



Official Journal Issued by
Faculty of
Veterinary Medicine

Benha Veterinary Medical Journal

Journal homepage: <https://bvmj.journals.ekb.eg/>



Since 1990

Original Paper

Effects of lemon and kiwi juice in reduction of some pathogens contaminating chicken breast meat

Hemmat M. Ibrahim, Mohamed A. Hassan, Reham A. Amin, Alaa K. Amin

Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

Enterobacteriaceae count

Kiwi juice

Lemon juice

Staphylococcus count

Total bacterial count

Received 13/01/2021

Accepted 09/02/2021

Available On-Line

01/04/2021

ABSTRACT

The unacceptable bad quality chickens meat is a problem for the poultry industry. The main objective of the current study was to improve the sensory and microbiological quality of raw chicken meat using natural juices of kiwi, lemon, and their combination, and to investigate the deterioration criteria and sensory quality of treated meat during refrigerated storage. Meat were marinated in marinade solutions containing fruit juices in five groups as follow: kiwi juice (5%), kiwi juice (10%), lemon juice (0.04%), lemon juice (0.2%), mixture of kiwi (10%) and lemon (0.2%) juices and a control group without marination. All groups were stored at 4 °C. Results revealed that the reduction % in APC was 73.9%, 89.3%, 95.3%, 98% and 93.3% and in Enterobacteriaceae count was 89.23%, 95.64%, 58%, 99.8% and 95.51%, and in Staphylococci count was 60.60%, 93.18%, 65.15%, 96.74% and 93.68 in kiwi (5%), kiwi (10%), lemon (0.04%), lemon (0.2%), and mixture of lemon (0.2%) and kiwi (10%), respectively. So, these natural juices can be used as natural preservatives to control bacterial contamination and increase shelf life of chicken meat.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chicken meat is an animal product that is important for human nutrition, has a variable and moderate energy content, highly digestible proteins of good nutritional quality, unsaturated lipids, fat-soluble and B-complex vitamins as well as minerals that make poultry meat a valuable food. (Donma et al., 2017).

Chicken meat is the ideal medium for bacterial growth due to its high moisture content, richness in nitrogenous compounds (essential amino acids, proteins), a good source of minerals, vitamins, and other growth factors. However, its PH is favorable to the growth of microorganisms.

The bacteriological safety of food continues to be a major concern for consumers, regulatory agencies, and the food industry.

Traditionally, many food preservation strategies have been used to control microbial spoilage in food, but contamination of food and spoilage by microorganisms is still a problem that needs to be properly controlled. (Christensen et al., 2009)

Although synthetic antimicrobials have been approved in many countries, recent trends in the use of natural preservatives have necessitated exploration of alternative sources of safe, effective, and acceptable natural preservatives (Sullivan and Calkins, 2010)

Plants contain innumerable constituents and valuable sources of new and biologically active molecules with antimicrobial properties and proteolytic enzymes that affect the tenderness of the meat (Ketnawa and Rawdkuen, 2011). Kiwi fruit extract has an antibacterial effect against some gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria and plays an effective role in meat tenderization (Ketnawa and

Rawdkuen, 2011). Kiwi fruits have protease enzyme (actinidin) that is very effective in meat tenderization and has antifungal, antioxidant, and antibacterial effect on meat (Koak et al., 2011)

Lemon is an important medicinal plant in the Rutaceae family. It is a rich source of vitamin C and is cultivated mainly for its alkaloids, which have anticancer activity and antibacterial potential in crude extracts of different parts (viz., leaves, stem, root, and flower).

Citrus flavonoids have a wide range of biological activity including antibacterial, antifungal, antidiabetic, anticancer and antiviral activities (Ke et al., 2009)

So, the main objective of the current study was to investigate the effects of kiwi and lemon juices marinade in various concentrations on the Bacterial contamination of chicken meat in refrigerated storage.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

1.1. Samples:

Three Kg. of chicken breast fillets were purchased from local markets in Tanta, El-Gharbia governorate, Egypt and transferred to the lab and stored at 4 ± 1 °C.

1.2. Preparation of marinated solutions:

Fresh lemon and kiwi fruit were purchased from local supermarkets in Tanta, El-Gharbia governorate, Egypt, kiwi fruit was peeled, sliced, blended and filtered to obtain kiwi juice and lemon fruit was split, pressed and filtered to obtain lemon juice. Concentrations were kiwi juice (5%), kiwi juice (10%), lemon juice (0.04%), lemon juice (0.2%),

* Corresponding author: alaa.amr@fvtm.bu.edu.eg

mixture of kiwi juice (10%) and lemon juice (0.2%) and a control group without marination.

The basic marinade solution was prepared using a simple traditional formulation as follows: salt 1.8%, polyphosphates 0.3%, white pepper 0.002% (Abdelraman, 2016).

2.3. Experimental Design (Abdelraman, 2016)

Samples were divided into six groups (500 g of each) and marinated as following (1)

Marination was for 24hrs then, all groups were stored at 4 °C. Sodium tripolyphosphate, white peppers and sodium chloride were obtained from a local supermarket in local markets in Tanta, ElGharbia governorate, Egypt.

-A three replicate experiment was conducted.

2.4 Bacteriological analysis:

Samples were analyzed for detection of APC, Enterobacteriaceae and Staphylococci counts at 0, 3, 6 and 9 days of storage (4°C) until appearance of spoilage signs.

2.5 Bacteriological investigation:

2.5.1. Sample preparation (ISO, 2017):

Twenty-five g. of chicken breast meat samples were transferred to a sterile stomach bag and 225 ml of 0.1% sterile peptone water was added aseptically to the contents of the bag. Each sample was then homogenized in the stomach (Biomruxsa-France-no.42489367) at 2000 rpm for 1-2 minutes. One ml of homogenate was added to a sterile tube containing 9 ml of peptone water (0.1%) and then mixing. From which tenth – folded serial dilutions have been prepared.

2.5.2 Aerobic Plate Count (APC) was carried according to (ISO, 2013).

2.5.3. Enterobacteriaceae count (EBC) was counting on Violet red bile glucose agar (VRBG) according to (ISO, 2004).

2.6.4. Staphylococci count was estimated on Baird Parker agar plate according to (ISO, 1999).

2.7 Statistical analysis

Statistical data analysis for the three independent replicates was treated by one way ANOVA using SPSS program according to Feldman et al. (2003).

Table 1 Groups of samples (Abdelraman, 2016)

Groups	Description
1 st	Control positive (chicken breast 500 g + marinade without kiwi or lemon)
2 nd	Kiwi 5% (chicken breast 500g + marinade containing kiwi 5%)
3 rd	Kiwi 10% (chicken breast 500g + marinade containing kiwi 10%)
4 th	Lemon 0.04% (chicken breast 500g + marinade containing lemon 0.04%)
5 th	Lemon 0.2% (chicken breast 500g + marinade containing lemon 0.2%)
6 th	Mix (chicken breast 500g + marinade containing lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%)

3. RESULTS

The results achieved in tables (2 and 3) it is evident that the initial mean count of total aerobes in untreated (control) group, was $3.30 \times 10^6 \pm 7.00 \times 10^5$ such count was slightly decreased to $8.60 \times 10^5 \pm 2.60 \times 10^4$, $3.50 \times 10^5 \pm 1.02 \times 10^4$, $1.53 \times 10^5 \pm 1.00 \times 10^5$, $5.00 \times 10^4 \pm 2.20 \times 10^3$ and $2.20 \times 10^5 \pm 1.00 \times 10^4$ cfu/g after the treatment with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively with reduction

percentages of 73.9%, 89.3%, 95.3%, 98% and 93.3%, respectively.

By the 3rd day of the experiment, the mean count of total aerobes in control group was $5.10 \times 10^7 \pm 7.00 \times 10^6$ such count was slightly decreased to $9.5 \times 10^5 \pm 3.04 \times 10^4$, $1.50 \times 10^5 \pm 3.00 \times 10^4$, $3.12 \times 10^5 \pm 1.10 \times 10^4$, $1.50 \times 10^4 \pm 1.00 \times 10^3$ and $1.20 \times 10^5 \pm 2.00 \times 10^4$ for the treated samples with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively with reduction percentages of 71.2%, 95.4%, 90.5%, 99.5% and 96.3% respectively.

On the 6th day of refrigeration storage at (4±1°C), the control samples, kiwi 5% and lemon 0.04% showed extreme discoloration and off-odor indicating a complete spoilage more than other treated samples and the mean counts were $5.00 \times 10^6 \pm 1.50 \times 10^4$, $7.00 \times 10^4 \pm 2.00 \times 10^3$ and $3.40 \times 10^5 \pm 2.00 \times 10^4$ log cfu/g for kiwi 10%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively with reduction percentages of -51%, 97.8% and -3%, respectively.

On the 9th day of refrigeration storage at (4±1°C), the samples of control, kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) showed extreme discoloration and off-odour indicating a complete spoilage more than samples treated with lemon 0.2% and the mean count of them were $8.40 \times 10^4 \pm 3.20 \times 10^3$ with reduction percentage was 97.4%.

From the results achieved in table (4 and 5) it is evident that the mean count of *S. aureus* in untreated (control) group, was $1.32 \times 10^4 \pm 1.30 \times 10^3$ log cfu/g such count was slightly decreased to $5.20 \times 10^3 \pm 0.60 \times 10^2$, $9.00 \times 10^2 \pm 4.30 \times 10^2$, $4.60 \times 10^3 \pm 1.20 \times 10^2$, $4.30 \times 10^2 \pm 0.30 \times 10^2$ and $8.33 \times 10^2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g after the treatment with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively in zero day with reduction percentages 60.60%, 93.18%, 65.15%, 96.74% and 93.68% respectively.

By the 3rd day of the experiment, the mean *S. aureus* count in control group was $4.00 \times 10^5 \pm 1.20 \times 10^4$ log cfu/g and the mean count was $2.03 \times 10^3 \pm 1.02 \times 10^2$, $3.1 \times 10^2 \pm 1.01 \times 10^2$, $1.00 \times 10^3 \pm 0.30 \times 10^3$, zero and $4.03 \times 10^2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g for the treated samples with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively, with reduction percentages 84.62%, 97.65%, 92.42%, 100% and 96.94%, respectively.

On the 6th day of refrigeration storage at (4±1 °C), the control samples, kiwi 5% and lemon 0.04% showed extreme discoloration and off-odor indicating a complete spoilage more than other treated samples and the mean counts $2.03 \times 10^2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^2$, zero and $3.08 \times 10^2 \pm 2.3 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g for kiwi 10%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively, with reduction percentages 98.6%, 100% and 97.66%, respectively.

At the 9th day of refrigeration storage at (4±1°C), the samples of control, kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) showed extreme discoloration and off-odour indicating a complete spoilage more than samples treated with lemon 0.2%, the mean *S. aureus* counts were zero log cfu/g, with reduction percentages 100%.

From the results achieved in table (6 and 7) it is evident that the mean count of Enterobacteriaceae in untreated (control) group, was $7.80 \times 10^4 \pm 1.06 \times 10^3$ log cfu/g such count was slightly decreased to $3.40 \times 10^3 \pm 1.30 \times 10^2$, $8.40 \times 10^3 \pm 2.00 \times 10^2$, $3.20 \times 10^4 \pm 0.03 \times 10^3$, $1.00 \times 10^2 \pm 0.80 \times 10^2$ and $3.50 \times 10^3 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g after the treatment with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) respectively in

zero day with reduction percentages 95.64%, 89.23%, 58.97%, 99.89% and 95.51%, respectively.

By the 3rd day of the experiment, the mean Enterobacteriaceae count in control group was $1.80 \times 10^5 \pm 0.30 \times 10^4$ log cfu/g and the mean count was $9.00 \times 10^2 \pm 0.02 \times 10^2$, $2.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^2$, $1.40 \times 10^3 \pm 0.60 \times 10^3$, zero and $2.02 \times 10^2 \pm 0.02 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g for the treated samples with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively, with reduction percentages 98.84%, 99.67%, 98.20%, 100% and 99.7% respectively.

On the 6th day of refrigeration storage at $(4 \pm 1)^\circ\text{C}$, the control samples, kiwi 5% and lemon 0.04% showed extreme

discoloration and off-odour indicating a complete spoilage more than other treated samples and the mean counts were $1.03 \times 10^2 \pm 0.02 \times 10^2$, zero and $1.60 \times 10 \pm 0.30 \times 10$ log cfu/g for lemon kiwi 10%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%), respectively, with reduction percentages 99.86%, 100% and 99.98% respectively. On the 9th day of refrigeration storage at $(4 \pm 1)^\circ\text{C}$, the samples of control, kiwi 5%, kiwi 10% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) showed extreme discoloration and off-odour indicating a complete spoilage more than samples treated with lemon 0.2% and the mean count of them were zero log cfu/g, with reduction percentages 100%.

Table 2 Analytical results of Aerobic Plate Count (cfu/g) in chicken meat marinated with various concentrations of Kiwis and Lemon juices stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$

Group	Zero day	3 rd	6 th	9 th
Control	$3.30 \times 10^6 \pm 3.00 \times 10^5 \text{A}$	$5.10 \times 10^7 \pm 7.00 \times 10^6 \text{AB}$	spoiled	spoiled
Kiwi (5%)	$8.60 \times 10^5 \pm 2.60 \times 10^4 \text{C}$	$9.5 \times 10^5 \pm 3.04 \times 10^4 \text{C}$	spoiled	spoiled
Kiwi (10%)	$3.50 \times 10^5 \pm 1.02 \times 10^4 \text{B}$	$1.50 \times 10^5 \pm 3.00 \times 10^4 \text{A}$	$5.00 \times 10^5 \pm 1.50 \times 10^4 \text{AC}$	Spilled
Lemon (0.04%)	$1.53 \times 10^5 \pm 1.00 \times 10^5 \text{C}$	$3.12 \times 10^5 \pm 1.10 \times 10^4 \text{C}$	spoiled	spoiled
Lemon (0.2%)	$5.00 \times 10^4 \pm 2.20 \times 10^3 \text{B}$	$1.50 \times 10^4 \pm 1.00 \times 10^3 \text{B}$	$7.00 \times 10^4 \pm 2.00 \times 10^3 \text{B}$	$8.40 \times 10^4 \pm 3.20 \times 10^3 \text{B}$
Lemon (0.2%)+ Kiwi (10%) mix.	$2.20 \times 10^5 \pm 1.00 \times 10^4 \text{C}$	$1.20 \times 10^5 \pm 2.00 \times 10^4 \text{C}$	$3.40 \times 10^5 \pm 2.00 \times 10^4 \text{AC}$	spoiled

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

Table 3 Reduction percentage of Aerobic Plate Count (cfu/g) in chicken meat marinated with various concentrations of Kiwis and Lemon juices stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$

Group	Zero day	3 rd	6 th	9 th
Kiwi (5%)	73.9	71.2	0	0
Kiwi (10%)	89.3	95.4	-51	0
Lemon (0.04%)	95.3	90.5	0	0
Lemon (0.2%)	98	99.5	97.8	97.4
Lemon (0.2%)+ Kiwi (10%) mix.	93.3	96.3	-3	0

Reduction count = before - after. Reduction count (%) = (before - after) ÷ before × 10

Table 4 Analytical results of *Staphylococci* count (cfu/g) in chicken meat marinated with various concentrations of Kiwis and Lemon juices stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

Group	Zero day	3 rd	6 th	9 th
Control	$1.32 \times 10^4 \pm 1.3 \times 10^3 \text{A}$	$4.0 \times 10^5 \pm 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{D}$	spoiled	spoiled
Kiwi (5%)	$5.2 \times 10^3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$2.03 \times 10^3 \pm 1.02 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	spoiled	spoiled
Kiwi (10%)	$9 \times 10^2 \pm 4.3 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$3.1 \times 10^2 \pm 1.01 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$2.03 \times 10^2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^2 \text{C}$	spoiled
Lemon (0.04%)	$4.6 \times 10^3 \pm 1.2 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$1.0 \times 10^3 \pm 0.30 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	spoiled	spoiled
lemon (0.2%)	$4.3 \times 10^2 \pm 0.3 \times 10^2 \text{C}$	0	0	0
Lemon (0.2%)+ Kiwi (10%) mix.	$8.33 \times 10^2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$4.03 \times 10^2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$3.08 \times 10^2 \pm 2.3 \times 10^2 \text{C}$	Spilled

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

Table 5 Reduction percentage of *Staphylococci* count (cfu/g) in chicken meat marinated with various concentrations of Kiwis and Lemon juices stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

Group	Zero day	3 rd	6 th	9 th
Kiwi (5%)	60.60%	84.62%	0	0
Kiwi (10%)	93.18%	97.65%	98.6%	0
Lemon (0.04%)	65.15%	92.42%	0	0
lemon (0.2%)	96.74%	100%	100%	100%
Lemon (0.2%)+ Kiwi (10%) mix.	93.68%	96.94%	97.66%	0

Reduction count = before - after. Reduction count (%) = (before - after) ÷ before × 10

Table 6 Analytical results of *Enterobacteriaceae* count (cfu/g) in chicken meat marinated with various concentrations of Kiwi and Lemon juices stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$

Group	Zero day	3 rd	6 th	9 th
Control	$7.8 \times 10^4 \pm 1.06 \times 10^3 \text{A}$	$1.8 \times 10^5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^4 \text{A}$	spoiled	spoiled
Kiwi (5%)	$8.4 \times 10^3 \pm 2 \times 10^2 \text{A}$	$9 \times 10^2 \pm 0.02 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	spoiled	spoiled
Kiwi (10%)	$3.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.3 \times 10^2 \text{C}$	$2.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$1.03 \times 10^2 \pm 0.02 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	spoiled
Lemon (0.04%)	$3.2 \times 10^4 \pm 0.03 \times 10^3 \text{A}$	$1.4 \times 10^3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^2 \text{C}$	spoiled	spoiled
lemon (0.2%)	$1 \times 10^2 \pm 0.8 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	0	0	0
Lemon (0.2%)+ Kiwi (10%) mix.	$3.5 \times 10^3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^2 \text{C}$	$2.02 \times 10^2 \pm 0.02 \times 10^2 \text{B}$	$1.6 \times 10 \pm 0.3 \times 10 \text{CC}$	spoiled

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

Table 7 Reduction percentage of *Enterobacteriaceae* count (cfu/g) in chicken meat marinated with various concentrations of Kiwis and Lemon juices stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

Group	Zero day	3 rd	6 th	9 th
Kiwi (5%)	89.23	98.84	0	0
Kiwi (10%)	95.64	99.67	99.86	0
Lemon (0.04%)	58.97	98.20	0	0
lemon (0.2%)	99.89	100	100	100
Lemon (0.2%)+ Kiwi (10%) mix.	95.51	99.7	99.98	0

Reduction count = before - after. Reduction count (%) = (before - after) ÷ before × 100

4. DISCUSSION

Microorganism contamination at various stages of the food chain is one of the major causes of food spoilage that ultimately leads to food waste, increased food insecurity and substantial economic losses. Various synthetic

chemical preservatives are used to control microbial food spoilage and to extend product shelf life.

Researchers and consumers are discouraged by the use of synthetic preservatives due to their negative health impacts. Natural antimicrobials have gained attention among researchers and food manufacturers due to their safety and non-toxic status. Natural preservatives are easily obtained from plants, animals, and microbes. These naturally

occurring antimicrobial agents may be isolated from indigenous sources using a variety of advanced techniques.

4.1 Bacteriological Examination:

From the results achieved in table (2 and 3) it is evident that the initial mean count of total aerobes in untreated (control) group, was $3.30 \times 10^6 \pm 7.00 \times 10^5$ such count was slightly decreased to $8.60 \times 10^5 \pm 2.60 \times 10^4$, $3.50 \times 10^5 \pm 1.02 \times 10^4$, $1.53 \times 10^5 \pm 1.00 \times 10^5$, $5.00 \times 10^4 \pm 2.20 \times 10^3$ and $2.20 \times 10^5 \pm 1.00 \times 10^4$ cfu/g after the treatment with kiwi 5% ,kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04%, lemon 0.2% and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) respectively with reduction percentages of 73.9% ,89.3% ,95.3% ,98% and 93.3% respectively and these results are in agreement with those obtained by Yusop et al (2010), who found that the acidic marinating solutions decrease pH and inhibit microbial growth. Lemon juice was more effective in reducing total bacterial and psychrophilic bacterial counts than acetic acid and propionic acid. This might be due to the strong antioxidant activity of bioactive com-pounds in lemon juice. Lipolytic bacteria, *Salmonella Spp* and coliform bacteria were not detected in all samples and these results are constant with those recorded by Wally (2002) who found that the increase in total bacterial counts after period of storage might be due to development of more cold tolerant viable cells of some spices originally existed. From the results achieved in table (4 and 5) it is evident that the mean count of *S. aureus* in untreated (control) group, was $1.32 \times 10^4 \pm 1.30 \times 10^3$ log cfu/g such count was slightly decreased to $5.20 \times 10^3 \pm 0.60 \times 10^2$, $9.00 \times 10^2 \pm 4.30 \times 10^2$, $4.60 \times 10^3 \pm 1.20 \times 10^2$, $4.30 \times 10^2 \pm 0.30 \times 10^2$ and $8.33 \times 10^2 \pm 0.06 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g after the treatment with kiwi 5% ,kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04% ,lemon 0.2 and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) respectively with reduction percentages 60.60%, 93.18%, 65.15%, 96.74% and 93.68% respectively and these results are in agreement with those obtained by Ketnawa and Rawdkuen (2011), who found that The kiwi fruit have extract which has antibacterial effect against some gram- negative and gram- positive bacteria and has effective role in meat tenderization. and have protease enzyme (actinidin) which be very effective in meat tenderization and has antifungal, antioxidant, and antibacterial effect on meat. (Koak et al., 2011) and the results came in the same line with those recorded by Ha et al., (2013) who found that kiwi fruit (*Actinidia chinensis*) extract has significant antibacterial activity against various Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains. Many studies have addressed the roles of these plant proteases as meat tenderizers. However, only a few of them have studied the antifungal, antioxidant, and antibacterial properties of these plant proteases on meat and poultry products.

From the results achieved in tables (6 and 7) it is evident that the mean count of Enterobacteriaceae in untreated (control) group, was $7.80 \times 10^4 \pm 1.06 \times 10^3$ log cfu/g such count was slightly decreased to $3.40 \times 10^3 \pm 1.30 \times 10^2$, $8.40 \times 10^3 \pm 2.00 \times 10^2$, $3.20 \times 10^4 \pm 0.03 \times 10^3$, $1.00 \times 10^2 \pm 0.80 \times 10^2$ and $3.50 \times 10^3 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$ log cfu/g after the treatment with kiwi 5%, kiwi 10%, lemon 0.04% ,lemon 0.2 and mix (lemon 0.2% and kiwi 10%) respectively with reduction percentages 95.64%, 89.23%, 58.97%, 99.89% and 95.51% respectively and these results results are in agreement with those obtained by Marwa (2014), who found that fresh breast chicken meat samples were completely free from coliform bacteria, lipolytic bacteria, *Salmonella spp* and yeast and mold, which proved the sanitary conditions of raw chicken breasts. After these periods of storage, the increase in microorganism counts might be due to the in-creasing amino acids and fatty acids produced by hydrolysis of protein and fat during storage

consequently lead to suitable conditions for growth of microorganisms and the results are similar to those obtained by Frazier (1980) who found that citrus bioflavonoids also had antimicrobial properties. These compounds have reportedly wide-ranging antimicrobial properties effective against a broad range of human pathogens, fungi and food spoilage organisms.

5. CONCLUSION

The addition of lemon juice and kiwi juice improved the microbiological quality of chicken meat as they had shown antibacterial effect against Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus and decrease APC counts and encourage us to conclude them to the new generation of the additives in meat industry.

6. REFERENCES

1. Abd El-Rahman, A. G. (2016): Tenderization of spent hen meat using natural fruits. M. V. Sc. Thesis (Meat Hygiene). Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo. Univ., Egypt.
2. Christensen, M., Tørngren, M. A., Gunvig, A., Rozlosnik, N., Lametsch, R., Anders H Karlssona, A.H and Ertbjerga, P. (2009): Injection of marinade with actinidin increases tenderness of porcine M. biceps femoris and affects myofibrils and connective tissue. J Sci. Food Agric., 89: 1607–1614.
3. Donma, M. M., and Donma, O. (2017) : Beneficial Effects of Poultry Meat Consumption on Cardiovascular Health and the Prevention of Childhood Obesity. MED ONE 2017, 2:e170018.
4. Feldman, D.; Gnon, J.; Haffman, R. and Simpson, J. (2003): The solution for data analysis and presentation graphics.2nd Ed., Abacus Lancripts, Inc., Berkeley, USA.
5. Frazier, S. F. (1980): Antimicrobial composition of matter from naturally occurring flavonoid glycosides, US Pat. 4,238,483.
6. Ha, M., Bekhit, A. E. -D., Carne, A., and Hopkins, D. L. (2013): Characterization of kiwi fruit and asparagus enzyme extracts, and their activities toward meat proteins. Food Chemistry, 136: 989–998.
7. "ISO" International Specification Organization (1991): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilution for microbiological examination. Part 1: General rules for the preparation of the initial suspension and decimal dilutions. Reference number ISO688-1:1991(E).1st edition
8. "ISO" International Specification Organization (2004): Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Horizontal methods for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae — Part 2: Colony-count method. Reference number ISO 21528-2:2004(E).1st edition.
9. "ISO" International Specification Organization (2013): Microbiology of the food chain — Horizontal method for the enumeration of microorganisms — Part 1: Colony count at 30 degrees C by the pour plate technique. Reference number ISO 4833-1:2013(E).1st edition.
10. "ISO" International Specification Organization (2017): Microbiology of the food chain — Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination — Part 1: General rules for the preparation of the initial suspension and decimal dilutions. Reference number ISO 6887-1:2017(E).1st edition.
11. Ke, S., Huang, Y., Decker, E. A., and Hultin, H. O. (2009): Impact of citric acid on the tenderness, microstructure and oxidative stability of beef muscle. Meat Sci. 82, 113-118.
12. Ketnawa, S., and Rawdkuen, S. (2011): Application of bromelain extract for foods tenderization. Food and Nutrition Sciences, 2, 393–401.
13. Koak, J. H., Kim, H. S., Choi, Y. J., Baik, M. Y., and Kim, B. Y. (2011): Characterization of aprotease from over-matured fruits and development of a tenderizer using anoptimization technique. Food Science and Biotechnology, 20, 485–490.
14. Marwa, F.A.A. (2014): Improvement of Quality and Safety of Dried Chicken Fillets Using Some Spices or Their Essential Oils. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ.

15. Sullivan, G. A., and Calkins, C. R. (2010): Application of exogenous enzymes to beef muscle of high and low-connective tissue. *Meat Science*, 85, 730–734.
16. Wally, F.A.A., (2002): Studies on Quality Attributes of some Semi-fried Chicken Products during Frozen Storage. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ.
17. Yusop, SM; O'sullivan, MG; Kerry, JF. and Kerry JP. (2010): Effect of marinating time and low pH on marinade performance and sensory acceptability of poultry meat. *Meat Science*;85(4):657-663.