### Growth, Yield, Fruit Quality and Elemental Composition as Affected by Some Macronutrients Fertilization of 'Florida Prince' Peach Cultivar

# Aly, M. A.<sup>1</sup>, Nagwa A. Abd El-Megeed<sup>2</sup>, Rehab M. Abd-El hady<sup>1</sup>, and A. Osman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Production Dept., Faculty of Agric., Saba Bacha, Alex. Univ. <sup>2</sup>Hort. Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT : This study was carried out during the two successive seasons of both 2015 and 2016 on five years old 'Florida prince' trees (Prunus persica L.) budded on 'Nemagard' peach rootstock, grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation system in a private orchard located at El-Nubaria region, El-Behira Governorate, Egypt. The aims of this research was to investigate the effect of some macronutrients fertilization as ammonium nitrate  $(NH_4NO_3)$ , Potassium sulphate  $(K_2SO_4)$ , and Calcium sulphate  $(CaSO_4)$  and their combinations on growth, yield, fruit quality and elemental composition of 'Florida prince' peach trees. The followed experimental design was randomized complete block design with five replicates. Results indicated that all fertilization treatments, significantly; increased vegetative growth (shoot length, shoot thickness and leaf area) characters as compared with the control plants during both seasons. Also, all treatments increased the yield (kg /tree) and fruit weight (g) as compared with the control plants. The highest yield and fruit weight were recorded with the combination of 560g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> +280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>+ 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatments (97.02 and 103.65 kg, each in turn) as compared with the control (36.61 and 40.00 kg, respectively). Moreover, all nitrogen, potassium and calcium fertilization treatments; increased length (cm), diameter (cm), firmness (lb/inch<sup>2</sup>), total soluble solids (%), acidity (%), and total sugars (%) as compared with control ones during both seasons. On the other hand, A gradual elemental increases in nitrogen, potassium and calcium in leaf (%) were recorded with the macronutrients combinations as compared with the control plants.

**Key words:** 'Florida prince' Peach, Ammonium nitrate, Calcium sulphate, Potassium sulphate, macronutrients, yield, fruit quality, elemental content.

### INTRODUCTION

The peach (*Prunus persica L*.) is most important stone fruits, due to its heavy loading dietetic value and as a rich source of carbohydrate, protein and vitamins, especially (A, B and C) and some mineral nutrients. According to FAO (2017), Egypt ranges third in the Arab production of peaches and the area cultivated with peach in Egypt is 58800 feddans, and the total production of peach fruits is 360723 tons.

Peach cv. 'Florida prince' is one of the early season cultivates that suffer from accelerated softened fruits, and therefore, the fruits exhibit short handling period which limits its commercial potential. This concept obligates fruit producer to harvest fruits minimum maturity. Meanwhile, there has been a special trend of tree peach fruits that a queried better flavor and juicy while has a retarded than loss of firmness.

Nitrogen is one of the most important nutrients in apple fertilization program. Considerable quantities of the nitrogen applied to soil are lost through leaching, volatilization and denitrification (Volk, 1961; Broadbent and Carlton, 1978). Frequent applications and high quantities of N fertilizers are

needed to maintain an adequate N level for optimum growth and fruit production. Also, nitrogen fertilization is a common practice to increase fruits size and yield, and maintain adequate tree vigor (Stiles and Reid, 1991). However, the effects of N fertilization are variable and some studies have shown little or no fruit size response to increasing rates of N fertilization (Goode and Higgs, 1977; Hipps *et al.*, 1990; Neilsen *et al.*, 1999).

Potassium is the most appropriate univalent cation for enzyme activation, not only because of high concentration, but also due to its mobility in plant (Mengel and Krikby, 1978). It is one of the essential elements in plant nutrition which is added regularly in fertilization programs. It is important for structure and promotes formation of ATP (plant energy), oxidative polyphosphorelation (Yagodin, 1984), synthesis of amino acid proteins (Russell, 1978) and has important role in stomata movement, pH stabilization, cell extension and it is needed for enlargement of fruit (Faust, 1989).

Calcium plays an important role in providing stability and mechanical strength to the cell structure of the fruit. Thus, the deficiency of calcium in the fruit leads to weakening of middle lamella due to which cells expand and burst. Moreover, Ca is known to stabilize cell membranes and in this way may prevent physiological disorders attributed to Ca can be directly supplied to the fruit, reducing excessive gibberellins levels by various means may be the better way to control such disorders (Wenxuan and Fanjing, 1996).

The aim of the present study is investigating the effect of N, K and Ca at different levels of fertilization on vegetative growth, yield, fruit quality and leaf and fruit mineral content of 'Florida prince' peach trees grown under sandy soil conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was carried out during the two successive seasons, 2015 and 2016 on five years old 'Florida prince' peach trees (*prunus persica L*.) budded on '*Nemagard*' peach rootstock , planted 4 x 3 meters apart, grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation system in a private orchard at El-Nubaria, El-Behira Governortate, Egypt. Some physiochemical analysis of experimental soil was indicated in (Table 1).

Parameter	0-30 cm	30-60 cm
Mechanical Analysis (%)		
Sand	96	98
Silt		
Clay	4	2
Textural class	Sand	Sand
pH (1:1, water suspension)	8.24	8.53
EC (1:1,water extract), dS/m	0.32	0.30
$CaCO_3(\%)$	2.6	5
OM (%)	0.05	0.09
Soluble cations (meq/L)		
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	2.72	3.06
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	1.70	0.34
Na <sup>+</sup>	1.85	1.63
K <sup>+</sup>	0.32	0.22
Soluble anions (meq/L)		
HCO <sup>-</sup> <sub>3</sub>	4.86	8.1
CI-	5.40	4.86
SO <sub>4</sub>	1.45	1.77
Available nutrients (mg/kg)		
Nitrogen (N)	202.61	222.14
Phosphorus(P)	9.5	20
Potassium (K)	250	300

Table	(1).	Some	physical	and	chemical	properties	of	the	experimenta	I
		soil								

Seventy five uniform trees, more or less, were selected for this study and all of them were subjected to the same cultural practices during both successive seasons.

#### The treatments were as follows:

- 1. Control
- 2. Ammonium nitrate 490 g/ tree
- 3. Ammonium nitrate 560 g/ tree
- 4. Potassium sulphate 245 g/tree
- 5. Potassium sulphate 280 g/tree
- 6. Calcium sulphate 125 g/tree
- 7. Calcium sulphate 250 g/tree
- 8. Ammonium nitrate 490 g/ tree + Potassium sulphate 245 g/tree
- 9. Ammonium nitrate 490 g/ tree + Calcium sulphate 125 g/tree
- 10. Potassium sulphate 245 g/tree + Calcium sulphate 125 g/tree
- 11. Ammonium nitrate 560 g/ tree + Potassium sulphate 280 g/tree
- 12. Ammonium nitrate 560 g/ tree + Calcium sulphate 250 g/tree
- 13. Potassium sulphate 280 g/tree + Calcium sulphate 250 g/tree
- 14. Ammonium nitrate 490 g/tree + Potassium sulphate 245 g/tree + Calcium sulphate 125 g/tree
- 15. Ammonium nitrate 560 g/tree + Potassium sulphate 280 g/tree + Calcium sulphate 250 g/tree

Treatments were applied with Ammonium nitrate, Potassium sulphate and Calcium sulphate at two equal doses on the bud swelling and after fruit set. The previous treatments were applied and arranged in a randomized complete block design. Each treatment included five replicates with one tree for each replicate. The effect of the previous treatments was investigated via evaluating their influence on the following parameters:

#### 1.Vegetative growth:

At the end of growing seasons, the selected shoots were measured for the average of shoot length (cm), shoot diameter (cm) using hand caliber and leaf area according to this formula, leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) = 0.49 (length of leaf × width of leaf) + 19.69 (Ahmed and Morsy, 1999).

#### 2. Yield (kg/tree):

The produced fruit yield on each replicate tree resulting from the applied treatments was expressed as number of fruits/tree and weight of fruits in kg/ tree which was attained at harvest stage in both seasons of the study.

#### 3. Physical fruit characteristic

Sample of 10 fruits per tree from each replicate was collected randomly at late April in both seasons, and then transported quickly to the laboratory to determine the physical and chemical fruit characteristics:

Average fruit weight (g/ fruit), fruit samples were weighted and the average fruit weight for each replicate was calculated.

Average fruit length (L) and diameter (D), cm. were measured by using hand caliper to obtain fruit shape index (L/D) calculated mathematically as a ratio.

**Fruit firmness was expressed** as (lb/inch<sup>2</sup>) according to (Magness and Taylor, 1982). Flesh firmness was measured in two opposite sides of the fruit using the Magness and Taylor Pressure.

#### 4. Chemical fruit characteristics

Regarding the chemical fruit characteristics, sample of 10 fruits was picked randomly at harvest to determine the following parameters: Total soluble solids (TSS %); using a hand refractometer according to Chen and Mellenthin (1981). Total acidity (%) was determined as in fruit juice according to (AOAC,1985) Total sugars (%): was determined in fresh fruit samples according to Malik and Singh (1980).

Nitrogen, potassium and calcium concentrations were determined in leaves for both seasons by using 20 leaves / tree samples, which were taken from each treatment. The samples were washed with tap water and distilled water, and then oven dried at 70°C to constant weight and then ground. To determine the leaf elemental contents, ground powder of each sample was digested with  $H_2SO_4$  and  $H_2O_2$  according to Wolf (1982). In the digested material, nitrogen and phosphorus were determined, colorimetrically according to Evenhuis and DeWaard (1976) and Murphy and Riley (1962), respectively. Potassium was determined by flame photometer as described by Cheng and Bray (1951). Calcium leaf contents were determined by Perkin Elmer Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer according to Carter (1993). Suitable aliquots were taken for determination of some macro elements as in leaf materials. The concentrations of N, K and Ca were expressed as percent on weight basis.

#### 5. Statistical analysis

Results of the measured parameters were subjected to computerized statistical analysis using RCBD for analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means of treatments were compared using L.S.D at 0.05 according to Snedecor and Cochran (1990).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1.Vegetative growth characters:

#### 1.1 Shoot length (cm):

The results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with Ammonium nitrate, Potassium sulphate and Calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments on the shoot length (cm) of 'Florida prince' peach trees are listed in Table (2).

The average values of both experimental seasons indicated that soil fertilization with 560 g  $NH_4NO_3$  + 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  + 250 g  $CaSO_4$  treatment, brought about the highest increment in shoot length (cm), followed by 560 g  $NH_4NO_3$  treatment as compared with the control treatment during both seasons. The percentage increase of shoot length comparing to check plot may be due to the direct effects of increasing the rate of N application on 'Costata' persimmon trees increased shoot length, Abo El-Mageed (1992). Also, Abd El-Megeed *et al* (2011) reported that shoot length increased significantly with increasing the levels of N and K application.

#### 1.2 Shoot thickness (cm):

Pertaining the results of shoot thickness (cm) of 'Florida prince' peach trees as affected by soil fertilization with Ammonium nitrate, Potassium sulphate and Calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments during both seasons listed in Table (2) revealed that all foliar application treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased shoot thickness as compared with the control during both seasons. Generally, soil fertilization with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment, taken about the highest increment in shoot thickness, followed by 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment as compared with the control during both experimental seasons. The increment percentage of shoot thickness comparing to check plot may be taken place due to the direct effects of nitrogen fertilization in from of (Calcium ammonium Nitrate) at 125 or 250 kg/ha on the growth of the peach trees (Janjic, 1979).

#### 1.3 Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>):

The effect of various applied treatments on leaf area of 'Florida prince' peach trees are tabulated in Table (2). The obtained results indicated that fertilization the trees with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment, gave rise to the highest leaf area (47.89 and 47.56 cm<sup>2</sup>) each in turn, followed by 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (45.97 and 45.85 cm<sup>2</sup>, serially), during both the seasons.

The increment percentage of leaf area comparing to check plot may be taken place due to the direct effects of K on increasing the leaf area and number of leaves might be attributed to its important role of encouraging photosynthesis and chlorophyll formation as producing more carbohydrates and amino acids, which aids in the formation of new cells (Soaad *et al*., 2014).

# Table (2). Effect of application with different nutrients rates on some<br/>morphological parameters of 'Florida prince' peach trees<br/>during 2015 and 2016 seasons

Treatments	Shoot (cr	length n)	Sh thick (Cl	oot ness m)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Control	34.17 <sup>ĸ</sup>	34.57 <sup>ĸ</sup>	0.58 <sup>ĸ</sup>	0.59 <sup>ĸ</sup>	32.22 <sup>n</sup>	33.19 <sup>n</sup>
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	49.05 <sup>d</sup>	49.51 <sup>d</sup>	0.61 <sup>j</sup>	0.62 <sup>j</sup>	37.63 <sup>ef</sup>	38.01 <sup>f</sup>
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	52.81 <sup>b</sup>	53.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.63 <sup>i</sup>	0.65 <sup>i</sup>	44.72 <sup>bc</sup>	42.68 <sup>c</sup>
245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	39.19i	39.53 <sup>h</sup>	0.77 <sup>e</sup>	0.79 <sup>e</sup>	38.35 <sup>et</sup>	37.25 <sup>†</sup>
280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	42.30 <sup>h</sup>	42.65 <sup>g</sup>	0.82 <sup>c</sup>	0.84 <sup>c</sup>	43.63 <sup>°</sup>	43.48 <sup>c</sup>
125 g CaSO₄	35.67 <sup>j</sup>	34.95 <sup>j</sup>	0.66 <sup>h</sup>	0.67 <sup>h</sup>	33.63g <sup>h</sup>	34.29 <sup>g</sup>
250 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	36.99 <sup>j</sup>	37.25 <sup>i</sup>	0.76 <sup>ef</sup>	0.77 <sup>e</sup>	41.39 <sup>d</sup>	40.26 <sup>d</sup>
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	44.43 <sup>ef</sup>	44.60 <sup>ef</sup>	0.72 <sup>g</sup>	0.74 <sup>g</sup>	39.61 <sup>de</sup>	39.48 <sup>de</sup>
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 125 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	42.72 <sup>gh</sup>	42.84 <sup>g</sup>	0.67 <sup>h</sup>	0.68 <sup>h</sup>	33.67 <sup>gh</sup>	35.02 <sup>g</sup>
245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +125 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	39.11 <sup>1</sup>	39.22 <sup>h</sup>	0.74 <sup>tg</sup>	0.75 <sup>tg</sup>	34.67 <sup>g</sup>	34.39 <sup>g</sup>
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	45.51 <sup>e</sup>	45.68 <sup>e</sup>	0.75 <sup>f</sup>	0.78 <sup>e</sup>	45.97 <sup>ab</sup>	45.85 <sup>b</sup>
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +250 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	43.96 <sup>fg</sup>	44.06 <sup>f</sup>	0.74 <sup>f</sup>	0.76 <sup>f</sup>	37.26 <sup>f</sup>	38.67 <sup>f</sup>
280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	42.36 <sup>h</sup>	42.52 <sup>g</sup>	0.79 <sup>d</sup>	0.80 <sup>d</sup>	43.52 <sup>c</sup>	43.49 <sup>c</sup>
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 125g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	50.53 <sup>c</sup>	50.87 <sup>c</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	38.22 <sup>et</sup>	39.00 <sup>e</sup>
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	55.40 <sup>a</sup>	55.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.91 <sup>a</sup>	47.89 <sup>a</sup>	47.56 <sup>a</sup>

Means not sharing the same letter (s) within each column are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

#### 2. Yield components:

#### 2.1 Number of fruits per tree:

The results of Table (3) representing the effect of the above mentioned treatments on number of fruits per tree of 'Florida prince' peach trees. In general, the obtained results indicated that all fertilization application treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased number of fruits per tree as compared with the control plants during both seasons. fertilization application with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatments, resulted in the highest increment in number of fruits per tree, followed by 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> treatment as compared with the control.

The increment percentage of number of fruits comparing to check plot may be occurred due to the direct effects of nitrogen fertilization on the carbohydrates fruit content of Cox's orange pippin apples. Also, Potassium is the most appropriate univalent cation for enzyme activation, not only because of high concentration, but also due to its mobility in plant (Mengel and Krikby,1978).

#### 2.2 Fruit weight (g):

As for the effects of soil fertilization with Ammonium nitrate, Potassium sulphate and Calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments on the fruit weight (g) of 'Florida prince' peach trees during both seasons, results in Table (3) showed that all treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased fruit weight as compared with the control treatment.

Fertilization with 560 g  $NH_4NO_3$  + 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  + 250 g  $CaSO_4$  application treatment in the second seasons or 560 g  $NH_4NO_3$  + 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  application treatment; while, in the first seasons gave rise to the highest fruit weights as 120.00 and 112.30 g; respectively followed by 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  application treatment in the first season or 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  + 250 g  $CaSO_4$  application treatment in first seasons; led to the highest fruit weights as 110.00 and 108.45 g; respectively, during both 2015 and 2016 seasons.

The increment percentage of fruit weight comparing to check plot may attributed to the direct effects of Potassium sulphate; which gave the best results for fruit weight and size in peach (Mansour *et al.*, 1986). Also, Dimitrovski *et al.* (1972) reported that the highest average fruit weight of peaches were produced with increasing the rate of NPK combination. And, Abd El-Megeed *et al* (2011) on 'le-conte' found that fruit weight (g) increased significantly with increasing the levels of N and K fertilization.

#### 2.3 Yield (kg/tree):

In concerning with influence of ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments on the weights per fruits (kg) of 'Florida prince' peach trees are listed in Table (3).

The average values of both experimental seasons indicated that treatments significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased yield (kg/tree) as compared with the control plants. It was evident that the soil fertilization with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment, resulted in the highest increment in weight fruits per tree, followed by 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment as compared with the control during both seasons 2015 and 2016.

The increment percentage of weight fruits comparing to check plot may be taken place due to the direct effects of fruit size and yield increases in response to N fertilizers are sometimes off set by undesirable impacts on fruit color and quality (Fallahi *et al.*,1997; Raese and Drake,1997).

Treatments	Numl fruits	ber of s/ tree	Fruit w (g	eight )	Yield kg/tree		
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	
Control	437.25k	457.00 <sup>g</sup>	83.40 <sup>g</sup>	88.00 <sup>d</sup>	36.61 <sup>j</sup>	40.00f	
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	662.67 <sup>ef</sup>	680.33 <sup>cd</sup>	90.10e <sup>fg</sup>	103.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	59.50 <sup>fgh</sup>	70.23 <sup>bc</sup>	
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	775.50 <sup>b</sup>	750.00 <sup>b</sup>	95.00 <sup>cdefg</sup>	106.00 <sup>abc</sup>	73.64 <sup>bcd</sup>	79.72 <sup>b</sup>	
245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	614.92 <sup>h</sup>	659.00 <sup>cde</sup>	97.70 <sup>bcdef</sup>	101.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	60.08 <sup>ghi</sup>	67.05 <sup>°</sup>	
280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	624.38 <sup>gh</sup>	675.00 <sup>cd</sup>	106.30 <sup>abc</sup>	110.00 <sup>ab</sup>	66.35 <sup>def</sup>	74.25 <sup>bc</sup>	
125 g CaSO₄	529.75 <sup>j</sup>	537.33 <sup>f</sup>	91.83 <sup>efg</sup>	95.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	48.65 <sup>i</sup>	51.36 <sup>ef</sup>	
250 g CaSO₄	558.50 <sup>i</sup>	573.33 <sup>f</sup>	94.80 <sup>cdefg</sup>	92.00 <sup>cd</sup>	52.98 <sup>hi</sup>	52.75 <sup>de</sup>	
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	683.50 <sup>e</sup>	684.00 <sup>c</sup>	103.80 <sup>abcde</sup>	106.67 <sup>abc</sup>	70.94 <sup>cde</sup>	72.94 <sup>bc</sup>	
490 g $NH_4NO_3$ +125 g $CaSO_4$	650.42 <sup>fg</sup>	645.00 <sup>de</sup>	97.10 <sup>bcdefg</sup>	103.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	63.20 <sup>efg</sup>	66.99 <sup>c</sup>	
245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 125 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	631.81 <sup>gh</sup>	632.00 <sup>e</sup>	94.37 <sup>defg</sup>	101.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	59.64 <sup>fgh</sup>	64.07 <sup>cd</sup>	
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	686.57 <sup>de</sup>	691.00 <sup>c</sup>	112.30 <sup>ª</sup>	100.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	77.10 <sup>cb</sup>	69.56 <sup>bc</sup>	
560 g $NH_4NO_3$ +250 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	682.7 <sup>5e</sup>	684.33 <sup>c</sup>	98.75 <sup>abcdef</sup>	100.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	67.39 <sup>def</sup>	68.46 <sup>bc</sup>	
280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	738.75 <sup>°</sup>	750.33 <sup>b</sup>	108.45 <sup>abc</sup>	98.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	80.14 <sup>b</sup>	73.48 <sup>bc</sup>	
490 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 125 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	713.17 <sup>cd</sup>	761.00 <sup>b</sup>	86.00 <sup>fg</sup>	92.67 <sup>cd</sup>	61.34 <sup>fgh</sup>	70.55 <sup>bc</sup>	
560 g NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280 g K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO <sub>4</sub>	876.26 <sup>a</sup>	864.00 <sup>a</sup>	110.65 <sup>ab</sup>	120.00 <sup>a</sup>	97.02 <sup>a</sup>	103.65 <sup>ª</sup>	

Table	(3). Effect	of applica	tion wit	h different	nutrier	nts rates	on yie	ld and
	some	physical	fruit ch	aracteristic	s of 'l	Florida	prince'	peach
	trees	during 20 <sup>,</sup>	15 and 2	016 season	S			

Means not sharing the same letter (s) within each column are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

#### 3. Fruit physical parameters:

#### 3.1 Fruit length (cm):

As for the effect of various applied treatments on fruit length (cm) of 'Florida prince' peach trees was calculated and tabulated in Table (4). The results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate either singly or in combination treatments on the fruit length (cm) during both 2015 and 2016 seasons indicated that soil fertilization with 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, resulted in the highest increment in fruit length, followed by 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment as compared with the control during both seasons.

#### 3.2 Fruit diameter (cm):

The results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments on the fruit diameter (cm) during both 2015 and 2016 seasons are presented in Table (4). A gradual increase in fruit diameter was observed with trees treated with 560g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (5.60 cm) and 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> (5.57 cm), followed by 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

(5.39 cm) and 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> (5.39 cm) as compared with the control (4.77 cm), respectively during the second season. While, in the first season a gradual increase was observed with trees treated with 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (4.89 cm) and 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (4.73 cm) and application treatment 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (4.62 cm) respectively, as compared with the control plants (4.34 cm).

The increment percentage of fruit length and fruit diameter comparing to check plot may be happens due to the direct effects of theses foundations are in conformity with those mentioned by El-Sherif *et al.* (2008) on plum who indicated that foliar potassium application; increased fruit diameter and K (%) in both seasons.

#### 3.3 Fruit firmness (lb/inch<sup>2</sup>):

The results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate singly or in combinations treatments on the fruit firmness (lb/inch<sup>2</sup>) of 'Florida prince' peach trees during both 2015 and 2016 seasons are listed in Table (4). The average values of both experimental seasons indicated that soil fertilization with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment, resulted in the highest increment in fruit firmness , followed by 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treatment as compared with the control during both seasons 2015 and 2016. Similar results were reported by El-Naggar *et al.* (2005), who found that preharvest spraying of, calcium on seedless guava increased the fruit firmness as compared with the control plants.

Treatments	Fruit I (ci	_ength m)	Fruit di (cı	ameter n)	Fruit firmness (lb/inch <sup>2</sup> )	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Control	4.32 <sup>c</sup>	4.87 <sup>c</sup>	4.34 <sup>b</sup>	4.77 <sup>d</sup>	12.54 <sup>n</sup>	14.00 <sup>†</sup>
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	4.42 <sup>bc</sup>	5.13 <sup>abc</sup>	4.54 <sup>ab</sup>	5.20 <sup>bc</sup>	17.21 <sup>cd</sup>	16.58 <sup>ef</sup>
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	4.46 <sup>bc</sup>	5.10 <sup>abc</sup>	4.58 <sup>ab</sup>	5.29 <sup>ab</sup>	17.29 <sup>cd</sup>	15.83 <sup>ef</sup>
245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	4.77 <sup>a</sup>	5.47 <sup>ab</sup>	4.89 <sup>a</sup>	5.39 <sup>ab</sup>	14.92 <sup>efg</sup>	17.92 <sup>de</sup>
280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	4.43 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33 <sup>abc</sup>	4.47 <sup>ab</sup>	5.20 <sup>bc</sup>	17.88 <sup>bc</sup>	22.58 <sup>bc</sup>
125 CaSO₄	4.40 <sup>bc</sup>	5.47 <sup>ab</sup>	4.49 <sup>ab</sup>	5.38 <sup>ab</sup>	16.42 <sup>cdef</sup>	18.50 <sup>de</sup>
250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.34 <sup>bc</sup>	5.37 <sup>abc</sup>	4.47 <sup>ab</sup>	5.38 <sup>ab</sup>	16.83 <sup>cd</sup>	23.50 <sup>b</sup>
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	4.48 <sup>abc</sup>	5.37 <sup>abc</sup>	4.62 <sup>ab</sup>	5.30 <sup>ab</sup>	16.41 <sup>cdet</sup>	21.58 <sup>bc</sup>
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.48 <sup>abc</sup>	5.48 <sup>ab</sup>	4.61 <sup>ab</sup>	5.37 <sup>ab</sup>	16.38 <sup>cdet</sup>	19.92 <sup>cd</sup>
245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.37 <sup>bc</sup>	5.33 <sup>abc</sup>	4.48 <sup>ab</sup>	5.20 <sup>bc</sup>	15.92 <sup>def</sup>	17.92 <sup>de</sup>
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +280K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	4.56 <sup>abc</sup>	5.13 <sup>abc</sup>	4.73 <sup>ab</sup>	5.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	16.75 <sup>cde</sup>	16.83 <sup>ef</sup>
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +250CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.36 <sup>bc</sup>	5.43 <sup>ab</sup>	4.53 <sup>ab</sup>	5.60 <sup>a</sup>	14.73 <sup>fg</sup>	17.92 <sup>de</sup>
280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.52 <sup>abc</sup>	5.53 <sup>a</sup>	4.59 <sup>ab</sup>	5.32 <sup>ab</sup>	13.34 <sup>gh</sup>	18.17 <sup>de</sup>
490NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +245K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +125CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.46 <sup>bc</sup>	5.03 <sup>bc</sup>	4.52 <sup>ab</sup>	4.90 <sup>cd</sup>	19.67 <sup>ab</sup>	17.83 <sup>de</sup>
560NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +280K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	4.62 <sup>ab</sup>	5.57 <sup>abc</sup>	4.83 <sup>a</sup>	5.57 <sup>a</sup>	21.29 <sup>a</sup>	27.75 <sup>a</sup>

Table (4). Effect of application with different nutrients rates on some physic fruit characteristics of 'Florida prince' peach trees during 2015 and 2016 seasons

Means not sharing the same letter (s) within each column are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

334

#### 4. Fruit chemical parameters:

#### 4.1 Total soluble solids (%):

Concerning the influence of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments on the total soluble solids of 'Florida prince' peach trees are listed in Table (5) cleared that all treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased total soluble solids (%) as compared with the control plants during both seasons.

The increment percentage of total soluble solids was observed with trees treated soil fertilization with 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (9.50 %) and 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> and application treatment (9.38 %), followed by 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treatment (9.33 %) and 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treatment (9.17%) as compared with the control (7.58%) during the first season, while in the second season; a gradual increase was observed with trees treated with soil fertilization with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (12.00%) and 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (11.67%), followed by 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> (11.33 %) as compared with the control (9.00 %). The highest rate of potassium treatments (750 g K/tree/year) showed the highest T.S.S % followed, in a decreasing order by 250 g K/tree/year (Nageib *et al.*, 1990). Also, Soaad *et al.*(2014) found that all preharvest treatments at 1.5% on 'Anna' apple trees, (especially KNO<sub>3</sub>) significantly; increased T.S.S.

#### 4.2 Acidity (%):

In terms of the results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate singly or in combination treatments on the acidity (%) of 'Florida prince' peach trees are listed in Table (6). The revealed that results gained all treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increase fruit juice acidity (%) as compared with the control plants during both 2015 and 2016 seasons.

The increment percentage in acidity (%) was observed with trees treated soil fertilization with 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (0.87 %) and 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (0.83 %), followed by 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (0.80%) and 280g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.76%) as compared with the control (7.58 %) during both the first season, while in the second season a gradual increase was observed with trees treated with soil fertilization with 560g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (0.86%) and 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treatment (0.82%), followed by 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (0.42 %) during both the first season.

Abou-Aziz *et al.* (1987) agree with the present results and reported that potassium application decreased significantly acidity (%) in 'Le-Conte' pear fruits Also, Potassium application significantly decreased total titratable acidity of 'Le-Cont' pear fruits. Abd El-Megeed *et al.* (2011) reported that fruit juice acidity significantly decreased with increasing the levels of N and K fertilization.

Vol. 24 (3), 2019

#### 4.3 Total sugars (%):

The results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with Ammonium nitrate, Potassium sulphate and Calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments on the total sugars (%) of 'Florida prince' peach trees during both 2015 and 2016 seasons are listed in Table (7). revealed that all application treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05\%$ ) increased total sugars (%) as compared with the control during experimental seasons. A gradual increase of total sugars (%) was observed with trees treated with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (8.94 %) and 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (8.83 %), followed by 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> (8.79 %) and 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (8.72 %) as compared with the control (7.12 %) during the first seasons (2015). While, in the second season (2016) a gradual increase was observed with trees treated with 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 280 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (8.94 %) and 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> application treatment (8.90 %), followed by 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (8.77 %) and 560 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 250 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (8.76 %) as compared with the control during (7.16 %).

The increment percentage of total sugars comparing to check plot my be due to the direct effects of all Ca treatments significantly increased total sugar fruit content of 'Anna' apple fruit (Eliwa *et al* .,1999). Also, these foundations are in conformity with those mentioned by El-Sherif *et al*. (2008) on plum who indicated that foliar potassium application increased total sugar and K (%) in both seasons. also, Soaad *et al*, (2014) found that on 'Anna' apple fruits spraying at 1.5% KNO<sub>3</sub> gave the higher significant content of total sugars in fruit.

	Т	SS	Acie	dity	Total sugars		
Treatments	(%	6)	(%	6)	(%)		
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	
Control	7.58 <sup>°</sup>	9.00 <sup>d</sup>	0.42 <sup>e</sup>	0.42i	7.12i	7.16 <sup>d</sup>	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	8.48 <sup>abc</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.45 <sup>de</sup>	0.43 <sup>hi</sup>	8.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.71 <sup>ab</sup>	
560 $NH_4NO_3$	8.68 <sup>abc</sup>	10.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 <sup>abc</sup>	0.60 <sup>efg</sup>	8.03 <sup>g</sup>	7.84 <sup>cd</sup>	
245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9.33 <sup>a</sup>	10.33 <sup>c</sup>	0.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.68 <sup>def</sup>	8.72 <sup>abc</sup>	8.58 <sup>abc</sup>	
280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9.05 <sup>ab</sup>	10.33 <sup>°</sup>	0.76 <sup>abc</sup>	0.82 <sup>ab</sup>	8.56 <sup>cdf</sup>	8.45 <sup>abc</sup>	
125 CaSO₄	8.70 <sup>abc</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.61 <sup>cde</sup>	0.58 <sup>tg</sup>	8.79 <sup>abc</sup>	8.77 <sup>a</sup>	
250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	8.50 <sup>abc</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.69 <sup>abc</sup>	0.65 <sup>def</sup>	8.25 <sup>fg</sup>	8.21 <sup>abc</sup>	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9.17 <sup>ab</sup>	10.67 <sup>bc</sup>	0.67 <sup>abc</sup>	0.71 <sup>cde</sup>	8.44 <sup>def</sup>	8.55 <sup>abc</sup>	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	9.12 <sup>ab</sup>	11.33 <sup>abc</sup>	0.80 <sup>abc</sup>	0.81 <sup>abc</sup>	8.72 <sup>abc</sup>	8.66 <sup>ab</sup>	
245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	9.50 <sup>a</sup>	11.67 <sup>ab</sup>	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.81 <sup>abc</sup>	8.48 <sup>def</sup>	8.49 <sup>abc</sup>	
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	9.15 <sup>ab</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.83 <sup>ab</sup>	0.86 <sup>a</sup>	8.37 <sup>ef</sup>	8.44 <sup>abc</sup>	
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +250CaSO <sub>4</sub>	8.83 <sup>ab</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.74 <sup>abc</sup>	0.74 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.83 <sup>ab</sup>	8.76 <sup>ª</sup>	
280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	8.07 <sup>bc</sup>	11.00 <sup>abc</sup>	0.60 <sup>cde</sup>	0.54 <sup>gh</sup>	8.34 <sup>ef</sup>	8.90 <sup>bcd</sup>	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	8.62 <sup>abc</sup>	10.67 <sup>bc</sup>	0.69 <sup>abc</sup>	0.73 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.77 <sup>h</sup>	7.91 <sup>bcd</sup>	
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250CaSO <sub>4</sub>	9.38 <sup>ª</sup>	12.00 <sup>ª</sup>	0.68 <sup>abc</sup>	0.72 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.94 <sup>a</sup>	8.94 <sup>a</sup>	

# Table (5). Effect of application with different nutrients rates on someChemical fruit characteristics of 'Florida prince' peach treesduring 2015 and 2016 seasons

Means not sharing the same letter (s) within each column are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

\_\_\_\_\_336

#### 5. Leaf macronutrients (N, K and Ca %) contents:

#### 5.1. Nitrogen (%):

The results concerning the effect of soil fertilization with Ammonium nitrate, Potassium sulphate and Calcium sulphate either singly or in combination treatments on the Nitrogen (%) of 'Florida prince' peach trees during both 2015 and 2016 seasons are listed in Table (6). The results indicated that 560g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>+ 280g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>+250g CaSO<sub>4</sub> applications mixed treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05\%$ ) increased leaf nitrogen (%) as compared with the control during both seasons. While, no significant difference were recorded among 490 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 245 g K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> also, 490g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 125 g CaSO<sub>4</sub> fertilization application of compound. Also, significant differences were recorded among all other treatments as compared with the control during both seasons.

The percentage increase of nitrogen % comparing to check plot may be taken place due to the direct effects of the nitrogen fertilization in high levels caused an increase in leaf nitrogen of the apple leaves (Shim *et al.*, 1972). On the other extreme, Sharma and Singh (1982) studied the effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium nutrition on peach trees, they found that high N rates increased leaf N but decreased leaf P and K whereas low N rates; resulted in low leaf N but high P and K. A linear positive correlation was observed between applied K and leaf N, P and K contents. Also, Abd El-Megeed *et al.* (2011) found that on 'Canino' apricot trees leaf mineral content N % increased with increasing the level of N application in the study.

#### 5.2 Potassium (%):

Concerning the effect of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate either singly or in combination treatments on the potassium (%) of 'Florida prince' peach trees are listed in Table (6). In general, the obtained results indicated that ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate single or mixed treatments application, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased leaf potassium (%) as compared treatment with the control plot during both seasons.

Kassem and El-Seginy (2002) found that potassium application on 'Florda prince' peach cultivar; increased leaf potassium during both seasons. On the other hand, El-Sherif *et al.* (2008) mentioned that potassium treatments increased K content on Golden Japanese persimmon revealed that N and K leaf content increased with  $K_2SO_4$  fertilizer treatments. Also, Abd El-Megeed *et al.* (2011) reported that on 'Canino' apricot trees all potassium levels of application increased significantly leaf potassium (%) in leaf.

#### 5.3 Calcium (%):

Results of the effect of soil fertilization with ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate either singly or in combinations treatments on the Calcium (%) of 'Florida prince' peach trees during are listed in Table (6). The obtained results indicated that applications of ammonium nitrate, potassium sulphate and calcium sulphate either singly or combination treatments, significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) increased leaf calcium (%) as compared with the control plants during both seasons study. But no significant differences were recorded

among the 490 g  $NH_4NO_3$  + 280 g  $K_2SO_4$  + 125 g  $CaSO_4$  treatments upon application as compared with the control during 2015 seasons.

The increment percentage of calcium (%) comparing to check plot may be true due to the direct effects of applying nitrogen or phosphorus fertilizers to persimmon trees caused a significant increase in calcium content of the leaves and fruits as compared with control trees and the addition of 1.5 kg potassium sulphate per tree caused a significant decrease in the calcium content of the leaf and fruit during both seasons (Abd El-Megeed, 1992).

Table (6). Effect	t of:	i applicat	ion with	differe	nt nutr	ients ra	tes on	som	e leaf
macronutrient	of	'Florida	prince'	peach	trees	during	2015	and	2016
seasons									

Treatments	Ν	N (%)		(%)	Ca (%)		
Treatments	2015	2016	K (%) Ca (%)   2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2015 2016 2015 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 2016 2015 <td< th=""><th>2016</th></td<>	2016			
Control	1.02j	0.521	1.50g	1.54i	1.20f	3.20e	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	1.13i	1.14hi	2.12bc	1.81ghi	1.54e	3.38de	
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	1.40f	1.18fgh	2.18b	2.35cde	1.73d	3.58de	
245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.42f	1.32de	2.17b	2.00fgh	1.23f	3.34de	
280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.87a	1.40cd	2.28b	2.23def	1.92c	3.65d	
125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.37f	1.02j	1.67f	2.26def	1.27f	4.50ab	
250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.60d	1.04ij	1.73f	2.92b	2.18b	4.63a	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.08ij	1.13hij	1.94cde	2.10efg	1.55e	3.70cd	
490 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +125CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.23h	0.86k	1.74f	1.74hi	1.75d	3.73cd	
245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.14i	1.17gh	2.11bcd	2.45cd	1.58e	3.65d	
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.71c	1.29def	2.22b	3.35a	1.88c	4.10bc	
560 NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> +250CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.52e	1.51c	1.93de	2.20def	2.35a	4.45ab	
280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.28gh	1.28efg	2.12bc	2.65bc	1.79cd	4.20ab	
490NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 245 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +125 CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.34fg	1.67b	1.79ef	2.13defg	1.19f	4.30ab	
560NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> + 280 K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 250CaSO <sub>4</sub>	1.96a	1.91a	2.58a	3.68a	2.40a	4.45ab	

Means not sharing the same letter (s) within each column are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

# REFERENCES

Abd El-Megeed, Nagwa A. (1992). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium

on yield and fruit quality of persimmons. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Saba Bacha, Alex. Univ.

- Abd El-Megeed, Nagwa A., Abdelfatth S. Wally, W.M. Abd El-Messeih and Samia A. Asaad (2011). Vegetative growth, fruit set, yield and fruit quality of Le-Conte pear grown under calcareous soil conditions as affected by different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. J. Agric. Res. and Dev., 25 (2): 171-187.
- Abd EI-Megeed, Nagwa (2012). Effect of potassium levels and methods of application on 'Canino' apricot trees grown in sandy soils. Alex. J. Agric. Res. 57 (1): 101-108.
- Abou-Aziz, A. B., M.M. Nageib, El-Sonbarty and M. El-Tanahy (1987). Effect of potassium sulphate as soil application on yield and fruit qualities of pear trees. Al-Ashar J.Agric. Res., 8: 77-86.

- Ahmed, F. F. and M. H. Morsy (1999). A new method for measuring leaf area in different fruit species. Minia J. Res. & develop., 19: 97-105.
- **AOAC (1985).** Official methods of analysis pp 490-510. Association of Official Analytical Chemists Washington, D.C.
- **Broadbent, F.E. and A.B. Carlton (1978).** Field trial with isotopically labeled nitrogen fertilizer. P. 1041, In: Nitrogen in the Environment, Vol. 1, Academic Press, Inc. New York, NY.
- **Carter, M.R. (1993).** Soil sampling and methods of analysis. Canadian society of soil Science, Lewis Publishers, London, Tokyo.
- Cheng, K.L. and R.H. Bray (1951) determination of Ca and Mg in soil and plant material Soil Sci., 72: 449-458.
- Chen, B.M. and W.M. Mellenthin (1981). Effect of harvest date on ripening capacity and post- harvest life of Anjou pears. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 106: 38-42.
- Dimitrovski. T., A. Stojkovska, B. Ristevski and D. Cvetkovic (1972). The effect of NPK and irrigation on the vegetative growth, yield and quality of peaches. Jugoslovensko Vocarstvo 6119120: 599-609. (C.F. Hort. Abst. 43(10): 6629).
- Eliwa, I., M. F. Mostafa and A. M. El-Seginy (1999). Effect of preharvest calcium sprays on quality and storability of 'Anna' apple fruit. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 24 (9): 4979-4988.
- El-Naggar, N. L., R. M. El-Saedy and W.A. El-Sisy (2005). Quality and storagability of seedless guava fruits as affected by pre-and pos-harvest Ca treatments. Alex. Sci. Exch., (26) : 89-97.
- El-Sherif, H. M., N.M. Taha and E.M. El-Fakharany (2008). Effect of potassium fertilizer source on tree fruiting, fruit quality and storability of Golden Japanese plum. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33 (4): 2743-56.
- Evenhuis, B. and P.W. DeWaard (1976). Nitrogen determination. Agric. Res. Royal Tropical. Ins, Amesterdam.
- Fallahi, E., W.S. Comway, K.D. Hickey and C.E. Sams (1997). The role of calcium and nitrogen in postharvest quality and disease resistance of apple. HortScience 32: 831-834.
- **FAOSTAT (2017).** Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations statistics division. Internet site available at: (http:// faostat3. fao. Org.)
- Faust, M. (1989). Nutrition of fruit trees. In: Physiology of temperate zone fruit trees. John Wiley and Sons, New York. Pp53-132.
- Goode, J.E. and K.H. Higgs (1977). Effects of time of application of inorganic nitrogen fertilizers on apple trees in grasses orchard. J. Hort. Sci., 25:317-334.
- Hipps, N.A., M.S. Ridout and D. Atkinson (1990). Effects of alley sward width, irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer on growth and yield of Cox's orange apple trees. J.Sci. Food. Agr., 53:159-168.
- Janjic, Z.I., (1979). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the mineral status and yield of peaches. Uticaj dubrenja azotom na stanje mineral ne ishrane i prinos breska. Jugoslovensko vocarstvo 13 (47148) 3-14 (Soil and Fertiliz. 46 (4): 4302).
- Kassem. H. A. and A.M. El.Seginy (2002). Response of 'Florida prince' peach

trees to soil and foliar applications of potassium. J. Adv. Agric. Res., 7 (1):

ÌÓ3-116.

- Magness, J. R. and G. F. Taylor (1982). An improved type of pressure tester for the determination of fruit maturity. U. S. Dept. Agri. Circ., 50:8 pp.
- Malik, C.P. and M.B. Singh (1980). Plant enzymology and histoenzymology. Atext manual, kalyani publishers, New Delhi.
- Manssour, N. M., M. El-Gebeely and A. Shaltout (1986). Effect of potassium sulphate on fruit characters of peach trees. Egypt. J. Hort., (13): 35-52.
- Mengel, L.X. and E. A. Kirkby (1978). Principles of plant nutrition. Inter. Potash Int. PP. 454-455. Switzerland.
- Murphy, J. and P. Riley (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphorus in natural water. Anal. Chim. Acta 27: 31-36.
- Nagaib M.M., Malaka M. Saleh and Laila F. Hageggen (1990). Effect of potash fertilization on yield and fruit quality of 'Amer' Apricot trees. Annals Agr. Sc. Special issue 597-604.
- Neilsen G.H., E.J. Hogue and M. Meheriuk (1999). Nitrogen fertilization and orchard-Floor vegetation management effect on growth, nutrition, and fruit quality of 'Gala' apple. Can. J. Plant Sci., 79:379-385.
- Raese, J.T. and S.R. Drake (1997). Nitrogen fertilization and elemental composition affects fruit quality of 'Fuji' apples. J. Plant Nutr. 20: 1797-1809.
- **Russell, E. W. (1978).** Soil conditions and plant growth. 10<sup>th</sup> Ed. 4<sup>th</sup> Impure E.L.B.S. and Long Man, England. 'Haden' ISHS Acta Hort., 509: 653-660.
- Sharma, M.R. and R. Singh (1982). Yield and chemical composition of peach leaves as influenced by NPK fertilization. Pumjab Hort. J. 22(12) 93-98 (Soil and fertilizers., 46 (4): 3562).
- Shim, K.K., J.S. Titus and W.E. Splttsoessor (1972). The utilization of postharvest urea sprays by senescing apple leaves. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 9 (5): 592-596.
- **Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1990).** Statistical methods. Oxford and J.B.H. Bub. Com. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. pp: 507.
- Soaad, A. Mohamed, Nagwa A. Abd El- Megeed, M. A. Aly and N.H. Nady (2014). Effect of Magnesium, calcium and potassium spraying on vegetative growth, yield and storability of 'Anna' apple fruits. J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci., 9 (1); 681-704.
- Stiles, C.P. and W.S. Reid (1991). Orchard nutrition management. Cornell Univ. Coop. Ext. Info. BuL.219.
- **Volk, G.M. (1961).** Gaseous loss and mobility as factors in nitrogen efficiency. Proc. Soil Crop Sci. Soc., 21:261-268.
- Wenxuan, D. and S. T. Fanjing (1996). Effects of Zinc and Copper on activity of leaf carbonic anhydrase in Malus sp. J. Fruit Sci., 1001-7364-01-002.
- Wolf, B. (1982). A comprehensive system of leaf analysis and its use for diagnosing crop nutrition status. Commu. Soil Sci, Plant Anal. 13: 1035-1059.
- Yagodin, B. A. (1984). Agricultural chemistry. Vol. 2 Mir. Publishers. Moscow. SSR.

الملخص العربي تأثير التسميد ببعض العناصر الكبرى على النمو , المحصول , جودة الثمار والمحتوى العنصري لأشجار الخوخ صنف ' فلوريدا برنس'

محمود أحمد محمد على (, نجوى أبوالمجد عبد المجيد ک , ريحاب محمد عبد الهادى عوض ا عدل عثمان أحمد هدية ا

> قسم الإنتاج النباتى – كلية الزراعة سابا باشا – جامعة الإسكندرية معهد بحوث البساتين –مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمى ٢٠١٥ و٢٠١٦ على أشجار الخوخ صنف 'فلوريدا برنس' مطعومة على أصل النيماجارد عمرها ٥ سنوات منزرعة فى تربة رملية تروى بنظام الرى بالتنقيط بمزرعة خاصة فى منطقة النوبارية بمحافظة البحيرة – مصر . بهدف دراسة تأثير التسميد ببعض العناصر الكبرى على النمو (طول وعرض الأفرخ والمساحة الورقية) , المحصول , جودة الثمار ومحتوى الأوراق من العناصرلأشجارالخوخ صنف 'فلوريدا برنس' وكان التصميم الورقية) , المحصول , جودة الثمار ومحتوى الأوراق من العناصرلائشجارالخوخ صنف 'فلوريدا برنس' وكان التصميم الورقية) ما مستخدم هوالقطاعات العشوائية الكاملة وأظهرت النتائج أن جميع المعاملات السمادية أدت إلى زيادة معنوية فى الأموراق من العناصرلأشجارالخوخ صنف 'فلوريدا برنس' وكان التصميم الإحصائي المستخدم هوالقطاعات العشوائية الكاملة وأظهرت النتائج أن جميع المعاملات السمادية أدت إلى زيادة معنوية فى النموالخضرى بالمقارنة بالكنترول خلال موسمى الدراسة. بالإضافة إلى ذلك أدى إستخدام ٥٦٠ جم/شجرة نترات الأمونيوم + ٢٨٠ جم/شجرة كبريتات البوتاسيوم + ٢٥٠ جم/شجرة كبريتات الكالسيوم إلى زيادة معنوية الكلى بالكلى بالكجم (٢٠,٣٠ و ٢٠, ٢٠ حمر) شجرة ) مقارنة بالكنترول (٣٠ موسمى الدراسة. بالإضافة إلى ذلك أدى إستخدام ٥٦٠ جم/شجرة نترات الأمونيوم + ٢٠٠ جم/شجرة كبريتات الكالسيوم إلى زيادة معنوية فى المحصول الأمونيوم المعارزية بالكنترول خلال موسمى الدراسة. بالإضافة إلى ذلك أدى إستخدام ٥٩٠ جم/شجرة نترات الأمونيوم بالمعاري بالمعارية البوتاسيوم بالام مراسة. بالإضافة إلى ذلك أدى إستخدام ٥٩٠ جمر/شجرة كبريتات البوتاسيوم بالكنترول (٢٠,٣٠ و ٥٩,٠٠ كجم/ شجرة ) مقارنة بالكنترول (٢٠,٣٠ و ٤٩,٠٠ كرما شجرة) بالترتيب خلال موسمى الدراسة. الكلى بالكجم (٢٠,٠٠ و ٩٥,٠٠ كجم/ شجرة ) مقارنة بالكنترول (٢٠,٣٠ و ٢٠,٠٠ كجم/ شجرة) مقارنة بالكنترول (٢٠,٣٠ و ٢٠,٠٠ كجم/ شجرة) بالترتيب خلال مرموسي الدراسة.

علاوة على ذلك أدت كل معاملات التسميد بالنيتروجين والبوتاسيوم والكالسيوم إلى زيادة معنوية فى طول وعرض وصلابة الثمار ونسبة المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والحموضة ونسبة السكريات الكلية مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول خلال موسمى الدراسة ٢٠١٥ و ٢٠١٦ ومن ناحية أخرى أدت التداخلات إلى زيادة نسبة النيتروجين والبوتاسيوم والكالسيوم فى الأوراق مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول.