RESPONSE OF COW PEA TO APPLICATION OF K AND FARMYARD MANURE UNDER NEW VALLEY CONDITIONS Abdel-Aziz, T. K.; N. M. Hamed and Sh. A. AboEl-Goud Forage Res. Department, FCRI, ARC, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at the New Valley Agriculture Research Station, ARC, during the two successive seasons; 2006 and 2007 to study the effect of varying rates and timing of K and farmyard manure (FYM) applications on forage yield and quality of cow pea local cultivar. The experimental design was arranged in split- split plot with three replications. The study included two time of K application (during and after 21 days from sowing), four K fertilizer rates (0, 50,100, and 150 kg K₂O /fad.) in the form of potassium sulphate and four FYM rates (0, 10, 20 and 30 m³/fad.). Results indicated significant differences between treatments. The results showed that application of 150 kg K₂O/fad. with 30 m³ FYM mixture when K was adding during sowing caused significant increases in the total fresh and dry forage yields as compared with application of 150 kg K₂O/fad. with 30 m³ FYM mixture when K was adding after 21 days from sowing. These increases amounted 37.40, 36.38 and 39.35, 33.08 %, respectively. Meanwhile the same treatment caused significant increases in the total crude protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake. These increases amounted 51.56, 46.47, 80.40 and 39.07 %, respectively.

Keywords: Cow pea, Potassium fertilizer, Organic fertilizer, Yield and Chemical composition.

INTRODUCTION

The demand for summer forage crops of good quality for livestock has increased vigorously in recent years. In Egypt, farmers often use cow pea for seed and for animal feeding after harvesting the pods. Cow pea usually planted either in pure stand or in mixture with maize, sorghum and pearl millet in Upper Egypt and other reclaimed areas to meet the demand of livestocks in summer season. It is necessary to increase forage yield per faddan of cow pea by improving the cultural practices. In this respect, cow pea is one of the promising summer annual legume forage crop. It is will adapt to a wide range of ecological conditions and can produce better forage yield under unfavorable conditions in the newly reclaimed sandy and calcareous soils. Such soil may adversely affect the availability of some mineral nutrients to the grown crops.

Under Egyptian conditions, K fertilization is gaining progressive consideration particularly after the construction of High Dam in 1964. Non-exchangeable K is the source for more than 82% of the K – uptake in the alluvial soils and no more than 58% in the highly calcareous soil; and the highly calcareous soils contain less exchangeable – K than the alluvial soils (Sabit *et al.*, 1976).

Mengel (1978) mentioned that under arid and semi- arid conditions, intensive cropping, depleted soil K; and application of K was necessary to maintain acceptable yield.

Response of crops to applied fertilizers depends on soil organic matter. The quantity of organic material which can be introduced into the soil either by natural returns through roots, stubbles, sloughed- off root, nodules and root exudates or by artificial application in the form of organic manure which can otherwise be called organic fertilizer(Agboola and Omueti,1982). Nutrients contained in organic manure are released more slowly and are stored for a longer time in the soil, thereby ensuring a long residual effect (Sharma and Mittra, 1991). Improvement of environmental conditions and public health as well as the need to reduce costs of fertilizing crops are also important reasons for advocating increased use of organic materials (Seifritz, 1982).Complementary use of organic manure and mineral fertilizers has been proved to be a sound soil fertility management strategy in many countries of the world (Lombin *et al.*, 1991). High and sustained crop yield could be obtained with judicious and balanced NPK fertilization combined with organic matter amendments (Bayu *et al.*, 2006)

Therefore, the main objective of this investigation was to quantify the effect of varying rates and timing of K and organic fertilizer applications on forage yield and quality of cow pea under conditions of sandy soil of New Valley.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was conducted at the New Valley Agricultural Experimental Station, ARC, during 2006 and 2007 summer seasons. The study aimed to investigate the effect of various levels and time of K and farmyard manure application on growth and forage yield as well as chemical composition of cow pea (*Vigna sinesis, L*.). The soil of the Experimental Station was sandy and its properties as well as farmyard manure properties are listed in Table (1).

		5 1110 00000110/1			
Phys Fexture Sand % Silt % Clay %		Soil		Farmyard man	ure
Phys Texture Sand % Silt % Clay %	sical	Chemical			
Texture	Sandy	CaCo₃ %	0.80	Total N %	1.5
Phys Fexture Sand % Silt % Clay %	87.10	pH(1:2.5) suspension	7.60	Organic matter %	38.5
		EC(1:5) extract dS/m	0.19	Organic carbon %	20.93
Phys [•] exture 3and % 3ilt %	5.57	Total N %	0.016	C/N ratio	21.46
		Available P ppm	3.48	рН	6.80
		Ca⁺² meq/100 g soil	0.28		
		Mg ⁺² " "	0.27		
Clay %	7.33	Na⁺ ″″	0.34	Available N ppm	980
Phys Fexture Sand % Silt % Clay %		K+ " "	0.09		
		CI - ""	0.19	Available K ppm	808
		So4 ⁻² " "	0.29	7	

Table (1): Initial physical and chemical analysis of both soil and farmyard manure before conducting the experiment (average of the two seasons).

Every experiment included thirty two treatments which were the combination of two dates of adding K fertilizer and four levels each of farmyard manure (FYM) and K fertilization. A split-split plot design with three replications was used. The main plots were assigned for the two dates of adding K fertilizer (during sowing and after twenty one day of sowing). The sub-plots were assigned to K fertilizer levels (zero, 50,100 and 150 kg K₂O/ fad.).The four farmyard manure (FYM) levels (0, 10, 20 and 30 m3/fad.) were assigned to the sub-sub-plots. The sub-sub plot area was 6 m² (2X3 m) having 5 rows of 3m length and 40 cm width. Cow pea cultivar was local. Seeding was carried out by drilling on May 29th and June 6th in 2006 and 2007 seasons, respectively at a rate of 30 kg/fad. Cow pea seeds were inoculated with the specific strain of nodule bacteria before planting. The plants were thinned at two plants per hill, 20 cm apart, after 21 day from planting. The preceding crop was wheat in the first season and garlic in the second season. Calcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅), Potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) and farmyard manure (in the form of sugar cane byproducts) were added after plots preparation and before sowing. Nitrogen (50kg/fad.) was added as Ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) before the 2nd irrigation. The normal cultural practices were used. The first cut was taken after 60 days from planting and the second and third cuts were taken after 45 and 85 days from the first cut in both seasons, respectively.

Traits studied in each cut were as follow:

A: Yield traits:

- 1- Fresh forage yield (t/fad.): All plants of each plot were hand clipped and weighed in kg/plot, then transferred to t/fad.
- 2- Dry forage yield (t/fad.): Sub samples of 100 gm each were dried at 105°C to constant weight and dry matter percentage was estimated. The dry forage yield (t/fad.) was calculated by multiplying fresh forage (t/fad.) with dry matter percentage (DM %)

B: Chemical composition

Chemical analysis followed the conventional method recommended by the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists A.O.A.C. (1980) on the dried samples at 70°C for each cut of the first season to determine crude protein (CP %). Calcium (Ca %) content was determined by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer apparatus (Perkins Elmer, Model 372), Phosphorus (P %) content was measured calorimetrically by using Spectrophotometer (Spectronic 21-D) and Potassium (K %) was measured by Flame photometer according to Soltanpour (1985).

Protein yield (kg/fad.), K uptake, P uptake and Ca uptake (kg/fad.) were calculated by multiplying dry matter yield (kg/fad.) by crude protein, K, P, and Ca percentages.

Data were statistically analyzed according to procedure outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1980) using MSTST-C Computer program V.4 (1986).

RESULTS AND DISSCUSION

1- Forage yield.

1-2- Fresh and dry forage yields.

The response of forage cow pea to time of K application, levels of K and FYM and their interactions are presented in Tables (2, 3, 4 and 5). The levels of any investigated factor do not behave the same under the levels of other factors. The significant effects of the interaction between these factors on the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields will be discussed. Significant differences were noticed in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields in the two successive seasons. It is clear from the data presented in Table (2) that adding K before sowing surpassed that of adding K after 21 days from sowing in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields. The increases in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields amounted 35.28, 36.98 and 37.15, 3199 % in the first and second seasons, respectively. With regard to K levels, results revealed that the application of 150 kg K/ fad. significantly increased the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields. These increases amounted 45.80, 45.62 and 40.07, 42.90 % as compared with the control in the first and second seasons, respectively. Concerning the levels of FYM, application of 30 m³/fad. significantly increased the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields. These increases amounted 45.70, 38.03 and 37.30, 35.14 % as compared with the control in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Results of the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields as affected by the interactions between time of K application and FYM levels are presented in Table (3). Results indicated significant differences in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields of the two successive seasons. It is clear from the data that the increases in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields with increasing the levels of K was greatly higher when K was applied during sowing if compared with that of adding K after 21 days from sowing. The increase in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields by application of 150 kg K/fad. when adding K during sowing amounted 40.71, 43.83 and 41.98, 34.84 % as compared of application of 150 kg K / fad. when K adding after 21 days from sowing in the first and second seasons, respectively. While there was no significant effect between the control treatment and the treatment of application 50 kg K/fad. when K was added after 21 day from sowing.

Increased dry matter yield caused by application of high K were reported by other researchers (Shehata *et al.*, 1989) in Faba bean and Mosaad and Abd El- Salaam (1992) in Soybean.

Similar trends were noticed with time of K application and levels of FYM. The increases in accumulated fresh and dry forage yields with increasing the levels of FYM was greatly higher when K was applied during sowing if compared with that of adding K after 21 days from sowing. The increases due to the application of 30 m³ FYM/fad. when K was added during sowing amounted 32.44, 34.71 and 36.12, 33.23 % as compared with the application of 30 m³ FYM/fad. when K was added after 21 days from sowing in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Results of the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields as affected by the interaction between K and FYM levels are presented in Table(4). The statistical analysis indicated significant differences among the different treatments in the two successive seasons. It is clear from the data that the increases in both accumulated fresh and dry forage yields due to the increases of FYM levels under zero level of K were significantly lower than those recorded with the higher levels of K application. The increases in the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields by the application of 30 m³ FYM with 150 kg K/ fad. amounted 54.57, 45.49 and 42.22, 42.98 % as compared by the application 30 m3 FYM with zero level of K in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Results of the accumulated fresh and dry forage yields as affected by the interactions between time of adding K, levels of K and FYM application are presented in Table (5). It is clear from the data that the increases in both fresh and dry forage yields under higher levels of K and FYM when K was added during sowing were significantly higher than those recorded with higher levels of K and FYM when K was added after 21 days from sowing. The increases in accumulated fresh and dry forage yields due to the application 150 kg K with 30 m³ FYM/ fad. when adding K during sowing amounted 37.40, 36.38 and 39.35, 33.08 % as compared by the application of 150 kg K with 30 m³ FYM/fad. when adding K after 21 days from sowing in the first and second seasons, respectively.

This finding is in agreement with the finding of Kang and Balasubramanian (1990). They reported that high and sustained crop yields could be obtained with judicious and balanced NPK fertilization combined with organic matter amendments.

2- Chemical composition.

Results of the chemical composition (total protein yield, K uptake, P uptake and Ca uptake) in forage cow pea as affected by different levels of K, FYM and time of adding K fertilizer and their interactions are presented in Tables (6,7,8 and 9). The statistical analysis indicated significant differences in the total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake. It is clear from the data presented in Table (6) that adding K before sowing surpassed that of adding K after 21 days from sowing in total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake. The increases in the total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake amounted 56.1, 45.69, 36.66 and 39.17 %, respectively. With regard to K levels, results revealed that application of 150 kg K/ fad. significantly increased the total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake. These increases amounted 81.3, 73.68, 50.41 and 86.01 % as compared with the control, respectively. Concerning the levels of FYM, application of 30 m³/ fad. significantly increased the total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake. These increases amounted 46.01, 79.73, 68.48 and 67.23 % as compared with the control, respectively.

Results of the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake as affected by the interactions between time of adding K and K levels ; and time of adding K and FYM levels are presented in Table (7).

The statistical analysis indicated significant differences in the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake. It is clear from the data that the increases in the total protein, K, P, and Ca uptake with increasing the levels of K was greatly higher when K was added during sowing if compared with that of adding after 21 days from sowing. The increases in the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake by application of 150 kg K/ fad. when K was added during sowing as compared with adding after 21 days from sowing amounted 54.61, 49.31, 76.57 and 32.23%, respectively.

Similar trend were noticed with time of adding K and levels of FYM. The increasing in total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake with increasing the levels of FYM was greatly higher when K was adding during sowing if compared with that adding before 21 days from sowing. The increases in total protein yield, K, P, and Ca uptake by application of 30 m³ FYM/fad. when K was adding during sowing as compared with adding K after 21 days from sowing amounted 51.17, 40.57, 41.60 and 48.10 %, respectively.

Results of the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake as affected by the interaction between K and FYM levels are presented in Table (8). The statistical analysis indicated significant differences among the different treatments. It is clear from the data that the increases in the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake due to increases of FYM levels under zero level of K were significantly lower than those recorded with the higher levels of K application. The increases in the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake by the application of 30 m³ FYM with 150 kg K/ fad. as compared by the application of 30 m³ FYM with zero level of K amounted 73.80, 76.01, 50.32 and 90.65%, respectively.

Results of the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake as affected by the interactions between time of adding K , levels of K and FYM application are presented in Table (9). It is clear from the data that the increases in the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake under higher levels of K and FYM when K was added during sowing were significantly higher than those recorded with higher levels of K and FYM when adding K after 21 days from sowing. The increases in the total protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake by application of 150 kg K with 30 m³ FYM/ fad. when adding K during sowing as compared by the application 150 kg K with 30 m³ FYM/fad. when adding K after 21 days from sowing amounted 51.56, 46.74, 80.40 and 39.07%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

The highest cowpea yield and quality, realized from inorganic + organic fertilizer treatments, showed that cow pea benefited more from this combination than from other fertilizer treatments. This complementary application reduces the dependence of the farmer on inorganic fertilizer use. It also reduces the exposure of the soil to the consequences of inorganic fertilizer application.

REFERENCES

- Agboola, A.A. and Omueti, J. A.(1982). Soil fertility problem and its management in tropical Africa. Paper presented at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria.pp:25.
- A.O.A.C. (1980).Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Analytical Chemists 13th ED. Washington D.C., U.S.A.
- Bayu,W.; Rethman,N.F.G.; Hammes,P.S. and Alemmu,G.(2006). Effect of farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizers on Sorghum growth, yield and nitrogen use in semi-arid area of Ethiopia. J. Plant Nutrition., 29(2): 391-407.
- Belay, A.; Classens, A.S.; Wehner, F.C. and DeBeer, J.M.(2001). Influence of residual manure on selected nutrient elements and microbial composition of soil under long-term crop rotation. South Africa J.Plant and Soil, 18:1-6.
- Kang, B.T. and Balasubramanian, V. (1990). Long term fertilizer trails on Alfisols in West Africa. In transaction of XIV International Soil Science Society (ISSS) Congress, Kyoto, Japan, pp: 350.
- Lombin, L.G.; Adepetu, J.A. and Ayotade, K.A.(1991). Organic fertilizer in the Nigerian Agriculture.Present and future. Fertilizer Procurement and Distribution Division (FPDD).Abuja, pp: 146-162.
- Mengel,K. and Kerkby, E.A.(1978). Principles of Plant Nutrition.Inter. Potash Inst.P.O. Box Ch -3048 Worblaufen- Bern Switzerland.
- Mossad, A.E. and Abd El-Salam, S.A. (1992): Soybean response to phosphorus, potassium and sulpher fertilization under Rhizhobium inoculation versus nitrogen application.Egypt.J.Appl.Sci., 7 (4):112-129.
- MSTAT, V.4 (1986). A micro computer program for the design and analysis of agronomic research experiments. Michigan State Univ., USA.
- Sabit, S.A.; Omar, M.A. and Ali, O.M. (1976). Potassium availability in high calcareous and alluvial soils of Egypt. 1- Availability under non green house conditions. Desert Inst. Bull. A.R.E., 36(2):261-271.
- Seifritz, W. (1982). Alternative and renewable sources of energy in optimizing yields: The role of fertilizers. In Proceedings of 12th International Potash Institute IPI Congress, 153-163.
- Sharma, A.R. and Mittra, B.N. (1991). Effect of different rates of application of organic and nitrogen fertilizers in a rice-based cropping system. J. Agric. Sci. (Cambridge), 117: 313-318.
- Shehata, H.M.; El-Kady, M.A. and Abd El-Salam, M.A. (1989): Potassium fertilization under conditions of the the newly reclaimed highly calcarious soils in Egypt. 3- Faba bean. Desert Inst. Bull. A.R.E., 39 (2) :225-233.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980). Statistical Methods. Iowa State Univ. Press, USA.
- Soltanbour, P.N. (1985). Use of ammonium bicarbonate DTBA soil test to evaluate elemental availability and toxicity. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 16:323.

إستجابة لوبيا العلف للتسميد البوتاسي و العضوي تحت ظروف الوادي الجديد طارق كامل عبد العزيز ، ناصر محمد حامد و شريف عبد الغني أبو الجود قسم بحوث محاصيل العلف – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة-مصر

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالوادي الجديد- مركز ألبحوث الزراعية خلال الموسمين الصيفيين (٢٠٠٦،٢٠٠٧) و ذلك لدراسة تأثير ميعاد و معدلات أضاقة البوتاسيوم و كذلك السماد العضوي على حاصل ألعلف و نوعيته في لوبيا العلف صنف محلى.

أتبع تصميم القطع المنشقة مرتين في ثلاثة مكررات حيث وزعت مواعيد ألأضافة في القطع الرئيسية و معدلات أضاقة البوتاسيوم في القطع الشقية الأولى و معدلات إضافة السماد العضوي في القطع الشقية الثانية.

أشتملت الدراسة على ميعادين لأضافة البوتاسيوم (عند ألزراعة و بعد ٢١ يوم من الزراعة) و أربعة معدلات أضافة (صفر، ٥٠، ٥٠ و ١٥٠كجم بوماً / فدان) و أربعة معدلات من السماد العضوي (صفر، ١٠، ٢٠ و ٣٠ م^٣/ فدان).

أوضحت ألنتائج ألمتحصل عليها أن المعاملة (١٥٠كجم بوتاسيوم مخلوطة مع ٣٠ م⁷ من السماد العضوي/ فدان عند أضافتها قبل الزراعة) تسببت في زيادة معنوية لحاصل العلف ألأخضر الكلي و حاصل العلف الجلف ألخضر معنوية لحاصل العلف الكلي عنها في حالة أستخدام المعاملة (١٥٠كجم بوتا سيوم مخلوطة مع ٣٠ م⁷ من من سماد العضوي/ فدان عند أضافتها بعد الزراعة) حيث كانت نسبة الزيادة ٣٧,٤٠ مع مرمة من التوالي. ٣٢,٤٠ معنولية على ألتوالي.

و كذلك أعطت نفس المعاملة أعلى حاصل بروتين كلي وأعلى كمية من البوتاسيوم و الفوسفور والكالسيوم الممتص حيث كانت نسبة الزيادة ٥١,٥٦ ، ٤٦,٤٧ ، ٢٠,٤٠ و ٣٩,٠٧ % على التوالي.

				Fresh	forage	yield (t	fad. ⁻¹)					Dry fo	orage y	vield (t	fad. ⁻¹)		
			20	06			20	07			20	06			20	07	
Т	reat.	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Acc. yield	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Acc. yield	Cut₁	Cut₂	Cut₃	Acc. yield	Cut ₁	Cut₂	Cut₃	Acc. yield
Α	A 1	13.65	10.37	6.27	30.29	12.13	9.61	6.04	27.78	3.36	2.59	1.58	7.53	3.23	2.71	1.94	7.88
	A ₂	10.27	7.68	4.40	22.39	8.76	7.06	4.47	20.28	2.47	1.85	1.17	5.49	2.41	2.09	1.47	5.97
F- 1	EST	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
В	B ₁	10.04	6.83	4.46	21.33	8.51	6.33	4.49	19.33	2.45	1.75	1.20	5.39	2.36	1.84	1.45	5.64
	B ₂	11.55	8.53	4.99	25.07	10.33	7.79	5.09	23.21	2.80	2.19	1.26	6.24	2.86	2.25	1.66	6.77
	B ₃	12.34	9.78	5.64	27.76	10.93	9.16	5.34	25.44	2.98	2.40	1.47	6.85	292	2.60	1.74	7.25
	B_4	13.91	10.96	6.23	31.10	12.01	10.05	6.09	28.15	3.44	2.55	1.56	7.55	3.15	2.92	1.99	8.06
LSI	0.05	0.45	0.54	0.49	1.20	0.33	0.16	0.31	0.63	0.20	0.06	0.09	0.21	0.14	0.04	0.11	0.21
С	C ₁	10.04	7.28	4.33	21.66	8.98	6.90	4.41	20.30	2.55	1.85	1.15	5.55	2.42	2.03	1.45	5.89
	C ₂	11.03	8.60	4.86	24.48	9.99	7.84	4.96	22.79	2.74	2.12	1.27	6.13	2.70	2.29	1.62	6.61
	C ₃	12.47	9.45	5.64	27.56	10.82	8.66	5.53	25.02	3.01	2.30	1.44	6.75	2.97	2.48	1.80	7.25
	C ₄	14.30	10.76	6.49	31.56	11.98	9.92	6.12	28.02	3.38	2.62	1.63	7.62	3.20	2.80	1.96	7.96
LSI	0.05	0.45	0.35	0.40	0.81	0.33	0.27	0.15	0.47	0.16	0.06	0.09	0.19	0.10	0.01	0.06	0.15

 Table (2): Effect of time of K application, levels of K and farmyard manure on fresh and dry forage yields of Cow pea in 2006and 2007 seasons.

A= Time of K application (A_1 = during sowing, A_2 = after 21 day from sowing)

 $B = K_2O$ levels ($B_1 = zero$, $B_2 = 50$, $B_3 = 100$ and $B_4 = 150$ (Kg/ fad.)

C = FYM levels ($C_1 = zero$, $C_2 = 10$, $C_3 = 20$ and $C_4 = 30$ (m^3 / fad.)

Acc. yield = Accumulated yield

					Fresh forage	yield	(t fad.	1)					Dry forage y	/ield	(t fad	. ⁻¹)	
т	teor			200	6			200	7			20	06			200)7
	eal.	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Accumulated yield	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Accumulated yield	Cut₁	Cut₂	Cut₃	Accumulated yield	Cut₁	Cut₂	Cut₃	Accumulated yield
	B 1	10.63	6.96	4.85	22.44	9.25	6.38	4.77	20.39	2.60	1.80	1.28	5.69	2.56	1.89	1.51	5.95
۸.	B ₂	13.39	10.24	5.91	29.53	12.39	9.34	5.88	27.61	3.24	2.67	1.44	7.35	3.41	2.66	1.87	7.94
~ 1	B ₃	14.44	11.68	6.69	32.81	12.73	11.04	6.14	29.91	3.59	2.95	1.68	8.22	3.38	3.04	1.99	8.41
	B 4	16.14	12.60	7.61	36.36	14.16	11.68	7.38	33.21	4.01	2.95	1.90	8.86	3.57	3.26	2.41	9.25
	B 1	9.45	6.69	4.07	20.21	7.76	6.28	4.22	18.26	2.28	1.70	1.11	5.09	2.15	1.78	1.39	5.32
۸.	B ₂	9.71	6.83	4.07	20.61	8.26	6.23	4.31	18.81	2.35	1.70	1.08	5.13	2.32	1.83	1.44	5.59
~ 2	B ₃	10.24	7.88	4.59	22.71	9.14	7.28	4.54	20.96	2.39	1.86	1.25	5.48	2.46	2.15	1.48	6.09
	B 4	11.67	9.31	4.86	25.84	9.86	8.43	4.81	23.09	2.87	2.15	1.22	6.24	2.72	2.58	1.58	6.87
LSI	D 0.05	0.64	0.78	0.70	1.70	0.49	0.38	0.21	0.67	0.29	0.09	0.13	0.29	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.21
	C ₁	11.55	8.53	4.99	25.07	10.56	8.10	4.73	23.38	2.98	2.20	1.28	6.46	2.72	2.33	1.56	6.61
•	C ₂	12.73	9.71	5.64	28.09	11.78	8.78	5.64	26.19	3.21	2.43	1.46	7.10	3.12	2.49	1.84	7.44
~ 1	C ₃	14.31	10.89	6.83	32.03	12.68	10.14	6.56	29.39	3.42	2.67	1.70	7.79	3.45	2.85	2.12	8.41
	C ₄	16.02	12.34	7.61	35.96	13.51	11.41	7.24	32.17	3.84	3.07	1.87	8.78	3.63	3.19	2.27	9.10
	C ₁	8.53	6.04	3.68	18.24	7.41	5.70	4.09	17.21	2.13	1.49	1.02	4.63	2.10	1.73	1.35	5.18
۸.	C ₂	9.31	7.48	4.07	20.87	8.21	6.91	4.28	19.39	2.26	1.82	1.08	5.16	2.28	2.09	1.41	5.78
A 2	C ₃	10.63	8.01	4.46	23.10	8.96	7.18	4.51	20.65	2.59	1.94	1.19	5.70	2.49	2.11	1.48	6.08
	C 4	12.58	9.18	5.38	27.15	10.44	8.43	5.00	23.88	2.91	2.16	1.38	6.45	2.76	2.41	1.65	6.83
LS	D 0.05	0.65	0.49	0.57	1.15	0.49	0.38	0.21	0.67	0.22	0.10	0.13	0.27	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.21

Table (3): Effect of time and levels of K and time of K and levels of FYM applications on fresh and dry forage yields of Cow pea in 2006and 2007 seasons.

A= Time of K application (A₁ = during sowing, A₂ = after 21 day from sowing) B = K₂O levels (B₁ = zero, B₂ = 50, B₃ =100 and B₄ = 150 (Kg/ fad.) C = FYM levels (C₁ = zero, C₂ = 10, C₃ = 20 and C₄ = 30 (m³/ fad.)

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33 (3), March, 2008

					Fresh forage	yield (t	: fad. ⁻¹)						Dry forage yi	eld (t	fad1)		
т	roat			2006				2007				200	6			200	17
	reat.	Cut ₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Accumulated yield	Cut ₁	Cut ₂	Cut ₃	Accumulated yield	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut ₃	Accumulated yield	Cut₁	Cut₂	Cut₃	Accumulated yield
B ₁	C 1	7.61	5.51	4.20	17.33	6.40	5.28	4.06	15.73	1.86	1.44	1.12	4.42	1.83	1.57	1.31	4.70
	C ₂	9.71	6.56	4.20	20.47	8.50	5.79	4.36	18.65	2.38	1.71	1.14	5.22	2.33	1.68	1.42	5.43
	C₃	10.50	7.35	4.73	22.58	8.41	6.81	4.78	20.00	2.47	1.87	1.28	5.61	2.39	1.96	1.53	5.87
	C ₄	12.34	7.88	4.73	24.94	10.71	7.44	4.78	22.93	3.08	1.97	1.26	6.30	2.88	2.14	1.53	6.56
B ₂	C 1	9.71	7.09	3.68	20.47	8.79	6.75	3.93	19.48	2.48	1.84	0.97	5.28	2.45	1.99	1.34	5.79
	C ₂	9.98	8.14	4.73	22.84	9.35	7.14	4.89	21.38	2.46	2.09	1.21	5.75	2.59	2.06	1.59	6.24
	C₃	12.60	8.40	5.25	26.25	11.36	7.64	5.51	24.51	3.04	2.11	1.35	6.51	3.19	2.19	1.77	7.16
	C ₄	13.91	10.50	6.30	30.71	11.81	9.63	6.04	27.48	3.22	2.71	1.51	7.44	3.22	2.74	1.92	7.88
B ₃	C 1	10.76	8.14	4.73	23.63	9.75	7.88	4.66	22.29	2.72	2.04	1.28	6.04	2.59	2.24	1.52	6.34
	C ₂	11.03	9.45	5.25	25.73	10.38	8.97	4.81	24.16	2.73	2.31	1.36	6.40	2.79	2.58	1.56	6.93
	C₃	13.13	10.50	6.04	29.66	11.51	9.51	5.95	26.98	3.14	2.55	1.52	7.21	3.07	2.68	1.94	7.69
	C ₄	14.44	11.03	6.56	32.06	12.10	10.28	5.94	28.33	3.35	2.71	1.71	7.77	3.22	2.89	1.93	8.04
B_4	C ₁	12.08	8.40	4.73	25.20	11.00	7.71	4.98	23.69	3.16	2.07	1.23	6.46	2.79	2.31	1.64	6.74
	C ₂	13.39	10.24	5.25	38.88	11.73	9.47	5.76	26.98	3.39	2.38	1.37	7.14	3.09	2.84	1.91	7.85
	C ₃	13.65	11.55	6.56	31.76	12.00	10.69	5.900	28.59	3.36	2.67	1.63	7.66	3.25	3.09	1.96	8.28
	C ₄	16.51	13.64	8.40	38.55	13.29	12.34	7.74	33.36	3.86	3.08	2.02	8.96	3.47	3.43	2.47	9.38
LSI	D 0.05	0.92	0.70	0.81	1.62	0.69	0.54	0.30	0.95	0.31	0.14	0.18	0.38	0.21	0.14	0.13	0.30

Table (4): Effect of levels of K and farmyard manure on fresh and dry forage yields of Cow pea in 2006 and 2007seasons.

B = K₂O levels (B₁ = zero, B₂ = 50, B₃ =100 and B₄ = 150 (Kg/ fad.) C = FYM levels (C₁ = zero, C₂ = 10, C₃ = 20 and C₄ = 30 (m³/ fad.)

		Pi	otein yie	eld (Kg fa	d. ⁻¹)	K	uptake	(Kg fac	d. ⁻¹)	Р	uptake	(Kg fa	ıd.⁻¹)	Ca	uptak	e (Kg f	ad.⁻¹)
Tre	at.								2006								
Cut A A1 860 A2 550 F- TEST * B B1 510 B2 656 B3 740 B4 916 LSD 0.05 47. C C1 594 C2 634		Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total
Α	A 1	860.49	634.97	372.76	1868.2	139.4	99.6	63.9	302.9	24.3	17.7	11.3	53.3	64.8	47.5	29.1	141.4
	A 2	550.98	404.67	241.89	1197.5	99.9	65.4	42.6	207.9	18.6	12.3	8.1	39.0	48.5	32.8	20.3	101.6
F- 1	TEST	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
В	B1	510.32	345.08	226.41	1081.8	90.8	56.7	40.6	188.1	17.5	11.1	7.9	36.5	41.4	26.8	18.3	86.5
	B ₂	656.53	488.94	270.36	1415.8	107.2	77.0	47.2	231.4	20.0	14.5	8.9	43.4	50.0	37.1	21.7	108.8
	B₃	740.02	591.02	341.58	1672.6	126.5	91.5	57.6	275.6	22.7	16.7	10.5	49.9	59.6	43.8	26.6	130.0
	B4	916.09	645.25	390.97	1961.3	154.2	104.8	67.7	326.7	25.7	17.7	11.5	54.9	75.7	52.8	32.4	160.9
LS	D 0.05	47.73	31.51	19.31	64.60	1.74	1.59	0.5	0.7	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.20	0.82	0.91	0.39	0.99
С	C₁	594.14	433.01	248.15	1275.3	94.8	60.0	36.6	191.4	17.1	11.0	6.8	34.9	46.3	30.0	17.4	93.7
	C 2	634.95	481.38	278.50	1394.8	104.2	70.9	45.3	220.4	19.9	13.8	8.9	42.6	52.3	36.9	22.3	111.5
	C₃	737.65	536.15	325.73	1599.5	122.3	86.1	57.4	265.8	22.1	15.7	10.4	48.2	57.1	40.8	26.4	124.3
	C ₄	856.21	628.75	376.93	1861.9	157.4	113.3	73.3	344.0	26.7	19.5	12.6	58.8	70.9	53.0	32.8	156.7
LS	D 0.05	47.73	31.51	19.31	64.60	1.74	1.59	0.5	0.7	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.20	0.82	0.91	0.39	0.99

Table (6): Effect of time of K application, levels of K and farmyard manure on protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake of Cow pea in 2006 season.

A= Time of K application (A_1 = during sowing, A_2 = after 21 day from sowing)

 $B = K_2O$ levels ($B_1 = zero$, $B_2 = 50$, $B_3 = 100$ and $B_4 = 150$ (Kg/ fad.)

C = FYM levels ($C_1 = zero$, $C_2 = 10$, $C_3 = 20$ and $C_4 = 30$ (m^3 / fad.)

Trea	at.	Pro	tein yie	eld (Kg	fad. ⁻¹)	K	uptake	e (Kg fa	ıd. ⁻¹)	P	uptak	e (Kg fa	ad. ⁻¹)	Ca	a uptał	ke (Kg f	iad. ⁻¹)
									200	6							
		Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total
A 1	B₁	610.3	377.2	257.3	1244.8	9.93	6.21	4.52	20.66	1.59	1.01	0.73	3.34	4.42	2.85	1.98	9.25
	B ₂	800.1	619.6	327.9	1747.5	12.48	9.72	5.76	27.96	2.19	1.73	1.03	4.94	5.90	4.74	2.68	13.31
	B₃	925.4	762.7	410.3	2098.5	15.13	11.50	6.76	33.40	2.72	2.10	1.23	6.05	7.13	5.46	3.13	15.72
	B 4	1106.1	780.4	495.5	2382.1	18.19	12.42	8.53	39.15	3.24	2.24	1.53	7.01	8.49	5.95	3.90	18.34
A ₂	B1	410.3	313.0	195.5	918.8	8.24	5.14	3.60	16.99	1.91	1.22	0.85	3.99	3.88	2.51	1.68	8.07
	B ₂	513.0	358.2	212.9	1084.1	8.95	5.69	3.68	18.32	1.82	1.17	0.76	3.75	4.11	2.69	1.66	8.45
	B₃	554.6	419.3	272.8	1246.7	10.17	6.81	4.77	21.74	1.84	1.25	0.87	3.96	4.80	3.32	2.20	10.32
	B 4	726.0	528.7	286.5	1540.6	12.64	8.55	5.03	26.22	1.90	1.30	0.76	3.97	6.66	4.62	2.59	13.87
LSD	0.05	67.50	44.56	27.30	91.36	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.1	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.13	0.06	0.14
A 1	C 1	728.9	551.6	294.0	1574.4	11.60	7.57	4.41	23.59	1.98	1.31	0.76	4.05	5.36	3.60	1.99	10.95
	C ₂	806.7	573.7	337.7	1718.1	12.12	8.16	5.36	25.63	2.26	1.55	1.01	4.82	5.95	4.16	2.59	12.71
	C₃	888.0	649.1	404.1	1939.1	14.28	10.41	7.00	31.69	2.49	1.86	1.24	5.57	6.44	4.71	3.10	14.25
	C 4	1020.5	765.5	455.3	2241.2	17.75	13.71	8.80	40.26	30.1	238	1.52	6.91	8.19	6.53	4.00	18.72
A ₂	C 1	459.4	314.5	202.3	976.2	7.36	4.42	2.92	14.71	1.45	0.95	0.60	2.95	3.91	2.40	1.51	7.82
	C ₂	463.2	389.0	219.2	1071.5	8.71	6.03	3.71	18.45	1.74	1.22	0.76	3.72	4.52	3.22	1.89	9.63
	C ₃	589.3	423.2	247.4	1259.9	10.19	6.80	4.49	21.47	1.94	1.30	0.86	4.11	5.00	3.45	2.17	12.64
	C 4	692.0	492.0	298.6	1482.5	13.73	8.95	5.95	28.64	2.35	1.52	1.02	4.88	6.01	4.07	2.59	12.64
LSD	0.05	67.50	44.56	27.30	91.36	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.1	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.13	0.06	0.14

Table (7): Effect of time and levels of K applications and time of K and levels of FYM on protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake of Cow pea in 2006 season.

A= Time of K application (A₁ = during sowing, A₂ = after 21 day from sowing) B = K₂O levels (B₁ = zero, B₂ = 50, B₃ =100 and B₄ = 150 (Kg/ fad.) C = FYM levels (C₁ = zero, C₂ = 10, C₃ = 20 and C₄ = 30 (m³/ fad.)

Trea	at.	Pro	otein yie	eld (Kg	fad. ⁻ ')	K	uptake	e (Kg fa	id.")	P	uptake	e (Kg fa	ad.")	Ca	a uptal	ke (Kg i	ad.'')
									200	6							
		Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total
B1	C 1	364.5	272.9	202.4	839.8	5.85	4.11	3.33	13.29	1.12	0.82	0.66	2.59	2.53	1.85	1.43	5.81
	C ₂	417.1	327.6	209.7	954.4	8.31	5.02	3.77	17.10	1.68	1.05	0.78	3.50	3.91	2.46	1.75	8.12
	C₃	542.8	372.7	244.7	1160.2	9.32	6.01	4.24	19.56	1.85	1.21	0.84	3.89	4.49	2.99	2.01	9.49
	C4	716.8	407.2	248.9	1372.8	12.88	7.57	4.90	25.35	2.37	1.40	0.90	4.67	5.67	3.44	2.13	11.23
B ₂	C 1	545.8	399.0	199.4	1144.2	8.65	5.70	2.94	17.29	1.59	1.05	0.56	3.20	4.13	2.80	1.39	8.32
	C ₂	566.3	448.9	253.9	1269.1	8.94	6.47	3.96	19.37	1.78	1.30	0.79	3.87	4.51	3.38	1.97	9.86
	C₃	725.2	477.0	293.5	1495.7	11.28	7.74	5.39	24.41	2.11	1.45	1.01	4.57	5.17	3.65	2.43	11.25
	C4	788.8	630.9	334.6	1754.3	13.98	10.93	6.60	31.51	2.53	2.00	1.21	5.75	6.19	5.03	2.89	14.11
B ₃	C 1	646.1	537.7	291.1	1474.9	10.61	7.00	4.00	21.61	1.93	1.28	0.73	3.94	5.26	3.54	1.93	10.72
	C ₂	667.2	545.5	311.9	1524.6	10.51	7.95	4.87	23.33	2.06	1.58	0.97	4.60	5.41	4.22	2.46	12.09
	C₃	788.8	614.3	354.2	1757.1	13.27	9.62	6.13	29.02	2.40	1.78	1.13	5.32	6.15	4.35	2.80	13.29
	C 4	858.2	666.6	409.1	1933.9	16.20	12.06	8.07	36.33	2.72	2.06	1.37	6.16	7.06	5.46	3.46	15.98
B 4	C 1	820.1	522.4	299.8	1642.4	12.81	7.18	4.42	24.40	2.22	1.26	0.78	4.26	6.63	3.82	2.24	12.68
	C2	889.1	603.6	338.5	1831.2	13.90	8.93	5.45	28.37	2.48	1.61	1.01	5.10	7.12	4.72	2.77	14.62
	C ₃	894.1	680.7	410.5	1985.2	15.06	11.07	7.21	33.34	2.50	1.87	1.22	5.58	7.07	5.34	3.31	15.72
	C ₄	1061.0	810.3	515.1	2386.2	19.89	14.77	9.95	44.62	3.09	2.34	1.59	7.02	9.48	7.27	4.66	21.41
LSD	0.05	95.46	63.01	38.61	129.2	0.35	0.32	0.32	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.18	0.18	0.08	0.20

Table (8): Effect of levels of K and farmyard manure on protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake of Cow pea in 2006 season.

A = Time of K application (A₁ = during sowing, A₂ = after 21 day from sowing) B = K₂O levels (B₁ = zero, B₂ = 50, B₃ =100 and B₄ = 150 (Kg/ fad.)

Table (9): Effect of time of K application, levels of K and levels of FYM and their interactions on protein yield, K, P and Ca uptake of Cowpea in 2006 season.

			Pro	otein yie	ld (Kg/ fa	ad.)	K	uptake	(Kg/ fac	d.)	P	uptake	e (Kg/ fa	d.)	Ca	a uptak	e (Kg/ f	ad.)
Tr	eatme	nt								2006	i							,
			Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut₃	Total
		C ₁	396.18	274.72	214.36	885.27	66.1	43.2	35.2	144.5	10.2	6.7	5.5	22.4	25.7	17.3	13.5	56.5
	ъ	C ₂	600.47	357.98	240.92	1199.3	95.2	53.4	41.3	189.9	15.4	8.8	6.8	31	41.7	24.2	17.9	83.8
	P 1	C₃	627.11	404.90	279.85	1311.8	100.2	65.6	49.0	214.8	16.5	11.0	8.2	35.7	47.6	32.2	22.9	102.7
		C₄	817.42	471.16	294.17	1582.7	135.7	86.1	55.2	277	21.6	13.9	8.9	44.4	61.7	40.4	24.7	126.8
		C ₁	712.90	540.41	234.30	1487.6	114.2	77.8	34.1	226.1	18.8	12.9	5.6	37.3	52.4	36.8	15.3	104.5
	в	C ₂	720.49	555.84	312.97	1589.3	103.9	78.3	50.4	232.6	19.3	14.7	9.5	43.5	53.4	41.7	25.5	120.6
	D ₂	C₃	844.83	585.64	362.16	1792.6	126.2	96.0	68.2	290.4	22.1	17.0	12.0	51.1	57.7	45.3	30.7	133.7
۸.		C ₄	922.11	746.54	401.98	2120.6	154.9	136.8	77.5	369.2	27.2	24.5	13.8	65.5	72.2	65.7	35.5	173.4
A 1		C ₁	806.02	737.23	355.02	1898.2	128.0	92.9	52.6	273.5	22.2	16.2	9.2	47.6	59.9	44.8	24.2	128.9
	B.	C ₂	852.13	667.51	367.05	1886.6	126.0	93.9	52.2	272.1	24.3	18.4	10.2	52.9	64.8	49.8	26.4	141
	D 3	C₃	1004.7	782.85	435.55	2223.1	165.2	119.5	71.9	356.6	29.9	22.2	13.3	65.4	75.3	512	32.2	619.5
		C ₄	1038.8	863.33	483.74	2385.9	186.0	153.7	93.7	433.4	32.3	27.1	16.5	75.9	85.1	72.5	42.1	199.7
		C ₁	1000.4	653.89	372.21	2026.5	155.6	89.0	54.6	299.2	28.1	16.3	1.00	45.4	76.3	45.0	26.3	147.6
	B.	C_2	1053.6	713.57	429.97	2197.1	159.6	100.6	70.2	330.4	31.1	19.9	13.9	64.9	78.1	50.8	33.8	162.7
	D 4	C₃	1067.1	823.09	538.66	2428.8	179.3	135.4	90.7	405.4	30.9	23.7	15.8	70.4	76.6	59.7	38.1	174.4
		C ₄	1303.4	930.92	641.30	2875.6	233.1	171.8	125.7	530.6	39.2	29.5	21.5	90.2	108.4	82.5	58.6	249.5
		C ₁	332.90	271.01	190.36	794.27	50.8	39.0	31.3	121.1	12.1	9.6	7.6	29.3	24.9	19.7	15.0	59.6
	B.	C ₂	233.81	297.24	178.46	709.51	71.0	47.0	34.1	152.1	18.0	12.1	8.8	38.9	36.4	24.9	17.2	78.5
	D 1	C₃	458.53	340.48	209.52	1008.5	8.61	54.4	35.7	98.71	20.4	13.1	8.6	42.1	42.2	27.5	17.3	87
		C ₄	616.14	343.17	203.61	1162.9	121.8	65.1	42.8	229.7	25.7	14.0	9.1	48.8	51.5	28.4	17.8	97.7
		C ₁	378.70	257.61	164.46	800.77	58.8	36.2	24.6	119.6	13.0	8.1	5.5	26.6	30.1	19.2	12.5	61.8
	B	C ₂	412.15	341.92	194.83	948.91	74.9	51.0	28.7	154.6	16.2	11.2	6.3	33.7	3.67	25.8	13.9	43.37
	02	C ₃	605.55	368.27	224.93	1198.7	99.4	58.7	39.5	197.6	26.5	12.0	8.1	46.6	45.6	27.7	17.8	91.1
Δ.		C ₄	655.47	465.29	267.23	1387.9	124.7	81.7	54.4	260.8	23.4	15.5	10.3	49.2	51.6	34.9	22.1	108.6
n 2		C ₁	486.15	338.24	227.11	1051.5	84.2	47.0	27.3	158.5	16.5	9.3	5.3	31.1	45.1	25.9	14.3	85.3
	B.	C ₂	482.30	423.46	256.83	1162.5	84.2	65.0	45.1	194.3	16.8	13.1	9.1	39	43.3	34.5	22.8	100.6
	D 3	C₃	572.25	445.67	272.86	1290.8	100.2	72.7	50.6	223.5	18.1	13.4	9.3	40.8	47.6	35.6	23.7	106.9
		C ₄	677.64	469.86	334.43	1481.9	138.0	87.5	67.6	293.1	22.0	14.1	10.9	47	56.0	36.6	27.1	119.7
		C ₁	639.83	390.95	227.40	1258.1	100.6	54.4	33.7	188.7	16.2	8.9	5.5	30.6	56.1	31.3	18.5	105.9
	B.	C ₂	724.67	493.55	246.96	1465.1	118.4	77.9	40.5	236.8	18.4	12.2	6.3	36.9	64.2	43.6	21.6	129.4
	D 4	C₃	721.02	538.26	282.30	1541.5	121.8	86.0	53.5	261.3	19.0	13.6	8.4	41	64.7	47.1	28.0	139.8
		C ₄	818.63	689.77	388.96	1897.3	164.7	123.6	73.3	361.6	22.6	17.2	10.2	50	81.1	62.8	35.5	179.4
L	SD 0.0	5	135.0	89.11	54.61	182.7	4.90	4.52	1.40	2.00	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.60	2.32	2.58	1.11	2.81

					F	resh forage	yield (t	fad. ⁻¹)						Dry forage	yield (t	fad. ⁻¹)		
Tr	eatmo	ent		2	006			2	2007				2006			2	007	
			Cut ₁	Cut ₂	Cut ₃	Acc. yield	Cut ₁	Cut ₂	Cut ₃	Acc. yield	Cut ₁	Cut ₂	Cut ₃	Acc. yield	Cut₁	Cut ₂	Cut ₃	Acc. yield
		C ₁	7.35	5.25	4.20	16.80	6.25	5.18	4.08	15.50	1.91	1.38	1.11	4.40	1.78	1.53	1.32	4.64
	Б	C ₂	10.50	6.83	4.73	22.05	9.58	5.58	4.65	19.80	2.69	1.78	1.23	5.70	2.60	1.66	1.48	5.74
	D 1	C ₃	11.55	7.35	5.25	24.15	9.50	6.88	5.13	21.50	2.57	1.90	1.39	5.86	2.69	2.05	1.60	6.34
		C ₄	13.13	8.40	5.25	26.78	11.68	7.88	5.23	24.78	3.27	2.13	1.40	6.79	3.16	2.32	1.62	7.10
		C ₁	12.08	8.93	4.20	25.20	10.83	8.30	3.88	23.00	3.07	2.38	1.06	6.51	2.97	2.40	1.29	6.67
	Б	C ₂	12.08	9.45	5.78	27.30	11.58	8.42	5.70	25.70	2.94	2.48	1.41	6.83	3.17	2.38	1.83	7.39
	D ₂	C ₃	14.18	9.98	6.30	30.45	13.15	9.30	6.88	29.33	3.37	2.51	1.57	7.45	3.71	2.64	2.17	8.52
•		C ₄	15.23	12.60	7.35	35.18	14.03	11.33	7.07	32.43	3.60	3.31	1.73	8.63	3.77	3.23	2.19	9.19
A 1		C ₁	12.60	9.98	5.78	28.35	11.45	10.00	5.13	26.58	3.25	2.54	1.48	7.27	2.91	2.75	1.67	7.33
	Б	C ₂	13.13	11.03	5.78	29.93	12.17	10.30	5.25	27.73	3.35	2.72	1.52	7.59	3.23	2.87	1.72	7.82
	D 3	C ₃	15.75	12.60	7.35	35.70	14.03	11.28	7.08	32.38	3.85	3.13	1.79	8.77	3.72	3.09	2.30	9.11
		C ₄	16.28	13.13	7.88	37.28	13.28	12.60	7.10	32.98	3.92	3.40	1.94	9.26	3.65	3.46	2.28	9.39
		C ₁	14.18	9.98	5.78	29.93	13.70	8.93	5.83	28.45	3.71	2.51	1.46	7.68	3.24	2.61	1.94	7.79
	D	C ₂	15.23	11.55	6.30	33.08	13.77	10.83	6.95	31.55	3.87	2.73	1.66	8.26	3.47	3.04	2.31	8.81
	D 4	C ₃	15.75	13.65	8.40	37.80	14.08	13.13	7.15	34.35	3.88	3.13	2.05	9.07	3.66	3.63	2.40	9.68
		C ₄	19.43	15.23	9.98	44.63	15.08	13.85	9.58	38.50	4.58	3.43	2.43	10.43	3.93	3.77	3.00	10.70
		C ₁	7.88	5.78	4.20	17.85	6.55	5.38	4.04	15.96	1.82	1.51	1.12	4.45	1.88	1.60	1.29	4.71
	в.	C ₂	8.93	6.30	3.68	18.90	7.43	6.00	4.075	17.50	2.06	1.64	1.04	4.74	2.06	1.69	1.36	5.11
	D 1	C ₃	9.45	7.35	4.20	21.00	7.33	6.75	4.43	18.50	2.36	1.84	1.16	5.37	2.07	1.87	1.46	5.40
		C ₄	11.55	7.35	4.20	23.10	9.75	7.00	4.32	21.08	2.89	1.81	1.11	5.81	2.60	1.98	1.44	6.02
		C ₁	7.35	5.25	3.15	15.75	6.75	5.20	4.00	15.95	1.88	1.29	0.87	4.05	1.94	1.58	1.38	4.91
۸.	в.	C ₂	7.88	6.83	3.68	18.38	7.13	5.85	4.08	17.05	1.98	1.70	1.01	4.68	1.99	1.74	1.35	5.09
~ 2	02	C ₃	11.03	6.83	4.20	22.05	9.58	5.98	4.15	19.70	2.71	1.72	1.13	5.56	2.66	1.75	1.38	5.80
		C ₄	12.60	8.40	5.25	26.25	9.60	7.93	5.00	22.53	2.84	2.11	1.29	6.24	2.66	2.24	1.64	6.56
		C ₁	8.93	6.30	3.68	18.90	8.05	5.75	4.20	18.00	2.19	1.54	1.08	4.81	2.27	1.72	1.37	5.36
	B.	C ₂	8.93	7.88	4.73	21.53	8.58	7.65	4.38	20.60	2.10	1.91	1.20	5.21	2.36	2.29	1.39	6.04
	D 3	C ₃	10.50	8.40	4.73	23.63	9.00	7.75	4.83	21.58	2.42	1.97	1.24	5.64	2.43	2.26	1.57	6.26
		C_4	12.60	8.93	5.25	26.78	10.93	7.98	4.77	23.68	2.79	2.01	1.48	6.28	2.78	2.33	1.58	6.69

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33 (3), March, 2008

																		-
LSD 0.	05	1.29	0.99	1.13	2.29	0.97	0.76	0.42	1.35	0.44	0.19	0.25	0.54	0.29	0.19	0.18	0.42	1
	C_4	13.60	12.05	6.83	32.48	11.50	10.83	5.90	28.23	3.14	2.73	1.61	7.49	3.02	3.10	1.92	8.04	
D 4	C ₃	11.55	9.45	4.73	25.73	9.92	8.25	4.65	22.83	2.84	2.20	1.20	6.25	2.81	2.55	1.52	6.87	=
в	C ₂	11.55	8.93	4.20	24.68	9.70	8.13	4.58	22.40	2.90	2.04	1.07	6.01	2.72	2.65	1.51	6.88	ļ
	C ₁	9.98	6.83	3.68	20.48	8.30	6.50	4.13	18.93	2.61	1.63	1.00	5.23	2.34	2.00	1.35	5.70	

ime of K application (A_1 = during sowing, A_2 = after 21 day from sowing) $B = K_2O$ levels (B_1 = zero, B_2 = 50, B_3 =100 and B_4 = 150 (Kg/ fad.) C = FYM levels ($C_1 = z$

Tra lai em niem vui khi duoc gan ben em, tra lai em loi yeu thuong em dem, tra lai em niem tin thang nam qua ta dap xay. Gio day chi la nhung ky niem buon... <u>http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com</u>