Inducing some Morphological Variations in *Cyperus alternifolius* L. by Using Gamma Irradiation

Magd el Din F. Rida

Antoniades Research Branch Alexandria, Ornamental Plants Research and Landscape Gardening Department, Horticulture Research Institute, ARC, Egypt.

ABSTRACT: This study was conducted during 2015, 2016 and 2017 seasons at Antoniades Research Branch, Horticulture Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Alexandria. The aim of the present study was to study the effects of different doses of gamma rays from Cobalt -60 on Cyperus alternifolius L. plants Rhizomes of Cyprus plants were irradiated with six doses of gamma irradiation (0.0,20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 Gray). The results showed that irradiation of rhizomes with 20 Gray caused the highest increase in sprouting percentage and rate while the 100 gray treatment caused the lowest survival percentage in M1 -generation. The 100 Gray treatment caused the lowest significant decrease in all studied vegetative growth parameters in M1 and M2 generations. As for the vegetative growth abnormalities, the application of gamma rays at the dose of 100 Gray resulted in dwarf plants in M1 and M2 generations. While using 40 Gray caused 3 regenerated small plants from leaves internode and 60 Gray caused 1 regenerated small plant from leaves internodes in M1 -generation, this abnormality disappeared in the M2 -generation. Application of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis on three Cyperus alternifolius generations stressed with 60 and 100 Gray comparing with un-irradiated Cyperus alternifolius cleared that radiation dose (100 Gray) reflected the dramatic increase of genetic polymorphism and first generation reflected the highest radiation influence on polymorphism.

Key wards: Gamma rays - *Cyperus alternifolius* L - Vegetative growth abnormalities –Dwarf plants - RFLP analysis

INTRODUCTION

Cyperus alternifolius L. (Umbrella palm), the plant belongs to the family *Cyperaceae*. It is native to Madagascar but is frequently cultivated worldwide. It is an aquatic plant and growing in still or slow-moving water. It is mainly cultivated for its decorative use in water gardens and at the margins of pools or ponds or as a pot plant. it is also sold as cut foliage in the wholesale flower markets (Kyambadde *et al.*, 2004).

Mutation is a sudden heritable change in the DNA in a living cell, not caused by genetic segregation or genetic recombination (Van Harten, 1998). It occurs in natural population but at a very low rate which called spontaneous mutation, while mutation can be induced by applying chemical or physical mutagen which is called induced mutation. Changes which are a result of an induced mutation in crop improvement program is known as mutation breeding. For asexually propagated crops mutation breeding is a useful tool for improving this plant. Somatic mutant can easily be created in this type of plants, propagated and directly used any phenotypic effect of chromosomal arrangement may be utilized due to the vegetative mode of reproduction (Dipak and Soma, 2010). At the beginning of the 20th century first, artificial induced mutant was done by ionizing radiation. One of these ionizing radiation is gamma radiation. The main effect of gamma irradiation is based on the interaction with atoms or molecules in the cell especially with water to produce free radical in cells; this radical can damage or modify important parts of the plant which causes changes in morphology, biochemistry or physiology of plants. (Dipak and Soma , 2010). Recently, molecular biology methodologies present a huge help to rapidly identify varied numbers of heterozygous genetic markers within a single plant genera. Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) is considered to be a PCR depending method with adding enzymes after the DNA amplification. Thus it may give a more specific result (Brasch *et al.*, 2010 and Mirzahoseini *et al.*, 2009). Thus, molecular techniques such (RFLP) could reflect the genetic diversity and similarity among plant cultivars which caused by different mutant agents like, biochemical mutagenesis, radiation,...ect.

The main objective of the present research was to study the effect of different doses of gamma rays from Cobalt -60 on *Cyperus alternifolius* L. rhizomes and the possibility of inducing variation which may be of ornamental value.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot experiment was carried out at Antoniades Research Branch, Horticulture Research Institute, A.R.C. Alexandria during the seasons of 2015, 2016 and 2017 . Rhizomes of local Cyperus plants with an average weight of 25 g and had at least 8-10 buds were exposed to six doses of gamma rays (0.0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 Gray). Eighteen rhizomes were used for each dose. The irradiated rhizomes (one rhizome / pot) were planted in clay pots of 25 cm diameter containing medium consisted of (1:1 v/v) clay and sand on March 2015 for the M_1 generation. On March 2016, the rhizomes produced at the end of the M₁generation were used to produce (M_2 generation). On March 2017, at the end of M_2 generation, rhizomes of control plants stressed plants with a moderate dose of radiation 60 Gray and high radiation doses 100 Gray) were planted for the M_3 generation.

Gamma rays used for this experiment were generated from Cobalt-60 source at the National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, Atomic Energy Authority Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt. Irradiation treatment were carried out in March 2015.

The following data were recorded

1-Sprouting data: which recorded for the M1 generation (1-1)Sprouting percentage (%) It was calculated according to the following formula Sprouting percentage (%) = $\frac{number of sprouted rhizomes}{total rhizome number} X 100 after 10 days of$

planting.

------179 Vol. 24 (2), 2019

(1-2)Sprouting rate (SR)

It was calculated according to the following formula of (Mahmoud, 2013):

n(a+b+c+m)

Where a, b, c are the number of sprouted rhizomes in the first, second and third count, m is number of sprouted rhizomes in the final count, n is the number of counts.

2- Survival percentage (%)

It was determined for M1 generation according to the following formula Survival percentage (%) = $\frac{number of survived rhizomes}{100} X 100$ after 60 days of planting.

total rhizome number

3- The flowing vegetative growth parameters were recorded for the M1 and M2 generations:

Plant height (cm), number of stems / rhizome, stem's diameter (cm), Dry weight of vegetative growth (g), leaf bract's number / stem, leaf bract's width (cm) and leaf bract's area (cm^2) .

4- Chemical analysis:

Chlorophyll a and b content (mg/100 g fresh weight) in M1 and M2 generations was determined according to (Moran, 1982)

5-Phenotypic changes in M1 and M2- generations

were observed (growth habit, leaf form, leaf number and dwarfism).

6- RFLP analysis

This investigation was carried out to monitor and detect the influence of radiations doses on Cyperus alternifolius. RFLP fingerprinting method was applied on three Cyperus alternifolius generations stressed with moderate and high radiation doses (60 and 100 Gray) comparing with un-irradiated Cyperus alternifolius sample.

(6-1) Genomic DNA extraction:

Total genomic DNA was extracted and purified from nine Cyperus alternifolius through E.Z.N.A.® plant DNA kit, D3485-01 (Omega Bio- TEK, USA) according to manufacturer protocol.

(6-2) PCR reaction.

Amplification of *rpL32-trnL* (Table 1) was performed following the protocol and using the primers of Shaw et al., (2007). Then, EcoRI (10 U/µL), ER0271, Thermo Fisher Scientific, (USA) restriction endonucleases were used to identify radiation doses for Cyperus alternifolius. Ten µl of the PCR mixture was digested using 10 units of the restriction enzyme at 37°C for 12 h. Restriction fragments were separated on a 1.5% agarose gel for 1.5 h at 90 V. DNA fragments were observed and photographed under UV illumination. The sizes of the DNA bands were compared to DNA markers run on the same gel.

Primers	Sequences	
rpL32	(5-CAGTTCCAAAAAACGTACTTC-3)	
trnL	(5-CTGCTTCCTAAGAGCAGCGT-3)	
_		

Statistical Analysis

The experiment layout was designed to provide complete randomized block design, which contained three replicates, each replicate contained six treatments of gamma ray doses . Six pots were used as an experimental unit for each treatment in each replicate. The means of the individual factors and their interactions were compared by L.S.D. at 5% level of probability according to (Snedecor and Cochran, 1989). The coefficient of variation (C.V.) was calculated according to the following formula (Dipak and Soma, 2010)

$$C.V. = \frac{Standard \ devision}{Mean} X \ 100$$

RESULTS

1-Effect of gamma irradiation on sprouting percentage (%), sprouting rate, and survival percentage (%)during M1- generation.

Data in Table (2) showed that the highest sprouting percentage (95%) was obtained after application of 20 Gray of gamma rays followed by the untreated rhizomes (84.21%). While the lowest sprouting percentage (11.11%) was recorded after irradiation of rhizomes with 100 Gray. For sprouting rate Table (2) cleared that the highest sprouting rate (0.98) was observed after irradiation of rhizomes with 20 Gray. The lowest sprouting rate (0.76) was obtained after application of 100 Gray. Also, Table (2) cleared that the survived rhizomes after 60 days of planting recorded 83.33% with application of 100 Gray.

Table (2). Sprouting percentage (%), sprouting rate and survival percentage
(%) of rhizomes of Cyperus alternifolius as affected by different
gamma ray doses during M1- generation

Gamma ray dose (Gray)	Sprouting percentage (%)	Sprouting rate	Survival percentage (%)
0.0	84.21	0.93	100.00
20	95.00	0.98	100.00
40	66.67	0.88	100.00
60	61.11	0.86	100.00
80	77.78	0.91	100.00
100	11.11	0.76	83.33

2- Effect of gamma irradiation on vegetative growth parameters in the M1 and M2 generations:

Data in Table (3) showed that there was a significant difference between treatments in the mean value of plant height, number of stem/rhizome, stem's diameter and dry weight of vegetative growth of *Cyperus alternifolius* plants as affected by the different doses of gamma rays in the M1 and M2 generations.

It is also observed from Table (3) that irradiation rhizomes with 100 Gray caused the highest significant reduction of plant height, number of stem/rhizome, stem diameter and dry weight of vegetative growth, this reduction continued in the late effect of gamma rays (M2).

While, irradiation of the rhizomes with 20 and 80 Gray caused significant increase in plant height in the M1 generation this increment was in the same level of significance with control plant in M2 generation. For the number of stems per rhizome, the data in Table (3) cleared that in the M2 generation, the highest significant increase was obtained after irradiation with 40 and 60 Gray. Moreover, Table (3) showed that the high thickness of stem was obtained after application of 20, 60 and 0.0 Gray in the M1 generation and the control plant in the M2 generation. The highest significant increase of dry weight was recorded after irradiation with 20, 40 and 60 Gray in the M1 generation while in the M2 generation the increase in dry weight was obtained after application of 20, 40, 60 and 80 Gray in the same level of significance with control plant.

A wide range of variability was observed in plants derived from rhizomes treated with different doses of gamma rays, the variability as estimated by coefficient of variation (Table 3) cleared that coefficient of variation was more than control for plant height and the number of stem /rhizome for all treatments, the treatments 20 and 60 Gray for stem diameter and treatment 20, 40 and 80 Gray for vegetative dry weight in M1 generation . For M2 generation ,the coefficient of variation was more than control for the number of stem /rhizome and vegetative dry weight for all treatments the treatments 20,60,80 and 100 Gray for plant height and the treatments 80 and 100 for stem diameter . This observation indicates that the individual plant of the same genotype of *Cyperus alternifolius* differs in the rate of effect after gamma ray treatment.

As for leaf bract characteristics, data in Table (4) indicated that there was a significant difference between treatments in the mean value of leaf bract's number / stem, leaf bract's width and leaf bract's area of *Cyperus alternifolius* plants after application of different doses of gamma rays in M1 and M2 generations.

Data presented in Table (4) showed that the highest significant decrease in the mean value of leaf bract's number/stem, leaf bract's width and leaf bract's area was obtained after application of gamma ray at the dose of 100 Gray in M1 and M2 generations .

A marked increase of leaf bract's number/stem was observed after irradiation with 80 Gray in the M1 and M2 generations with the same level of significance of control plant. For leaf bract's width and leaf bract's area, data in Table (4) showed that rhizome treatment with 20,60 and 80 Gray caused the highest significant increase with the same level of untreated control in the M1 generation this increment continued in the M2 generation for leaf bract's width after application of 80 Gray while for leaf bract's area the highest significant increase was obtained of untreated plants. The coefficient of variation of leaf bract's number / stem was more than control for all gamma ray doses in the M1

generation while the coefficient of variation after irradiation with 40,60,80 and 100 Gray was less than the control in the M2 generation . For leaf bract's width and leaf bract's area coefficient of variation after rhizome irradiation with 40,60,80 and 100 Gray was less than the control in M1 generation.

Table (3). Average and coefficient of variation (C.V.) of pla	nt height (cm) ,
number of stems / rhizome , stem's diameter (cm) and Dry weight
of vegetative growth (g) of Cyperus alternifoliu	s derived from
irradiated rhizomes by different gamma ray dose	s during M1 and
M2 generations	-

Gamma ray			M1		M2			
The parameters	Dosos (Grav)	Avorago	сv	C.V.dose	Avorago	сv	C.V.dose	
•	Doses (Oray)	Average	C.V .	C.V.cont.	Average	C.v .	C.V.cont.	
	0.0	46.47 b	5.14	1.00	62.93 a	7.78	1.00	
	20	52.20 a	8.79	1.71	61.80 a	12.78	1.64	
Plant height	40	41.07 c	9.00	1.75	56.00 b	6.23	0.80	
	60	42.07 c	7.04	1.37	56.93 b	9.27	1.19	
(CIII)	80	49.20 a	8.30	1.62	64.53 a	11.02	1.42	
	100	24.33 d	13.44	2.62	39.25 c	15.60	2.01	
	L.S.D.at 0.05	4.10			4.38			
	0.0	34.13 a	9.88	1.00	32.87 d	13.03	1.00	
	20	43.80 a	17.72	1.79	42.07 b	15.60	1.20	
Number	40	42.87a	12.39	1.25	51.13 a	13.26	1.02	
of stems /	60	41.80 a	15.72	1.59	53.20 a	21.09	1.62	
rhizome	80	37.60 a	10.22	1.03	37.20 c	15.69	1.20	
	100	16.47 b	11.53	1.17	37.91 c	27.24	2.09	
	L.S.D.at 0.05	10.39			2.47			
	0.0	0.32 a	0.048	1.00	0.44 a	0.077	1.00	
	20	0.33 a	0.057	1.20	0.38 b	0.075	0.97	
Stom's diamotor	40	0.29 b	0.043	0.89	0.31 c	0.068	0.89	
(cm)	60	0.31 ab	0.049	1.02	0.26 c	0.064	0.84	
(CIII)	80	0.29 b	0.036	0.75	0.37b	0.082	1.07	
	100	0.19 c	0.047	0.97	0.28 c	0.093	1.21	
	L.S.D.at 0.05	0.03			0.05			
	0.0	26.32 b	6.57	1.00	53.60 a	9.63	1.00	
	20	32.11 a	8.70	1.32	54.86 a	19.41	2.02	
Dry weight of	40	29.21 a	9.38	1.43	54.64 a	17.00	1.76	
vegetative	60	25.92 b	6.44	0.98	56.57 a	13.43	1.39	
growth(g)	80	29.07 ab	9.52	1.45	54.70 a	11.92	1.24	
	100	5.49 c	5.06	0.77	28.86 b	22.38	2.32	
	L.S.D.at 0.05	3.18			10.11			

L.S.D. at 0.05 = Least significant different at 0.05 level of probability

Means of treatments in the column have the same letters, are not significantly different at 5% level. C.V. = Coefficient of Variation

Moreover, in the M2 generation, the coefficient of variation was more than the control for the plants treated with 40,60,80 and 100 Gray in the leave bract's width and 20,60,80 and 100 Gray for leaf bract's area. This observed variability indicates that the individual plant of the same genotype of *Cyperus alternifolius* differs in the rate of effect after gamma ray treatment.

Table (4). Average and coefficient of variation (C.V.) of leaf bract's number / stem, leaf bract's width (cm) and leaf bract's area of *Cyperus alternifolius* derived from irradiated rhizomes by different gamma ray doses during the M1 and M2 generations

	Commo rov		M1			M2	
The parameters	doses (Grav)	Avorago	сv	C.V.dose	Avorago	сv	C.V.dose
	uoses (oray)	Average	0	C.V.cont.	Average	0.4.	C.V.cont.
	0.0	11.29 a	1.39	1.00	12.18 a	1.94	1.00
	20	10.83 a	1.51	1.09	10.74 b	1.94	1.00
Loof broot'o	40	9.35 ab	1.66	1.19	11.15 ab	1.42	0.73
Ledi Didui S	60	9.29 b	2.16	1.56	9.90 b	1.35	0.70
number / Stern	80	11.50 a	5.19	3.73	12.33 a	1.85	0.96
	100	8.54 b	1.52	1.09	8.56 b	1.63	0.84
	L.S.D.at 0.05	1.94			1.42		
	0.0	0.69 a	0.147	1.00	0.92 a	0.106	1.00
	20	0.68 a	0.252	1.72	0.73 b	0.073	0.69
Loof broot's	40	0.56 b	0.127	0.86	0.72 bc	0.141	1.33
Lear Dract S	60	0.68 a	0.124	0.85	0.64 c	0.135	1.27
width (cm)	80	0.74 a	0.139	0.95	0.82 ab	0.176	1.65
	100	0.35 c	0.111	0.76	0.59 c	0.210	1.98
	L.S.D.at 0.05	0.09			0.11		
	0.0	11.26 a	4.87	1.00	14.92 a	3.10	1.00
	20	10.25 a	5.22	1.07	11.92 c	3.67	1.18
Loof broot's area	40	6.92 b	2.97	0.61	9.76 d	3.51	1.13
Leaf bract's area (cm ²)	60	10.16 a	3.20	0.66	10.15 d	2.75	0.89
	80	11.64 a	4.42	0.91	13.57 b	4.10	1.32
	100	3.51 b	1.64	0.34	7.93 e	4.43	1.43
	L.S.D.at 0.05	2.14			0.80		

L.S.D. at 0.05 = Least significant different at 0.05 level of probability

Means of treatments in the column have the same letters, are not significantly different at 5% level. C.V. = Coefficient of Variation

3-Effect of gamma irradiation on leaf chlorophyll content in the M1 and M2 generations:

As for the leaves, chlorophyll content data in Table (5) indicated that there was a significant difference between treatments in the mean value of Chlorophyll a and Chlorophyll b of *Cyperus alternifolius* plants after application of different doses of gamma rays in M1 and M2 generations.

Also, Table (5) showed that the application of gamma ray at the dose of 0. 20 Gray caused the highest significant increase in the mean value of chlorophyll a, while the lowest significant was obtained from rhizome treatment with 40, 60, 80 and 100 Gray. For the late effect of gamma ray (M2), Table (5) showed that the highest significant increase of chlorophyll a was obtained after application of 80 Gray with the same level significant of application of 100 and 40 Gray.

As for chlorophyll b content , Table (5) cleared that the application of gamma ray at 60 Gray in the M1 caused the highest significant decrease in the amount of chlorophyll b while in the M2 –generation, the application of gamma

ray at 80 and 100 gray caused significant increase in chlorophyll b content compared to the control plants

All treatments caused a decrement in the coefficient of variation for chlorophyll a in M1- generation and 20, 80 and 100 Gray in M2-generation. While the coefficient of variation for chlorophyll b was more than control after irradiation with 80 in the M1-generation and the coefficient of variation for chlorophyll b was more than control after irradiation with all gamma ray doses in M2-generation.

	Gamma ray		M1			M2			
The parameters	doses (Grav)	Average	сv	C.V.dose	Avorago	C.V.	C.V.dose		
		Average	0.4.	C.V.cont.	Average		C.V.cont.		
	0.0	1.57 a	0.16	1.00	0.70c	0.04	1.00		
	20	1.66 a	0.07	0.45	0.72c	0.12	2.95		
Chlorophyll a	40	0.86 b	0.09	0.60	0.92ab	0.03	0.64		
(mg/ g leaves	60	0.71 b	0.02	0.15	0.83bc	0.12	3.03		
fresh weight)	80	0.81 b	0.12	0.78	1.02a	0.02	0.57		
	100	0.82 b	0.16	0.99	0.93ab	0.05	1.26		
	L.S.D.at 0.05	0.20			0.15				
	0.0	0.36 a	0.15	1.00	0.20b	0.02	1.00		
	20	0.61a	0.13	0.84	0.35ab	0.11	7.02		
Chlorophyll b	40	0.38 a	0.12	0.78	0.50a	0.13	8.08		
(mg/ g leaves	60	0.05 b	0.01	0.02	0.35ab	0.04	2.74		
fresh weight)	80	0.52 a	0.26	1.72	0.52a	0.02	1.10		
	100	0.56 a	0.03	0.22	0.41a	0.06	3.47		
	L.S.D.at 0.05	0.30			0.17				

Table	(5).	Average	and	coefficie	nt of var	iation ((C.V.)	Chlorophyl	laa	and
		Chloropl	nyll b(i	ng/ g leav	es fresh	n weigh [,]	t) of Cy	vperus alter	nifol	lius
		derived [•]	from i	rradiated	hizomes	by di	fferent	gamma ray	v dos	ses
		during M	1 and	M2 genera	ations	2		-		

L.S.D. at 0.05 = Least significant different at 0.05 level of probability

Means of treatments in the column have the same letters, are not significantly different at 5% level. C.V. = Coefficient of Variation

4-Effect of radiation on the induction of variation (plant abnormalities) in the M1 and M2 –generations

Table (6) cleared that the application of gamma rays at the dose of 100 Gray caused dwarfism to 47 % of M1 – generation plants this dwarfism was observed at the percentage of 28 % of M2 plants. The dwarfism of plants was cleared as short of plant height, thinner of leave bract's and less leave bract's number (Photo1).

Also, it is observed from Table (6) and Photo (1-C) that application of gamma ray at moderate dose caused regeneration of small plants from leaf internodes at the percentage of 17 for 40 Gray and 0.06 % for 60 Gray in the M1– generation. This abnormality disappeared in the M2-generation.

	Type of variation (abnormalities)										
Gamma ray doses		Dwarf	plants		Regeneration of small plants from leaf internodes						
(Gray)	M1- generation M2- generation			neration	M1- ge	neration	M2- ger	neration			
	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%			
0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
40	0	0	0	0	3	17	0	0			
60	0	0	0	0	1	0.06	0	0			
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
100	8	47	5	28	0	0	0	0			

Table	(6).	Percentage	of	plant	variation	(abnormalities)	of	Cyperus
		alternifolius	; L	derive	d from irra	diated rhizomes	by	different
		gamma ray	dos	es duri	ng M1 and	M2 generations		

Dwarf plants was expressed as the plants height less than half of the average plant height of control plant

5- RFLP analysis

Genetic variation was detected and evaluated via Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and computerized analysis for three generations of *Cyperus alternifolius* under different radiation doses (as shown by Photo 2).

Data in Table (7) showed that the highest radiation dose (100 Gray) reflected the dramatic increase of genetic polymorphism (64.5 % Polymorphism) for *Cyperus alternifolius* comparing with un-irradiated sample and radiation with 60 Gray which showed insignificant influence (44.4 % and 37.5 % Polymorphism , respectively). Furthermore, Table (8) cleared that the first generation reflected the highest radiation influence (54.8 % of the average of polymorphism) comparing with second and third generations (47.2 % and 39.6 % of the average of polymorphism). Phyllogenetic tree was constructed for three generations of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with different radiation doses based on Restriction fragment length polymorphism



(RFLP) patterns (Figure 1). The Genetic cluster was divided into two main clusters. The first generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 100 Gray represented the separated first cluster. Second cluster composed of two subclusters. The First subcluster included second generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 60 Gray and third generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 100 Gray. Second sub cluster divided into two groups. Third generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 100 Gray and second generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 100 Gray and second generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 100 Gray and second generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with 100 Gray represented the first group. Meanwhile, second group represented first generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* control, treated with 60 Gray and third generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* control, treated with 60 Gray and third generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* control, treated with 60 Gray and third generation of *Cyperus alternifolius* control.



- Photo (2). Computerized analysis of Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) for three generations of *Cyperus alternifolius* under different radiations doses.
- Table (7). Different radiation doses comparison for Cyperus alternifoliustreated with different radiation doses based on Restrictionfragment length polymorphism (RFLP) data.

		Radiation doses (Gray)	
	Control	60	100
Total fragments	32	36	31
Polymorphic fragments	12	16	20
Polymorphism %	37.5	44.4	64.5

Table (8).Total, Polymorphic, Monomorphic and Polymorphism % for
Cyperus alternifolius treated with different radiation doses based
on Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) data.

Seasons	Radiation doses (Gray)	Total fragments	Polymorphic fragments	Monomorphic fragments	Polymorphism %	Average of Polymorphism %
Firet	Control	12	6	6	50.0	
Soason	60	14	5	11	35.7	54.8
Season	100	14	11	3	78.7	
Second	Control	10	3	7	30.0	
Second	60	13	8	5	61.5	47.2
Season	100	8	4	4	50.0	
Third	Control	10	3	7	30.0	
inira	60	9	3	6	33.3	39.6
Season	100	9	5	4	55.5	



Figure (1). Phyllogenetic tree for three generations of *Cyperus alternifolius* treated with different radiation doses based on Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) data.

DISCUSSION

The increase in sprouting percentage and sprouting rate after rhizomes irradiation with gamma rays at 20 Gray may be due to the ability of low gamma ray doses to activate RNA or protein synthesis, which occurred during the early stage of sprouting after rhizome irradiated (Abdel-Hady *et al.*, 2008). Also, this increment can be explained by the stimulatory effect of gamma rays on sprouting enzymes which are set free by irradiation and activate sprouting (Sax, 1955). This results are in harmony with those obtained by Anupam *et al.* (2018) on gladiolus

plants . On the other hand, the harmful effect of higher doses of gamma rays on sprouting may be caused by the break down or lake of synthesis of endogenous growth regulators, especially the cytokines due to radiation Kiong *et al.* (2008) or the lethal effect of gamma rays on chromosome structure and cell division (Grabowska and Mynett, 1970).

The stimulatory effect of gamma rays on vegetative growth can be explained by the hypothesis of Wi *et al.* (2007) which cleared that low doses of gamma radiation induce stimulation to plant growth by changing the signaling network of plant hormones or by increasing the antioxidative effect of the cells to increase the ability tolerant of the plant to daily stress factors such as light intensity and temperature. While, the high irradiation doses caused inhibition of plant growth by the arrest of the cell cycle at G_2/M phase during somatic cell division or various damage of the entire genome. (Preussa and Britta, 2003), also the inhabitation effect of gamma rays at high doses on plant growth can be explained due to the physiological effect of gamma rays such as auxin destruction (Sedel'nikova, 1988).

It is also known that gamma radiation induces the various physiological and biochemical alteration in plants. The reduction effect of high gamma ray doses was recorded by Yadav (2016) on Canscora decurrens plants. The high irradiation a significant decrement in chlorophyll a content in the M1 dose caused generation this decrement may be due to the disturbance of the hormone balance, leaf gas-exchange, water exchange and enzyme activity (Kiong et al., 2008). Irradiation causes changes in the plant structure, dilation of thylakoid membranes, and alteration in photosynthesis (Wi et al., 2007). The data of (RFLP) analysis showed that there were changes in DNA bands. The main changes were the appearance or disappearance of different bands with variation of intensity and radiation dose (100 Gray) reflected the dramatic increase of genetic polymorphism. This effects might be due to the rearrangement of DNA caused by different type of DNA damage. The appearance of new bands is usually a result from different DNA structural changes (Breaks, transpositions, deletion,... etc.) (Danylchenko and Sorochinsky, 2005).

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hady, M.S., E.M. Okasha, S.S.A. Soliman and M. Talaat (2008). Effect of Gamma radiation and gibberellic acid on germination and alkaloid production in *Atropa belladonna*. Aust. J.Basic Appl., 2(3): 401-405.
- Anupam T, Singh A. K. and P. Sumit (2018). Effect of gamma irradiation on growth and floral characters of gladiolus varieties. Int. J. of Chemical Studies, 6(6): 1277-1282
- **Brasch J, V. Beck-Jendroschek and R. Gläser (2010).** Fast and sensitive detection of Trichophyton rubrum in superficial tinea and onychomycosis by use of a direct polymerase chain reaction. Blackwell Verlag Gmb H. :54(5):e313-7.

- **Danylchenko O.and B. Sorochinsky (2005).** Use of RAPD assay for the detection of mutation changes in plant DNA induced by UV-B and Rrays BMC plant. Biology, 5 (1). 812.
- Dipak, K and H. Soma (2010). Plant breeding Biometry Biotechnology . New Central Book Agency (P) Ltd . London ,Delhi Kolkata
- Grabowska, B. and K. Mynett(1970). Induction of changes in garden gladiolus, (*Gladiolus hybridus*. Hort.) under the influence of gamma rays Co60 (Russian). *Biul. Instytutu* Hodowii i Aklimatyzacji Roslin (IHAR), 1-2 : 85-8.
- Kiong, A., A. Ling Pick, S.H. Grace Lai and A.R. Harun (2008). Physiological responses of *Orthosiphon stamineus* plantlets to gamma irradiation. *Am*-*Eurasian J. Sustain. Agric.* 2(2):135-149.
- Kyambadde, J., F. Kansiime, L. Gumaelius, and G. Dalhammar (2004). A comparative study of *Cyperus papyrus* and *Miscanthidium violaceum* based constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment in a tropical climate. Water Research, 38(2):475-485.
- Mirzahoseini H, E. Omidinia, M.Shams-Ghahfarokhi, G. Sadeghi and M. Razzaghi-Abyaneh (2009). Application of PCR-RFLP to rapid identification of the main pathogenic dermatophytes from clinical specimens. Iranian J. Publ Health., 38(1):18-24.
- Mahmoud, A.E.K (2013). Vegetable plants physiology. El-Maaref Publishers . Alexandria
- **Moran, R. (1982).** Formula determination of chlorophyll pigment extracted with N,N diethyl formamide . Plant Physiology, 69 : 1376-1381.
- Preussa, S.B. and A.B. Britta (2003). A DNA-damage-induced cell cycle checkpoint in Arabidopsis.Genetics, 164: 323-334.
- Sax, K. (1955). The effect of ionizing radiation on plant growth. Amer. J. Bot., 42: 360-364.
- Sedel'nikova, L. (1988). Mutations in flower colour of gladioli from gamma irradiated corms. Byulleten' Glavnogo Botanicheskogo Sada, 148 : 55-60.
- Shaw J., Lickey E. B., Schilling E. E. and R.L. Small. (2007). Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: The tortoise and the hare III. American Journal of Botany 94: 275–288.
- **Snedecor , G. W. and W. Cochran (1989).** Statistical Methods, 8th ed. Iowa State University Press.
- Van Harten , A.M. (1998). Mutation Breeding: Theory and Practical Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Wi, S.G., B.Y. Chung, J.S. Kim, J.H. Kim, M.H. Baek, J.W. Lee and Y.S. Kim (2007). Effects of gamma irradiation on morphological changes and biological responses in plants. Micron, 38: 553-564.
- Yadav V. (2016). Effect of gamma radiation on various growth parameters and biomass of *Canscora decurrens* Dalz . Inter.J. of Herbal Medicine; 4(5): 109-115

الملخص العربي

استحداث بعض الأختلافات المورفولوجية في نبات البردي باستخدام اشعه جاما

مجد الدين فؤاد رضا

فرع بحوث نباتات الزينة بأنطونيادس – الإسكندرية قسم بحوث الزينة وتتسيق الحدائق- معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال مواسم (٢٠١٥ ، ٢٠١٦ و ٢٠١٧) في فرع بحوث أنطونيادس ، معهد بحوث البسانين, وزارة الزراعة، الاسكندرية،جمهورية مصر العربية بهدف دراسة تأثير اشعة جاما على نبات البردي . عرضت ريزومات البردي لأشعة جاما جرعات (0. ، ، ٢، ، ٤ ، ، ٢، ، ٨ و ١٠٠ جراي) . أظهرت النتائج ان استخدام اشعة جاما البردي لأشعة جاما جرعات (0. ، ، ٢، ، ٤ ، ، ٢، ، ٨ و ١٠٠ جراي) . أظهرت النتائج ان استخدام اشعة جاما البردي لأشعة جاما جرعات (0. ، ، ٢، ، ٤ ، ، ٢ ، ٨ و ١٠٠ جراي) . أظهرت النتائج ان استخدام اشعة جاما البردي لأشعة جاما جرعات (0. ، ، ٢، ، ٤ ، ، ٢ ، ٨ و ١٠٠ جراي) . أظهرت النتائج ان استخدام اشعة جاما البردي للم البرعة العام البردي لأسعة جاما بحرعة ١٠٠ جراي إلى بحرعة ٢٠ جراي الدى إستخدام اشعة جاما بحرعة ١٠٠ جراي إلى القل نسبة انبات مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى في الجيل الأول . كما أظهرت النتائج أن المعاملة ب ١٠٠ جراي الدى انصو في كل الصفات الخضرية المدروسة في الجيل الأول والثاني . وبالنسبة لتاثير اشعة جاما على احدات مذوذات في النمو الخضري اظهرت النتائج أن المعاملة ب ١٠٠ جراي الات إلى شدوذات في النمو الخضري الفرت النتائج ان المعاملة ب ١٠٠ جراي الدى القل نسبة لتاثير اشعة جاما على احدات مذوذات في النمو الخضري اظهرت النتائج ان المعاملة ب ١٠٠ جراي ادت إلى معنوي في كل الصفات الخضرية المدروسة في الجيل الأول والثاني . وبالنسبة لتاثير اشعة جاما على احدات مذوذات في النمو الخضري اظهرت النتائج ان المعاملة ب ١٠٠ جراي ادت إلى شدوذات في الجيل الأول والثاني . بينما حدث نمو لعدد ثلاث نباتات من السلاميات بعد المعاملة ب ٤٠ جراي ونبات واحد بعد المعاملة ب ٢٠ جراي في الجيل الأول والثاني . بينما حدث نمو لعدد ثلاث نباتات من السلاميات بعد المعاملة ب ٤٠ جراي ونبات واحد بعد المعاملة ب ٢٠ جراي في الجراي والثاني . وبتطبيق تحليل الأول بينما إلى تريزمات من المراميات بعن المالة ب ٤٠ جراي ونبات واحد بعد المعاملة ب ٢٠ جراي في الجراي والثاني . وبنام واحد بعد المعاملة ب ٢٠ جراي ونبات واحد بعد المعاملة ب ٢٠ جراي في الجراي والث ف