SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences 10 (1) 2021 015-026



Available online at <u>www.sinjas.journals.ekb.eg</u> SCREENED BY SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences Vint ISSN 2314-6079 Online ISSN 2682-3527



RESPONSE OF SOME SUNFLOWER GENOTYPES TO NITROGEN FERTILIZER LEVELS

Saad K.M. Hafez¹; M.H. Mubarak^{*2}; T.H.A. Hassan¹ and M.N. ElBasiouny²

1. Arish Agric. Res. Station, Oil Crops Res. Dept., Field Crop Res. Inst., ARC, Egypt 2. Dept. Plant Prod., Fac. Environ. Agric. Sci., Arish Univ., Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 05/10/2020 Revised: 20/02/2021 Accepted: 13/03/2021 Available online: 04/04/2021 Keywords: Sunflower, genotype, nitrogen fertilizer.



INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus, L.) plays an important role in solving the gap between demand and consumption of edible oil in Egypt, where, seeds contain about 40 -45% oil, it can grow under wide environmental conditions and its roughage could be used in animal feeding. Sunflower ranked the fourth position after groundnut, soybean and rapeseed (Khandekar et al., **2018**). It belongs to Asteraceae (compositeae) family and originated from the South western United States and Northern Canada (Mohamed et al., 2018).Nitrogen (N) is the most significant nutrient for improving seed and oil yields, as it is the most essential mineral nutrient and the widest application in modern agriculture (Mulvaney et al., 2009). Application N fertilizer levels at 45 kg N fad.⁻¹ (**Hassan, 2010**) in Egypt; 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (Oyinlola et al., 2010) in Nigerian; 125 kg N ha⁻¹ in Pakistan, resulted taller

ABSTRACT

A field study was carried out during summer seasons of 2018 and 2019 at the Experimental Farm of Faculty of Environmental Agricultural Sciences, Arish University, El-Arish, North Sinai Governorate. Egypt, This study aimed to investigate the response of three sunflower genotypes (*Helianthus annus* L., *i.e.* Giza-102, Sakha-53, Line 120 to three nitrogen levels N1=15, N2= 30, N3= 45 kg N fed⁻¹to maximize seed and oil yields of sunflower crop under the newly reclaimed soil and the environmental conditions of North Sinai. Salinity of irrigation water ranged from 4500 to 5500 ppm, using drip irrigation system. The main results were that Giza-102 genotype surpassed the other studied genotypes in plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm),number of leaves per plant, leaves fresh and dry weights per plant(g), yield attributes, seed and oil yields. There were significant differences between N-fertilizer levels found at most of growth characters, seed and oil yields per feddan. While, oil content decreased.

plants and thicker stems as compared to the lower and/or upper N used levels. The increase in seed yield and its components of sunflower as the amount of nitrogen fertilizer increased may refer to enhancing vegetative growth of aerial parts and physical maturity. Maximum oil content (41.9%) was resulted from without application of N fertilizer and minimum oil content (38.4%) was observed when 240 kg N ha⁻¹ was applied (Nasim et al., 2012). Also, El-Sarag (2007) found that N application improved growth and growth analyses of sunflower cultivars

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field study was carried out during summer seasons of 2018 and 2019 at the Experimental Farm, Faculty of Environmental Agricultural Sciences, Arish University, El-Arish, North Sinai Governorate, Egypt. This study aimed to investigate the response

^{*} Corresponding author: E-mail address: mobark_mohamed99@yahoo.com https://doi.org/ 10.21608/sinjas.2021.45301.1005

^{© 2020} SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences. Published by Fac. Environ. Agric. Sci., Arish Univ. All rights reserved.

of three sunflower genotypes (Helianthus annus L., i.e. Giza-102, Sakha-53, Line 120 to three nitrogen levels (N1= 15, N2= 30, $N3 = 45 \text{ kg N fad.}^{-1}$). Sunflower seeds were obtained from Oil Crops Research Section, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt. Factorial experiment split plot design with four replications was used and included 9 treatments which were the combination of three cultivars in the main plots and the three nitrogen fertilizer levels in the subplots. Ammonium nitrate fertilizer (33.5% N) was the source of nitrogen in both seasons. The fertilizer quantities were divided into 8 equal portions, the first one was added after 2 weeks from sowing then the other portions were added gradually every week. The recommended rate of calcium superphosphate (15.5% kg P_2O_5) was applied during soil preparation at the rate of 200 kg fad.⁻¹ Potassium sulfates (48% kg K₂O) at rate of 50 kg fed⁻¹ was applied at five equal doses, the first dose after thinning and the other doses every one week. All other agricultural practices were carried out as recommended for sunflower growing under the conditions of North Sinai. Drip irrigation system was used with water salinity of 4500 - 5500 ppm. The irrigation lines length was 30 m and between lines 50 cm was left to gain plot area of 10.5 m² (3.5 m long x 3 m wide, which gave approximately 84 plants/ plot with 25 cm between drippers). The planting date was on 23th May in 2018 and 2019 seasons. The harvesting dates for the three genotypes Giza-102, Sakha-53, Line- 120 were after 73, 83 and 85 days, respectively. Soil texture was sandy and total N were 10 and 13 ppm with pH average 7.6 according to the soil mechanical analysis in both seasons. Samples of five guarded plants from each experimental plot were collected randomly at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing for studying the effects of the applied treatments on vegetative characters (plant height, stem diameter. number of leaves/plant, fresh and dry weights for leaves, No. head per plant). At the end of heading, the heads of the three inner rows were bagged at early seed development for avoiding bird damages and were used for estimating yield and its components as well as seed oil content. Ten guarded plants were taken randomly from each experimental plot for measuring head diameter (cm), 100- seed weight (g), seed weight per plant (g), seed yield per m⁻² then seed yield (ton fad.⁻¹) was calculated. Seed oil content (%) was determined by using Soxhlet method with 6-syphones according to the AOAC (1990). Data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1956) using MSTAT computer program V.4 (1986). The means values were compared at 0.05 level of probability using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 show the response of sunflower genotypes (Sakha-53, Line 120, Giza-102) to nitrogen fertilizer levels (15, 30and 45 kg N fad.⁻¹) and their interactions at different growth periods (after 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing DAS and seed yield, yield components and seed oil contents in two summer seasons (2018, 2019).

Plant Height (cm)

Genotypes variation

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes regarding plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves per plant, leaves fresh weight (g) and Leaves dry weight (g) at most of samples in both seasons (Table 1). Giza-102 gave the highest value of plant height (61.85, 83.91, 129.00 and 174.50 cm) in the first seasons, the same trend was recorded in the second season where in the highest value of plant height recoded 80.50, 90.13, 103.20 and 179.70 cm at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days, respectively.

Vegetative Tr characters	0			nt (cm) 202	18		Plant height (cm) 2019			
Days	5	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60	
Genotype	Sakha-53	52.17ab	79.21ab	113.90b	170.10b	71.00c	82.74b	93.87c	167.90c	
	Line-120	49.95c	78.57b	117.80ab	171.20ab	75.57b	85.06ab	96.43b	172.50b	
	Giza-102	61.85a	83.91a	129.00a	174.50a	80.50a	90.13a	103.20a	179.70a	
Nitrogen level	15 N	57.42 b	78.31b	98.90b	116.90 b	63.48 c	83.46 b	93.87 c	166.30 b	
	30 N	58.33 ab	80.81b	102.50ab	136.00ab	71.94 b	84.50 ab	96.43 b	173.20 ab	
	45 N	59.83 a	84.57a	119.20a	174.80 a	77.65 a	86.96 a	103.20 a	178.60 a	

Table 1. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on plant height (cm) of sunflower at 30,40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on plant height at all growth stages studied of sunflower in both seasons (Table 1). The tallest plants (59.83, 84.57, 119.20 and 174.80 cm) in the first seasons, and (77.65, 86.96, 103.20 and 178.60 cm) in the $_2^{nd}$ season at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days, respectively, were obtained under nitrogen level of 45kg fad.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 2 show significant effect of genotypes × nitrogen levels interaction on plant height at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days in 2018 and 2019 seasons where in the tallest plants recorded 77.17, 96.50, 141.70 and 183.00 cm in the first seasons; and 88.00,106.33,122.30 and 184.60 in the 2^{nd} season. The same results were by with Awad and Gharib (2009), Abd El-Motagally and Osman (2010), Oyinloa et al. (2010), Irika (2015), Ravishankar and Malligawad (2017) and Khandekar et al. (2018). They placed that application of various nitrogen mineral fertilizer levels was followed by a significant increase in plant height (cm).

Stem Diameter (cm)

Genotypes variation

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes in stem diameter (cm) at most of samples in both seasons (Table 3). Sunflower cultivar Giza-102 gave the highest value for stem diameter (1.356, 1.551, 1.732 and 1.891 cm) in the first seasons, the same trend was recorded in the second season and the values were 1.500, 1.600, 1.774 and 1.956 cm at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days, respectively.

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on stem diameter at all growth stages studied of sunflower in both seasons (Table 3). The heights values of stem diameter in the first seasons (1.344, 1.537, 1.659 and 1.833 cm) in the second seasons and (1.467, 1.556, 1.811 and 1.930 cm) in the second seasons at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively were the resultant of nitrogen treatment 45kg/fad.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 4 show significant effect of genotypes \times nitrogen levels interaction on

Hafez, et al. / SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences 10 (1) 2021 015-026

Genotype	Nitrogen leve	els	Plant hei	ght (cm) 20)18	Plant height (cm) 2019			
]	Days	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60
Sakha-53	15 N	39.33hi	70.50cd	106.00k-o	162.50kl	67.00lmn	72.17kl	85.33jkl	161.40jkl
Sakiia-55	30 N	48.33e-i	72.50bcd	95.67no	170.50e-i	71.67h-l	79.00g-j	95.50f-i	171.50fgh
	45 N	53.33d-ł	n77.33a-d	116.30f-1	173.20def	75.67d-j	81.83e-h	98.83e-i	174.00def
	15 N	47.50f-i	80.00a-d	112.20j-m	174.30cde	76.50d-i	82.33e-h	96.33f-i	171.20fgh
Line-120	30 N	57.67b-g	g84.17a-d	116.00g-l	175.50b-e	77.00c-i	85.00d-h	104.80c-f	177.80bcd
	45 N	69.00abo	c88.83abc	133.20а-е	178.50abc	83.00abc	88.67cde	109.70bcd	179.50bc
	15 N	60.83b-g	g88.83abc	129.70b-h	175.50b-e	78.67c-g	85.00d-h	102.00d-h	171.10fgh
Giza-102	30 N	62.33b-e	94.00ab	134.00a-d	180.30ab	81.33b-е	88.33cde	114.20ab	180.80b
	45 N	77.17a	96.50 a	141.70a	183.00a	88.00a	106.33 a	122.30a	184.40a

Table 2. Effect of interaction among genotypes and nitrogen levels on plant height (cm)
of sunflower at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Table 3. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on stem diameter (cm) of sunflower at30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Vegetative characters	Treatment	stem dia	meter (cm)	2018	stem diameter (cm) 2019					
Days		30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60	
Genotype	Sakha-53	1.211c	1.48c	1.62c	1.76c	1.330c	1.459c	1.644c	1.844c	
	Line-120	1.296b	1.49b	1.65b	1.82ab	1.374b	1.481b	1.659b	1.890b	
	Giza-102	1.356a	1.551a	1.732a	1.891a	1.500a	1.600a	1.774	1.956a	
Nitrogen level	15 N	1.265c	1.504c	1.610c	1.737c	1.341c	1.433c	1.722c	1.874c	
-	30 N	1.310ab	1.515ab	1.620b	1.800b	1.396b	1.463b	1.796b	1.885b	
	45 N	1.344a	1.537a	1.659a	1.833a	1.467a	1.556a	1.811a	1.930a	

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 4. Effect of interaction between genotypes and nitrogen levels on stem diameter(cm) of sunflower at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019seasons

Genotype	Nitrogen level	St	em diam	eter(cm)	2018	S	Stem dian	neter(cm)2	2019
	Days	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60
Salaha 52	15 N	1.117fg	1.47cde	1.63b-f	1.70def	1.233e-h	1.300ij	1.567hij	1.800fg
Sakha-53	30 N	1.100g	1.40ef	1.57def	1.70def	1.167fgh	1.367g-j	1.633f-i	1.867d-g
	45 N	1.167d-g	1.43def	1.57def	1.73def	1.400b-e	1.567b-e	1.700d-g	1.900c-f
	15 N	1.317b-e	1.43def	1.60c-f	1.70def	1.333c-f	1.467d-h	1.600g-j	1.900c-f
Line-120	30 N	1.450abc	1.53bcd	1.83ab	1.90bcd	1.400b-e	1.500d-g	1.667e-h	1.900c-f
	45 N	1.483ab	1.60ab	1.80abc	1.90bc	1.467bcd	1.600a-d	1.667e-h	1.933b-e
	15 N	1.233d-g	1.50b-e	1.63b-f	1.90bcd	1.367cde	1.500d-g	1.667e-h	1.933b-e
Giza-102	30 N	1.317b-e	1.53bcd	1.70a-f	1.90bcd	1.500bc	1.600a-d	1.800a-d	2.00bc
	45 N	1.567a	1.670a	1.900a	2.130a	1.700a	1.733a	1.900a	2.133a

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

the stem diameter at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAs in 2018 and 2019 seasons. The largest stem diameter (1.567, 1.670, 1.900 and 2.130 cm) in the first seasons, in the second seasons gave and (1.700, 1.733, 1.900and 2.133 cm) in the second on at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS after sowing, respectively, recorded under the interaction were treatment included sowing sunflower cultivar Giza-102 and application level was followed by a significant increase in stem diameter (cm) in both seasons.

Genotypes Variation

Number of leaves per plant

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes at most of samples in both seasons Table 5. Giza-102 gave the highest value for each of number of leaves per plant (15.04, 17.70, 19.89 and 22.98) in the first seasons, the same trend was recorded in the second season gave the highest value for each of number of leaves per plant (16.28, 19.24, 21.81 and 25.35) at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days, respectively.

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on number of leaves/plant at all growth stages studied of sunflower in both seasons (Table 5). Application of the highest N level (45 kg N/fad.) produced the largest number of leaves per plant (13.63, 17.50, 20.19 and 23.43) in the first seasons and 15.26, 19.37, 21.69 and 24.83 at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 6 show significant effect of genotypes x nitrogen levels interaction on number of leaves/plant at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS in 2018 and 2019 seasons. The largest number of leaves per plant 19.67, 21.17, 21.83 and 26.17 in the first seasons, 18.50, 21.17, 23.17 and 27.33 at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS after respectively were recorded under the impact of sowing Giza-102 cultivar and supplying 45kg N/fad. The same results were found by Hassan (2010), Oyinlola *et al.* (2010), Hama (2015), Irika (2015) and Kandil *et al.* (2017). The opposite trend was observed by Abaza (2010).

Leaves Fresh Weight

Genotypes variation

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes in their leaves fresh weight at most of samples in both seasons (Table 7). Giza-102 gave the highest leaves fresh weight (78.55, 95.34, 110.83 and 133.17g.) in the first seasons, the same trend was recorded in the second season, wherein the highest values of leaves fresh weight were 92.20, 105.17, 117.18 and 137.47g at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively.

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on leaves fresh weight at all growth stages studied of sunflower in both seasons (Table 7). The heights values of leaves fresh weight (93.87, 117.30, 135.14 and 159.14) in the first seasons, as well as 109.33, 135.65, 147.13 and 180.41) at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively were the resulted of adding 45kg N/fad.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 8 show significant effect of genotypes x nitrogen levels on interaction leaves fresh weight at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS in 2018 and 2019 seasons. The largest leaves fresh weight (123.36, 148.32, 189.13 and 206.23g) in the first seasons, as well as (135.47, 175.27, 205.11 and 240.16g) in the 2nd season at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing, respectively. The same results were found by **Hassan (2010)**. They found adding nitrogento mineral fertilizers level was followed by a significant increase in leaves fresh weight in.

Leaves Dry Weight

Genotypes variation

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes at most of samples in both seasons (Table 9). Giza-102 sunflower Hafez, et al. / SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences 10 (1) 2021 015-026

Treatment	Num	ber of lea	ves/ plant 2	2018	Number of leaves/ plant 2019					
8	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60		
Sakha-53	12.72c	15.59c	19.17bc	21.76c	14.41b	18.46b	21.00b	23.17b		
Line-120	13.09b	16.78b	19.33b	22.43b	15.26b	18.91b	21.31b	24.00ab		
Giza-102	15.04a	17.70a	19.89a	22.98a	16.28a	19.24a	21.81a	25.35a		
15 N	13.23b	16.09b	19.20	21.78b	14.81b	18.30b	20.63b	22.41b		
30 N	13.35b	16.48b	19.00	21.96b	15.87b	18.94b	21.81b	25.28ab		
45 N	13.63a	17.50a	20.19b	23.43a	15.26a	19.37a	21.69a	24.83a		
	s Sakha-53 Line-120 Giza-102 15 N 30 N	s 30 Sakha-53 12.72c Line-120 13.09b Giza-102 15.04a 15 N 13.23b 30 N 13.35b	s 30 40 Sakha-53 12.72c 15.59c Line-120 13.09b 16.78b Giza-102 15.04a 17.70a 15 N 13.23b 16.09b 30 N 13.35b 16.48b	s 30 40 50 Sakha-53 12.72c 15.59c 19.17bc Line-120 13.09b 16.78b 19.33b Giza-102 15.04a 17.70a 19.89a 15 N 13.23b 16.09b 19.20 30 N 13.35b 16.48b 19.00	s 30 40 50 60 Sakha-53 12.72c 15.59c 19.17bc 21.76c Line-120 13.09b 16.78b 19.33b 22.43b Giza-102 15.04a 17.70a 19.89a 22.98a 15 N 13.23b 16.09b 19.20 21.76b 30 N 13.35b 16.48b 19.00 21.96b	s 30 40 50 60 30 Sakha-53 12.72c 15.59c 19.17bc 21.76c 14.41b Line-120 13.09b 16.78b 19.33b 22.43b 15.26b Giza-102 15.04a 17.70a 19.89a 22.98a 16.28a 15 N 13.23b 16.09b 19.20 21.78b 14.81b 30 N 13.35b 16.48b 19.00 21.96b 15.87b	s 30 40 50 60 30 40 Sakha-53 12.72c 15.59c 19.17bc 21.76c 14.41b 18.46b Line-120 13.09b 16.78b 19.33b 22.43b 15.26b 18.91b Giza-102 15.04a 17.70a 19.89a 22.98a 16.28a 19.24a 15 N 13.23b 16.09b 19.20 21.78b 14.81b 18.30b 30 N 13.35b 16.48b 19.00 21.96b 15.87b 18.94b	s 30 40 50 60 30 40 50 Sakha-53 12.72c 15.59c 19.17bc 21.76c 14.41b 18.46b 21.00b Line-120 13.09b 16.78b 19.33b 22.43b 15.26b 18.91b 21.31b Giza-102 15.04a 17.70a 19.89a 22.98a 16.28a 19.24a 21.81a 15 N 13.23b 16.09b 19.20 21.78b 14.81b 18.30b 20.63b 30 N 13.35b 16.48b 19.00 21.96b 15.87b 18.94b 21.81b		

Table 5. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on number of leaves/plant of sunflowerat 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 6. Effect of interaction between genotypes and nitrogen levels on number of leaves plant of sunflower at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Nitrogen level	Nur	nber of le	aves /plan	t 2018	8 Number of leaves/ plant				
Days	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60	
15 N	15.00cd	17.00hi	18.83e-h	20.83g-j	12.83kl	17.00hi	19.50kl	21.33kl	
30 N	15.83bcd	18.00d-h	19.17d-h	22.33d-h	13.83ijk	19.00d-h	21.00f-j	23.00hij	
45 N	16.67a-d	18.00abc	19.50def	22.50d-g	14.33h-k	20.00а-е	22.17b-f	23.67c-g	
15 N	17.33abc	18.50e-h	18.83e-h	22.83c-g	14.17h-k	18.50e-h	21.00f-j	23.83e-i	
30 N	18.00abc	19.17c-g	21.17abc	24.67abc	15.83d-h	19.17c-g	22.00b-f	24.83b-f	
45 N	18.33ab	20.50а-е	20.98a-d	25.33ab	16.33c-g	20.50а-е	22.83a-d	26.17abc	
15 N	17.67abc	18.83d-h	20.00b-е	22.67d-g	15.67d-h	18.83d-h	21.50e-h	24.17b-f	
30 N	18.00abc	20.17а-е	20.50a-d	23.50cde	15.83d-h	20.17а-е	22.00b-f	25.17ab	
45 N	19.67a	21.17a	21.83a	26.17a	18.50a	21.17abc	23.17a	27.33a	
	15 N 30 N 45 N 15 N 30 N 45 N 15 N 30 N	15 N 15.00cd 30 N 15.83bcd 45 N 16.67a-d 15 N 17.33abc 30 N 18.00abc 45 N 18.33ab 15 N 17.67abc 30 N 18.00abc	15 N 15.00cd 17.00hi 30 N 15.83bcd 18.00d-h 45 N 16.67a-d 18.00abc 15 N 17.33abc 18.50e-h 30 N 18.00abc 19.17c-g 45 N 18.33ab 20.50a-e 15 N 17.67abc 18.83d-h 30 N 18.00abc 20.17a-e	15 N 15.00cd 17.00hi 18.83e-h 30 N 15.83bcd 18.00d-h 19.17d-h 45 N 16.67a-d 18.00abc 19.50def 15 N 17.33abc 18.50e-h 18.83e-h 30 N 18.00abc 19.17c-g 21.17abc 45 N 18.33ab 20.50a-e 20.98a-d 15 N 17.67abc 18.83d-h 20.00b-e 30 N 18.00abc 20.17a-e 20.50a-d	15 N15.00cd17.00hi18.83e-h20.83g-j30 N15.83bcd18.00d-h19.17d-h22.33d-h45 N16.67a-d18.00abc19.50def22.50d-g15 N17.33abc18.50e-h18.83e-h22.83c-g30 N18.00abc19.17c-g21.17abc24.67abc45 N18.33ab20.50a-e20.98a-d25.33ab15 N17.67abc18.83d-h20.00b-e22.67d-g30 N18.00abc20.17a-e20.50a-d23.50cde	15 N 15.00cd 17.00hi 18.83e-h 20.83g-j 12.83kl 30 N 15.83bcd 18.00d-h 19.17d-h 22.33d-h 13.83ijk 45 N 16.67a-d 18.00abc 19.50def 22.50d-g 14.33h-k 15 N 17.33abc 18.50e-h 18.83e-h 22.83c-g 14.17h-k 30 N 18.00abc 19.17c-g 21.17abc 24.67abc 15.83d-h 45 N 18.33ab 20.50a-e 20.98a-d 25.33ab 16.33c-g 15 N 17.67abc 18.83d-h 20.00b-e 22.67d-g 15.67d-h 30 N 18.00abc 20.17a-e 20.50a-d 23.50cde 15.83d-h	15 N 15.00cd 17.00hi 18.83e-h 20.83g-j 12.83kl 17.00hi 30 N 15.83bcd 18.00d-h 19.17d-h 22.33d-h 13.83ijk 19.00d-h 45 N 16.67a-d 18.00abc 19.50def 22.50d-g 14.33h-k 20.00a-e 15 N 17.33abc 18.50e-h 18.83e-h 22.83c-g 14.17h-k 18.50e-h 30 N 18.00abc 19.17c-g 21.17abc 24.67abc 15.83d-h 19.17c-g 45 N 18.33ab 20.50a-e 20.98a-d 25.33ab 16.33c-g 20.50a-e 15 N 17.67abc 18.83d-h 20.00b-e 22.67d-g 15.67d-h 18.83d-h 30 N 18.00abc 20.17a-e 20.50a-d 23.50cde 15.83d-h 20.17a-e	15 N15.00cd17.00hi18.83e-h20.83g-j12.83k117.00hi19.50k130 N15.83bcd18.00d-h19.17d-h22.33d-h13.83ijk19.00d-h21.00f-j45 N16.67a-d18.00abc19.50def22.50d-g14.33h-k20.00a-e22.17b-f15 N17.33abc18.50e-h18.83e-h22.83c-g14.17h-k18.50e-h21.00f-j30 N18.00abc19.17c-g21.17abc24.67abc15.83d-h19.17c-g22.00b-f45 N18.33ab20.50a-e20.98a-d25.33ab16.33c-g20.50a-e22.83a-d15 N17.67abc18.83d-h20.00b-e22.67d-g15.67d-h18.83d-h21.50e-h30 N18.00abc20.17a-e20.50a-d23.50cde15.83d-h20.17a-e22.00b-f	

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 7. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on leaves fresh weight (g) of	of sunflower
at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons	

Vegetative characters	Treatment	Leav	ves fresh w	eight (g) 2	2018	Leav	2019		
Day	/S	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60
Genotype	Sakha-53	63.42 b	73.55 b	85.73 b	103.17 b	71.99 b	85.93 b	95.13 b	113.30 b
	Line-120	57.12 c	65.87 c	77.42 c	88.22 c	67.85 c	76.47 c	87.26 c	97.22 c
	Giza-102	78.55 a	95.34 a	110.83 a	133.17 a	92.20 a	105.17 a	117.18 a	137.47 a
Nitrogen level	15 N	45.96 c	55.72 c	67.92 c	77.42 c	57.43 c	65.87 c	75.97 c	87.62 c
	30 N	65.72 b	79.96 b	97.61 b	113.68 b	83.37 b	93.45 b	102.8 b	115.37 b
	45 N	93.87 a	117.30 a	135.14 a	159.14 a	109.33 a	135.65 a	147.13 a	180.41 a

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

20

Table 8. Effect of interaction between genotypes and nitrogen levels on leaves fresh weight (g) of sunflower at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Genotype	Nitrogen level	Lea	aves fresh	weight(g)	2018	Leaves fresh weight (g)2019				
]	Days	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60	
Salaha 52	15 N	80.47 e	84.18 f	98.51	114.32g	82.90 f	100.03 f	110.52g	124.4 g	
Sakha-53	30 N	90.72 c	105.15 d	116.1	133.5 f	97.29 d	113.72e	125.30 e	145.16 e	
	45 N	102.16 b	125.43 b	14530	190.07 b	118.23b	155.16 b	179.53b	218.99 b	
	15 N	75.63e	81.13f	74.32	111.17g	77.53f	96.83f	106.33g	121.43g	
Line-120	30 N	84.72c	100.11d	112.16	130.17f	94.31d	107.22e	120.17e	140.13.e	
	45 N	96.83b	125.43b	140.58	185.43b	113.26b	150.47b	175.73b	215.17b	
	15 N	82.71 d	107.5 d	129.16	153.9 d	105.12 c	127.41d	145.18 d	160.40 d	
Giza-102	30 N	99.27 b	122.11c	150.40	175.98 c	115.23b	145.07 c	165.43 c	183.41 c	
	45 N	123.36 a	148.32 a	189.13a	206.23 a	135.47 a	175.27 a	205.11 a	240.16 a	

Table 9. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on leaves dry weight (g) of sunflower at30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Vegetative characters	Treatment	Lea	ves dry we	eigh(g) 20	18	Leaves dry weight(g) 2019				
Day	ys	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60	
Genotype	Sakha-53	22.63 b	28.16 b	32.52 b	34.09 b	30.18 b	31.17 b	34.96 b	43.15 b	
	Line-120	20.75 c	24.45 c	28.17 c	31.27 c	26.47 c	29.43 c	33.84 c	39.34 c	
	Giza-102	22.80 a	30.14 a	35.08 a	42.19 a	31.36 a	32.45 a	40.16 a	46.62 a	
Nitrogen level	15 N	17.32 c	25.99 с	28.50 c	30.29 c	27.92 c	29.71 c	31.05 c	34.06 c	
	30 N	24.71 b	26.59 b	33.17 b	36.20 b	31.56 b	32.18 b	35.98 b	43.63 b	
	45 N	19.63 a	33.41 a	38.04 a	44.84 a	34.16 a	35.96 a	46.11a	55.46 a	

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

cultivar gave the highest value of leaves dry weight (22.80, 30.14, 35.08 and 42.19g) in the first seasons, the same trend was recorded in the second season leaves dry weight valued as much as (31.36, 32.45, 40.16 and 46.62g) at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively.

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on leaves dry weight(g) at all growth stages studied of sunflower in both seasons (Table 9). The heights values of leaves dry weight (19.63, 33.41, 38.04 and 44.84) in the first seasons, as well as 34.16, 35.96, 46.11 and 55.46) in the second seasons at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 10 show significant effect of genotypes x nitrogen levels interaction on leaves dry weight (g) at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS in 2018 and 2019 seasons. The largest leaves dry weight (35.74, 37.25, 44.20 and 55.87) in the first seasons, as well as in the second seasons (39.74, 42.54, 56.18 and 65.23) at 30, 40, 50 and 60 DAS, respectively were obtained

Table10. Effect of interaction between genotypes and nitrogen levels on leaves dry weight (g) of sunflower at 30, 40, 50 and 60 days after sowing in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Nitrogen level	L	eaves dry	weight (g	g) 2018	Leaves dry weight (g) 2019					
Days	30	40	50	60	30	40	50	60		
15 N	26.14 e	30.93 ef	35.91 f	35.28 gh	32.19	33.26 f	37.19 g	50.61c		
30 N	30.80 c	32.47d	36.15 e	42.64 cd	33.81	35.15 e	39.65 f	54.45 b		
45 N	32.73 b	35.14 b	42.12 b	50.13 b	37.60	40.29 b	50.68 b	65.20 a		
15 N	22.18 e	26.54ef	32.41e	38.29cd	29.89	30.93f	33.15g	45.63c		
30 N	25.34 c	28.14d	37.53b	45.31b	30.74	31.18e	36.46f	50.19b		
45 N	27.13b	31.52b	24.131	21.091	32.24	35.62b	45.11b	60.71a		
15 N	26.75 d	31.12 e	37.05 d	43.65 c	33.01	34.44 d	45.17 d	50.16 c		
30 N	30.19 c	33.45 c	38.79 c	47.93 b	35.28	35.97 c	46.34 c	52.10 b		
45 N	35.74 a	37.25 a	44.20 a	55.87 a	39.74a	42.54 a	56.18 a	65.23 a		
	Days 15 N 30 N 45 N 15 N 30 N 45 N 15 N 30 N 45 N 30 N 30 N 30 N 45 N 30 N 30 N	Days 30 15 N 26.14 e 30 N 30.80 c 45 N 32.73 b 15 N 22.18 e 30 N 25.34 c 45 N 27.13b 15 N 26.75 d 30 N 30.19 c	Days 30 40 15 N 26.14 e 30.93 ef 30 N 30.80 c 32.47d 45 N 32.73 b 35.14 b 15 N 22.18 e 26.54ef 30 N 25.34 c 28.14d 45 N 27.13b 31.52b 15 N 26.75 d 31.12 e 30 N 30.19 c 33.45 c	Days 30 40 50 15 N 26.14 e 30.93 ef 35.91 f 30 N 30.80 c 32.47d 36.15 e 45 N 32.73 b 35.14 b 42.12 b 15 N 22.18 e 26.54ef 32.41e 30 N 25.34 c 28.14d 37.53b 45 N 27.13b 31.52b 24.13 1 15 N 26.75 d 31.12 e 37.05 d 30 N 30.19 c 33.45 c 38.79 c	Days 30 40 50 60 15 N 26.14 e 30.93 ef 35.91 f 35.28 gh 30 N 30.80 c 32.47d 36.15 e 42.64 cd 45 N 32.73 b 35.14 b 42.12 b 50.13 b 15 N 22.18 e 26.54ef 32.41e 38.29cd 30 N 25.34 c 28.14d 37.53b 45.31b 45 N 27.13b 31.52b 24.13 1 21.09 1 15 N 26.75 d 31.12 e 37.05 d 43.65 c 30 N 30.19 c 33.45 c 38.79 c 47.93 b	Days 30 40 50 60 30 15 N 26.14 e 30.93 ef 35.91 f 35.28 gh 32.19 30 N 30.80 c 32.47d 36.15 e 42.64 cd 33.81 45 N 32.73 b 35.14 b 42.12 b 50.13 b 37.60 15 N 22.18 e 26.54ef 32.41e 38.29cd 29.89 30 N 25.34 c 28.14d 37.53b 45.31b 30.74 45 N 27.13b 31.52b 24.13 1 21.09 1 32.24 15 N 26.75 d 31.12 e 37.05 d 43.65 c 33.01 30 N 30.19 c 33.45 c 38.79 c 47.93 b 35.28	Days 30 40 50 60 30 40 15 N 26.14 e 30.93 ef 35.91 f 35.28 gh 32.19 33.26 f 30 N 30.80 c 32.47d 36.15 e 42.64 cd 33.81 35.15 e 45 N 32.73 b 35.14 b 42.12 b 50.13 b 37.60 40.29 b 15 N 22.18 e 26.54 ef 32.41 e 38.29 cd 29.89 30.93 f 30 N 25.34 c 28.14d 37.53 b 45.31 b 30.74 31.18 e 45 N 27.13 b 31.52 b 24.13 1 21.09 1 32.24 35.62 b 15 N 26.75 d 31.12 e 37.05 d 43.65 c 33.01 34.44 d 30 N 30.19 c 33.45 c 38.79 c 47.93 b 35.28 35.97 c	Days 30 40 50 60 30 40 50 15 N 26.14 e 30.93 ef 35.91 f 35.28 gh 32.19 33.26 f 37.19 g 30 N 30.80 c 32.47d 36.15 e 42.64 cd 33.81 35.15 e 39.65 f 45 N 32.73 b 35.14 b 42.12 b 50.13 b 37.60 40.29 b 50.68 b 15 N 22.18 e 26.54 ef 32.41 e 38.29 cd 29.89 30.93 f 33.15 g 30 N 25.34 c 28.14d 37.53 b 45.31 b 30.74 31.18 e 36.46 f 45 N 27.13 b 31.52 b 24.13 1 21.09 1 32.24 35.62 b 45.11 b 15 N 26.75 d 31.12 e 37.05 d 43.65 c 33.01 34.44 d 45.17 d 30 N 30.19 c 33.45 c 38.79 c 47.93 b 35.28 35.97 c 46.34 c		

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

under the interaction effect of sunflower Giza-102- and N fertilizer level 45kg/fad. The same results were found by Awad and Gharib (2009), Abd El-Motagally and Osman (2010), Hassan (2010), Ravishankar and Malligawad (2017) and Cechin *et al.* (2018). They reported that adding of sunflower seed production response to mineral nitrogen fertilizers level was followed by a significant increase in leaves dry weight.

Yield and Yield Components

Head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%)

Genotypes variation

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%) in both seasons (Table 11). Giza-102 gave the highest value for each of head diameter (cm) (16.08, 18.31), 100-seed weight (g) (5.40, 5.60) and seed oil content (%) (39.42, 42.53) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%) in both seasons (Table 11). The heights values of head diameter (cm) (17.90, 18.23), 100-seed weight (g) (5.71, 6.72) and seed oil content (%) (34.45, 34.33) in the first and second season, respectively were obtained due to applying 45kgN/fad.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 12 show significant effect of genotypes x nitrogen levels interaction on head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. There were significant effects of sunflower Genotype x nitrogen levels interaction. The tallest head diameter (cm) (21.07, 22.56), 100-seed weight (g) (7.49, 8.02) and seed oil content (%) (32.25, 33.63) in the first and second season, respectively were obtained under the interaction effect of sunflower Giza-102- and N fertilizer level 45 kg/fad. Similar studied have been reported by El-Sarag (2007), Awad and Ghrib (2009), Solimanzadeh et al. (2010), Mahrous et al. (2014), Gul and Kara (2015) and Kandil et al. (2017). Seed weight (g/plant) and seed and oil yields/fad.

Genotypes variation

There are significant differences among sunflower genotypes head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%) in both

Vegetative characters	Treatment	Head diar	Head diameter (cm)100-seed weight (g)201820192018201916.00ab17.92ab3.71 b5.28 b15.99b18.15b3.26 c5.10 c16.08a18.31a5.40 a5.60 a14.20b18.08b3.45 c4.21 c		Seed oil content (%)		
		2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
Genotype	Sakha-53	16.00ab	17.92ab	3.71 b	5.28 b	37.46 b	35.59 b
	Line-120	15.99b	18.15b	3.26 c	5.10 c	35.63 c	37.74 c
	Giza-102	16.08a	18.31a	5.40 a	5.60 a	39.42 a	42.53 a
Nitrogen level	15 N	14.20b	18.08b	3.45 c	4.21 c	42.49 a	43.72 a
	30 N	15.98ab	18.07b	4.50 b	5.41 b	38.38 b	39.12 b
	45 N	17.90a	18.23a	5.71 a	6.72 a	34.45 c	34.33 c

Table 11. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on head diameter (cm), 100-seedweight (gm) and seed oil content (%) of sunflower in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Table 12. Effect of interaction between genotypes and nitrogen levels on head diameter(cm), 100-seed weight (g) and seed oil content (%) of sunflower in 2018 and2019 seasons

Genotype	Nitrogen level	Head diameter (cm)		100-seed weight (g)		Seed oil content (%)	
Seasons		2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
Sakha-53	15 N	16.13c-f	16.87ghi	4.24 j	5.93 e	38.18ab	39.87bc
	30 N	18.00b	18.40b-f	4.54 h	6.31 d	38.18ab	39.87bc
	45 N	20.23a	19.53ab	5.45 e	7.13 b	38.18ab	39.87bc
	15 N	16.20c-f	17.33f-i	3.97j	5.71e	34.27cd	36.01ef
Line-120	30 N	17.60bc	18.00c-h	4.35h	6.18d	34.27cd	36.01ef
	45 N	18.23b	19.00abc	5.27e	7.09b	34.27cd	36.01ef
	15 N	16.40c-f	16.80hi	6.14 c	6.24 d	32.25e	33.63g
Giza-102	30 N	17.23bcd	18.67а-е	6.52 b	6.62 c	32.25e	33.63g
	45 N	21.07a	22.56a	7.49 a	8.02 a	32.25e	33.63g

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

seasons (Table 13). Giza-102 gave the highest value for each of seed weight/ plant (26.42, 44.75 g), seed yield (1.056, 1.790 kg/fad.), and oil yield (241.4, 394.3 kg fad.⁻¹) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Effect of nitrogen levels

There are significant effects of nitrogen levels on head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%) in both seasons (Table 13). The heights values of seed weight/plant (25.18, 48.75 g), seed yield (1.007, 1.950 kg/fad.), and oil yield (288.1, 477.2 kg fad.⁻¹) in the first and second season, respectively were obtained when 45kg N/fad.

Effect of interaction

Results in Table 14 show significant effect of genotypes x nitrogen levels interaction on head diameter, 100 seed weight and seed oil content (%) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. The highest on seed weight/ plant (26.97, 42.68 g), seed yield (1.078, 1.707 kg/fad.), and oil yield (0.797, 0.830kg fad.⁻¹) in the first and second season, were recorded due to the interaction effect of Giza-102 cultivar and N fertilizer level 45kg/fad. The same results were found by **El-Aref** *et al.* (2011), **Maqsood (2015) Shehzad and Maqsood** (2015), Dhillon *et al.* (2017), Bagheri *et al.* (2018) and Schultz *et al.* (2018). Hafez, et al. / SINAI Journal of Applied Sciences 10 (1) 2021 015-026

Vegetative characters		Seed weight/ plant(g) Seed yield (kg/fad.)				Oil yield (kg fad. ⁻¹)	
	Treatment						
		2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
Genotype	Sakha-53	23.27ab	39.42ab	0.930 ab	1.570 ab	158.8 b	238.3 b
	Line-120	21.97 b	35.86b	0.878 c	1.430 c	152.80 c	230.88 c
	Giza-102	26.42 a	44.75 a	1.056 a	1.790 a	241.4 a	394.3 a
Nitrogen level	15 N	22.07 c	38.96 c	0.882 c	1.550 c	123.7 c	179.3 c
	30 N	24.50b	41.18 b	0.980 b	1.640 b	188.7 b	292.4 b
	45 N	25.18a	48.75 a	1.007 a	1.950 a	288.1 a	477.2 a

Table 13. Effect of genotypes and nitrogen levels on seed weight/plant (g), seed yield (kg/
fad.), and oil yield (kg fad. ⁻¹) of sunflower in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table14. Effect of interaction between genotypes and nitrogen levels on seed weight/ plant (g), seed yield (kg/fad.), and oil yield (kg fad.⁻¹) of sunflower in 2018 and 2019 seasons

Genotype	Nitrogen level	Seed weight/plant(g)		Seed yield (kg/fad.)		Oil yield (kg fad. ⁻¹)	
		2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
Sakha-53	15 N	20.52 g	35.44h	0.820 g	1.417 h	0.736abc	0.769abc
	30 N	22.28 f	37.11 f	0.891 f	1.484 f	0.837ab	0.876ab
	45 N	25.18 b	41.36 d	1.007 b	1.654 d	0.787ab	0.861ab
	15 N	19.96 g	34.21	0.798	1.368	0.627bcd	0.660b-e
Line-120	30 N	21.84 f	36.18	0.873	1.447	0.588b-h	0.589b-h
	45 N	24.61 b	40.61	0.984	1.624	0.567b-g	0.597b-g
	15 N	21.16 c	36.92 c	0.846 c	1.476 c	0.554b-f	0.579b-f
Giza-102	30 N	23.76 b	38.84 b	0.950 b	1.553 b	0.580b-e	0.605bcd
	45 N	26.97 a	42.68 a	1.078 a	1.707 a	0.797a	0.830a

Means having the same letter within each column are not significantly differed at 0.05 level, according to Duncan's multiple range test.

REFERENCES

- Abaza, G.M.S.M. (2010). Effect of some agricultural practices on some sunflower genotype characters induced by gamma irrigation, M.Sc. Thesis, Crop Sci. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ.
- Abd El-Motagally, F.M.F. and Osman, E.A. (2010). Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization combinations on productivity of two Sunflower cultivars under East of El-Ewinate conditions.

Ame.-Euras. J. Agric. Environ. Sci., 8 (4): 397-401.

- Awad, M.M. and Gharib, H.S. (2009). Productivity of some open pollinated sunflower populations under different nitrogen fertilizer rates in North Delta. J. Agric. Res., 35(2): 503-521.
- AOAC (1990). Official Method of analysis, kjeldahl method No. 984.13, Chapter 4, p. 31, 19th Ed. Tecator Application Notes AN 300.

- Bagheri, F.; Kazemeini, S.A.; Bahrani, M.J. and Heidari, B. (2018). Effect of nitrogen, wheat residues, and compost rates on the growth and yield of sunflower. Ukrainian J. Ecol., 8 (1):736-744.
- Braga, D.F.; Oliveira, F.H.T.; Santos, H.C.; Araújo, A.P. and Zonta, E. (2018). Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization of sunflower crop in alkaline Cambisol. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agricola e Ambiental, 22 (2): 101-106.
- Cechin, I.; Gonzalez, G.C.; Corniani, N. and Fumis, T.D.F. (2018). The sensitivity of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) plants to UV-B radiation is altered by nitrogen status. Santa Maria, 48(2):1-6.
- Dhillon, B.S.; Sharma, P.; Sharma, S. and Sharma, S. (2017). Oil yield and fatty acid composition of spring sunflower as affected by sowing date, Intra row spacing and nitrogen dose. Indian J. Agric. Biochem., 30 (2): 135-140.
- **Duncan, D.B. (1955).** Multiple Range and Multiple F. test. Biometrics, (11):1-24.
- El-Aref, Kh.A.O.; Abo-El-Hamd, A.S.A. and Abd El-Monem, A.M.A. (2011). Influence of filter MUC cake fertilization under low levels of nitrogen on yield and its components for tow sunflower cultivars. Mansoura J. Plant Prod., 2 (2):165 – 178.
- **El-Sarag, E.I. (2007).** Influence of plant population and nitrogen fertilization levels on performance of some sunflower cultivars under North Sinai condition. Ann. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 25(1):113-121.
- Gul, V. and Kara, K. (2015). Effects of different nitrogen doses on yield and quality traits of common sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Turkish J. Field Crops, 20 (2):159-165.
- Hama, S.J. (2015). Response of growth, yield and yield components of two

sunflower varieties (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to nitrogen fertilization. J. Zankoi Sulaimani, 17(4):239-250.

- Hassan, T.H.A. (2010). Bio and mineral fertilization studies on sunflower under North Sinai conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Environ. Agric. Sci., Suez Canal Univ.
- Irika, M.A. (2015). Effect of organic and inorganic nitrogen sources on growth, yield and oil content of Sunflower grown in highly weathered soils of Morogoro. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Nairobi Univ.
- Kandil, A.A.; Sharief, A.E. and Odam,
 A.M.A. (2017). Response of some sunflower hybrids (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to different nitrogen fertilizer rates and plant densities. Int. J. Environ., Agric. and Biotechnol., 2(6):1870-1878.
- Nasim, W.; Ahmad, A.; Hammad, H.M.; Chaudhary, H.J. and Munis, M.F.H. (2012). Effect of nitrogen on growth and yield of sunflower under semi-arid conditions of Pak. Bot., 44 (2): 639- 648.
- Oyinlola, E.Y.; Ogunwole, J.O. and Amapu, I.Y. (2010). Response of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to nitrogen application in A Savanna Al Fisol. Helia, 33 (52):115-126.
- Ravishankar, G. and Malligawad, L.H. (2017). Response of sunflower to different N/P fertilizer ratios and levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. Int. J. Current Microbiol. and Appl. Sci., 6 (8): 980-986.
- Schultz, E.; DeSutter, T.; Sharma, L.;
 Endres, G.; Ashley, R.; Bu, H.;
 Markell, S.; Kraklau, A. and Franzen,
 D. (2018). Response of sunflower to nitrogen and phosphorus in North Dakota. Agron. J., 110 (2): 1-11.
- **Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G.** (1956). Statistical Methods Applied to Experiments in Agriculture and Biology. 5th Ed. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State Univ.

الملخص العربى

إستجابة بعض التراكيب الوراثية لدوار الشمس لمستويات السماد النيتروجيني سعد خليل محمد حافظ¹، محمد حسن مبارك²، تامر حسن علي حسن¹، محمد نجيب البسيوني² 1- محطة بحوث العريش، قسم بحوث محاصيل مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة ، مصر 2- قسم الإنتاج النباتي، كلية العلوم الزراعية البيئية ، جامعة العريش، مصر

أجريت دراسة حقلية خلال الموسم الصيفي لعامي 2018 و 2019م في المزرعة التجريبية بكلية العلوم الزراعية البيئية بالعريش جامعة العريش، محافظة شمال سيناء، مصر وذلك بهدف دراسة إستجابة بعض التراكيب الوراثية من دوار الشمس (سخا 53 وجيزة 102 وسلالة 120) لثلاث معدلات من التسميد النيتروجيني (45,30,15 كجم نيتروجين للفدان) وكان الري باستخدام نظام الري بالتنقيط وتراوحت ملوحة مياه الري بين 4500 إلى 5500 جزء في المليون وهدفت الدراسة إلى التوصل إلى أفضل معدل من التسميد النيتروجيني للسلالات المستخدمة لمعظمة إنتاج دوار الشمس من الزيت ولان الري باستخدام نظام الري بالتنقيط وتراوحت ملوحة مياه الري بين 4500 إلى 5500 جزء في المليون وهدفت ولان الري التوصل إلى أفضل معدل من التسميد النيتروجيني للسلالات المستخدمة لمعظمة إنتاج دوار الشمس من الزيت والبذور. وكانت أهم النتائج هي تفوق صنف جيزة 102 على صنف سخا 53 وسلالة 120 فى كل صفات النمو الخضري (ارتفاع النبات/سم، قطر الساق/سم، عدد أوراق النبات/نبات، الوزن الخضري والجاف للأوراق/جم)، ومساهمات المحصول ونسبة الزيت وكذلك محصول البذور، وخلصت الدراسة إلى أن التسميد النيتروجيني بمعدل (45 كجم نيتروجين الفدان أعطت أعلي قيمة لمعظم القراءات الخضرية (ارتفاع النبات/سم، قطر الساق/سم، عدد أوراق النبات/نبات، الوزن النبات جم، وزن بذور والنبة النبات بنبات معامي وزن المائة بذرة/جم، النسبة المؤوية للزيت، ولوزن بذور النبات جم، وزن بذور النبات ب كجم/ف، محصول الزيت ب كجم/ف). ولذلك لتعظيم إنتاج بنور دوار الشمس تحت ولزوف المناطق شبة الجافة يمكن زراعة الصنف جيزة 102 مع معاملته بالتسميد النتروجيني بدور دوار الشمس تحت

الكلمات الإسترشادية: التراكيب الوراثية، دوار الشمس، التسميد النيتروجيني.

المحكمــون:

¹⁻ أ.د. صديق عبدالعزيز محيسن

²⁻ أ.د. إيمان إسماعيل السراج