Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol. (41) (II): (279-297)(2021)

**Egyptian Poultry Science Journal** 

http://www.epsj.journals.ekb.eg/

ISSN: 1110-5623 (Print) – 2090-0570 (Online)



## GENETIC DIVERSITY OF THE MAJOR HISTOCOMPATIBILITY COMPLEX BY USING LEI0258 MICROSATELLITE MARKER ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE AND VIRAL DISEASES IN BROILER BREEDERS Farid S. Nassar

Dep. of Anim. Prod., Fac.of Agric., Cairo Uni., Giza 12613, Egypt. Dep.of Anim. and Fish Prod, College of Agric. and Food Sci., King Faisal Uni., P.O. Box. 400, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia **Corresponding author:** Farid S. Nassar; Email: Fsmahmoud@kfu.edu.sa.

1 0		<i>,</i>	
Received:	04/04/2021	Accepted:	22/05/2021

ABSTRACT: The chicken Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) is closely related to resistance to several diseases. Moreover, selection for genetic resistance to diseases is a critical goal in the broiler breeding companies, which should be carefully balanced with different economic traits, including live body weight (LBW) and meat yield. The microsatellite marker LEI0258 is used to evaluate the effect of selection for 12 generations for high LBW at 6 weeks of age (LBW6) with natural selection against Marek disease (MD) on genetic component associated with resistance to MD and Newcastle diseases (ND) in local broiler breeders, Cairo B-2 line, compared to commercial broiler breeder line (AA line). Results indicated that, Cairo B-2 line had lower carcass parts with higher internal organs percentages compared to AA line. In addition, there was a positive association between 473 bp allele of LEI0258 with regard to LBW6 and carcass traits in two lines. Moreover, LEI0258 alleles 357 bp and 295 bp were present with high content in Cairo B-2 line only, which increased the genetic resistance to Marek's disease and increased the humoral immune response against ND vaccination in Cairo B-2 line compared to AA line. The correlations between these valuable productive traits and LEI0258 microsatellite will effectively assist the selection process by applying Marker-Assisted Selection in Cairo B-2 line breeding program in the future.

Keywords: Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

## INTRODUCTION

Newcastle disease (ND) and Marek's disease (MD) are the most worldwiderecognized problem and are defined as the major cause of great economic loss for meat and egg in the poultry production. Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) widely differs regarding the severity of the disease and strain, and it is widely considered as an acute infectious epidemic disease to chicken flocks (Zhang et al., 2018). In addition, MD is reported to cause annual loss of more than \$1to \$2 billion (Morrow and Fehler, 2004).

Using recent biotechnology in poultry breeding programs for disease resistance plays a critical role in the control of different diseases. Moreover, the Key technology of molecular breeding is determined the genes associated with disease resistance (Wang et al., 2014). For instance, different genes of the histocompatibility chicken major complex (MHC) plays a critical role in disease resistance to several diseases including Marek's disease virus (Hansen et al., 1967, Briles et al., 1977 and Bacon et al., 2000) and Newcastle disease virus (Lwelamira et al., 2008). Thus, the chicken MHC genes considered as suitable marker genes in the immune genetics in broiler breeding programs (Pinard et al., 2002).

the other hand, selection On for enhancing the immune response is a critical trait in the poultry breeding programs that should be balanced with different productive traits (Fulton, 2004). Thus, selecting for improving genetic resistance to MD is controlled mechanism that can augment vaccination control measures and to control portions of the phenotypic variance (MacEachern et al., Additionally, 2012). selection for

enhancing genetic resistant chickens to MD is a critical mechanism for genetic development of disease resistance in meat and egg chicken type (Luo et al., 2013).

Cairo B-2 line is developed at Poultry Farm, the Experimental Agricultural Station, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt, to become the first Egyptian broiler female line (Nassar et 2012). Selection improvement al.. program was initiated to develop its productivity from 2003 until now. In addition, Cairo B-2 line was individually selected based on high LBW at 6 weeks of age (LBW6) as phenotypic selection method. Nevertheless, Cairo B-2 line was not vaccinated against MD and natural selection program against MD was implemented increase to genetic resistance against MD in this line. Thus, the current study was conducted to investigate the effects of selection programs after twelfth generation on genes associated with MHC, LBW, carcass traits, and immune response against ND by using LEI0258 marker in Cairo **B-2** line Compared with Commercial broiler breeder line.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS History of Cairo B-2 line as a local broiler breeder Female line

A selection improvement program was started at the Poultry Farm, Animal Department, Faculty Production of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, to develop Cairo B-2 line as a local broiler female line intended for meat production (Nassar et al., 2012). This line was originated by crossing between Acers grandparent-female-line Arbor males with females from the native Egyptian chickens breed, i.e. the Native White Baladi chickens. The highest LBW6 males and females were selected as parents for the next generation in Cairo

Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

**B-2** line. Besides. natural selection against MD was performed in all generations without using vaccination against the disease. The mortality rate was more than 36 % during the first three then decreased generations, from generation to the next, attaining less than 0.01% from the sixth up to the twelfth generations.

## Experimental populations and management.

The use of animals as well as the experimental design was approved by Cairo University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (CU-IACUC), Cairo University, Egypt. The following are the certificate reference numbers: CU/II/F/30/18.

Selected males and females, from the twelfth-selected generation of Cairo B-2 line, were mated to produce the thirteenth generation. Three hundred chicks from Cairo B-2 line and three hundred commercial chicks from Arbor Acres broiler breeder line (AA line) were wingbanded and sexed at hatch, using the vent method. All chicks were reared intermingled, 10 birds/m2, in an open house, deep litter system. Birds were provided with a commercial broiler starter (23% CP and 3,050 kcal ME/kg) and a broiler grower (21% CP and 3,100 kcal ME/kg) diets from 1 to 14 days and from 15 days to 6 weeks of age, respectively. Water and feed were provided ad libitum form hatch until 6 weeks of age. Light was provided 24 hours per day. At hatch, chicks were vaccinated against avian influenza virus by using (S/C) injection of H5N2 inactivated vaccine at one week of age. Chicks were also vaccinated against Newcastle disease at 7 days (eye drop, Hitchner, Nobilis®), at 10 days (S/C injection with Newcastle inactivated

vaccine, Nobilis®), and at 21 days (eye drop, La Sota strain, Nobilis®). Chicks were also vaccinated against infectious bursal disease at 14 and 24 days (eye drop) using Gumboro D78 strain (Nobilis®).

## Experimental measurements for body weight and carcass traits

For all lines, LBW at hatch, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35. and days were obtained 42 individually by using a digital scale. At 42 days of age, 50 males and 50 females from each line were chosen at random. Birds were weighed and the weights were recorded as LBW, and then slaughtered after 8 hours of fasting (Papa, 1991). Birds were slaughtered by slitting the throat, cutting the carotid arteries, jugular veins, esophagus and trachea without severing the head (Salwani et al., 2016). After slaughtering, each bird was hanged in a bleeding funnel for 3 minutes, weighed, then scalded in a  $68^{\circ}$  C water bath for 30 seconds, and the feathers were removed by an automatic circular feather plucker. Birds were eviscerated and weighed; the head and shanks were removed and weighed; lastly, the carcasses were weighed then chilled. Each chilled carcass was weighed to obtain the carcass weight. The wings with bones were then removed from the front parts and weighed and recorded as wings with bones weight. Also, the skinless pectoralis major and minor muscles were removed to obtain breast muscles weight. The bones from the thighs and drumsticks were removed then the skinless leg muscles were weighed as leg meat weight. Internal organs and abdominal fat pad were removed and then weighed to obtain abdominal fat pad weight, heart weight, gizzard weight empty and without the fat adhering, liver weight, and spleen weight. Moreover, all previous muscles

and organs weights were also calculated as percentages of the LBW.

#### **Blood samples and DNA isolation**

At 28, 35, and 42 days of age, blood samples (1 ml/bird) were randomly collected from the wing vein of 100 female birds per each line in nonheparinized tubes, and the blood was allowed to clot. These samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes and the serum was separated. Serum samples were stored at -200 C until analysis. Serum antibody titers against NDV were determined by using log2 of the hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test (Hanson, 1972 and Swayne and Halvorson, 2003).

On the other hand, individual genomic DNA was isolated from venous blood collected in anti-coagulate buffer from 100 females from each line at 42 days of age. The extraction was carried out according to the method described by Bailes et al., (2007).Microsatellite were which LEI00285 used, are associated with the immune response and ND (http://www. against MD ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). The nucleotide sequences the of microsatellites used in this study are (Forward:

## CACGCAGCAGAACTTGGTAAGG;

Reverse:AGCTGTGCTCAGTCCTCAG TGC). The reaction mixture (20 µl) contained 50 ng DNA, 200 µM dNTPs, 1 µM from each primer, 0.5 unit of Red Hot Taq polymerase (AB-gene House-UK) and 10 X Taq polymerase buffer (ABgene House-UK). The PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed at 100 V on a 1.5% Agarose gel and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide.

## Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed as a two-way analysis of variance using the SAS software, general linear model (SAS Institute, 2009). The main effects were line and sex. Traits analyzed were LBW at hatch, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42 days of age. In addition, carcass parts, and muscles as weights and as percentages of LBW6 for males and females of each line. All data were reported as least square means (LSM) ± standard errors (SE). Mean values were separated, when significance existed, using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan's, 1955). Significance level was set at 5%. The following model was used:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + L_i + S_j + LS_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$
  
Where,

Yijk: The Kth observation of the  $j^{th}$  sex within the  $i^{th}$  lines.

μ: The overall mean.

 $L_i$ : The effect of the i<sup>th</sup> line.

 $S_i$ : The effect of the j<sup>th</sup> sex.

 $LS_{ij}$ : The interaction between the i<sup>th</sup> line and the j<sup>th</sup> sex.

eijk: Random error.

For HI titer results, data were analyzed as a one-way analysis of variance using the SAS software, general linear model (SAS Institute, 2009). The main effect was line. All data were reported as least square means (LSM)  $\pm$  standard errors (SE). Mean values were separated, when significance existed, using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan's, 1955). Significance level was set at 5%. The following model was used:  $Y_{ij}$ =  $\mu$  +  $L_i$  +  $e_{ij}$  Where,  $Y_{ij}$ : The j<sup>th</sup> observation within the i<sup>th</sup> line.  $\mu$ : The overall mean.  $L_i$ : The effect of the i<sup>th</sup> line.  $e_{ijk}$ : Experimental error.

#### Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

On the other hand, genotypic frequencies were estimated by direct counting. Expected homozygosity, heterozygosity, and polymorphic information content (PIC) were computed using the Levene (1949) method and the Popgene version 1.32.0 software was used to estimate these parameters.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Body weight from hatch to 42 days of age in Cairo B-2 and AA lines

The current results indicated that the AA line had a significant higher LBW compared to Cairo B-2 line at hatch, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42 days of age (Table 1). The results indicated that AA line exhibited significantly higher LBW6 compared with Cairo B-2 line as shown in Table 1. Moreover, growth performance and LBW6 for each line were affected by the genotype component for each line. Furthermore, LBW from hatch until 42 days of age was influenced by sex. On the other hand, males from each line had significantly higher LBW from hatch until 42 days of age compared to females. interaction between sex The with genotype, genotype, and sex effects on LBW from hatch until 6 weeks of age for all lines is shown in Table 1.

There is a high level of genetic variation in LBW between different broiler populations occurred by the selection program for high LBW (Mebratie et al., 2017). As expected, AA line produced higher LBW6 than Cairo B-2 line because LBW at hatch significantly influenced broiler performance; thus, chickens with heavy initial weight produced higher LBW at market age (Mendes et al., 2011). On the other hand, many studies indicated that sex and genotype are primary factors affecting productive performance in meat type chicken (Siegel et al., 1984. Havenstein et al., 1994 and Nassar et al.,

2019). In broiler production cycle, the interaction between genotype and sex had critical effects on the broiler productive performance. Thus. an accurate assessment of broiler performance should be included these factors (Moreira et al., 2003 and Fernandes et al., 2013). Moreover, Benyi et al., (2015) study, the genotype with sex interaction in broiler production had significant effects on growth performance in broilers. The current study stated that the entity of the differences between lines is regard to the effects of sex with genotype interaction on LBW6. These results were in alignment with the results reported by many studies (Fernandes et al., 2013 and Gomaa et al., 2014).

# Carcass Traits and Meat yield at 42 days of age in Cairo B-2 and AA lines

The results indicated that Cairo B-2 line had significantly lower wings with bones abdominal fat pad, leg muscles, breast, muscles, carcass weights compared with AA line (Table 2). Moreover, the AA line had significantly higher leg muscles, breast muscles, carcass, and abdominal fat pad percentages compared to Cairo B-2 line (Table 2). However, AA line had wings with bone percentage less compared with Cairo B-2 line (Table 2). The effect of genotype and sex interaction was observed with significant effect on the carcass traits at LBW6 for AA and Cairo B-2 lines as shown in Table 2.

Genetic selections for increasing productive performance in broilers have been highly successful in improving LBW and carcass traits at market age (Mason et al., 2020). Thus. the differences observed between Cairo B-2 and AA lines in yields percentages may be associated with the various genetics origins of different chicken breeds or lines (Goliomytis et al., 2003 and Nassar

et al., 2017). Moreover, intense selection for rapid growth in the AA line induces increased fat deposition compared to Cairo B-2 line with low productive performance (Rance et al., 2002 and Schmidt et al., 2009). In addition, Boschiero et al. (2009) reported that, broiler strain had significant effects on carcass and abdominal fat as percentage of LBW. Moreover, the highest relative breast meat yield in AA line explains by the highest LBW6 of this line because birds with higher LBW produced the greatest breast portions as reported by Schmidt et al. (2009). In the current study, the AA line had less wings with bone percentage compared with the Cairo B-2 line. This may be occurred by increasing in breast meat portion that caused decreases in the other carcass parts on relative basis. (Fletcher and Carpenter, 1993 and Nassar et al., 2019). On the other hand, the effect of sex and genotype on carcass parts was shown in Table 2. As expected, male's birds, in AA line, had significantly higher abdominal fat pad, wings with bones, leg muscles, breast muscles, and carcass weights and percentages compared to females from the same line and females and males from Cairo B-2 line. The differences in productive performance at market age will be higher in males than females in modern broiler as reported privously by Vargas et al., (2020). Moreover, a higher significant interaction of genotype and sex was observed for carcass yield in AA line compared with Cairo B-2 line as shown in table 2. In addition, carcasses yield are mainly influenced by breed and sex. These results are in alignment with the results conducted by (Fernandes et al., 2013). Moreover, Males from Cairo B-2 and AA line had higher meat yield weights and proportions than female's

birds from the same line, which indicates the sexual dimorphism presence between males and females in AA and Cairo B-2 lines. These results are similar to the results reported by (Nassar et al., 2012 and Zhao et al., 2015).

## Internal and lymphoid organs at 42 days of age in Cairo B-2 and AA line

The results indicated that AA line had significantly higher heart, gizzard, liver, and spleen weights compared to Cairo B-2 line (Table 3). However, Cairo B-2 line had significantly higher heart, spleen liver, and gizzard percentages compared to AA line (Table 3). In addition, sex and genotype interaction was observed for with LBW6 significant effects on lymphoid and internal organs as shown in Table 3. Moreover, males from AA line had significant higher heart, spleen, liver, and gizzard weights compared to females and males from the Cairo B-2 line.

Females from Cairo B-2 line had significantly lower heart, spleen, gizzard, and liver percentages (Table 3). In general, there are negative correlation between LBW6 with internal and lymphoid organs percentage that explain the decreases in in the percentages of the internal and lymphoid organs in AA line compared to Cairo B-2 line. These results are reported privously by (Gaya et al., Schmidt et al., 2006 and 2009). dimorphism the sexual Moreover, presence in each line explain the highest lymphoid and internal organs in males compared to females in the two lines as reported previously by Mignon-Grasteau et al. (2000) and Zhao et al., (2015).

## Humoral Immune response against NDV in Cairo B-2 and AA line

The NDV caused the agglutinating of the red blood cells of chicken that inhibited by ND immune serum. Thus, the hemagglutination inhibition (HI) titers are

Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

suitable and easer method used for evaluate the immune response (Alexander, 2003). Moreover, HI titer works as specific humoral immunity indicator. Thus, it's a great magnitude to enhance the HI titer (Swayne and Halvorson, 2003).

Results demonstrated that the Cairo B-2 line had significantly higher HI titer against NDV than AA line at 28, 35, 42 days of age (Table 4) that is due to the impact of lighter LBW of the Cairo B-2 line. Moreover, continuous selection for high LBW might have changed the genetic component of commercial meat type chicken since a negative correlation between high LBW and immune response exists (Qureshi and Havenstein, 1994 and Shapiro et al., 1998). In addition, selection for improving broiler productive performance caused reduces in different lymphoid organs growth, such as spleen, on relative basis (Cheema et al., 2003). Thus, birds with higher LBW6 that occurred in the AA line caused negative effects on lymphoid organs weights in relative basis that also caused general decreases in the antibody response against NDV compared to Cairo B-2 line that had lighter LBW6. In addition, evaluation of the impact of selection for high LBW on lymphoid organs function genetic resistance to different and will required continues diseases assessment for many generations in broiler breeds/lines as reported previously by (Qureshi and Havenstein, 1994).

### LEI0258 microsatellite markers associated with productive performance in Cairo B-2 and AA lines.

Seven differently sized alleles of LEI0258 marker (231-577 bp) were identified for Cairo B-2 line and AA line, (Table 5; Figure 1). Allele 473bp only was shared by two lines, whereas alleles

231, 261, 295, 305, 357, and 577 bp were represented by single line only (Table 5; Figure 1). Our results indicated that allele 473bp was only shared by two lines with higher allelic frequency (57.14%) in Cairo B-2 than allelic frequency (37.50%) in AA line as shown in table 3, which suggested that there was a positive association between this 473 allele with LBW6 and carcass traits in two lines. Moreover, the effects of different alleles on different productive economic traits should be studied breed/line-wise that is associated with in local chicken breeds particularly based on the predominance of high diversity at MHC as reported privously by Ewald et al., (2007).

The correlation between LEI0258 alleles and productive performance including LBW were found to be breed-specific. As mentioned in many studies, alleles of LEI0258 had a significant positive association with LBW of Tanzanian native chickens (Lwelamira et al., 2008). In addition, a positive correlation was observed between LEI0258 alleles and LBW recorded at 4 and 8 weeks of age in Indian breeds (Haunshi et al., 2020). However, a negative correlation with LBW at 8 and 12 weeks of age was stated Iranian chickens (Nikbakht in and Esmailnejad, 2015), and with LBW at 7 weeks of age (Ewald et al., 2007).

Associations between LEI0258 alleles and immune traits was observed in the current study that caused by the direct effects of LEI0258 alleles. However, the indirect association caused by continuous selection for fast growth which may cause reduced in lymphoid organs percentages that led to decrease in immune function in Cairo B-2 and AA line. Our results confirm the results previously reported by Van der Most et al., (2011).

Farid S. Nassar

## LEI0258 microsatellite markers associated with immune response against viral diseases in Cairo B-2 and AA lines.

The use of DNA markers is currently one of the most practical methods for the determination of MHC-B variabilities in chicken populations than traditional serological methods (Fulton, 2020). The current results indicated that the LEI0258 allele 357bp was present with high content in Cairo B-2 line only (Table 5; Figure 1). Many studies indicated that allele 357bp is strongly associated with resistance in some MD chicken populations (Briles et al., 1977, Bacon et al., 2001, Fulton et al., 2006 and Kannaki et al., 2017); However, it may be absent from some other populations. This allele absent in Chinese, Tanzanian. was Vietnamese, Brazilian, Indian chicken populations (Lwelamira et al., 2008, Lima-Rosa et al., 2005, Schou et al., 2007and Kannaki et al., 2017). The high content of allele 357bp at the LEI0258 consequently locus and the B21 haplotype of the MHC linked with it in Cairo B-2 line chickens suggests that there is a genetically-determined resistance of Cairo B-2 line chickens to Marek's disease than AA line. Our results are in alignment with the results previously conducted by (Fulton et al., 2006 and Kannaki et al., 2017).

The LEI0258 allele 295 bp was present with high content in Cairo B-2 line only (allele frequency= 31.25%), while the allele 261 bp was present with high AA line only content in (allele frequency= 7.14%) as shown in Table 5 and Figure 1. Thus, increases in humoral immune response against ND vaccination occurred in Cairo B-2 line than AA line (Table 3). In addition, the 261 bp and 295 bp alleles were significantly positively

dealing with HI titers to NDV vaccine which indicated the critical importance of MHC in vaccine response to the NDV antigen. Our results are congruent with the results conducted by (Lwelamira et al., 2008, Esmailnejad et al., 2017and Haunshi et al., 2020).

Results from the current study indicated that observed mean number of alleles (Na) for marker LEI0258 in Cairo B-2 and AA lines was 6 vs. 4, respectively, whereas the effective mean number of alleles (Ne) was 3.67 in Cairo B-2 line vs. 2.37 in AA line (Table 6). Moreover, LEI0258 alleles are correlated with MHC haplotypes and the alleles may differently associated serologically with the defined MHC haplotype in the different genetic lines or breeds (Izadi et al., 2011) which allocate resistance to Marek disease, (Kaufman, 2000) and to ND (Lwelamira et al., 2008). High diversity of allelic consequently higher numbers. and polymorphism in LEI00285 marker evaluated in Cairo B-2 line compared to the AA line (Figure 1) makes Cairo B-2 line have higher immune response than AA line, as shown in table 4, and protect Cairo B-2 line from infection with MD at the same time.

Moreover, single MHC markers LEI0258 allele or band can cause phenotypic with variation associated antibody response to NDV vaccination in broilers (Younash et al., 2000 and Lwelamira et al., 2008). These results indicate that the strong pressure of artificial selection that occurred at the Cairo B-2 line for twelve generations had positive effects on the of assessment LEI0258 markers polymorphism in Cairo B-2 line genome which is associated with increased immune response against MD and increased humoral immune response against ND vaccination, as mentioned by

Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

(Fonteque et al., 2014 and Ngenoet et al., 2014). In addition, the genetic diversity of breeds or lines can be evaluated by the proportion of polymorphic loci, genotype and allelic frequencies, and by observed and expected heterozigosities (Fonteque et al., 2014). High allelic number of LEI0258 with higher frequency of heterozigosities suggests a higher antigen diversity being presented to T cells to mediate disease tolerance in Cairo B-2 line than AA line. Our results concur with the results stated by (Chazara et al., 2013).

The current results indicated that the observed heterozigosities (Ob-Het) were 0.87 and 0.75 in Cairo B-2 and AA lines, respectively (Table 6). Also, the expected heterozigosities (Exp-Het) were 0.78 and 0.62 in Cairo B-2 and AA lines, respectively (Table 6). In Fonteque et al., (2014) study, the high genetic diversity of markers occurred when the heterozygosity values were above 0.5. Thus, the Cairo B-2 line had high genetic diversity of LEI0258 than AA line, which may associate our breeding program. Our results are in alignment with the results demonstrated by (Fonteque et al., 2014). Increased MHC heterozygosity caused improvements in the diversity of antigens that improve the disease tolerance in Cairo B-2 line than AA line. Our results are congruent with the results reported by (Wegner et al., 2004 and Chazara et al., 2013). In addition, the polymorphic information content (PIC) used as an indicator of the suitability of the marker to be used. Also, PIC value more than 50% is considered as quite informative (Fonteque et al., 2014). PIC values were 0.78 and 0.64 in Cairo B-2 and AA lines, respectively (Table 6).

Finally, associations between alleles of LEI0258 and immune response observed in the current study could be resulted from the direct effects of LEI0258 alleles. However, the indirect association caused by continuous selection for fast growth

may change the result of reduced immune function with genetic make-up in Cairo B-2 and AA line. Our results are in alignments with the results mentioned by Van der Most et al. (2011).

## CONCLUSION

The balance between improving growth performance and immune response faces critical challenges in poultry breeding programs. Evaluation of the impact of selection for high LBW on lymphoid organs function and genetic resistance to different diseases will required continues assessment for many generations in broiler breeds/lines. Moreover, the genes of MHC region is very strong association with genetic disease resistance in chickens .The current study indicated that there were high genetic diversity and heterozygosity observed in the MHC region in Cairo B-2 line than AA line. In addition, specific allele 357 and 261bp at the LEI0258 locus was present with high content only in Cairo B-2 line, which caused the high immune resistance against NDV and MDV. Thus, positive evolution had occurred in MHC markers LEI0258 polymorphism within Cairo B-2 line genome, which caused increased immune response against MD, and increased humoral immune response against ND vaccination due to the natural selection against MD with artificial selection for high BW6 occurred at the Cairo B-2 line for twelve generations. In addition, the current study indicated the direct effects of LEI0258 locus and consequently the B21 haplotype of the MHC on disease resistance in chickens. The use of DNA markers is currently one of the most practical methods for identification of MHC variation associated with diseases such as MD and ND in chicken populations than traditional serological methods. Moreover, different genes in this critical region of Cairo B-2 genome associated with resistance to many diseases will be focused and merged into our breeding programs in the future.

	Age									
Lines	Hatch	7 days	14 days	21 days	28 days	35 days	42 days			
AA	45.8 <sup>a</sup>	171 <sup>a</sup>	377 <sup>a</sup>	717 <sup>a</sup>	1197 <sup>a</sup>	1707 <sup>a</sup>	2120 <sup>a</sup>			
Cairo B-2	41.2 <sup>b</sup>	141 <sup>b</sup>	301 <sup>b</sup>	473 <sup>b</sup>	683 <sup>b</sup>	937 <sup>b</sup>	1207 <sup>b</sup>			
SEM	0.09	1.45	1.85	2.99	3.65	3.89	5.11			
Sex										
Male	44.2 <sup>a</sup>	166 <sup>a</sup>	368 <sup>a</sup>	652 <sup>a</sup>	1038 <sup>a</sup>	1432 <sup>a</sup>	1869.5 <sup>a</sup>			
Female	42.7 <sup>b</sup>	145 <sup>b</sup>	310 <sup>b</sup>	538 <sup>b</sup>	841 <sup>b</sup>	1212 <sup>b</sup>	1457.5 <sup>b</sup>			
SEM	0.10	1.11	1.75	2.96	4.56	4.89	7.21			
Line*sex										
AA 👌	46.5 <sup>a</sup>	185 <sup>a</sup>	409 <sup>a</sup>	786 <sup>a</sup>	1316 <sup>a</sup>	1832 <sup>a</sup>	2337 <sup>a</sup>			
AA ♀	45.1 <sup>b</sup>	156 <sup>b</sup>	345 <sup>b</sup>	648 <sup>b</sup>	1079 <sup>b</sup>	1582 <sup>b</sup>	1903 <sup>b</sup>			
Cairo B-2 🖒	41.9 <sup>c</sup>	147 <sup>c</sup>	327 <sup>c</sup>	518 <sup>c</sup>	$760^{\circ}$	$1032^{c}$	$1402^{c}$			
Cairo B-2 ♀	40.5 <sup>d</sup>	134 <sup>d</sup>	275 <sup>d</sup>	428 <sup>d</sup>	603 <sup>d</sup>	842 <sup>d</sup>	1012 <sup>d</sup>			
SEM	0.12	1.98	2.09	2.56	3.58	3.89	4.32			
Probability										
Line	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			
Sex	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			
Line*Sex	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			

Table (1): Live Body weight from hatch to 42 days in Cairo B-2 and AA lines

\*AA line =Arbor Acers  $\bigcirc$  × Arbor Acers  $\bigcirc$ , Cairo B-2 line = Cairo B-2  $\bigcirc$  × Cairo B-2  $\bigcirc$ <sup>a-b</sup>Means between line or sex or the interaction, within age, followed by different superscripts, differ significantly (p≤ 0.05).

	Traits										
Lines*	IBW	Caro	cass	Breast N	Auscles	Leg Muscles         Wings with Bones         Abdominal Factor           Weight         Weight         Weight         Weight         %           (gm)         % LBW         (gm)         % LBW         (gm)         %           367.17 <sup>a</sup> 16.18 <sup>a</sup> 173.31 <sup>a</sup> 7.63 <sup>b</sup> 58.91 <sup>a</sup> 2           200.89 <sup>b</sup> 15.33 <sup>b</sup> 105.46 <sup>b</sup> 8.05 <sup>a</sup> 26.59 <sup>b</sup> 2           4.12         0.21         1.89         0.11         1.56         (gm)           312.27 <sup>a</sup> 16.06 <sup>a</sup> 149.48 <sup>a</sup> 7.80 <sup>b</sup> 47.38 <sup>a</sup> 2	al Fat Pad				
	(gm)	Weight		Weight		Weight		Weight		Weight	%LBW
	(SIII)	( <b>gm</b> )	%LBW	( <b>gm</b> )	%LBW	( <b>gm</b> )	%LBW	(gm)	%LBW	(gm)	
AA	2270 <sup>a</sup>	1653.24 <sup>a</sup>	72.83 <sup>a</sup>	456.61 <sup>a</sup>	20.12 <sup>a</sup>	367.17 <sup>a</sup>	16.18 <sup>a</sup>	173.31 <sup>a</sup>	7.63 <sup>b</sup>	58.91 <sup>a</sup>	$2.60^{a}$
Cairo B-2	1310 <sup>b</sup>	909.01 <sup>b</sup>	69.39 <sup>b</sup>	237.83 <sup>b</sup>	18.16 <sup>b</sup>	$200.89^{b}$	15.33 <sup>b</sup>	$105.46^{b}$	8.05 <sup>a</sup>	26.59 <sup>b</sup>	$2.03^{b}$
SEM	11.63	9.46	0.58	4.56	0.19	4.12	0.21	1.89	0.11	1.56	0.10
Sex	Sex										
Male	1927 <sup>a</sup>	1388.65 <sup>a</sup>	71.63 <sup>a</sup>	381.07 <sup>a</sup>	19.57 <sup>a</sup>	312.27 <sup>a</sup>	$16.06^{a}$	$149.48^{a}$	7.80 <sup>b</sup>	47.38 <sup>a</sup>	2.39 <sup>a</sup>
Female	1653 <sup>b</sup>	1174.98 <sup>b</sup>	70.59 <sup>b</sup>	314.59 <sup>b</sup>	18.71 <sup>b</sup>	256.54 <sup>b</sup>	15.45 <sup>b</sup>	129.16 <sup>b</sup>	7.89 <sup>a</sup>	38.33 <sup>b</sup>	$2.24^{b}$
SEM	18.23	17.56	0.56	4.56	0.13	5.32	0.13	1.46	0.02	0.63	0.11
Line*sex											
AA 👌	2385 <sup>a</sup>	1751.78 <sup>a</sup>	73.45 <sup>a</sup>	487.73 <sup>a</sup>	20.45 <sup>a</sup>	397.58 <sup>a</sup>	16.67 <sup>a</sup>	181.74 <sup> a</sup>	7.62 <sup>c</sup>	63.92 <sup>a</sup>	2.68 <sup>a</sup>
$AA \stackrel{\bigcirc}{=}$	2155 <sup>b</sup>	1556.12 <sup>b</sup>	72.21 <sup>b</sup>	426.26 <sup>b</sup>	19.78 <sup>b</sup>	337.90 <sup>b</sup>	15.68 <sup>b</sup>	164.85 <sup>b</sup>	7.65 <sup>c</sup>	54.09 <sup>b</sup>	$2.51^{b}$
Cairo B-2 🖒	1469 <sup>c</sup>	1025.50 <sup>c</sup>	69.81 <sup>c</sup>	274.41 <sup>c</sup>	18.68 <sup>c</sup>	226.96 <sup>°</sup>	15.45 <sup>c</sup>	117.23 <sup>c</sup>	7.98 <sup>b</sup>	30.85 <sup>c</sup>	2.10 <sup>c</sup>
Cairo B-2 ♀	1151 <sup>d</sup>	793.84 <sup>d</sup>	68.97 <sup>d</sup>	$202.92^{d}$	17.63 <sup>d</sup>	175.182 <sup>d</sup>	15.22 <sup>d</sup>	93.46 <sup>d</sup>	8.12 <sup>a</sup>	22.56 <sup>d</sup>	1.96 <sup>d</sup>
SEM	10.11	6.78	0.46	2.13	0.13	3.14	0.10	1.85	0.05	1.16	0.02
Probability											
Line	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Sex	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Line*Sex	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001

Table (2): Means and SEM of Carcass, Breast muscles, leg muscles, wings with bones, and abdominal fat bad weights and percentages in Cairo B-2 and AA lines at 42 days of age.

<sup>\*</sup>AA line =Arbor Acers  $\Im$  × Arbor Acers  $\Im$ , Cairo B-2 line = Cairo B-2  $\Im$  × Cairo B-2  $\Im$  LBW= Live body weight. <sup>a-b</sup>Means between line or sex or the interaction, within trait, followed by different superscripts, differ significantly (p≤ 0.05).

	Traits										
<b>.</b> .	He	eart	Giz	zard	Live	er	Spleen				
Lines	Weight	%LBW	Weight	%LBW	Weight (gm)	%LBW	Weight (gm)	%LBW			
	(gm)		(gm)								
AA	13.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>b</sup>	35.88 <sup>a</sup>	1.58 <sup>b</sup>	44.96 <sup>a</sup>	1.98 <sup>b</sup>	4.99 <sup>a</sup>	0.22 <sup>b</sup>			
Cairo B-2	8.92 <sup>b</sup>	$0.68^{a}$	24.59 <sup>b</sup>	1.88 <sup>b</sup>	30.21 <sup>b</sup>	2.33 <sup>a</sup>	3.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup>			
SEM	0.18	0.03	0.18	0.01	1.96	0.06	0.02	0.01			
Sex		-	•	·	·						
Male	11.98	0.64	32.84	1.74	39.38	2.06	4.31 <sup>a</sup>	$0.22^{b}$			
Female	10.00	0.62	27.62	1.72	35.79	1.99	3.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup>			
SEM	0.13	0.01	1.01	0.02	1.02	0.02	0.04	0.01			
Line*sex											
AA 👌	13.83	0.58	37.92	1.59	47.46	1.99	5.25 <sup>a</sup>	$0.22^{c}$			
AA ♀	12.28	0.57	33.83	1.57	42.45	1.97	4.74 <sup>b</sup>	$0.22^{c}$			
Cairo B-2 💍	10.14	0.69	27.76	1.89	31.29	2.13	3.38 <sup>c</sup>	0.23 <sup>b</sup>			
Cairo B-2 ♀	7.71	0.67	21.41	1.86	29.12	2.02	$2.76^{d}$	$0.24^{a}$			
SEM	0.31	0.01	0.45	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.01			
Probability											
Line	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			
Sex	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			
Line*Sex	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001			

Table (	3)	: Means and SEM of heart	Gizzard.	liver, and s	pleen wei	ghts and	percentage	e in Cairo	o B-2 an	d AA	lines at	. 42 da	vs of a	ge
	- /			, ,									/	<u> </u>

\*AA line =Arbor Acers  $\Im$  × Arbor Acers  $\Im$ , Cairo B-2 line = Cairo B-2  $\Im$  × Cairo B-2  $\Im$ , LBW= Live body weight. <sup>a-b</sup>Means between lines or sex or the interaction, within traits, followed by different superscripts, differ significantly (p≤ 0.05).

### Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

**Table (4):** Effect of selection on antibody titers against Newcastle disease virus (NDV) of Cairo B-2 line and AA line at 28, 35, and 42 days of age.

Ling	HI titers							
Lines	28 days	35 days	42 days					
Cairo B-2	6.89 <sup>a</sup>	7.65 <sup>a</sup>	$7.60^{a}$					
AA	5.75 <sup>b</sup>	5.70 <sup>b</sup>	5.25 <sup>b</sup>					
SEM	0.17	0.15	0.18					
Probability	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001					

\*AA line =Arbor Acers  $\bigcirc$  × Arbor Acers  $\bigcirc$ , Cairo B-2 line = Cairo B-2  $\bigcirc$  × Cairo B-2  $\bigcirc$ , HI titer= hemagglutination inhibition (HI) titers

<sup>a-b</sup>Means between lines or sex or the interaction, within days, followed by different superscripts, differ significantly ( $p \le 0.05$ ) for each other.

**Table (5):** Allele frequencies of LEI0258 microsatellite marker in Cairo B-2 and AA line.

Allele (bp)*	Cairo B-2 line	AA Line
231	2	0
261	0	1
295	5	0
309	1	0
357	2	0
473	6	8
577	0	5

\* AA line = Arbor Acers  $\Diamond$  × Arbor Acers  $\Diamond$ , Cairo B-2 line = Cairo B-2  $\Diamond$  × Cairo B-2  $\Diamond$ , Allele (bp) = Allele (base pair).

**Table (6):** Observed and expected heterozygosity and homozygosity in Cairo B-2 line and AA line.

Line	Na	Ne	<b>Ob-Het</b>	<b>Ob-Homo</b>	Exp-Het	Exp-	PIC
						homo	
Cairo B-2	6	3.76	0.87	0.13	0.78	0.22	0.78
AA	4	2.37	0.75	0.25	0.62	0.38	0.64

\* AA line =Arbor Acers  $\Im$  × Arbor Acers  $\Im$ , Cairo B-2 line = Cairo B-2  $\Im$  × Cairo B-2  $\Im$ . Na= observed mean number of alleles, Ne= effective mean number of alleles, Ob-Het= observed heterozigosities, Ob-Homo=observed Homozygosities, Exp-Het= expected heterozigosities, Exp-Homo= expected Homozygosities, PIC= polymorphic information content. **Figure (1):** Females PCR products and allelic numbers from both Cairo B-2 and AA lines for LEI0258. 1 to 8, AA line samples; 9 to 16, Cairo B-2 line samples. M, 100 bp DNA ladder (Fermentas Life Science, UK). PCR, Polymerase chain reaction.



#### REFERENCES

- Alexander, D. J. 2003. Newcastle disease. In: Saif YM, editor. Diseases of Poultry. 11<sup>th</sup> ed. 2121 State Avenuse, Ames, Iowa 50014, USA: Iowa State University Press; p. 64-99.
- Bacon, L. D.; Hunt, H. D.; and Cheng,
  H. H. 2001. Genetic resistance to Marek's disease. In "Marek's Disease",
  (Ed. Kanji Hirai)), Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH,
  Germany.255 Current Topics Microbiology and Immunology, page 121-141.
- Bailes, S. M.; Devers, J. J.; Kirby, J.
  D.; and Rhoads, D. D. 2007. An Inexpensive, Simple Protocol for DNA Isolation from Blood for High-Throughput Genotyping by Polymerase Chain Reaction or Restriction Endonuclease Digestion. Poult Sci., 86:102–106.
- Benyi, K.; Tshilate, T.S.; Netshipale, A. J.; and Mahlako, K.T. 2015. Effects of genotype and sex on the growth performance and carcass

characteristics of broiler chickens.Trop Anim Health Prod., 47:1225–1231.

- Boschiero, C.; Rosario, M. F.; Ledur M. C.; Campos R. L. R.; Ambo M.; Coutinho L. L.; and Moura A. S. A. M. T. 2009. Associations between microsatellite markers and traits related to performance, carcass and organs in chickens. Int J Poult Sci., 8: 615-620.
- Briles, W.E.; Stone, H.A.; and Cole, R.K. 1977. Marek's disease: effects of B histocompatibility alloalleles in resistant and susceptible chicken lines. Science.195: 193-195.
- Chazara, O.; Chang, C.; Bruneau, N.; Benabdeljelil, K.; Fotsa, J.; Kayang, **B.B.**; Loukou, **N.E.**; Osei-Amponsah, R.; Yapi-Gnaore, V.; Youssao, I.A.K.; Chen, C.; Pinardvan der Laan, M.; Tixier- Boichard, M.; and Bed'Hom ,B. 2013. Diversity and evolution of the highly polymorphic tandem repeat LEI0258 the chicken MHC-B in region. Immunogenetics. 65:447–459.

Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

- Cheema, M.A.; Qureshi, M.A.; and Havenstein, G.B. 2003. A comparison of the immune response of a 2001. commercial broiler with a 1957 randombred broiler strain when fed representative 1957 and 2001 broiler diets. Poult Sci., 82: 1519-1525.
- **Duncan, D. B. 1955.** Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics. 11:1-42.
- Esmailnejad, A.; Brujeni, G. N.; and Badavam., M. 2017. LEI0258 microsatellite variability and its association with humoral and cell mediated immune responses in broiler chickens. Mol Immun., 90: 22–26.
- Ewald, S. J.; Ye, X.; Avendano, S.; McLeod, S.; Lamont, S. J.; and Dekkers, J. C. M. 2007. Associations of BF2 alleles with antibody titres and production traits in commercial pure line broiler chicken. Anim Genet., 38: 174–176.
- Fernandes, J. I. M.; Bortoluzzi, C.; Triques, G. E.; Neto, A. F. G.; and Peiter, D.C. 2013. Effect of strain, sex and age on carcass parameters of broilers. Acta Scient., 35: 99-105.
- Fletcher, D. L.; and Carpenter, J. A. 1993. Breast meat and part yields from four retail brands of broiler chickens obtained in the northeast Georgia area. Poult Sci., 72:2347-2352.
- Fonteque, G.V.; Battilana, J.; Paludo, E.; and Lima-Rosa, C.A.V. 2014. Genetic polymorphism of fifteen microsatellite loci in Brazilian (blueegg Caipira) chickens. Pesqui Vet Bras., 34:98-102.
- **Fulton, J. E. 2004.** Selection for Avian Immune Response: A Commercial Breeding Company Challenge. Poult Sci., 83:658–661.
- Fulton, J. E. 2020. Advances in methodologies for detecting MHC-B

variability in chickens. Poult Sci., 99:1267–1274.

- Fulton, J.E.; Juul-Madsen, H.R.; Ashwell, C.M.; McCarron, A.M.; Arthur, J. A.; O'Sullivan, N.P.; and Taylor, J. R. L. 2006. Molecular genotype identification of the Gallus gallus major histocompatibility complex. Immunogenetics. 58: 407– 421.
- Gaya, L. G.; Ferraz J. B. S.; Rezende F. M.; Mourão G. B.; Matto E. C.; Eler, J. P.; and Michelan, F. T. 2006. Heritability and genetic correlation estimates for performance, carcass, and body composition traits in male broiler line. Poult Sci., 85:837-843.
- Goliomytis, M.; Panopoulou, E.; and Rogdakis, E. 2003. Growth curves for body weight and major component parts, feed consumption, and mortality of male broiler chickens raised to maturity. Poult Sci., 82:1061–1068.
- Hanson, R. P. 1972. Newcastle disease. In: Hofstad MM, editor. Diseases of Poultry. 6<sup>th</sup>. Ames, Iowa 50010, USA: Iowa State University Press, p. 619-656.
- Hansen, M. P.; Van Zandt, J. N.; and Law, G. R. J.1967. Differences in susceptibility to Marek disease in chickens carrying two different B locus blood group alleles. Poult Sci., 46:1268-1275.
- Haunshi, S.; Devara, D.; Ramasamy,
  K.; Ullengala, R.; and Chatterjee,
  R.N. 2020. Genetic diversity at major histocompatibility complex and its effect on production and immune traits in indigenous chicken breeds of India. Arch Anim Breed., 63:173–182.
- Havenstein, G. B.; Ferket, P. R.;
  Scheideler, S. E.; and Larson, B. T.
  1994. Growth, livability, and feed conversion of 1957 vs.1991 broilers

when fed "typical" 1957 and 1991 broiler diets. Poult Sci., 73: 1785-1794.

- Izadi, F.; Ritland, C.; and Cheng, K. M. 2011. Genetic diversity of the major histocompatibility complex region in commercial and noncommercial chicken flocks using the LEI0258 microsatellite marker. Poult Sci., 90: 2711–2717.
- Kannaki, T.R.; Reddy, M.R.; Raja Ravindra, K.S.; and Chatterjee, R.N. 2017. Genetic diversity analysis of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region in Indian native chicken breeds and pureline chickens using the LEI0258 microsatellite marker. Indian J Anim Res., 51:998-1001.
- Kaufman, J. 2000. The simple chicken major histocompatibility complex: Life and death in the face of pathogens and vaccines. Philos Tran R Soc Lond B., 355:1077-1084.
- Levene, H. 1949. On a matching problem arising in genetics. Annals of Math Stat., 20:91–94
- Lima-Rosa, C. A. V.; Canal, C. W.; Fallavena, P. R. V.; Freitas, L. B.; and Salzano, F. M. 2005. LEI0258 microsatellite variability and its relationship to B-F haplotypes in Brazilian (blue-egg Caipira) chickens. Genetics and Molecular Biology., 28: 386–389.
- Luo, J.; Yu, Y.; Mitra, A.; Chang, S.; Zhang, H.; Liu, G.; Yang, N.; and Song, J. 2013. Genome-Wide Copy Number Variant Analysis in Inbred Chickens Lines with Different Susceptibility to Marek's Disease. Genes/Genomes/Genetics. 3: 217.223.
- Lwelamira, J.; Kifaro, G. C.; Gwakisa, P. S.; and Msoffe, P. L. 2008. Association of LEI0258

microsatellite alleles with anti-body response against Newcastle disease virus vaccine and body weight in two Tanzania chicken ecotypes. Afr J Biotech. 7:714–720.

- MacEachern, S.; Muir, M.W.; Crosby, C.D.; and Cheng, H.H. 2012. Genome-Wide identification and quantification of cis-and transregulated genes responding to Marek's disease virus infection via analysis of allele-specification expression. Front Genet., 2:1-11.
- Mason, J. G.; Gilley, A. D.; Orlowski, S. K.; and Anthony, N. B. 2020. Divergent selection for relative breast yield at 4 D posthatch and the effect on embryonic and early posthatch development. Poult Sci., 99:2888– 2894.
- McConnell, S. K.; Dawson, D. A.; Wardle, A.; and Burke, T.1999. The isolation and mapping of 19 tetranucleotide microsatellite markers in the chicken. Anim Genet., 30:183– 189.
- McElroy, J.P.; Dekkers, J.C.M.; Fulton, J.E.; O'Sullivan, N.P.; Soller, M.; Lipkin, E.; Zhang, W.; Koehler, K.J.; Lamont, S.J.; and Cheng, H. H. 2005. Microsatellite Markers Associated with Resistance to Marek's Disease in Commercial Layer Chickens. Poult Sci., 84:1678–16788.
- Mendes, A. S.; Paixãn, S. J.; Restelatto,
  R.; Reffatti, R.; Possenti, J. C.;
  Moura, D. J. de; Morello, G. M. Z.;
  and Carvalho, T. M. R. de. 2011.
  Effect of initial body weight and litter
  material on broiler production. Rev
  Bras de Ciên Avíc., 13:165-170.
- Mignon-Grasteau, S.; Piles, M.; Varona, L.; de Rochambeau, H.; Poivey, J. P.; Blasco, A.; and Beaumont, C. 2000. Genetic analysis

#### Broiler Breeder; Body weight; Immune response; Marek's disease, Selection

of growth curve parameters for male and female chickens resulting from selection on shape of growth curve. J Anim Sci., 78:2515-2524.

- Morrow, C.; and Fehler, F. 2004. Marek's disease: a worldwide problem. In F. Davison F, Nair V, editors. Marek's Disease. London, UK: Elsevier Academic Press; pp. 49–61.
- Nassar, F.S. 2017. Productive performance in local chicken after five generations of crossing and selection for high live body weight. Egypt Poult Sci., 37: 779-813.
- Nassar, F. S.; Moghaieb, R. E. A; Abdou, A. M.; and Stino, F. K. R. 2012. Microsatellite markers associated with body and carcass weights in broiler breeders. Afr J Biotech., 11:3514-3521.
- Nassar, F. S.; Elsherif, H. R.; EL-Komy, E. M.; and Stino, F. K. R. 2019. Growth Performance, Carcass Yield, and Humoral Immune Response in Three Broiler Crosses. Biosci Res. 16: 54-65.
- Nikbakht, G.; and Esmailnejad, A. 2015. Chicken major histocompatibility complex polymorphism and its association with production traits. Immunogenetics. 67:247–252.
- Ngeno, K.; Van Der Waaij, E. H.;
  Megens, H. J.; Kahi, A. K.; Van Arendonk, J. A. M.; Crooijmans, R.
  P. M. A. 2014. Genetic diversity of different indigenous chicken ecotypes using highly polymorphic MHC-linked and non-MHCmicrosatellite markers. Anim Genet Resour., 56: 1-7.
- Papa, C. M. 1991. Lower gut contents of broiler chickens withdrawn from feed and held in cages. Poult Sci., 70: 375-380.

- Pinard-Van Der Laan, M. H. 2002. Immune modulation: The genetic approach. Vet Immunol Immunopathol., 87:199–205.
- Pishnamazi, A.; Renema, R. A.; Zuidhof, M. J.; and Robinson, F. E. 2018. Effect of initial full feeding of broiler breeder pullets on carcass development and body weight variation. J Appl Poult Res., 17:505– 514.
- Rance, K.A.; McEntee, G.M.; and McDevitt, R.M. 2002. Genetic and phenotypic relationships between and within support and demand tissues in a single line of broiler chicken. Br Poult Sci., 43:518-527.
- Ramadan, G. S.; Moghaieb, R. E.; El-Ghamry, A. A.; El-Komy, E. M.;
  Nassar, F. S.; Abdou, A. M.; Ghaly,
  M. M.; and Stino, F. K. R. 2014.
  Effect of selection for high live body
  weight on slaughter. Egypt Poult Sci J., 34: 289-304.
- Qureshi, M.A.; and Havenstein, G.B. 1994. A comparison of the immune performance of a 1991 commercial broiler with a 1957 randombred stain when fed "typical" 1957 and 1991 broiler diets. Poult Sci., 73:1805-1812.
- Salwani, M.S.; Adeyemi, K.D.; Sarah, S.A.; Vejayan, J.; Zulkifli, I.; and Sazil, A.Q. 2016. Skeletal muscle proteome and meat quality of broiler chickens subjected to gas stunning prior slaughter or slaughtered without stunning. J Food. 14:375-381.
- SAS Institute. 2008. Statistical analysis systems user's guide," Version 9.2. SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC. USA.
- Schmidt, C. J.; Persia, M. E.; Ferierstein, E.; Kingham, B.; and Saylor, W. W. 2009. Comparion of a modern broiler line and a heritage line

unselected since the 1950s. Poult Sci., 88:2610-2619.

- Shapiro, F.; Nir, I.; and Heller, D. 1998. Stunting syndrome in broilers: Effect of stunting syndrome inoculum obtained from stunting syndrome affected broilers, on broilers, leghorns and turkey poults. Poult Sci., 77: 230-236.
- Siegel, P. B.; Dunnington, E. A.; Jones, D. E.; Ubosi, C. O.; Gross, W. B.; and Cherry, J. A. 1984. Phenotypic profiles of broiler stocks fed two levels of methionine and lysine. Poult Sci., 63: 855-862.
- Stainton, J.J.; Charlesworth, B.; Haley, C.S.; Kranis, A.; Watson, K.; and Wiener, P. 2017. Use of high-density SNP data to identify patterns of diversity and signatures of selection in broiler chickens. J Anim Breed Genet., 134:87–97.
- Swayne, D.E.; and Halvorson, D.A. 2003. Influenza. In: Saif YM, editor. Diseases of Poultry. 11th ed. 2121 State Avenuse, Ames, Iowa 50014, USA: Iowa State University Press; p. 135-160.
- Van derMost, P. J.; De Jong, B.; Parmentier, H.K.; and Verhulst, S. 2011. Trade-off between growth and immune function: a meta-analysis of selection experiments. Funct Ecol., 25:74– 80.
- Vargas, L.; Sakomura, N. K.; Leme, B. B.; Antayhua, F.; Reis, M.; Gous, R.; Fisher, C. 2020. A Description of the Potential Growth and Body Composition of Two Commercial Broiler Strains. Br Poult Sci., 61:266–273.
- Wang, B.; Dua, Y.; Shena, Q.; Liua, X.; Xiea, C.; Genga, Z.; and Chena, X. 2018. Comparative study of growth performance and meat quality of three-line crossbred commercial group from Shanzhongxian and W-line chicken. Ital J Anim., 18:63-69.

- Wang, H.; Ma, T.; Chang, G.; Wan, F.;
  Liu, X.; Liu, L.; Xu, L.; Chen, J.; and
  Chen, G. 2014. Molecular Genotype
  Identification of Different Chickens:
  Major Histocompatibility Complex. Open
  Access J Sci Tech., 2: 1-7
- Wegner, K. M.; Kalbe, M.; Schaschl, H.; and Reusch, T. 2004. Parasites and individual major histocompatibility complex diversity- an optimal choice?. Microbes and Infect., 6: 1110-1116.
- Witter, R. L. 1985. Principles of vaccination. In: Payne LN, editor. Marek's Disease. Boston, UK: Martinus Nijhoff Publishing, Boston; p. 203-250.
- Wolanski, N. J.; Renema, R. A.; Robinson, F. E.; Carney, V. L.; and Fancher, B. I. 2006. Relationship between Chick Conformation and Quality Measures with Early Growth Traits in Males of Eight Selected Pureor Commercial Broiler Breeder Strains. Poult Sci., 85:1490–1497.
- Yonash, N.; Heller, E.D.; Hillel, J.; and Cahaner, A. 2000. Detection of RFLP markers associated with antibody response in Meat-type chickens: Haplotype/Genotype, Single-Band, and Multiband analysis of RFLP in the Major Histocompatibility Complex. Amer Genet Assoc., 91: 24-30.
- Zhang, J.; Kaiser, M. G.; Deist, M. S.;
  Gallardo, R. A.; Bunn, D. A.; Kelly,
  K.; Dekkers, J. C. M.; Zhou, H.; and
  Lamont, S. J. 2018. Transcriptome
  Analysis in Spleen Reveals
  Differential Regulation of Response to
  Newcastle Disease Virus in Two
  Chicken Lines. Sci rep., 8:1278-1291.
- Zhao, X.; Ren, W.; Siegel, P.B.; Li, J.;
  Yin, H.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang,
  Y.; Honaker, C. F.; and Zhu, Q.
  2015. Housing systems interacting with sex and genetic line affect broiler growth and carcass traits. Poult Sci., 94:1711–1717.

## الملخص العربى

المرتبط LEI0258 التنوع الوراثي للنسيج التوافقي المركب بإستخدام مرقم المايكروستاليت مع الكفاءة الإنتاجية والأمراض الفيروسية في أمهات دجاج إنتاج اللحم

فريد صابر نصار

قسم الإنتاج الحيواني - كلية الزاعة - جامعة القاهرة - جمهورية مصر العربية قسم الإنتاج الحيواني والسمكي - كلية العلوم الزاعية والاغذية - جامعة الملك فيصل- الأحساء - المملكة العربية السعودية

يرتبط النسيج التوافقي المركب في الدجاج بشكل كبير مع المناعة ضد العديد من الامراض الفيروسية بالإضافة لذلك فإن عملية اختيار الأفراد المقاومة وراثيا للأمراض من أهم أهداف برامج التربية لإنتاج اللحم والتي تحتاج عند إجرائها إلى وجود توازن مع باقي الصفات الإنتاجية الأخرى مثل وزن الجسم الحي وإنتاج اللحم أيضا. تم استخدام مرقم المايكروستاليت LE0258 في تقييم كفاءة الانتخاب لوزن الجسم الحي وإنتاج اللحم أيضا. تم استخدام مرقم المايكروستاليت LE0258 في تقييم كفاءة الانتخاب لوزن الجسم الحي وإنتاج اللحم أيضا. تم استخدام مرقم المايكروستاليت LE0258 في تقييم كفاءة الانتخاب لوزن الجسم الحي وإنتاج اللحم أيضا. تم استخدام مرقم المايكروستاليت LE0258 في التأثير الوراثي على مقاومة مرض الماريك وكذلك الاستجابة المناعية عند للعديم ضد مرض الماريك في التأثير الوراثي على مقاومة مرض الماريك وكذلك الاستجابة المناعية عند للتعيي ضد مرض الماريك وكاليل في سلالة الأمهات المحلية على مقاومة مرض الماريك وكذلك الاستجابة المناعية عند للتحصين ضد مرض الماريك في التأثير الوراثي على مقاومة مرض الماريك وكذلك الاستجابة المناعية عند التحصين ضد مرض الماريك في التأثير الوراثي على مقاومة مرض الماريك وكذلك الاستجابة المناعية عند المعارنة بسلالة الأمهات التوراثي على مقاوراتي على مقاومة مرض الماريك وكذلك الاستجابة المناعية عند المقارنة بسلالة الأمهات التجارية. بالإضافة لذلك، كانت اليلات ذات الوزن الجزيئي مع 2500 و 2950 موجودة بتكرارات عالية في سلالة الأمهات التحارية. الإضافة لذلك، كانت اليلات ذات الوزن الجزيئي ما 2950 وراثيا ضد مرض الماريك بالإضافة إلى الاستجابة المناعية العالية ضد مرض النيوكاسيل مقارنة بسلالة الأمهات الأجنينية ما مرض الماريك بالإضافة إلى المهات الحمائة لذلك، كانت اليلات ذات الوزن الجزيئي مقاومتها وراثيا ضد مرض الماريك بالإضافة إلى المهات الأحمات الحمائي ورائيا ضرمة مع ملاحظة زيادة مقاومتها وراثيا ضد مرض الماريك بالإضافة إلى المهات الماعية العالية ضد مرض النيوكاسيل مقارنة بالمراض وزيا خدى مرض الماين وراثيا مي مرض الماريك بالإضافة إلى المهات الأحمات الايتان ورائية مع ملاحظة زيادة مقاومة الأجنينية موجودة مرض الماريك بالإضافة إلى المهات الماعية المالية الأمهات الأحمالية المالية المواني الحمائية الماليتوريعة الحمالية مالمماني ورافيا ما مري ماليمانية الأحم

الكلمات المفتاحية: دجاج التسمين، أمهات التسمين، وزن الجسم، الاستجابة المناعية، مرض الماريك، الإنتخاب