

Perioperative Assessment and Management of Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea

M.Y.Serry, E.S.Abd Al-Azeem, M.F.Elmeleeg, and F.M.Youseef

Anesthesiology and Intensive care, Dept., Faculty of Medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt

E-Mail: no_is_not_available@hotmail.com

Abstract

Foundation: Obstructive rest apnea (OSA) is a disorder portrayed by intermittent, fractional, or complete impediment in the upper aviation route during rest. The point of this survey article was to feature obstructive rest apnea as a perioperative danger factor expanding bleakness and mortality in current surgeries with appropriate evaluation and the executives of this danger factor. This is a survey article, The hunt was acted in MEDLINE, Embase, Pubmed and CINAHL Plus in a similar date range with the accompanying mediocl terms: "Perioperative; Assessment; Obstructive; Sleep; Apnea", including articles from 2000 to 2020, Excluded articles from audit are those of language other than English. Patients with realized OSA ought to be surveyed for the seriousness and ampleness of the executives. End: general administration systems incorporate semi-upstanding or upstanding situating, narcotic saving pain relieving strategies, and evasion of simultaneous organization of tranquilizers. A prohibitive or objective coordinated procedure for perioperative liquid treatment utilizing liquids with moderately lower salt substance (ie, Ringer's Lactate or Plasmalyte instead of ordinary saline) is liked to stay away from rostral liquid movements in the neck. In postoperative patients with a known conclusion of OSA who are dealt with preoperatively with noninvasive positive aviation route pressure (PAP), it is recommended the standard utilization of PAP treatment instead of no PAP. In those without an analysis of OSA or in the individuals who have a conclusion however are resistant or beforehand narrow minded with treatment preoperatively, PAP ought to be applied distinctly in the individuals who show postoperative times of hypoxemia, deterrent, apnea, or hypoventilation instead of the standard organization of PAP.

Keywords: Perioperative, Assessment, Obstructive, Sleep, Apnea.

1. Introduction

Obstructive rest apnea (OSA) is a disorder portrayed by intermittent, incomplete, or complete obstacle in the upper aviation route during rest. This, thusly, makes dreary excitement from rest reestablish aviation route patency, which may bring about daytime hypersomnolence or other daytime signs of disturbed rest, for example, forceful or distractible conduct in youngsters [1].

The aviation route obstacle may likewise cause rambling rest related oxygen desaturation, long winded hypercarbia, and cardiovascular brokenness. The pervasiveness of OSA in the populace is huge. A new report appraises the commonness of moderate to extreme OSA at 10 % among 30-to 49-year-elderly people men, 17 % among 50-to 70-year-elderly people men, 3 % among 30-to 49-year-elderly people ladies, and 9 % among 50-to 70-year-elderly people ladies [2].

Conclusive analysis of OSA requires polysomnography or rest study, which determines the normal number of strange breathing occasions each hour of rest—the Apnea-Hypopnea Index (AHI). An apneic occasion alludes to discontinuance of wind current for at any rate 10 s, and hypopnea happens when there is decreased wind stream with desaturation of 4 % or more. The American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) analytic standards for OSA require either an $AHI \geq 15$, or $AHI \geq 5$, with indications like exorbitant daytime tiredness, inadvertent rest during alertness, unrefreshing rest, uproarious wheezing revealed by accomplice, or noticed deterrent during rest [3].

Patients with affirmed or suspected OSA who might be at expanded danger of perioperative horribleness and mortality due to expected trouble in keeping a patent aviation route.

This populace incorporates yet isn't restricted to patients who have rest apnea coming about because of weight, pregnancy, and other skeletal, cartilaginous, or delicate tissue anomalies causing upper aviation route check [4].

Obstructive rest apnea in patients going through a medical procedure gives a unique test to the anesthesiologist suggestions reaching out from the preoperative all through the postoperative period. A serious level of carefulness ought to be polished, with assistance from screening surveys to distinguish the undiscovered patients [5].

Preoperative meetings with the analyzed OSA patients are fundamental as they ought to be advised in regards to expanded perioperative dangers and the conceivable requirement for postoperative observing. Polysomnography results ought to be audited to affirm the finding of OSA and to assess the seriousness of the illness. Fundamental complexities of long-standing OSA, for example, hypoxemia, hypercarbia, polycythemia, and cor pulmonale ought to be searched for [5].

The point of this survey article was to feature obstructive rest apnea as a perioperative danger factor expanding dreariness and mortality in present day surgeries with appropriate appraisal and the board of this danger factor.

2. Patients and methods

This is a survey article, The inquiry was acted in MEDLINE, Embase, Pubmed and CINAHL Plus in a similar date range with the accompanying mediocl terms: "Perioperative; Assessment; Obstructive; Sleep; Apnea", including articles from 2000 to 2020, Excluded articles from audit are those of language other than English. Watchwords: Perioperative, Assessment, Obstructive, Sleep, Apnea.

3. Results

Patients with acknowledged OSA should be studied for the reality and adequacy of the chiefs. Assessing all preoperative patients for OSA is proposed. If not all that patients can be screened, the most fundamental peoples to screen are heavy patients (weight record [BMI] ≥ 35 kg/m²), those reserved for bariatric operation, and those with different infirmities significantly associated with OSA.

Among the available screening mechanical assemblies, it is urged to use the overhauled STOP-Bang survey, which is best affirmed in cautious peoples. In patients with known or suspected OSA, the clinician should look for the presence of OSA-related infirmities.

The majority of patients with known or suspected OSA may proceed to an operation without additional testing or treatment. The decision to surrender an operation for extra testing or treatment should be individualized reliant on industrious, cautious, and institutional factors. For patients who either screen at commonly alright for OSA or have a known examination of OSA that is throughout controlled, no further appraisal before operation is usually required.

For patients with fairly treated/untreated OSA (ie, uncontrolled OSA) or suspected OSA, with related basic or uncontrolled basic disease or additional issues with ventilation or gas exchange (eg, hypoventilation condition, genuine aspiratory hypertension, or resting hypoxemia without other cardiopulmonary sickness), elective operation should be yielded for appraisal and treatment.

For patients with uncontrolled or suspected OSA without related enormous or uncontrolled principal ailment or additional issues with ventilation or gas exchange, the decision to surrender an operation for extra evaluation or treatment should be established no nonsense of cautious peril. For high-peril an operation, it is gotten a kick out of the chance to yield an operation if viable. Others like to proceed with high-risk an operation less any extra preoperative evaluation, yet with expanded intraoperative and postoperative protections. For OK an operation, by far most of these patients can proceed with an operation less any extra appraisal, with expanded perioperative prudent steps.

Emergency operation should not be conceded to develop a regular assurance of OSA. In patients with known or related OSA who need headway with therapy or further testing, it is endorsed to design an operation no sooner than multi week after changes are made. In numerous patients, careful new sure flight course pressure (PAP) treatment preoperatively isn't used. Patients who are on PAP therapy for OSA ought to continue with therapy up to the day of operation and should in a perfect world convey their PAP contraptions with them upon the appearance of operation.

The decision to design an operation on an inpatient or outpatient premise should be established on the patient's age and comorbidities, kind of an operation and sedation, earnestness of the OSA, consistence to PAP

treatment, and the need for postoperative opiate absence of torment.

Narcotic procedure is constrained by the medical procedure, comorbid illnesses, and tendencies of the anesthesiologist, patient, and trained professional. A general norm for patients with obstructive rest apnea (OSA) is to use a soothing methodology that restricts the use of respiratory depressants whose effects will continue into the postoperative period. Narcotic frameworks that may direct perioperative risk for patients with known or suspected OSA.

Neighborhood sedation techniques are loved over wide sedation for methodologies at whatever point possible. Regardless, if moderate or significant sedation would be required for a patient to persevere through a framework under regional sedation, general sedation with a secured aeronautics course may be enjoyed. Regional sedation should similarly be used at whatever point possible as a part of a multimodal opiate saving approach for postoperative torture control.

Patients with OSA are much of the time sensitive to the respiratory-depressant effects of opiates and opiates, which may be mitigated by lower parts and use of more restricted acting trained professionals. Reversal experts should be available. Ideal arranging for flying course patency, flying course subordinates, and usage of tireless positive avionics course pressure (PAP) may be valuable in restricting flying course issues during sedation for neighborhood sedation and checked sedation care (MAC) similarly as during recovery. Oxygenation and ventilation should be reliably noticed (consistently with heartbeat oximetry and capnography).

For those going through wide sedation, guidelines resemble non-OSA patients with inconvenient avionics courses (eg, cover ventilation, supraglottic flying course ventilation, laryngoscopy, and intubation). Patients with OSA should be induced and intubated in the head-up position and explicit thought should be paid to preoxygenation.

During general sedation, short-acting narcotic experts may contract rise and lessening postoperative respiratory unhappiness. Patients with OSA may benefit by a restrictive or target facilitated method for intraoperative fluid treatment, and from fluids with modestly lower salt substance (ie, Ringer's lactate or PlasmaLyte rather than regular saline). Extubation of patients with OSA ought to occur in a head-up position, with avionics course reflexes immaculate, neuromuscular hindering experts exchanged (if fundamental), and the patient sufficiently ventilating and responsive.

The recurrence of perioperative complexities is more imperative in patients with obstructive rest apnea (OSA) differentiated and the people who don't have OSA, so much that quick affirmation and treatment of OSA is fitting. The chiefs ideas are generally subject to indirect confirmation, clinical thinking, and capable appraisal with confined data displaying persuading proof regarding improved outcomes.

In patients with OSA, general organization techniques consolidate semi-upstanding or upstanding

arranging, opiate saving agony mitigating strategies, and evading of synchronous association of sedatives. A restrictive or target composed framework for perioperative fluid treatment using fluids with for the most part lower salt substance (ie, Ringer's Lactate or Plasmalyte instead of common saline) is gotten a kick out of the chance to avoid rostral fluid developments in the neck.

In postoperative patients with a known finish of OSA who are managed preoperatively with noninvasive positive flying course pressure (PAP), it is suggested the standard utilization of PAP treatment rather than no PAP. In those without a finding of OSA or in the people who have an assurance yet are safe or already extremist with treatment preoperatively, PAP should be applied particularly in the people who show postoperative occasions of hypoxemia, obstacle, apnea, or hypoventilation rather than the ordinary association of PAP. PAP therapy should be started when is commonsense after operation, in a perfect world in the post-sedation care unit (PACU) and continued on the floor through recovery and delivery home.

Patients with known or suspected OSA should be seen in the PACU with consistent heartbeat oximetry. An arrangement of titrated low-stream oxygen that achieves agreeable oxygenation (eg, >90 percent) and dodges exceptional hypercapnia from hypoventilation is reasonable. Supplemental oxygen is consistently lessened until the patient can keep up agreeable oxygenation on room air when left unstimulated. Patients with supplemental oxygen should similarly be unequivocally noticed for ventilation with procedures including clinical appraisal, endless assessment of respiratory rate or end-streaming carbon dioxide (CO₂) checking (ie, capnography), and a low breaking point to get a vein blood gas.

Timing of delivery from the PACU and character (home, unmonitored center region, or noticed crisis facility territory) ought to think about essential threat (eg, earnestness of OSA, high-peril an operation, feebleness to use postoperative PAP, postoperative opiate use) and clinical events occurring in the PACU. The edge to surrender to a checked environment should be low.

In patients with OSA, exacerbation in rest configuration is generally vital during the underlying three days. Patients should continue avoiding supine arranging, wean oxygen and torture remedies, and keep up PAP use during rest (tallying daytime rest) until normal rest designing is proceeded and the patient is off all opiates.

4. Discussion

Preoperative assessment of patients with OSA ought to explicitly incorporate appraisal of OSA seriousness and sufficiency of the executives [4].

Documentation ought to incorporate current indications and indications of OSA and late rest contemplates (here and there treatment). Patients who are utilizing treatment ought to be gotten some information about remaining indications, issues with adherence with

treatment or specialized troubles, critical changes in weight since treatment was started, and purposes behind cessation if treatment is not, at this point utilized. Adherence to treatment can likewise be affirmed utilizing downloads from the patient's gadget, if achievable [2].

The current treatment ought to be recorded. OSA treatment may incorporate positive aviation route pressure (PAP) treatment (eg, ceaseless PAP [CPAP] or bi-level [BPAP]), oral machines, or less normally, hypoglossal nerve incitement gadget. The settings and points of interest of existing OSA treatment ought to be reported, and patients ought to be told to bring their PAP gear or oral machines upon the arrival of medical procedure, to encourage reinstatement of treatment postoperatively [6].

The choice to concede a medical procedure for additional assessment and additionally therapy should be individualized and dependent on various components including whether patients are dealt with or untreated, the associated seriousness with OSA, comorbidity trouble, and the danger level of a medical procedure. The edge to concede a medical procedure is higher in patients going through generally safe methodology including noninvasive medical procedure, endoscopy, arthroscopy, and waterfall medical procedure, and medical procedures that are not liable to require huge intra-or post-procedural narcotics [7].

Conversely, others have a lower limit to concede a medical procedure in patients with uncontrolled OSA going through high-hazard strategies including major intrusive medical procedure that impacts aviation route or cardiopulmonary capacity and medical procedures requiring generous postoperative narcotic medicine [8].

Different components that influence the choice remember varieties for institutional practice, level of specialist support for finishing of rest testing preceding a medical procedure, the accessibility of preoperative assessment centers and rest study assessment, checking capacities of the office where the medical procedure is to be performed, probability of PAP use in the postoperative period, and clinician and patient inclination. The dangers and advantages ought to be examined with the patient [9].

Whether or not medical procedure is conceded for additional assessment, all patients ought to be dealt with intraoperative and postoperative danger moderation measures and insurances [10].

Earnest and crisis medical procedure ought not be deferred to make a conventional analysis of OSA or to establish therapy. Patients thought to be at high danger of OSA ought to be made do with a possible analysis of OSA, and perioperative danger moderation procedures ought to be utilized [8].

By and large, the decision of sedative method is controlled by the surgery, patient elements (eg, anticipated trouble with aviation route the executives, comorbidities), and inclinations of the patient, anesthesiologist, and specialist. General standards incorporate the accompanying [8].

Limiting respiratory depressants liable to have lingering impact during the postoperative period – For patients with OSA, an objective for a sedation (ie, checked sedation care [MAC] with sedation, general sedation, or provincial sedation) is to maintain a strategic distance from deteriorated intra-and postoperative aviation route hindrance by limiting the utilization of respiratory depressants with impacts that will proceed into the postoperative period. Most sedative strategies can be changed to adjust to this objective

Inclination for provincial sedation – Regional sedation (both fringe nerve and neuraxial blocks) strategies are liked over broad sedation for fringe methods at whatever point conceivable. In any case, if moderate or profound sedation would be needed for a patient to endure a strategy under territorial sedation, general sedation with a safe aviation route might be liked. Local absence of pain methods ought to likewise be utilized as a component of multimodal, narcotic saving postoperative absence of pain when proper.

Writing on the advantages of explicit sedation methods for patients with OSA is restricted, and comprises of for the most part review surveys with inalienable limits. In patients without OSA, neuraxial sedation may diminish the danger of postoperative pneumonic difficulties, however this issue is disputable [11].

Instances of studies looking at general and local sedation for patients with OSA incorporate the accompanying:

In a review populace based investigation of more than 30,000 patients with OSA who went through hip or knee arthroplasty, the utilization of neuraxial sedation was related with unobtrusively lower by and large significant confusions contrasted and joined general-neuraxial sedation or general sedation (16 versus 17 versus 18 percent, individually) [12].

In a review single-organization case-controlled investigation of patients with OSA who went through absolute hip or knee arthroplasty, contrasted and neuraxial sedation, general sedation was related with expanded dangers of pneumonic and gastrointestinal entanglements, pallor, and mortality. Ends are restricted by need data on the seriousness of OSA, rules utilized for the conclusion of OSA, consistence with OSA treatment, standards for the decision of sedative method and information in regards to postoperative narcotic utilization [13].

In a planned observational investigation of 376 OSA and non-OSA patients going through different medical procedures with postoperative polysomnography checking, general sedation was related with an expansion in the focal apnea list on the whole patients [4]. As in past investigations, the portion of perioperative narcotics was related with expanded postoperative focal apnea [14].

Narcotics and narcotics ought not be managed regularly as premedication for patients with OSA. In the event that premedication is fundamental, short-acting narcotics (eg, midazolam) ought to be directed in little

portions, titrated to impact, with nonstop heartbeat oximetry checking. Narcotic and narcotic opponent prescriptions (eg, flumazenil, naloxone) ought to be promptly accessible. Multimodal narcotic saving techniques for postoperative torment control may incorporate premedication with alpha-2 agonists as well as acetaminophen [15].

Macintosh and provincial sedation regularly include the utilization of tranquilizers or potentially pain relieving specialists. Standard observing during any sedative incorporates beat oximetry, and capnography too for any understanding who gets moderate or profound sedation or general sedation. Notwithstanding, capnography could be utilized to screen ventilation at whatever point feasible for patients with OSA who get any calming drugs during MAC or local sedation, paying little heed to the profundity of sedation [2].

Wheezing, apnea, and additionally oxyhemoglobin desaturation may show upper aviation route breakdown, which can happen regardless of whether the patient is utilizing positive aviation route pressure (PAP) [12].

Systems that might be utilized to limit aviation route issues during and after sedation incorporate [8].

Use mixtures at the most minimal compelling dosages as opposed to bolus dosing of narcotics or narcotics to diminish wordy respiratory wretchedness.

Utilize short-acting tranquilizers/narcotics (eg, propofol, remifentanyl) to limit remainder into the postoperative period.

Use tranquilizers that are most drastically averse to instigate respiratory discouragement (eg, dexmedetomidine, ketamine). Position head up, if precisely worthy.

Use aviation route situating to calm hindrance, for example, sniffing position (lower cervical flexion, upper cervical expansion) or jaw push.

Consider the utilization of an oral or nasopharyngeal aviation route contingent upon the profundity of sedation and the patient's resilience.

Think about utilizing PAP, an oral machine, hypoglossal nerve incitement treatment, or high nasal stream oxygen during sedation.

Direct oxygen treatment to maintain a strategic distance from hypoxemia during MAC, perceiving that organization of supplemental oxygen defers discovery of respiratory melancholy, especially without a ventilation checking.

Provincial sedation strategies (ie, fringe nerve blocks, neuraxial sedation) ought to be utilized instead of general sedation at whatever point feasible for patients with OSA. Single infusion or nonstop fringe nerve blocks are successful parts of multimodal narcotic saving pain relieving conventions for fitting surgeries [2].

Patients with OSA might be more helpless to respiratory despondency related with neuraxial absence of pain with either persistent epidural lipophilic narcotic arrangements (eg, containing fentanyl), or single-infusion hydrophilic long-acting neuraxial narcotics (eg, morphine, hydromorphone).

Nonetheless, the information on this issue are restricted and the frequency of respiratory discouragement is obscure [8].

A 2013 precise audit of the writing on intricacies of neuraxial narcotics in patients with OSA discovered five investigations including 121 patients, with inferior quality proof. Five of the six detailed major cardiorespiratory inconveniences happened during consistent epidural absence of pain with fentanyl without utilization of simultaneous PAP treatment [16].

Specific concerns in regards to general sedation in patients with OSA incorporate the expanded rate of troublesome aviation routes and the potential for postoperative respiratory trade off because of the remaining impacts of sedative specialists. OSA itself and various related patient attributes (eg, corpulence, enormous neck periphery, wheezing) are hazard factors for trouble with aviation route the board for sedation and for aviation route confusions after extubation [8].

5. Conclusion

Evaluating all preoperative patients for OSA is suggested. If not everything patients can be screened, the most basic populaces to screen are corpulent patients (weight list [BMI] ≥ 35 kg/m²), those booked for bariatric medical procedure, and those with other ailments profoundly connected with OSA. In patients with OSA, general administration systems incorporate semi-upstanding or upstanding situating, narcotic saving pain relieving methods, and shirking of simultaneous organization of tranquilizers. A prohibitive or objective coordinated system for perioperative liquid treatment utilizing liquids with generally lower salt substance (ie, Ringer's Lactate or Plasmalyte as opposed to ordinary saline) is liked to keep away from rostral liquid movements in the neck. In postoperative patients with a known finding of OSA who are dealt with preoperatively with noninvasive positive aviation route pressure (PAP), it is recommended the standard utilization of PAP treatment instead of no PAP. In those without an analysis of OSA or in the individuals who have a conclusion yet are rebellious or beforehand narrow minded with treatment preoperatively, PAP ought to be applied uniquely in the individuals who show postoperative times of hypoxemia, hindrance, apnea, or hypoventilation as opposed to the normal organization of PAP. PAP treatment ought to be begun when is possible after medical procedure, ideally in the post-sedation care unit (PACU) and proceeded on the floor through recuperation and release home.

References

- [1] H.Wang, X. Li, Z. Tang, and G. Gong, "Cardiovascular Outcomes Post Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis," *Diabetes Ther.*, vol. 11, pp. 1795–1806, 2020.
- [2] R.Corso, V.Russotto, C.Gregoretti, and D. Cattano, "Perioperative management of obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review.," *Minerva Anestesiol.*, vol. 84, pp. 81–93, 2017.
- [3] R. B. Berry . "AASM scoring manual version 2.2 updates: new chapters for scoring infant sleep staging and home sleep apnea testing." *American Academy of Sleep Medicine*, vol. 1, pp. 45-61, 2015.
- [4] K.F.Chung . "Erratum: International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma (European Respiratory Journal (2014) 43 (343-373))," *Eur. Respir. J.*, vol. 43, pp. 456, 2014.
- [5] E. Seet, T. L. Han, and F. Chung, "Perioperative clinical pathways to manage sleep-disordered breathing," *Sleep Med. Clin.*, vol. 8, pp. 105–120, 2013.
- [6] S. Shafazand, "Perioperative management of obstructive sleep apnea: Ready for prime time?," *Cleve. Clin. J. Med.*, vol. 76, pp. S98-103, 2009.
- [7] S.C.Veasey and I. M. Rosen, "Obstructive sleep apnea in adults," *N. Engl. J. Med.*, vol. 380, pp. 1442–1449, 2019.
- [8] E.Olson, F.Chung, and E.Seet, "Surgical risk and the preoperative evaluation and management of adults with obstructive sleep apnea," *UpToDate* [consultado em Agosto 2018] Disponível em <http://www.uptodate.com/home>, vol. 2014, pp. 762, 2014.
- [9] M. Semelka, J. Wilson, and R. Floyd, "Diagnosis and treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in adults," *Am. Fam. Physician*, vol. 94, pp. 355–360, 2016.
- [10] N. T. Phan, B. Wallwork, and B. Panizza, "Surgery for adult patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: A review for general practitioners," *Aust. Fam. Physician*, vol. 45, pp. 574–578, 2016.
- [11] Y. Subramani, M. Nagappa, J. Wong, J. Patra, and F. Chung, "Death or near-death in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: a compendium of case reports of critical complications," *BJA Br. J. Anaesth.*, vol. 119, pp. 885–899, 2017.
- [12] S. G. Memtsoudis et al., "The impact of sleep apnea on postoperative utilization of resources and adverse outcomes," *Anesth. Analg.*, vol. 118, p. 407, 2014.
- [13] S.Y.Naqvi et al., "Perioperative complications in patients with sleep apnea undergoing total joint arthroplasty," *J. Arthroplasty*, vol. 32, pp. 2680–2683, 2017.
- [14] D.W.Blake, P. H. Chia, G. Donnan, and D. L. Williams, "Preoperative assessment for obstructive sleep apnoea and the prediction of postoperative respiratory obstruction and hypoxaemia," *Anaesth. Intensive Care*, vol. 36, pp. 379–384, 2008.

- [15] M.T.Pawlik, E. Hansen, D. Waldhauser, C. Selig, and T. S. Kuehnel, "Clonidine premedication in patients with sleep apnea syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study," *Anesth. Analg.*, vol. 101, pp. 1374–1380, 2005.
- [16] D.Orlov, S.Ankichetty, F. Chung, and R. Brull, "Cardiorespiratory complications of neuraxial opioids in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review," *J. Clin. Anesth.*, vol. 25, pp. 591–599, 2013.
- [17] E.H.L.Chau, D. Lam, J. Wong, B. Mokhlesi, F. Chung, and D. S. Warner, "Obesity hypoventilation syndrome: a review of epidemiology, pathophysiology, and perioperative considerations," *J. Am. Soc. Anesthesiol.*, vol. 117, pp. 188–205, 2012.
- [18] Y. Sato, A. Ikeda, T. Ishikawa, and S. Isono, "How can we improve mask ventilation in patients with obstructive sleep apnea during anesthesia induction?," *J. Anesth.*, vol. 27, pp. 152–156, 2013.
- [19] S. Ankichetty, J. Wong, and F. Chung, "A systematic review of the effects of sedatives and anesthetics in patients with obstructive sleep apnea," *J. Anaesthesiol. Clin. Pharmacol.*, vol. 27, p. 447, 2011.
- [20] D.R.Hillman and F. Chung, "Anaesthetic management of sleep-disordered breathing in adults," *Respirology*, vol. 22, pp. 230–239, 2017.