## Integrated Best Management for *Tuta Absoluta* (Lepidoptera:Gelechiidae) Infesting Tomato Plants in Egypt

Saad, A.S.A.<sup>1</sup>, E. H. M. Tayeb<sup>1</sup>, Lila M. Abdel-Nabi<sup>2</sup> and Hoda G. A. I. Attia<sup>2</sup> 1- Plant Protection Dept., Faculty of Agric. (Saba Basha), Alexandria Univ., Egypt 2- Agric. Res. Center, El-Sabahia, Alexandria, Egypt

**ABSTRACT:** Tomato plants were sprayed three times (at 15 days intervals) with different evaluated insecticidal treatments during two following growing seasons of 2012 and 2013 in an approach to achieve efficient control against the tomato leafminer (borer) *Tuta absoluta*. During the first season of 2102, the treatment of emamectin benzoate+Kz oil was more effective and reduced the number of larvae and increased their reduction percentages up to 40.9% as compared with the other performed treatments of the 1<sup>st</sup> spray. After the 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, the treatment of chlorantraniliprole+Mineral oil was the most potent one and recorded the highest general mean of reduction over 10 days of inspection (89.9%) followed by chlorantraniliprole (alone) (81.3%). Furthermore, post- 3<sup>rd</sup> spray the mixture of metaflumizone+Kz oil<sup>®</sup> was proved to be the utmost superior treatment and achieved complete reduction (100%) all over the adopted inspection periods (5, 7, and 10 days). The same trend of results was gained during the second season of 2013. The addition of the mineral oil (Kz oil<sup>®</sup>) increased the efficiency of the evaluated insecticides :emamectin benzoate, chlorantraniliprole and metaflumizone; therefore it is recommended to admix mineral oil with each of these effective evaluated insecticides. So, they could be used in IPM programs for achieving potent control of *Tuta absoluta*.

**Keywords:** Tomato plants, the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta*, insecticidal treatments, mineral oil, reduction percentage

## INTRODUCTION

Tomato crop (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is affected by many insects and fungal diseases, among which, the insects Trialeurodes vaporariorum and Tuta absoluta, and the fungi Alternaria spp. and Botrytis cinerea are of great incidence (Bue et al., 2012). The tomato leafminer (tomato borer) Tuta absoluta Povolny, native to western South America, is an extremely devastating insectpest in planted tomato crops in most of South America, Europe and Africa (North of the Sahel). It causes yield losses up to 100% and decreases fruit quality in open field and greenhouse crops if control methods are not applied (Bueno et al., 2012). This insect- pest has spread rapidly throughout the Mediterranean area, and has also reached the countries of northern Europe. In 2009, Tuta absoluta has been found in the UK and the Netherlands travelling on Spanish tomato imports (Miniermotte, 2010). It was also first observed in the year of 2010 damaging the tomato plants in different countries; Egypt (Mohammed, 2010), Israel (Seplyarsky et al., 2010), Turkey (Unlu, 2012 and Klc, 2010), Montenegro, Italy (Hrncic and Radonjic, 2011), Iran (Baniameri and Cheraghian, 2012), Russia (Izhevsky et al., 2011), Greece (Roditakis et al., 2010) and Khartoum State, Sudan (Mohamed et al., 2012). The pest occurs throughout the entire growing cycle of tomatoes both for fresh market and processing, and larval feeding can cause losses up to 100% (Desneux et *al.*, 2010)

Because of its characteristic biology and behavior, *T. absoluta* is a challenging insect-pest to control. *T. absoluta* has been controlled with synthetic insecticides. Organophosphates and pyrethroids were used during the 1970's

and 1980's. New products (abamectin, spinosad, tebufonzide and chlorfenpyr) were introduced in the 1990's (Lietti et al., 2005). At least 12 classes of insecticides are being used for controlling T. absoluta (IRAC, 2009a and 2009b). Control failures had been noted with Organophosphates and pyrethroids in South America (Salazar and Araya, 2001) prompted research on the resistance status of T. absoluta (Lietti et al., 2005; Siqueira et al., 2000a, 2000b). However, newer classes of insecticides provided good control of this pest (IRAC, 2009a). Indoxacarb, spinosad, imidacloprid, deltamethrin, and Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki were applied for the control of larval infestations in Spain (FERA, 2009; Russell, 2009). Chlorpyrifos and pyrethrins were used in Italy (Garzia et al., 2009). Abamectin, indoxacarb, spinosad, imidacloprid, thiacloprid, lufenuron, and Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) were recommended for infestation outbreaks in Malta (Mallia, 2009). In Brazil, abamectin, cartap, chlorfenapyr, phenthoate, methamidophos, spinosad, and indoxacarb were recommended for use in the South, Southeastern, and Savannah tomato-growing regions, while chlorfenapyr, phenthoate, and spinosad were recommended for use in the Northeastern region (IRAC, 2007). In Argentina, Bt and triflumuron were recommended for the control of T. absoluta larvae as part of an IPM program that also included parasitoids (Riguelme, 2006).

In Egypt, *T. absoluta* is newly reported and until now there are two registered insecticides (Dipel-2X<sup>®</sup> and Proclaim<sup>®</sup>) for controlling this insect. However, in Egypt there are going on evaluation of some insecticides under registration and few studies describing the efficiency of some insecticides for the control of *T. absoluta* (Derbalah *el al.*, 2012; Shalaby *et al.*, 2012; Hanafy and El-Sayed 2013; Soliman *et al.*, 2013; Ramadan, 2014). Therefore, certain insecticidal treatments compatible with IPM programmes were evaluated for their efficiency against *T. absoluta* infesting tomato plants in Egypt.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Field experiment

Field trials were carried out in El-Sabahia Agricultural Research Center during June of 2012 (summer season) and the farm of Faculty of Agricultural (Saba Basha), Alex. Univ. at Abis 10<sup>th</sup> village, Alexandria Governorate during March of 2013 (winter season). The planted area was of approximately 3-5 Kerat. The selected experimental areas were divided into longitudinal blocks separated by buffer paths of 1 m<sup>2</sup> wide between every plot (180 m<sup>2</sup>) to prevent insecticides drift. Transplanted tomato seedlings (Variety Malika) were grown all over the different blocks. The recommended agricultural practices were followed during both seasons according to recommendations of the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.

The presence of *T. absoluta* was confirmed by inspecting the occurring symptoms of morphological changes in tested plants of the collected specimens from the field.

Two sticky pheromone traps (Pherodis<sup>®</sup>) all over the growing season were used and they were replaced within that plot treated with detergent (Masrol<sup>®</sup>). The used trap with the pheromone (yellow) (1 lure) is specific for *T. absoluta* and it is produced by Koppert Biological System.

#### Insecticidal treatments

In both seasons of 2012 and 2013, each block of planted tomato contained three replicates / treatment. The plants of untreated check (control) were chosen to be a little far away from those treated plants to avoid any contamination or interference of spray drift.

Four different insecticidal treatments were evaluated; each treatment was applied at three consequent sprays (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>) in addition to a 5<sup>th</sup> treatment which has been used as untreated check (control). The adopted 1<sup>st</sup> treatment of first spray included trap+detergent, emamectin benzoate, emamectin benzoate+Kz-oil and Engeo<sup>®</sup> (thiamethoxam + lambda-cyhalothrin). The treatment of second (2<sup>nd</sup>) spray included trap+detergent, chlorantraniliprole (Coragen<sup>®</sup>), chlorantraniliprole+Kz-oil and chlorfenapy+ spinotoram. The third (3<sup>rd</sup>) included each trap+detergent. spray also of metaflumizone. metaflumizone+Kz-oil and methomyl+ indoxacarb. All sprayed plants in the performed treatments were compared with the untreated check plants (Table 1).

In the second season of 2013, the aforementioned four insecticidal treatments (plus the control one) in each consequent 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3rd sprays were identically carried out as that done in the first season of 2012. The insecticidal applications were done when the plants were at the age of 2 months using a Knapsack sprayer (20 liters), at the rate of 200 liters / fed at 15 day intervals.

Trade name	Common name	Rate/Fed. (ml)
Alferdy®	Metaflumizone	200
Avaunt <sup>®</sup>	Indoxacarb	50
Coragen20 <sup>®</sup> %SC Masrole <sup>®</sup>	Chlorantraniliprole	60
Masrole®	Detergent	1000
Engeo®	14.1% thiamethoxam +10.6% lambda-cyhalothrin	100
Kz oil <sup>®</sup>	Mineral oil	1000
Lannate <sup>®</sup>	Methomyl	300 g
Proclaim <sup>®</sup> 5%EC	Emamectin benzoate	120 g
Radiant <sup>®</sup> 12%SC	Spinotoram	100
Chalenger <sup>®</sup>	Chlorfenapy	100

Table (1): The rate,	trade and common names	of the evaluated pesticides
----------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------

### Sampling technique and inspection of the Tuta absoluta

The infestation rate was recorded after 5, 7 and 10 days post- spraying taking into account the mean number of larvae / 8sampled plants /replicate in

- 758

each treatment compared with control. Percentages of infestation reduction were also calculated. The estimated infestation rate in treatments was determined pre- and after 5, 7 and 10 days from insecticidal applications and the percentages of infestation reduction were calculated using **Henderson and Tilton (1955)** equation as follows:

Reduction % =  $1 - \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ -B & x & -D \end{bmatrix} \times 100$  where,

A: number of larvae in treatment after treatment.

B: number of larvae in treatment before treatment.

C: number of larvae in the check before treatment.

D: number of larvae in the check after treatment.

#### Statistical analysis

Data of the present study were subjected to the analysis of variance ANOVA using "F" Test following the randomized complete block design (RCBD). The least significant differences (L.S.D) at the 0.05 probabilty level were determined according to computer program (COSTAT software, 1988) and Steel and Torrie (1981) to compare the average mean numbers of the different treatments and control.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

During the first season of 2012, four insecticidal treatments were evaluated against the tomato leafminer (tomato borer) Tuta absoluta compared with the untreated check after the first spray. The residual effect of each of these treatments on the mean number of detected larvae on tomato plants and calculated reduction percentages are presented in Table 2. It could be seen that the mean numbers of larvae are going to be decreased till the 10<sup>th</sup> day posttreatment. Meanwhile, the calculated percentage of reduction of each treatment was found to have, merely, the same trend. Considering the general mean (%) of reduction caused by the application of the different performed insecticdes, it is noticed that the treatment of emamectin benzoate+Kz oil was effective for reducing the number of larvae and increased their reduction percentages up to 40.9%, followed by the treatment of trap+ Detergent that gave a reduction percentage of 35.6. The addition of Kz oil to emamectin benzoate increased its efficacy; showed the highest reduction percentage of inspected larvae as compared with emamectin benzoate alone which recorded the least reduction percentage of 23.6 (Table 2).

The second spray included three different insecticidal treatments with the previously used treatment of trap+ Detergent and the untreated check (Table 3). Before the application of the evaluated treatments of the second spray, it was noticed that the number of the detected larvae increased. Nevertheless, the three tested treatments (chlorantraniliprole, chlorantraniliprole+Mineral oil and chlorfenapyr+spinetoram) were so effective in reducing the number of inspected larvae and increasing the calculated reduction percentages. The results revealed that the treatment of chlorantraniliprole+Mineral oil was the most potent one; recording the highest general mean of reduction of 89.9% over 10

followed by the treatment of chlorantraniliprole alone davs of inspection. (81.3%). Herein, the addition of the mineral oil increased the efficiency of chlorantraniliprole when they were admixed and presented a promising efficient chemical mixture for controlling Tuta absoluta; that mixture would be included within IPM programs. The effective treatment of the mixture of chlorantraniliprole+ spinetoram (78.1%) was less potentthan that mixture of chlorantraniliprole+Mineral oil in reducing the larval occurrence. A possibility for reducing pesticide applications in tomato fields is the use of integrating management methods such as proper plant spacing within the row (Guedes et al., 1994), and use of mineral oil in the insecticide mixtures (Guedes et al., 1995) as well as improved production systems (Picanco et al., 1995).

The effect of certain applied treatments in the 3<sup>rd</sup> spray against the tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta in 2012 season is shown in Table 4. It could be seen again that the addition of the mineral oil to an insecticide is increasing its toxicological activity. The mixture of metaflumizone+Kz oil was proved to be the superior achieving complete reduction (100%) all over the inspection periods post-spraying. The addition of the mineral oil to metaflumizone was found to double its activity. These results are in agreements with those reported by Guedes et al. (1995). It could be also seen that the effect of metaflumizone alone was merely equal to that mixture of methomyl+indoxacarb, whereas the general means of reduction percentages were 59.1 and 52.6, respectively). Therefore, the application of metaflumizone alone within IPM programs would be more useful than the use of the less effective mixture of methomyl+indoxacarb (Table 4).

During the second season of 2013, the applied 1<sup>st</sup> spray involved four insecticidal treatments as those of the 1<sup>st</sup> spray of the 1<sup>st</sup> season of 2012 evaluated against the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* compared with the untreated check (Table 5). The results obtained ascertained again that the mixture of emamectin benzoate +Kz oil was the most effective treatment against the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* giving the highest general reduction of 48.4.9%, whereas emamectin benzoate alone recorded a lower general mean of reduction comprised 25.4%. The treatment of Engeo<sup>®</sup> (a mixture of 14.1%thiamethoxam +10.6% lambda-cyhalothrin) came in the second rank giving a general mean of reduction amounted to 35.8%. Again, the addition of the mineral oil to emamectin benzoate has increased its residual effect and the efficacy of the applied mixture.

Moreover, the deduced mean number of inspected *Tuta absoluta* larvae and calculated reduction percentages due to the applications of different evaluated insecticidal after 5, 7 and 10 days in the 2<sup>nd</sup> spray are shown in Table 6. In this concern, the calculated mean of general reduction is being used for evaluating the residual effect and to determine the efficacy of each of evaluated treatments. The results revealed that the applied mixture of chlorantraniliprole+ Kz mineral oil was the most potent treatment that reduced the number of *Tuta absoluta* larvae and gave a high general mean of reduction percentage of 94.2, followed by chlorantraniliprole (alone) (91.1%) and chlorfenapyr + spinetoram (71.4%). The treatment of using a trap+ detergent (as a spray) was the least efficient one, whereas the number of larvae were increased all over the adopted periods of inspection (Table 6); therefore the calculated general mean of reduction was as low as 4.6%.

# Table (2): Effect of certain tested insecticidal treatments against the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* infesting tomato plants in 2012 season (1<sup>st</sup> spray)

	1 <sup>st</sup> season (2012)																		
Treatments	Pre-	Average No. of larvae/8 plants (A) and % infestation reduction (R) after 1 st spray at different intervals (days)L.S.D								re- % infestation re- differ			Pre- % infestation reduction (R) after 1 <sup>st</sup> spray at different intervals (days)						neral erage
	spray	5		7		10		0.05											
	A**	Α	R	А	R	Α	R		Α	R(%)									
Trap+Detergent	$16.0^{a}$	$14.0^{b}$	12.5	12.0 <sup>c</sup>	35.5	9.0 <sup>d</sup>	58.8	1.5	11.6	35.6									
Emamectin benzoate	19.0 <sup>a</sup>	17.0 <sup>b</sup>	10.5	$18.0^{ab}$	18.1	15.0 <sup>c</sup>	42.3	1.1	16.6	23.6									
Emamectin benzoate + Kz oil	19.0 <sup>a</sup>	16.0 <sup>b</sup>	15.7	12.0 <sup>c</sup>	45.4	10.0 <sup>d</sup>	61.5	1.4	12.6	40.9									
Engeo®	19.0 <sup>a</sup>	17.0 <sup>b</sup>	10.5	$17.0^{b}$	22.7	12.0 <sup>c</sup>	53.8	1.2	15.3	29.0									
Untreated check	19.0 <sup>c</sup>	19.0 <sup>c</sup>	-	22.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	26.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.0	22.3	-									

\*Means followed with the same letter(s) within the same row are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

\*\* A= Average number of larvae and R= Infestation reduction percentage

# Table (3): Effect of the evaluated insecticidal treatments against the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* infesting tomato plants in 2012 season (2<sup>nd</sup> spray)

	1 <sup>st</sup> season (2012)												
Treatments	Pre-	% i	nfestati	on redu	ction (]	ants (A) R) aftei vals (day	L.S.D		neral erage				
	spray	5		7		10		0.05					
	А	А	R	А	R	А	R		А	R(%)			
Trap+Detergent	$70.0^{d}*$	80.0 <sup>c</sup>	-1.1	85.0 <sup>b</sup>	-6.0	87.0 <sup>a</sup>	-7.1	0.538	84.0	-2.9			
Chlorantraniliprole	36.0 <sup>a</sup>	$12.0^{b}$	70.5	$5.0^{\circ}$	87.8	6.0 <sup>c</sup>	85.6	2.013	7.6	81.3			
Chlorantraniliprole +Mineral oil	32.0 <sup>a</sup>	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	77.8	3.0 <sup>c</sup>	91.8	0.1 <sup>d</sup>	100.0	2.599	3.7	89.9			
Chlorfenapyr + Spinetoram	43.0 <sup>a</sup>	20.0 <sup>b</sup>	58.8	6.0 <sup>b</sup>	87.8	6.0 <sup>c</sup>	87.9	1.837	10.6	78.1			
Untreated check	69.0 <sup>c</sup>	78.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	79.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	80.0 <sup>d</sup>	-	1.591	79.0	-			

\*Means followed with the same letter(s) within the same row are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

\*\* A= Average number of larvae and R= Infestation reduction percentage.

Table (4): Effect of the applied insecticidal treatments against the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* infesting tomato plants in 2012 season (3<sup>rd</sup> spray)

	1 <sup>st</sup> season (2012)													
Treatments	Pre- spray	different intervals (days)												
	spray	4	5		7	10		0.05						
	Α	Α	R	Α	R	Α	R		А	R(%)				
Trap+Detergent	22.0 <sup>b</sup>	21.0 <sup>c</sup>	13.6	25.0 <sup>a</sup>	-13.6	19.0 <sup>d</sup>	-2.5	1.033	21.6	-2.5				
Metaflumizone	$8.0^{a}$	$5.0^{.0b}$	43.4	$4.0^{bc}$	50.0	$2.0^{\circ}$	70.3	3.183	3.6	59.1				
Metaflumizone+	3.0	0.0	100	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0		0.0	100.0				
Kz oil														
Methomyl +	$8.0^{a}$	$4.0^{b}$	54.7	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	62.5	$4.0^{b}$	40.6	2.599	3.6	52.6				
Indoxacarb		ч.0	54.7		02.5	7.0	-0.0	2.377	5.0	52.0				
Untreatedcheck	19.0 <sup>b</sup>	21.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	19.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	$16.0^{\circ}$	-	1.125	18.6	-				

\*Means followed with the same letter(s) within the same row are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

\*\* A= Average number of larvae and R= Infestation reduction percentage.

# Table (5): Effect of the performed insecticidal treatments against the<br/>tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* infesting tomato plants in 2013<br/>season (1<sup>st</sup> spray)

	2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2013)												
Treatments	Pre-		station		on (R) a	ants (A) after 1 <sup>st</sup> s (days)	L.S.D		neral erage				
	spray	5		7	7		)	0.05					
	Α	Α	R	А	R	А	R		Α	R(%)			
Trap+Detergent	12.0 <sup>a</sup>	10.0b <sup>c</sup>	16.6	11.0 <sup>ab</sup>	20.5	9.0 <sup>c</sup>	42.6	1.500	10.0	26.6			
Emamectin benzoate	13.0 <sup>a</sup>	12.0 <sup>ab</sup>	7.6	10.0 <sup>c</sup>	33.3	11.0 <sup>bc</sup>	35.2	1.423	11.0	25.4			
Emamectin benzoate + Kz oil	14.0 <sup>a</sup>	11.0 <sup>b</sup>	21.4	7.0 <sup>c</sup>	56.6	6.0 <sup>c</sup>	67.2	1.837	8.0	48.4			
Engeo®	13.0 <sup>a</sup>	$11.0^{b}$	15.3	$10.0^{b}$	33.3	$7.0^{\circ}$	58.8	1.701	9.3	35.8			
Untreated check	13.0 <sup>c</sup>	13.0 <sup>c</sup>	-	15.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	17.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.248	15.0	-			

\*Means followed with the same letter(s) within the same row are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

\*\* A= Average number of larvae and R= Infestation reduction percentage.

The addition of the mineral oil (Kz oil) to chlorantraniliprole gave a highly effective mixture that reduced the incidence of *Tuta absoluta* larvae. In this concept, **Bassi** *et al.* (2012) reported that Chlorantraniliprole (Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup>, Coragen<sup>®</sup> and Altacor<sup>®</sup>) is a novel diamide insecticide by DuPont with

outstanding performance on *Tuta absoluta* and has an extremely low mammalian toxicity profile. This compound was tested on *T. absoluta* since 2002 in Brasil at the DuPont R&D Station in Paulinia; the early results indicated a new standard of *T. absoluta* control, even on insecticide-resistant populations.

The obtained results regarding the effect of the evaluated insecticidal treatments of carried out 3<sup>rd</sup> spray during the season of 2013 against the tomato leafminer are presented in Table 7. The treatment of metaflumizone+Kz oil was also the most effective treatment that rather reduced the infestation rate of *Tuta absoluta* after 10 daysof spraying and pronounced the highest general mean of reduction percentage of 74.4. Again, it is also noticed that metaflumizone (alone) was merely as effective as the mixture of methomyl+indoxacarb (a mixture of two carbamate insecticides) and therefore it is better to apply metaflumizone alone or with a mineral oil to increase the toxic efficacy of the applied compound against the insect-pest. **Garzia** *et al.* (2009) reported that the most effective insecticides for controlling *Tuta absoluta* in Sicily (Italy) are some chlorpyrifosand/or some pyrethrin products plus mineral oils.

As a conclusion, the addition of the mineral oil (Kz oil<sup>®</sup>) increased the efficiency of the evaluated insecticides :emamectin benzoate, chlorantraniliprole and metaflumizone; therefore it is recommended to admix mineral oil with each of thees effective evaluated insecticides. So, they could be used in IPM programs for achieving potent control of *Tuta absoluta*.

	2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2013)											
Treatments	Pre-		estation	reduction	ae/8 plan 1 (R) afte ervals (da	r 2 <sup>nd</sup> sp		L.S.D 0.05	Ave	neral erage		
	spray		5		7	10						
	A**	А	R	Α	R	А	R		А	R(%)		
Trap+Detergent	26.0 <sup>d</sup>	27.0 <sup>c</sup>	14.6	31.0 <sup>b</sup>	2.0	36.0 <sup>a</sup>	-2.7	0.88	31.3	4.6		
Chlorantraniliprole	24.0 <sup>a</sup>	6.0 <sup>b</sup>	79.4	0.1 <sup>c</sup>	100.0	$2.0^{c}$	93.8	3.18	2.7	91.1		
Chlorantraniliprole +Mineral oil	19.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.0 <sup>b</sup>	82.7	0.1 <sup>c</sup>	100.0	0 <sup>.</sup> 1 <sup>c</sup>	100.0	2.25	1.4	94.2		
Chlorfenapyr + Spinetoram	15.0 <sup>a</sup>	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	56.1	4.0 <sup>c</sup>	78.0	4.0 <sup>c</sup>	80.2	2.25	5.3	71.4		
Untreated check	23.0 <sup>c</sup>	28.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	28.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	31.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	0.90	29.0			

Table (6): Effect of the evaluated insecticidal treatments against the<br/>tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* infesting tomato plants in 2013<br/>season (2<sup>nd</sup> spray)

\*Means followed with the same letter(s) within the same row are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level.

\*\* A= Average number of larvae and R= Infestation reduction percentage.

Table (7): Effect of applied insecticdal treatments against the tomatoleafminer, Tuta absoluta infesting tomato plants in 2013season (3<sup>rd</sup> spray)

	2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2013)												
Treatments	Pre-	at unterent intervals (days)							re- % infestation reduction (R) after 3 <sup>rd</sup> spray at different intervals (days)		L.S.D		al mean %)
	spray	5		7		10		0.05					
	A**	А	R	А	R	А	R		А	R			
Trap+Detergent	15.0 <sup>bc*</sup>	17. <sup>-</sup> 0 <sup>a</sup>	7.2	16.0 <sup>ab</sup>	-6.6	14.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.6	1.20	15.6	7.2			
Metaflumizone	6.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.0 <sup>ab</sup>	45.4	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	50.0	2.0 <sup>b</sup>	66.6	3.18	3.0	54.0			
Metaflumizone+ Kz oil	1.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.7 <sup>a</sup>	99.3	0.7 <sup>a</sup>	62.0	0.7 <sup>a</sup>	62.0	4.50	0.7	74.4			
Methomyl + Indoxacarb	6.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	59.0	2.0 <sup>b</sup>	66.6	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	50.0	3.18	2.6	58.5			
Untreated check	9.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	9.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	9.0 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.50	9.6	-			

\*Means followed with the same letter(s) within the same row are not significantly different.

## REFERENCES

- Baniameri, V. and A. Cheraghian (2012). The first report and control strategies of *Tuta absoluta* in Iran. OEPP/EPPO Bulletin, 42(2):322-324.
- Bassi, A., J. L. Rison, E. Roditakis and L. Sannino (2012). Chlorantraniliprole (Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup>, Coragen<sup>®</sup>, Altacor<sup>®</sup>) key features for sustainable control of *Tuta absoluta*. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin, 80:193-198.
- Bue, P. L, S. Abbas, E. Peri and S. Colazza (2012). Use of biorational insecticides for the control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) infestations on open field tomato. New Medit, 11(4 [Special issue]):39-41.
- Bueno, V. H. P., F. C. Montes, A. M. C. Pereira, J. C. Lins and J. C. van-Lenteren (2012). Can recently found Brazilian hemipteran predatory bugs control *Tuta absoluta*?. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin, 80:63-67.
- **COSTAT Software (1988).** Microcomputer Program Analysis. Co-Hort software, Berkely, CA, USA.
- Derbalah, A. S., S. Z. Morsey and M. El-Samahy (2012). Some recent approaches to control *Tuta absoluta* in tomato under greenhouse conditions. Afr. Entomol., 20:27-34.
- Desneux, N., E. Wajnberg, K. A. G. Wyckhuys, G. Burgio, S. Arpaia, C. A. Narvez-Vasquez, J. Gonzalez, D. Ruescas, E. Tabone, J. Pizzol, C. Poncet, T. Cabello and A. Urbaneja (2010). Biological invasion of European tomato crops by *Tuta absoluta*: ecology, geographic expansion and prospects for biological control. J. Pest. Sci., 83:197-215.
- **FERA (2009).** South American tomato moth *Tuta dbsoluta.* <u>F</u>ood and <u>Environment Research Agency, Department for Environment Rood and Rural Affairs.</u>

- Garzia, G. T., G. Siscaro, A. Colombo and G. Campo (2009). Reappearance of *Tuta dbsoluta* in Sicily [Rinvenuta in Sicilia *Tuta absoluta*]. L'Informatore Agrario, 65: 71-71.
- Guedes, R. N. C., M. C.Picanco, A. L. Matioli and D. M.Rocha (1994). Efeito de inseticidas e sistemas de conduc~o do tomateiro no controle de *Scrobipalpuloides absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). An. Sot. Entomol. Brasi., 123: 321-325.
- Guedes, R. N. C., M. C. Picanco, N. M. P. Guedes and N. R. Madeira (1995). Sinergismo do 6 mineral sobre a toxicidade de inseticidas para *Scrobipalpuloides absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Pesq. Agropec. Bras., 30: 313-318.
- Hanafy, H. E. M. and W. EI-Sayed (2013). Efficacy of bio-and chemical insecticides in the control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato plants. Aust. J. Basic Appl., 7: 943.
- Henderson, C. F. and E. W.Tilton (1955). Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48: 157-161.
- Hrnčic´, S. and S. Radonjic (2011). *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae), a new pest in Montenegro. IOBC/WPRS Bull., 68: 71-74.
- **IRAC (2007).** Tomato leafworm resistance management practice in Brazil. IRAC (Insecticide Resistance Action Comittee) News-Resistance Management News, Conferences and Symposia, 15: 3.
- **IRAC (2009a).** *Tuta absoluta* on the move. IRAC (Insecticide Resistance Action Committee) Newsletter. Connection (20).
- **IRAC (2009b).** Lepidoptera Insecticide Mode of Action Classification Poster. IRAC (Insecticide Resistance Action Committee).
- Izhevsky, S. S., A. K. Akhatov and S. Yu. Sinyov (2011). Tuta absoluta has been detected in Russia. Zashchita Karantin Rastenii, (3):40-44. [Russian]
- KIc, T. (2010). First record of *Tuta absoluta* in Turkey. Phytoparasitica, 38(3):243-244.
- Lietti, M. M., E. Botto and R. A. Alzogaray (2005). Insecticide resistance in Argentine populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (*Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae*). Neotrop. Entomol. 34:113-119.
- Mallia, D. (2009). Guidelines for the control and eradication of *Tuta absoluta*. Ministry for Resources and Rural Affairs, Plant Health Department, Malta.
- Miniermotte, E. (2010). *Tuta absoluta* on the way from the South. Gemuse (Munchen), 46(2):25-27. [German]
- Mohamed, E. S. I., M. E. Mohamed and S. A. Gamiel (2012). First record of the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Sudan. OEPP/EPPO Bulletin, 42(2):325-327.
- Mohammed, A. S. (2010). New record for leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) infested tomato plantations in Kafr El-Sheikh region. J. Agric. Res. Kafer El-Sheikh Univ., 36:238-239.
- Picanco, M. C., R. N. Guedes, G. L. D Leite, P. C. R. Fontes and E. A. Silva (1995). IncidCncia de Scrobipalpuloides absoluta em tomateiro sob diferentes sistemas de tutoramento e de controle químico. Hortic. Bras., 13:180-183.

- 765

- Ramadan, G. R. M. (2014). Efficiency and residue analysis of certain insecticides for control of tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta*. M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Alex. Univ., Egypt.
- Riquelme, M. B., E. N. Botto and C. Lafaice (2006). Efficacy of insecticides against the tomato moth, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera:Gelcchiidae) and their residual effects on the parasitoid *Trichogrammatoidea bactrae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) Rev. Soc. Entomol. Argent., 65: 57-65.
- Roditakis, E., D. Papachristos and N. E. Roditakis (2010). Current status of the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* in Greece. OEPP/EPPO Bulletin, 40(1):163-166.
- Russell (2009). Tuta absoluta- Insect Profile. Russell IPM Ltd.
- Salazar, E. R. and J. E. Araya (2001). Response of tomato leaf moth *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) to insecticides in Africa). Agricultura Tecnica, 61:429-435.
- Seplyarsky, V., M. Weiss and A. Haberman (2010). *Tuta absoluta* povolny (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), a new invasive species in Israel. Phytoparasitica, 38(5):445-446.
- Shalaby, E. M., M. M. Soliman and E. M. Abd El-Mageed (2012). Evaluation of some insecticides against tomato leaf minor (*Tuta absoluta*) and determination of their residues in tomato fruits. Appl. Biol. Res., 14:113-119.
- Siqueira, H. A. A., R. N. C. Guedes and M. C. Picanco (2000a). Insecticide resistance in populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Agric. Forest Entomol., 2:147-153.
- Siqueira, H. A. A., R. N. C. Guedes and M. C. Picanco (2000b). Cartap resistance and synergism in populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Lep., Gelechiidae). J. Appl. Entomol., 124: 233-238.
- Soliman, M. M. M., A. S. H. Abdel-Moniem and M. A. Abdel-Raheem (2013). Impact of some insecticides and their mixtures on the population of tomato borers, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hiibner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in tomato crop at Upper Egypt. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protect., 47: 1764-1776.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie (1981). Principles and procedures of statistic. A biometrical approach. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. McGraw. Hill Kogahusha Ltd. PP. 633.
- Unlu, L. (2012). Potato: a new host plant of *Tuta absoluta* Povolny (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Turkey. Pakistan J. Zool., 44(4):1183-1184.

### الملخص العربي

المكافحة المتكاملة للتوتا أبسليوتا التي تصيب نباتات الطماطم في مصر

عبدالفتاح سيد عبدالكريم سعد<sup>1</sup> ، السيد حسن محمد تايب<sup>1</sup> ، ليلي محمد عبدالنبي<sup>2</sup> هدي جابر عطية<sup>2</sup> 1- قسم وقاية النبات- كلية الزراعة (سابا باشا)- الإسكندرية – مصر 2- مركز البحوث الزراعية – الصبحية – الإسكندرية – مصر

تم رش نباتات الطماطم 3 رشات تم فيها تقييم معاملات كيميائية مختلفة خلال موسمي 2012 و 2013 لمكافحة نافقة أوراق الطماطم التوتا أبسيليوتا في فترات بينية (15 يوم بين الرشة والأخري). أوضحت النتائج خلال الموسم الأول أن المعاملة المكونة من مبيد إيمامكتين بنزوات وزيت Kz أظهرت كفاءة عالية في خفض الإصابة والتي وصلت إلى 40,9 % بالمقارنة بالمعاملات الأخري في الرشة الأولي.

كما أظهرت النتائج أن أن المعاملة المكونة من خليط الزيت المعدني ومركب كلورانترانيليبرول كانت أكفأ المعاملات المختبرة وسجلت أعلي متوسط عام لخفض الإصابة الذي تم حسابه خلال عشرة أيام من الفحص حيث بلغ هذا الخفض 1,38% بعد الرشة الثانية. أما بعد الرشة الثالثة والتي أُستعمل فيها خليط الزيت المعدني مع مبيد ميتافلوميزون أظهر هذا الخليط أيضاً كفاءة عالية محققاً الخفض الكامل لأعداد الحشرة في النباتات المعاملة وذلك بعد الرشة الثالثة .

كما أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها في الموسم الثاني (2013) تأكيد نفس الإتجاه في النتائج المتحصل عليها في المعدني الموسم الثاني (لا المعدني الموسم الأول حيث أظهرت النتائج أيضاً أن إضافة الزيت المعدني المعدني الدي إلي زيادة كفاءة المبيدات المختبرة والتي تم خلطها معه (إيمامكتين بنزوات ، كلورانترانيليبرول ، ميتافلوميزون) ولهذا يمكن إضافة الزيت المعدني المعدني المبيدات المعدني المعدن المعدني المعدن المعدن المعدن المعدني المعدني المعدني المعدن المع المعدن المعدن المع المع المع المع المعدن المع المعدن المعدن المع المع المع المعدن المعدن المعدن المعدن المع المع المع المع المع المع