Toxic and biochemical effects of different insecticides on the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)

Eman M. M. Radwan and Hanan S. Taha

Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory, Agricultural Research Center Giza-Egypt

ABSTRACT

Toxic effect of dinotefuran, imidacloprid, fenoxycarb, phenthoate and thiocyclam H.O insecticides on greenhouses population of the tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) was evaluated in laboratory. Data revealed that the five tested insecticides had high contact toxic effect on moths and 3rd instar larvae of the insect. Moths were more susceptible to the effect of tested insecticides than larvae. Imidacloprid was the superior toxicant against moths and larvae, so it had a very low resistance coefficient (RC= 0.01 and 0.13). Phenthoate and thiocy- clam-H.O. had high toxic effect on two stages. Dinotefuran seemed to have low effect on moths and the same trend was observed with fenoxycarb on larvae. The activity of acetycholinesterase (AChE), glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and monooxygenase (PCMAN-demethylase) was higher in whole body homogenate of untreated moths (1.5, 1.2 and 1.58 times, respectively), than that of 3^{rd} instar larvae. The exposure of moths and 3rd instar larvae to LC₃₀, LC₅₀ and LC₈₀ of tested insecticides caused significant reduction (51.11and 25.00%) or increasing (41.78 and 28.77%) in activity of AChE, respectively. Phenthoate treatments reduced AChE activity, but imidacloprid and dinotefuran induced this activity in LC80 treated insects .Low insecticide treatments produced slight induction (1.41-11.90%) of GST, other two treatments produced reduction or increasing enzyme activity of treated insects. LC_{80} of fenoxycarb had moderate induction (24.79- 27.90%) of moths and larvae GST. A positive correlation between the insecticide concentration and the activity of monooxygenase PCMAN-demethylase was observed in treated insects with five insecticides. Elevation of enzyme activity ranged from 1.59 to 52.12% in moths and 5.83- 59.17% in larvae. Phenthoate and imidacloprid produced the higher induction effect of treated insect enzyme than the other three insecticides.

Keywords: *Tuta absoluta*, Insecticides, Acetycholinesterase, Glutathione-S-transferase, PCMANdemethylase Monooxygenase.

INTRODUCTION

Tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill is a vegetable crop of large importance throughout the world. It is the first horticultural crop in Egypt. Tomatoes are grown both under plastic covered greenhouses and in open field. The tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick, (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a serious pest of both outdoor and greenhouse tomatoes. It was originated from South America (Giordano and Silva, 1999) and was recently introduced in Europe and subsequently spread throughout the Mediterranean Basin and Europe (EPPO, 2011). The serious outbreak was reported in many countries, Belgrade (Toševski1 *et al.*, 2011), Greece (Roditakis *et al.*, 2010), Brazil (Siqueira, *et al.*, 2000 a, b), and Egypt (Mohammed, 2010). Since the time of its initial detection, the pest has caused serious damages to tomato in invaded areas and it is currently considered a key agricultural threat to European and North African tomato production (Desneux *et al.*, 2010), Garcia and Vercher, 2010).

Chemical control is the main method for controlling the tomato leafminer, T.absoluta (Siqueira et al., 2001; Galdino et al., 2011). In Argentina organophosphates were initially used for *T. absoluta* control then were gradually replaced by pyrethroids during the 1970s. During the early 1980s, cartap which alternates with pyrethroids and thiocyclam were sprayed showing the good effectiveness of the former. During the 1990s, insecticides with novel mode of actions were introduced such as abamectin. acvlurea. insect growth tenbufenozide regulators. and chlorfenapyr (Lietti et al., 2005). Spinosad and Indoxacarb are effective against larvae of T.absoluta in Spain (SEWG 2008). Chemical pesticides continue to be an important component of insect pest management even with the development of other control methods (mass-trapping, plant resistance...). The use of insecticides based on different chemistries and with varying modes of action is an important component of an integrated pest management strategy. Hence, insecticides will continue to be an integral component of pest management programs due mainly to their effectiveness and simple use (Braham and Hajji, 2011).

Sublethal insecticide exposure can lead to physiological and behavioral changes in the organism (Hyne and Maher 2003). These responses can be measured using specific biomarkers that provide a measure of sublethal effects, e.g., "fitness" of the survivors (McCarthy Shugart 1990). Three and such biomarkers are acetvcholinesterase (AChE), glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and mixed function oxidase (MFO). Acetycholinesterase is the target enzyme for organophosphate and carbamate pesticides, which act by inhibiting its activity. GST is involved in the detoxifcation of a wide range of xenobiotic chemicals. In insects, GST plays important role in an

biotransformation of various insecticides (Motoyama 1980, Lamoureux and Rusness 1987); including the degradation of some organophosphorus compounds (Yang 1976). MFO was effectively reducing the efficacy of insecticides on pests (Wang *et al.*, 2009).

This work aims to evaluate the efficiency of some insecticides on adult moths and third instar larvae of tomato leaf miner, *T. absoluta*. Effect of the insecticide treatments on activity of acetycholinesterase, glutathione-S-transferases and PCMA N-demethylase monooxygenase in treated insects was also, determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS 1- Insect

Samples of differant instars *T*. *absoluta* larvae were collected in March 2011 from commercial tomato greenhouses and kept under laboratory conditions ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, $65 \pm 5\%$ R.H. and a photoperiod of 16 L:8 D) on untreated tomato plants until emergence of the moths from F1generation in Central Agriculture Pesticides Laboratory.

2- Toxicological tests

The insecticides used in this research neonicotinoid, were the 20%SC-Bayer Imidacloprid (admire Crop Science). neonicotinoid, the 20%SG-Mitsui Dinotefuran (oshin organophsophate, chemical). the Phenthoate (elsan 50%EC-Nissan Chemical Industries), the thiocyclam, Thiocyclam-Hydrogen-Oxalate (evisect 50%SP- Arysta Life science) and the juvenile hormone mimic, Fenoxycarb(insegar 25%WP-Sumitomo Chemical Corporation). The stock solution of each formulated insecticide was prepared by dissolving 0.1 ml or 0.1gm from compound in 9ml of distilled water (reach to10ml with acetone) to give the stock material. Ten concentrations (40, 20, 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, 0.313, 0.156 and 0.078 ppm) were prepared from this stock by diluting with acetone.

2.1- Adulticidal test

Vial method (Plapp et al., 1987; Snodgrass1996) was used to evaluate toxicity of five formulated the insecticides against adult moths (oneday old) of *T.absoluta*. Five mL of each concentration of tested insecticides was pipette into 100mL (9Dx15H) glass scintillation vial (five replicates for each treatment). The vials were placed on a hot dog roller (heating elements removed) which was operated until all acetone was evaporated leaving behind insecticidal residues inside the vials. Ten adults were added to each vial containing insecticide concentration and control (treated with acetone only). Vials were then closed with clean muslin squares secured with rubber bands. Vials were kept under lab conditions. The effect of insecticides was determined after 12h of application and expressed as percent mortality of moths at each concentration.

2.2-Larvicidal test

Filter papers impregnated with insecticide molecules method (Salazar and Araya, 1997& 2001; Siqueira et al., 2000a, b) was used to evaluate the contact action of the tested insecticides against larvae of T.absoluta. Filter paper (Whitman no. 1 cellulose filter paper 9cm) was putted in glass Petri dish with the same diameter then 1 ml of each insecticide concentration was pipette on it, control treatments were applied with acetone only. Five replicates for each treatment and control were used. Ten 3rd instar larvae were added to each replicate after the filter paper was dried and kept in lab conditions for 12h, then the mortality counted.

Sub lethal concentrations LC_{30} , LC₅₀, LC₈₀ and LC₉₅ of treated moths and larvae were calculated by using SAS probit (1997) program. To assess the resistance of a given population, the resistance cofficient(RC) (Wegorek et al.,2011) was calculated as follows: Resistance Cofficient (RC)=

LC₉₅/recommended field concentration RC <1 lack of resistance RC=1.1-2 low resistance RC=2.1-5 medium resistance RC = 5.1-10 high resistance $RC \ge 10$ very high resistance.

3-Enzyme activity

After experiments of toxicology, untreated control and survivor treated $(LC_{30}, LC_{50} \text{ and } LC_{80})$ moths and 3^{rd} instar larvae of T.absoluta were removed and frozen for subsequent enzyme analysis. Acetycholinesterase (AChE), glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and monooxygenase (PCMAN-demethylase) activities were measured in all frozen samples.

3.1-Enzyme extract

For AChE and GST activities .500 mg of control and each of the treated insects were homogenized in 1 ml sodium phosphate buffer(0.1M,pH7) using Teflon glass homogenizer and centrifuged at 10.000g for 15 min at 4°C (five replicates of each sample). The supernatant was used as a source of enzyme.

For PCMAN-demethylase activity, 100 mg of control and treated insects were homogenized in 0.2 ml sod.phosphate buffer (0.1M,pH7.8) containing 10% glycerol,1mM DTT (1,4dithiothreitol)1mM **EDTA** (ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid). 1mM PMSF (phenylmethanesulfonyl floride) and 1mM PTU (N-phenyl thiourea) (five replicates of each sample). The samples were centrifuged at 10.000g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were centrifuged at 18.000g for 30 min at 4°C. The produced supernatants were collected and used as enzyme resource (Chen et al., 2011, with some modification).

The total protein content of all samples was determined according to Bradford (1976).

3.2-Acetycholinesterase activity

Activity of this enzyme was spectrophotomatricaly measured as Ellman et al., (1961). The reaction mixture consists of 50µl of sample enzyme, 10µl of 100mM ATCh I, (acetylthiocholine iodide), 10µl 9.2mM DTNB (5,5-dithio-bis (2-nitrobenzoic acid) and potassium phosphate buffer (0.1M ,pH 7.2) up to 1ml (five replicates for each sample). The increment in absorbance at 405 nm &25°C was recorded during 5min. The activity was expressed as nanomoles of acetylthiocholine hydrolyzed/ mg protein⁻¹/min⁻¹.

3.3-Glutathione-S-transferases activity

GST activity was measured based on the method of Habig *et al.*, (1974). The assay was conducted to incubating 50mM of CDNB(1-chloro-2,4dinitrobenzen) as a substrate with 50mM GSH (reduced glutathione) and 50 μ l of sample enzyme in 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH7) for 5min. at 27°C (five replicates for each sample). The activity monitored at 340 nm and expressed as nmoles of CDNB conjugated /mg protein⁻¹ /min⁻¹.

3.4-PCMAN-demethylase activity

Demethylation of the model substrate P-chloro-N-methylaniline was quantified following the method of kupfer and Bruggerman (1966). The reaction mixture contained 10uM pchloro-N-methylaniline, 2.5mM glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), 0.4 unite of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6P-dh), 0.5mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP+) and 7.5mM magnesium chloride (MgCl2). Five replicates for each sample, each replicate contained 50µl of sample

enzyme and 400µl of reaction mixture. The reaction proceeded at 37° C for 10 min in a water bath and stopped with the addition of 750µl of pdimethylaminobenzaldehyde (PDAB) solution, then centrifuged. The product pchoroaniline was quantified bv comparing absorbance at 445 nm to standard simultaneously determined curve (0-50nmol). The activity of enzyme was represented as nmoles of pchloroaniline/mg protein⁻¹/min⁻¹.

3.5-Data analysis:

Enzyme activities were expressed as mean \pm standard error (S.E.) and statistically analyzed by using SPSS program V.13. Differences were considered significant at p< 0.05 level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS Toxicological tests

The efficacy of five insecticides against moths and 3^{rd} larval instar of T. absoluta were evaluated in this study. Tables (1&2)demonstrated the insecticidal potency of imidacloprid on both stages than the other insecticides. Imidacloprid's good action on moths and larvae was visible after calculating LC_{30} (95% Cl) = 0.14 (0.7-0.19) and 1.14(1.05-0-1.85) ppm, LC₅₀ (95% Cl) = 0.22(0.15-0.29) and 3.11 (1,98-4.74) ppm, LC_{80} (95% Cl)= 0.93(0.55-0-1.38) and 12.36(10.68-18.57) ppm and LC₉₅ (95% Cl)=1.61 (1.00-3.78) and 33.28 (22.36-69.45) ppm, respectively.

	Slope	LC ₃₀	LC ₅₀	LC ₈₀	LC ₉₅	RC value
Insecticide	± S.E.	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	
		(95%CL)	(95%CL)	(95%CL)	(95%CL)	
Imidacloprid	1.90	0.14	0.22	0.93	1.61	0.01
	± 0.31	(0.07 -0.19)	(0.15 - 0.29)	(0.55 - 1.38)	(1.00 - 3.78)	
Phenthoate	1.73	0.20	0.34	1.24	5.08	0.01
	± 0.29	(0.11 -0.35)	(0.21-0.54)	(0.82 - 1.73)	(2.93 - 14.13)	
Fenoxycarb	1.74	0.38	0.57	1.72	2.59	0.08
-	± 0.38	(0.26 - 0.54)	(0.41 - 0.77)	(1.32 - 3.18)	(1.48 - 7.29)	
Thiocyclam	1.79	0.68	1.36	4.64	11.29	0.02
H.O.	± 0.39	(0.42 - 1.11)	(0.99 - 1.82)	(2.38 - 7.44)	(6.52 - 24.83)	
Dinotifuran	1.99	1.57	2.82	7.66	18.93	0.30
	± 0.26	(1.13 - 2.64)	(2.12 - 3.62)	(4.60-11.12)	(14.25 - 36.97)	

Table 1: Susceptibility levels of tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* adult moths to tested insecticides

CL = confidence limits. RC = resistance coefficient

	1	5	/			
	Slope	LC_{30}	LC_{50}	LC_{80}	LC_{95}	RC value
Insecticide \pm S.E.		(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	
		(95%CL)	(95%CL)	(95%CL)	(95%CL)	
Imidacloprid	0.84	1.14	3.11	12.36	33.28	0.13
	±0.26	(1.05 - 1.85)	(1.98 - 4.74)	(10.68-18.57)	(22.36 - 69.45)	
Dinotifuran	0.65	1.78	3.32	62.71	297.72	1.19
	± 0.18	(0.66 - 3.16)	(1.46 - 6.24)	(32.85 - 193.20)	(106.94 - 1391.13)	
Phenthoate	0.99	2.14	5.60	35.62	110.45	0.48
	± 0.31	(1.73 - 3.34)	(1.68 - 11.70)	(21.44 - 59.87)	(73.56 - 145.67)	
Thiocyclam	0.91	2.39	5.88	51.20	152.6	0.24
H.O.	±0.22	(1.46 - 4.92)	(1.77 - 25.85)	(36.24 - 621.63)	(167.07 - 55528.15)	
Fenoxycarb	0.57	3.14	6.88	218.37	528.15	5.24
	±0.16	(1.11-8.43)	(2.79 - 16.34)	(75.18 -1137.92)	(132.34-13135.01)	

Table 2: Susceptibility levels of tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta 3rd instar larvae to tested insecticides

CL = confidence limits. RC = resistance coefficient.

The recommended concentration of this insecticide in Egypt is 250 ppm, so the resistance coefficient (0.01 and 0.13) of it was very low in moths and larvae of T. *absoluta* tested population.

Moths were also susceptible to the toxic effect of phenethoate ($LC_{50} = 0.34$ ppm, $LC_{95}=2.59$) and RC = 0.01), fenoxycarb $(LC_{50}=0.57 \text{ ppm}, LC_{95} = 5.08 \text{ppm} \text{ and } RC=$ 0.08), thiocyclam-H.O. (LC₅₀= 1.36ppm, LC₉₅=11.29 ppm and RC=0.02) and dinotifuran (LC₅₀=2.82 ppm, LC₉₅=18.9 and RC=0.30). Dennehy et al., (2005) mentioned that whiteflies collected from different crop fields throughout Arizona (2000-2004)continued to be highly susceptible to (Admire). imidacloprid However, susceptibility to the related neonicotinoid insecticides acetamiprid and thiamethoxam varied widely and was lowest in collections from different crops and greenhouses plants. The reduced-risk insecticides, imidacloprid providing rapid knockdown and mortality followed by residual antifeedant activity on rose chafer beetle adults (Isaacs et al., 2004).

The third instar larvae were lowest susceptible ($LC_{50} = 3.30 - 6.88$ ppm) to effect of tested insecticides than moths. The adult and neonate of insects were more susceptible to effect of the insecticides than old larva and pupa (Campanhola and Plapp, 1989; Abdel-Rahman *et al.*, 2002). Comparison the LC_{958} with the recommended concentration of insecticides revaled that the larvae had low resistance coefficient values (0.24-1.79) to thiocyclam-H.O., phenethoate and Dinotefuran .This value was increased to

5.24 with fenoxycarb treatments, which pointed to high resistance of *T. absoluta* larvae to this insecticide by contact application. Susceptibility of field populations of *T. absoluta* to insecticides was positively correlated with the number of chemical sprays in the field (Reyes *et al.*, 2012).

Enzyme activities

Biochemical evaluations revealed that the enzymatic systems involved in the susceptibility of *T absoluta* to insecticides. The activities of Acetycholinesterase (AChE), Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and monooxygenase (PCMAN-demethylase) were higher in whole body homogenate of untreated moths (1.5, 1.2 and 1.58 fold, resp.), than that of 3^{rd} instar larvae. These enzymes were decreased or increased in body homogenate of treated moths and larvae than the untreated ones (Tables 3& 5).

Treatment of T. absoluta moths and 3rd instar larvae with LC_{30} , LC_{50} and LC_{80} of tested insecticides produced a significant decrease in AChE activity of insect body homogenates except imidacloprid and dinotefuran (Table 3). There is a negative correlation between the insecticide concentration and the enzyme activity in moth tissues. Phenthoate caused high significant reduction (33.31, 46.40 and 51.11 %) in activity of moth enzyme with LC_{30} , LC_{50} and LC_{80} treatments, resp. than that of control moths (493.48±22.66 nmoles of acetylthiocholine hydrolyzed/mg protein ¹/min⁻¹). Fenoxycarb treatments produced 30.95-42.46% decreasing in AChE activity.

Table 3: Activity of Acetycholinesterase enzyme in whole body homogenates of treated *Tuta absloluta* with different concentrations of tested insecticides (nmoles of acetylthiocholine hydrolyzed/ mg protein⁻¹/min⁻¹)

	P1)										
				Moths			3 rd instar larvae						
Insecticide	I	LC ₃₀		LC ₅₀		LC_{80}		LC ₃₀		LC ₅₀		LC ₈₀	
	Activity	Change %	Activity	Change %	Activity±	Change %	Activity	Change %	Activity	Change	Activity	Change	
	± S.E.		± S.E.		S.E.		± S.E.		± S.E.	%	± S.E.	%	
Imidacloprid	423.22 ^b	(-)	288.31 °	(-)	699.6 ^a	(+)	305.63 ^b	(-)	376.18 ^a	(+)	404.76 ^a	(+)	
	±14.83	14.34	±21.49	41.57	±35.17	41.78	±13.47	7.05	±11.11	14.40	±23.24	23.09	
Phenthoate	329.12 °	(-)	264.50 °	(-)	241.2 ^c	(-)	307.85 ^b	(-)	282.17 ^b	(-)	269.76 ^b	(-)	
	±23.45	33.31	±15.24	46.40	± 16.87	51.11	±30.18	6.38	±16.25	14.19	±19.25	17.30	
Fenoxycarb	340.75 °	(+)	329.26 ^b	(-)	283.9 ^c	(-)	282.47 ^b	(-)	299.15 ^b	(-)	271.55 ^b	(-)	
	±20.89	30.95	±25.99	33.28	±15.69	42.46	±27.88	14.10	±18.36	9.02	±17.25	17.30	
Thiocyclam	587.63 ^a	(+)	310.51 ^b	(-)	317.6 ^c	(-)	376.24 ^a	(+)	314.54 ^b	(-)	288.33 ^b	(-)	
H.O.	±31.98	19.08	±5.24	36.88	± 18.81	35.64	±29.76	14.42	±17.22	4.34	±20.19	12.31	
Dinotifuran	352.53°	(-)	398.57 ^a	(-)	517.2 ^b	(+)	246.75 °	(-)	352.52 ^a	(+)	423.42 ^a	(+)	
	±19.34	28.56	±17.15	19.23	±14.27	4.82	±14.33	25.00	±16.90	7.21	±21.16	28.77	
Untreated													
activity \pm S.E.			328.82±31.46										
ç	E =standa	rd arror											

S.E =standard error.

Change%= mean activity of treated-mean activity of control/ mean activity of control x100

Mean activity values in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 4: Activity of Glutathione-S-transferase enzyme in whole body homogenates of treated *Tuta absoluta* with different concentrations of tested insecticides (nmoles of CDNB conjugated /mg protein⁻¹ /min⁻¹).

Insecticide	Moths							3 rd instar larvae						
mootherae														
	LC_{30}		LC ₅₀		LC ₈₀		LC ₃₀		LC ₅₀		LO	C ₈₀		
	Activy	Change	Activty	Change	Activity	Change	Activity	Change	Activity	Chang	Activity	Change		
	± S.E.	%	± S.E.	%	± S.E.	%	± S.E.	%	± S.E.	e %	± S.E.	%		
Imidacloprid	334.57 ^a	(+)	313.35 ^b	(-)	290.98 °	(-)	276.62 ^a	(+)	244.17 ^b	(-)	228.12 °	(-)		
	±17.36	5.34	± 14.84	1.34	±11.30	8.38	±16.54	6.47	±6.23	6.02	±12.14	12.19		
	346.72 ^a	(+)	315.31 ^b	(-)	302.87 ^b	(-)	282.29 ^a	(+)	291.16 ^a	(+)	220.56 °	(-)		
Phenthoate	±12.83	9.17	±11.68	0.72	±18.43	4.64	±11.06	8.66	±18.36	12.07	±20.65	15.10		
	355.39 ^a	(+)	387.87 ^a	(+)	396.35 ^a	(+)	286.04 ^a	(+)	311.14 ^a	(+)	332.28 ^a	(+)		
Fenoxycarb	±16.72	11.90	±12.15	22.12	±14.35	24.79	±17.16	10.10	±16.83	19.75	±18.63	27.90		
Thiocyclam	328.12 ^a	(+)	324.23 ^b	(+)	311.44 ^{ba}	(-)	274.32 ^a	(+)	292.84 ^a	(+)	237.53 °	(-)		
H-O.	±18.55	3.31	±19.55	2.08	±27.33	1.94	±15.45	5.59	±11.22	12.72	±19.79	8.57		
Dinotefuran	342.50 ^a	(+)	293.28 ^c	(-)	284.35 °	(-)	263.47 ^a	(+)	278.26 ^b	(+)	267.96 ^b	(+)		
	±15.21	7.83	± 12.50	7.66	±18.37	10.47	±8.15	1.41	±11.94	7.11	±11.15	3.15		
Untreated activity ± S.E	317.61±13.86							259.80±21.44						

S.E =standard error.

Change%= mean activity of treated-mean activity of control/ mean activity of control x 100 Mean activity values in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 5: Activity of PCMAN-demethylase enzyme in whole body homogenates of treated *Tuta absoluta* with different concentrations of tested insecticides (nmoles p-chloroaniline /mg protein⁻¹/min⁻¹).

	Moths							3 rd instar larvae						
Insecticide	LC ₃₀		LC_{50}		LC_{80}		LC ₃₀		LC ₅₀		LC ₈₀			
msecticide	Activity	Change	Activity	Change	Activity	Change	Activity	Change	Activity	Change	Activity	Change		
	\pm S.E	%	\pm S.E	%	± S.E.	%	\pm S.E	%	\pm S.E.	%	± S.E.	%		
	4.28 ^a	(+)	4.87 ^a	(+)	5.64 ^a	(+)	3.02 ^a	(+)	3.15 ^a	(+)	3.46 ^a	(+)		
Imidacloprid	±1.82	13.23	±1.41	28.84	± 2.81	49.21	±0.97	25.83	±1.44	31.25	±2.22	44.17		
	4.36 ^a	(+)	4.60 ^a	(+)	5.75 ^a	(+)	3.14 ^a	(+)	3.37 ^a	(+)	3.82 ^a	(+)		
Phenthoate	±2.16	15.34	±1.79	21.69	±4.12	52.12	±1.15	30.83	±2.36	40.42	±1.56	59.17		
	4.21 ^a	(+)	4.49 ^a	(+)	4.83 ^b	(+)	2.75 ^b	(+)	2.96 ^b	(+)	3.32 ^b	(+)		
Fenoxycarb	±1.75	11.38	±2.14	18.78	±1.41	27.78	±1.36	14.58	±1.91	23.33	±1.26	38.33		
Thiocyclam	3.96 ^b	(+)	4.57 ^a	(+)	4.74 ^b	(+)	2.80 ^b	(+)	3.13 ^a	(+)	3.29 ^b	(+)		
H.O.	±2.34	4.76	±2.44	20.90	±3.16	25.40	±0.54	16.67	±1.21	30.42	±1.73	36.67		
Dinotefuran	3.84 ^b	(+)	4.13 ^b	(+)	4.47 ^b	(+)	2.54 ^b	(+)	2.78 ^b	(+)	3.06 ^c	(+)		
	±1.67	1.59	±2.63	9.26	±2.74	18.25	±1.05	5.83	±1.92	15.83	±1.18	27.50		
Untreated activity ± S.E.			3.78±	-1.33			2.40±0.82							

S.E =standard error.

Change%= mean activity of treated-mean activity of control/ mean activity of control x 100 Mean activity values in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Thiocyclam- H.O. produced a medium increase (19.08%) in moth enzyme with low concentration and caused significant reduction (36.88 and 35.88%) in this enzyme with other two concentrations. Dinotefuran and imidacloprid caused inhibition (28.56-19.23 and 14.34-41.57%) in enzyme activity with LC_{30} , LC_{50} treatments, while the high concentration induced (4.82 and 41.78%) this activity. The reduction of AChE activity in treated larvae ranged from 6.38 to 17.96% with phenthoate treatments than control larvae (328.82 ± 31.46) nmoles of acetylthiocholine hydrolyzed/mg protein $^{1}/\text{min}^{-1}$). A medium increase (28.77%) in this activity was detected in LC_{80} treatment of dinotifuran (Table 3). If AChE activity is reduced by > 50%, it is associated with mortality and knockdown (Edwards and Fisher, 1991). Mortality of aphid which exposed to dimethoate in laboratory was negatively correlated with cholinesterase activity (Booth et al., 2007).

LC₃₀ treatment of five tested insecticides produced increasing (1.41-10.10%) in GST activity of moths (3.31-11.90%) and 3rd instar larval tissues than that of control insects (317.61±13.86 and 259.80 ± 21.44 nmoles of **CDNB** conjugated/mg protein⁻¹ /min⁻¹ for moths and larvae, resp.) (Table4). LC₅₀ and LC₈₀ tests caused inhibition (0.72-10.47 and 3.15-15.10%) in moths and enzyme, while these larvae two concentrations of fenoxycarb induced enzyme activity (24.79 and 27.90%) in moths and larvae. A wide variety of synthetic insecticides are known to suppress the activity of key reduction enzymes including GST (Papadopoulos et al., 2004; Cossio -Bayugar et al., 2002; al.,2009).GST Wu et activity in Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.) exposed to lindane for 8 h showed a 1.5 fold elevation in enzyme activity over control (Lagadic et al., 1993).

The PCMAN-demethylase activity in moths and 3^{rd} instar larvae (3.78±1.33 and 2.40 ± 0.82 nmoles of pchloroaniline/mg protein⁻¹/min⁻¹, resp.), was increased with treatments; there is a positive correlation between the concentration of insecticide and the activity of enzyme in moths and larval homogenates. The high significant increase in enzyme activity (52.18 and 59.17%) was recorded with LC_{80} phenthoate in moth and larval homogenates. Dinotefuran caused the lowest induction (18.25 and 27.50%) to moths and larvae enzyme. The evaluating mechanisms would be involved in insecticide resistance of populations of T. absoluta, presenting an increased MFO activity in populations (Reves et al., 2012). It appears that enhanced oxidative metabolism mediated by cytochrom P450 monooxygenase was a major mechanism for insecticide resistance in the western flower thrips (Chen et al., 2011).

In conclusion

The five tested insecticides had high contact toxic effect on moths and 3rd instar larvae of T. absoluta, so that we can use them in control of this insect in greenhouses and open fields. Imidacloprid was the superior toxicant against to moths and larvae of this insect. Phenthoate and thiocyclam H.O. had high toxic effect on two stages. Dinotefuran seemed to have moderate effect on moths and the same trend was observed with fenoxycarb on larvae. The activity of acetycholinesterase (AChE), glutathione-S-transferase (GST) and monooxvgenase (PCMANdemethylase) was higher in whole body homogenate of untreated moths (1.5, 1.2 and 1.58 times, resp.), than that of 3rd instar larvae. The exposure of moths and 3^{rd} instar larvae to LC₃₀, LC₅₀ and LC₈₀ of tested insecticides caused significant reduction or slight increasing in activity of AChE and GST. Elevation of PCMAN-demethylase activity was recorded with all insecticide treatments.

REFERENCES

- Abdel–Rahman, H.A.; Z.H. Zidan; W.M. El-Deeb; M.S. Salama and E.M. Radwan (2002). Insecticidal and delayed biological activities of esfenvelerate and abamectin on the sping bollworm, Earias insulana (Boisd.).The First Conf. of Central Agric. Pesticides Lab. 3-5 Sep.,2002, V.2: 548-562.
- Booth, L.H.; S. D. Wratten and P. Kehrli1. (2007).Effects of reduced rates of two insecticides on enzyme activity and mortality of an aphid and its lacewing predator .J. EconJ. Econ. Entomol. 100(1): 11-19
- Bradford, M.M.(1976).A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. Anal.Biochem.72:248-254.
- Braham, M. and Lobna Hajji.(2011). Management of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae) with Insecticides on Tomatoes. Insecticides – Pest Engineering : 333-354.
- Campanahola, C. and F.W.Jr.Plapp. (1989). Toxicity and synergism of insecticides against susceptible and pyrethroidresistant neonate larvae and adults of the tabacco budworm (Lepidoptera: Noctudiae) J. Econ. Entomol. 82: 1527-1533.
- Chen, X.; L. yuan; Y. Du.; Y. Zhang and J. Wang.(2011).Cross- resistance and biochemical mechanisms of Abamectin resistance in the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.,101:43-38.
- Cossio-Bayugar, R.; R.Barhoumi; R.C.Burghardt; G.G.Wagner and P.J. Molman. (2002).Basal cellular of esterase, alterations glutathione, glutathione-S- transferase, intracellular calcium and membrane potentials in coumaphos **Boophilus** -resistant microplus (Acari:Ioxodidae) cell line. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.,72:1-9.
- Dennehy, T.J., B.A. DeGain, V.S.Harpold, J.K.Brown ,S.Morin and J.A.Fabick. (2005).New challenges to management of whitefly resistance to insecticides in

Arizona. University of Arizona college of Agriculture and life sciences. Vegetable Report .index at http://cals.arizona.edu/pubs/crops/az1383

- Desneux, N.; E. Wajnberg; K. A. G.
 Wyckhuys ;G. Burgio; S. Arpaia; C. A.
 N. 'ez-Vasquez; J.G. 'lez-Cabrera D.
 C. 'n Ruescas; E.Tabone; J. Frandon; J.
- Edward, C.A. and S.W. Fisher. (1991). The use of cholinesterase measurements in assessing the impact of pesticides on terrestrial aquatic and invertberates,p:256-275. In P.Mineau [ed.] Cholinesterase -inhibiting insecticides : their impact on wildlife and the environment. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherland,
- Ellman, G.L.; K.D. Courtnay; Andres J.Valentino and R.M. Featherstone. (1961).A new rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholine esterase activity .Biochem.Pharmaco.,7:88-95.
- EPPO. (2011). Tuta absoluta continues to spread around the Mediterranean Basin. EPPO Reporting Service, 4(071): 4-5.
- Galdino ,T.V. da S.; M. C.Picanço; E. G.F. de Morais; N. R. Silva;, G. A. R. da Silva;, M.C. Lopes.(2011). bioassay method for toxicity studies of insecticide formulations to tuta absoluta (meyrick, 1917). Ciênc. agrotec., Lavras, v. 35, n. 5, p. 869-877, set./out.
- Garcia, M.F. and R. Vercher (2010). Descripción, originy expansión de Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera; Gelechiidae. Phytoma, Paradis, v. 20, p.16-20.
- Garthwaite, D.G.; M.R. Thomas;Parrish, G. & Smith, L. (2007). Pesticide Usage Survey Report 220 : Protected crops (Edible and Ornamental) in Great Britain. Available at :http://www. fera.defra.gov.uk/ plants/pesticide Usage/ full Reports.cfm
- Giordano, L. and C. Silva. (1999). Hibridação em tomate. In:(Ed.) Hibridação artificial de plantas. Viçosa-MG, Hibridação em tomate, p.463-480
- Habig, W.H.; M.J. Pabst and W.B. Jakoby.(1974.Glutathione -S-Transferases: the first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation.J.Biol.Chemo.249:71307-7139.
- Hyne, R. V. and W.A. Maher. (2003). Invertebrate biomarkers; links to

toxicosis that predict population decline. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 54: 366-374.

- Isaacs, R.; R. J. Mercader and J. C. Wise (2004).Activity of conventional and reduced-risk insecticides for protection of grapevines against the rose chafer, Macrodactylus subspinosus (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Blackwell Verlag, Berlin, JEN 128(5): 371–376.
- Kupfer, D. and L.L. Bruggerman. (1966). Determination of enzymatic demethylation of p-choro-Nmethylaniline:assay of aniline and pchoroaniline Anal. Biochem. 11:502.
- Lagadic, L.; A. Cuvany; J. Berge and M. Echaubard (1993). Purification and partial characterization of glutathione -S-Transferase from insecticide –resistance and lindane induced susceptible *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) Larvae Insect Biochem.Mol.Biol.,23:467-474.
- Lamoureux, G.L. and D.G. Rusness. (1987). Synergism of diazinon toxicity and inhibition of diazinon metabolism in the housefly by Tridiphane: Inhibition of glutathione -S-transferase activity. Pestic.Biochem.Physiol.27:318-329.
- Lietti, M.M.; E. Botto and R.A. Alzogaray. (2005). Insecticide Resistance in Argentine Populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Neotropical Entomology, 34(1): 113-119.
- McCarthy, J.F. and L.R. Shugart. (1990). Biomarkers of environmental contamination. Lewis publishers. Boca Raton, FL.
- Mohammed, A.S. (2010). New record for leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) infested tomato plantations in Kafer El-Sheikh region. J. Agric. Res. Kafer El- Sheikh. Uni. 36(2): 238-239.
- Motoyama, N. (1980). Glutathione -S-Transferase: Their role in the metabolism of organophosphorus insecticides. Rev. Biochem. Toxicol. 2:49-69.
- Papadopoulos, A.I.; I. Polemitoua; P. Laifia;
 A. Yiangoua and C. Tananaki.
 (2004).Glutathione-S-transferase in the insect *Apis melifera* macedonica kinetic characteristics and effect of stress on the expression of GST isoenzyme in the adult worker bee.Comput. Biochem.Plysiol.,C. 139: 93-97.
- Plapp, F.W.; G.M. McWhoder and W.H.Vance.(1987).Monitoring for

pyrethroid resistance in the tobacco budworm in Texas .pp.324-326.Dallas,TX January 5-8-1987.In proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf. National Cotton Council Memphis, TN

- Reyes, M. R.Rocha, L. Alarcón, M.Siegwart and B.Sauphanor. (2012). Metabolic mechanisms involved in the resistance of field populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Megrick) (Lepidoptera :Gelechiidae) to spinosad. Pestic. Biochem. Phyio., 102:45-50.
- Roditakis E.; D. Papachristos and N.E. Roditakis (2010). Current status of the tomato leafminer Tuta absoluta in Greece. OEPP / EPPO Bulletin. 40: 163-166.
- Salazar, E. R. and J. E. Araya. (1997). Detection de reistencia a insecticides en la polilla del tomate simiente,67 : 8-22.
- Salazar, E. R. and J. E. Araya. (2001). Respuesta de la polilla del tomate, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick), a insecticides en Africa Agriculture tecnica , 61(4): 429-435.
- SAS Institute (1997). SAS/STAT user's guide for personal computers SAS Institute, Cary. N.C.
- SEWG .(2008). Spanish Expert Working Group in Plant Protection of Horticultural Crops, personal communication of R.Potting with José María Guitián Castrillón.
- Siqueira, H.A.A.; R.N.C.Gudes and M.C. Picanço.(2000a). Insecticide resistance in population of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Agric. Forest Entomol. 2(2): 147-153.
- Siqueira, H.A.A.; R.N.C. Gudes and M.C.Picanço (2000b). Cartap resistance and synergism in populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Lep., Gelechidae). Journal of applied entomology, 124 (5-6): 233-238.
- Siqueira,H.A.A; R.N.C. Guedes and M.C.
 Picanço. (2001). Abamectin resistance synergism in Brazilian populations of Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Intern. j. Pest Management, 47 (4): 247-251.
- Snodgress, G.L. (1996). Glass-Vial bioassay to estimate insectide resistance in adult tarnished plant bug (Heteroptea Miridae). J. Econ. Entomol, 89:1053-1059.

- Toševski1, I.; J. Jović1; M. Mitrović1, T. Cvrković1, O. Krstić1 and S.Krnjajić1 (2011). Tuta absoluta (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae): a New Pest of Tomato in Serbia. Pestic. Phytomed. (Belgrade), 26(3):197–204.
- Wang, D.; P.Y. Gong; M. Li; X.H. Qiu; K.Y. Wang. (2009).sublethal effects of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Pest. Manag. Sci. 65(2):223-227.
- Wegorek, P.; J. Zamojska and M. Mrówczyński.(2011). Susceptibility level of the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* say) to Chlorpyrifos and acetamiprid in Poland

and resistance mechanisms of the pest to Chlorpyrifos J. Plant Prot. Res., 51(3): 279-284.

- Wu, S.; W. Dou; J. J.Wu and J.J.Wang. (2009). Purification and partial characterization of glutathione-Stransferase from insecticides-resistant field populations of *Liposcellis paeta* Pearman (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae). Arch. Insect Biochem. Physio., 70: 136-150.
- Yang, R.S.H.(1976). Enzyme conjugation and insecticide metabolism. In C.F. Wilkinson [ed.] Biochemistry and physiology of insecticides, plenum New York., p.177-181.

ARABIC SUMMARY

التأثيرات الإبادية و البيوكيمائية لمبيدات مختلفة على صانعة أنفاق الطماطم

ايمان محمد مصطفى رضوان و حنان صلاح الدين طه المعمل المركزى للمبيدات- مركز البحوث الزر اعية- جيزة- مصر

تم أختبار التأثير الإبادي لمبيدات :داي نوتيفران - أميداكلوبرايد - فينوكسي كارب – ثايوسكليم – فينثويت على حشرة صانعة أنفاق الطماطم المجمعة من الصوب الزراعية تحت الظروف المعملية.

توضح النتائج أن المبيدات الخمسة المختبرة لها تأثير إبادى قوى على فراشات ويرقات العمر الثالث للحشرة بالملامسة ، وكانت الفراشات أكثر حساسية من اليرقات لتاثير المبيدات. وقد أثبت مبيد أميداكلوبرايد كفاءة فائقة ضد كل من الفراشات و اليرقات ولذلك كان معامل مقاومةالحشرة له قليل جدا"(10,- 13,) ،كما كان لمبيدى فينثويت و ثايوسكليم تأثير سام عالى على كلا الطورين وكان مبيد داى نوتيفران هو الأقل كفاءة على الفراشات وكان لمبيد فينوكسى كارب نفس الإتجاه على اليرقات.

وعند تقدير أنشطة إنزيمات : أسيتيل كولين إستريز- جلوتاثيون إس ترانسفيريز- مونوأوكسيجينيز فى طحين أجسام الحشرات غير المعاملة وجد أنها فى الفراشات أعلى (1,5-1,5-1,58 مرة على التوالى) من اليرقات.

وقد تسبب تعرض الفراشات والبرقات لتركيزات تحت ممينة (30، 50، 80 % موت) من المبيدات المختبرة لنقص معنوى (51,11-25.00) أو زيادة(28,77-41,78%) في نشاط إنزيم أسيتيل كولين إستريزفي طحين أجسام الحشرات الحية المعاملة بالمقارنة بالحشرات الغير معاملة. كما أحدثت المعاملة بتركيز 80% لمبيد فينثويت نقص معنوى في نشاط الإنزيم في حين تسبب مبيدي أميداكلوبرايد و داى نوتيفران في زيادة معنوية للنشاط.

كما أحدثت المعاملة بتركيز 30% موت من المبيدات المستخدمة زيادة طفيفة (1,48-11,90) لنشاط إنزيم جلوتاثيون إس ترانسفيريزفى أجسام الحشرات المعاملة وتسبب التركيزين الآخرين فى نقص أو زيادة النشاط ووضح هذا التأثير فى معاملة 80% لمبيد فينوكسى كارب حيث إرتفع النشاط بقيم متوسطة (24,79-27,90) فى أجسام الفراشات واليرقات المعاملة.

وتشير النتائج أيضا لوجود تناسب طردى بين الزيادة فى نشاط إنزيم مونوأوكسيجينيز فى أجسام الحشرات المعاملة وتركيز المبيد حيث كانت قيم هذه الزيادة أكثر معنوية فى معاملات التركيز الأعلى. وتراوحت قيم زيادة الإنزيم بين 1,59 الى 52,12% فى الفراشات و5,83 الى59,17% فى اليرقات. وكان لمبيدى فينثويت و أميداكلوبرايد التأثير الأكبر على زيادة نشاط الإنزيم عن المبيدات الآخرى المستخدمة.