

Dept. of Microbiology,  
Animal Health Research Institute Dokki.

**THE ROLE OF CELL MEDIATED IMMUNITY  
IN PROTECTION OF CHICKENS CHEMICALLY  
DAMAGED BURSA AGAINST NEWCASTLE DISEASE**  
(With 7 Tables)

By  
**A.M.E. ASWAWY**  
(Received at 25/9 2004)

دور المناعة الخلوية في حماية ذات كيس فيبريسي المنشط كيميائياً  
ضد مرض النيوكاسل

أبو الخير محمد إبراهيم عيسوي

في دراسة لحماية الكناكيت ضد مرض النيوكاسل الخالية من المسببات المرضية تم تقسيم ١٦٠ كتكوت إلى أربع مجاميع. تبين أن حقن مادة السيكلوفوسفاميد قد قضى على رد الفعل المناعي بالأجسام المضادة كما هو موضح بنتائج اختبار منع التلازن الدموي. أثبتت الكناكيت المحصنة والخالية من الأجسام المناعية القدرة على صد العدوى بفيروس النيوكاسل. تم إثبات رد الفعل المناعي باختبار منع التلازن الدموي كذلك اختبار التحدي بالعدوى الضارية.

**SUMMARY**

160 SPF chicks were divided into 4 groups to study the mechanism of protection against Newcastle disease (ND) virus. Cyclophosphamide treatment of 18 days old embryonated chicken SPF eggs depleted the humeral antibody response as shown by HI test. SPF chickens after humeral antibody depletion were found to be still protected against ND challenge after being vaccinated. Cell mediated immune response to ND vaccination which is indicated by lymphocyte blastogenesis and macrophage presented by challenge.

*Key words: Newcastle, chicken, bursal disease, vaccine, immunology.*

**INTRODUCTION**

Newcastle disease (ND) is an infectious disease of chickens and turkeys characterized by lesions in the respiratory tract, viscerals organ and brain, causing moderate to sever mortalities in susceptible flocks.

ND causes great economic losses due to the high mortality rate, reduction of meat and drop in egg production (Bisural and Narril, 1954). Intensified vaccination programs as the method of choice for the control of ND Partadiredgo *et al.*, (1979). Bursa of Fabricius is the primary lymphatic main organ of poultry responsible for B-cell proliferation, hence destruction of this organ revealed a drawback effect of the humeral immune response in chicken.

Destruction of this organ takes place if the birds are subjected to infection with infectious bursal disease (Allan *et al.*, 1972) or chemical reagents like cyclophosphamide substance. Several works had been done with cyclophosphamide substance such as by Fadly *et al.*, (1987).

This work was planned to study the role of cellular immune response in protection against ND after suppression of humeral immune response by cyclophosphamide substance.

## MATERIALS and METHODS

### 1- SPF chicks Eggs:

160 specific pathogen free eggs "SPF" were used for test application of cyclophosphamide "obtained from koomshiem, fayoum, Egypt"

### 2- Vaccine:

live ND vaccine lasota strain with a titer  $10^{6.5}$  EID / ml used for vaccination via the drinking water. The vaccine obtained from Intervet® and tittered by neutralization test before vaccination.

### 3- Newcastle virus virulent strain:

VVNDV " $10^8$  EID 50/ml" was used for challenge obtained from Veterinary Res. Institute, Cairo

### 4- Cyclophosphamide:

" $C_7H_{15}N_2O_2P \cdot H_2O$ " obtained from sigma and used for depletion of B-lymphocyte.

160 eggs "SPF" of 18 day old were obtained, in which 80 eggs inoculated with cyclophosphamide "3 mg / embryo" and 80 eggs non inoculated. Incubation of the inoculated and non inoculated eggs was carried out till hatching 80 chicks from inoculated eggs and other 80 chicks from non inoculated eggs were obtained and divided into 4 groups each of 40 chicks.

The first group was treated with cyclophosphamide and vaccinated with living ND vaccine, the second group vaccinated with living ND vaccine Hitchner B<sub>1</sub> strain "via eye drop at seven day post hatching" vaccine only, while the third group was treated with

cyclophosphamide only and the fourth group left without any treatment as control.

Each bird from vaccinated groups "1&2" was vaccinated 21 days after hatching with living attenuated Newcastle vaccine lasota strain "via drinking water"

10 random blood samples were collected from each group at 7,14,21,28,35, and 42 days post vaccinations, 5 of them were taken for estimation of humeral immune "haemagglutination inhibition test", while the other 5 samples were used for evaluation of cell mediated immune response using lymphocyte blastogenesis and macrophage activity test.

Haemagglutination inhibition test "HI test": it was carried out according to the method described by Majumabe and Hitchner "1977".

**Evaluation of cell mediated immune response:**

**a- Lymphocyte blastogenesis:** it was carried out according to Lucy (1974) and modified by Charles *et al* (1987). Evaluation of the test using MTT was carried out according to Mosramn (1983) and the results of the test was expressed as Delta optical density.

**b- Macrophage activity test:**

The test was done according to Barry & Joh *et al* (1988) and modified by El- Enbawy (1990).

**Phagocytic percentage:**

The Phagocytic index was done according to Richardsum and Smith (1981) and determined as follows:

$$\text{Phagocytic \%} = \frac{\text{Total No. of phagocytes which ingest more than 2 candida}}{\text{Total No. of phagocytes which ingest}}$$

**NDV. challeng test:**

10 chicks were chosen randomly from each group 7,14 and 35 days post vaccination and subjected to challenge test with 0.5 ml of VVNDV strain containing  $10^8$  EID 50 / ml.

The virus was titered by neutralizing test before challenge. The birds were observed for 15 days post challenge.

Birds died within this period were collected and subjected to detailed post mortem examination.

## RESULTS

Results obtained are recorded in Table 1 -7.

## DISCUSSION

This work was planned to investigate the role played by the cellular immunity in protection of chicken against NDV. The obtained results recorded that no haemagglutination Ab<sub>s</sub> titer could be estimated in cyclophosphamide treated and vaccinating "group 1" table (1) compared with non cyclophosphamide treated and vaccinated group "group 2" table (1) wich recorded a low Ab titer "2.50". on week post vaccination reaching peak "8.08" three weeks post vaccination.

The obtained results denoting the effects of cyclophosphamide on depletion of B-lymphocytes, the results agreed with Lerman and Weidanz (1970) and Sperrs *et al* (1978). Istifanuse *et al* (1985) stated that birds treated with cyclophosphamide failed to synthesize detectable amount of Ab<sub>s</sub> and they referred this results to suppressive effect of cyclophosphamide and atrophy of bursa of fabricious.

Controversially, progressive increase in the cellular and macrophage activity results of lymphocyte transformation test expressed as Delta optical denisty (table 2) revealed that maximum values were recorded 14 days post hatching in all groups, however the magnitude of the value was noticed when the test was applied specifically using NDV. as an Ag comparing with the use of phytohemagglutination "PHA" as mitogen. Obtained results revealed non noticeable differences between group 1&2 when the peak values recorded were "0.135" and "0.130" for both groups.

On the other hand, more assertion for the former results were obtained after evaluation of the macrophage activity. (tables 3&4), maximum phagocytic activity expressed as percentage and index recorded parameters were " 75.7%" and "72.3%", " 0.47" and " 0.46" for both groups respectivity. Results of protection percentage against the VVNDV reflect the immunological status of the expermintal chickens (tables 5,6,7) maximum protection 100% was recorded percentage for group (2) 14&35 days post hatching whereas the recorded percentage for cyclophosphamide treated and vaccinated group "group 1" at 3,14,35 days were 50%, 80% and 20%. The obtained results summarize the possible role played by cellular immune response in protection of humeral immune response as result of the cyclophosphamide treated. This finding comes in agreement with those of Jones *et al* (1992) and Chackal *et al* (1999) where they stated in similar work that other immune mechanism should play a principle role of protection against

TRTV infection in turkey after using cyclophosphamide to suppress the humeral immune response.

The results agreed also with those of Caporal *et al* (1978) & Schmidt and Massable (1974) where they expressed the role of local immune response for protection against some other infection in birds.

**Table 1 :** The average log<sub>2</sub> of HI titer to NDV in chickens.

| Group | 7 days | 14 days | 21 days | 28 days | 35 days | 42 days |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     |
| 2     | 0.0    | 2.50    | 5.66    | 8.09    | 7.05    | 6.35    |
| 3     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     |
| 4     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0     |

Group 1: treated with cyclophosphamide and vaccinated with living NDV.  
 Group 2: vaccinated with living NDV vaccine only.  
 Group 3: treated with cyclophosphamide only.  
 Group 4: non treated & non vaccinated (control).

**Table 2:** Evaluation of cell mediated immune response by lymphocyte transformation expressed by delta optical density.

| DPV | 7 days |       | 14 days |       | 21 days |       | 28 days |       | 35 days |       | 42 days |       |
|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
|     | PHA    | NDV   | PHA     | NDV   | PHA     | NDV   | PHA     | NDV   | PHA     | NDV   | PHA     | NDV   |
| 1   | 0.095  | 0.120 | 0.122   | 0.140 | 0.135   | 0.165 | 0.112   | 0.145 | 0.090   | 0.110 | 0.065   | 0.080 |
| 2   | 0.098  | 0.117 | 0.117   | 0.135 | 0.150   | 0.160 | 0.110   | 0.148 | 0.081   | 0.108 | 0.052   | 0.078 |
| 3   | 0.010  | 0.116 | 0.090   | 0.012 | 0.010   | 0.017 | 0.008   | 0.013 | 0.008   | 0.011 | 0.011   | 0.011 |
| 4   | 0.011  | 0.014 | 0.009   | 0.015 | 0.015   | 0.012 | 0.011   | 0.014 | 0.012   | 0.015 | 0.010   | 0.015 |

DPV = day post vaccination  
 PHA = phytohaemagglutination

**Table 3:** Evaluation of cell mediated immune response by macrophage activity using *Candida albicans* expressed phagocytic percentage.

| Group | 7 days | 14 days | 21 days | 28 days | 35 days | 42 days |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1     | 54.1   | 75.7    | 62.5    | 50.7    | 40.9    | 35.2    |
| 2     | 56.2   | 72.3    | 64.5    | 49.2    | 43.1    | 38.1    |
| 3     | 18.2   | 12.2    | 16.4    | 16.9    | 12.9    | 10.4    |
| 4     | 12.7   | 15.2    | 19.3    | 14.5    | 16.5    | 11.3    |

**Table 4:** Evaluation of cell mediated immune response by macrophage activity using *Candida albicans* expressed phagocytic index.

| Group | 7 days | 14 days | 21 days | 28 days | 35 days | 42 days |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1     | 0.35   | 0.45    | 0.47    | 0.35    | 0.30    | 0.27    |
| 2     | 0.36   | 0.46    | 0.46    | 0.36    | 0.32    | 0.20    |
| 3     | 0.010  | 0.08    | 0.06    | 0.10    | 0.11    | 0.08    |
| 4     | 0.08   | 0.07    | 0.09    | 0.09    | 0.10    | 0.07    |

**Table 5:** Protection percentage for chickens 7 days post vaccination

| Group | Total No. of chickens | No. of dead | No. of Protected | Percentage % |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1     | 10                    | 5           | 5                | 50           |
| 2     | 10                    | 5           | 5                | 50           |
| 3     | 10                    | 10          | 0                | 0.0          |
| 4     | 10                    | 10          | 0                | 0.0          |

**Table 6:** Protection percentage for chickens 14 days post vaccination

| Group | Total No. of chickens | No. of dead | No. of Protected | Percentage % |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1     | 10                    | 2           | 8                | 80           |
| 2     | 10                    | 0           | 10               | 100          |
| 3     | 10                    | 10          | 0                | 0.0          |
| 4     | 10                    | 10          | 0                | 0.0          |

**Table 7:** Protection percentage for chickens 35 days post vaccination.

| Group | Total No. of chickens | No. of dead | No. of Protected | Percentage % |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1     | 10                    | 8           | 2                | 20           |
| 2     | 10                    | 0           | 10               | 100          |
| 3     | 10                    | 10          | 0                | 0.0          |
| 4     | 10                    | 10          | 0                | 0.0          |

### REFERENCES

- Allan, W.H.; Fargher, J.T. and Cullen, G.A. (1972): Immunosuppression by the infectious bursal agent in chickens immunized against ND. *Vet. Rec.* 90: 511-512.
- Barry, and Joh, R.G. (1988): In vitro microbicidal activity against peritoneal macrophage. *Avian Dis.* 33: 177-1810.
- Bisural, G. and narril, C.C. (1954): The pathology of the reproductive tract of laying pullets affected with ND. *Poultry Sci.* 33: 880-897.
- Caporale, E.P.; Raggi, I.G. and Semproni, G. (1978): Local resistance of chicken respiratory tract to viral infectious ZBL. *Vet. Med.* B.25, 383-393.
- Charles, R. Charpenier, Arnalds, Rubi & Henery R. & Bose, j.R. (1978): suppression of the mitogenstimulated blastogenic response during reticuloendotheliosis virus induced tumorigenesis. *J. of Immunol.* 120(4): 1313-1320.

- El-Enbawy, A.M.; Winter Field, R.W. and Olander, H.J. (1987):* Bursa of Fabricius in the pathogenicity of inclusion body hepatitis and infectious bursa disease vaccine. *Avian Dis. Zo.* 20: 467-477.
- Fadly, A.M.; Winter Field, R.W. and Olander, H.J. (1987):* Bursa of fabricius in the pathogenicity of inclusion body hepatitis and infectious bursal disease vaccine. *Avian Dis. Zo.* 20: 467-477.
- Istifanus, Dafwang, I.; Cook, M.E.; Sunds, M.L.; Bird, H.R. (1985):* Bursal, intestinal and spleen weight and antibody response of chicks fed sub therapeutic levels of dietary antibiotics. *Poultry Science* 64: 634-639.
- Jones, C.J.; Naylor, A. Al-Afleg, K.J.Worthington and R. Jones (1992):* Effect of cyclophosphamide immunospression on immunity of turkeys to viral rhinotracheitis. *Research in Veterinary Sci.*, 53: 38-41.
- Lerman, S.P. and Weidanz, W.P. (1970):* The effect of cyclophosphamide on the ontogeny of humeral immune response in chicks. *Journal of Immunology* 105-114.
- Lucy, L.F. (1974):* In vitro assay of mitogen stimulation of peripheral lymphocytes. *Avian Dis.* 18: 602-608.
- Majujabe, K.A. and Hitchner, S.B. (1977):* Antibody response to strain combination of NDV as measured by HI test. *Avian* 21: 576-584.
- Mosramn, J. (1983):* Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and cytotoxicity assays. *J. Immunol. Methods* 65: 55.
- Partadiredgo, M.; Edison, C.S. and Kleven, S.H. (1979):* A comparison of immune response of broiler chickens to different methods of vaccination against ND. *Avian Dis.* 2: 622-633.
- Richardson, M.D. and Smith, H. (1981):* Virulent and attenuated strains of candida Albicans to interacellular killing by human and mouse phagocytes. *J. Infect. Dis.*, 144: 557-565.
- Schmidt, R.C. and Massab, H.F. (1974):* Local immunity to influenza virus in chicken tracheal organ cultures. *J. of Infect. Dis.* 129 (6), 637-643.
- Shakel, M.; El-Safty, M. and Eibiary, I. (1999):* protection against rhinotracheitis virus infection in turkeys. *Zag. Vet. J. (ISSN. 1110-1458) Vol. No. 2PP. 7-13.*
- Sperrs, R.S.; Beneson, R.W.; Knowles, B.J. and Roberts, D. (1978):* cited by Mounir *et al* (1988), Review in immunosuppression in animal, *Br. Vet. J.*, 144: 288-301.