

The 7th international- 21th Arabic conference for Home Economics ''Home Economics and sustainable development 2030'' December -15th, 2020 http://homeEcon.menofia.edu.eg

Journal of Home Economics

ISSN 1110-2578

Possible Effects of Chestnut (*Castanea sativa*, Mill.) Fruit Powder and Extracts on Biological,Biochemical and Histological Change of Induced Obese Rats

Thourya M. Hassan -Seham A. Khader - BasmaA. Mohamed

Nutrition & Food Science Dept., Faculty of Home Economics, Menoufia Univ., Egypt

Abstract:

The effect of different concentrations (2.5 and 5%) as powder and (250 and 500mg/kg/BW) as extract of chestnut fruits (Castanea sativa, M.) on obese rats were evaluated. Thirty six male albino rats weighting 140 ± 10 g were used in this study and divided into 6 groups, 6 rats per each. Rats were fed on high fat diet (20% animal fat) to induce obese. Results showed that the highest body weight gain, feed intake and feed efficiency ratio recorded for 250mg/kgchestnut fruits extract, while the lowest recorded for 2.5 % chestnut fruits as powder with significant difference. The lowest ALT, AST and ALP liver enzyme of treated grouprecorded for group fed on 500mg/kgchestnut fruits extract with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). The highest cholesterol and triglycerides levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder while, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). The highest (HDL-c) levels recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract. The lowest LDL-c and VLDL-c values recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). While, the lowest uric acid, urea and creatinine values recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference. As conclusion, obese rats treated with 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract had improvement lipid profile, liver and kidney functions compared withchestnut fruit powder.

Key words :Chestnut fruits,Anti-obesity, liver functions, kidney functions, serum lipid profile.

Introduction

Obesity is the most prevalent health problem. It is also known to be a risk factor for the development of metabolic disorders such as type 2 diabetes, systemic hypertension, cardiovascular disease, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerosis. Obesity is a pathological condition in which excess body fat has accumulated to the extent that it may have an adverse effect on health, leading to reduced life expectancy and/or increased health problems (**Chenget al., 2010**).

Obesity according to The World Health Organization (WHO) defines as an over fat accumulation which influence to human health. Obesity has also been defined as an increased of adipose tissue mass (Roh et al., 2012). Also Ling et al., (2012) mentioned that dyslipidemia is generally characterized by elevated levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, and decreased levels of high density lipoprotein cholesterol. Dyslipidemia as an independent preventable risk factor of coronary heart disease has been shown to increase the risk of cardiovascular mortality. Furthermore Hassan and El-Gharib, (2015) concluded that obesity is becoming one of the most prevalent health concerns among all populations and age groups worldwide, resulting in a significant increase in mortality and morbidity related to coronary heart diseases, diabetes type 2, metabolic syndrome, stroke, and cancers. Disappointing results after cessation the lifestyle modification or pharmacotherapy compelled the researchers and physicians to rethink to find a new, safe, and striking therapeutic alternative for this global health concern. Many natural products act as anti-obesity through various mechanisms to reduce body weight and its complications.

The chestnut group is a genus (*Castanea*) of eight or nine species of deciduoustrees and shrubs in the beech family, *Fagaceae*, native to temperate regions of the Northern Hemisphere. Chestnut fruits stood out for many centuries as one of the most important food resources of the European rural areas, but the emergence of severe chestnut tree diseases and the rural depopulation caused a progressive decline in their production (Adua, 1999).

The growing demand for traditional foods has converted chestnut to an added value resource. Nowadays, chestnut worldwide production is estimated in 1.1 million tons, distributed by a surface with near 340 thousand hectares. From a nutritional point of view, chestnuts have

interesting characteristics, as it has been supported by other studies on the chemical composition of its kernels, focused on starch, fiber, fat and fatty acids (FA), protein and amino acids, ash, minerals (**De Vasconcelos***et al.*, **2007**).

Many natural compoundshave a wide range of biological activities including antioxidant, chemo-preventive, anti-inflammatory, neuro-protective, and cardio-protective effects. Sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa*, Mill.) is a known source of phenolic bioactive compounds, in particular of tannins (**Sanzet al., 2010**). Therefore, in recent years, the consumers have been showing an increased interest in chestnut fruits because of their nutritional qualities and potential beneficial health effects. In fact, chestnuts are also rich in carbohydrates and are a good source of essential fatty acids and minerals (**Carvalho et al., 2010**).

Chestnuts are quite different from other nuts nutritionally and inaculinary sense. They have a sweet, nutty taste but a texturesimilar to a farm baked potato rather than the crunchy texture of other nuts. Nutritionally chestnuts are more like a wholegrainthan a nut as they are low in fat, contain protein and are a goodsource of low glycemic index (GI) carbohydrate and dietary fiber. Whilethey are a source of the similar vitamins and minerals found in other nuts, their high water content means the concentration of these nutrientsis less (Vázquez et al., 2012). Also, sweet chestnut extract administration reduced oxidative stress induced by high n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-3 PUFA) intake in young pigs and the formation of toxic products of PUFA oxidation; in addition, it prevented DNA damage in blood lymphocytes (Li et al., 2012).

This work was conducted to study the effect of chestnut fruit powder and extracts on biochemical analysis of obese rats.

Materials and Methods

Materials:

Chestnut (*Castanea sati*va, Mill.)fruits were obtained from Alexandria City, Alexandria Governorate, Egypt.

Experimental animals

A total of 36 adult normal male albino rats Sprague Dawley strain weighing 140±10 g were obtained from Vaccine and Immunity Organization, Ministry of Health, Helwan Farm, Cairo, Egypt.

Methods

The induction of experimental obesity

Obesity was induces in normal healthy male albino rats by fed on high fat diet (20% animal lipid) supplemented in the basal diet and used as a positive control group.

Ingredients	g/100 g					
*Casein	12					
Sunflower oil	10.0					
Mineral mixture	4					
Vitamins mixture	1					
Fibers (cellulose)	4					
Sugar (sucrose)	10					
DL- methionin	0.3					
Choline chloride	0.2					
Corn starch	56.55					
Total	100 (g)					

Table (a): The ingredients of the basal diet (g/100 g diet).

* 12 g casein yielded 10.32 g protein.

The chemical kits

Chemical kits used for determination the (TC, TG, HDL-c, ALT, AST, ALP, urea, uric acid and creatinine) were obtained from Al-Gomhoria Company for Chemical, Medical and Instruments, Cairo, Egypt.

Preparations chestnut fruit

To prepare the chestnutfruit powder fruitswere washed thoroughly under running tap water, shade dried, and ground to a fine powder using an air mill.

Experimental design

Thirty six adult male white albino rats, Sprague Dawley Strain, 10 weeks age, weighing $(140\pm10g)$ were used in this experiment. All rats were fed on basal diet (casein diet) prepared according to **AIN**, (1993) for 7 consecutive days. After this adaptation period, rats are divided into 6 groups, per each six rats as follows: Group (1): rats fed on basal diet as negative control. Group (2): Obese rats induced by fed on high fat diet (20% animal lipid) supplemented in the basal diet and used as a positive control group.Group (3): A group obese rats fed on chestnutfruitas powder by 2.5% of the weight of basal diet. Group (4): A group infected obese rats fed on chestnutfruit as powder by 5% of basal diet. Group (5): A group infected obese rats fed on chestnutfruitextract by 250 mg/kgof

the weight of the rat.Group (6): A group infected obese rats fed on chestnutfruitextract by 500 mg/kgof the weight of the rat. During the experimental period, the body weight and feed intake were estimated weekly and the general behavior of rats was observed. The experiment periodwas take 28 days, at the end of the experimental period each rat weight separately then, rats are slaughtered and collect blood samples. Blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for ten minute to separate blood serum, and then kept in deep freezer till using.

Blood sampling:

After fasting for 12 hours, blood samples in initial times were obtained from retro orbital vein, while it obtained from hepatic portal vein at the end of each experiment. Blood samples were collected into a dry clean centrifuge glass tubes and left to clot in water bath (37°C) for 30 minutes, then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4000 rpm to separate the serum, which were carefully aspirated and transferred into clean cuvette tube and stored frozen indeep freezer till analysis according to method described by **Schermer (1967)**.

Body weight gain (BWG), food intake (FI), and feed efficiency ratio(FER):

During the experimental period (28 days) the net FI was daily recorded, while BWG was weekly recorded. The net feed intake and gained body weight were used for the calculation of (FER) according to **Chapman** *et al.*, (1959)as follow:

FER % = Body weight gain (g) Food intake (g) × 100

Biochemical analysis:

Lipids profile:

Determination of total cholesterol:

Serum total cholesterol was determined according to the colorimetric method described by **Thomas (1992)**.

Determination of serum triglycerides:

Serum triglyceride was determined by enzymatic method using kits according to the **Young**, (1975) and Fossati, (1982).

Determination of high density lipoprotein (HDL-c):

HDL-c was determined according to the method described by Friedewaid (1972) and Grodon and Amer (1977).

Very low density lipoproteincholesterol (VLDL-c):

VLDL-c was calculated in mg/dl according to **Lee and Nieman** (1996) using the following formula:

VLDL-c (mg/dl) = Triglycerides / 5

Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c):

LDL-c was calculated in mg/dl according to Lee and Nieman (1996) as follows:

LDL-c (mg/dl) = Total cholesterol – HDL-c – VLDL-c

Liver functions

Determination of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), serum asparatate aminotransferase (AST) and serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) carried out according the method were to of Hafkenscheid(1979), ClinicaChimicaActa(1980), and Moss (1982), respectively.

Kidney functions

Serum urea and serum creatininewere determined by enzymatic method according to Henry (1974) and Patton and Crouch (1977). Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using a completely randomized factorial design (SAS, 1988) when a significant main effect was detected; the means were separated with the Student-Newman-Keuls Test. Differences between treatments of (P \leq 0.05) were considered significant using Costat Program. Biological results were analyzed by One Way ANOVA.

Results and Discussion

Effect of chestnut fruit powder and extracts on BWG, Fland FER of obese rats

Data presented in Table (1) show the effect of chestnut fruit on (BWG), (FI) and (FER) of obese rats. The obtained results showed that the body weight gain (BWG) % of negative control recorded the highest value when compared with positive control with significant difference. The mean values were 41.77 and 31.73 %, respectively. From obese rat groups, it is clear to notice that the highest BWG(%) recorded for 250 mg/kgchestnut fruit extract, while the lowest BWG (%) recorded for 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 58.49 and 15.03 %, respectively. In case of (FI), it could be notice that the feed intake of positive control recorded the highest value when compared with negative control with significant difference.

Treatment / Parameters	BWG	FI	FER
	(g)	(g/day)	(%)
Control group (-)	41.77±0.20 ^b	$18.45 \pm 1.24^{\circ}$	$0.080^{a} \pm 0.002$
Control group (+)	31.73±0.32 ^c	25.15±1.23 ^a	$0.045^{b} \pm 0.004$
Obese rats with chestnut	15.03±0.11 ^e	$18.88 \pm 1.10^{\circ}$	$0.028^{b} \pm 0.001$
fruits powder (2.5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut	18.68 ± 0.50^{d}	17.67±1.25 ^c	$0.037^{b} \pm 0.002$
fruits powder (5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut	58.49±0.61 ^a	23.10±1.12 ^b	$0.090^{a} \pm 0.003$
fruits extract (250 mg/kg)			
Obese rats with chestnut	56.11 ± 0.12^{a}	22.52±1.31 ^b	$0.088^{a} \pm 0.005$
fruits extract (500 mg/kg)			
LSD	3.561	1.578	0.021

Table (1): Effect of chestnut fruits	powder an	nd its ext	racts on BWG,
FI and FER of obese rats			

Each value is represented as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 3).

Mean under the same column bearing different superscript letters are different significantly ($p \leq 0.05$).

The mean values were 25.15 and 18.45 g/day, respectively. From obese rat groups, it is obvious that the highest FI recorded for 250 mg/kgchestnut fruit extract, while the lowest FI recorded for 5 % chestnut fruit powder with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). The mean values were 23.10 and 17.67 g/day, respectively. The obtained results indicated that the highest feed efficiency ratio recorded for negative control group, while the lowest value recorded for positive control group with significant differences. The mean values were 0.080 and 0.045 %, respectively. On the other hand, the highest FER of treated group recorded for 250 mg/kgchestnut extract, while the lowest FER recorded for 2.5% chestnut powder with significant differences (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 0.090 and 0.028g, respectively. These results are in agreement with Yinet al., (2011), they reported that the 300 mg/kg dosage caused a significant body weight loss in both normal and diabetic rats.

Effect of chestnut fruit on liver functions level of obese rats

Data given in Table (2) show the effect of chestnut fruit on liver functions (ALT, AST and ALP) of obese rats. The obtained results indicated that the ALT liver enzyme of positive control rats group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). The mean values were 95.08 and 32.11 U/L, respectively. While, the highest ALT liver enzyme of treated

group recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 79.45 and 43.50 U/L, respectively.On the other hand, AST liver enzyme of positive control rats group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 86.54 and 29.65 U/L, respectively.

 Table (2): Effect of chestnut fruits powder and its extracts on liver functions ofObese rats

Treatment/Parameter	ALT (U/L)	AST (U/L)	ALP (U/L)
Control group (-)	$32.11 \pm 1.90^{\rm f}$	$29.65 \pm 1.20^{\rm f}$	$29.40 \pm 1.60^{\text{f}}$
Control group (+)	95.08 ± 2.13^{a}	86.54 ± 1.12^{a}	71.03 ± 1.11^{a}
Obese rats with chestnut	79.45 ± 1.63^{b}	53.40 ± 1.10^{b}	56.20±1.30 ^b
fruits powder (2.5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut	$66.17 \pm 1.21^{\circ}$	$47.20 \pm 1.25^{\circ}$	41.05 ± 1.16^{d}
fruits powder (5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut	60.65 ± 2.10^{d}	43.34 ± 2.31^{d}	$48.23 \pm 2.23^{\circ}$
fruits extract (250 mg/kg)			
Obese rats with chestnut	43.50 ± 3.23^{e}	28.16 ± 1.20^{e}	37.02 ± 2.10^{e}
fruits extract (500 mg/kg)			
LSD	2.75	4.19	3.02

Each value is represented as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 3).

Mean under the same line bearing different superscript letters are different significantly $(p \le 0.05)$.

While, the highest AST liver enzyme of treated group recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruitpowder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 53.40 and 28.16 U/L, respectively. In case of ALP liver enzyme of positive control rats group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 71.03 and 29.40 U/L, respectively. While, the highest ALP liver enzyme of treated group recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 56.20 and 37.02 U/L, respectively. The best treatment observed the highest reduction in liver enzymes recorded for 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract.These results are in agreement with**Frankicand Salobir**, (2011),they reported that sweet chestnut woodextract administration

reduced oxidative stress induced byhigh n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-3 PUFA) intake inyoung pigs and the formation of toxic products of PUFAoxidation; inaddition, it prevented DNA damage in bloodlymphocytes.

Effect of chestnut fruit on total cholesterol and triglycerides level of obese rats:

The effect of chestnut fruit on the serum total cholesterol and triglycerides of obese rats are shown in Table (3). The obtained results indicated that the cholesterol levels of positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 156.03 and 68.22 mg/dl, respectively. While, the highest cholesterol levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P \leq 0.05).

Table (3)	: Effect of	of chest	nut fru	its powd	ler and	its ext	racts on	serum
	total che	olestero	ol and tr	iglycerie	des of o	bese ra	ats	

Treatment/Parameter	Total cholesterol (mg /dl)	Triglycerides (mg /dl)		
Control group (-)	68.22 ± 4.74^{e}	65.20 ± 3.24^{d}		
Control group (+)	156.03 ± 5.18^{a}	127.03 ± 2.61^{a}		
Obese rats with chestnut fruits powder (2.5%)	97.40±4.23 ^b	85.00 ± 4.38^{b}		
Obese rats with chestnut fruits powder (5%)	92.00±5.66 ^c	81.11±4.61 ^c		
Obese rats with chestnut fruits extract (250 mg/kg)	74.40 ± 4.26^{d}	67.10 ± 2.51^{d}		
Obese rats with chestnut fruits extract (500 mg/kg)	70.05 ± 2.77^{e}	63.45 ± 3.72^{e}		
LSD	2.26	2.17		

Each value is represented as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 6).

Mean under the same column bearing different superscript letters are different significantly ($p \le 0.05$).

The mean values were 97.40 and 70.05 mg/dl, respectively. In the other hand, the triglyceride of positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 127.03 and 65.20 mg/dl, respectively. While, the highest triglyceride recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P \leq 0.05).The mean values were 85.00 and 63.45 mg/dl, respectively.These results are in agreement with **Yinet al.**, (2011), they reported thatoral administration of chestnut fruit extract at a different

dose of 150-300 mg/kg caused significant decreases in serum triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol levels.

Effect of chestnut fruit on serum lipid profile level of obese rats:

Theeffect of chestnut fruits on serum lipid profile (HDL-c, LDLc and VLDL-c) level of obese rats was shown in Table (4). The obtained results indicated that HDL-c levels of positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 46.65 and 36.16 mg/dl, respectively.While, the highest (HDL-c) levels recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 5 % chestnut fruit powder with significant difference The mean values were 47.50 and 41.12 (P≤0.05). mg/dl. respectively.Data also showed that theLDL-c levels of positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). The mean values were 83.97 and 19.02 mg/dl, respectively. While, the highest LDL-c levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference ($P \le 0.05$).

profile of obese	rats		
Treatment/Parameter	(HDL _{-C}) (g/dl)	(LDL- _C) (g/dl)	(VLDL _{-C}) (g/dl)
Control group (-)	36.16±2.60 ^e	19.02 ± 1.74^{d}	13.04±0.21 ^c
Control group (+)	46.65 ± 4.50^{a}	83.97±1.77 ^a	25.41±0.13 ^a
Obese rats with chestnut	43.00±4.28 ^c	37.40±1.75 ^b	17.00±0.20 ^b
fruits powder (2.5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut	41.12 ± 5.26^{d}	34.66±1.87 ^c	16.22 ± 0.42^{b}
fruits powder (5%)			

46.30±5.11^b

47.50±4.47^a

14.68±1.75^e

9.89±1.87^f

1.15

13.42±0.10

12.69±0.70°

0.82

Table (4): Effect of chestnut fruits powder and its extracts on lipid

0.95 HDL-C=High density lipoprotein cholesterol.LDL=Low density lipoprotein cholesterol VLDL = Very low density lipoprotein cholesterol

Each value is represented as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 3)

Obese rats with chestnut fruits extract (250 mg/kg)

Obese rats with chestnut

fruits extract (500 mg/kg)

LSD

Mean under the same column bearing different superscript letters are different significantly $(p \le 0.05)$

The mean values were 37.40 and 9.89 mg/dl, respectively. In case of VLDL-c levels, the positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 25.41 and 13.04 mg/dl, respectively.While, the highest (VLDL-c) levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P≤0.05). The mean values were 17.00 and 12.69 mg/dl, respectively. These results are in agreement with Krentz, (2003), they reported that could reverse the hyperlipidemia in experimental diabetic rats, and thus may lead to a decrease in the risk of micro- and macrovascular disease and related complications. Also, Brown et al., (2011) mentioned that in diabetic rats, chestnut fruit treatment at dose of 150 and 300 mg/kg for 21 days could significantly decrease the serum triglyceride, total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels compared to diabetic control groups. A dosage of 150 mg/kg also caused significant elevation of HDL-cholesterol levels in diabetic rats compared to diabetic controls. Chestnut fruit treatment was able to improve serum lipid metabolites of diabetic rats, including decreasing the levels of triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and increasing the level of HDL cholesterol.

Effect of chestnut fruit on kidney functions level of obese rats:

Data presented in Table (5) show the effect of chestnut fruit on the kidney functions (uric acid, urea and creatinine) level of obese rats. It is clear to notice that the uric acid levels of positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 8.79 and 6.17 mg/dl, respectively. While, the highest uric acid levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P \leq 0.05).

Table (5):]	Effect of	f chestnut	fruits	powder	and	its	extracts	uric	acid,
u	rea and	creatinin	e of ob	ese rats					

Treatment/Parameter	Uric acid (mg/dl)	Urea (mg/dl)	Creatinine (mg/dl)
Control group (-)	6.17 ± 0.10^{b}	21.83 ± 0.20^{b}	0.85 ± 0.01^{b}
Control group (+)	8.79 ± 0.12^{a}	33.32±0.21 ^a	1.32 ± 0.03^{a}
Obese rats with chestnut fruits	6.47 ± 0.20^{b}	19.51±0.30 ^c	$0.76 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$
powder (2.5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut fruits	6.10±0.21 ^b	19.12±0.15 ^c	0.69 ± 0.02^{d}
powder (5%)			
Obese rats with chestnut fruits	5.53 ± 0.30^{bc}	18.29 ± 0.33^{d}	$0.74\pm0.03^{\circ}$
extract (250 mg/kg)			
Obese rats with chestnut fruits	5.28±0.25 °	16.98 ± 0.10^{e}	0.61 ± 0.04^{e}
extract (500 mg/kg)			
LSD	0.75	1.01	0.02

Each value is represented as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 3).

Mean under the same line bearing different superscript letters are different significantly $(p \le 0.05)$.

The mean values were 6.47 and 5.28 mg/dl, respectively. Data also indicated that the urea levels of positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 33.32 and 21.83 mg/dl, respectively. While, the highest urea levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P≤0.05). The mean values were 19.51 and 16.98 mg/dl, respectively. In case of creatinine levels, data showed that the positive control group recorded the highest value when compared with negative control group with significant difference (P \leq 0.05). The mean values were 1.32 and 0.85 mg/dl, respectively. While, the highest creatinine levels recorded for group fed on 2.5 % chestnut fruit powder but, the lowest value recorded for group fed on 500 mg/kg chestnut fruit extract with significant difference (P≤0.05). The mean values were 0.76 and 0.61 mg/dl, respectively. These results are in agreement with Micucci et al., (2013), they reported that he natural extract of chestnut increasing gallbladder contraction and inducing the relaxation of the sphincter of Oddi can be of benefit in pathological conditions associated with increased transit time at risk of gallstones.

REFERENCES

- Adua, M., (1999): Sweet chestnut production and marketing in Italy. ActaHortic., 494: 44-54.
- **AIN** (1993): American institute of nutrition purified diet for laboratory Rodent, Final Report. J. Nutrition, 123: 1939-1951 and O. CompactumBenth. J. Essential Oil Res. 8 (6): 657-664.
- Brown, B.G.; Zhao, X.Q.; Sacco, D.E. and Albers, J.J. (2011): Lipid lowering and plaque regression. New insights into prevention of plaque disruption and clinical events in coronary disease. Circulation, 87: 1781-1791.
- Carvalho, M.; Ferreira, P.J.; Mendes, V.S.; Silva, R.; Pereira, J.A.; Jerónimo, C. and Silva, B.M. (2010): Human cancer cell antiproliferative and antioxidant activities of *Juglansregia* L. Food Chem. Toxicol., 48: 441-447.
- Chapman, D.G.; Castilla, R. and Campbell, J.A. (1959): Evaluation of protein in food. LA. Method for the determination of protein efficiency ratio.Can. J. Biochem. Physiol., 37: 679 – 686.
- Cheng, M. L.; Zhao, S. M.; Li, W. Z.; Zhang, X.; Ge, C. R.; Duan, G., and Gao, S. Z. (2010): Anti-adipocyte scFv-Fc antibody suppresses subcutaneous adipose tissue development and affects lipid metabolism in mini pigs. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 162: 687-697.
- ClinicaChimicaActa (1980): 105, 147-172, (Chemical kits).
- **De Vasconcelos, B.M., Bennett, R.N.; Rosa, A.S. and Ferreira-Cardoso, J.V. (2007)**: Primary and secondary metabolite composition of kernels from three cultivars of Portuguese chestnut (*Castaneasativa*Mill.) at different stages of industrial transformation. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 55: 3508-3516.
- Fossati, P. (1982): Pricipe I. Clin. Chem., 28: 2077 (Chemical Kits).
- Frankic, T. and J. Salobir, J. (2011): *In vivo* antioxidant potential of Sweetchestnut (*Castanea sativa Mill.*) wood extract in young growingpigs exposed to n-3 PUFA-induced oxidative stress. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 91 (8): 1432-1439.
- Friedwaid, W.T. (1972): Determination of HDL. Clin. Chem., 18: 499.
- Grodon, T. and Amer, M. (1977): Determination of HDL. Clin. Chem., 18: 707.
- Hafkenscheid, J.C. (1979): Determination of GOT. Clin. Chem., 25:155.
- Hassan, H. A. and El-Gharib, N. E. (2015): Obesity and Clinical Riskiness Relationship: Therapeutic Management by Dietary Antioxidant Supplementation-a Review. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 175 (8): 1-19.
- **Henry, R.J.** (1974): Clinical Chemist: Principles and Techniques, 2nd Edition, Hagerstoun (MD), Harcer, ROW, 882.

- **Krentz, A.J. (2003):** Lipoprotein abnormalities and tsheir consequences for patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes.Metab., 5(Suppl. 1): 19-27.
- Lee, R. and Nieman, D. (1996): Nutrition Assessment. 2nd Ed., Mosby, Missouri, USA.
- Li, H.; Xia, N. and Forstermann, U. (2012): Cardiovascular effects and molecular targets of resveratrol, Nitric Oxide, 26 2: 102-110.
- Ling, Z.; Z. Qing, H.; Quan, C. and Rong, D. (2012): "Cigarette smoking and its association with serum lipid/ lipoprotein among Chinese nonagenarians/centenarians,"Lipids in Health and Disease, 1 1(1): 94-99.
- Micucci, M.; Ioan, P.;Aldini,R.; Cevenini, M.; Alvisi, V.; Ruffilli, C.; Chiarini, A. and Budriesi, R. (2013):*Castanea sativa*. Mill. Extract Contracts Gallbladder and Relaxes Sphincter of Oddi in Guinea Pig: A Natural Approach to Biliary Tract Motility Disorders.Journal of Medicinal Food 17 (7): 1-9.
- Moss, D.W. (1982): Alkaline phosphatase isoenzymes. Clin. Chem. 28: 2007-2016.
- Patton, C.J. and Crouch, S.R. (1977): Enzymatic determination of urea. J. of Anal. Chem., 49: 464-469.
- Roh, C.; Jung, U. and Jo, S.K. (2012): Screening of Anti-Obesity Agent from Herbal Mixtures. Molecules, 17: 3630-3638.
- Sanz, M.; Cadah'ıa, E. and Esteruelas, E. (2010): Phenolic compounds in chestnut (*Castanea sativa, Mill.*) heartwood. Effect of toasting at cooperage, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 58 17: 9631 -9640.
- **SAS (1988):** SAS Users Guide: Statistics version 5th Ed. SAS. Institute Inc., Cary N.C.
- Schermer (1967): The Blood Morphology of Laboratory Animal. Longmans, Printed in Great Britain, Green and Co. Ltd., pp.350.
- **Thomas, L. (1992):**Labor and Diagnose, 4th Ed. Marburg: Die MedizinischiVerlagsgesellschaft. (Chemical Kits).
- Vázquez, G.; Fernández-Agulló, A.; Gómez-Castro, C.; Freire, M.S.; Antorrena, G. and González-Álvarez, J. (2012): Response surface optimization of antioxidants extraction from chestnut (*Castanea sativa*) bur. Ind. Crop. Prod., 35: 126-134.
- Yin, P.; Zhao, S.; Chen, S.;Jieyuan Liu, Lingling Shi, Xinjie Wang, Yujun Liu *and Chao Ma (2011): Hypoglycemic and Hypolipidemic Effects of Polyphenols from Burs of *Castaneamollissima*Blume. Molecules, 16: 9764-9774.
- Young, D. (1975): Effects of drugs on clinical laboratory tests. Pestaner, L. Clin. Chem., 21: 5, 1D- 432D.

Journal of Home Economics, Volume 30, Number (4), 2020



تري مسلم حسن ، شبقهام عرير حصر ، بسمه عبد العاطي محمد قسم التغذية و علوم الأطعمة ـــ كاية الأقتصاد المنزلي ـ جامعة المنوفية

الملخص العربى

تم تقييم تأثير تركيز ات مختلفة من مسحوق فاكهة أبو فروة بتركيز ات(2.5 ، 5%) و مستخلص فاكهة أبو فروة بتركيزات (250، 500 مجم/ كجم/ وزن الجسم) في الفئران المصابة بالسمنة. وفي هذه الدراسة تم تقسيم 36 من ذكور الفئران والتي يتراوح وزنها (140± 10 جم) إلى 6 مجموعات ، كل مجموعة تحتوي على 6 فئران. وتم اصابة الفئران بالسمنة بالتغذية على وجبة عالية الدهون (20 % دهن حيواني) وقد أظهرت النتائج أن أعلى قيم للزيادة في وزن الجسم، وكمية الغذاء المتناول وكفاءة استخدام الغذاء بالمجموعة المعالجة بمستخلص فاكهة ابو فروة تركيز 250 مجم/ كجم/وزن الجسم ، في حين أن أقل قيمة معنوية سجلت للمجموعة التي تغذت على مسحوق ابو فروة تركيز 2,5٪ وبينما سجلت انخفاض معنوى لإنزيمات الكبد(ALT ، ALP , AST,)،ومستوى الدهون الثلاثية ، والكوليسترول ،والكوليسترول منخفض الكثافة و الكوليسترول منخفض الكثافة جدا ، ومستوى اليوريا وحمض اليوريك و الكرياتينين في مجموعة الفئران التي تغذت بمستخلص فاكهة أبوفروه بتركيز 500 مجم/ كجم في حين سجلت أعلى قيم معنوىةللكوليستيرول عالى الكثافة في مجموعة الفئران التي تغذت على مستخلص فاكهة أبوفروه بتركيز 500 مجم/ كجممقارنة بالمجموعة الكنترول الموجبة (الغير معالجة) . الخلاصة ، وجد أن مجموعة الفئر ان المصابة بالسمنة والتي تغذت بمستخلص فاكهة أبو فروه بتركيز 500 مجم/ كجم اظهرت تحسين صورة دهون الدم ووظائف الكبد والكلى بالمقارنة بالفئران المصابة بالسمنة وكذلك المجموعات التي تغذت على تركيزات مختلفة من مسحوق و مستخلص فاكهة أبوفروه . الكلمات المفتاحية: ثمار أبوفروه ، الفئر ان ، التأثير المضاد للسمنة ، وظائف الكبد ، وظائف

الكلى ، صورة دهون الدم