The Phytoseiid Mite, *Phytoseiulus macropilis* as a Biological Control Agent Against Tetranychid Mite Species in Egypt (Phytoseiidae-Tetranychidae)

H. M. M. El-Sharabasy* and H. M. G. El-Kawas**

*Plant Prot. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Suez Canal Univ., Ismailia. **Plant Prot. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Phytoseiulus macropilis (Banks) Phytoseiidae developed and oviposited when provided immature stages of *Tetranychus cucurbitacearum* (Sayed), *Eutetranychus orientalis* (Klein) and *Oligonychus mangiferus* (Rahman and Sapra) (Tetranychidae), as prey at $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C and $65\pm5\%$ R.H. It was significantly affected by food source but a slight difference was noticed between females and males. The efficiency of the predator increased as advanced in development. Also, it developed faster (5.97 days for females and 5.38 days for males), lived longer (44.51 and 37.44 days for females and males, respectively and gave highest egg production (62.82 eggs) when fed on *T. cucurbitacearum* immatures. The number consumed of each prey predator female was significantly greater than that consumed by male. During the adult longevity the predator consumed higher numbers of *T. cucurbitacearum* (191.39 individuals / female and 158.76 individuals / male), than those of *O. mangiferus* (180.97 and 150.13 individuals for each sex, respectively.

Key words: Biology; Phytoseiulus macropilis; Biological control; Tetranychidae.

INTRODUCTION

Phytophagous mites, Tetranychus cucurbitacearum (Sayed), Eutetranychus orientalis (Klein) and Oligonychus mangiferus (Rahman and Sapra) (Tetranychidae), are the most important mite pests of agricultural crops in Egypt. Its population outbreaks cause serious damage and yield losses. The citrus brown mite, E. orientalis usually attacks citrus and is a persistent pest in Egypt, preferring citrus trees and other hosts including cotton, squash and grapevine (Kandeel et al., 1986). O. mangiferus, is a major mango pest in Egypt, damages leaves and affects the quality of the fruit (Abou-Awad et al., 2011). Traditionally, spider mites have been controlled with acaricides, resulting in problems of pesticides resistance and negative affect on natural enemies. Although natural enemies of phytophagous mites have been reported from several acarine families, yet those of the family Phytoseiidae are considered efficient biological control agents of tetranychids in a number of Egyptian cropping systems (El-Bagoury et al., 1989; Momen and El-Borolossy, 1997 and Heikal and Ibrahim, 2013). The Predatory mite, Phytoseiulus macropilis has efficacy against phytophagous mites (Oliveira et al., 2009 and Fadini et al., 2010). In Egypt, little information is known about the biological control potential, reproductive capacity and rate of development of P. macropilis under laboratory conditions (Ali, 1998). The objective of this research was to determine the biological parameters of P. macropilis using three tetranychid mite species as food sources under controlled laboratory conditions, to determine the predator's potential in an integrated pest management (IPM) program of phytophagous mites on different crops in Egypt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultures of the predatory mite and preys:

The original population of *T. cucurbitacearum* was collected from cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.), the citrus brown mite, *E. orientalis* from citrus orchards (*Citrus paradisi* Macf.), *O. mangiferus* from mango orchards (*Mangiferae indica* L) and the predaceous mite, *P. macropilis* from strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Weston) from the farm of Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt, reared in the laboratory and a stock culture was maintained throughout the course of this study under $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C and $65\pm5\%$ relative humidity with 16:8 L:D h. photoperiodic regime.

Effect of preys on development of *P. macropilis*:

The rearing arena (3 cm) of excised castor bean leaves was placed on water saturated cotton in plastic Petri dishes (9 cm). Water saturated, absorbent cotton strip, 1-cm wide, was placed around the edge of the leaf to prevent mites from escaping and to hold the leaf flat. Thirty P. macropilis eggs for each test were transferred individually with a fine brush to every arena, and the newly hatched larvae were supplied with the food resource to be evaluated. Developmental stages and survival rate were recorded twice daily. Consumed prey immatures were replaced daily by fresh ones to maintain an ample food supply.

Effect of preys on longevity and fecundity of *P. macropilis*:

Newly emerged and mated females were confined individually on test arenas, along with the food. A few strands of cotton wool were provided as an ovipositor site on each arena. Ovipositon and survival were recorded. Thirty females of singly *P. macropilis* in each experiment were observed three times daily. The data about duration of different stages, fecundity and longevity were analyzed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range test (CoStat[®] Statistical Software, 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Developmental periods:

Results in Table 1 revealed that P. macropilis developed to adult stage when reared on immatures of T. cucurbitacearum, E. orientalis and O. mangiferus under 25±2 °C and 65±5% R.H. The average period of P. macropilis egg durated 1.99 days when fed on T. cucurbitacearum being nearly the same (2.41 and 2.11 days) when fed on E. orientalis and O. mangiferus, respectively. This agree with those obtained by (Ali, 1998), and Shih et al. (1979) when P. macropilis fed on T. urticae eggs but longer than those obtained by (Prasad, 1967; 1.79 day). Mean female duration duration of different stages of P. macropilis was lowest (1.10, 1.11 and 1.77 days) for larvae, protonymph and deutonymph, respectively) when fed on T. cucurbitacearum as compared to fed on E. orientalis and O. mangiferus. Also, the developmental time was significantly affected by food source as it lasted 3.98, 4.27 and 4.64 days for females and 3.39, 4.04 and 3.7 for males with a slight difference noticed between both protonymphs sexes (p = 0.05). Larvae changed to protonymphs without feeding. This phenomenon was common in some phytoseiids (El-Badry et al., 1968; El-Bagoury et al., 1989; Momen and El-Borolossy, 1997 and Ali, 1998). Immatures of T. cucurbitacearum accelerated the development than those of E. orientalis and О. mangiferus. Mean female longevity was significantly longer on T. cucurbitacearum than other preys (44.51 days) and oviposition period was also longer (Table 1). Males followed a similar trend; mean male longevity was significantly longer on T. cucurbitacearum (37.44 days) followed by O. mangiferus and E. orientalis, respectively. Total average of immature stages was shorter when the predator fed on T. cucurbitacearum than E. orientalis and O. mangiferus. Ali, (1998) reared P. macopilis on E. orientalis immatures at 25 °C, and found that the predator developed to adult within 4.0 days. Shih et al., (1993) found that egg durations of predator mite, Amblyseius ovalis (Evans) fed on O. mangiferus and E. orientalis required 1.86 and 1.88 days while larvae lasted 0.87 and 1.01 days, protonymphs 0.99 and 1.01 days and deutonymphs 1.03 and 1.12 days taking 4.88 and 4.89 days to complete their immature stages, respectively. Variation concerning bionomics of different predators fed on the same preys, may be due to species differences. Momen and El-Borolossy, (1997) evaluated potential of nine phytoseiid species as predators of *E. orientalis*. They found that *Amblyseius barkeri* (Hughes), *A. olive* Nasr and Abou-Awad, *Typhlodromus atbiasae* Porath and Swirski and *T. transualensis* (Nesbitt) developed from larvae to adult when fed on *E. orientalis*; while development not complete in case of *A. badryi* Yousef and El-Badry, *A. cabonas* (Schicha), *A. lindquisti* Schuster and Pritchard, *T. balanites* El-Badry and *T. talbii* Athias-Hnriot.

Reproductive potential:

The pre-oviposition and oviposition periods were almost the same when the predator fed on the three tetranychid mites (Table1). The oviposition period was longer on T. cucurbitacearum (36.31 days) compared with other preys. The total number deposited eggs was 62.82, 54.80 of and 52.99 eggs/female when the predator fed on T. cucurbitacearum, E. orientalis and O. mangiferus, respectively. The female longevity was longer on immatures of T. cucurbitacearum (44.51 days), while being 39.34 and 40.76 days when fed on E. orientalis, O. mangiferus immatures, respectively. Female predator laid their eggs among the spider mite webbing, near the midrib. Oviposition period prolonged when the predator fed on *T. cucurbitacearum* (36.31 days). The Postoviposition showed not significant differences (Table1). On the opposite (Ali, 1998), who found that the predator developed to adult when fed on *E. orientalis* immatures but the females failed to lay eggs and died within 2 or 3 days. The Oviposition period of *P. macropilis* in study of Shih *et al.*, (1979) was 44 days when fed on T. urticae eggs. On the other hand, Khan and Afzal, (2005) found that the preoviposition of period A. buntex was 3.3 days, when fed on *E.orientalis*. El-Bagoury et al., (1989) found that feeding of Amblyseius gossipi El-Badry on immatures of *E.orientalis* gave longer ovipostion period and high female fecundity. Feeding on T. cucurbitacearum shortend adult longevity and increased female fecundity compared with the other prevs. Similar results were obtained by (Al-Shammery, 2010), who noticed that feeding of Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot) on motile stages of E. orientalis caused highest rate of egg production (1.17 egg/female) and shortest adult female longevity (22.60 days). Ali, (1998) found that P. macopilis laid more eggs when fed on T. urticae than E. orientalis immatures, and suggested that P. macopilis is adapted to searching for T. urticae in their webbing. Shih et al. (1993) found that the predatory mite, A. ovalis produced 42.73 egg/female when reared on 25 °C and fed on O. mangiferus. On other hand, Khan and Afzal, (2005) found that maximum total fecundity (27.67 eggs/female) was observed when Agistemus buntex

	Prey								
Predator stage	T. cucurbitacearum		E. orientalis		O. mangiferus				
	Ŷ	3	9	2	Ŷ	2			
Egg	1.99 a±0.11		2.41 b±0.26		2.11 b±0.15				
Larva	1.10 a±0.05	1.07a±0.15	1.13a±0.05	1.09a±0.15	1.31a±0.11	$1.06a \pm 0.10$			
Protonymph	1.11a±0.38	1.11a±0.00	1.23 a±0.10	1.12a±0.11	1.37a±0.38	1.31a±0.22			
Deutonymph	1.77a±0.05	1.21a±0.05	1.91b±0.12	1.83a±0.12	1.96 a±0.05	1.33a±0.38			
Total immatures	3.98 a±0.38	3.39b±0.38	4.27 b±0.11	4.04a±0.05	4.64 b±0.05	3.70b±0.11			
Life cycle	5.97 a±0.10	$5.38b \pm 0.05$	6.68 b±0.31	6.45a±0.38	6.78 b±0.11	5.81b±0.09			
Pre-oviposition	2.47a±0.15	-	1.72b±0.21	-	1.99b±0.05	-			
Oviposition	36.31a±0.38	-	35.13a±0.05	-	35.33a±0.25	-			
Post-oviposition	393a±0.23	-	3.51a±0.41	-	3.92a± 0.34	-			
Longevity	44.51a±0.11	37.44a±0.14	39.34b±0.38	33.17b±0.38	40.76b±0.15	36.09a ±0.51			
Daily fecundity	1.73a±0.66	-	1.56a±0.05	-	1.51a± 0.11	-			
Total fecundity	62.82a±.06	-	54.80b±1.43	-	53.35b±0.38	-			
		-							

Table1: Duration in days (Means \pm SD) of different stages and reproductive rate of *P. macropilis* (n=30) fed on tetranychid mite species at 25 \pm 2°C and 65 \pm 5 R.H.

Means in the same row followed by the same letter are not statistically different, p = 0.05 Duncan's Multiple Range test.

Table 2: Feeding capacity (average \pm SD) of *P. macropilis* fed on different prey species

Sex	Average number of consumed preys:							
	T. cucurbitacearum		E. orientalis		O. mangiferus			
	Т. а.	D. r.	Т. а.	D. r.	Т. а.	D. r.		
Ŷ	3.65a±0.23	3.03a±0.21	2.75b±0.38	2.24c±0.10	2.43b±0.61	2.43 b±0.11		
6	3.15b±0.35	2.78a±0.11	2.45b±0.15	2.11a±0.15	2.35 a±0.12	1.73 a ±0.75		
Ŷ	6.89a±0.26	3.83a±0.11	4.72a±0.38	2.47b±0.12	4.57b ±0.31	2.33 b ±0.15		
6	6.53a± 0.41	3.50a±0.26	4.50b±0.25	2.46b±0.37	4.47 b ±0.32	2.13 b±0.01		
Ŷ	191.39a±0.31	4.30a±0.10	183.72c±0.11	4.67a±0.05	180.97 b ±0.89	4.44 a± 0.17		
8	158.76c±0.12	4.24a±0.15	151.59a±0.11	4.57 a ±0.89	$150.13b\pm0.38$	4.16 a± 0.25		
	Sex 0+ % 0+ % 0+ %	T. a. \bigcirc 3.65a±0.23 \bigcirc 3.15b±0.35 \bigcirc 6.89a±0.26 \bigcirc 6.53a± 0.41 \bigcirc 191.39a±0.31	Sex $T. cucurbitacearum$ T. a. D. r. 3.65a±0.23 3.03a±0.21 3.15b±0.35 2.78a±0.11 4 6.89a±0.26 3.83a±0.11 5 6.53a± 0.41 3.50a±0.26 4 191.39a±0.31 4.30a±0.10	Sex $T. cucurbitacearum$ $E. orie$ T. a. D. r. T. a. Q $3.65a\pm 0.23$ $3.03a\pm 0.21$ $2.75b\pm 0.38$ $3.15b\pm 0.35$ $2.78a\pm 0.11$ $2.45b\pm 0.15$ Q $6.89a\pm 0.26$ $3.83a\pm 0.11$ $4.72a\pm 0.38$ 3 $6.53a\pm 0.41$ $3.50a\pm 0.26$ $4.50b\pm 0.25$ Q $191.39a\pm 0.31$ $4.30a\pm 0.10$ $183.72c\pm 0.11$	Sex $T. cucurbitacearum$ $E. orientalis$ T. a. D. r. T. a. D. r. \Im $3.65a\pm 0.23$ $3.03a\pm 0.21$ $2.75b\pm 0.38$ $2.24c\pm 0.10$ \Im $3.15b\pm 0.35$ $2.78a\pm 0.11$ $2.45b\pm 0.15$ $2.11a\pm 0.15$ \Im $6.89a\pm 0.26$ $3.83a\pm 0.11$ $4.72a\pm 0.38$ $2.47b\pm 0.12$ \Im $6.53a\pm 0.41$ $3.50a\pm 0.26$ $4.50b\pm 0.25$ $2.46b\pm 0.37$ \Im $191.39a\pm 0.31$ $4.30a\pm 0.10$ $183.72c\pm 0.11$ $4.67a\pm 0.05$	Sex $T. cucurbitacearum$ $E. orientalis$ $O. mang$ T. a.D. r.T. a.D. r.T. a.Q $3.65a\pm 0.23$ $3.03a\pm 0.21$ $2.75b\pm 0.38$ $2.24c\pm 0.10$ $2.43b\pm 0.61$ $3.15b\pm 0.35$ $2.78a\pm 0.11$ $2.45b\pm 0.15$ $2.11a\pm 0.15$ $2.35a\pm 0.12$ Q $6.89a\pm 0.26$ $3.83a\pm 0.11$ $4.72a\pm 0.38$ $2.47b\pm 0.12$ $4.57b\pm 0.31$ 3 $6.53a\pm 0.41$ $3.50a\pm 0.26$ $4.50b\pm 0.25$ $2.46b\pm 0.37$ $4.47b\pm 0.32$ 4 $191.39a\pm 0.31$ $4.30a\pm 0.10$ $183.72c\pm 0.11$ $4.67a\pm 0.05$ $180.97b\pm 0.89$		

Means in the same row followed by the same letter are not statistically different at p > 0.05 Duncan's Multiple Range test) T a = Total average; D r = Daily rate

Chaudhri fed on E. orientalis.

Predatory efficiency:

The consumption rate of the tested preys increased through the developmental stages of the predator, respectively. Protonymph and deutonymps fed on greater total average and daily rate of T. *cucurbitacearum* than those of E. *orientalis* and O. *mangiferus* (Table2). During the adult longevity the predator consumed higher number of T. *cucurbitacearum* (191.39 for females and 158.76 for males) than other preys; while the lowest consumed number was (167.98 for females and 141.66 for males) when fed on E. *orientalis*.

Females of *P. macropilis* preferred to feed on immatures of *T. cucurbitacearum* followed by those of *E. orientalis* and *O. mangiferus*. Similar findings were observed on *A. gossipi* (El-Badry *et al.*, 1968) and on *Phytoseius solanus* El-Badry (El-Bagoury *et al.*, 1989). Ali, (1998) found that female predator consumed 192.8 eggs with a daily rate 4.4 eggs, while male consumed 131.4 eggs with a daily rate 3.5 eggs. Fantinou *et al.* (2012) found that the phytoseiid, Iphiseius degenerans (Berlese) consumed more individuals of *E. orientalis* than of *T. urticae* at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and 60 % R.H. The importance of the predator, *P. macropilis* in the control of *T. cucurbitacearum*, *E. orientalis* and *O.mangiferus* has been indicated. However complementary studies should be conducted on this predator.

In conclusion, Our results showed that *P. macropilis* has been able to multiply rapidly and to succeed in the control of tetranychid mite species. It can be used in biological control programs in Egypt. Furthermore, the methods for mass rearing of this species are already known (Heikal *et al.*, 2007; Heikal and Ibrahim, 2013).

REFERENCES

Abou-Awad, B.A.; Al-Azzazy, M.M. and Afia, S.I. 2011. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on the rate of development, fecundity and life table parameters of the red spider mite *Oligonychus mangiferus* (Rahman and Sapra) (Acari: Tetranychidae). Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 44:1862-1866.

- Ali, F.S. 1998. Life tables of *Phytoseiulus macropilis* (Banks) at different temperatures. Exp.& Appl. Acarol., 22: 335-342.
- Al-Shammery, K.A. 2010. Different biological aspects of the predaceous mite *Euseius scutalis* (Acari: Gamasida: Phytoseiidae) and the effects due to feeding on three tetranychid mite species in Hail, Saudi Arabia. Asian J. of Biological Science, 3:77-84.
- CoStat Statistical Software, 2005. Microcomputer program analysis version, 6.311 CoHort, Software, Monterey, California, USA.
- El-Badry, E. A.; Afifi, A. M.; Issa, G. I. and El-Banhawy, E.M. 1968. Effectiveness of the predacious mite *Amblyseius gossipi*, as a predator of three tetranychid mites. Z. Ang. Ent., 62: 189-194.
- El-Bagoury, M.E.; Heikal, A.M.; Hafez, S.M. and Fahmy, S. 1989. Biological aspects of *Phytoseius* solanus El-Badry fed on *Eutetranychus orientalis* (Klein) and *Brevipalpus pulsher* (C.&F.). Ann. Agric. Sci. Fac. of Agric. Ain Shams Univ., 34: 459-466.
- Fadini, M. A.; Venzon, M.; Oliveira, H.; Pallini, A. and Vilela, E.F. 2010. Response of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus macropilis* (Banks) to volatiles produced by strawberry plants in response to attack by Tetranychid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae: Tetranychidae). Neotrop. Entomol., 39: 248-252.
- Fantinou, A.A.; Baxevani, A.; Drizou, F.; Labropoulos, P.; Perdikis, D. and Papdoulis, G. 2012. Consumption rate, functional response and preference of the predaceous mite *Iphiseius degenerans* to *Tetranychus urticae* and *Eutetranychus orientalis*. Exp.& Appl. Acarol., 58: 133-144.
- Heikal, I.H. and Ibrahim, A.A. 2013. Biological control of *Tetranychus urticae* Koch on sweet

pepper plantations in commercial farm by the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus macropilis* (Banks). Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 91:1161-1173.

- Heikal, I. H., Mowafi, M.H. and Ebrahim, A.A. 2007. Large scale production and release of the predatory mites, *Phytoseiulus macropilis* to control *Tetranychus urticae* Koch on commercial strawberry plantations. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, Econ. Ser. Num., 33:153-163.
- Kandeel, M.M.H.; Rakha, M.A. and El-Halawany, M.E. 1986. Citrus mites in Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 64: 123-127.
- Khan, B.S. and Afzal, M. 2005. Comparison of life cycle of stigmaeid mite, *Agistemus buntex* on three host tetranychid species. Pak. Entomol., 27: 9-11.
- Momen, F.M. and El-Borolossy, M. 1997. Suitability of the citrus brown mite *Eutetranychus orientalis* (Acari: Tetranychidae) as pray for nine species of phytoseiid mites. Anz. Schadlingskde., Pflanzenschutz, Umwelrschutz, 70: 155-157.
- Oliveira, H.; Antonio, M.; Fadini, M.; Venzon, M.; Rezende, D.and Pallini, A. 2009. Evaluation of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus macropilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) as a biological control agent of the two-spotted spider mite on strawberry plants under greenhouse conditions. Exp. & Appl. Acarol., 47: 275-283.
- Prasad, V.1967. Biology of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus macropilis* in Hawaii (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am., 60: 905-908.
- Shih, C.I.; Chang, H.Y.; Hsu, P.H. and Hwang, Y.F. 1993. Responses of *Amblyseius ovalis* (Evans) (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) to natural food resources and two artificial diets. Exp. & Appl. Acarol., 17: 503-519.
- Shih, C.I.; Poe, S.L. and Gromroy, H.L. 1979. Biology and predation of *Phytoseiulus macropilis* on *Tetranychus urticae*. The Florida Entomologist, 62: 48-53.