



Textbook Evaluation: EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Cutting Edge

إعداد Dr. Ahmad Alshehri

Assistant Professor

College of Education, Dept. Of Curriculum and Instruction, King
Khalid University, Saudi Arabia

DOI: 10.21608/edusohag.2018.5033

المجلة التربوية ـ العدد الثانى والخمسون ـ أبريل ٢٠١٨م

Print:(ISSN 1687-2649) Online:(ISSN 2536-9091)

Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate *Cutting Edge*, a textbook which is currently being taught at Najran University's Preparatory Year Programme. Twelve EFL instructors were asked to share their target textbook, and a forty-item perspectives about the questionnaire, which was developed by Litz (2005), was used for the purposes of the evaluation. The six areas of the textbook that the questionnaire assessed included skills, activities, layout and design, language type, subject and content and practical considerations. The study's findings revealed that the instructors were largely satisfied with a majority of the textbook's features. They were most satisfied with the textbook's layout and design (mean score=3.74), followed by its subject and content (3.65), its skills component (3.63), its activities (3.55) and its language type (3.51). By contrast, the respondents' opinions of the textbook's practical considerations unclear. Because the instructors were happy with most aspects of Cutting Edge, it is likely that the textbook will continue to function as a suitable teaching aide during the instruction of English at Najran University's Preparatory Year Programme. However, it is important to note that this evaluation was based on a select pool of instructors' personal opinions. It is therefore highly recommended that learners be given the opportunity to evaluate the textbook, as well.

Keywords: textbook evaluation; Cutting Edge; EFL

1. Introduction

The English language is often used as a platform for the instruction of Saudi Arabian undergraduate programmes. For this reason, English instruction has been given a great deal of emphasis during year-long preparatory programmes at institutions like Najran University. These types of programmes ensure that students gain a level of English proficiency that is considered to be acceptable prior to their enrolment in academic programmes. The many factors that are responsible for the effective instruction of English includethe course's instructor, the learners in the classroom, the physical environment where the course is held and the materials that aid in the course's instruction. In the current study, a single factor in the instruction of English was evaluated. This factor was Cutting Edge, a textbook which is currently being taught in the general English course (ENG 150) at Najran University's Preparatory Year Programme.

2. Importance of Textbook Evaluations

Materials evaluation, as defined by Tomlinson (1998), is 'the systematic appraisal of the value of materials in relation to their objectives and to the objectives of the learners using them' (p. xi). Materials need to be evaluated continuously. For example, pre-use evaluation can be used to determine if a certain set of materials will influence its users and will be suited to a particular context, in-use evaluation can be used to study materials and determine how teachers and learners are dealing with them, and post-use evaluations can examine if a set of materials has proven useful and will achieve the goals of a specific programme (McGrath, 2002; Ellis, 1997).

According to Tomlinson (2003), who considered materials evaluation significant because it provided teachers with insights into the application of language theories,

...it is also because of the realisation that one of the most effective ways of helping teachers to understand and apply theories of language learning—and to achieve personal and professional development—is to provide monitored experience of the process for developing materials.(p.1).

Moreover, Ellis (1998) pointed out that the need for evaluation had become widespread. He declared that the 'Acceptance of the need for evaluation—both to determine to what extent a programme has worked and, more broadly, to facilitate the whole process of curriculum development—is now widespread' (p. 217). Finally, Cunningsworth (1995) identified three major needs for materials evaluation. These included(1) the intention to adopt new course books, (2) the identification of certain strengths and weaknesses and (3) the capacity for materials to aid in the development of teachers and provide insight into atextbook's various components.

3. Review of Evaluation Frameworks

This section discusses a variety of criteria and proposals for the evaluation and development of materials that have been built by a range of English instruction experts. According to Littlejohn (1998), 'One of the most obvious sources for guidance in analysing materials is the large number of frameworks which exist to aid in the evaluation of a coursebook' (p. 191). In essence, checklists and frameworks are crucial in materials evaluation because they provide clear guidelines for the evaluation of materials. For instance, Byrd (2001) emphasised the importance of evaluation by asserting that the 'systems for evaluation of textbooks generally provide checklists built around numerous aspects of teaching and student-teacher interactions'(p.416). In addition, McGrath (2002) identified the following benefits to using checklists during the evaluation of materials:

- 1. The evaluation of materials is systematic, ensuring that all elements that are deemed to be important are considered.
- 2. It is cost effective, permitting a good deal of information to be recorded in a relatively short space of time.
- 3. The information is recorded in a convenient format, allowing for easy comparison between competing sets of material.
- 4. It is explicit, and provided the categories are well understood by all involved in the evaluation, offers a common framework. (p. 26).

Although Rubdy (2003) proposed yet another evaluative framework for the assessment of materials, he believed that a pedagogical focus, which is an aspect that more directly aids in the process of teaching and learning, was more important than an external evaluation, which assesses a textbook's size, layout, pricing, binding, typeface, paper quality, etc. As a result, he proposed the follow two stages of analysis for the selection of coursebooks: (1) Assess the content of the textbook in relation to its professed aims

and (2) assess its effectiveness in terms of content, the specific needs of the intended learners and the ways in which it serves the teaching and learning process.

Rubdy's framework was primarily focused on the second stage of course textbook analysis. He identified three broad categories for this stage of evaluation, which assessed the validity of the materials in relation to the following: (1) learners' needs, goals and pedagogical requirements (psychological validity), (2) teachers' skills, abilities, theories and beliefs (pedagogical validity) and (3) the thinking that underlies the material author's presentation of content and approach to teaching and learning, respectively (process and content validity). To assess the psychological validity of materials, Rubdy (2003) put forth criteria that considered creativity, learner's needs, learner cooperation. autonomy selfand development. In regards to pedagogical validity, he focused primarily on teachers' levels of guidance, reflection, innovation and exploration. For the assessment of process and content validity, he listed various subcategories that could be taken into account during the selection or evaluation of materials content. These subcategories included layout, content, linkage, grading, balance, practice, methodology, appropriacy, sufficiency, flexibility, authenticity, accessibility, cultural sensitivity and educational validity.

Cunningsworth (1995) is another author who proposed a comprehensive checklist for the selection and evaluation of materials. This checklist was built on the following four guidelines:

- 1. Coursebooks should correspond to learners' needs. They should match the aims and objectives of the language-learning programme.
- 2. Coursebooks should reflect the present or future functions of the language that students learn. Instructors should select coursebooks that will help equip students with the ability to use the language they are learning effectively.(p. 15).

In essence, Cunningsworth stressed that teaching and learning materials should be both externally (in terms of layout and physical appearance) and internally (in terms of organisation and language content) evaluated. During internal evaluation, he recommended the selection and detailed evaluation of two or more units from the targeted textbook. Depending on the guidelines mentioned above, Cunningsworth would then divide his checklist into a number of sections that involved the textbook's aims, design, skills topic, methodology, organisation, teachers' guides, language content and a select number of practical considerations that concerned the price and the availability of materials.

In spite of the effectiveness of Cunningsworth's checklist, Hill (1997) criticised its items for being inexplicit and lacking in guidance. Some of them, he claimed, 'are direct Yes/No questions, others are indirect referential questions; in some cases a "yes" answer replicates the vagueness of the question, sending mixed messages that the evaluator needs time to decode' (p.84).

Another evaluative framework, which was proposed by Grant (1987), identified the following three types of evaluation: (1) initial

evaluation, (2) detailed evaluation and (3) in-use evaluation. An initial evaluation would allow researchers to briefly look at the materials they wished to evaluate before they decided to proceed with a detailed assessment. At the same time, Grant warned against hasty decisions. To be able to make decisions during the initial evaluation, he recommended prior application of the 'CATALYST' technique. The word CATALYST (Grant, 1987) is formed from the beginning initials of the short questions that are listed below:

C: Communicative?

A: Aims?

T: Teachability?

A: Availability?

L: Level?

Y: Your impression?

S: Student interest?

T: Tried and tested? (p. 119).

4. Studies Concerning Textbook Evaluation

The evaluation of textbooks, especially commercial textbooks, has been the primary focus of several existing studies. This section will review a number of these studies and provide insights into their tools, samples, findings and objectives.

The New Interchange textbook series has been evaluated by several researchers in the past. For instance, Riasati and Zare (2010) explored the views of EFL teachers in response to one of the most widely used textbooksinIran. Their aim was to evaluate the textbook

and to assess its pedagogical value. They used an evaluative questionnaire to consult 35 teachers and to learn their opinions about specific aspects of the textbook. These included skills, activities, language type, layout and design, subject and content and a select number of practical considerations. The study's results revealed that most of the teachers responded positively to the categories that were mentioned above. However, some instructors complained that the textbook was insufficient in the following areas:

The textbooklacked supplementary teaching material.

Some parts of the series were beyond the linguistic capacity of the learners.

Some of the series' items and topics did not relate to Iranian culture.

The series contained toomany testing exercises.

An adequate number of teacher's manuals was not provided.

Writing skill received too little attention; therefore, learners did not receive adequate practice in this skill.

Rezaee et al.'s (2013) study also evaluated the New Interchange textbook series. They attempted to compare and evaluate the series with a series entitled TopNotch, assessing each series' layout, skills, activities, language type, subject and content and practical considerations. The study sample included42 Iranian EFL learners who had varying levels of proficiency in English. First, the subjects were divided into two groups. Then, each group was asked to evaluate one of the two series. An evaluative questionnaire was used to elicit the sample views. The study determined that most

of the learners were unhappywiththe TopNotch series. Many complained that the series' textbooks were too costly, out of date or difficult to access. By contrast, most students were satisfied with the New Interchange series and gave a majority of its features higher than average ratings.

Sahragard et al. (2009) carried out another evaluative study on the New Interchange series, with aims to explore the series, evaluate it and determine the extent that it applied to task- and communicative-based theories. The researchers consulted four ELT experts and used an evaluative checklist prepared by Littlejohn (1998). The study's results revealed that the New Interchange series focused on languageusage andemphasised meaning rather than form. It is also likely that many of the respondents gave the majority of their attention to communicative competence. In other words, many believed that the textbookseries unsuccessfully prepared its readers for the objectives that were outlined in the textbooks' prefaces.

In Litz's (2005) evaluation of the commercial textbookentitled English Firsthand 2, his aim was to determine the textbook's overall suitability and pedagogical value for the language program at Sung Kyun Kwan University in 2000 – 2001. He developed an evaluative checklist that investigated several of the textbook's features. Theseincluded layout and design, subject and content, a number of practical considerations(price, accessories, methodology, etc.), range and balance of activities, social and cultural considerations, skills integration and appropriateness and the language types that were

represented in the textbook. The study sample included 8 teachers and 500 students who had been enrolled in the language program. The study's results found that the textbook's positive points far outweighed its negative ones. Eachtextbook appeared to be well organised andshowed good integration of the four skills. In addition, its activities were diverse and encouraged communication during language learning. All supplementary materials were alsoprovided or were made to be available. By contrast, some drawbacks of the textbookincluded repetitive activities, shortages of meaningful practice activities and a lack of a focus on ESP.

5. Objective of the Study

The current study aimed to evaluate the Cuttingedge textbook. It explored a number of the textbook's features that were related to language learning and instruction. These included skills, activities, language type, layout and design, subject and content and a select number of practical considerations (e.g., price and supplementary materials).

6. Methodology

6.1 Participants

Twelve EFL instructors, who currently teach the target textbook, contributed to the completion of this study. Each respondent was asked to express their opinions about the textbook in response to an evaluative questionnaire.

6.2 Materials

The textbook that was evaluated currently being taught in the general English course (ENG 150) at Najran University's Preparatory Year Programme. The textbook isentitled Cuttingedge (2013) and was written by SaraCunningham, Peter Moor and Jonathan Bygrave.

6.3 Instruments

The study used an evaluative questionnaire that was developed by Litz (2005). Permission was sought from the developer to use the questionnaire. The questionnaire consists of 40 items that have been divided into the following6categories: skills, activities, language type, layout and design, subject and content and practical considerations.

6.4 Method of Data Analysis

Instructors were asked to share their opinions about the textbookby using aquestionnaire with a five-point scale (strongly agree, agree, undecided, disagree and strongly disagree). Frequencies, percentages, arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated to determine the subjects' overall attitudes about the textbookand the degrees in which they agreed or disagreed with the evaluative statements that were represented in the questionnaire. The statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) was used for data analysis.

7. Findings and Discussion

This evaluation is based on the views that EFLinstructors held in response to various aspects of the textbook. The categories that were evaluated included skills, activities, language type, layout and design, subject and content and practical considerations. In this section, we will discuss each of these features individually.

7.1 Practical Considerations

Table 1.EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Textbook's Practical Considerations

	Item	Response	Percentage	Mean
1	The price of the textbook is	strongly agree	0	2.75
	reasonable.	agree	33.3	
		undecided	33.3	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly disagree	25	
2	The textbook is easily	strongly agree	0	2.25
	accessible.	agree	33.3	
		undecided	25	
		disagree	25	
		strongly disagree	16.7	
3	The textbook is a recent	strongly agree	16.7	4
	publication.	agree	66.7	
		undecided	16.7	
		disagree	0	
		strongly disagree	0	
4	A teacher's guide, workbook,	strongly agree	8.3	3.92
	and audiotapes accompany the	agree	83.3	
	textbook.	undecided	0	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly disagree	0	
5	Author's views on language and	strongly agree	0	3.92
	methodology are comparable to	agree	91.7	
	mine (Note: refer to the 'blurb'	undecided	8.3	
	on the back of the textbook).	disagree	0	
		strongly disagree	0	

Items 1 – 5represent the study's evaluation of practical considerations. According to Table 1, while 33.3% of the subjects

agreed that the price of the textbook was reasonable, a similar number of subjects found the price of the textbook to be too high. Furthermore, although most of the instructors found the textbook to be inaccessible, 33.3% found it to be clear and easy to understand. 83.4% of the instructors believed that the textbook was a recent publication. Supplementary materials, such as workbooks, teachers' guides and audio CDs and/or tapes were reported to be readily available. A high percentage of instructors (91.7%) claimed that the author's views on language and methodology were comparable to their own.

The mean score of the textbook's practical considerations was 3.37 (Table 3). According to the table below, judgments concerning agreements or disagreements in response to the evaluative statements depend on the average of each category's mean score. Therefore, it can be concluded that the instructors' views on practical considerations were unclear.

Table 2. Interpretation of MeanScores

Mean Score Value Averages	Interpretation
Between1.00 and 1.80	strongly disagree
Between 1.81 and 2.60	disagree
Between 2.61 and 3.40	undecided
Between3.41 and 4.20	agree
Between 4.21 and 5.00	strongly agree

Table 3.The Mean Score of Each Category in the Questionnaire

Evaluative Categories	Mean	Views
Practical considerations	3.37	undecided
Layout and design	3.74	agree
Activities	3.55	agree
Skills	3.63	agree
Language type	3.51	agree
Subject and content	3.65	agree
Conclusion	3.24	undecided

7.2 Layout and Design

Table 4.EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Textbook's Layout and Design

	Item	Response	Percentage	Mean
6	The textbook includes a	strongly agree	8.3	3.5
	detailed overview of the	agree	58.3	
	functions, structures and	undecided	8.3	
	vocabulary that will be	disagree	25	
	taught in each unit.	strongly	0	
		disagree		
7	The layout and design is	strongly agree	16.7	3.58
	appropriate and clear.	agree	50	
		undecided	8.3	
		disagree	25	
		strongly	0	
		disagree		
8	The textbook is organised	strongly agree	16.7	3.42
	effectively.	agree	25	

		undecided	41.7	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly	0	
		disagree		
9	An adequate vocabulary	strongly agree	16.7	3.83
	list or glossary is	agree	58.3	
	included.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly	0	
		disagree		
10	Adequate review sections	strongly agree	25	4
	and exercises are	agree	58.3	-
	included.	undecided	8.3	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly	0	
		disagree		
11	An adequate set of	strongly agree	8.3	3.75
	evaluation quizzes or	agree	66.7	
	testing suggestions is	undecided	16.7	
	included.	disagree	8.3	
		strongly	0	
		disagree		
12	The teacher's book	strongly agree	33.3	4.17
	contains guidance about	agree	50	
	how the textbook can be	undecided	16.7	
	used tothe utmost	disagree	0	
	advantage.	strongly	0	
		disagree		

Items 6 – 12 evaluate the textbook's layout and design. About 67% of the respondents agreed, and 25% of the respondents disagreed, with the statement that claimed that the textbook contained a detailed overview of the functions, structures and vocabulary that would be taught in each unit. Additionally,68% of the respondents thought that the textbook's layout and design was both clear and appropriate. Roughly 42% of the respondents found the textbook's organisation to be effective, and 16.7% of the respondents considered the textbook's organisation to be ineffective.

According to table 4 about 34 of the sample, a vocabulary list (glossary) was included within the textbook. While around 83% of the respondents agreed that the review sections and exercises that were included in the textbook were sufficient, 8.3% of the respondents found the review sections and exercises to be insufficient. Similarly, most respondents agreed that an adequate set of evaluative quizzesand/or testing suggestions were included. Most instructors (83.3%) also agreed that the teacher's book contained guidance about how the textbook could be used to its highest potential.

Table 3 indicates that the overall evaluation of layout and design was positive with an mean score of 3.74, indicating that the subjects were mostly satisfied with the textbook's layout and design.

7.3 Activities

Table 5.EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Textbook's Activities

	Item	Response	Percentag	Mean
			e	
14	The textbook provides a	strongly agree	8.3	3.5
	balance of activities	agree	50	
	(Ex.There is an even	undecided	25	
	distribution of free vs.	disagree	16.7	
	controlled exercises and	strongly disagree	0	
	tasks that focus on both			
	fluent and accurate			
	production).			
15	The activities encourage	strongly agree	16.7	3.75
	sufficient communicative	agree	58.3	
	and meaningful practice.	undecided	8.3	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
16	The activities incorporate	strongly agree	8.3	3.67
	individual, pair and group	agree	66.7	
	work.	undecided	8.3	

		disagree strongly disagree	16.7 0	
17	The grammar points and	strongly agree	8.3	3.25
	vocabulary items are introduced in motivating	agree undecided	33.3 33.3	
	andrealistic contexts.	disagree	25	
		strongly disagree	0	
18	The activities promote	strongly agree	8.3	3.5
	creative, original and	agree	50	
	independent responses.	undecided	25	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
19	The tasks are conducive to	strongly agree	8.3	3.58
	the internalisation of newly	agree	58.3	
	introduced language.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
20	The textbook's activities can	strongly agree	8.3	3.58
	be modified or	agree	58.3	
	supplemented easily.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	

Items 14 – 20 evaluate the textbook's activities. While Table 5 shows that more than half of the subjects believed that the book's activities were various and balanced, roughly17% of the respondents disagreed with this assessment. According to Table 5, 75% of the study's subjects, the textbook's use of communicative and meaningful practiceactivities wassufficient. This same percentage of respondents agreed that thetextbook's activities incorporated both paired and group work. Although about 40% of the instructors thought that thetextbook's grammar points and vocabulary items were introduced in motivating and realistic contexts, 25% of the respondents disagreed with this assessment. More than 65% of the instructors agreed that the textbook's activities could be modified or

supplemented easily. According to Table 3, the mean score for the category of activities was 3.55. This means that most of the subjects agreed with the statements that were addressed in this section of the questionnaire.

7.4Skills

Table 6.EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Textbook's Skills

	Item	Response	Percentage	Mean
21	The materials include and	strongly agree	8.3	3.67
	focus on the skills that	agree	66.7	
	I/my students need to	undecided	8.3	
	practice.	disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
22	The materials provide an	strongly agree	8.3	3.58
	appropriate balance of	agree	58.3	
	the four language skills.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
23	The textbook pays	strongly agree	8.3	3.42
	attention to sub-skills - i.e.	agree	50	
	listening for gist, note-	undecided	16.7	
	taking, skimming for	disagree	25	
	information, etc.	strongly disagree	0	
24	The textbook highlights	strongly agree	8.3	3.75
	and practices natural	agree	66.7	
	pronunciation (e.g., stress	undecided	16.7	
	and intonation).	disagree	8.3	
	ŕ	strongly disagree	0	
25	The practice of individual	strongly agree	8.3	3.75
	skills is integrated into the	agree	66.7	
	practice of other skills.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly disagree	0	

Items 21 - 25 evaluate the textbook's language skills. 75% of the instructors agreed that the textbookfocused on skills that their students needed. The same percentage of respondents agreed that

there was a good balance of the four language skills. Respondents also agreed that individual skills had been integrated into the practice of other skills. According to Table 6, more than half of the study's subjects, sub skills, such as note taking, skimming for information and listening for the gist of a conversation, wereemphasised. While 75% of the respondents agreed that the textbook emphasised practices that encouraged natural pronunciation, 8.3% of the respondents disagreed with this assessment. In general, the textbook's skills component rated highly with an overall mean score of 3.63.

7.5Language Type

Table 7.EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Textbook's Language Type

	Item	Response	Percentage	Mean
26	The language used in the	strongly agree	8.3	3.67
	textbook is authentic (i.e. like	agree	66.7	
	real-life English).	undecided	8.3	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
27	The language used is at the	strongly agree	8.3	3.33
	right level for my(students')	agree	41.7	
	current English ability.	undecided	25	
		disagree	25	
		strongly disagree	0	
28	The progression of grammar	strongly agree	8.3	3.5
	points and vocabulary items is	agree	50	
	appropriate.	undecided	25	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
29	The grammar points are	strongly agree	8.3	3.42
	presented with easy and brief	agree	41.7	
	examples and explanations.	undecided	33.3	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
30	The language functions	strongly agree	8.3	3.58
	exemplify English that I/my	agree	58.3	
	students will be likely to use.	undecided	16.7	

		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
31	The language represents a	strongly agree	8.3	3.58
	diverse range of registers and	agree	50	
	accents.	undecided	33.3	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly disagree	0	

Items 26 - 31 evaluate the textbook's language type. This feature was explored through assessments of authenticity, the diversity of accents and registers, the progression of vocabulary and grammar points and the suitability of the textbook in relation to students' proficiency with the language. While 75% of the instructors agreed that the language that was used in the textbook was authentic, 16.7% of the instructors disagreed with this assessment. About 50% of the respondents agreed, and at least 25% of the respondents disagreed, with the statement that claimed that the textbookwas suitedto their students' levels of English. Roughly 60% of the instructors thought that the textbook's progression of grammar points and vocabulary items was appropriate. About half of the respondents believed that the textbook offered easy and brief examples and explanations of grammar points. Inresponse to language functions, more than 65% of the subjects agreed that the textbook taught English that students would be likely to use in the future. Finally, about 60% of the instructors thought that a diverse selection of accents and registers were represented in the textbook. According to Table 3, the overall mean score of the language type in the target textbook is 3.51. This means that most of the instructors were satisfied with thetextbook's representation of this feature.

7.6 Subject and Content

Table 8.EFL Teachers' Perspectives on Textbook's Subject and Content

	Item	Response	Percentage	Mean
32	The subject and content of	strongly agree	8.3	3.42
	the textbook is relevant to	agree	50	
	my(students') needs as an	undecided	16.7	
	English language learner(s).	disagree	25	
		strongly disagree	0	
33	The subject and content of	strongly agree	8.3	3.75
	the textbook is generally	agree	66.7	
	realistic.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	8.3	
		strongly disagree	0	
34	The subject and content of	strongly agree	8.3	3.67
	the textbook is interesting,	agree	66.7	
	challenging and motivating.	undecided	8.3	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
35	There is sufficient variety in	strongly agree	16.7	3.67
	the subject and content of	agree	50	
	the textbook.	undecided	16.7	
		disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
36	The materials are not	strongly agree	8.3	3.75
	culturally biased and they do	agree	66.7	
	not portray any negative	undecided	16.7	
	stereotypes.	disagree	8.3	
		strongly disagree	0	

Items 32-36 evaluate the textbook's subject and content. During assessments of a textbook's learning material, it is essential to investigate that material's subject and content, or more specifically, its variety, realism, cultural biases, ability to motivate, interesting featuresand relevance to learners' needs. Table8 shows that 66.7% of the study sample agreed that the subject and content of the textbook was realistic, motivating, challenging and interesting. Furthermore, many agreed that the textbook contained no cultural

bias or negative stereotypes. More than half of the respondents believed that the textbook's subject and content were linked to their learners' specific needs. Many also agreed that thetextbook's subject and content displayed sufficient variety. According to Table 3, the overall mean score of the textbook's subject and content is 3.65. This means that most of the instructors were satisfied with the textbook's representation of this feature.

7.7Conclusion

Table 9. Conclusion

	Item	Response	Percentage	Mean
37	The textbook is appropriate	strongly agree	8.3	3.33
	for the language-learning	agree	41.7	
	aims of my institution.	undecided	25	
		disagree	25	
		strongly disagree	0	
38	The textbook is suitable for	strongly agree	8.3	3.33
	co-ed, small, medium and	agree	33.3	
	homogeneous university	undecided	41.7	
	classes.	disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
39	The textbook raises	strongly agree	8.3	3.25
	my(students') interest in	agree	25	
	further English language	undecided	50	
	study.	disagree	16.7	
		strongly disagree	0	
40	I would choose to teach this	strongly agree	8.3	3.08
	textbook again.	agree	25	
		undecided	33.3	
		disagree	33.3	
		strongly disagree	0	

Items in this final section of the questionnaire draw on conclusive questions related to the textbook's suitability forthe language programat Najran University's Preparatory Year Programme. According to Table 9, about 50% of the instructors

agreed that the textbook appropriately met the aims of their institution's language program, while 25% of the instructors disagreed with this assessment. Although roughly 33% of the respondents thought that the textbook raised their students' interest in studying the English language in the future, 16.7% of the respondents disagreed with this assessment, and 50% of the respondents were undecided. When asked if they would choose to teach the target textbook in the future, the subjects' responses were largely unclear. About 33% of the respondents agreed and disagreed with this statement, respectively. Because the overall mean score of this section of the questionnaire was 3.24, it is unclear as to whether the instructors' responses to these items were completely positive or negative.

8. Conclusion

The target textbook's evaluation criteria were divided into the following primarycategories: skills, activities, language type, layout and design, subject and content and practical considerations. Table 3summarises the mean scores of each of these categories. It is clear that the respondents were most satisfied with the textbook's layout and design (mean score=3.74), followed by its subject and content (3.65),its skills component (3.63), its activities (3.55) and its language type (3.51).Respondents' views on the textbook's practical considerations were unclear. Most responses for this item were placed under the 'undecided' category.

Because most ofthe instructors were pleased with a majority of the items that were evaluated, the textbook will likely prove to be suitable for the future instruction of English at Najran University's Preparatory Year Programme. However, it is important to note that this evaluation was based on the views of a select sample of instructors. It is therefore highly recommend that learners be given the opportunity to evaluate the textbook, as well.

References

- Byrd, P. (2001). Textbooks: Evaluation for selection and analysis for implementation. In M. Celce-Murcia (Ed.) (3rd ed.), *Teaching English as a second or foreign language*. Boston, Mass.: Heinle and Heinle.
- Cunningsworth, A. (1995). Choosing your coursebook. Oxford: Heinmann.
- Ellis, R. (1997). The empirical evaluation of language teaching materials.

 *English Language Teaching Journal,51 (1), 36 42.http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/elt/51.1.36
- Ellis, R. (1998). The evaluation of communicative tasks. In B. Tomlinson (Ed.), *Materials development in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Grant, N. (1997). Making the most of your textbook. London: Longman.
- Hill, D. A. (1997). Review of 'Choosing your coursebook'. *English Language Teaching Journal*, 51(1),83 85.
- Littlejohn, A. (1998). The analysis of language teaching materials: Inside the Trojan horse.In B. Tomlinson (Ed.), *Materials development in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Litz, D. (2005) Textbook evaluation and management: A South Korean case study. *Asian EFL Journal*. Retrievedfrom http://www.asian-efl-journal.com/Litz_thesis.pdf.
- McGrath, I. (2002). *Materials evaluation and design for language teaching*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Rezaee, A., Kouhpaeenejad, M. and Mohammadi, A. (2013). Iranian EFL learners' perspective on New Interchange series and Top-Notch series:

 A comparative study. *Social and BehavioralSciences*,(70),827 840.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.01.128

- Riasati, M. and Zare, P. (2010). Textbook evaluation: EFL teachers' perspective on New Interchange. *Studies in Literature and Language*, *I*(1),54–60.
- Rubdy, R. (2003). Selection of materials. In B. Tomlinson (Ed.), Developing materials for language teaching. London: Continuum.
- Sahragard, R., Rahimi, A. and Zaremoayeddi, I. (2009). An in-depth evaluation of Interchange series. *Porta Linguarum*, 3(12), 37 54.
- Tomlinson, B. (Ed.).(2011). *Materials development in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.