Vasomotor Function of Radial Artery after Conventional Transradial Approach and Dorsal (Snuff Box) Approach

K.E.El-Rabat, M.A.Tabl, A.M.Bendary, A.E.Shalaan and M.H.Hamadto
Cardiovascular Medicine and Radiology Dept., Faculty of Medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt
E-Mail: Hamadto111@gmail.com

Abstract

The trans outspread way to deal with cardiovascular catheterization has numerous benefits over the transfemoral approach and is progressively being utilized for both analytic coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intercession. This investigation is intended to gauge the stream intervened expansion of the outspread supply route preceding and after the transradial approach for coronary angiography utilizing traditional transradial approach and dorsal transradial approach through snuff box. Patient and strategies: This is a planned observational investigation included 100 patients for transradial approach for coronary angiography. Patients were separated into two groups:Group (I): through ordinary transradial approach Group (II): through dorsal snuff box approach. All patients went through spiral conduit B-mode ultrasound imaging, and stream interceded dilatation (FMD) was utilized preceding catheterization and inside 10 days following the catheterization.Diameter of the outspread vein was estimated by high-goal outer vascular ultrasound because of an increment in blood stream (causing shear-stress) Results: A critical distinction between the ordinary and dorsal snuff box course in spiral corridor width after FMD pre and post strategy (estimated inside 10 days follow up of the patient) with a pre-procedural mean breadth 0.275± 0.619mm (2.92 ± 0.747 versus 2.59 ± 0.491in traditional and dorsal gathering separately, P =0.010). There were ten instances of outspread course impediment at multi week follow up, 2 and 8 patients in traditional (4%) and dorsal routes(16%) individually, P=0.046 in any case, none of them was suggestive. We regularly utilized either IV or intra-blood vessel heparin for prophylaxis of spiral corridor impediment. End: Distal spiral methodology through the anatomical snuff box is arising as a practical and safe option in contrast to traditional one with a few benefits.

Keywords: Cardiac catheterization, Conventional transradial approach, Snuff box approach.

1. Introduction

The trans spiral way to deal with cardiovascular catheterization has numerous benefits over the transfemoral approach and is progressively being utilized for both symptomatic coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary mediation (PCI)[1].

The procedure is related with less vascular access complexities and has been appeared to decrease significant draining when contrasted and the femoral methodology[2,3].

Patients favor the outspread methodology and score higher on personal satisfaction polls after trans spiral catheterization[4]. Outspread access takes into consideration prior patient ambulation and same-day emergency clinic release in PCI patients and is related with diminished cost[5,6].

Albeit infrequently coming about in clinically applicable sequelae, trans outspread catheterization is related with subclinical anomalies of spiral course structure[7,8]and work [9,10,11,12] as an outcome of the injury of intraluminal sheath addition. The normal utilization of trans spiral access in clinical consideration and the availability of the human outspread course to non-intrusive imaging give an interesting chance to contemplate the instruments of vascular injury and fix in vivo in people.

Expansion of the spiral supply route in the human lower arm in light of brief times of ischemia is reliant on an unblemished endothelium and is weakened by implantation of the nitric oxide synthase inhibitor, NGmonomethyl-L-arginine[13]. Intense interruption of the vessel divider has been exhibited following trans outspread cardiovascular catheterization utilizing optical soundness tomography-based intravascular imaging[7,8]. The siphoned outspread corridor has likewise been analyzed histologically at the hour of conductor collect for coronary vein sidestep joining with one examination exhibiting endothelial disturbance, with the level of endothelial misfortune conversely identified with the time since catheterization[14] albeit this has not been a widespread discovering[15]. It is maybe to be expected in this manner that they have shown that trans spiral catheterization brings about disability of FMD of the outspread course[10,11,16,17] This probably addresses endothelial denudation with recuperation of vasomotor capacity demonstrating reconstitution of the monolayer. The lessening of FMD after outspread catheterization is viable with past examinations[10,11]and infers that the vascular injury includes the vascular smooth muscle layer and the shallow endothelial layer. The time course of recuperation stays hazy for certain creators detailing total recuperation of spiral conduit vasomotor function,[9,16,18]while others noticed irreversible impairment[11].

The dorsal (snuff box) trans spiral methodology is another section site that has numerous benefits over the customary trans outspread methodology, it improves left outspread access for patient and doctor, licenses outspread access in patients with restricted arm movement, and its blood vessel passage is past compartments of lower arm lessening danger of compartment disorder.

This investigation is intended to appraise the stream interceded expansion of the outspread corridor before and after the transradial approach for coronary

angiography utilizing traditional transradial approach and dorsal transradial approach through snuff box.

2. Patient and method

This is a forthcoming observational examination which did at cardiovascular catheterization unit and radiology branch of Benha University Hospital between October 2019 to December 2020. We pointed fundamentally to look at the vasomotor reaction of outspread supply route when the transradial catheterization by the customary and dorsal (snuff box) approach.

100 patients were selected this examination for transradial approach for coronary angiography. Patients were isolated into two gatherings:
- Group(I): through conventional transradial approach
- Group (II): through dorsal snuff box approach.

All patients went through spiral supply route B-mode ultrasound imaging, and stream interceded dilatation (FMD) was utilized preceding catheterization and inside 10 days following the catheterization.

This examination depended on our present clinical practice; thusly, administrative specialists required just a standard composed educated agree to play out the percutaneous system, which was gotten from all patients.

All patients alluded for coronary angiography and discovered to be reasonable for trans spiral methodology for catheterization. Prohibition rules was Patients with missing spiral corridor, Patients with negative Allen test and Patients with arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis.

After sterilization, the patient was covered with a sterile wrap. The administrator took up a situation close to the patient's lower arm for subcutaneous infusion of 3 cc xylocaine filling the spiral fossa. To carry the vein to the outside of the fossa, the patient needs to hold marginally his thumb under the other four fingers, with the hand somewhat kidnapped. The RA was penetrated with a 21G needle, under a point of 45 degrees. The needle was coordinated to the place of most grounded beat, proximal in the anatomical snuffbox. After fruitful cut in the foremost mass of the RA, a delicate tip coronary wire 0.014" was utilized to pass a convolution exist in the RA customary site of cut. A little skin entry point was made, trailed by presentation of 5 or 6 Fr spiral sheath.

Distance across of the outspread conduit was estimated by high-goal outer vascular ultrasound in light of an expansion in blood stream (causing shear-stress) during receptive hyperaemia (prompted by sleeve swelling and afterward flattening). This prompts endothelium-subordinate dilatation; The course was examined and the width estimated during two conditions; at benchmark, during responsive hyperaemia (incited by swelling and afterward collapse of a sphygmomanometer sleeve around the appendage, proximal to the checked piece of the vein). The distance across of the objective conduit was estimated from B-mode ultrasound pictures of the focal point of the objective course, distinguished when the most clear image of the front and back intimal layers was acquired. The center was set to the profundity.

Of the close to divider, taking into account the trouble of assessing the close contrasted and the far divider 'm' line (the interface among media and adventitia). The time needed to acquire a great gauge filter changes somewhere in the range of 1 and 10 min. The sleeve swelling time of 5 min was at first chosen to deliver sufficient hyperaemia to permit stream interceded expansion, yet not to bargain patient solace. More limited swelling periods didn't appear to create critical stream intervened dilatation (Corretti et al,1995). The typical examining period utilized in our research center was 30 s previously and 90 s after the sleeve collapse.

Review of clinical and laboratory data of all patient was done including:

- Indication for angiography.
- History of myocardial infarction (MI), either documented episode of MI or presence of pathological Q waves in the ECG.
- Age
- Gender
- Cardiovascular risk factors (DM, HTN, cigarette smoking, any lipid abnormalities, obesity, and family history of premature CAD).
- History of peripheral vascular disease.
- Ejection fraction (EF) as measured by conventional echocardiography.
- Routine laboratory investigations.
- Review of medications.
- Procedure details:
a) Number of attempts of radial access site.
b) Allen's or Barbeau tests (if done).
c) Pre-medication (especially use and duration of Nitrate or other vasodilator)
d) Number of radial punctures attempts.
e) Right or left access.
f) Type of needle puncture.
g) Type of sheath.
h) Sheath size.
i) Type of guiding wire (hydrophilic or non-hydrophilic).
j) Size of catheter.
k) Procedure time.
l) Total amount of contrast used.
m) Intraprocedural and postprocedural complications which are: spasm, dissections, perforations and hematoma formations.

IBM's SPSS statistics (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) for windows (version 25, 2017) was used for statistical analysis of the collected data. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to check the normality of the data distribution.

All tests were conducted with 95% confidence interval. P (probability) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Charts were generated using SPSS' chart builder and Microsoft Excel for windows 2019.

3. Results

Baseline clinical characteristics are presented in Table (1).

Table (1) Demographic characteristics and medical history of the studied groups.

		Conventional group (n= 50)	Dorsal group (n= 50)	P
Age (years)		57.02 ± 10.989	60.20 ± 9.103	0.118
Gender	Male	74.0% (37)	68.0% (34)	0.509
	Female	26.0% (13)	32.0% (16)	
History of hypertension		70.0% (35)	68.0% (34)	0.829
History of DM		36.0% (18)	32.0% (16)	0.673
History of smoking		38.0% (19)	26.0% (13)	0.198
History of Dyslipidemia		34.0% (17)	48.0% (24)	0.155
Presence of obesity		28.0% (14)	24.0% (12)	0.648
Family history of premature CAD		10.0% (5)	8.0% (4)	0.727
Data is expressed as mean and standard deviation or as percentage and frequency. P is significant when < 0.05.				

Thirty seven percentage of general population study diagnosed as UA (37 patients) while 47% and 16% diagnosed as STEMI and NSTEMI respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between them all (P=0.175).

Regarding type of procedure There was no statistically significant difference between diagnostic coronary angiography & PCI in both groups (P=0.221).

Sixty three percent (63%) of the whole study group patients had only one radial puncture attempt, of whom 40 patients through conventional method and 23 through dorsal snuff box method (80% versus46% in conventional and dorsal group respectively,P<0.001) while 37 patients (37%)had more than one radial puncture attempts, (1.22 ± 0.465versus 1.80 ± 0.881in conventional and dorsal group respectively, P <0.001)

Ninty six percent (96 patients) used the right radial artery as an access for coronary angiography or intervention, 49 and 47 patients through conventional and dorsal route respectively (98% vs.94%,p=0.307)

A 6F catheter was used in 97% of the whole study group .while the 7F catheter (3%) all used in conventional group (6.06 ± 0.240 versus 6.00 ± 0.0 in conventional and dorsal group respectively, P=0.083).

The mean time for puncture time was 1.25 minute (1(0,5) versus 1.5(0,6) in conventional group and dorsal group respectively, P= 0.016).

The mean of the procedure time in minutes was 25.94 ± 8.833 (25.30±8.210 versus 26.58±9.456 in conventional group and dorsal group respectively, P= 0.472).

There was a significant difference in radial artery diameter in both baseline and post-procedural diameter in the 2 groups with a mean baseline diameter of 2.17 ± 0.415mm (2.28 ± 0.474 versus 2.06 ± 0.356 in conventional and dorsal group respectively, P=0.011).

The post procedure diameter of radial artery was significantly changed by the 2 routes with a mean post procedural diameter of 1.9± 0.427mm (2.03 ± 0.466 versus 1.77 ± 0.389 in conventional and dorsal group respectively, P=0.004)

A significant difference between the conventional and dorsal snuff box route in radial artery diameter after FMD pre and post procedure (measured within 10 days follow up of the patient) with a pre-procedural mean diameter 0.275± 0.619mm (2.92 ± 0.747 vs. 2.59 ± 0.491in conventional and dorsal group respectively, P =0.010)

The radial artery diameter after FMD post-procedure show a significant change in both groups with a mean diameter 2.62 ±0.6mm (2.62 ± 0.726 versus 2.26 ± 0.534 in conventional and dorsal group respectively, P =0.006)

Procedure related complications are mentioned in Table (4).

Forearm hematoma occurred in 3 patients, all of them were managed by elastic compression (0% versus 6% in conventional and dorsal route respectively, P=0.079).

There were ten cases of radial artery occlusion at one week follow up, 2 and 8 patients in conventional (4%) and dorsal routes(16%) respectively, P=0.046 however, none of them was symptomatic. We routinely used either IV or intra-arterial heparin for prophylaxis of radial artery occlusion.

Table (2) Basal and Post-procedure diameter of radial artery in the studied groups.

	Conventional group (n= 50)	Dorsal group (n= 50)	P
Baseline radial artery diameter (mm)	2.28 ± 0.474	2.06 ± 0.356	0.011
Post-procedure diameter of radial artery	2.03 ± 0.466	1.77 ± 0.389	0.004
Mean Diameter reduction (by the procedure)	- 0.25 ± 0.228	- 0.29 ± 0.273	0.452
Diameter after FMD (pre-procedure) (mm)	2.92 ± 0.747	2.59 ± 0.491	0.010
Baseline diameters change after FMD	0.6 (0.10, 1.7)	0.50 (-0.90, 1.20)	0.115
Diameter after FMD (post-procedure) (mm)	2.62 ± 0.726	2.26 ± 0.534	0.006
Diameters change after FMD (post-procedure)	0.50 (0.10, 1.60)	0.40 (0.20, 1.10)	0.087
Data is expressed as mean and standard deviation. P is significant when < 0.05.			

Table (3) Comparison of Baseline and Post-procedure radial artery diameter (mm) in the studied groups.

		Baseline	Post-procedure	P
Radial artery diameter	Conventional group	2.28 ± 0.474	2.03 ± 0.466	< 0.001
	Dorsal group	2.06 ± 0.356	1.77 ± 0.389	< 0.001
Diameter after FMD	Conventional group	2.92 ± 0.747	2.62 ± 0.726	< 0.001
	Dorsal group	2.59 ± 0.491	2.26 ± 0.534	< 0.001
Diameters change after FMD	Conventional group	0.6 (0.10, 1.7)	0.50 (0.10, 1.60)	< 0.001
	Dorsal group	0.50 (- 0.90, 1.20)	0.40 (0.20, 1.10)	0.100
Data is expressed as mean and standard deviation. P is significant when < 0.05.				

Table (4) Post-procedure complications in the studied groups.

	Conventional group (n= 50)	Dorsal group (n= 50)	Odds ratio	P
Radial artery spasm	4.0% (2)	16.0% (8)	4.571	0.046
Hematoma formation	0.0% (0)	6.0% (3)	2.06	0.079
Dissection	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	-	-
Perforation	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	-	-
Data is expressed as percentage and frequency. P is significant when < 0.05.				

4. Discussion

Access locales for coronary intercession have been changing throughout the most recent quite a few years, from the femoral vein to the outspread course and afterward to the distal spiral conduit. Distal outspread access, which was first utilized in 2017 is as yet not suggested by the rules, shows a higher achievement rate and less difficulties than different destinations; in this way, it very well may be the future for cardiovascular mediation. In this examination, we surveyed practically the entirety of the articles that are identified with the distal spiral access, from 2017 to introduce, and summed up the method, achievement rate, benefits, hindrances, and noncardiac utilization of this entrance site [19].

All the general exploration stressed the need of substantial heartbeat in the cut site of ldTRA. Some additionally apply ultrasound to certify the great state of distal outspread artery.as referenced by Kim et al [20].

And furthermore concordant with aftereffects of Gasparini, et al who showed that ldTRA utilizing a 7 sheath for CTO PCIs is plausible and related with a high procedural achievement rate and low vascular access-site inconvenience rates. In this exploration, specialized achievement and procedural achievement were, individually, accomplished in 70.3% and 78.1% of 41 patients. No draining and fit occur after method, and 4.3% of patients created dRAO [19].

Furthermore, with consequences of Koutouzis, et al.who found that ldTRA is related with lower effective cannulation rates, drawn out length of cannulation, and expanded number of endeavors and number of skin penetrates. Nonetheless, this didn't influence the complete procedural time, which was comparative among ldTRA and TRA. He guessed expanded convolution and angulations at the distal cut site would be the explanation of high disappointment rate [21].

Concerning Basal and Post-system width of spiral corridor, the Baseline outspread supply route measurement (mm) was(2.28 ± 0.474, 2.06 ± 0.356) in the traditional gathering and dorsal gathering separately

with p value= 0.011) and Post-methodology breadth of spiral conduit was(2.03 ± 0.466, 1.77 ± 0.389) in the ordinary gathering and dorsal gathering individually with p value= 0.004) with Mean Diameter decrease (by the strategy) was(- 0.11± 0.095, - 0.13 ± 0.103) in the regular gathering and dorsal gathering separately with p value= 0.293).

On doing responsive hyperemia to evaluate stream interceded dilatation ,in our examination Diameter after FMD (pre-method) (mm) was(2.28 ± 0.474, 2.06 ± 0.356) in the regular gathering and dorsal gathering individually with p value= 0.011) and Baseline widths change after FMD was(0.65 ± 0.387, 0.53 ±0.315) in the customary gathering and dorsal gathering separately with p value= 0.115) and Post-strategy distance across of outspread course was(2.62 ± 0.726, 2.26 ± 0.534) in the traditional gathering and dorsal gathering separately with p value= 0.006) and subsequently Diameters change after FMD (post-technique) was(0.59 ± 0.354, 0.48 ± 0.219) in the ordinary gathering and dorsal gathering individually with p value= 0.087) .and these outcomes concordant with aftereffects of Heiss et al. who tracked down that the impacts of trans-outspread catheterization on long haul endothelial capacity of the brachial vein. There were three significant discoveries in their study.(22) First, FMD diminished essentially after outspread catheterization and recuperated to a worth similar to the pattern inside a middle subsequent time of 2.6 years. Second, no huge contrasts in follow-up FMD were distinguished between the single and rehashed technique gatherings. Third, no huge distinction was seen in the last subsequent FMD regarding the quantity of methods.

These discoveries recommend the likely recuperation of FMD in the brachial supply route after rehashed trans-spiral catheterization in long haul follow-up. Sheath inclusion into the outspread supply route may cause direct endothelial harm, while mechanical wounds infrequently happen in the brachial course during sheath addition. All things considered, addition and trade of guidewires and catheters may make endothelial harm the brachial course, which may bring about defenselessness

to apoplexy, expanded aggravation, and intimal hyperplasia [23,24].

In spite of the fact that weakening of endothelial capacity surely happens, it is as yet dubious whether the capacity re-covers after a timeframe. Heiss et al. exhibited that trans-outspread catheterization fundamentally diminished FMD in the spiral and brachial courses at 6 h after trans-spiral catheterization, and that the FMD in nonsmokers completely recuperated at 24 h [22]. Likewise, Yan et al.[9]showed early injury and luminal measurement decrease in the uncovered spiral conduit, which ultimately mended and got back to gauge multi month after the catheterization.

In our examination, outspread supply route Diameter after FMD standard and post-method was $(2.92 \pm 0.747, 2.62 \pm 0.726)$ separately with p esteem < 0.001in the customary gathering and was $(2.59 \pm 0.491, 2.26 \pm 0.534)$ individually with p esteem < 0.001.this was concordant with Tinken,,et al who tracked down that trans-spiral catheterization brings about reversible misery in NO-interceded endothelial and C smooth muscle work in the siphoned arm. This impact is obvious in the area of the sheath or more the site of the catheter sheath, proposing that both sheath and catheter addition sway upon the vasculature, perhaps by means of a limited provocative or aggravation reaction. In spite of the fact that FMD reactions were weakened by sheath arrangement, they were not annulled, recommending that some endothelium-autonomous vasodilator systems may add to the vasodilatation reaction to a FMD test in people [25].

Subsequently Diameters change after FMD gauge and post-technique was $(0.65 \pm 0.387, 0.59 \pm 0.354)$ individually with p esteem < 0.001.in the ordinary gathering and was $(0.53 \pm 0.315, 0.48 \pm 0.219)$ separately with p esteem < 0.001.

All things considered, the measurement changes in outspread course after FMD didn't vary in the gathering going through the dorsal methodology. Then again, this change was altogether less in the gathering going through the ordinary methodology. Appropriately, it very well may be hypothesized that the dorsal methodology didn't influence the vasomotor properties of the vessel and didn't bring about an inducible type of endothelial brokenness in this investigation (as communicated by FMD).

As to method entanglements, in our examination we tracked down that Radial supply route spasmwas recorded in 4% in traditional gathering and 16%in dorsal gathering with p esteem =0.046.

Furthermore, hematoma development was archived in 0% in the traditional gathering and 6% in dorsal gathering with p value=0.079.

While no analyzation or hole archived in our investigation in the two gatherings this was dissonant with consequences of Kaledin,et al who tracked down that Several examinations including CTRA showed that post-catheterization spiral vein impediment is the most well-known complexity during the trans-outspread coronary angiography, and it is accounted for to be 1%-

10% in patients going through catheterization[26]yet we experience 2 cases by CTRA and none from DTRA. Generally high paces of this confusion in CTRA urged us to use the DTRA as the entrance site. As the spiral segment in AS is a section distal from the inception of the shallow palmar curve so antegrade blood course through the shallow palmar curve would in any case proceed with no dysregulation [27].

Our outcomes were in close to concordance with Valsecchi ,et al.who showed that the procedural achievement paces of the coronary angiography (CAG) and PCI are 100% and 92.9%, individually. As per the outcomes, minor hematoma happened in 14 (7.4%) patients, and there was no distal spiral course impediment, hole, pseudoaneurysm, or arteriovenous fistula [28].

Every one of the examinations exhibit low intricacy rates, including the pace of RAO. It is realized that RAO is the most well-known intricacy in TRA . the primary driver of RAO are the injury of intima of outspread corridor and nearby blood stream interference, bringing about the arrangement of apoplexy at the cut site [29,30].

Notwithstanding, the cut site of ldTRA is distal from the wrist and more modest sheath (for the most part 6 Fr) is chosen, so the intimal injury in the ordinary TRA site is slight[26]revealed that distal blood stream was more slow when spiral supply route was pressure in the wrist than in the distal site. these two components might be the explanation of low pace of RAO in ldTRA. Curiously, as of late it is accounted for that the ldTRA could recanalize the proximal outspread supply route all out impediment; subsequently, ldTRA appears to be not exclusively to forestall the RAO yet additionally to settle RAO [31].

5. Conclusion

Distal outspread methodology through the anatomical snuff box is arising as a practical and safe option in contrast to traditional one with a few benefits. In any case, the administrator needs to comprehend the contrasts between these two courses regarding patient readiness, procedural method, catheter determination and research center arrangement.

References
[1] RP.Caputo, JA.Tremmel, S.Rao. Transradial arterial access for coronary and peripheral procedures:Executive summary by the Transradial Committee of the SCAI. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv , Vol.78, PP.823–839,2011.:

[2] M.Hamon, C.Pristipino, C.Di Mario. Consensus document on the radial approach in percutaneous cardiovascular interventions: Position paper by the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions and Working Groups on Acute Cardiac Care and Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. EuroIntervention , Vol.8, PP.1242–1251,2013.

[3] S.Gorgulu, T.Norgaz, T.Karaahmet. Incidence and predictors of radial artery spasm at the beginning of

a transradial coronary procedure. J Interv Cardiol , Vol.26, PP.208–213,2013.

[4] DJ.Hildick-Smith, JT.Walsh, MD.Lowe. Transradial coronary angiography in patients with contraindications to the femoral approach: An analysis of 500 cases.Catheter Cardiovasc Interv .vol/61, PP.60–66,2004.

[5] Y.Kanei, T.Kwan, NC.Nakra. Transradial cardiac catheterization:A review of access site complications. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv , Vol.78, PP.840–846,2011.

[6] HH.Ho, FH. afary, PJ.Ong. Radial artery spasm during transradial cardiac catheterization and percutaneous coronary intervention:Incidence, predisposing factors, prevention, and management. Cardiovasc Revasc Med, Vol.13, PP.193–195,2012.

[7] T.Yonetsu, T.Kakuta, T.Lee . Assessment of acute injuries and chronic intimal thickening of the radial artery after transradial coronary intervention by optical coherence tomography. Eur Heart J, Vol.31, PP.1608–15,2010.

[8] L.Di Vito, F.Burzotta, C.Trani. Radial artery complications occurring after transradial coronary procedures using long hydrophilic-coated introducer sheath: a frequency domain-optical coherence tomography study. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging , Vol.30, PP.21–9,2013.

[9] Z.Yan, Y.Zhou, Y.Zhao. Impact of transradial coronary procedures on radial artery function. Angiology , Vol.61, PP.8–13,2010.

[10] EA.Dawson, S.Rathore. NT.Cable . Impact of introducer sheath coating on endothelial function in humans after transradial coronary procedures. Circ Cardiovasc Interventions, Vol.3, PP.148–56,2010.

[11] JM.Burstein, D.Gidrewicz, SJ.Hutchison. Impact of radial artery cannulation for coronary angiography and angioplasty on radial artery function. Am J Cardiol. Vol.99, PP.457–9, 2007.

[12] EA.Dawson, A.Alkarmi, DHJ.Thijssen, et al. Low-flow mediated constriction is endothelium-dependent: effects of exercise training after radial artery catheterization. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. Vol.5, PP.713–19,2012.

[13] MJ.Mullen, RK.Kharbanda, J.Cross. Heterogenous nature of flow-mediated dilatation in human conduit arteries in vivo: relevance to endothelial dysfunction in hypercholesterolemia. Circ Res. Vol.88, PP.145–51, 2001.

[14] H.Kamiya, T.Ushijima, T.Kanamori. Use of the radial artery graft after transradial catheterisation: is it suitable as a bypass conduit? Ann Thorac Surg. Vol.76, PP.1505–9, 2003.

[15] M.Gaudino, A.Leone, A.Lupascu. Morphological and functional consequences of transradial coronary angiography on the radial artery: implications for its use as a bypass conduit. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. Vol.48, PP.370–4, 2015.

[16] E.Madssen, P.Haere, R.Wiseth. Radial artery diameter and vasodilatory properties after transradial

coronary angiography. Ann Thorac Surg. Vol.82, PP.1698–702, 2006.

[17] H.Okuyan, SK.Açikgoz, G.Tacoy. Effect of transradial coronary angiography procedure on vascular diameter and vasodilator functions in the access site. Angiology. Vol.64, PP.515–21, 2012.

[18] L.Tilling, J.Hunt, A.Donald. Arterial injury and endothelial repair: rapid recovery of function after mechanical injury in healthy volunteers. Cardiol Res Prac. Vol.36, PP.7537, 2014.

[19] G.L.Gasparini, R.Garbo, A.Gagnor, J.Oreglia,, P.Mazzarotto. "First prospective multicentre experience with left distal trans-radial approach for coronary chronic total occlusion interventions using a 7 Fr Glidesheath Slender," Euro-Intervention, vol. 15, no. 1, PP. 126–128, 2019.

[20] Y. Kim, Y.Ahn, M.C.Kim. "Gender differences in the distal radial artery diameter for the snuffbox approach," Cardiology Journal, vol. 25, no. 5, PP. 639–641, 2018.

[21] M.Koutouzis, E.Kontopodis, A.Tassopoulos. "Distal versus traditional radial approach for coronary angiography," Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine, vol. 20, no. 8, PP. 678–680, 2018.

[22] C.Heiss, J.Balzer, T.Hauffe. Vascular dysfunction of brachial artery after trans-radial access for coronary catheterization: impact of smoking and catheter changes. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. Vol.2, PP.1067-73, 2009.

[23] P.Libby. The molecular mechanisms of the thrombotic complications of atherosclerosis. J Intern Med. Vol. 27, PP.263:517, 2008.

[24] N.Mackman. Triggers, targets and treatments for thrombosis. Nature. Vol.8, PP.451:914, 2008.

[25] T.M.Tinken, , D.H.Thijssen, , M.A.Black, N.T.Cable, D.J.Green. Time course of change in vasodilator function and capacity in response to exercise training in humans. J. Physiol. Vol.586 , PP.5003–5012, 2008.

[26] G.A.Sgueglia, A. Di Giorgio, A.Gaspardone, A.Babunashvili. "Anatomic basis and physiological rationale of distal radial artery access for percutaneous coronary and endovascular procedures," JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, vol. 11(20), PP. 2113–2119, 2018.

[27] AL.Kaledin, IN.Kochanov, PS.Podmetin, SS.Seletsky, VN.Ardeev. Distal radial artery in endovascular interventions. Distal radial artery in endovascular interventions(p.) Unpublished. Vol. 33, PP. 556, 2017.

[28] O.Valsecchi, A.Vassileva, A.F.Cereda. "Early clinical experience with right and left distal trans-radial access in the anatomical snuffbox in 52 consecutive patients," _e Journal of Invasive Cardiology, vol. 30 (6), PP. 218–223, 2018.

[29] K.Kappert, H.Meyborg, B.Baumann. "Integrin cleavage facilitates cell surface-associated proteolysis required for vascular smooth muscle cell invasion," _e International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology, vol. 41 (7), PP. 1511–1517, 2009.

[30] M.Sanmartin, M.Gomez, J.R.Rumoroso. "Interruption of blood flow during compression and radial artery occlusion after trans-radial catheterization," Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, vol. 70(2), PP. 185–189, 2007.

[31] A.R.Sheikh, E.Abdelaal, S.Sastry. "Novel distal left radial artery access in anatomical snuffbox for recanalization of proximal radial artery total occlusion and percutaneous coronary intervention through left internal mammary artery," Circulation Cardiovascular Interventions, vol. 11, no. 7, Article ID , PP.6579, 2018.