
**REDEFINING HERITAGE PUBLIC SPACES FOR CULTURAL EVENTS
THROUGH THE USE OF GIS TECHNOLOGY: THE CASE OF EL AZHAR
STREET-CAIRO**

*Hala Assem , Marwa El-Sayed **

¹ Department of Architectural Engineering BUE, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

When public spaces are successful they will increase opportunities to participate in communal activity". (Carr, Francis, Rivlin, & Stone, 1993). The city of Cairo has an enormous number of liveable streets that welcomes cultural events; however, many of such streets lack the design principles that sustain and enhance the occurrence of those events. Trials to investigate and upgrade the urban design of cultural urban spaces have different approaches; one of those new approaches is using Geographic Information System (GIS). The paper aims to evaluate the adequacy of AlAzhar Street to accommodate cultural events, using GIS, through determining the physical elements of street design that define its functions to foster cultural events and investigating the mutual relationship between cultural events and heritage street design. Al Azhar Street was selected as one of the main urban spaces in Cairo that witnesses 1 cultural events throughout the year, while the design of the street doesn't help in smoothly hosting such events. The research followed an observational field study approach and adopted the GIS as a pilot tool. GIS facilitated creating the needed database for cultural heritage streets, based on a criteria developed by the researchers in relation to international standards reviewed and qualitative data gathered from site observations and inserted. Then, a quantitative analysis of the results of the case study was done using the weighted sum approach. Finally, the research concluded that cultural events are not recommended to take place on 48% of the area of study and highlighted the urban design dimensions that need to be reconsidered to house cultural events on the street smoothly, which proved the efficiency of using GIS.

Keywords

HERITAGE- PUBLIC SPACES- GIS - EL AZHAR STREET

Introduction:

"Local culture and identity...shape and give definite meanings to some places and to the urban space as a whole", (Madden, 2012). Therefore, the built environment and culture are tightly related to each other. In a certain way, the design of the built environment carries and promotes culture. The linking element between the environment and culture is the public, (O'Neil, 2006).

"When public spaces are successful they will increase opportunities to participate in communal activity " (Carr et al., 1993). Thus, cultural activities and events are a main purpose for gathering people; they are the link between the space and people.

Cultural heritage is considered a combination of several elements, which are: human processes, activities, and urban elements, (Abdel Tawab, 2014). El Moez, Al-Azhar, & El-Goharia streets

* Corresponding author: marwa.adel@bue.edu.eg

are considered as cultural heritage streets in Egypt. The aim of the paper is to investigate the effect of cultural events on heritage streets and to determine whether Al Azhar street is a suitable heritage place for accommodating cultural events or not, using a new technological approach "GIS". With regard to Egypt's Strategy 2030, cultural events are considered a catalyst that can promote tourism in heritage sites.

Importance of livable streets and Heritage Public Spaces:

Streets are experienced as a three dimensional world. (Wibisono, 2001). According to the (DOEL, 2011), streetscape is a view or scene of streets, it is the visual elements of a street, including the road, adjoining buildings, sidewalks, street furniture, trees and open spaces, etc, that combine to form the street's character".

Liveable streets are efficient for cities and for creating a better quality life according to Jacobs, 1961. Other researchers, such as: (Ewing et al., 2013) and (Carmona, Tiesdell, Heath, & OC, 2003) mentioned the importance of liveable streets and creating a place that gathers people together (Jacobs, 1961) referred to the importance of sidewalks and their uses in which children play and people get introduced to each other. She also mentioned that vehicles should not sprawl over pedestrians. Moreover, (Lynch, 1972) claimed that traffic routes interrupt cutting through the space; however, pedestrian streets interweave with buildings and traffic routes; thus, they are a base that constructs a healthy human-based place. According to Jacobs, 1993, streetscape is used in many cities as an influential urban factor which improves the space, and creates places and identity. He stressed that the ratio of 1:2 is a comfortable proportion of building height to street width ratio.

According to (Ewing, 2013), proportions of a maximum ratio as high as 3:2 and a minimum ratio as low as 1:6 were also suggested by other researchers. The Scottish government (S.G., 2009) expressed the building height to street width ratio according to three classifications: minor streets: 1:5-1:1, typical streets: 1:3-1:1.5, squares:1:6-1:4. The area of study, Al Azhar

Street, is considered a typical street; therefore, the researchers set a building height to street width criterion based on these standards.

Ujang, 2010 stated that the degree of attachment to heritage shopping streets is affected by physical qualities. As mentioned, the physical qualities are: strategic location, good accessibility, variety in accessibility, proximity to transport nodes, good connectivity, permeability, imageability, a clear sense of direction and identifiable nodes and place markers were used to analyse Tan Hiok Nee shopping street. The suitable arrangement of products, the variability of products and comfort in circulation also affect the comfort level in the street, ((Wan Hashimah, 2010), cited in (Ujang, 2010). According to ((Tibbalds, 2001), cited in (Ismail, 2015)), a city is easily subjected to losing its heritage.

Yunus, Samadi, & Omar, 2015 suggested an innovative strategy for heritage streets to make them marketplaces which encourage heritage tourism endeavours. The architecture quality of the heritage streets includes nine elements for their physical attributes (façade design, transport, streetscape, landscape, safety, accessibility, activity, promotion, & density), and other nine elements for the streets' spiritual attributes (sensory, connectivity, vitality, livability, vibrancy, magnetivity, aura, user-friendly, & valuability: (Samadi & Yunus, 2012). The criteria that was set to evaluate the previously mentioned elements were summarized in the following points: façade character, street accessibility, active landscape, street furniture, activity, aerial enclosure, design robustness, & finally themed ambience; (Samadi, Omar, & Yunus, 2012). These criteria were graded using a numerical scale from 1 to 9 based on the expertise choice (Yunus, Samadi, Yusop, & Omar, 2013).

Othman & Hamzah 2013 established cultural assets to identify people, place, and history relationships. They concluded that there is a strong relationship between both tangible and intangible historical elements and should be developed in parallel to each other. Statistical

analysis was done between Intangible Cultural Heritage in community involvement and ten socio-economic and demographic factors of Malacca city (Bakar, Osman, Bachok, & Ibrahim, 2014). These factors are: age, ethnicity, gender, origin, household income, occupation, religion, geographical location, education, and marital status, (Babić, 2015). With reference to (Bakar et al., 2014), cultural resources are divided into two main categories: cultural heritage and natural heritage. The cultural heritage consists of living history sites, historical corridors, residential and commercial historical buildings, while the natural heritage consists of all the surrounding natural landscape. Othman & Hamzah 2013 divided the cultural resources into five main categories: space and facilities, festivals and events, creative and art cultural industries, community art and cultural organization, and finally, creative cultural occupations. In fact, Rodríguez Algeciras, Gómez Consuegra, & Matzarakis, 2016 and Ismail 2015 mentioned that the most important elements that affect the success of promoting cultural events in heritage streets are the street design and landscape, as well as the heritage style and robustness of the design of the surrounding buildings, followed by the location of the heritage site.

The Use of Technology in Documenting Heritage Sites:

Yunus et al., 2013 used an expert software choice in Penang and Melaka to make it easier to analyze the heritage street data. The advantage of this software was to help the decision makers reach the ultimate decisions with a clear picture through visual integration. Jang, Choe, & Kim, 2015 proposed a systematic urban media framework where users can use media contents and share experiences which promote heritage sites. Recently, Ismail, 2015 used GIS as a tool to document the heritage streets elements in an organized database.

- The Use of GIS in Heritage Studies:

REDEFINING HERITAGE PUBLIC SPACES FOR CULTURAL EVENTS THROUGH THE USE OF GIS TECHNOLOGY: THE CASE OF EL AZHAR STREET-CAIRO

Over the last decade, the evolution of technology has been burgeoning. One of the modern technological tools that is recently used and introduced in the field of Heritage is GIS. GIS contributed to analyzing the aspects of local heritage landscape through mapping thematic analyses for architectural and construction details of historic buildings and their construction geographies, (Summerby-Murray, 2001). This aided in suggesting recommendations to the policy. Similarly, quality GIS maps were generated to help in surveying the efficiency of the different variables of the urban planning system in a heritage site, such as: transportation system and infrastructure, and check the corresponding impact on heritage tourism, (Al-Kheder & Khrisat, 2007). Furthermore, Ayhan & Mert Cubukcu, 2010 explored whether the spatial development of the urban form is related to the distribution of historical mosques over a city space. They used GIS/spatial statisticsbased analyses and found out that the chronological establishment of worship buildings may express the phases of city development.

Accordingly, it has been acknowledged that GIS is considered as a novel tool in the heritage research area. However, there is a scarcity of resources concerning the use of GIS in taking decisions concerning the adequacy of heritage sites for cultural events. Therefore, the researchers decided to adopt GIS as one of the pilot tools that can be used in collecting data, analysing and producing results for decision making in the field of urban heritage planning and design.

Results and discussion:

- After converting each overlaid feature class into a raster one, several results were concluded from the GIS database. The researchers divided the results into four categories according to the aforementioned three dimensions in addition to an additional final result" category.
- Rodríguez Algeciras, Gómez Consuegra, & Matzarakis, 2016, Ismail, 2015 and Yunus, Samadi, & Omar, 2015 stated that cultural heritage facades and ground floor activities

are considered important street elements that encourage hosting the cultural events. After examining these two elements in the current case study, it was realised that, only 20% of the area of study has historical façade design and the rest are either facades of cultural values or traditional ones. The study area is distinguished with 25.9% of ground floor activities that could serve cultural events. The previously mentioned two elements were overlaid and converted to raster features to weigh their sum. As shown in the following figure, the overlaid feature of "Surrounding Building Scale" feature classes showed that 63.4% of the study area is not suitable for hosting cultural events (light yellow), 16.5% has a potential (moderate suitability) to accommodate them (red), and finally only 20.1% is the most suitable for housing cultural events (green). These results support the criteria of the previous researchers.

- In fact, Rodríguez Algeciras, Gómez Consuegra, & Matzarakis, 2016, Ismail, 2015 and Bakar et al., 2014 mentioned that clear sense of direction, street width to height ratio, streetscape: furniture & landscape, social activities, sidewalks and street vendors are considered as important elements that should be found in streets hosting cultural events. By assessing the area of interest according to the previously stated elements, it was shown that Al Azhar Street has a clear sense of direction; however, its furniture and landscape aid in accommodating the cultural events with only 25%. A large amount of cultural, social activities took place on Al Azhar Street, inspite of the fact that only 17.4% of the sidewalks are available for use by users. Finally, it was observed that a lot of street vendors took place on the street. These six elements are overlaid as shown in Fig.4 and 17.4% of the street area is not adequate for cultural events (light yellow), and 52.8% can moderately accommodate cultural events (red); however, the rest of the area can highly host cultural events (green). The presence of the aforementioned 6 elements

on the street is high; thus, a direct proportional result was shown in the weighted overlaid feature which supports the literature study.

- Strategic location, variety of accessibility, proximity to transport nodes and parking areas were mentioned by Othman & Hamzah 2013 as essential elements that can support cultural events on heritage streets. Al Azhar street is considered one of the most important central strategic locations all over Cairo with 62.5 % variable transportation facilities, and only 12.5% of the transport nodes are not proximate to the area of study with one parking lot at the beginning of the street. Finally, it was identified that a probability of 53% does not support fostering cultural events on the street (light yellow). In spite the fact that Al Azhar Street has a strategic location, the rest of the elements were not adequate enough to qualify the street to host cultural events.
- Finally, by using the weighted sum approach with the abovementioned percentages, it has been recognised that cultural events are not recommended to take place on 48% of the area of study as shown by the light yellow colour in Fig. 6. The rest of the area can either moderately or highly accommodate cultural events with the following percentages respectively: 21% (red) and 31%(green).

Conclusion:

- Looking forward to Egypt's Strategy 2030, it was perceived that the government policy is looking forward to encourage tourism. Cultural events are considered as one of the main assets that attracts tourists. In this paper, the researchers explored a new pilot method (GIS) to evaluate historical streets in relation to accommodating cultural events. The results of the pilot tool study proved the foreseen outcomes out of the site observation. Not only can this method be used by urban planners and designers to help

them in determining the necessary elements needed for hosting cultural events in historical heritage streets during the urban planning and design phases, but it can also serve as a tool in setting the weak points that designers should work on in the design of existing streets to encourage holding cultural events in a smooth way.

References:

- 1- Abdel Tawab, A G. (2014). The World Heritage Centre's approaches to the conservation of New Gourni Village and the assessment of its authenticity and integrity. *AlexandriaEngineering Journal*, 53(3), 691-704. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2014.01.010>
- 2- Al-Kheder, S., & Khrisat, B. (2007). Assessment of the Urban Planning System in Historic Jerash with GIS: Achievements and Challenges for Sustainable Tourism. *Tourism and Hospitality Planning & Development*, 4(3), 245– 266. <http://doi.org/10.1080/14790530701797442>
- 3- Ayhan I., & Mert Cubukcu, K. (2010). Explaining historical urban development using the locations of mosques: A GIS/spatial statistics-based approach. *Applied Geography*, 30(2), 229-238. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2009.05.002>
- 4- Babić, D. (2015). Social Responsible Heritage Management - Empowering Citizens to Act as Heritage Managers. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 188, 27–34. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.03.335>
- 5- Bakar, A. A., Osman, M. M., Bachok, S., & Ibrahim M. (2014). Analysis on Community Involvement Level in Intangible Cultural Heritage: Malacca Cultural Community. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 153, 286– 297. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.062>

- 6- Boas, V. V. (2015). Artistic Engagement as a way of Cultural Heritage Preservation in Rio de Janeiro. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 184(August 2014), 180–186. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.05.078>
- 7- Brovelli, M. A., Minghini, M., & Zamboni, G. (2016). Public participation in GIS via mobile applications. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 114, 306–315. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.04.002>
- 8- Carmona, M., Tiesdell, S., Heath, T., & OC, T. (2003). *Public Places, Urban Spaces: The Dimensions of Urban Design (First)*. Oxford: Architectural Press.
- 9- Carr, S., Francis, M., Rivlin, L. G., & Stone, A M. (1993). *Public Space (Cambridge Series in Environment and Behavior)*. Cambridge, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- 10- DOEL, D. of the E. L. (2011). *American Heritage (Fifth)*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing Company.
- 11- Ewing, R (2013). *Eight Qualities of Pedestrian- and Transit-Oriented Design*. Retrieved from <http://urbanland.uli.org/infrastructure-transit/eight-qualities-of-pedestrian-and-transit-oriented-design>
- 12- Ewing, R, Clemente, O., Neckerman, K M., Purciel-Hill, M., Quinn, J. W., & Rundle, A. (2013). *Measuring the Urban Design : Metrics for Livable Places (First)*. Island Press Center for Resource Economics.
- 13- Ismail, W. H. W. (2015). Contemporary Uses of Buildings on a Heritage Street. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 170, 633-641. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.065>
- 14- Jacobs, A B. (1993). *Great Streets*. Berkeley: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press. Jacobs, J. (1961). *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*. The Death and Life of Great American Cities,14. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2>

- 15- Jang, S.-Y., Choe, Y., & Kim S.-A. (2015). Place Engine: A Dynamic Model of Integrated Human-oriented GIS and Urban Media. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 3314–3321. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.999>
- 16- Lazzari, M., Danese, M., & Masini, N. (2009). A new GIS-based integrated approach to analyse the anthropicgeomorphological risk and recover the vernacular architecture. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 10(SUPPL. 1), 104–111. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2009.10.003>
- 17- Lynch, K. (1972). *What Time this place*. Cambridge, USA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press Media Department
- 18- Madden, D. J. (2012). *Cities Full of Symbols: A Theory of Urban Space and Culture*. (P.J.M. Nas, Ed.). Leiden, NL: Leiden University Press.
- 19- O'Neil, D. (2006). What is Culture? Retrieved October 20, 2015, from http://anthro.palomar.edu/culture/culture_1.htm
- 20- Othman RNR, & Hamzah, A. (2013). Interdependency of Cultural Heritage Assets in the Old Quarter, Melaka Heritage City. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 105,577-588. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.11.061>
- 21- Rodriguez Algeciras, J. A., Gómez Consuegra, L., & Matzarakis, A. (2016). Spatial-temporal study on the effects of urban street configurations on human thermal comfort in the world heritage city of Camagüey-Cuba. *Building and Environment*, 101, 85–101. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2016.02.026>
- 22- S.G., T. S. G. (2009). *The Scottish Government Guidelines*. Retrieved from <http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2009/01/27140909/6>

- 23- Samadi, Z., Omar, D., & Yunus, R M. (2012). On-Street Visual Analysis on Outdoor Space of Jalan Hang Jebat, Melaka. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 68, 353-362. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.12.233>
- 24- Samadi, Z., & Yunus, R. M. (2012). Conflict of Image and Identity in Heritage Commercialization. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 50(July), 675-684. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.08.070>
- 25- Summerby-Murray, R. (2001). Analysing Heritage Landscapes with Historical GIS: Contributions from problembased inquiry and constructivist pedagogy. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 25(1), 37–52. Ujang, N. (2010). Place Attachment and Continuity of Urban Place Identity. *Journal of Environment-Behaviour Studies*, 61-76. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.07.014>
- 26- Wibisono, B. H. (2001). Transformation of Jalan Malioboro, Yogyakarta: The Morphology and Dynamics of a Javanese Street, 1–365.
- 27- Yunus, R. M., Samadi, Z., & Omar, D. (2015). Making of "Great Heritage Street." *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 168, 1248–1261.
- 28- Yunus, R M., Samadi, Z., Yusop, N. M., & Omar, D. (2013). Expert Choice for Ranking Heritage Streets. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 101, 465–475.

Received: May 15, 2019

Accepted: July 18, 2019