

EFFECT OF SOWING DATE, CULTIVAR, AND SEEDING RATE ON BARLEY UNDER SANDY SOIL CONDITIONS IN EYGPT

A.M. Agwa¹ and Seham M. Mohamad²

1. Barley Res. Dept., Field Crop Res. Inst. A RC, Egypt.

2. Crop Physiology Res. Dept., Field Crop Res. Inst. A RC, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

A field trial was carried out at Ismailia Agric. Res. Sta. through 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 winter seasons. The study aimed to find out the effect of three sowing dates (5th November, 25th November and 15th December) and four seeding rate (30, 40, 50 and 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹) on three barley cultivars (Giza 2000, Giza 134 and Giza 131) under sandy soil conditions for phenological stages, growth parameters, yield and yield components of barley. The early sowing on 5th Nov. produced the longest phenological duration and growing degree days at booting, heading and maturity, the highest growth parameters, i.e. total chlorophyll, crop growth rate, leaf relative water content, the highest yield, yield components and attributes i.e. plant height, number of grains spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight, number of spikes m⁻² grain and harvest index compared with other sowing dates. Cultivars significantly affected phenological stages, growth parameters, yield and yield components. Giza134 cultivar had the highest values of all mentioned traits, except for 1000-grain weight, which was increased by Giza 131cultivar. Increasing seeding rate up to 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ decreased number of days and growing degree days at all phenological stages, total chlorophyll, leaf relative water content, spike length, number of grains spike⁻¹, 1000-grain weight and harvest index, however it increased plant height, number of spikes m⁻² and biological yield fad⁻¹. Crop growth rate, net assimilation rate and grain yield fad⁻¹ responded to increasing seeding rate up to 50 kg seeds fad⁻¹.

Key words: *Barley, Sowing date, Seeding rate, Phenological stages, Growth parameters, Grain yield.*

INTRODUCTION

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.) is the world's fourth most important cereal crop and the fourth ranking cereal in Egypt after wheat, maize and rice (Noaman 2008). Barley is grown over a border that is produced in regions with climates unfavorable for production of other major cereals. Total harvested area by barley in Egypt from 2016/2017 season amounted to 175270 feddan with an annual production of approximately 239667 ton (Economic Affairs Sector- Bulletin of Agriculture Statistics). Yield and quality of barley are known to be influenced by several factors such as cultivar, sowing time, sowing depth, seeding rate, water and nutrient management, harvesting time and other agronomic practices. On the other hand, late sowing of barley might expose the plants to higher temperature after and during heading, resulting in reduced number of spikes m⁻² and grains number spike⁻¹ (Randhawa *et al* 1977). Late sowing is always accompanied with late emergence due to low day and night temperatures. Many workers reported that early sowing of barley significantly increased yield and its attributes compared with late sowing. Chaudhary *et al* (2017) studied five dates of sowing on barley growth under sandy loam conditions

at 30th October, 10th, 20th, 30th November and 10th December. They revealed that sowing at 30th October recorded higher number of productive tillers, grains number per spike, spike length, whereas, the lowest number previous traits were recorded in sowing at 10th Dec. and they added selection of optimum sowing time may be the best option to escape heat stress at anthesis and grain filling stage and to avoid losses and sustain yield levels. On the other hand, Amarjeet *et al* (2020) found that sowing barley in last week of October and 1st week of November produced the highest grain yield compared with sowing in 3rd week of November. This might be because of the higher temperature during the reproductive phase, particularly at the grain filling stage. Abiotic stresses (heat and drought) affect grain filling and reproductive processes (Sehgal *et al* 2018). In the same trend Mahanta *et al* (2018) sowed barley at 25th October, 10th, 25th Nov., and 10th and 25th December and pointed out that sowing at 10th Nov. was superior to others in effective tillers m⁻² grains head⁻¹, thousand-grain weight, plant height, head length and grain yield. While, the previous traits recorded the worst values with sowing date at 25th Dec. Suleiman *et al* (2014) reported that late sowing not only shortened the vegetative phases but also reduced yield of wheat. The highest yield was obtained when cultivars were sown between 1st Nov. to 15th Nov. Singh *et al* (2018) sowed barley at 20th Oct., 16th Nov. and 10th Dec. and found that sowing date at 20th Oct. achieved maximum values of no. spike m⁻² and biological yield, while sowing date at 16th Nov. resulted in better grain yield, spike length and number of grains spike⁻¹.

Several investigators reported that barley cultivars showed significant differences in yield and yield attributes due to differences in their genetic background (El-Bawab *et al*, 2011, El-Bawab *et al*, 2014, El-Banna *et al*, 2011, Omar 2013 Ramadhan., 2013, Ashmawy *et al* 2016 and Abu-Grab *et al* 2017), who recorded that the two new barley cultivars Giza 133 and Giza 134 surpassed Giza 123 and Giza 2000 under newly reclaimed lands.

An appropriate seed rate is the most important agronomic management factor in barley. Delaying sowing date in dry area with sufficient soil moisture is not adequate, reduced individual plant growth

and tiller production. So late sowing date and dry area normally require higher seed rate. Seed rate also differ by cultivar and sowing method.

Generally, broadcast sowing method requires higher seed than any sowing method. So proper amount of seed is necessary for good plant stand and establishment. Donavon *et al* (2011) found that increasing seeding rate from 200 to 400 seeds m^{-2} had no significant effect on grain yield of malting barley cultivars grown under Canadian conditions. Ramadhan (2013) indicated that increasing seeding rate from 100 to 140 kg/ha caused a significant increase in number of tillers/ m^2 , plant height, number of spikes m^{-2} , grain and biological yields. Increase in number of tillers/ m^2 may be attributed to the fact that more plants emerged as the seeding rate was increased which is reflected on the total number of tillers per unit area. Kiliç and Gürsoy (2010) found a negative correlation between seeding rate and harvest index, no. of grains, 1000 grain and chlorophyll content. Increasing seeding rate from 50 to 550 seeds m^{-2} resulted significant reduction in the above mentioned traits. On the other hand, increasing seeding rate increased in plant height and no. of spike m^{-2} in barley crop (Omar 2013). Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the effect of sowing date, barley cultivar and planting density on phenological stages, growth parameters, yield and yield components under newly reclaimed sandy soil using sprinkler irrigation system in Egypt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was conducted at Ismalia Agricultural Research Station farm during 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 winter seasons. This study aimed to investigate the phenological and physiological responses to sowing date and seeding rate of three barley cultivars under sandy soil conditions. The experiment was laid out in a split split plot design with three replicates. The main plots were assigned to three sowing dates at 1st Nov.(early), 25th Nov. (optimum) and 15th Dec. (late) and sub-plots were occupied by three barley cultivars, *i.e.* Giza 134, Giza 2000 and Giza 131 whereas the sub sub- plots were devoted to seeding rate *i.e.* 30, 40, 50 and 60 kg seeds/fad. Sub- sub- plot area was 10.5 m^2 (3.5× 3 m), 15 rows, 20 cm apart and 3.5 long. Sprinkler irrigation system was used in this study. Seeds of the tested genotypes were supplied from the Barley Program,

Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center and using conventional practices in the area.

Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental site are presented in Table (1)

Table 1. Physical and chemical analysis of soil texture in the experimental site.

| Season | Particle size distribution | | | | Soil Tex. | O.M% | CaCO ₃ % | pH (1:2.5) | Available nutrients (ppm) | | |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|------|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|------|------|
| | Coarse sand% | Fine sand% | Silt% | Clay% | | | | | N | P | K |
| 2017/2018 | 82.27 | 10.80 | 1.60 | 5.33 | sandy | 0.59 | 0.46 | 7.50 | 32.09 | 5.30 | 80.2 |
| 2018/2019 | 82.80 | 10.60 | 1.55 | 5.05 | sandy | 0.62 | 0.48 | 7.40 | 34.5 | 5.20 | 75.3 |

Table 2. Monthly maximum and minimum air temperatures and relative humidity% at El-Ismalia Agric. Res. Stat. in 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons.

| Month | 2017/2018 | | | | 2018/2019 | | | |
|----------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| | Relative humidity% | Temperature °C | | | Relative humidity% | Temperature C° | | |
| | | Min | Max | Mean | | Min | Max | Mean |
| November | 54.70 | 12.07 | 24.91 | 18.49 | 51.92 | 13.24 | 25.49 | 19.37 |
| December | 60.10 | 10.40 | 21.07 | 15.72 | 57.10 | 9.85 | 19.24 | 14.55 |
| January | 60.11 | 8.83 | 17.58 | 13.21 | 55.94 | 6.38 | 17.61 | 12.00 |
| February | 50.15 | 10.35 | 22.06 | 16.21 | 51.25 | 7.47 | 19.57 | 13.52 |
| March | 45.03 | 12.63 | 26.03 | 19.33 | 43.29 | 10.13 | 21.00 | 15.57 |
| April | 42.05 | 14.86 | 27.79 | 21.33 | 44.17 | 12.83 | 25.47 | 19.15 |

*Source: Water Requirements and field Irrigation Research Dpt., Soil Water and Environment Research Institute (SWERI), Agric. Res. Center. Egypt.

Data recorded

Phenological stages

- Number of days to germination, booting, heading and maturity.
- Growing degree days (GDD): was calculated according to the following formula: $(GDD) = \sum [(T_{max} + T_{min})/2 - T_b]$, where T_{max} and T_{min} are the maximum and minimum daily temperature and T_b is the base temperature $T_b = 4.5^\circ\text{C}$ as recommended by Monteith (1984).

Growth parameters

- Total chlorophyll content (mg dm^{-2}) was measured spectrophotometrically at booting stage according to **Moran (1982)**. The chlorophyll content was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Chl.a+b} = 7.04 \times A_{664} + 20.27 \times A_{647} = (\mu\text{g. ml}^{-1}),$$

Where: A_{664} is the absorbance reading at 664 nm, A_{647} is the reading at 647nm.

To estimate crop growth rate (CGR), plants of 25 cm long from each row were randomly taken from each plot at booting stage and then after 20 days. Plants were separated into roots and shoots then dried at 70°C in a ventilated oven to a constant weight. The following formula was used to determine CGR character according to Watson (1952).

- Crop growth rate (CGR) is the rate of dry matter accumulation per unit of occupied ground per day.

$$\text{CGR} = (W_2 - W_1)/(T_2 - T_1) = \text{g m}^{-2} \text{ week}^{-1}$$

Where, W_1 and W_2 refer to dry weight of barley plant at booting stage and then after 20 days whereas T_1 and T_2 refer to the time between booting stage and then after 20 days.

Net Assimilation rate (NAR)-

Net assimilation rate is the increase rate of plant dry matter per leaf area unit per time unit.

$$\text{NAR} = (W_2 - W_1) (\log_e A_2 - \log_e A_1) / (A_2 - A_1) (T_2 - T_1) = \text{g /m}^{-2} / \text{week}$$

according to Radford (1967).

Where: W_1 , A_1 and W_2 , A_2 respectively refer to dry weight and leaf area at time (T_1 and T_2) in weeks.

-Leaf relative water content (RWC%)

$RWC = (F_w - D_w) / (T_w - D_w) \times 100$ according to (Barris and Weatherly 1962), where F_w , T_w and D_w are fresh weight, turgid weight and dry weight, respectively.

-Flag leaf osmotic potential (OP, bar) (Gusev 1960).

Yield and yield components

At harvest time, ten guarded plants were randomly taken from the central row in each plot to determine the following traits:

- Plant height (cm).
- Spike length (cm).
- 1000- grain weight (g).
- Number of grains per spike.

Numbers of spikes m^{-2} were determined from one m area in each plot. In addition, plants in the central area ($2 m^2$) of each plot were harvested to determine:

- Biological yield (kg/fed).
- Grain yield (ard fed^{-1}).
- Harvest index (%).

Data were statistically analyzed according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) by using MSTAT-C (1991) where statistical program Version 2.1 was used for analysis of variance (ANOVA). In table 3, 4 a combined analysis was performed for the data of the two seasons after testing the homogeneity of the experimental error by Bartellets test (Steel *et al* 1997). The collected data were statistically analyzed (Steel and Torrie 1980). Means of the studied traits were compared using LSD at 5% probability level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenological stage

Table (3) showed the effect of sowing date and seeding rate on number of days and growing degree days to germination, booting, heading and maturity stages of the three barley cultivars.

Number of days to germination, booting, heading and maturity

Effect of sowing date

Sowing date significantly affected all traits for combined data across years. Early sowing date resulted in an increase in number of days for studied traits, except number of days to germination stage which was shorter than other dates. Also, number of days to germination decreased by 4.08 days compared with late sowing.

Table 3. Phenological traits of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date, cultivar and seeding rate in the combined analysis across years.

| Treats | No. of days to | | | | Growing degree days | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|----------|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Germ. | Booting | Heading | Maturity | Germ. | Booting | Heading | Maturity |
| Sowing date (A) | | | | | | | | |
| 5 th Nov. (A ₁) | 15.14 | 78.24 | 87.76 | 130.4 | 233.0 | 905.1 | 981.8 | 1434 |
| 25 th Nov. (A ₂) | 17.07 | 73.65 | 83.69 | 124.5 | 199.6 | 719.2 | 820.3 | 1316 |
| 15 th Dec. (A ₃) | 19.22 | 67.85 | 75.83 | 119.1 | 175.1 | 623.9 | 719.4 | 1317 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 5.13 | 28 |
| Cultivars (B) | | | | | | | | |
| Giza 2000 (B ₁) | 17.31 | 73.04 | 82.76 | 124.2 | 204.2 | 746.3 | 843.1 | 1348 |
| Giza 134 (B ₂) | 16.25 | 75.47 | 84.69 | 127.7 | 193.4 | 772.0 | 863.3 | 1397 |
| Giza 131 (B ₃) | 17.88 | 71.22 | 79.83 | 122.1 | 210.0 | 730.0 | 815.0 | 1321 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 12 |
| Seeding rate (C) | | | | | | | | |
| 30 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₁) | 19.02 | 75.63 | 85.46 | 127.7 | 223.9 | 771.7 | 869.5 | 1396 |
| 40 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₂) | 17.57 | 74.26 | 83.52 | 125.4 | 207.0 | 759.6 | 850.8 | 1365 |
| 50 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₃) | 16.48 | 72.41 | 81.50 | 123.5 | 195.2 | 741.8 | 831.5 | 1341 |
| 60 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₄) | 15.50 | 70.69 | 79.24 | 122.1 | 184.1 | 724.5 | 810.1 | 1321 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.72 | 0.63 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 18 |

Treats = Treatments, Germ. = Germination.

Number of days to booting, heading and maturity stages increased by 10.39, 11.93 and 11.30 days, respectively when sowing was at 5th Nov. compared with delaying of sowing. Our results are in agreement with those of Alam *et al* (2006) who stated that delaying sowing crop may be face the unappropriate temperature prevailing at different growth stages, moreover, low temperature at the time of germination delayed growth and development of the crop, resulting in sufficient of the accumulation biomass and shortening of crop duration. In addition, sowing at 10th Nov. increased number of days at booting stage compared with 20th Dec. (Wahid *et al* 2017) and increased number of days at heading and maturity stages compared with 15th Dec. when sowing was at 25th Oct. (Ali *et al* 2017).

Barley cultivars behavior

There were significant differences among studied cultivars for studied attributes. Giza134 was earlier in germination by 1.63 days compared with Giza 131 but showed increased number of days to booting, heading and maturity stages by 4.25, 4.86 and 5.60 days, respectively, compared with Giza 131 (Table, 3).

Effect of seeding rate

As shown in Table (3), data indicated that increasing seeding rate to 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} decreased number of days to germination, booting, heading and maturity stage by 3.52, 4.94, 6.22 and 5.58 days, respectively compared with seeding rate at 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} . These results agreed with those of Ejas *et al* (2003), who stated that the low seeds rate increased number of days to reach the stages of flowering, grain filling and full maturity of barley. Turk *et al* (2003) confirmed that high seeding rate stimulated the early evolution of phenological maturity and breadth of flag leaf at about ten days, compared with the low seed rate. Our results are agreed with those of (Dofing and Knight, 1992, Rawashdeh, 2015 and Amarjeet *et al* 2020).

Effect of the interactions

Data in (Table, 4) mentioned that the interaction between sowing date and cultivar had a significant effect on number of days to heading and maturity stages. Early sowing at 5th Nov. of Giza 134 increased number of days to heading and maturity stages. On the other side, late sowing at 15th Dec. of Giza 131 decreased number of days to heading and maturity stages.

Data presented in (Table 4) for sowing date and seeding rate interaction indicated that there are significant effect on number of days to germination and booting stages, while heading and maturity were not significant affected. Sowing 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} at 5th Nov. decreased number of days to germination. On the other side, sowing 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} at 15th Dec. increased number of days to germination. In addition, sowing 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} at 5th Nov. significantly increased number of days to booting stage, while sowing 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} at 15th Dec. significantly decreased number of days to booting stage. The interaction between cultivar and seeding rate was not significant in all phenological stages.

Table 4. Phenological traits of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date x Cultivars (A x B), sowing date x seeding rate (A x C) and Cultivars x seeding rate (B x C) interactions in the combined analysis.

| Treats | No. of days to | | | | Growing degree days | | | |
|----------|----------------|---------|---------|----------|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Germ. | Booting | Heading | Maturity | Germ. | Booting | Heading | Maturity |
| AB | | | | | | | | |
| A1B1 | 15.25 | 78.29 | 87.54 | 129.4 | 234.5 | 905.4 | 980.1 | 1419 |
| A1B2 | 14.50 | 81.04 | 90.29 | 134.7 | 223.9 | 927.2 | 1002.6 | 1491 |
| A1B3 | 15.67 | 75.38 | 85.46 | 127.2 | 240.5 | 882.5 | 962.6 | 1392 |
| A2B1 | 17.17 | 73.13 | 84.63 | 124.3 | 200.6 | 712.1 | 828.8 | 1314 |
| A2B2 | 16.21 | 75.63 | 85.29 | 127.3 | 190.4 | 743.0 | 835.1 | 1351 |
| A2B3 | 17.83 | 72.21 | 81.17 | 121.9 | 207.7 | 702.6 | 796.9 | 1282 |
| A3B1 | 19.50 | 67.71 | 76.13 | 118.8 | 177.4 | 621.3 | 720.4 | 1312 |
| A3B2 | 18.04 | 69.75 | 78.50 | 121.2 | 165.9 | 645.8 | 752.3 | 1350 |
| A3B3 | 20.13 | 66.08 | 72.88 | 117.3 | 181.9 | 604.7 | 685.5 | 1289 |
| LSD0.05 | NS | NS | 0.98 | 1.6 | NS | NS | 7.7 | NS |
| AC | | | | | | | | |
| A1C1 | 17.22 | 81.83 | 91.06 | 134.3 | 262.4 | 933.4 | 1009.9 | 1484 |
| A1C2 | 15.56 | 79.56 | 89.11 | 130.8 | 239.0 | 915.4 | 992.6 | 1437 |
| A1C3 | 14.44 | 77.00 | 86.72 | 128.9 | 223.4 | 895.3 | 973.3 | 1415 |
| A1C4 | 13.33 | 74.56 | 84.17 | 127.6 | 207.1 | 876.2 | 951.4 | 1401 |
| A2C1 | 19.39 | 75.28 | 87.11 | 127.7 | 225.1 | 737.1 | 851.7 | 1358 |
| A2C2 | 17.50 | 74.94 | 84.83 | 125.6 | 203.7 | 734.7 | 830.5 | 1329 |
| A2C3 | 16.28 | 72.89 | 82.78 | 123.3 | 190.7 | 712.5 | 811.1 | 1300 |
| A2C4 | 15.11 | 71.50 | 80.06 | 121.5 | 178.8 | 692.7 | 787.7 | 1276 |
| A3C1 | 20.44 | 69.78 | 78.22 | 120.9 | 184.3 | 644.7 | 746.9 | 1345 |
| A3C2 | 19.67 | 68.28 | 76.61 | 119.9 | 178.3 | 628.8 | 729.3 | 1330 |
| A3C3 | 18.72 | 67.33 | 75.00 | 118.4 | 171.5 | 617.7 | 710.2 | 1307 |
| A3C4 | 18.06 | 66.00 | 73.50 | 117.1 | 166.3 | 604.6 | 691.2 | 1285 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.56 | 1.25 | NS | NS | 5.9 | NS | NS | NS |

Treats = Treatments, Germ. = Germination.

AB = sowing date x Cultivars, AC = sowing date x seeding rate, BC = Cultivars x seeding rate

Growing degree days (GDD)

Effect of sowing date:

At early sowing, growing degree days to germination, booting, heading and maturity stages were increased compared with other sowing dates. Growing degree days to germination, booting, heading and maturity stages were increased by 24.85, 31.06, 26.72 and 8.16%, respectively in

response to early sowing compared with late sowing (Table 3). The obtained results are in agreement with those of Ram and Kaur (2018), who noted that early sowing achieved higher GDD than under late sown conditions due to number of days taken to maturity under timely sown conditions on barley. Similar results were reported by Ram and Gupta (2016) in wheat crop.

Barley cultivars behavior

Regarding barley cultivars, results indicated that there were significant effects on GDD during all phenological stages. The high GDD accumulation was recorded by Giza 134 (5.45, 5.60 and 5.44 %) during booting, heading and maturity stages, respectively except for germination stage which was lowered by 7.91 % compared with Giza 131 (Table, 3).

Effect of seeding rate

There was negative relation between seeding rate and GDD at all studied stages where, increasing seeding rates up to 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} reduced GDD for phenological stages compared with 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} . A reduction was found in GDD for germination, booting, heading and maturity stages at 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} reaching 17.78, 6.12, 6.83 and 5.37 % respectively compared with 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} (Table, 3).

Effect of the interactions among studied factors

Data presented in Table (4) for the interaction between sowing date and cultivars showed that a significant effect was observed on GDD to heading. Early sowing of Giza 134 increased GDD heading stage. In contrast, late sowing of Giza 131 decreased GDD to heading stage.

The interaction between sowing date and seeding rate (Table, 4) clearly showed significant effects on GDD to germination only stage. Sowing 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} at 5th Nov. increased GDD to germination stage. On the other side it was decreased when sowing 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} at 15th Dec.

Growth parameters

Results in Tables (5 and 6) show the effect of sowing date and seeding rate on total chlorophyll (total chl.), crop growth rate (CGR), net assimilation rate (NAR), leaf relative water content (RWC) and flag leaf osmotic potential (OP) of the three barley cultivars and their interactions in the analysis combined across years.

Table 5. Growth parameters of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date, cultivar and seeding rate in the combined analysis.

| Treatments | Total Chl (mg dm ⁻²) | CGR (g m ⁻² week ⁻¹) | NAR (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹) | OP (bar) | RWC (%) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------|------------|
| Sowing date (A) | | | | | |
| 5 th Nov. (A ₁) | 19.31 | 177.4 | 5.08 | 16.29 | 75.57 |
| 25 th Nov. (A ₂) | 17.51 | 160.7 | 4.70 | 18.26 | 72.50 |
| 15 th Dec. (A ₃) | 14.78 | 134.0 | 3.63 | 21.60 | 64.64 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.73 | 10.3 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 1.65 |
| Cultivars (B) | | | | | |
| Giza 2000(B ₁) | 16.96 | 155.1 | 4.50 | 18.62 | 70.53 |
| Giza 134(B ₂) | 18.59 | 168.7 | 4.83 | 17.16 | 74.27 |
| Giza 131(B ₃) | 16.04 | 148.3 | 4.08 | 20.37 | 67.91 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.35 | 2.9 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.81 |
| Seeding rate(C) | | | | | |
| 30 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₁) | 19.04 | 137.9 | 3.60 | 16.82 | 74.69 |
| 40 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₂) | 17.89 | 153.2 | 4.21 | 17.96 | 72.01 |
| 50 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₃) | 16.66 | 168.5 | 4.94 | 19.12 | 69.74 |
| 60 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₄) | 15.20 | 169.8 | 5.13 | 20.95 | 67.19 |
| LSD 0.05 | 0.46 | 6.0 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 0.79 |

Total Chl. = total chlorophyll, **CGR**= crop growth rate, **NAR**= net assimilation rate, **OP** = flag leaf osmotic potential, **RWC** = leaf relative water content.

Effect of sowing date

Data presented in Table (5) showed that early sowing date significantly increased all above studied traits except of flag leaf osmotic potential (OP) which decreased compared with other dates. Sowing at 5th Nov. increased total chlorophyll (total chl.), crop growth rate (CGR), net assimilation rate (NAR), leaf relative water content (RWC) by 23.46, 24.46, 28.54 and 14.46%, respectively and improved flag leaf osmotic potential (OP) by 24.58% compared with sowing at 15th Dec. It is interesting to mention that 5th Nov. sowing date experienced more favorable climatic conditions. It could be attributed to high temperature in late sowing date resulting in shortening of growing season and consequently CGR and NAR which reduced as a result of reduction in total dry matter which causes earlier senescence of leaves. This conclusion is in harmony with that reported by Fahad and Samir, (2015), Pankaj *et al*

(2016), Ali *et al* (2017), Priya devi *et al* (2018), Singh *et al* (2018) and (Shah *et al* (2019)

Barley cultivars behavior

As far as, cultivars differences in total Chl., CGR, NAR, RWC and OP, it is quite evident that the response of Giza 134 in all growth parameters was greater than the other two cultivars (Table, 5). The superiority of Giza 134 may be due to it took more number of days from booting to maturity, thus having longer period for photosynthesis and then enhanced Chl., CGR, NAR. These results are in harmony with those reported by El-Bawab *et al* (2014) and Abu-Grab *et al* (2017).

Effect of seeding rate

In the analysis combined across years, increasing of seeding rate from 30 to 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} significantly decreased total Chl., RWC, CGR and NAR while, OP was significantly increased (Table 5). The reduction in total Chl. and RWC by increasing seeding rate up to 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} reaching 20.17 and 10.04 %, respectively but, increasing seeding rate up to 50 kg seeds fad^{-1} resulted in increasing CGR and NAR by 18.17 and 27.13%, respectively. OP was increased at rate of 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} by 19.71%. As in low population, the fewer plants grown so well, inter plant spaces increased more and ability of plants to absorb the light and nutrient elements is improved and increase chlorophyll content Jamaati *et al* (2009). In the same trend, Kiliç and Gürsoy (2010) found a negative correlation between seeding rates and chlorophyll content, whereas increasing seeding rate from 50 to 550 seed/ m^2 resulted in significant reduction in Chl. content. On the other hand, Sharifi and Raei (2011) on barley noticed that the increase in CGR resulted in increase in total dry matter as due to increasing seeding rate from 400 to 500 seed m^{-2} . It is perhaps related to accelerating the photosynthesis activity that is caused dry matter accumulation increased.

Effect of the interactions among studied factor

The interaction between sowing date and cultivar had a significant effect on CGR, RWC and OP. Giza 134 recorded the best value at sowing 5th Nov. while Giza 131 gave the worst value at sowing 15th Dec. in all traits (Table 6).

Table 6. Growth parameters of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date x Cultivars (AxB), sowing date x seeding rate (AxC) and Cultivars x seeding rate (BxC) interactions in the combined analysis.

| Treatments | Total Chl (mg dm ⁻²) | CGR (g m ⁻² week ⁻¹) | NAR (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹) | OP (bar) | RWC (%) |
|------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------|------------|
| AB | | | | | |
| A1B1 | 18.85 | 175.2 | 5.20 | 16.46 | 75.43 |
| A1B2 | 21.00 | 196.0 | 5.41 | 14.38 | 79.40 |
| A1B3 | 18.06 | 161.1 | 4.62 | 18.03 | 71.89 |
| A2B1 | 17.37 | 160.4 | 4.74 | 18.19 | 71.99 |
| A2B2 | 18.81 | 166.2 | 5.07 | 17.02 | 76.04 |
| A2B3 | 16.34 | 155.6 | 4.29 | 19.56 | 69.47 |
| A3B1 | 14.66 | 129.7 | 3.56 | 21.21 | 64.18 |
| A3B2 | 15.95 | 143.8 | 4.00 | 20.08 | 67.37 |
| A3B3 | 13.71 | 128.3 | 3.34 | 23.51 | 62.37 |
| LSD0.05 | NS | 5.0 | NS | 0.91 | 2.10 |
| AC | | | | | |
| A1C1 | 21.46 | 160.2 | 4.19 | 14.03 | 80.21 |
| A1C2 | 20.28 | 177.5 | 4.81 | 15.52 | 76.54 |
| A1C3 | 18.72 | 187.4 | 5.57 | 16.56 | 74.43 |
| A1C4 | 16.77 | 184.6 | 5.75 | 19.04 | 71.11 |
| A2C1 | 19.76 | 145.7 | 3.89 | 16.45 | 76.38 |
| A2C2 | 18.38 | 158.1 | 4.50 | 17.58 | 73.77 |
| A2C3 | 16.78 | 175.1 | 5.20 | 18.61 | 71.05 |
| A2C4 | 15.11 | 164.1 | 5.21 | 20.38 | 68.79 |
| A3C1 | 15.91 | 107.8 | 2.72 | 20.00 | 67.46 |
| A3C2 | 15.00 | 124.1 | 3.32 | 20.78 | 65.70 |
| A3C3 | 14.48 | 143.1 | 4.04 | 22.19 | 63.73 |
| A3C4 | 13.71 | 160.9 | 4.44 | 23.44 | 61.67 |
| LSD0.05 | 0.80 | 10.5 | NS | NS | NS |
| BC | | | | | |
| B1C1 | 18.75 | 137.9 | 3.53 | 17.10 | 73.93 |
| B1C2 | 17.94 | 151.7 | 4.19 | 17.59 | 71.89 |
| B1C3 | 16.48 | 167.8 | 5.02 | 19.08 | 69.40 |
| B1C4 | 14.68 | 162.9 | 5.25 | 20.71 | 66.91 |
| B2C1 | 20.49 | 150.1 | 4.06 | 15.11 | 77.65 |
| B2C2 | 19.34 | 166.6 | 4.57 | 16.62 | 75.23 |
| B2C3 | 18.04 | 183.4 | 5.27 | 17.66 | 73.51 |
| B2C4 | 16.49 | 174.6 | 5.41 | 19.24 | 70.69 |
| B3C1 | 17.89 | 125.7 | 3.21 | 18.26 | 72.48 |
| B3C2 | 16.39 | 141.3 | 3.87 | 19.67 | 68.90 |
| B3C3 | 15.45 | 154.4 | 4.52 | 20.63 | 66.30 |
| B3C4 | 14.43 | 172.0 | 4.74 | 22.91 | 63.97 |
| LSD0.05 | NS | 10.5 | NS | NS | NS |

* Total Chl. = total chlorophyll, CGR= crop growth rate, NAR= net assimilation rate, OP = flag leaf osmotic potential, RWC = leaf relative water content. *AB= sowing date x Cultivars, AC= sowing date x seeding rate, BC= Cultivars x seeding rate.

The interaction between sowing date and seeding rate showed that there were significant effects on total Chl. and on CGR. Sowing 30 kg seed fad^{-1} at 5th Nov. achieved the best values of Chl. and 50 kg seeds fad^{-1} for CGR. The lowest values were obtained when sowing 60 kg seed fad^{-1} at 15th Dec. for Chl. and by 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} for CGR.

The interaction between cultivar and seeding rate had a significant effect on CGR. Giza134 recorded the highest values at 50 kg seeds and the lowest value was obtained from Giza131 at seeding rate of 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} .

The interaction between sowing date, cultivars and seeding rate had significant effect for CGR where, sowing Giza 134 at 5th Nov. with 60 kg seeds fad^{-1} achieved the highest values while Giza 131 sowing at 15th Dec. with 30 kg seeds fad^{-1} recorded the lowest values.

Yield and yield components

Plant height, spike length, no. of grains spike⁻¹ and no. of spikes m⁻²

Table (7) showed that the effect of sowing date and seeding rate on plant height, spike length, grains spike⁻¹ and no. of spikes m⁻² of the three barley cultivars.

Effect of sowing date

Late sowing significantly decreased plant height, spike length, no. of grains spike⁻¹ and no. of spikes m⁻² compared with early and optimum sowing date. Sowing at 5th Nov. increased plant height, spike length, no. of grains spike⁻¹ and no. of spikes m⁻² by 23.55, 18.54, 15.07 and 23.08 %, respectively compared with sowing at 15th Dec. These results clearly indicate that the climatic conditions did not favor barley plants growth when sowing was delayed to 15th Dec. due to low temperature and the critical day length which affect growth of late sown plants. In Egypt, Dec. 21st is the day of the shortest photoperiod where thereafter days become longer, *i.e.* day hours tend to increase and hence force the long day plants of which barley belong to commit reproduction earlier than those sown on 5th or 25th November. Late sowing at 15th Dec. produced shorter plants with lower number of spikes than sowing at 5 or 20th Nov. Our results are close to those obtained by Chaudhary *et al* (2017) and Mahanta *et al* (2018) who reported that the worst value of number of productive tillers,

number of grains per spike, spike length were obtained from late sowing than other sowing dates.

Table 7. Yield and yield component of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date, cultivar and seeding rate in the combined analysis.

| Treatments | plant height (cm) | spike length (cm) | No. of grain spike ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) | No. of spike m ⁻² | Grain yield (ard/fed) | Biological yield (kg/fed) | Harvest index (%) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Sowing date (A) | | | | | | | | |
| 5 th Nov. (A ₁) | 91.22 | 7.28 | 61.79 | 46.14 | 288.1 | 11.46 | 3769 | 37.34 |
| 25 th Nov. (A ₂) | 85.86 | 6.96 | 57.43 | 44.42 | 269.2 | 10.06 | 3543 | 34.37 |
| 15 th Dec. (A ₃) | 69.74 | 5.93 | 52.48 | 42.85 | 221.6 | 8.37 | 3233 | 30.92 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.97 | 0.16 | 1.04 | 1.44 | 8.6 | 0.33 | 222 | 2.08 |
| Cultivars (B) | | | | | | | | |
| Giza 2000 (B ₁) | 82.00 | 6.60 | 59.02 | 43.77 | 257.4 | 9.92 | 3633 | 33.1 |
| Giza 134 (B ₂) | 86.62 | 7.57 | 62.01 | 42.28 | 278.6 | 11.42 | 3747 | 36.93 |
| Giza 131(B ₃) | 78.20 | 5.99 | 50.67 | 47.37 | 243.0 | 8.54 | 3165 | 32.6 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.58 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 1.22 | 7.4 | 0.29 | 175 | 1.41 |
| Seeding rates (C) | | | | | | | | |
| 30 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₁) | 77.32 | 8.09 | 61.23 | 47.54 | 245.8 | 8.93 | 3149 | 34.49 |
| 40 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₂) | 81.33 | 6.81 | 58.10 | 44.88 | 255.3 | 9.78 | 3291 | 35.52 |
| 50 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₃) | 83.48 | 6.30 | 56.25 | 43.49 | 263.3 | 10.45 | 3684 | 33.89 |
| 60 kg seeds fad ⁻¹ (C ₄) | 86.96 | 5.68 | 53.34 | 41.96 | 274.3 | 10.69 | 3937 | 32.93 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.02 | 0.22 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 6.0 | 0.21 | 157 | 0.87 |

Barley cultivars behavior

The data in the combined analysis showed that there were significant differences among cultivars for plant height, spike length, no. of grain spike⁻¹ and no. of spike m². It is obviously clear that Giza 134 surpassed other cultivars in these characters (Table 7). The superiority of Giza 134 may be attributed to the duration of vegetative growth stage which encouraged dry matter accumulation and increased plant capacity in

building up metabolites and grain development. Similar results were reported by El-Bawab *et al* (2014).

Effect of seeding rate

Respecting seeding rate, the results indicated that plant height, spike length, and no. of grains spike⁻¹ no. of spikes m⁻² were significantly affected in the combined analysis (Table 7). Increasing seeding rate gradually increased plant height and no. of spikes m⁻², while spike length and no. grains spike⁻¹ were reduced. The increase in plant height and no. of spikes m⁻² by increasing seeding rate up to 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ reached 11.09 and 10.39 %, respectively, but, spike length, and no. of grains spike⁻¹ were decreased at a rate of 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ by 29.79 and 12.89 %, respectively. The present results were confirmed by Amarjeet *et al* (2020) who reported the increasing seeding rate from 87.5 to 96.25 kg seeds/ha augmented plant population, which in turn improved spikes per unit area and finally the grain yield. As in low populations, the fewer plants grown so well, inter plant spaces increased more and ability of plants to absorb the light and nutrient elements is improved and the chlorophyll content was increased (Jamaati *et al* 2009). In addition, the increase in plant height with increasing seeding rate could be attributed to the elongating effect always caused by dense planting where the proportion of invisible radiation is increased among dense sown plants (Pessarakli 2001).

Effect of the interactions among studied factor

The results in Table (8) show that a significant interaction effect was found between sowing date and barley cultivar on plant height, spike length, no. grains spike⁻¹ and no. of spikes m⁻² in the combined analysis. Sowing Giza 134 at 5th Nov. achieved the highest values for the mentioned traits, while Giza 131 gave the lowest values when sown at 15th Dec. The interaction between sowing date and seeding rate had significant effect for each former traits in the combined analysis. Sowing 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 5th Nov. recorded the highest values of plant height and no. of spikes, but sowing 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 15th Dec. gave the lowest values in these traits. Sowing 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 5th Nov. caused an increasing in spike length and no. grains of spike but sowing 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 15th Dec. reduced the previous traits.

Table 8. Yield and yield component of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date x Cultivars (A x B), sowing date x seeding rate (A x C) and Cultivars x seeding rate (B x C) interactions in the combined analysis.

| Treatments | plant height (cm) | spike length (cm) | No. of grain spike ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) | No. of spike m ⁻² | Grain yield (ard/fad) | Biological yield (kg/fad) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| AB | | | | | | | | |
| A1B1 | 89.95 | 6.98 | 63.11 | 45.42 | 280.3 | 11.56 | 4149 | 34.26 |
| A1B2 | 98.22 | 8.67 | 67.07 | 43.67 | 307.2 | 12.87 | 3803 | 41.65 |
| A1B3 | 85.49 | 6.18 | 55.20 | 49.33 | 276.8 | 9.95 | 3354 | 36.11 |
| A2B1 | 86.09 | 7.09 | 58.39 | 42.34 | 264.4 | 10.10 | 3543 | 34.62 |
| A2B2 | 88.39 | 7.70 | 61.64 | 42.55 | 282.4 | 11.62 | 3870 | 36.43 |
| A2B3 | 83.09 | 6.08 | 52.25 | 48.37 | 261.0 | 8.45 | 3217 | 32.05 |
| A3B1 | 69.95 | 5.72 | 55.55 | 43.53 | 227.4 | 8.11 | 3206 | 30.43 |
| A3B2 | 73.24 | 6.34 | 57.33 | 40.62 | 246.1 | 9.77 | 3569 | 32.7 |
| A3B3 | 66.02 | 5.72 | 44.55 | 44.40 | 191.3 | 7.24 | 2926 | 29.63 |
| LSD0.05 | 2.74 | 0.40 | 1.48 | 2.11 | 12.8 | 0.64 | 230 | 2.45 |
| AC | | | | | | | | |
| A1C1 | 86.73 | 9.14 | 66.93 | 49.56 | 271.8 | 10.89 | 3794 | 36.17 |
| A1C2 | 89.42 | 7.28 | 62.78 | 46.47 | 280.2 | 11.40 | 3446 | 39.82 |
| A1C3 | 91.71 | 6.68 | 60.55 | 45.01 | 290.6 | 11.85 | 3853 | 36.99 |
| A1C4 | 97.03 | 6.01 | 56.91 | 43.51 | 309.7 | 11.69 | 3982 | 36.37 |
| A2C1 | 81.73 | 8.29 | 62.26 | 47.06 | 262.4 | 8.95 | 2870 | 37.32 |
| A2C2 | 85.02 | 7.02 | 58.68 | 44.92 | 266.0 | 9.93 | 3259 | 36.5 |
| A2C3 | 87.56 | 6.56 | 56.35 | 43.82 | 271.1 | 10.54 | 3794 | 33.22 |
| A2C4 | 89.12 | 5.97 | 52.42 | 41.88 | 277.5 | 10.80 | 4250 | 30.42 |
| A3C1 | 63.49 | 6.84 | 54.50 | 46.01 | 203.1 | 6.96 | 2783 | 29.99 |
| A3C2 | 69.54 | 6.15 | 52.85 | 43.25 | 219.6 | 8.00 | 3166 | 30.24 |
| A3C3 | 71.17 | 5.65 | 51.86 | 41.65 | 228.0 | 8.96 | 3405 | 31.46 |
| A3C4 | 74.73 | 5.07 | 50.70 | 40.50 | 235.8 | 9.58 | 3580 | 31.99 |
| LSD0.05 | 1.76 | 0.38 | 1.28 | NS | 10.3 | 0.37 | 199 | 1.51 |

Table 8. Yield and yield component of three barley cultivars as affected by sowing date x Cultivars (A x B), sowing date x seeding rate (A x C) and Cultivars x seeding rate (B x C) interactions in the combined analysis.

| Treatments | plant height (cm) | spike length (cm) | No. of grain spike ⁻¹ | 1000-grain weight (g) | No. of spike m ⁻² | Grain yield (ard/fad) | Biological yield (kg/fad) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| BC | | | | | | | | |
| B1C1 | 78.10 | 7.93 | 63.01 | 46.07 | 244.4 | 8.96 | 3470 | 31.88 |
| B1C2 | 80.82 | 6.72 | 60.03 | 44.33 | 252.6 | 9.66 | 3409 | 33.86 |
| B1C3 | 82.97 | 6.18 | 58.11 | 42.98 | 261.5 | 10.43 | 3667 | 34.14 |
| B1C4 | 86.11 | 5.58 | 54.92 | 41.69 | 271.0 | 10.64 | 3984 | 32.52 |
| B2C1 | 82.73 | 9.40 | 67.34 | 44.03 | 265.0 | 10.35 | 3374 | 37.17 |
| B2C2 | 85.33 | 7.64 | 62.73 | 42.55 | 273.5 | 11.19 | 3542 | 37.99 |
| B2C3 | 87.90 | 6.89 | 60.32 | 42.06 | 281.3 | 12.02 | 3980 | 36.22 |
| B2C4 | 90.51 | 6.35 | 57.66 | 40.48 | 294.5 | 12.12 | 4094 | 36.31 |
| B3C1 | 71.13 | 6.93 | 53.34 | 52.54 | 228.0 | 7.49 | 2602 | 34.43 |
| B3C2 | 77.83 | 6.09 | 51.55 | 47.78 | 239.7 | 8.48 | 2921 | 34.71 |
| B3C3 | 79.57 | 5.83 | 50.33 | 45.44 | 247.0 | 8.90 | 3405 | 31.3 |
| B3C4 | 84.26 | 5.12 | 47.45 | 43.72 | 257.5 | 9.31 | 3734 | 29.95 |
| LSD0.05 | 1.76 | 0.38 | 1.28 | 1.26 | NS | NS | 199 | 1.51 |

AB = sowing date x Cultivars, AC = sowing date x seeding rates, BC = Cultivars x seeding rates

It could be observed that the interaction barley cultivars and seeding rate had significant effect in combined on plant height, spike length and no grain spike⁻¹.

The interaction between sowing, date cultivars and seeding rate had significant effect where, sowing Giza 134 at 5th Nov. with 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ achieved the highest values for plant height and with 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹ for spike length while Giza 131 sowing at 15th Dec. with 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹ recorded the lowest values for plant height and with 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ for spike length.

1000-grain weight, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index

Results in Table (7) show the effect of sowing date and seeding rate on 1000-grain weight, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index of the three barley cultivars in the combined analysis.

Effect of sowing date

The data pointed out that early sowing date resulted in significant increases in the above mentioned traits compared with delaying sowing at 15th Dec. Sowing at 5th Nov. increased 1000-grain weight, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index by 7.13, 26.96, 14.22 and 17.19%, respectively compared with sowing at 15th Dec. This is due to the reduction in no. spikes m⁻², no. of grains spike⁻¹ and 1000 - grain weight with late sowing compared with early sowing which took more number of days from heading to maturity, thus got longer period for photosynthates translocation to grain (Ding *et al* 2015). In the same trend, harvest index clearly indicate that late sowing date might built more canopy on the expense of grain yield. These results are in line with those of Mahanta *et al* (2018), Priya devi *et al* (2018) and Singh *et al* (2018).

Barley cultivars behavior

The results showed significant differences among barley cultivars on all studied traits. In general, the cultivar Giza 134 was superior in each of grain yield, biological yield and harvest index compared with other cultivars in combined analysis Table (7) while Giza 131 which attained the highest 1000-grain weight. Giza 134 recorded the highest harvest index indicating its superiority in dry matter partitioning towards grain filling and hence grain yield fed⁻¹. High grain yield in these cultivars was found to be due higher yield attributes, i.e. more number of days and GDD from booting to maturity. These results are in harmony with those obtained by El-Banna *et al* (2011), Soleymani *et al* (2011) and Ramadhan (2013).

Effect of seeding rate

The effect of seeding rate on 1000-grain weight, grain yield, biological yield/fad⁻¹ and harvest index (Table 7) was significant in combined analysis across years. Increasing seeding rate from 50 to 60 kg fad⁻¹ had no significant effect on grain yield. There were negative effects of seeding rate on 1000-grain weight and harvest index. Although the increasing of seeding rate from 50 to 60 kg increased no. of spikes m⁻², but grain yield were declined. It may be attributed to more plant competition for growth resources, particularly plant nutrients. Mutual shading among the dense sown barley plants which in turn decrease the proportion of photosynthetically active radiation cannot be neglected in this respect as

dense sown plants might have had suffered from shortage in the amount of assimilates available for their development. Many workers revealed the importance of increasing seeding rate to increase the productivity of barley, e. g. Kilic and Gursoy (2010), Noworolnik (2010), Sharifi and Raei (2011) and Ramadhan (2013).

Effect of the interactions among studied factor

The results Table (8) showed a significant interaction between sowing date and barley cultivar on 1000-grain weight, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index in the combined analysis. Sowing all cultivars at 5th Nov. achieved the highest values of 1000-grain weight, grain yield and harvest index. In contrary, late sowing barley at 15th Dec. resulted in the lowest values of 1000-grain weight and grain yield for Giza 134. Giza 131 and Giza 2000 recorded the lowest values of harvest index with the same sowing date.

The interaction between sowing date and seeding rate were significant for grain yield, biological yield fad⁻¹ and harvest index. While, it was not significant for 1000-grain weight as shown in Table (8). The highest values of biological, grain yields and harvest index were recorded by sowing 50 kg or 60 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 5th Nov. followed by 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹. However sowing 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 15th Dec. gave impair productivity in grain yield and harvest index. In the same trend, biological yield failed to achieve good production when sowing with 30 kg seeds fad⁻¹ at 25 Nov. The interaction between barley cultivar and seeding rate had a significant effect on 1000-grain weight. Sowing Giza 131 with 30 kg seeds/fad recorded the highest values, while Giza 134 with 60 kg seeds/fad gave the lowest values. These findings are in close conformity with the results of Dawson and Wardlaw (1989). Also Singh *et al* (2018) reported that sowing at 16th Nov. gave better 1000-grain weight and grain yield than 20th Oct and 10th Dec. sowing at 20th Oct surpassed others in biological yield.

CONCLUSION

In the light of the present results, it is clear that the maximum grain yield of barley was obtained from sowing date is 5th Nov. for Giza 134 cultivar with 50 kg seeds fad⁻¹, while delaying of sowing date required

increasing the seeding rate to 60 kg seeds to compensate crop shortage under sandy soil conditions.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Grab, O. S. Sh. I. Abaas and M. Seham M. Mohamad (2017).** Physiological evaluation of some barley genotypes under water deficit conditions. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed*, 21(4): 861-877.
- Alam, M. Z., S. A. Haider, and N. K. Paul (2006).** Growth attributes of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivars in relation to sowing time. *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, 35(2):185-187.
- Ali, A., Z. Ullah, N. Ali and M. M. Anjum (2017).** Evaluation of wheat advanced lines for Agronomic traits under vary sowing dates. *Agri Res & Tech: open Access J.* 7 (1): ARTOAJ.MS.ID 555705.
- Amarjeet, B. Singh, J. Kumar, M. Kumar, R. Sharma and P. Kaushik (2020).** Effect of sowing date, seed rate and row spacing on 1 productivity and profitability of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) in north India. doi:10.20944/preprints202001.0380.v1
- Ashmawy, H. A., A. A. Badawy and H. E. A. EL-Nady (2016).** Effect of sowing dates and N fertilization on yield and its components of two hull-less barley cultivars. *Bull. Fac. Agrec., Cairo Univ.*, 67:153-164.
- Barris, H. D. and P. F. Weatherley (1962).** A reexamination turgidity technique of estimating water deficit leaves. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* 15-413-428.
- Chaudhary, A., M. Sewhag, V. S. Hooda, B. Singh and P. Kumar (2017).** Effect of different dates of sowing on yield attributes, yield and quality of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivars. *J. of Applied and Natural Sci.*, 9, 129–132.
- Dawson, I. A. and Wardlaw, I. F. (1989).** The tolerance of wheat to high temperatures during reproductive growth. III. booting to anthesis. *Aust. J. Agril. Res.* 40: 965-980.
- Dofing, S. M. and C. W. Knight (1992).** Heading synchrony and yield components of barley grown in subarctic environments. *Crop Sci.* 32:1377–1380.
- Donavon, J. T., T. K. Turkington, M. J. Edney, G. W. Clayton, R. H. Mckenzie, P. E. Juskiw, G. P. Lafond, C. A. Grant, S. Brandt, K. N. Harker, E. N. Johnson and W. E. May (2011).** Seeding rate, nitrogen rate and cultivar effects on barley malting production. *Agron. J.* 103: 709-716.
- Ding, S., M. Oba, M. L. Swift, J. T. O. Donovan, M. J. Edney, T. A. McAllister and W. Z. Yang (2015).** *In vitro* gas production and dry matter digestibility of malting barley grain sown with different seeding and nitrogen fertilization rates in Canada. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 199, 146-151.
- Ejas, H., A. S. Wajid, A. A. Shed, B. Jehan and M. Tilah (2003).** Effect of different planting dates, seed rates and N levels on wheat. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 2(6):467-474.
- El-Banna, M. N., M. A. A. Nassr, M. N. Mohamed and M. A. Boseely (2011).** Evaluation of 16 barley genotypes under calcareous soil condition in Egypt. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 3 (1): 105-121.

- El-Bawab, A. M. O., N. A. Anton, H. A. Ashmawy, Seham M. Mohamad and M. F. S. Abd El-Rahman (2014).** Evaluation of three barley cultivars under water deficit conditions of new reclaimed lands. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 18(4):687 – 699.
- El-Bawab, A. M. O., A. A. El-Sayed, M. A. El-Moselhy, M. M. Abd El-Hameed, Kh. A. Amer, M. A. Megahed, M. F. Saad, H. A. Ashmawy, A. A. Eid, R. A. Abo El-Enein, A. S. El-Gamal, I. A. Ahmed, M. M. Noaman, M. Z. Shendy, Sh. I. Abaas and A. A. Badawy (2011).** Giza 133 and Giza 134, two new Barley varieties for new reclaimed areas in Egypt. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 15 (2): 1-10.
- Fahad A. and A. S. Samir (2015).** Effect of sowing date and nitrogen fertilization on growth, yield and yield components of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) *Intern J. Innovation and Sci. Res.*, 18: 136-140.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984).** Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 ed.). John wiley and sons, NewYork, 680p.
- Gusev, N. A. (1960).** Some Methods for Studying Plant Water Relations. Leningrad Acad. Sci., USSR.
- Jamaati, S., S. M. Hassanzadeh, F. Peyghami, R. Z. Mahmoodabad (2009).** Response of durum wheat growth and chlorophyll content to nitrogen rates and plant populations. *Res. J. Biol. Sci.*, 4(11): 1135-1141.
- Kiliç, H. and S. Gürsoy (2010).** Effect of seeding rate on yield and yield components of durum wheat cultivars in cotton-wheat cropping system. *Sci. Res. Essays* 5(15): 2078-2084.
- Mahanta, D., J. K. Bisht, L. Kant and A. Pattanayak (2018).** Optimization of sowing time for adaption to climate change and higher productivity of barley in the mid-Himalayas. *Wheat and Barley Res.*, 10(3): 209-213.
- Monteith, J. L. (1984).** Consistency and convenience in the choice of units for agricultural science. *Exper. Agric.* 20: 105-117.
- Moran, R. (1982).** Formula for determination of chlorophyll pigments extracted with N, N- dimethylformamide. *Plant Physiol.* 69: 1370-1371.
- MSTAT-C, (1991).** A Microcomputer Program for the Design, Management and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiment. MSTAT Development Team, Michigan State University.
- Noaman M. M. (2008).** Barley development in Egypt. *Proceedings of the 10th International Barley Genetics Symposium.* Alexandria, Egypt, 3-15.
- Noworolnik, K. (2010).** Effect of sowing rate on yields and grain quality of new cultivars of spring barley. *Polish J. of Agronomy.*, 3: 20-23.
- Omar, A. E. A. (2013).** Response of some barley cultivars to sowing date and seeding rate under sprinkler irrigation system in sandy soils. *J. Product. & Dev.*, 18(2):155 – 173.
- Pankaj, S. C. , Sharma, P. K. , Singh, S. K. (2016).** Chlorophyll content and nitrogen uptake by barley varieties as influenced by date of sowing and nitrogen levels. *Environment & Ecology.* 34 (2a): 745-749.
- Pessarakli, M. (2001).** Handbook of Plant and Crop Physiology. CRC Press, 2nd Edition.

- Priya devi, K., B. Mitra, T. Paul, S. Das, S. Singha roy and A. K. Singha roy (2018).** Evaluation of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivars under different dates of sowing in Terai zone of West Bengal J. Crop and Weed, 14(1): 185-187.
- Radford, P. J. (1967).** Growth analysis formula-their use and abuse Crop Sci., 7:171-175.
- Ram, H. and H. Kaur (2018).** Performance of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) varieties in relation to sowing dates and row spacing in central Punjab. Wheat and Barley Res. 10 (3): 205-208.
- Ram, H. and N. Gupta (2016).** Productivity, yield attributes and phenology of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different sowing environments under Central Punjab. J. of Wheat Res., 8: 34-38.
- Ramadhan, M. N. (2013).** Tillage systems and seeding rates effect on yield components, seed yield and biological yield of barley cultivars. J. Basra. Res. Sci. 39 (1A): 33-46.
- Randhawa, A. S., R. S. Jolly and S. S. Dhillon (1977).** Response of wheat and barley to nitrogen and different dates of sowing. Crop Improvement 41 (1): 49-64.
- Rawashdeh, Y. (2015).** Effect of seeding rates and nitrogen levels on the phenological characteristics and some of the other productive characteristics of barley varieties under rainfed conditions in Southern Jordan. J. Plant Production Sci. Suez Canal Univ. (3): 1-7.
- Sehgal, A., K. Sita, K. H. Siddique, R. Kumar, S. Bhogireddy, R. K. Varshney, B. HanumanthaRao, R. M. Nair, P. V. Prasad and H. Nayyar (2018).** Drought or/and heat-stress effects on seed filling in food crops: Impacts on functional biochemistry, seed yields, and nutritional quality. Frontiers in plant science 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01705>
- Shah, T., Latif S., H. Khan, F. Munsif and L. Nie (2019).** Ascorbic acid priming enhances seed germination and seedling growth of winter wheat under low temperature due to late sowing in Pakistan. Agronomy 9, 757, doi:10.3390/agronomy9110757 .
- Sharifi, R. S. and Y. Raei (2011).** Evaluation of yield and the some of physiological indices of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes in relation to different plant population levels. Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci. 5 (9): 578-584.
- Singh, S., L. Mohinder and K. jashanjot (2018).** Effect of sowing date and nitrogen level on growth and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under irrigated conditions of Punjab region. Int. J. Agric. Sci. 10(7): 5785-5787
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. A. Torrie (1980).** Principles and Procedure of Statistics 2nd Ed. MC Graw Hill. New York.
- Steel, R. G. D., J. H. Torrie and D. A. Dicky (1997).** Principles and Procedures of Statistics, A Biometrical Approach. 3rd Edition, McGraw Hill, Inc. Book Co., New York, 352-358.

- Suleiman, A. A., F. J. Nganya, and M. A. Ashraf (2014). Effect of cultivar and sowing date on growth and yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in Khartoum, Sudan. *Journal of Forest Products and Industries*. 3 (4): 198-203.
- Soleymani, A., M. H. Shahrajabian and L. Naranjani (2011). Determination of the suitable planting density for different cultivars of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in Fars. *Afr. J. Plant Sci.*, 5(3): 284-286.
- Turk, M. A., A. R. M. Al-Tawaha, O. Nikus and M. Rifaee (2003). Response of six-row barley to seeding rate with or without ethrel spray in the absence of moisture stress. *Intern. J. Agri. Biol.*, Vol. 5, No. 4: 416- 418.
- Wahid, S. A., H. H. Intsar Al-Hilfy and H. M. K. Al-Abodi (2017). Effect of sowing dates on the growth and yield of different wheat cultivars and their relationship with accumulated heat units. *American Eurasian J. of Sustainable Agric.* 11 (3): 7-13.
- Watson, D. J. (1952). The physiology of variation in grain yield. *Adv. in Agron.* 4: 101-145.

تأثير ميعاد الزراعة والسنبل ومعدل التقاوى والسنبل على الشعير

تحت ظروف التربة الرملية في مصر

أمين محمد عوجة^١ و سهام محمد محمد^٢

١. قسم بحوث الشعير - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

٢. قسم بحوث فسيولوجيا المحاصيل - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

أجريت تجربة حقلية في محطة البحوث الزراعية بالإسماعيلية. خلال موسمي الزراعة ٢٠١٧/٢٠١٨ و ٢٠١٨/٢٠١٩. تهدف الدراسة إلى معرفة تأثير ثلاثة مواعيد للزراعة (٥ نوفمبر و ٢٥ نوفمبر و ١٥ ديسمبر) وثلاثة أصناف من الشعير (جيزة ٢٠٠٠ و جيزة ١٣٤ و جيزة ١٣١) وأربعة معدلات تقاوى (٣٠ و ٤٠ و ٥٠ و ٦٠ كجم تقاوى/فدان) تحت ظروف الأراضي الرملية على المراحل الفينولوجية والصفات الفسيولوجية والمحصول ومكوناته ويمكن تلخيص النتائج فيما يلي: ١- أدت الزراعة في ٥ نوفمبر إلى زيادة عدد الايام ودرجات الحرارة المتجمعة في المراحل الفينولوجية (انتفاخ غمد ورقة العلم و الطرد و النضج) كما حققت أعلى مقاييس النمو أي محتوى الكلوروفيل الكلي، معدل النمو النسبي، صافي التمثيل الضوئي، المحتوى المائي النسبي للأوراق، الجهد الأسموزي لورقة العلم كما أدى إلى زيادة المحصول ومكوناته (ارتفاع النبات، طول السنبل، عدد حبوب السنبل، وزن حبوب السنبل، وزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة، محصول الحبوب والمحصول البيولوجي للفدان ودليل الحصاد) مقارنة بمواعيد الزراعة الأخرى. ٢- وجدت اختلافات معنوية للأصناف المستخدمة حيث سجل الصنف جيزة ١٣٤ أعلى القيم لعدد الايام ودرجات الحرارة المتجمعة في المراحل الفينولوجية (انتفاخ غمد ورقة العلم و طرد السنابل و

النضج) كما حقق أعلى مقاييس النمو أي محتوى الكلوروفيل الكلي، معدل النمو النسبي، صافي التمثيل الضوئي، المحتوى المائي النسبي للأوراق، الجهد الأسموزي لورقة العلم المحصول ومكوناته، وتفوق في صفت المحصول ومكوناته باستثناء وزن الحبة، حيث تفوق جيزة ١٣١.٣ - أدت زيادة معدل التقاوى حتى ٦٠ كجم للفدان الى انخفاض معنوي في عدد الأيام ودرجات الحرارة المتحمعة في جميع المراحل الفينولوجية، الكلوروفيل الكلي، المحتوى المائي النسبي للأوراق، طول السنبلّة، عدد حبوب السنبلّة، وزن ١٠٠٠ حبة ودليل الحصاد وزيادة ارتفاع النبات، عدد سنابل/م^٢ والمحصول البيولوجي للفدان. كما زاد معدل النمو النسبي، صافي التمثيل الضوئي و محصول الحبوب للفدان لزيادة معدل التقاوى حتى ٥٠ كجم/الفدان. وينصح بزراعة صنف الشعير جيزة ١٣٤ في ٥ نوفمبر بمعدل تقاوى ٥٠ كجم للفدان لتعظيم محصول الحبوب تحت ظروف الاراضى الرملية.

المجلة المصرية لتربية النبات ٢٤(٢): ٣١٩-٣٤٣ (٢٠٢٠)