



Sizing of Battery Energy Storage System for Electrical Power Grid with High Penetration Level of Renewable Energy

Mahmoud M. Mashaa1¹, Azza A. ElDesouky², Abdelhay A. Sallam³, (LSM, IEEE)

ABSTRACT

Renewable energy resources (RERs), mainly wind and photovoltaic (PV), can be integrated into the power system to reap the gains of clean energy. However, the stochastic output of large-scale RERs introduces many integration challenges on the power system, especially when replacing the conventional generation by RERs. To avoid the power-gap between the generation and demand because of variable sources existence, the energy storage devices (ESDs) are introduced. Batteries that have high energy density and reasonable time response are the appropriate technology for steady state operation. Their sizing is essential for assuring the intact operation of the power system. In this work, the load flow algorithm that emulates a proper battery power profile is used. Then, a developed algorithm to adjust the battery size and its initial value is proposed. Different case studies are presented to comprehensively analyze the impact of RERs integration with the ESDs on the power system operation. The proposed algorithm with the aid of power system analysis toolbox (PSAT) is applied to the medium voltage (MV), IEEE-14 bus test system to validate and emphasize its applicability.

Keywords: Renewable energy resources, Energy storage devices, Load flow calculations, Battery sizing, power system analysis toolbox.

1. INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy resources (RERs) have become an important source of electrical generation and their importance is continuing to increase. Exhaustion of fossil fuel, expand over oil costs and growth in energy demand are the great motivation to focus on use of renewable energy rather than traditional energy [1]. Hence, high level of renewable energies penetration may be needed to function as conventional thermal power plants with synchronous generators [2].

However, incorporating such RERs in electrical power systems causes some problems because of the fluctuations of their generated power. The real issues of high stochastic renewable generation penetration are their uncertainty and variability[3]-[4]. Meanwhile, replacing fossil fuel-based resources by RERs are becoming a mandate in many countries. An optimal investment plans in new renewable and fossil generation capacity were identified with the goal of achieving significant CO2 emissions reduction [5].

An important role in managing the variability of renewable output to enable a large scale integration of wind power with the current electricity system was presented in [6].

Received: 25-03-2021, Accepted: 25-07-2021 DOI: <u>10.21608/PSERJ.2021.185286</u> Toward the same time, the wind turbine producers have created another generation of wind turbines, which have the ability to go along with such stringent technical necessities [7,8]. Mainly, to mitigate some of the negative impacts of the energy variability supplied by RERs when integrating such resources on large scale, new methods have been proposed in [9]. The effect of large-scale RERs on the power system including thermal generators was quantified in [10-11].

Energy storage devices (ESDs) are commonly integrated with RERs owing to their fast response to reduce the impact of power fluctuation produced by RERs. They have many advantages that can help balancing generation and demand, improving power quality, smoothing the renewable resource's intermittency, and enabling ancillary services in grid operation [12-15]. Battery energy storage system (BESS) is referred to as one of the most important and efficient way of stabilizing electricity network and satisfying the long- term energy request [16-17]. It plays an essential role as it receives the surplus energy to be charged as long as the energy carries are available and discharges the energy into the load whenever it is required [18]. Due to the vital role played by the storage system, its size is an eminent factor for guaranteeing the right operation of the system. Different case studies are considered in this work where wind farms and solar plants replace the conventional power units under different operating conditions.

The main contribution of this paper includes the following:

1- Different case studies to analyze the impact of replacing the conventional sources by RERs on power system steady state operation are

¹Demonstrator, Electrical power Department, Faculty of Engineering, Portsaid University, Egypt, Corresponding author, email: mahmoud_meshal@eng.psu.edu.eg

²Professor, Electrical power Department, Faculty of Engineering, Portsaid University, Egypt, email: azzaeldesouky@yahoo.com ³Professor, Electrical power Department, Faculty of Engineering, Portsaid University, Egypt, email: abdelhay.sallam@gmail.com

investigated through use of MV, IEEE-14 bus test system.

- 2- Determination of battery size using load flow calculations at different power system operating conditions.
- 3- Proposing a developed algorithm to adjust the battery size and its initial value.

Accordingly, this paper is organized as follows: Section #2 presents the load flow calculations. Section #3 describes the structure of the proposed algorithm, whereas the test system and modeling of renewable sources are introduced in Section #4. The simulation of case studies and results are presented in Section #5. Finally, the conclusion is presented in Section #6.

2. LOAD FLOW CALCULATIONS

Replacement the conventional sources by RERs has many benefits such as diversifying energy resources and decreasing gas emissions. However, incorporating such sources (e.g., wind farms and PV plants) in electrical power system causes some problems because of the fluctuation of their generated power. This fluctuation adversely affects the power system operation. To beat the stochastic nature of RERs an ESS is used with a size that should be calculated carefully. Therefore, load flow analysis for power system operating at normal conditions incorporating RERs and ESS is developed to get the outputs; line flows, bus voltages, power delivered by each source, system losses and ESS role. Some considerations are taken into account. These are:

- The available capacity of RERs, $P_{ren}(t)$, is climatictime dependent. Then the powers injected by the RERs into the transmission network are restricted by the available capacity in due time.
- The conventional generating units have a constant power rating. The power received by the system from each unit depends on the system topology, system losses, and load demand.
- The energy storage system (ESS) can inject power into the transmission system during its discharging state and receives power from the system during its charge state, $P_{ESS}(t)$.
- The total load is time variant and its profile is given, $P_L(t)$. Fig. 1 depicts the load profile used in this study through 24 hours.



Figure 1: Load profile through 24 hours.

A schematic diagram of the power system configuration as well as the direction of power flow regarding the generating units (conventional and renewable), ESS and loads are illustrated in Fig. 2.



Figure 2: A schematic diagram of a power system with RERs and ESS [19]

The charging/discharging power of the ESS can be denoted as ΔP , which is the difference between the total power generation (conventional and renewable), P_{Gen} and the load, P_L , including the system losses, P_{losses} . Thus,

$$\Delta P(t) = P_{Gen}(t) - [P_L(t) + P_{losses}(t)]$$
(1)

$$P_{Gen}(t) = \sum_{g=1}^{N} P_g(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} P_{ren,i}(t)$$
(2)

where N an M are the number of conventional generating units and RERs, respectively. Thus,

$$P_{ESS}(t) = \Delta P(t) \text{ for } \Delta P(t) > 0 \text{ (ESS as a load)} \\ = |\Delta P(t)| \text{ for } \Delta P(t) < 0 \text{ (ESS as a source) (3)} \\ = 0 \text{ for } \Delta P(t) = 0 \text{ (balance state)}$$

The power balance condition in (2), can be expressed as:

$$P_{Gen}(t) = [P_L(t) + P_{losses}(t)] + P_{ESS}(t)] \{charging\}$$
(4)

$$P_{Gen}(t) + P_{ESS}(t) = [P_L(t) + P_{losses}(t)] \{discharging\} (5)$$

The calculations of load flow are implemented over 24 hours to obtain the power profile (P_{ESS}) of the ESS. Fig. 3 represents the flow chart of the load flow calculations.

3. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM FOR BATTERY SIZING

Based on running the load flow program as described in Section #2 to calculate P_{ESS} , the battery state of charge (SoC) can be calculated as follows:

$$SoC(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} SoC_{int}(t) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \Delta t \left[P_{ch}(t) - P_{dis}(t) \right]$$
(6)

$$P_{ch,dis}(t) = \begin{cases} \dot{\eta}_{ch} P_{ESS}(t) ; P_{ESS} > 0 \\ \frac{P_{ESS}(t)}{\dot{\eta}_{dis}} ; P_{ESS} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(7)

where SoC_{int} is the initial state of charge of the EES. This parameter must be arbitrarily computed. In this study, the batteries as they have high energy density are used as ESS. Thus,

$$P_{ESS}(t) = P_{batt}(t) \tag{8}$$

In general, to prolong the life of the battery [20], minimum and maximum *SoCs* are used. The stored energy is bounded as follows:

SoC (t) = SoC (t - 1) +
$$P_{ESS}(t)$$
. $\Delta T \cdot \dot{\eta}_d$ charging mode
(9)

SoC (t) = SoC (t - 1) -
$$P_{ESS}(t) \cdot \Delta T / \dot{\eta}_d$$
 discharging
mode (10)

where,

 $P_{ESS}(t)$: ESS power output at the *t*-th commitment interval SoC(t): State of charge at the end of the *t*-th commitment

interval ΔT :Duration of the interval, in hours (1 h in this study) $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots T$; T= 24 hours

 $\dot{\eta}_d$: Discharging efficiency.

 $\dot{\eta}_c$: Charging efficiency.

An algorithm is implemented to effectively find the acceptable size of the battery satisfying high efficiency.

The proposed algorithm of battery size computation for a time span of T and starting at time t constitutes the following steps:

- 1- Define the time span (from t to T) and time increment Δt . For instance, t=1 to T=24 h and $\Delta t = 1$ h.
- 2- Run load flow algorithm and find the battery power ($P_{ESS}(t)$) as expressed in (3).
- 3- Initialize both the initial and battery state of charge $SoC_{int}(t)$ and SoC(t) to equal zero.
- 4- If $t \le T$, calculate the battery SoC(t) according to (6), else go to step #10.
- 5- If SoC(t) < 0, then $SoC_{int}(t) = |SoC(t)| + SoC_{int}(t)$, $SoC(t) = SoC_{int}(t)$, go to step #4.
- 6- If SoC(t) < Soc(t-1), $SoC(t) = SoC(t-1) - P_{ESS}(t) \cdot \Delta T/\dot{\eta}_d$, go to step #9.
- 7- If SoC(t) > Soc(t 1), $SoC(t) = SoC(t 1) + P_{ESS}(t)$. $\Delta T. \dot{\eta}_d$, go to step #9.
- 8- If SoC(t) = SoC(t 1), go to step #9.
- 9- Advance $t \rightarrow t + \Delta t$, go to step #4
- 10- Stop

Fig. 4 shows the flowchart of the proposed algorithm.



Figure 3: Load flow calculations

4. TEST SYSTEM

The IEEE 14-bus is used as a test system to investigate the impact of replacement of conventional sources by RERs on power system steady state operation as shown in Fig.5. It comprises five generators: two generators at buses #1and #2, which are responsible for generating active power. The other generators at buses #3, #6 and #8 are synchronous compensators. The system is divided into two regions; the first region (area #1) operates at a voltage of 69 kV and the second (area #2) at 38 kV.

Three case studies are proposed depending on the way of replacement of conventional generators of the test system by wind farm and solar photovoltaic (PV) plant as in the following:

- Case #1: the conventional generator at bus #1 is replaced by a wind farm with the same rated power.
- Case #2: the conventional generator at bus #2 is replaced by a PV plant with the same rated power.
- Case #3: the generators at both bus #1 and bus #2 are replaced by wind farm and PV plant, respectively.

To investigate the case studies, the mathematical modeling of both wind farm and PV plant are required. However, these models are briefly described as below. It is assumed that the RERs are equipped with a compensator to provide the reactive power when needed.



Figure 4: The proposed algorithm for calculating battery size and its initial value

4.1. Wind Farm Model

This model is structured by the mathematical equations that represent the Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) and the power extracted from the wind farm. The DFIG with variable speed wind turbine is used in this study as shown in Fig. 6. The steady-state electrical equations are as in the following:

$$v_{ds} = -r_s i_{ds} + ((x_s + x_m)i_{qs} + x_m i_{qr})$$
(11)

$$v_{qs} = -r_s i_{qs} - ((x_s + x_m)i_{ds} + x_m i_{dr}$$
(12)

$$v_{dr} = -r_r i_{dr} + (1 - w_m)((x_s + x_m)i_{qr} + x_m i_{qs}$$
(13)

$$v_{qr} = -r_r i_{qr} - (1 - w_m)(x_s + x_m)i_{dr} + x_m i_{ds}$$
(14)

where v_{ds} , v_{qs} , and v_{dr} , v_{qr} are *d* and *q* components of stator and rotor voltage, respectively.



Figure 5: Single line diagram of the IEEE 14-bus system [21]



Fig.6: The DFIG with variable speed wind turbine [22]

The stator voltages are expressed as a function of the grid voltage magnitude, v, as:

$$v_{ds} = -v\sin\theta \tag{15}$$

$$v_{as} = v \cos \theta \tag{16}$$

The generator active, p, and reactive power, q, in terms of converter and stator currents are given by:

$$p = v_{ds}i_{ds} + v_{qs}i_{qs} + v_{dc}i_{dc} + v_{qc}i_{qc}$$
(17)

$$q = v_{qs}i_{ds} - v_{ds}i_{qs} + v_{qc}i_{dc} - v_{dc}i_{qc}$$
(18)

Based on the converter state mode, the power delivered to the grid can be drawn as a function of stator and rotor currents. The converter powers on the grid side are:

$$p_c = v_{dc}i_{dc} + v_{qc}i_{qc} \tag{19}$$

$$q_c = v_{qc} i_{dc} - v_{dc} i_{qc} \tag{20}$$

Assuming that the converter is an ideal model, the active power of the converter coincides with the rotor active power, thus, $p_c = p_r$. Therefore, the power delivered to the grid is

$$p = v_{ds}i_{ds} + v_{qs}i_{qs} + v_{dr}i_{dr} + v_{qr}i_{qr}$$
(21)

The generator equation of motion is modeled as a single shaft and hence, it can be written as:

$$\dot{w}_m = (\tau_m - \tau_e)/2H_m \tag{22}$$

$$\tau_e = \varphi_{ds} i_{qs} - \varphi_{qs} i_{ds} \tag{23}$$

$$\tau_e \approx -\frac{x_m v i_{qr}}{w_b(x_s + x_m)} \tag{24}$$

where τ_e and w_b are electrical torque and system frequency rate rad /s, respectively. The φ_{ds} and φ_{qs} are stator fluxes in *d* and *q* axis.

The mechanical power, p_w , extracted from the wind farm is a function of the number of similar wind turbines, the wind speed, v_w , the rotor speed, w_m , and the pitch angle θ_p . It can be approximated as follows:

$$p_{w} = \frac{n_{g}\rho}{2s_{n}} c_{p}(\lambda,\theta_{p}) A_{r} v_{w}^{3}$$
⁽²⁵⁾

where n_g is the number of wind turbines that compose the farm, ρ is the air density, c_p is the power coefficient, λ is the tip speed ratio and A_r is the area swept by the rotor.

Data of the wind farm is depicted in Table 1. Both voltage and pitch angle control schemes are shown in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. Real measured data is used for describing the wind speed as shown in Fig. 9.

4.2. PV Model

The output power of PV arrays ($P_{out PV}$) is expressed as a a function of PV efficiency and solar radiation at time *t* and is given by:

$$p_{out PV}(t) = N_{PV}.\eta_{PV}.A.I(t)$$
(26)

where N_{PV} , η_{PV} , A, and I(t) are the number of panels, efficiency of PV (%), the area of PV array and solar radiation, respectively.

The PV is connected to the grid through inverter and transformer as shown in Fig. 10. The voltage components at the connected AC bus are:

$$v_d = -v\sin\theta$$
 and $v_a = v\cos\theta$ (27)

The power injected into the AC bus is: $p_{PV} = v_d i_d + v_q i_q$ (28) The data of the constant PV generator model is shown in Table 2 and the daily solar radiation curve is shown in Fig. 11 [23].

Table 1: Wind farm dat	Table 1: Wind farm data							
Power, voltage and frequency ratings	[610 69 60]							
[MVA, kV, Hz]								
Stator resistance r_s and reactance x_s	[0.01 0.10]							
[p.u. p.u.]								
Rotor resistance r_r and reactance x_r	[0.01 0.08]							
[p.u. p.u.]								
Magnetization reactance x_m [p.u.]	3.00							
Inertia constants H_m [kWs/kVA]	3							
Pitch control gain and time constant kp,	[10 3]							
T _p [p.u. s]								
Voltage control gain Kv [p.u.]	10							
Power control time constant Te [s]	0.01							
Number of poles p and gear box ratio	[4 1/89]							
Blade length and number	[75.00 3]							
Number of wind generators that	30							
compose the park								

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

The power system analysis toolbox, PSAT, is used to conduct the analysis in this work. Three different cases are emulated as mentioned above. The load flow and the proposed algorithm are applied to determine the appropriate size of the BESS.

Table 2: Data of solar PV plant							
Active power and voltage	[60 1.045]						
rated (MW,PU)							
Inverter response times	[0.015 0.015]						
$(T_d, T_q)[s, s]$							
Voltage PI controller	[0.08 50.9]						
gains (K _v , K _i)							
Number of modules and	[3 10MW]						
output power of each unit							



Figure 7: Voltage control scheme of the DFIG [24]



Figure 8: Pitch angle control scheme [24]



Figure 10: Grid-connected solar power generation



Figure 11: Solar radiation through day hours.

5.1. Case #1

The conventional generator at bus #1 is replaced by a wind farm with the same rated power. The traditional generator at bus #1 operates at a leading power factor, thus absorbing reactive power from the grid. Therefore, the DFIG operates in sub mode at the leading power factor. The power extracted from the wind farm according to wind speed curve is depicted in Fig. 12.

According to the load flow algorithm, the battery power profile (*Pbatt* (*t*)) is obtained over 24 hours. From Fig. 13, ΔP has maximum positive and negative values at times equal 6 and 18 hours, respectively. As a sample of the results obtained by load flow calculations, the results of line flows at which ΔP is maximum positive and maximum negative, are selected and tabulated in Tables 3- 6. Moreover, there is a shortage of battery power at *t* equals an hour. For stable operation, it is necessary to prevent any shortage of battery power at any time. Therefore, the algorithm shown in Fig. 4 is applied to calculate the appropriate battery size and hence, the *SoC* of the battery is drawn according to (6) as shown in Fig 14.



Figure 12: the wind generated power in respective to the speed variation



Figure 13: battery power profile for case #1



Figure 14: SoC of the battery for case #1

Table 3: Line flows at (t6).									
From	To	Line #	P (pu)	Q (pu)	$P_{\rm Losses}$	Q_{Losses}			
02	05	1	0.624	0.081	0.020	0.0280			
06	12	2	0.123	0.046	0.001	0.0038			
12	13	3	0.029	0.020	0.0002	0.0002			
06	13	4	0.281	0.147	0.0058	0.0114			
06	11	5	0.127	0.132	0.0028	0.0058			
11	10	6	0.072	0.101	0.0011	0.0027			
09	10	7	0.065	0.016	0.0001	0.0003			
09	14	8	0.130	0.004	0.0021	0.0044			
14	13	9	0.097	-0.07	0.0025	0.0050			
07	09	10	0.409	0.228	0	0.0226			
01	02	11	2.664	-0.42	0.125	0.3234			
03	02	12	-1.08	0.177	0.0559	0.1896			
03	04	13	-0.34	0.22	0.0114	-0.0054			
01	05	14	1.202	0.115	0.0705	0.2388			
05	04	15	0.919	0.156	0.0116	0.02386			
02	04	16	0.846	0.059	0.0384	0.07783			
15	01	17	1e-05	-0.008	0	0.0083			
05	06	18	0.701	0.063	0	0.1087			
04	09	19	0.231	0.043	0	0.02939			
04	07	20	0.409	-0.07	0	0.03484			
08	07	21	0	0.348	0	0.018			

profile of the battery (P_{batt}) is obtained as shown in Fig. 16.

Table 5: Line flows at (t_{18}) .									
From bus	To bus	Line #	P (pu)	Q (pu)	P _{Losses} (pu)	Q _{Losses} (pu)			
02	05	1	1.206	0.3573	0.0833	0.2210			
06	12	2	0.231	0.0623	0.0061	0.0128			
12	13	3	0.054	0.0270	0.0007	0.0007			
06	13	4	0.5361	0.2103	0.0191	0.0377			
06	11	5	0.2448	0.2054	0.0084	0.0177			
11	10	6	0.1383	0.1625	0.0036	0.0085			
09	10	7	0.1179	-0.071	0.0006	0.0017			
09	14	8	0.2406	-0.013	0.0079	0.0168			
14	13	9	-0.184	-0.100	0.0085	0.0173			
07	09	10	0.7612	0.2836	0	0.0735			
01	02	11	5.994	-0.666	0.6268	1.8554			
03	02	12	-2.072	0.7969	0.2287	0.9175			
03	04	13	-0.565	0.7337	0.0580	0.1155			
01	05	14	2.404	0.6011	0.2970	1.177			
05	04	15	1.691	-0.405	0.0462	0.1346			
02	04	16	1.652	0.325	0.1516	0.4234			
15	01	17	2.261	-0.001	0	0.1334			
05	06	18	1.326	-0.056	0	0.4420			
04	09	19	0.4233	0.0467	0	0.1091			
04	07	20	0.7612	-0.122	0	0.1369			
08	07	21	0	0.5958	0	0.0526			

#	(pu)	(rad)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)
01	1.06	0	6.035	-0.278	2.4922	0
02	1.045	-0.149	0.4	1.077	0.328	0.1778
03	1.01	-0.363	0	0.670	1.4243	0.266
04	0.994	-0.289	0	0	0.7227	0.056
05	0.999	-0.248	0	0	0.1149	0.0224
06	1.07	-0.403	0	0.4789	0.1693	0.105
07	1.033	-0.371	0	0	0	0
08	1.09	-0.371	0	0.34915	0	0
09	1.010	-0.414	0	0	0.446	0.2324
10	1.009	-0.420	0	0	0.1361	0.0812
11	1.034	-0.414	0	0	0.0529	0.0252
12	1.045	-0.426	0	0	0.0922	0.0224
13	1.034	-0.427	0	0	0.204	0.0812
14	0.993	-0.448	0	0	0.2253	0.07
15	1.045	0	1e-05	-0.0008	2.6	0

P_{supply} Q_{supply}

 P_{load}

 Q_{load}

Table 4: Bus voltage and power at (t₆).

phase

Bus V

5.2. Case #2

The conventional generator at bus #2 is replaced by a PV plant. The generator at bus #2 supplies the system with reactive power as the PV plant cannot generate reactive power. Therefore, a capacitor bank is connected to the same bus to compensate of reactive power. The daily generated power corresponding to solar radiation curve is depicted in Fig. 15. The load flow algorithm is applied, and the power

Table 6: Bus voltage and power at (t18).								
Bus	V	Phase	P _{supply}	Q _{supply}	Pload	Qload		
#	(pu)	(rad)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)		
01	1.06	0	6.138	-0.224	0	0		
02	1.045	-0.3377	0.4	3.503	0.607	0.1778		
03	1.01	-0.7769	0	0.6707	2.637	0.266		
04	0.931	-0.6200	0	0	1.338	0.056		
05	0.934	-0.5319	0	0	0.212	0.0224		
06	1.07	-0.8489	0	0.4789	0.313	0.105		
07	0.993	-0.7890	0	0	0	0		
08	1.09	-0.7890	0	0.3491	0	0		
09	0.965	-0.8763	0	0	0.826	0.2324		
10	0.968	-0.8894	0	0	0.252	0.0812		
11	1.010	-0.8759	0	0	0.098	0.0252		
12	1.029	-0.8957	0	0	0.1708	0.0224		
13	1.012	-0.9005	0	0	0.378	0.0812		
14	0.940	-0.9499	0	0	0.4172	0.07		
15	1.045	0.05534	2.261	-0.001	0	0		



_



Figure 16: battery power profile for case #2

From the power profile, it is found that the maximum and minimum values of ΔP are at times equal 5 and 18 hours, respectively. The results of line flows at which ΔP is maximum positive and maximum negative are chosen and tabulated in Tables 7- 10. The proposed algorithm is applied to adjust the battery size while (6) is used to find the battery *SoC* values as shown in Fig. 17.



Figure 17: The SoC of the battery for case #2

Table 7: Line flows at (t5)									
From	То	Line	P(pu)	Q(pu)	PLosses	QLosses			
bus	bus	#	ά, γ	UU /	(pu)	(pu)			
02	05	1	0.370	0.145	0.008	-0.009			
06	12	2	0.115	0.045	0.001	0.003			
12	13	3	0.028	0.019	0.002	0.002			
06	13	4	0.264	0.144	0.005	0.010			
06	11	5	0.127	0.127	0.002	0.005			
11	10	6	0.075	0.097	0.001	0.002			
09	10	7	0.051	-0.012	9e-05	0.002			
09	14	8	0.114	0.005	0.001	0.003			
14	13	9	-0.09	-0.067	0.002	0.004			
07	09	10	0.369	0.227	0	0.019			
01	02	11	4.937	-0.657	0.427	1.246			
03	02	12	-0.93	0.109	0.041	0.127			
03	04	13	-0.382	0.236	0.013	0.0005			
01	05	14	1.583	0.137	0.121	0.450			
05	04	15	1.052	-0.23	0.015	0.036			
02	04	16	0.629	0.094	0.021	0.027			
15	01	17	-2.22	0.006	0	0.012			
05	06	18	0.6639	0.056	0	0.097			
04	09	19	0.209	0.041	0	0.024			
04	07	20	0.369	-0.068	0	0.0285			
08	07	21	0	0.341	0	0.01724			

5.3. Case #3

The conventional generators at buses #1 and #2 are replaced by wind farm and PV plant, respectively. Applying the load flow analysis with the same data given in the first and second cases, the power profile of the battery (P_{batt}) is obtained as depicted in Fig. 18.

Bus	V	Phase	Psupply	Qsupply	Pload	Qload
#	(pu)	(rad)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)
01	1.06	0	6.1520	-0.224	0	0
02	1.045	-0.278	0.0001	2.345	0.303	0.177
03	1.01	-0.460	0	0.6707	1.318	0.266
04	0.99	-0.378	0	0	0.669	0.056
05	0.99	-0.331	0	00	0.106	0.022
06	1.07	-0.477	0	0.4789	0.106	0.105
07	1.03	-0.452	0	0	0	0
08	1.09	-0.452	0	0.3491	0	0
09	1.01	-0.491	0	0	0.413	0.232
10	1.011	-0.495	0	0	0.126	0.081
11	1.035	-0.489	0	0	0.049	0.025
12	1.04	-0.498	0	0	0.085	0.022
13	1.03	-0.499	0	0	0.189	0.081
14	0.996	-0.521	0	0	0.208	0.07
15	1.045	-0.283	1e-05	0.00618	2.129	0

Table 9: Line flows at (t18)								
From	То	Line	P(nu)	O(nu)	P _{Losses}	Q _{Losses}		
bus	bus	#	r (pu)	Q (pu)	(pu)	(pu)		
20	05	1	1.375	0.299	0.103	0.283		
06	12	2	0.230	0.062	0.006	0.012		
12	13	3	0.053	0.027	0.0007	0.006		
06	13	4	0.532	0.209	0.018	0.037		
06	11	5	0.237	0.203	0.008	0.017		
11	10	6	0.131	0.161	0.003	0.008		
09	10	7	0.124	-0.070	0.0007	0.001		
09	14	8	0.245	-0.013	0.0081	0.01		
14	13	9	0.180	-0.100	0.008	0.016		
07	09	10	0.768	0.283	0	0.074		
01	02	11	3.70	0.567	0.241	0.678		
03	02	12	-2.11	0.831	0.239	0.963		
03	04	13	0.521	0.6	0.050	0.095		
01	05	14	2.006	0.467	0.205	0.7981		
05	04	15	1.545	-0.302	0.037	0.106		
02	04	16	1.77	0.30	0.172	0.486		
15	01	17	2.469	0.006	0	0.0151		
05	06	18	1.314	-0.034	0	0.4269		
04	09	19	0.427	0.050	0	0.110		
04	07	20	0.768	-0.112	0	0.137		
08	07	21	0	0.584	0	0.050		



Figure18: battery power profile of case #3

			0		(===/	
Bus	V	Phase	Psupply	Qsupply	Pload	Qload
#	(pu)	(rad)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)
01	1.06	0	6.1582	-0.224	0	0
02	1.04	-0.208	0.18	2.163	0.607	0.177
03	1.01	-0.658	0	0.6707	2.637	0.266
04	0.93	-0.513	0	0	1.338	0.056
05	0.94	-0.435	0	0	0.212	0.022
06	1.07	-0.746	0	0.4789	0.313	0.105
07	0.99	-0.683	0	0	0	0
08	1.09	-0.683	0	0.3491	0	0
09	0.96	-0.771	0	0	0.826	0.232
10	0.97	-0.7847	0	0	0.252	0.081
11	1.01	-0.772	0	0	0.098	0.025
12	1.02	0.793	0	0	0.1708	0.022
13	1.01	-0.797	0	0	0.378	0.081
14	0.94	-0.845	0	0	0.417	0.07
15	1.04	-0.202	2.106	-0.001	0	0

Table 10: Bus voltage and power at (*t*₁₈)

It is observed that the maximum and minimum values of ΔP occur at times equal 6 and 18 hours, respectively and the corresponding line flows are tabulated in Tables 11-14. Fig. 19 shows the *SoC* of the battery using the same procedure.

From	То	Line	D (mu)	O(mu)	Plosses	Qlosses
Bus	Bus	#	P (pu)	Q (pu)	(pu)	(pu)
2	5	1	0.59	0.090	0.019	0.022
6	12	2	0.12	0.046	0.002	0.003
12	13	3	0.02	0.020	0.001	0.001
6	13	4	0.28	0.147	0.005	0.011
6	11	5	0.12	0.132	0.003	0.006
11	10	6	0.07	0.100	0.001	0.002
9	10	7	0.064	-0.016	0.001	0.001
9	14	8	0.12	0.004	0.002	0.004
14	13	9	-0.09	-0.069	0.002	0.005
7	9	10	0.40	0.228	0	0.022
1	2	11	3.02	-0.480	0.161	0.433
3	2	12	-1.07	0.173	0.054	0.185
3	4	13	-0.34	0.231	0.012	-0.003
1	5	14	1.271	0.118	0.078	0.272
5	4	15	0.94	-0.170	0.012	0.026
2	4	16	0.824	0.064	0.036	0.071
15	1	17	1e-0	-0.008	0	0.008
5	6	18	0.70	0.061	0	0.109
4	9	19	0.23	0.043	0	0.029
4	7	20	0.40	-0.067	0	0.034
8	7	21	0	0.349	0	0.018

Table 11: Line flows at (t₆)



Figure 19: The SoC of the battery case #3

According to the analysis of steady state condition and the different cases conducted above, the algorithm plays an important role in determining the initial value of the battery by finding the breaking value at a certain time, which is defined as the power that the battery must have to compensate the lack of power. Therefore, one breaking value is found at case 1, which equals 0. 3172 pu. In the second case, no breaking values are existed. On the contrary, in the third case, three breaking values are found, which are 0.766, 2.1455, and 1.2492 pu. From the results, it is expected that the third case will have the highest initial value of the battery size as shown in Table 15. In addition, the results of the battery size according to the applied algorithm are scheduled in Table 15. It is found that the highest size of the battery is at case 2 while the first case has the lowest size.

 Table 12: Bus voltage and power at (t₆)

Bus	V(nu)	Phase	P _{supply}	Qsupply	Pload	Q load
#	v (pu)	(rad)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)
01	1.06	0	6.0998	-0.278	2.492	0
02	1.045	-0.170	0.012	1.0775	0.328	0.177
03	1.01	-0.382	0	0.670	1.424	0.266
04	0.993	-0.305	0	0	0.722	0.056
05	0.998	-0.263	0	0	0.114	0.022
06	1.07	-0.418	0	0.4789	0.169	0.105
07	1.033	-0.387	0	0	0	0
08	1.09	-0.387	0	0.34915	0	0
09	1.01	-0.430	0	0	0.446	0.232
10	1.001	-0.436	0	0	0.136	0.081
11	1.03	-0.430	0	0	0.052	0.025
12	1.045	-0.441	0	0	0.092	0.022
13	1.03	-0.442	0	0	0.204	0.081
14	0.993	-0.464	0	0	0.225	0.07
15	1.045	0	1e-05	-0.0005	2.002	0

|--|

From	То	Line	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{nu})$	(1 mu)	Plosses	Qlosses
Bus	Bus	#	r (pu)	Q pu)	(pu)	(pu)
2	5	1	1.19	0.36	0.081	0.215
6	12	2	0.231	0.06	0.006	0.012
12	13	3	0.05	0.027	0.001	0.001
6	13	4	0.536	0.21	0.019	0.037
6	11	5	0.24	0.205	0.008	0.017
11	10	6	0.139	0.162	0.003	0.008
9	10	7	0.117	-0.07	0.001	0.002

9	14	8	0.240	-0.013	0.01	0.016
14	13	9	-0.18	-0.10	0.008	0.017
7	9	10	0.760	0.283	0	0.073
1	2	11	6.23	-0.66	0.67	2.007
3	2	12	-2.06	0.79	0.22	0.913
3	4	13	-0.56	0.739	0.05	0.117
1	5	14	2.44	0.617	0.30	1.220
5	4	15	1.70	-0.41	0.047	0.137
2	4	16	1.640	0.328	0.149	0.417
15	1	17	2.481	-0.003	0	0.159
5	6	18	1.32	-0.05	0	0.443
4	9	19	0.42	0.046	0	0.109
4	7	20	0.760	-0.123	0	0.136
8	7	21	0	0.597	0	0.052

Table 14: Bus voltage and power at (t18)						
Bus	V(nu)	Phase	P _{supply}	Q _{supply}	Pload	Qload
#	v (pu)	(rad)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)	(pu)
01	1.06	0	6.19	-0.224	0	0
02	1.045	-0.35	0.18	2.163	0.60	0.17
03	1.01	-0.78	0	0.670	2.63	0.266
04	0.931	-0.63	0	0	1.33	0.056
05	0.933	-0.54	0	0	0.21	0.02
06	1.07	-0.85	0	0.47	0.31	0.105
07	0.993	-0.80	0	0	0	0
08	1.09	-0.80	0	0.349	0	0
09	0.965	-0.88	0	0	0.826	0.23
10	0.968	-0.90	0	0	0.252	0.081
11	1.010	-0.88	0	0	0.098	0.025
12	1.029	-0.90	0	0	0.170	0.022
13	1.012	-0.91	0	0	0.378	0.081
14	0.940	-0.96	0	0	0.41	0.07
15	1.045	0.0607	2.01	-0.001	0	0

Table 15: the initial battery value and its size for each case

Cases	Initial value [%]	Battery size [MWh]
Case #1	12.097	36,300
Case #2	10	59,664
Case #3	35.1	39,576

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has analyzed the effect of replacing the traditional generator by RERs on the power system operation. Due to the variability of RERs, the ESSs are proposed as a solution to ensure the balance between generation and demand. It is the suitable manner to meet the long-term energy demand. To emphasis the correct operation, the sizing of the battery is an important decision that should be taken carefully. The load flow algorithm is used to get the surplus and shortage powers of the power system during a day. Then, once the battery power profile is obtained from load flow algorithm, the proposed algorithm is applied to efficiently adjust the battery size and its initial value. Three cases are conducted, and the simulation results show the important role of the power system.

Abbreviations	
RERs	Renewable energy resources
ESDs	Energy storage devices
PSAT	Power system analysis toolbox
BESS	Battery energy storage system
ESS	Energy storage system
PV	Photo voltaic
DFIG	Doubly fed induction generator
Nomenclature	
p_c	Active power of the converter
p_r	Rotor active power
$ au_e$	Electrical torque
w_b	System frequency rate
φ_{ds} and φ_{qs}	Stator fluxes in d and q axis
p_w	Mechanical power
v_w	Wind speed
$ heta_p$	Pitch angle
n_g	Number of wind turbines
ρ	Air density
c_p	Power coefficient
ג	Tip speed ratio
A_r	Area swept by the rotor
H_m	Inertia constant of wind
$P_{out PV}$	Output power of PV
N_{PV}	Number of panels
η_{PV}	Efficiency of PV (%)
Α	Area of PV array
I(t)	Solar radiation
P _{losses}	System losses
P_{Gen}	Total power generation
P_{ESS}	Power of the energy storage system
SoC	State of Charge
SoC _{int}	Initial SoC of ESS
ή _d	Discharging efficiency
ή _c	Charging efficiency

Credit Authorship Contribution Statement

Mahmoud M. Mashaa1: Methodology, Software, Data Curation, Writing, Azza A. ElDesouky: Validation, Writing - Review, Original draft, Supervision,

Abdelhay A. Sallam: Conceptualization, Review & Editing

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

 E. M. Ali and A. A. ElDesouky, "Implementation of Boolean PSO for Service Restoration Using Distribution Network Reconfiguration Simultaneously With Distributed Energy Resources and Capacitor Banks," International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER), vol. 10, pp. 354-365, 2020.

- [2] M. F. Akorede, H. Hizam, and E. Pouresmaeil, "Distributed energy resources and benefits to the environment," *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, vol. 14, pp. 724-734, 2010.
- [3] Y. V. Makarov, C. Loutan, J. Ma, P. De Mello, and S. Lu, "Impacts of integration of wind generation on regulation and load following requirements of California power systems," in 2008 5th International Conference on the European Electricity Market, 2008, pp. 1-6.
- [4] Y. V. Makarov, S. Lu, N. Samaan, Z. Huang, K. Subbarao, P. V. Etingov, J. Ma, R. P. Hafen, R. Diao, and N. Lu, "Integration of uncertainty information into power system operations," in 2011 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2011, pp. 1-13.
- [5] A. Pina, C. A. Silva, and P. Ferrão, "High-resolution modeling framework for planning electricity systems with high penetration of renewables," *Applied Energy*, vol. 112, pp. 215-223, 2013.
- [6] A. Purvins, A. Zubaryeva, M. Llorente, E. Tzimas, and A. Mercier, "Challenges and options for a large wind power uptake by the European electricity system," *Applied Energy*, vol. 88, pp. 1461-1469, 2011.
- [7] E. Tzimas, R. Moss, and P. Ntagia, "Technology Map of the European Strategic Energy Technology Plan (SET-Plan)," JRC Scientific and Technical Reports, Luxembourg, 2011.
- [8] F. Iov, M. Ciobotaru, and F. Blaabjerg, "Power electronics control of wind energy in distributed power systems," in 2008 11th International Conference on Optimization of Electrical and Electronic Equipment, 2008, pp. XXIX-XLIV.
- [9] M. Beaudin, H. Zareipour, A. Schellenberglabe, and W. Rosehart, "Energy storage for mitigating the variability of renewable electricity sources: An updated review," *Energy for sustainable development*, vol. 14, pp. 302-314, 2010.
- [10]H. Holttinen, L. Söder, and E. Ela, Design and operation of power systems with large amounts of wind power: Final report, IEA WIND Task 25, Phase one 2006-2008: VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, 2009.
- [11]A. S. Brouwer, M. Van Den Broek, A. Seebregts, and A. Faaij, "Impacts of large-scale Intermittent Renewable Energy Sources on electricity systems, and how these can be modeled," *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, vol. 33, pp. 443-466, 2014.
- [12]C. H. Lo and M. D. Anderson, "Economic dispatch and optimal sizing of battery energy storage systems in utility load-leveling operations," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 14, pp. 824-829, 1999.

- [13]S. Bae, S. U. Jeon, and J.-W. Park, "A study on optimal sizing and control for hybrid energy storage system with SMES and battery," *IFAC-Papers OnLine*, vol. 48, pp. 507-511, 2015.
- [14]T. Borsche, A. Ulbig, M. Koller, and G. Andersson, "Power and energy capacity requirements of storages providing frequency control reserves," in 2013 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [15]H. Nazaripouya, Y. Wang, P. Chu, H. R. Pota, and R. Gadh, "Optimal sizing and placement of battery energy storage in distribution system based on solar size for voltage regulation," in 2015 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, 2015, pp. 1-5.
- [16]G. J. May, A. Davidson, and B. Monahov, "Lead batteries for utility energy storage: A review," *Journal* of Energy Storage, vol. 15, pp. 145-157, 2018.
- [17]S. Wang, Y. Tang, J. Shi, K. Gong, Y. Liu, L. Ren, and J. Li, "Design and advanced control strategies of a hybrid energy storage system for the grid integration of wind power generations," *IET Renewable Power Generation*, vol. 9, pp. 89-98, 2014.
- [18]J. P. Fossati, A. Galarza, A. Martín-Villate, and L. Fontan, "A method for optimal sizing energy storage systems for microgrids," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 77, pp. 539-549, 2015.
- [19] A. A. Sallam and O. P. Malik, *Power system stability:* modelling, analysis and control: The Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2015.
- [20]B. Zhao, X. Zhang, J. Chen, C. Wang, and L. Guo, "Operation optimization of standalone microgrids considering lifetime characteristics of battery energy storage system," *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, vol. 4, pp. 934-943, 2013.
- [21] S. Kumar, A. Kumar, and N. Sharma, "Analysis of power flow, continuous power flow and transient stability of IEEE-14 bus integrated wind farm using PSAT," in 2015 International Conference on Energy Economics and Environment (ICEEE), 2015, pp. 1-6.
- [22] J. G. Slootweg, "Wind power: Modelling and impact on power system dynamics," 2003.
- [23] B. Tamimi, C. Cañizares, and K. Bhattacharya, "System stability impact of large-scale and distributed solar photovoltaic generation: The case of Ontario, Canada," *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, vol. 4, pp. 680-688, 2013.
- [24] F. Milano, "An open source power system analysis toolbox," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 20, pp. 1199-1206, 2005.