Crit Rev Microbiol.*, 36:91-133.
5. **Fabrizi F, Lunghi G, Ganeshan SV, Martin P, Messa P (2007):** Hepatitis C virus infection and the dialysis patient. *Semin Dial.*, 20: 416-22.
6. **Barril G, Traver JA (2003):** Decrease in the hepatitis C virus (HCV) prevalence in hemodialysis patients in Spain: effect of time, initiating HCV prevalence studies and adoption of isolation measures. *Antiviral Res.*, 60: 129-34.
7. **MohdHanafiah K, Groeger J, Flaxman AD, Wiersma ST (2013):** Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection: new estimates of age-specific antibody to HCV seroprevalence. *Hepatology*, 57:1333-42.
8. **Moyer VA (2013):** Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: US preventives services task force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern MED.*, 159:349-57.
9. **Abdel-Moneim, AS, Bamaga, MS, Abu-Elsaad, A.S., Shehab, GM, Farahat, FM (2012):** HCV infection among Saudi population: High prevalence of genotype 4 and increased rate of viral clearance. *PLOS ONE.*, 7 (1): e29781.
10. **Karkar A (2007):** Hepatitis C in dialysis units: the Saudi experience. *Hemodial Int.*, 11: 354-67.
11. **Al-Shohaib SS, Abdelaal MA, Zawawi TH et al. (1995):** The prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibodies among hemodialysis patients in Jeddah area, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant.*, 6:128-31.
12. **Bernieh B, Allam M, Halepota Aet al. (1995):** Prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibodies in hemodialysis patients in Madinah Al-Munawarah. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant.*, 6:132-5.
13. **Shaheen FAM, Huraib SO, Al-Rasheed Ret al. (1995):** Prevalence of hepatitis C antibodies among hemodialysis patients in the Western Province of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant.*, 6:136-9.
14. **Castillo I, Pardo M, Bartolomé J, Ortiz-Movilla N, Rodríguez-Iñigo E, de Lucas S,**

- Salas C, Jiménez-Heffernan JA, Pérez-Mota A, Graus Jet al. (2004):** Occult hepatitis C virus infection in patients in whom the etiology of persistently abnormal results of liver-function tests is unknown. *J Infect Dis.*, 189:7-14.
15. **Radkowski M, Horban A, Gallegos-Orozco JF, Pawelczyk A, Jablonska J, Wilkinson J, Adair D, Laskus T (2005):** Evidence for viral persistence in patients who test positive for anti-hepatitis C virus antibodies and have normal alanine aminotransferase levels. *J Infect Dis.*, 191:1730-3.
 16. **Fabrizi F, Martin P (2008):** Occult Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Hemodialysis. *J Am SocNephrol.*, 19:2248-50.
 17. **Barril G, Castillo I, Arenas MD, Espinosa M, Garcia-Valdecasas J, Garcia-Fernández N, González-Parra E, Alcazar JM, Sánchez C, Díez-BaylónJCet al. (2008):** Occult hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients. *J Am SocNephrol.*, 19:2288-92.
 18. **Radkowski M, Gallegos-Orozco JF, Jablonska J, Colby TV, Walewska-Zielecka B, Kubicka J, Wilkinson J, Adair D, Rakela J, Laskus T (2005):** Persistence of hepatitis C virus in patients successfully treated for chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology*, 41:106–114.
 19. **Castillo I, Rodríguez-Iñigo E, López-Alcorocho JM, Pardo M, Bartolomé J, Carreño V (2006):** Hepatitis C virus replicates in the liver of patients who have a sustained response to antiviral treatment. *Clin Infect Dis.*, 43:1277-83.
 20. **Pham TN, Coffin CS, Churchill ND, Urbanski SJ, Lee SS, Michalak TI (2012):** Hepatitis C virus persistence after sustained virological response to antiviral therapy in patients with or without past exposure to hepatitis B virus. *J Viral Hepat.*, 19:103-11.
 21. **Castillo I, Rodríguez-Iñigo E, Bartolomé J, de Lucas S, Ortíz-Movilla Net al. (2005):** Hepatitis C virus replicates in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients with occult hepatitis C virus infection. *Gut*, 54: 682-88.
 22. **Pardo M, López-Alcorocho JM, Rodríguez-Iñigo E, Castillo I, Carreño V (2007):** Comparative study between occult hepatitis C virus infection and chronic hepatitis C. *J Viral Hepat.*, 14: 36-40.
 23. **Carreño V (2006):** Occult hepatitis C virus infection: a new form of hepatitis C. *World J Gastroenterol.*, 12: 6922-25.
 24. **Jain P, Nijhawan (2008):** Occult hepatitis C virus infection is more common than hepatitis B infection in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *World J Gastroenterology*, 14 (14): 2288-9.
 25. **Murphy D, Willems B, Deschenes M, Hilzenrat N, Mousseau R, et al.** Use of sequence analysis of the NS5B region for routine genotyping of hepatitis C virus with reference to C/E1 and 5'untranslated region sequences. *J ClinMicrobiol.* 2007;45:1102–1112.
 26. **Lili KS, Dagmar P, Christian M (2000):** Hepatitis B virus in sera and liver tissue of HBsAg negative DNA with chronic hepatitis C. *J Hepatol.*, 3:785-90
 27. **Saad y, Zakaria S, Ramzy I, El RazikiMet al. (2011):** Prevalence of occult hepatitis C in Egyptian patients with non alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Open Journal of Internal Medicine*, 1: 33-7
 28. **Schneeberger PM, Keur I, van Loon AM, Mortier D, de Coul KO, van Haperen AV, Sanna, R, van Der Heijden TG, van Den Hoven H, van Hamersvelt HW, Quint W, van Doorn LJ (2000):** The prevalence and incidence of hepatitis C virus infections among dialysis patients in the Netherlands: A nationwide prospective study. *J Infect Dis.*, 182: 1291-9.
 29. **Shrivastava A, Manna SK, Ray R et al. (1998):** Ectopic expression of hepatitis C virus core protein differentially regulates nuclear transcription factors. *J Virol.*, 72: 9722-8.
 30. **Quiroga JA, Castillo I, Rodríguez-Inigo E et al. (2004):** HCV-specific cellular immune responses in patients with occult HCV infection in the liver. *Hepatology*, 40: 278A.
 31. **Baid-Agrawal, Schindler R, Reinke Pet al. (2014):** Prevalence of occult hepatitis C infection in chronic hemodialysis and kidney transplant patients. *Journal of Hepatology*, 60 (5), 928-33.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the hemodialysis patients, authorities, and the staff of the dialysis centers King AbdelAziz Hospital that collaborated in the study, and Health authorities at A-Taif Governorate making this research possible.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was supported by the University of Al Taif, KSA

Table 1. Demographic and clinical parameters of patients on maintenance hemodialysis with and without occult HCV

Parameter	Occult HCV 12	Negative Occult HCV 72	P value
Male: cases (%)	8 (66.7)	42 (58)	NS
Clinical features, cases (%)			
Age in years, (range)	44.3 (24-64)	48 (28-72)	NS
History of blood transfusion, cases (%)	05 (41.7)	22 (31)	<0.05
Time on hemodialysis (mo), mean (range)	38 (25-58)	23 (18-47)	<0.05
Generalized swelling, cases (%)	02 (16.7)	10 (14.0)	NS
Oliguria, cases (%)	03 (25.0)	20 (28.0)	NS
Hypertension cases (%)	05 (41.7)	33 (46.0)	NS
Altered sensorium cases (%)	01 (8.0)	09 (13.0)	NS
Etiology, cases (%)			
Diabetes mellitus	05 (41.7)	33 (45.7)	NS
Hypertensive nephropathy	03 (25.0)	20 (27.7)	NS
Glomerulopathy, unknown	02 (16.7)	06 (8.3)	NS
Chronic post infectious glomerulonephritis	01 (8.3)	07 (10)	NS
Others	001 (8.3)	06 (8.3)	NS

Values are mean (95% confidence interval of the mean) or number

Table 2. Biochemical Parameters of Patients on Maintenance Haemodialysis with and without Occult HCV

	Occult HCV 12	Negative Occult HCV 72	P value
Laboratory parameters			
Hemoglobin (mg/dL) values Mean (CI)	8.6 (7.8-11.2)	9.2 (7.6-11.3)	NS
Urea (mg/dL) values Mean (CI)	18.4. (8.4-32.2)	19.4. (8.4-28.2)	NS
Creatinine (mg/L) values Mean (CI)	2.5 (1.4-5.2)	2.6 (1.5-5.7)	NS
Bilirubin (mg/L) values Mean (CI)	2.8 (1.8-4.5)	1.5 (1.2-3.5)	<0.05
AST (IU/l) mean (CI)	66.4 (58 – 135)	48.4 (38 – 125)	<0.05
ALT (IU/l) Mean (CI)	58.5 (52-141)	51.5 (42-168)	<0.05
AP (IU/L Mean (CI)	76.5 (58.6-155.2)	46.5 (42.6-135.2)	NS

Values are mean (95% confidence interval of the mean) or number. AST, aspartate aminotransferase. ALT, alanine aminotransferase. AP, alkaline phosphatase

Table 3. Demographic and clinical parameters of patients on maintenance hemodialysis with Occult and Overt HCV

Parameter	Occult HCV 12	Overt HCV 20	P value
Male: cases (%)	8 (66.7)	14 (70)	Ns
Clinical features, cases (%)			
Age in years, (range)	44.3 (24-64)	41.7 (22-60)	NS
History of blood transfusion, cases (%)	05 (41.7)	12 (60)	<0.05
Time on hemodialysis (mo), mean (range)	23 (18-47)	38 (25-58)	<0.05
Generalised swelling	02 (16.7)	4 (20)	NS
Oliguria, Dyspnea	03 (25.0)	6 (30)	NS
Hypertension	03 (25.0)	6 (30)	NS
Altered sensorium	05 (41.7)	8 (40)	NS
Etiology, cases (%)			
Diabetes mellitus	05 (41.7)	8 (40)	NS
Hypertensive nephropathy	03 (25.0)	6 (30)	NS
Glomerulopathy, unknown	02 (16.7))	2 (10)	NS
Chronic post infectious glomerulonephritis	01 (08.3)	3 (15)	NS
Others	01 (08.3)	1 (5)	NS

Values are mean (95% confidence interval of the mean) or number.

Table 4. Biochemical parameters of patients on maintenance hemodialysis with Occult and Overt HCV

Parameter	Occult HCV 12	Overt HCV 20	P value
Laboratory parameters			
Hemoglobin (mg/dL)	8.6 (7.8-11.2)	8.4 (7.7-11.1)	NS
Urea (mg/dL)	18.4. (8.4-32.2)	21.4.3 (8.7-32.5)	NS
Creatinine (mg/L)	2.5 (1.4-5.2)	2.7 (1.5-5.2)	NS
Bilirubin (mg/L)	2.2 (1.6-3.5)	2.8 (1.8-5.1)	<0.05
AST (IU/l) mean (CI)	66.4 (58 – 135)	87.4 (55 – 178)	<0.05
ALT (IU/l) Mean (CI)	58.5 (52-141)	84.5 (68-192)	<0.05
AP mean (CI)	66.5 (58.6-155.2)	76.5 (62.6-165.2)	NS

Values are mean (95% confidence interval of the mean) or number. AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AP, alkaline phosphatase.