

(Cucurbita pepo L.)

NPK

TSS

/

.

/

.

-

-

-

PK

TSS

Silty Clay loam

.

/

/

F₁S₃

TSS

Split Plot Design

F₂S₃

trace elements (Mn, Ca, NPK humic substances %)

B, Mo, Zn, Co, Fe)

F3S3

N

/

(

)

(F3,F2,F1)

:

.

Squash

/

(

)

Cucubitaceae

(S3,S2,S1)

(Dilson, 2002)

N%

.

/N

)

SeaForce1

.(

/

/

pH

()

El-Shabrawy

25

% ,

.()

/

()

()

vit-org

(2012)

Hamid

/

♣

♣

/ 60

.()

/

(2012)

El-Nemr

(/)

Beta-Alpha

.()

/ 3

)

K,P,N

(

/)

/

(2010)

.(

. / 6

()

.()

/

. /

()

.()

:

()

Abdel-Mawgoud

Ascophyllum nodosum

/

VIT-ORG ()

()

.(*Cucurbita pepo L.*)

/

Jahan

Silty Clay loam

()

()

Humi-Feed

(/ ,)

/

.()

vit-org

()

/ 4

Split Plot Design

/

:

								Total	O.M	CaCO ₃	pH	EC	
								N					
K	P	CL ⁻¹	HCO ₃ ⁻¹	SO ₄ ⁻	Na ⁺¹	Mg ⁺²	Ca ⁺²						
mg.kg ⁻¹			meq. L ⁻¹					%	%	%	-	dSm ⁻¹	

196	7.6	1.56	1.12	1.74	1.63	0.91	1.96	0.08	0.78	7.20	7.85	0.34
-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Spectrophotometer / ()
 (Page et al 1982) trace elements NPK humic substances %)
 .(AAS) ((Mn, Ca, B, Mo, Zn, Co, Fe)

Gensstat
 . , LSD 5 (F3, F2, F1)
 / ()
 ()
 () (S3,S2,S1)
 , S3 (Zucchini)
 .% /
 ()

F3

N%

F1S3 / N
 F3S2
 F3S3 /
 /
 (Hand Refractometer) TSS
 /

(ElSahookie,2006)

MEAN-S	F3 (40 t .ha ⁻¹)	F2 (20 t .ha ⁻¹)	F1(0 t .ha ⁻¹)	Treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
18.03	21.98	18.07	14.03	S1
23.49	27.97	24.00	18.50	S2
27.46	26.87	25.13	30.37	S3
1.423		3.030		LSD 0.05
	25.61	22.40	20.97	MEAN- F
		2.041		LSD 0.05

/ F₃S₃ F₂S₂ ())
 () El-Shabrawy (2012) Hamid
 ((2010)
 (Humi-Feed)
 (Karakurt) / () Jahan
 () Jahan ())
 /
 El- (2012) Hamid ())
 (2010 (2012) Nemr S₂ S₃ /
 Humi-Feed)
 () /
 () % , F₃
 .F₁
 (TSS) /
 F₃
 F₂S₃ F₃S₁ /

- () -

"% ,
.% ,

/

MEAN S	F3 (40 t.ha ⁻¹)	F2 (20 t.ha ⁻¹)	F1(0t .ha ⁻¹)	Treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
10.44	13.33	9.67	8.33	S1
12.22	13.00	12.33	11.33	S2
11.22	12.33	13.00	11.33	S3
1.368		1.882		LSD 0.05
	12.89	11.67	10.33	MEAN F
		1.136		LSD 0.05

TSS

MEAN. S	F3 (40 t.ha ⁻¹)	F2 (20 t.ha ⁻¹)	F1(0 t.ha ⁻¹)	Treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
2.800	3.367	2.900	2.133	S1
5.222	6.000	5.667	4.000	S2
5.400	4.733	4.867	6.600	S3
0.3982		0.5263		LSD 0.05
	4.700	4.478	4.244	MEAN. F
		0.3107		LSD 0.05

() S₃ S₂ % , , TSS
() S₁ % ,

(Jifon Lester)

() F₃S₂ % , F₁S₃
TSS %
. % , F₁S₁

() Abdel-Mawgoud) TSS

) (()

(

.()

()

MEAN. S	F3 (40 t .ha ⁻¹)	F2 (20 t .ha ⁻¹)	F1(0 t .ha ⁻¹)	Treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
101.24	117.17	99.07	87.50	S1
164.17	186.23	167.23	139.03	S2
215.60	224.30	220.37	202.13	S3
4.176		6.040		LSD 0.05
	175.90	162.22	142.89	MEAN. F
		3.726		LSD 0.05

/

MEAN .S	F3 (40 t .ha-1)	F2 (20 t .ha-1)	F1(0 t .ha-1)	Treatment
20.15	28.12	16.99	15.30	S1
34.30	36.26	37.10	29.55	S2
39.71	35.56	42.69	40.88	S3
3.851		4.082		LSD 0.05
	33.31	32.26	28.58	MEAN .F
		1.867		LSD 0.05

()

()

() El-Shabrawy

Jahan (())

/ ,

()

(()

S₁

S₃

()

()

F₃

S₃

/ ,

S₁

% ,

% ,

F₂S₃

F₁S₃

/ ,

F₃S₂

F₃S₃

%

% ,

... :
 F₁S₁ % , F₂S₃
 . % , % , .% ,
 ()
 HAD)
 (NADPH % , S₃
 .() % , S₂
 () S₂ S₃
 F₃ % ,
 S₃ F₂ % ,
 % ,
 .%
 , F₃
 .% %
 F₂S₃ % , F₃S₃
 %

MEAN S	F3 (40 t .ha-1)	F2 (20 t .ha-1)	F1(0 t .ha-1)	Treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
0.778	0.785	0.779	0.7710	S1
0.848	0.839	0.850	0.856	S2
0.924	0.930	0.924	0.918	S3
0.0029		0.0054		LSD 0.05
	0.851	0.851	0.848	MEAN F
		0.0036		LSD 0.05

MEAN .S	F3 (40 t .ha ⁻¹)	F2 (20 t .ha ⁻¹)	F1(0 t .ha ⁻¹)	Treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
0.289	0.293	0.289	0.285	S1
0.305	0.309	0.304	0.302	S2
0.332	0.336	0.332	0.329	S3
0.0017		0.0027		LSD 0.05
	0.313	0.308	0.305	MEAN. F
		0.0017		LSD 0.05

MEAN S	F3 (40 t .ha-1)	F2 (2o t .ha-1)	F1(0 t .ha-1)	treatment(mL.L ⁻¹)
3.145	3.243	1.287	3.062	S1
4.427	4.465	4.435	4.381	S2
5.102	5.174	5.109	5.022	S3

0.0071		0.0080		LSD 0.05
	4.294	4.224	4.155	MEAN F
		0.0041		LSD 0.05

% , F₃S₃
 % , F₂S₃
 .% ,

()

/

.()

.(Amer)

()

SeaForce1

/

()

()

Abdel-Mawgoud

(*Ascophyllum nodosum*)

/

El-Nemr

.(Arisha)

(2012)

/

(/)

()

Beta-Alpha

()

/

/ 3

F2S3

.K, P, N

Sedea et al, (2006) Shaban et al,(2012)

()

()

.Sahra

.(Solanum tuberosum L.)

.(Cucumis sativus L.)

- :()

.(Solanum tuberosum L.)

.vit-org

- ()

.vit-org

Humusoil

.(Cueurbtapepo L)

Cucumis sativus

.() ()

- :()

()

(Humi-Feed)

- El-Nemr, M.A., M. El-Desuki, A.M. El-Bassiony and Z.F.Fawzy. 2012. Response of Growth and Yield of Cucumber Plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to Different Foliar Applications of Humic Acid and Biostimulators. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 6(3): 630-637.
- ElSahookie, M. M. 2006. Genetic physiologic and genetic-morphologic components in soybean . The Iraqi J.Agric. Sci. 37(2): 63-68.
- Hamid , R. B.; S. Bidarigh; E. Azarpour, and R. Khosravi . 2012 . Effects of Natural Zeolite Application under Foliar Spraying with Humic Acid on Yield and Yield Components of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Inter. J.Agric Crop Sci., 4 (20) : 1485-1488.
- El-Shabrawy, R. A.; A.Y. Ramadan and S. M. El-Kady . 2010 . Use of Humic Acid and some Biofertilizers to reduce Nitrogen rates on cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in relation to vegetative growth, yield and chemical composition. J. Plant Production, Mansoura University, Vol. 1(8): 1041 - 1051.
- Jahan, Mohsen, Alireza Koocheki, Mohammad-Kazem Tahami, Mohammad- ehzad Amiri and Mahdi Nassiri-Mahallati., 2012, The Effects of Simultaneous Application of Different Organic and Biological Fertilizers on Quantitative and Qualitative Characteristics of *Cucurbita pepo* L. Journal of Life Sciences 6 . 1145-1149 .
- Karakurt Y.; H. Unlu; Halime Unlu and H. Padem . 2009. The influence of foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid on yield and quality of pepper. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Plant Soil Science, 59 (3): 233 – 237.
- Lester, G. E. and J. L. Jifon. 2007. Foliar applied potassium effects on cantaloupe quality . Acta Hort. 731:89-95.
- Lopyes, R.; F. Cabera, E. madejan, F. Sancho and M. Alvares 2005) . Urban compost as an Alternative for peat in Forestry Nursery growing Media Dynamic soil . Dynamic plant vol. 1 Special Issue (9001) . Composts L pp 60 – 66.
- Page, A.L.; R.h. Miller, and D.R. Keeney. 1982. Method of Soil Analysis Part 2. chemical and microbiological properties. 2nd edition. Amer. soc. of Agron. INC. Soil Sci. Soc. Amr. INC. Madison . Wisconsin. USA.
- Sede. Wafaa Mohamed Ahmed, J.H. Joseph and M.M.H. Kenawy 2006. Changes in soil physico-chemical properties and macronutrients contents of pea and tomato plants due to organic manure and some natural minerals fertilization. Mansoura University Journal. Agricultural Sciences. ISSN111: 7301-7316.
- Shaban, Kh.A, Wafaa A. Hafez and Faten A. Kamar, 2012, Effect of Some Soil Amendments on Soil Fertility and Tomato Productivity under Saline Soil Condition. Minufiya J.Agric.Res. Vol.37 No. 4(2): 995-1005.
- Abdel.Mawgoud A. M.R; A. S. Tantaway Magdo M. Hafes and A. Hoda M. Habib (2010). Seaweed extract Improves growth, yield and quality of different watermelon hybrids. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences . 6 (2) 161 – 168.
- Amer, A.S.S. (1981). Effect of Some Growth Regulators and Some Miner Elements on Growth and Yield of Tomako . M. Sc. Thesis. Faculty of Agric. Sci. / Moshhtohor / Zagazg Univ./ Egypt.
- Arisha, H.M.E., A.A. Gad and S.E. Younes, 2003. Response of some pepper cultivars to organic and mineral nitrogen fertilizer under sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 30:1875– 1899.
- Dilson, A.B. (2002). Origin and evolution of cultivated cucurbita. Ciencia Rural, 32 (5): 715-723.

SUMMARY

Effect of Organic Fertilizer Application to the Soil or Spraying Plant in Qualitative Characteristics and Mineral Content of Squash

Najeeb Mohamed Hussein Al-Magrebi

To study the effect of adding organic fertilizer to the soil or spraying plant in qualitative characteristics and mineral content of the plant Squash. An experiment was carried out in the greenhouse of the department of Soil and water Faculty of Agriculture - Sana'a University - in the spring season of 2010 in the soil of sedimentary Silty Clay loam used plastic pots for planting experiment added soil to pots 10 kg soil / pot. The experiment was designed using a split plot design with three replications. The ground organic fertilizing called the Pew Ho Moses component (25% humic substances and NPK and trace elements (Mn, Ca, B, Mo, Zn, Co, Fe) main pieces and add to the soil in three levels, namely, (0, 20.40) t / ha and have been given the following codes (F1, F2, F3), respectively, add compost ground into the soil and mix well before planting by the addition levels. organic fertilizer spray, called Homistar component of the same former fertilizer components

and concentrations less sub-blocks in three levels, namely, (0, 20,40) me / L, was added to plants by spraying and have been given the following codes (S1, S2, S3) to levels previously mentioned was spraying fertilizer organic liquid on plants in two installments the first spray after 21 days of germination and the second after 15 days Spatter from the first by the addition of various levels, were planted the seeds of the plant Squash (Alzukina) class, was added stimulus of nitrogen fertilizer on the form of urea 46% N at a rate of 30 kg N / ha for all treatments. Spraying the plant by organic fertilizers increased fresh weightm and dry matterm significantly. Mean while, increased TSS andNPK content especially at the third level 40 mL.L⁻¹.

Keywords: organic fertilizer, Squash, soluble solids, dry matter