# VARIABILITY AND COVARIABILITY OF AGRONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS IN 14 KENAF GENOTYPES

## AFAF E.A. ZAHANA and AMANY M.M. EL-REFAIE

Field Crops Res .Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt

(Manuscript received 29 July 2012)

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons at Ismailia Agricultural Research station, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, to study the variability and covariability of 14 kenaf genotypes including green stalk yield, fiber yield and their related characters. The experimental design was randomized complete block with three replications per each of the three environments (seasons). Mean square values showed highly significant differences among genotypes (G) and genotypes x environments (E) interaction for green stalk yield and its components viz.: plant height, technical stem length, fiber yield and fiber percentage as well as seed yield and fruiting zone length. Variances due to differences among genotypes (G) were higher than those due to the interaction ( $G \times E$ ) for all characters studied except fiber yield/fed. These results indicated that most of the variability for these traits were mainly controlled by genetic factors less influenced by environmental factors. This means that the improvement of these traits could be achieved by selection. Heritability values (Hb.s.) in broad sense were high for seed weight/plant followed by plant height and technical stem length. Also, the observed narrow range between phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability, which gave almost similar values of PCV and GCV for seed weight/plant were mainly due to genetic differences as evidenced from the very high heritability. Also, fiber weight per plant, plant height and technical stem length showed similar results, indicating the possibility of using these yield components in selection index giving more weight for plant height and technical stem length for improving green weight/plant. Kenaf cultivars, S.105/2 and S.96/20 proved maximum (first or second ranking for mean performance) for most characters studied. While, the first ranking was recorded by S.96/20 for seed yield per fed as well as S.116 for seed weight per plant and S.8 for fruiting zone length. Therefore, the previous mentioned genotypes specially S.105/2 may be released as a commercial cultivar and/or to be incorporated as breeding stocks in kenaf breeding program aiming at producing high yielding lines. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients among green stalk weight, fiber weight per plant and their related characters of 14 kenaf genotypes averaged over the three environments, indicated that, plant height and technical

stem length are the major components contributing to green stalk weight per plant. Therefore, selection for these traits may improve green stalk weight per plant and in turn fiber yield in kenaf.

## INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) is cultivated to produce bast fiber, which is used alone or mixed with jute fiber to manufacture bags, twine, ropes and other products. It would be expected as a raw material to be an alternative to wood fiber in pulp and paper industry. Moreover, kenaf seeds contain similar oil to that extracted from cotton seeds but free from gossypol (poisonous material) as edible for human.

Kenaf will grow well and produce high fiber yield when grown on an extremely wide range of soils. The principal requirement is that the soils possess good drainage, although it will tolerate flooding in the last stages of growth. It can be planted on marginal land. Therefore, it is suitable to Egyptian agriculture for marginal and submarginal soils (such as sandy soil). Many investigators studied the differences between kenaf genotypes (e.g.,Osman and Momtaz,1982, El-Shimy et al.,1990 and Abd El-Dayem, 2001). On the other hand, the estimation of some genetic parameters in kenaf is limited. Subramanyam et al., (1995) studying the heritability in eight kenaf hybrids found that, fiber weight per plant and green plant weight showed high heritability, indicating that selection in early generations would be effective. Abd El-Dayem (2001) found that heritability ratios in broad sense were high in all traits studied.

Before the beginning of any breeding program, thorough knowledge of the nature and magnitude of genetic variability and the extent of association between yield and yield components are essential. Similarly, phenotypic and genotypic variance as well as heritability estimates for fiber yield and its related characters and associations between fiber yield and other related characters are considered basic information for designing a successful breeding program to improve fiber yield in kenaf. According to Burton (1952) the genotypic coefficient of variability together with heritability estimate would give the best indication of the amount of genetic advance to be expected from selection.

Therefore, the main objectives of the present study were to (1) quantitatively assess the pattern of genotypes variation, the nature of association between key traits of green stalk yield and fiber yield, (2) estimate phenotypic and genotypic variance deriving statistics, unbiased by GE variance such as heritability and genetic coefficient of variation and use these parameters to provide information essential for population identification as well as to aid in planning more efficient improvement kenaf program by selection.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used for the present study consisted of 14 kenaf genotypes (one local variety, 12 advanced lines and one introduction). The classification and pedigree of the 14 genotypes used are partially described in Table1.

Genotypes	Pedigree	Origin	Year released t
1- Giza 3	Local cultivar	Land race	1961
2- S. 8	Selected from H.106 (G.5 x 77/68-1)	Advanced line	1993
3- S.105/2	Giza 5 x S.87/68-1	w w // w	1994
4- S.96/20	Giza 3 x 17/64-2	w w // w	2002
5- S.108/9	Giza 3 x S.127/130	N N // N	1996
6- S.98/205	S.77/68/1 x S.87/68/1	N N // N	1992
7- S.112	H.27/127 x H.27/130	w w // w	1994
8- S.119	Selected from H.119 (G.4 x 16/63-2)	N N // N	2000
9- S. 114	S.16/63/2 x S.29/145	N N // N	1993
10-New Indian	Selected from I. New Indian	India	1996
11- S.38	Giza 3 x 4/59-27	Advanced line	1976
12- S.113	S.16/63 x S.4/59/3	N N // N	1990
13- S.116	S.4/59 x S.29/1451	N N // N	1998
14- S.45/29	S.80/68/1 x S.4/59/26	N N // N	1977

Table 1. Pedigree of the 14 kenaf genotypes under study, origin and year released

### <sup>t</sup> Year released, selected or introduced

These 14 genotypes were evaluated in three consecutive seasons (2009 to 2011) at Ismailia Agricultural Research Station, Ismailia Governorate (Sandy soil, pH=7.55). The experimental design was randomized complete block with three replications per each of the three environments (seasons ). Sowing date was the first week of May in all seasons. The plot size was 3 m long and 2 meters wide (1/700 fed) and consisted of 4 rows, 50 cm apart and the distance between hills was 20 cm. Thinning to two plants per hill was performed four weeks after sowing. The recommended cultural practices for kenaf production were at that area applied. At maturity stage, ten random guarded plants from each plot were taken to score the following traits:

(1) Green stalk yield (ton)/fed, (2) Fiber yield (Kg)/fed (these two characters were calculated and converted from yield per plot), (3) Fiber percentage = (fiber weight per plant x 100  $\div$  green weight per plant), (4) Green weight (g)/plant, as weight in grams of kenaf stalk plant after 48 hours from harvesting, (5) Fiber weight (g)/plant, as the weight in grams of the air-dried fibers extracted from retted green stalk of kenaf plant, (6) Plant height (m), measured as the distance from the two cotyledonary nodes up to uppermost capsule, (7) Technical stem length (m), measured as the distance from the two cotyledonary nodes to the first apical branch, (8) Seed yield (Kg)/fed (calculated from yield per plot), (9) Seed weight (g)/plant and (10) Fruiting zone length (m), measured as the distance from the two uppermost capsule.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Plot means were used for statistical analysis. The data obtained for each season were subjected to analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez,1984) and were combined after homogeneity test (Bartlett test) proved insignificant error terms. Genotypic (V<sub>g</sub>), environmental (V<sub>e</sub>), their interaction (V<sub>ge</sub>) and phenotypic (V<sub>p</sub>) variances, as well as phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation, heritability in broad sense (H<sub>b.s.</sub>) and phenotypic (r<sub>p</sub>) and genotypic (r<sub>g</sub>) correlation coefficients were calculated according to Johnson et al., (1955) as follows:

SOV	df	MS	Expected MS	E. Cov. Of cross product
Environment (season)	2			
Rep./Environment	6			
Genotype (G)	13	$M_1$	$\sigma^2 e + 3\sigma^2 g y + 9\sigma^2 g$	$\sigma_{e \ 12} + 3\sigma_{gy \ 12} + 9 \ \sigma_{g \ 12}$
G x Environment	26	M <sub>2</sub>	$\sigma^2 e + 3\sigma^2 g y$	$\sigma_{e\ 12}+3\sigma_{gy\ 12}$
Error	78	M <sub>3</sub>	σ²e	σ <sub>e 12</sub>

Where (1),  $\sigma^2 e$ ,  $\sigma^2 g y$  and  $\sigma^2 g$  = environmental, genotypic x environmental and genotypic variances, respectively,

(2)  $\sigma_{e\ 12}$ ,  $\sigma_{gy\ 12}$  and  $\sigma_{g\ 12}$  are the corresponding covariance components for the characters,1 and 2.

For the above table the following estimates were calculated:

 $\sigma_p^2$  = phenotypic variance among the variety means = M1/(2 \* 4)

 $\sigma^2 g$  = phenotypic variance among the variety means= (M1-M2)/rn

 $\sigma^2$ ge= Genotypic X environmental variance = M2-M3/r

 $r_{12p}$  = phenotypic correlation between characters 1 and 2 =  $\sigma_{12p} / (\sigma_{1p} * \sigma_{2p})$ 

 $r_{12g}$  = genotypic correlation =  $\sigma_{12g} / (\sigma_{1g} * \sigma_{2g})$ 

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **1-Variability and genetic parameters**

Mean square from the combined analysis of variance over environments (seasons) for ten characters of kenaf presented in Table (2) showed high significant differences among genotypes for green stalk yield and its components viz.: plant height, technical stem length, fiber yield and fiber percentage as well as seed yield and fruiting zone length. This is expected because the materials under study consisted of different kenaf types which, as illustrated in Table (1), differed in their origin, pedigree and consequently genetic background. Such variability among different kenaf genotypes in green stalk yield and its components was also reported by Abd El-Dayem (2001), Abo-Kaied (2007) and Abo-Kaied and Abuo Zaid (2008).

High significant differences were also observed for all ten characters studied due to genotype x environment interaction indicating that genotypes had considerable different responses to environmental influences (Table 2). It appears, from these results, that the genotypes under study possess great genetic variability sufficient to provide substantial amounts of improvement through selecting superior genotypes. The ratio between the two variances (G and G x E interaction) was greater for all ten characters studied except for fiber yield/fed, indicating that most of the variability were mainly controlled by genetic factors. This means that improvement of these traits could be achieved by direct selection. The effectiveness of selection depends on the variability present in a germplasm and the extent to which it is heritable (Miller and Rowling, 1967).

Estimates of the variance components among 14 kenaf genotypes for ten characters are shown in Table (2). The genotype x year variance ( $\sigma^2$ ge) was less than the genotypic variance ( $\sigma^2 g$ ) for plant height, technical stem length and seed weight/plant. These results supported the previously mentioned conclusion, that the biased introduced by year was small, concerning beneficial selection for most yield components, specially seed weight, plant height and technical stem length. Heritability values in broad sense (Hb.s.) were high for seed weight/plant (90.27%) followed by plant height (86.28%) and technical stem length (86.03%) (Table 3). Also, the observed narrow range between phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability, which gave close values of PCV (21.15%) and GCV (20.10%) for seed weight/plant was mainly due to genetic differences as evidenced from the very high heritability. Also, fiber weight/plant, plant height and technical stem length showed similar results, indicating possibility of using these yield components in selection index giving more weight for plant height and technical stem length for improving green weight/plant. These results are in harmony with those reported by others (Osman and Momtaz, 1982, El-Kady and El-Sweify, 1995, Abd El-Dayem, 2001, Abo-Kaied, 2007 and Abo-Kaied and Abuo Zaid, 2008). On the other hand, green weight/plant, fiber percentage and fruiting zone length exhibited low or moderate heritability values in addition to wide difference between phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability.

#### Mean performance

Mean performance and ranking of means for green stalk yield, fiber yield and other related characters for the 14 kenaf genotypes averaged over three environments are presented in Table (4). S.105/2 followed by S.113 and commercial variety Giza 3 showed high mean performance (high ranking) for green stalk yield/fed (12.184, 10.815 and 10.665 t, respectively). Also, S.105/2 and S.113 mean performance exhibited high ranking for fiber yield/fed (120.201 and 95.086 kg, respectively). Also, S.105/2 followed S.96/20 exhibited high mean performance for fiber percentage (9.877 and 9.742 %, respectively). Whereas, S.105/2 followed by Giza 3 recoded high mean performance for both green stalk yield/plant (393.911 and 270.044 g) and fiber weight/plant (38.857 and 23.470 g). S.105/2 recorded high ranking for both plant height (2.428 m) and technical stem length (1.737 m) which are considered two important components of green stalk weight/plant. While, the first ranking was recorded by S.96/20 for seed yield/fed (81.804 kg/fed) as well as S.116 for seed weight/plant (1.408 g) and S.8 for fruiting zone length (0.717 m). Results indicated that S.105/2 and S.96/20 proved maximum (first or second rank for mean performance) for most characters studied. Therefore, the previous mentioned genotypes specially S.105/2 may be released as commercial cultivar and/or to be incorporated as breeding stocks in kenaf breeding program aiming at producing high vielding lines.

### 2- Covariability

Phenotypic  $(r_p)$  and genotypic  $(r_q)$  correlation coefficients among green stalk weight, fiber weight/ plant and their related characters of 14 kenaf genotypes averaged over three environments are shown in Table (5). Green stalk weight/plant exhibited significant positive correlation with each of fiber weight/plant, plant height and fruiting zone length. Also, fiber weight/plant exhibited significant positive correlation with each of plant height, technical stem length, seed weight/plant and fruiting zone length. These results, indicated that maximization of fiber weight/plant may be obtained via selection for previous traits, specially plant height and technical stem length, where there was significant positive association between fiber percentage and fiber weight/plant. Moreover, significant association was obtained between plant height with each of technical stem length, seed weight/plant and fruiting zone length. Seed weight/plant was highly significant positive correlated with fruiting zone length. These results indicated that plant height and technical stem length are the main components for fiber weight/plant. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Mourad et al.(1987), El-Shimy et al. (1990), Bunpromma (1992), Abo-Kaied (2007) and Abo-Kaied and Abuo Zaid (2008).

In general, it could be concluded that plant height and technical stem length are the major components contributing to green stalk weight/plant. Therefore, selection for these traits will improve fiber weight/plant in kenaf crop.

Table	2.	Genotype,	environment	and	genotype	Х	enviro	nment	inte	raction	mean
		squares fror	m the combin	ed a	nalysis of	va	riance	over t	hree	environ	ments
		for ten chara	acters of kena	f.							

Character	Environment (E) (2) †	Rep/E (6) †	Genotypes(G) (13) †	G x E (26) †	Pooled Error(78) †
Green stalk yield (ton)/fed	48.972 **	0.882	9.735 **	2.688**	0.443
Fiber yield (kg)/ fed	3117.723**	76.199	181.257 **	974.520**	54.288
Fiber percentage (%)	1.477 **	0.026	1.172 **	0.787**	0.404
Green weight (g) / plant	29747.62**	720.399*	25327.93 **	12686.62**	289.142
Fiber weight (g) / plant	190.039 **	5.428	243.866 **	39.657**	3.410
Plant height (m)	1.635 **	0.012**	0.593 **	0.081**	0.003
Technical stem length (m)	1.008 **	0.001	0.262 **	0.037**	0.001
Seed yield (kg)/fed	1856.986**	34.826	1565.487 **	107.793**	34.362
Seed weight (g) / plant	2.329 **	0.048	5.028 **	0.489**	0.054
Fruiting zone length (m)	0.080 **	0.008	0.124 **	0.039**	0.004

\*,\*\* = Indicate significant and highly significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

 $^{\dagger}$  =Values between parenthesis designate the corresponding degrees of freedom

Table 3. Variance component estimates from combined ANOVA, phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variability and broad sense heritability (H) for green weight, seed weight/plant and their components of kenaf over three environments (three successive seasons).

Character	$\square^2_{ph}$	$\square^2_g$	$\square^2_{ge}$	□□e	H%	PCV%	GCV%
Green weight (g) / plant	1407.11	702.295	4132.491	289.142	49.91	16.04	11.34
Fiber percentage (%)	0.065	0.021	0.128	0.404	32.89	2.93	1.68
Fiber weight (g) / plant	13.548	11.345	12.082	3.410	83.74	17.94	16.42
Plant height (m)	0.033	0.028	0.026	0.003	86.28	9.92	9.22
Technical stem length(m)	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.001	86.03	8.39	7.79
Seed weight (g) / plant	0.279	0.252	0.145	0.054	90.27	21.15	20.10
Fruiting zone length (m)	0.007	0.005	0.012	0.004	68.20	21.13	17.45

 $\square^2_{ph}, \square^2_{g,} \square^2_{ge}, \square^{\square}_{e}$  are the variance attributed to ,phenotype, genotype , genotype x environment interaction and plot error, respectively.

Table 4. Mean yield and rank for ten characters of 14 kenaf genotypes over three environments (three successive seasons).

Genotypes	Green stalk yield (ton)/fed	Rank	Fiber yield (kg)/ fed	Rank	Fiber percertage (%)	Rank	Green weight (g) / plant	Rank	Fiber weight (g) / plant	Rank
1- Giza 3	10.665	3	92.683	4	8.693	6	270.044	2	23.470	2
2- S. 8	10.522	4	91.181	5	8.690	7	249.078	4	21.542	5
3- S.105/2	12.184	1	120.201	1	9.877	1	393.911	1	38.857	1
4- S.96/20	9.691	6	93.972	3	9.742	2	235.144	6	22.880	3
5- S.108/9	8.101	12	66.122	13	8.182	12	197.422	12	16.109	13
6- S.98/205	7.367	14	63.488	14	8.658	8	177.133	14	15.240	14
7- S.112	9.032	9	81.721	7	9.044	3	219.033	7	19.827	7
8- S.119	9.314	7	77.969	9	8.364	11	214.033	9	17.933	9
9- S. 114	8.055	13	67.437	12	8.382	10	193.256	13	16.160	12
10-New Indian	9.238	8	80.682	8	8.753	5	215.422	8	18.838	8
11- S.38	8.774	11	70.906	11	8.082	13	201.822	11	16.309	13
12- S.113	10.815	2	95.086	2	8.796	4	241.444	5	21.210	6
13- S.116	10.113	5	87.108	6	8.642	9	257.178	3	22.132	4
14- S.45/29	8.935	10	71.511	10	8.021	14	208.344	10	16.686	10
General mean	9.486		82.862		8.709		233.805		20.514	
LSD 0.05	0.762		11.912		0.744		3.849		1.268	
C.V. %	7.02		8.89		7.30		7.27		9.00	

	Tał	ble	4. (	(Cont.'d)
--	-----	-----	------	-----------

Genotypes	plant height (m)	Rank	Technical stem length (m)	Rank	Seed yield (kg)/fed	Rank	Seed weight (g) / plant)	Rank	Fruiting zone length (m)	Rank
1- Giza 3	1.914	6	1.540	5	70.680	5	2.660	7	0.374	5
2- S. 8	2.176	2	1.459	7	57.949	9	2.276	6	0.717	1
3- S.105/2	2.428	1	1.737	1	72.492	4	4.332	1 4	0.694	2
4- S.96/20	1.960	5	1.630	2	81.804	1	3.411	1 3	0.327	11
5- S.108/9	1.662	1 1	1.318	1 1	50.917	1 0	1.990	5	0.347	8
6- S.98/205	1.222	1 4	0.996	1 4	42.839	1 3	1.512	2	0.224	13
7- S.112	1.987	3	1.594	4	65.103	8	2.897	1 1	0.391	4
8- S.119	1.592	1 2	1.252	1 3	49.869	1 1	1.587	3	0.341	9
9- S. 114	1.591	1 3	1.373	1 0	68.428	7	2.686	8	0.219	14
10-New Indian	1.870	7	1.534	6	81.432	2	2.720	9	0.337	10
11- S.38	1.726	9	1.376	9	47.399	1 2	1.880	4	0.351	6
12- S.113	1.966	4	1.617	3	74.704	3	2.902	1 2	0.348	7
13- S.116	1.806	8	1.294	1 2	41.894	1 4	1.408	1	0.510	3
14- S.45/29	1.719	1 0	1.394	8	68.921	6	2.731	1 0	0.322	12
General men	1.830		1.437		62.459		2.499		0.393	
LSD 0.05	0.211		0.183		2.260		0.451		0.237	
C.V. %	2.99		2.20		9.39		9.30		16.09	

Table 5.	Phenotypic	(rp) and	genotypic	(rg)	correlation	coefficients	among	seven	14
	kenaf geno	otypes av	eraged over	r thre	e successi	ve seasons.			

Character		1	2	3	4	5	6
1-Green weight (g) / plant							
2-Fiber percentage (%)	rp	0.631					
	rg	0.703					
3-Fiber weight (g) / plant	rp	0.981 **	0.763				
	rg	0.718	*				
			0.883				
4-plant height (m)	rp	0.822 **	0.637	0.827 **			
	rg	0.672	0.879	0.712			
5 Tochnical stom longth (m)	rn	0.648	0.642	0.683 *	0 993		
5-Technical stem length (m)	ra	0.040	0.042	0.003	**		
	ig	0.757	0.071	0.021	0.781		
6-Seed weight (g) / plant	rp	0.643	0.750	0.722 *	0.725	0.868	
	rg	0.203	*	0.317	*	**	
	2		0.331		0.502	0.249	

\*,\*\* = Indicate significance at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Abd El-Dayem, M. A. 2001. Evaluation of some kenaf genotypes under sandy soil and sprinkler irrigation. Annals of Agric. Sc. Moshtohor. 39: 847-856.
- Abo-Kaied, H.M.H. 2007. Combining ability and gene action for yield and yield components in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 85(2): 535-549.
- Abo-Kaied H.M.H. and T.A. Abuo Zaid 2008. Estimation of some genetic parameters for yield and yield components in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 86(2): 585-595.
- 4. Bunpromma, K. 1992. Improvement of kenaf production in Northeast Thailand. Khonkaen-Agric.J. 20(6): 311-317.
- 5. Burton 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> inter. Grassland. Confr., 1: 277-283.
- El-Kady, E.A.F and Amna El-Sweify1995. Evaluation of some kenaf genotypes in relation to yield components and chemical composition of seeds. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci 10(6): 297-305.
- 7. El-Shimy, G.H., S.M. Gaafar and A.M. Hella 1990. Morphological and anatomical studies in some kenaf cultivars. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 5(7): 585-600.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., John Wiley and Sons, NY. USA.
- 9. Johnson, H.W., H.F.Robinson, and R.E. Comstock 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agron.J.47: 314-318.
- *10.* Miller, P.A. and J.D. Rowling 1967. Selection for increased lint yield and correlated responses in upland cotton. Crop Sci. 7: 637-640
- Mourad, N.K.M., A. I. Sahsah. and G.H. El-Shimy 1987. Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of fiber and seed yield in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 12: 89-104.
- Osman, R. and A. Momtaz 1982. A comparative study of oil content, fatty acid composition and agronomic characters of five kenaf cultivars. Agric Res. Rev. 60(8). 127-139.
- 13. Subramanyam, D., P.V. Rama Kumar, B. Krishnamurthy and S.Ismia 1995. Heritability and correlation studies in kenaf. Indian J.Genet., 55 (3):279-282.

التباين والتباين المشترك للصفات الزراعية في 14 تركيب وراثى من التيل

عفاف السيد عبد الواحد زهانة ، أمانى محمد محى الدين الرفاعى

معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

استخدمت في هذه الدراسة 14 تركيبا وراثيا من النيل تم تقييمها خلال ثلاثة مواسم (2009،2010،2011) متتالية بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالإسماعيلية ، محافظة الإسماعيلية. وكان التصميم التجريبي المستخدم هو القطاعات كاملة العشوائية ذات الثلاثة مكررات.

تشير نتائج تحليل التباين أن كلا من التراكيب الوراثية (G) والبيئات (Ξ) والنفاعل بينهم (GxE) جميعها كانت معنوية لمحصول الساق الأخضر ومكوناته: الطول الكلي والطول الفعال و محصول الألياف والنسبة المئوية للألياف بالإضافة لمحصول البذور وطول المنطقة الثمرية. بينما كانت تقديرات مكونات تباين الأصناف (σ<sup>2</sup>g) اكبر من تباين التفاعل بين الأصناف والبيئات (g<sup>2</sup>go) لكل الصفات المدروسة ماعدا محصول الألياف للفدان. ذلك يدل علي أن معظم التباين لهذه الصفات يتحكم في معظمه عوامل وراثية مع تأثر قليل بالعوامل البيئية ؛ وهذا يعني أن التحسين في هذه الصفات ممكن إنجازه بالانتخاب المباشر لتلك الصفات. أظهرت تقديرات درجة التوريث قيم عالية مقرون بذلك الفارق المنخفض بين معاملي التباين الظاهري والوراثي لصفة وزن البذور للنبات وكذلك لصفتي الطول الكلي والطول الفعال. لذلك يمكن استخدام هذه الصفات كدلائل انتخابية لتحسين صفة الوزن الأخضر للساق مع إعطاء أهمية اكبر لصفتي الطول الكلي والطول الفعال لتحسين مفة الوزن الأخضر للنات معاماء أهمية اكبر لصفتي الطول الكلي والطول الفعال الموزن الوزن الأخضر للناق مع إعطاء أهمية اكبر لصفتي الطول الكلي والطول الفعال الموزن

كما اشارت النتائج إلى أن السلالتين ( 2/105، 20/96) كانتا متفوقتين (مركز أول و ثاني في الترتيب) في معظم الصفات تحت الدراسة. بينما تفوقت السلالة 20/96 عن باقي السلالات في صفة محصول البذور للفدان كذلك السلالة 116 لصفة وزن البذور للنبات والسلالة 8 لصفة طول المنطقة الثمرية. ولذلك يمكن أن يوصي باستخدام هذه السلالات خاصة السلالة 2/105 كأصناف تجارية للإنتاج كما يمكن استخدامها في برنامج التربية لإنتاج سلالات عالية المحصول.

كما تشير نتائج الارتباط الظاهري والوراثي بين الوزن الأخضر للساق ووزن الألياف للنبات والصفات المرتبطة بهما لــ14 تركيب وراثي من التيل عبر الثلاثة مواسم إلى أن كلا من الطول الكلي والطول الفعال يعتبران المكونين الرئيسين لصفة الوزن الأخضر للساق ، لذلك يمكن استخدامهما كدلائل انتخابية لتحسين صفة الوزن الأخضر للساق والذي يترتب عليه تحسين محصول الألياف في التيل.

639