

Some Nutritional Studies on Guar (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.) Grown Singly or Intercropped with Sweet Sorghum (Sorghum vulgare var. Saccharatum).

M.A. Gabra and S.Y. Sherif*

Anim. Prod. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Dokki.

*Dept. of Anim. Prod., Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ.

Summary

This study was conducted to compare the effect of growing guar (Cluster bean) separately or with sweet soeghum on the productivity and nutritive value. Results showed that the mixtures were significantly increased in green and dry yields than guar and sweet sorghum in pure stands by 16.73-24.74%. concerning the nutritive analysis and feeding values, guar as legume forage crop had higher CP and ash contents than sorghum. Mixing guar with sorghum improved the forage quality of the mixture especially in digestibility coefficients, nutritive values (TDN, SV and DCP), nutritive ratio and daily intake by bulls as compared with solid sorghum.

Introduction

Farmers and livestock breeders depend for feeding their animals on corn plant, Sudan grass and sweet Sudan grass in summer after the end of clover "ber-seem" season. Animals need green legume forage during summer to supply them with protein, so the problem is to find the suitable legume forage for summer.

Since the cultivated areas are limited and do not permit for the expansion of summer forages, the need for inclusion of forage legumes is urgent. This could be achieved by interplanting forage legumes with grasses, such as sorghum.

Continuous efforts were directed to improve the summer forage crops by intercropping the leguminous forages such as alfalfa and cowpea with grasses (Farid and Ghobrial, 1976, Hassan and Gabra, 1982, Gabra, 1984 and Gabra and Sherif, 1985). More efforts should be directed to increase the available feeds in summer using selected and improve local summer forages and / or trying to introduce more suitable forage crops such as guar (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.) which sowing in pure stand as legume forage or intercropped with grasses. Guar or culster bean, erect annual herb 1-2 m high , drought resistant and cultivated as grain, fodder or vegetable can be cut for fodder as soon as the pods begin to develop.

The main of this study is to produce a legume crop (guar) grown in pure stand or in combination with a summer forage grasses to obtain the balance diet for animals as far as possible.

Material and Methods

The study included five treatment, three treatments were sowing guar and sweet sorghum in pure stands with cutting sweet sorghum at 125 and 150 cm heights. The other two treatments were mixture in which sweet sorghum was the main forage crop mixed with guar. The sweet sorghum with rate 20 kg seed/ feddan was sown in lines with 30 cm apart.

However, guar was sown in hills 20 cm apart in lines with 30 cm apart by seed rate was 25 kg/fed. Guar in the mixture was sown alternated in lines of sweet sorghum was planted.

This study was conducted in Experimental Station Cairo Univ., Giza in 1983 and El-Marg Farm in 1985 using complete randomize block design with four replicates, the plot size was 10.5 m². All normal agronomical treatments were used. Twenty units of nitrogen per feddan were added to sweet sorghum before the first irrigation. Three cuts from guar and four from sweet sorghum in pure stands were taken. Mixture was cut as sorghum and guar together. The green yield of each plot was recorded to the nearest 10 g.

Metabolism Trials : Half feddan at El-Marg farm was divided into equal plots, sown in plantation every 3 days to keep the suitable heights needed in the metabolism trials. Five metabolism trials were carried out to determine the digestibility coefficients and feeding value of guar, sweet sorghum (125 and 150 cm heights) and two trials with mixture of sweet sorghum and guar. Three Balladi cattle bulls about 246 kg body weight were used. Each trial composed of 10 days preliminary period followed by 8 days collection period. Feces were quantitatively collected using collection bags. The animals were fed on the green forage obtained from the first cut of each treatment.

The samples from forage and feces were chemically analysed according A.O.A.C., 1980. The energy was determined using a standard non adiabatic bomb calorimeter. Phosphorus was determined according to colorimetric method of Fisk and Subbarow, 1925.

Feed calcium contents were determined using the Byeman atomic absorption apparatus.

The data were statistically analysed according to steel and Torrie, 1960. Duncan's multiple range test was applied whenever possible.

Results and Discussion

Fodder yield: It is clear that from (Table 1), the average green and dry yields of sweet sorghum cut at 150 cm height in pure stand were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than pure stand guar or sorghum at 125 cm. height. On the other hand, intercropping guar with sorghum increased the green yield by 14.74 - 18.72 % and dry yield by 23.23 - 26.25 % higher than sorghum in pure stand.

Concerning the botanical analysis, the yield of guar in the mixture was higher with lower cut of sorghum (125 cm.) than higher cut (150 cm.) being in average 8.40 and 7.42 ton/fed., respectively compared with 13.84 ton/fed. in solid guar. This might be due to the increased shading with increasing the cutting height. Such shading is believed to be the main factor causing the decrease of guar yield. Competition between the species depended on plant height and sorghum leaf area which affected radiation, level in the plant canopy. In this connection, Gabra, 1984 and Gabra and Sherif, 1985 found that the green yields of berseem and alfalfa intercropped on napier grass and cowpea on sorghum were decreased than their yields in pure stands by 20-43%.

Table (1) The average fodder yield (For./fed) and chemical analysis of Guar, sweet, sorghum and their mixtures (average 3 cuts Guar, 4 cuts sweet sorghum and the mixtures)

Treatments	Fodder Yield	DL	CP	EE	CF	NFE	Ash	Kcal/ IQCS.	Ca	P	Ca/P ratio
Guar	Green 13.84	22.83 ^a	3.94 ^a	0.50 ^a	5.17 ^c	9.61 ^a	3.61 ^a	85.16 ^a	0.39 ^a	0.07 ^a	5.57 ^a
Sorghum 125 Cm	36.48 ^c	20.63 ^c	2.23 ^c	0.39 ^b	6.34 ^b	8.65 ^b	2.71 ^d	76.33 ^b	0.09 ^c	0.04 ^c	2.25 ^c
150 Cm	38.18 ^b	21.56 ^b	2.20 ^c	0.42 ^{ab}	7.15 ^a	9.14 ^b	2.65 ^d	79.77 ^b	0.09 ^c	0.04 ^c	2.25 ^c
Mixture I	44.88 ^a	22.73 ^a	2.80 ^b	0.45 ^{ab}	6.37 ^b	10.15 ^a	2.96 ^c	85.92 ^a	0.24 ^b	0.05 ^b	4.80 ^b
" II	45.60 ^a	23.51 ^a	2.84 ^b	0.48 ^a	7.26 ^a	9.93 ^a	3.00 ^b	88.40 ^a	0.23 ^b	0.05 ^b	4.60 ^b
	Dry					D M basis %					
Guar	3.16 ^e	100	17.25 ^a	2.19 ^a	22.65 ^d	42.08	15.83 ^a	373	0.73 ^a	0.32 ^a	-
SorE. 125 Cm	7.53 ^d	100	10.83 ^c	1.89 ^b	30.74 ^b	43.38	13.16 ^b	371	0.45 ^d	0.20 ^{bc}	-
" 150 Cm	8.23 ^c	100	10.21 ^c	1.96 ^b	33.15 ^a	42.37	12.31 ^c	370	0.42 ^d	0.19 ^c	-
Mix. I	10.21 ^b	100	12.34 ^b	1.98 ^b	28.03 ^c	44.62	13.03 ^b	378	1.05 ^b	0.23 ^b	-
Mix. II	10.72 ^a	100	12.07 ^b	2.04 ^b	30.86 ^b	42.28	12.75 ^c	376	0.96 ^c	0.21 ^{bc}	-

Mix. I = sorghum 125 cm height + Guar

Mix. II = sorghum 150 cm height + Guar

a, b, c, d Not followed by the same letter are significantly different at 0.05 level (Duncan's multiple range test) .

The average green and dry yields of sorghum in this study were lower than those found by Gabra, 1984. However, the yield of guar was lower than those obtained by Ghobrial et.al., 1982. Many factors affecting the fodder yield such as the soil, climate and weather, the stage of maturity and pasture management, sowing date and fertilization.

Nutritive analysis: Data in (table 1) showed that DM percentage was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in guar and the mixtures than sweet sorghum in pure stand. Increasing cutting height of sorghum increased DM content in the herbage. On green and DM basis, CP and ash contents were the highest in guar as legume forage than sorghum and mixtures and decreased significantly with increasing cutting height of sorghum. Mixing guar with sorghum improved the CP content in the mixture compared with sorghum in pure stand. EE % was highest in guar and the mixtures than solid sorghum. Guar contained lower CF percentages than sorghum and the mixtures and CF content increased with increasing the cutting height of sorghum. There were no significant differences among the treatments in NFE contents (DM basis), but on fresh basis NFE % was the highest in guar and the mixtures than solid sorghum. Results obtained here were in agreement with those mentioned by Ghobrial et.al, 1982 with guar, Gabra, 1984, shalaby et.al., 1984 and Gabra and Sherif, 1985 (with sorghum in pure stand and sorghum/legume mixtures).

The estimated calorific values for gross energy (GE), data in table I showed that GE ranged from 370-378 K cal/100 g. dry forage. The corresponding values of green forage were 78.05-87.16 K cal/100 g. Fresh forage being significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in guar and the mixtures than sorghum in pure stand. At each treatment GE being positively correlated with DM% in green herbage. Results were agreement with Gabra, 1984.

Regarding ca and P contents, results in table I indicated that guar had significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) ca and P contents either on green and dry basis. Mixing guar with sorghum improved ca and P contents and increased ca/P ratio significantly than sorghum in pure stand. In this line, sherif and Gabra, 1985 showed the same results with legume /grass mixtures.

Digestibility coefficients and nutritive value: Chemical analysis of the 1st cut eaten by bulls in metabolism trials and the apparent digestibility coefficients of guar, sweet sorghum and their mixture are presented in table 2. Guar and the mixture contained higher DM, CP and ash and lower CF percentages than sweet sorghum in pure stand. NFE contents were similar in the five treatments. Gross energy ranged from 371 to 382 k cal/100 g. dry herbage.

Concerning the digestibility coefficients (Table 2) results showed that DM, OM, CP, EE and NFE digestibilities were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in guar than sweet sorghum and mixtures.

Table (2) : Chemical analysis (D M basis) and digestibility coefficients of the 1st cut green forage fed in metabolism trials .

Treatments	chemical analysis (D M basis %)										Digestibility coefficients %						
	DM	OM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	ASH	GE Kcal/ 100g	DM	OM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	E		
Guar	20.13	64.08	18.23	2.01	21.35	42.49	15.92	373	66.14	59.13	71.09	62.82	64.94	70.45	68.53		
Sorghum 125 cm	18.21	86.74	11.63	2.13	29.26	43.72	13.26	371	64.58	67.25	72.31	60.91	67.42	73.13	67.61		
Sorghum 150 "	19.53	87.97	11.02	2.21	31.34	43.40	12.03	382	61.63	64.91	70.31	60.24	64.03	70.59	64.56		
Mixture I	20.27	87.44	12.54	1.98	29.07	43.85	12.56	376	65.03	68.15	71.84	62.31	65.46	72.54	67.89		
Mixture II	20.86	87.68	12.31	2.03	30.82	42.52	12.32	360	64.76	67.42	70.58	62.05	64.61	71.85	67.73		

a , b , c , d ; e Not followed by the same letter are significantly different at 0.05 level (Duncan's multiple range test) .

However, CF digestibility was higher in solid sorghum than the other treatments. Mixing guar with sorghum increased significantly DM, OM CP, EE and NFE digestibilities compared with sweet sorghum alone. On the other hand, digestibility coefficients of nutrients were decreased with increasing cutting height of sorghum. Similar results were reported by Gabra, 1984 (with six cultivars from sorghum) and Gabra and Sherif, 1985 (with sorghum/cowpea mixture).

The OM digestibility coefficients showed similar figures of GE. However, the OM digestibility coefficients showed higher figures than DM digestibility. This was due to the fact DM of eaten forages had lower ash (12.03-15.92%) than fecal DM (22.64-34.05%) having wide range among bulls.

The feeding values (TDN and SV) in table 3 indicated that as fed, the mixture contained highest TDN and SV% than guar and sweet sorghum in pure stands. However, on DM basis, cutting sweet sorghum at 150 cm height mixed with guar gave the highest nutritive values. The values of DCP% increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) in guar/sorghum mixture than sorghum in pure stand either on green or DM basis. The values on DM basis were lowest in sweet sorghum (7.73-7.96%) noticeably higher in the mixtures (8.88-8.98%). Results in this study were in agreement with those found by Gabra 1984, and Gabra and Sherif, 1985 with grass/legume mixture.

Table (3) Feeding values and food units yield of Eura, sorghum and their mixture .

Treatments	AS fed %			D M basis %			NR:					
	TDN	SV	DCP	TDN	SV	DCP	E Kcal/ ICCG	I	D M	TDN	SV	DCP
Guar	b 11.90	a 10.38	a 2.61	d 59.11	c 51.56	a 12.96	a 255.59	c 5.55	d 3.16	d 1.86	c 1.62	c 0.40
Sorghum	c 12.51	b 9.59	c 1.45	b 63.04	b 52.66	c 7.96	c 250.85	a 5.92	c 7.53	c 4.74	b 3.96	b 0.59
" I 50	b 12.00	b 9.72	c 1.51	c 61.47	c 49.79	c 7.73	c 246.72	a 6.95	b 6.23	b 5.05	b 4.09	b 0.63
Mixture I	a 13.04	a 10.87	b 1.82	a 64.33	a 53.63	b 8.98	b 255.25	b 6.16	a 10.21	a 6.56	a 5.47	a 0.91
" II	a 12.91	a 10.55	b 1.81	c 61.89	c 50.57	c 8.68	b 257.38	b 6.13	a 10.72	a 6.63	a 5.42	a 0.93

a, b, c, d, Not followed by the same letter are significantly different at 0.05 level (Duncan's multiple range test) .

It was also clear that the mixture had narrow values of nutritive ratio (1:6.13 - 1:6.16) than sweet sorghum in pure stand (1:6.92 - 1: 6.95). Improving the NR in the mixture due to the fact that mixing guar with sorghum raised both CP and DCP contents. Similar results were reported by Gabra and sherif, 1985 with bulls fed. sorghum/cowpea mixture.

Daily intake of green guar, sweet sorghum and their mixture by bulls:

Intake of green forage (Table 4) by mature bulls per day decreased significantly ($P < 0.05$) with guar in pure stand followed by solid sorghum cut at 150 cm. height. This trend was reflexed also on DM/100 kg. body weight or per kgw. 0.75. Decreasing the daily intake from guar might be due to the fact that recorded by BoGöhl, 1981 who mentioned that guar a poor forage for grazing because of its hairy and nettly leaves. On the other hand, animals consumed more forage from guar/sorghum misture being significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) with sorghum cut at 125 cm. height than 150 cm. height. The DM/kgw. 0.75 ranged from 90.09 to 103.46 g. in this study within the range obtained by Gabra and Sherif, 1985 (91.97 - 104.01 g. DM/kgw. 0.75) with bulls fed green sorghum/cowpea mixture. In this connection Laredo and Minson, 1473, Gabra, 1984, Shalaby et, al., 1984 and Gabra and Sherif, 1985 reported that the intake from legume/grass mixture was higher than grasses in pure stands.

Also mixing guar with sorghum increased the intake from the feed units (TDN, SV and DCP) by bulls. The intake from SV and DCP in this study were higher than those recorded by Abou-Raya et. al., 1980. (25g.SV and 2-4 g.DCP/kgw 0.75)

Table 4 . Daily intakes from Green Guar , sorghum and their mixture by bulls

Treatments	body weight Kg	D.I %	Daily intake				DM %	TDI %	SV %	DOP %
			Frish Kg	Dry Kg	DM/100 Kg	intake /Kg				
Guar	246	20.13	27.80 ^d	5596 ^d	2027 ^d	90.09 ^c	53.25 ^c	46.45 ^c	11.67 ^a	
Sorghum 125 cm	248	18.21	33.50 ^a	6100 ^c	2.46 ^c	97.61 ^b	61.53 ^b	51.40 ^b	7.75 ^d	
" 150 "	246	19.52	30.40 ^c	5934 ^d	2.41 ^c	95.53 ^b	58.75 ^b	47.56 ^{bc}	7.38 ^d	
Mixture I	247	20.27	31.80 ^b	5446 ^a	2.61 ^a	103.46 ^a	66.55 ^a	55.48 ^a	9.29 ^b	
Mixture II	248	20.86	30.10 ^c	6279 ^b	2.53 ^b	100.47 ^{ab}	62.18 ^b	50.80 ^b	8.72 ^c	

a, b, c ; d Not followed by the same letter are significantly different at 0.05 level (Duncan's multiple range test) .

The intake from SV and DCP could cover the maintenance requirements of animals from energy and protein with surplus for production.

Feed units yield: The feed units yield (TDN, SV and DCP) were calculated from average composition of each treatment, average digestion coefficients of nutrients known from feeding trials with bulls (Tables 2 and 3). Results in table 3 showed that the mixtures were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in the feed units yield than sorghum and guar in pure stands. Intercropping guar with sorghum and cut the two crops together at 125 cm or 150 cm height (for sorghum increased the feed units yield of the mixture by 25.80, 26.11 and 56.53% for TDN, SV and DCP, respectively than sweet sorghum in pure stand.

In Egypt, during the summer period when the farmer sows one kerrat (175 m², $\frac{1}{4}$ of a fedden) with sweet sorghum in the field heading from the area of maize and grain sorghum (about 2.32 million fed), the total area planted from sweet sorghum equals about 96667 feddans and cut the forage at 125 cm or 150 cm height gave in average about 0.48, 0.38 and 0.06 million ton TDN, SV and DCP, respectively. However, intercropping guar on sorghum in 96667 feddan and cut the two forages together gave about 0.63, 0.53 and 0.09 million ton TDN, SV and DCP, respectively. In other words, the SV yield obtained from sorghum/guar mixture (0.53 million ton) to equal about 7.60% (the total feed units yield/year about 6.97 million ton SV recorded by Gabra, 1984). However, the SV yield of sweet sorghum now a very low

share being only 0.14% (Gabra, 1984).

Agriculture extensive system, it can inferred whenever possible increase the yield under similar studied conditions. This can be obtained without increasing the area under cultivation in the loam soil. This might solve the problem of imported hybrids and contribute for increasing the green forage in summer.

References

Abou-Raya, A.K, shalaby, A.S., Ibrahim, S. A.A and Gabra, M.A. (1980) Intake of napier grass in feeding trials with mature sheep along with the nutrient composition of leaves and stems and their rotations affected by cutting height. Fac. of Agric., Zagazig univ. Bull. 159

A.O.A.C. (1980) Official methods analytical of the Association of Official Analytical chemists. 13th Edition, Washington ,U.S.A.

Bo Göhl (1981) FAO Animal Production and Health Series, No.12. PP 153-154.

Farid, N.I., and Ghobrial, K.M. (1976) Effect of growing cowpea in combination sweet sudan grass on the total yield of fodder. Agric.Res.J.g: 137-149.

Fisk and subbarow (1925) The colorimetric determination of phosphorus. J.Biol. Chem., 66 375-400.

Gabra, M.A. (1984) Studies on the productivity and feeding qualities of some annual and

perennial forages as affected by some agronomic practices fed to sheep in metabolism trials including nutritional balances. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ,

Gabra, M.A. and Sherif, S.Y. (1985) Intercropping cowpea (*Vigna Sinensis* L.) with sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) for animals feeding during summer season. Egypt. J. prod. (In press).

Ghobrial, K.M., Gabra M.A. and Nasr, M.A. (1982) comparative study of green and dry yield and some nutritional components in some leguminous summer forage crops. Agric. Res. Rev., 60 (8) 203-211.

Hassan, A.K. and Gabra, M.A. (1982) Effect of intercropping cowpea on green fodder and grain yield of sorghum. Fac. of Agric. Zagazig Univ. Bull. 542.

Laredo, M.A. and Minson, D.J. (1973) The voluntary intake, digestibility and retention time by sheep of leaf and stem fraction of five grasses. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 24: 875-888.

Shalaby, A.S., Salem, O.A.I., Gabra, M.A. and Ghobrial, K.M. (1984) Influence of cutting height on voluntary intake and prussic acid contents of sweet sorghum and its mixture with alfalfa fed unchopped or chopped to sheep. I st Egyptian-British conference of Animal and Poultry Production, Zagazig Univ. Egypt, sept. 11-13. 1984.

Sherif, S.Y. and Gabra, M.A. (1985) Effect of

interseeding tritical with berseem on the level of some essential minerals and their balances in metabolism trials with sheep fed green herbage. Zagazig Jour.Agric.Res.12(I)517-543.

Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H.(1960) Principales and procedures of statistics. McGraw-Hill Book company, INC.

(1982) comparative study of green and dry v...
 and some nutritional components in some...
 Agr. Res. Rev., 8 (8) 107-111.

Hassan, A.K. and Gabry, M.A. (1957) Effect
 of intercropping cowpea on green fodder and
 grain yield of sorghum.
 Jour. of Agric. Zagazig Univ. Bull. 523.

Farabe, K.A. and Hassan, G.J. (1977) The effect
 of voluntary intake, digestibility and retention in
 the dry sheep of leaf and stem fraction of five
 grasses. Jour. Agric. Res. 74: 285-288.

Shalaby, A.S., Saleh, O.I.I., Gabry, M.A. and
 Ghazal, K.M. (1984) Influence of cutting in
 eight on voluntary intake and nutritive value
 of sweet sorghum and its mixture with
 alfalfa fed unchopped or chopped to sheep.
 1st Egyptian-British conference of Animal
 and Poultry Production, Zagazig Univ., Egypt, pp.
 11-12, 1984.

Sherif, S.Y. and Gabry, M.A. (1985) Effect of

بعض دراسات غذائية على الجوار المنزوع منفردا أو محملا على الذرة السكرية

ميشيل عوض جبرة ، شريف يوسف شريف
معهد بحوث الانتاج الحيوانى ، وكلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق

أجريت هذه الدراسة لمقارنة تأثير زراعة الجوار منفردا أو محملا على الذرة السكرية على الانتاجية والقيمة الغذائية . أظهرت النتائج أن مخلوط العلف الاخضر الناتج من تحميل الجوار على الذرة السكرية يزيد معنويا بنسبة ١٦٧٣ ٪ ، ٢٤٧٤ ٪ عن محصول الجوار والذرة السكرية المنزرعان فى حالة فردية على التوالى . وبالنسبة لتحليل الغذائى والقيم الغذائية فان النتائج أظهرت أن الحوار كمحصول بقولى يزيد فى البروتين الخام والرماد عن الذرة السكرية . كما أن خلط الجوار مع الذرة السكرية أدى الى تحسين خواص العلف فى المخلوط خاصة فى معاملات الهضم والقيمة الغذائية والنسبة الغذائية كما أدى الى زيادة المتناول اليومى من العلف الاخضر المأكول بواسطة الشيران .