قسم: المراقبة الصحية على الأغذية • كلية الطب البيطرى _ جامعة قناة السويس. راعيس القسم: أ ٠٠٠ محمد شـليح٠

دراسة تواجد الميكروبات المطله للبروتينات في بعض الاغذية

حسنى عبداللطيف ، أحمد عبدالحميد

أجريت التجارب على مائة عينة من منتجات اللحوم والألبان في مدينة أسيوط الميكروبات المحبه للبرودة أو المتوسطه والعالية لتحملها لدرجات الحراره وكان متوسط العدد الكلي لتلك الميكرلوبات في عينات الهامبورجر كالآتي،

٢×٠١، ٣٠٠، ١٠×٠ مرام وفي السجق ١٠×١، ٢٠٠١، ٥×٠ مرام، واللبس الطازج ٣×٠٠، ٢٠٠٢ أقل من ١٠ ميكروب/سم وفي الجبن الابيض الدمياط____ كانت النسبة كالاتي: ٣×٠١°، ٨×٠١، أقل من ١٠مك وب/حراء ٠

وبتصنيف تلك المجموعات أثبتت النتائج أن الأنواع السائدة في مجموع ____ة : کانت کالاتے: Proteolytic psychrophiles

cinetobacter, Aeromonas hydrophilia, Enterobacter liquefacent, E.coli, Micrococci,

Moraxella spp. Pseudomonas and Proteus spp.

Proteolytic mesophiles

في حين كانت الانواع السائدة في المجموعة الثانية

هو كمايلي: B.cereus, B.cereus var. mycoides, B. subtilis, B. megaterium, E. coli, Lactobacilli, Proteus spp. and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Proteolytic thermophiles

بينما وجد أن الانواع السائدة في المجموعة الثالثة

کانت کالتالیے: B. cereus, B. cereus var mycoides, B. circulans, B. coagulans, B. stearothermophilus.

وقد نوقشت أهمية تواجد تلك الميكروبات وكذلك الاشتراطات الصحية اللازمية لتفادي تواجدها في المنتجات الغذائية من أصل حيواني٠

^{*} قسم الرقابة الصحية على الاغذية _ كلية الطب البيطري _ جامعة أسيوط.

Dept. of Food Hygiene, Faculty of Vet. Med., Suez Canal University, Head of Dept. Dr. M. Sholaih.

INCIDENCE AND LEVEL OF OCCURRENCES OF PROTEOLYTIC MICROORGANISMS IN SOME SELECTED FOODS (With 4 Tables)

By
H.A. ABD EL-RAHMAN and AHMED A-H. AHMED*
(Received at 14/10/1987)

SUMMARY

100 samples of some selected food items (Hamburger, raw sausage, raw milk and soft cheese) were examined for the presence of psychrophilic, mesophilic and themophilic proteolytic microorganisms. Their average counts/g. of the afere mentioned types were $2\times10^{\circ}$, $3\times10^{\circ}$ and $6\times10^{\circ}$ in hamburger, and $6\times10^{\circ}$, $2\times10^{\circ}$ and $5\times10^{\circ}$ in raw susage, respectively. While in raw milk the average counts were $3\times10^{\circ}$, $2\times10^{\circ}$ and $\frac{10}{10}$ and in soft cheese the average counts were $3\times10^{\circ}$, $8\times10^{\circ}$ and $\frac{10}{10}$ and in respectively.

The predominant isolates of proteolytic psychrophiles were, Acinetobacter, Aeromonas hydrophilia, Enterobacter liquefacient, E. coli, Micrococci, Moraxella spp. Pseudomonas and Proteus spp., Proteolytic mesophiles were; B. cereus, B. cereus var. mycoides, B. subtilis, B. megaterium, E. coli, Lactobacill, Proteus spp. and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The proteolytic thermophiles were; the aerobic sporeforming organisms of genus Bacillus; B. cereus, B. cereus var mycoides B. circulans, B. coagulans, B. polymyxa. B. subtilis and B. stearothermophilus.

INTRODUCTION

Deterioration in quality of flesh and dairy foods during storage and after processing is mainly due to the action of spoilage organisms. Several organisms are capable of attacking the largest nitrogen molecules naturally occuring in foods (JAY and KONTOU, 1967; LERKE, et al. 1967). Although storage of these foods under ideal conditions of refrigeration can minimize the proliferation of spoilage flora, the contaminating organisms present can release proteose enzyme which had been reported to bring about adverse changes in freshness characteristices of foods even when such foods stored at-30°C HERBERT, et al. (1971); MARTH and FRAZIER (1975); FRAZIER and WESTHOFF (1978); I.C.M.S.F. (1980); PROZIA and PEARSON (1980) and VENUGOPAL (1984).

Many of psychrophilic, mesophilic and thermophilic organisms are capable of producing proteose enzyme which constitutes one of the most important enzymes hindering the successful use of U.H.T. heat treated milk, in addition to their spoilage problems in processed food industry (CHOPRA and MATHUR, 1983).

^{*} Dept. of Food Hygiene, Fac. of Vet. Med., Assiut Univ.

ABE EL-RAHMAN and AHMED

The present study was conducted to detect the incidence and level of occurrence of proteolytic psychrophiles, mesophiles and thermophiles in some selected food items in Assiut City.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Collection of samples:

100 samples of some selected food items (25 samples each of hamburger, raw sausage, raw milk and soft cheese) were collected from different localities in Assiut City

Enumeration of the total proteolytic count:

The total proteolytic count was determined by plating approplate dilution of the previously prepared food samples on calcium caseinate agar media (FRAZIER and RUPP, 1928 and BRANDT, 1939). The inoculated plates were incubated at 7°C for 10 days for enumeration of proteolytic psychrophiles; at 32°C for 2 days for enumeration of proteolytic mesophiles and at 55°C for 2 days for enumeration of proteolytic thermophiles (ICMSF, 1978). All organisms showed caseinalytic activity characterized by clear hallo zone around the colonies were counted and isolated, then were picked up for pureficaction and further identification.

Identification of isolates:

The isolates were identified according to COWAN and STEEL (1974) and MAC FADDIN (1976).

RESULTS

The results were recorded in table 1, 2, 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

The summarized results given in Table (1) pointed out that the average count of proteoly_tic psychrophiles, mesophiles and thermophiles in the examined samples of hamburger were 2x10, 3x10 and $6x10^3$ and in sausage were $6x10^3$, $2x10^6$ and $5x10^3$; while in the examined samples of raw milk, the averages were $3x10^6$, $2x10^6$ and 10 and in soft cheese the averages were $3x10^6$, $8x10^6$ and 10, respectively. Due to lack of literature concerning the proteolytic organisms in meat products the results obtained in this study can not be compaired with the results of other investigators, while those obtained from the raw milk samples is somewhat higher than those reported by CHOPRA (1982) and NACHEV, et al. (1975).

Proteolytic psychrophiles:

Interpretation of results given in Table (2) revealed that the predominant isolated species among proteolytic psychrophiles gorup were Acinetobacter, Aeromonas hydrophilia, Alcaigenes faecalis, Enterobacter liquefacient, E. coli, Flavobacter spp., Lactobacillus spp., Micrococci, Moraxella app. Proteus rettegeri and Proteus mirabilis. Although psychrophilic bacteria are generally non pathogenic to man, they are considered the most responsible causative organisms of refrigerated food spoilage even when such foods were stored at -30°C (CHOPRA and MATHUR, 1983; I.C.M.S.E. (1980), DUITSCHAVER, et al. (1973) and THEULIN, et al. (1966).

Assiut Vet. Med. J. Vol. 19, No. 38, 1988.

PROTEOLYTIC MICROORGANISMS IN FOODS

Proteolytic mesophiles:

The results given in Table (3) revealed that, the predomnant isolated species among proteolytic mesophiles group were; Aeromonas hydrophilia, Aeromonas var proteolytica, B. cereus, B. cereus var mycoides, B. subtilis, B. megatrium, E. coli, Lactobacillus spp., Flavobacter spp., Micrococcus luteus, Proteus rettegeri and Proteus vulgaris. Mesophilic species are found on foods held at refrigerator temperature, they apparently do not grow at this temperature but do grow at temperatures within the mesophilic range if other conditions are suitable (JAY, 1978).

Proteolytic thermophiles:

The results given in Table (4) revealed that, B. cereus, B. cereus var. mycoides, B. circulans, B. coagulans, B. polymyxa, B. subtilis and B. stearothermophilus were the most predominant proteolytic thermophiles which could isolated from the examined food samples.

The sporeforming organisms are widely sistributed in nature and gain enterance to foods during preparation and processing (FOSTER, et al. 1959 and JAY, 1978).

Although microbial growth does not occur in frozen foods held below -10°C, large numbers of proteolytic enzymes remain active at the usual storage temperatures for frozen products, consequently deterioration in quality during frozen storage will occur. Therfore freezing will only slow but not arrest the development of enzymatic spoilage after it has begun (PETERSON and GUNDERSON, 1960 and ICMSF, 1980).

The use of high het treatments can result in commercially sterile food products, spoilage can occur quite frequently, because the sporeforming organisms characterized by their high heat resistance and their thermostable proteose enzyme which lead to spoilage of such contaminated foods (CHOPRA and MATHUR, 1983).

Therefore, control measures must be adopted to reduce the microbial population, prevention of subsequent contamination, rapid cooling of such foods which suporting microbial growth before storage an finally avoidance of fluctuation in the storage temperatures.

REFERENCES

- Brandt, H. (1939): Die bakteriologische Untersuchung von Butter und ihre Auswirkung. Molkereizeitung Nr. 9: 262-264.
- Chopra, A.K. (1982): Studies on a thermostable proteose isolated dairy products. Ph.D. Thesis. Kurukshetra Uni. India.
- Chopra, A.K. and Mathur, D.K. (1983): Factors affecting proteose production by Bacillus stearothermophilus Rm-67. J. Food protection 46: 1020-1025.
- Cowan and Steel (1974): Mannual for identification of medical bacteria. 2nd Ed. Cambridge Un. Pres., Cambridge London New York, Malborne.
- Duitschaver, C.L.; Arnot, D.R. and Bullock, D.H. (1973): Bacteriological quality of raw refrigerated ground beef. J. Milk Food Technol., 36: 375-377.
- Frazier, W.C. and Rupp, P. (1928): Studies on the proteolytic bacteria of milk. 1: A medium for the direct isolation of caseolytic milk bacteria. J. Bact. 16: 57-63.
- Frazier, W.C. and Westhoff, C. (1978): Food Microbiology, 3rd Ed. TATA Mac Graw-Hill Pub.
 Co. New Delhi.
- Foster, E.; Nelson, E.; Speck, M.; Doetsch, R. and Olson, J. (1958): Dairy Microbiology. Mc millan Co. Ltd. London.

ABE EL-RAHMAN and AHMED

- Herbert, R.A.; Hendie, M.S.; Galson, D.M. and Shewan, J.H. (1971): Bacteria active in spoilage of certain sea foods. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 34: 41.
- I.C.M.S.F. (1978): Microorganisms in foods. 1: Their significance and methods of enumeration.

 2nd Ed. Uni. of Toronto, Press.
- I.C.M.S.F. (1980): Microbiology of foods. Vol. 1: Factors affecting life and death of microorganisms. Academic press. New York, London, Toronto, Sydny, San Francisco.
- Jay, J.M. and Kontou, K.S. (1967): Fate of free amino acids and nucleotides in spoiling beef.

 Appl. Microbiol. 15: 759-764.
- Jay, M.J. (1978): Modern food microbiology. 2nd Ed. Litton Pub. Inc.
- Lerke, P.; Adams, R. and Farber, L. (1965): Bacteriology of spoilage of fish muscle. 111 Characterization of spoilers. Appl. Microbiol. 13: 625-63Q.
- Mac Faddin, J.F. (1976): Biochemical tests for identification of medical Bacteria. Willams and Willkins Co. Baltimore.
- Marth, E.H. and Frazier, W.C. (1975): Bacteriology of milk held at farm bulk cooling tank temperaturs. 111 Psychrophiles found and their growth. J. Milk Food Technol, 20: 93.
- Nachev, L.; Antonova, T.; Kolev, DA.; Kostrukova, P. Daov, T. and Velcheva, O. (1975): Study of bacterial strains producing milk coagulating enzymes. V. Neutral and alkaline proteose of the enzyme complex during fermentation in relation to nitrogen content of the culture medium. Prilozhna Mikrobiologiya. 6: 11-18.
- Peterson, A.C. and Gunderson, M.F. (1960): Some characterics of proteolytic enzyme from Pseudomonas fleorescencs. Appl. Microbiol. 8: 98-104.
- Porzio, M.A. and Pearson, A.M. (1980): Degradation of myofibrils and formation of premeromysin by a neutral proteose produced by Pseudomonas fragi. Food Chem. 5: 195.
- Thieulin, G.; Pantleon, J. and Rosset, R. (1966): Contribution a letude des germs aerobies psychrotrophes des viands hachees. Ann. Inst. Pasteur. Lille, 17: 131.
- Venugopal, V.; Pansare, A.C. and Levris, N.F. (1984): Inhibitory effect of food preservatives on proteose secreation by Aeromonas hydrophilia. J. Food Science. 49: 1078-1081.

Table (1)
Statistical analytical Results of the Total Proteobytic Counts In Some Selected Foods

	Hamburger			Sausage				Milk		Cheese		
	Ps.	Mes.	Therm.	Ps.	Mes.	Therm.	Ps.	Mes.	Therm.	Ps.	Mes.	Therm.
Minimum	/ 10 ²	2×10³	/ 10 ²	2×10 ⁴	2×10 ⁵	4x10²	2×10²	2×10 ⁷	/_10-	/_10 ²	/ 10 ²	<u>/</u> 10
Maximum	5x10 ⁵	5x10 ⁶	6x10 ⁴	3x10 ⁶	9×10 ⁷	2x10 ⁵	2×10 ⁸	4x10 ⁹	2x10 ²	5x10 ⁶	6x10 ⁸	8×10
Mean	2×10 ⁴	3x10 ⁵	6x10 ³	6x10 ⁵	2×10 ⁶	5x10 ³	3x10 ⁶	2×10 ⁸	/ 10	3x10 ⁵	8×10 ⁶	/ 10

Ps. : Psychrophiles.

Mes. : Mesophiles.

Therm : Thermophiles.

PROTEOLYTIC MICROORGANISMS IN FOODS

Table (2)
Proteolytic Psychrophiles

	Hamb	urger	Sau	sage	М	ilk	Cheese	
Proteolytic Psychrophiles	F	%	F [‡] ,	%	F	%	F	0/
of a sentitive and object to the	a de vele	diam's	100			Later	The second	be?
Acinetobacter	10	8.6	2	3.2	nto- <u>r</u> ecti	E	-	-
Aeromonas hydrophilia	10	8.6	3	4.8	3	2.5	5	5.2
Alcaligenes faecalis	5	4.3		al-ug-	6	5.0	8	8.
Citrobacter freundii	3	2.6	1	1.6	4	3.3	7	7.
Enterobacter Liquefacient	4	3.5	2	3.2	15	12.5	10	10.
Escherichia Coli	5	4.3	3	4.8	16	13.3	5	5.
Flavobacter spp.	3	2.6	7	11.0	7	5.8	3	3.
actobacillus casei	out in the	-	ani <u>d</u> is	-	7	5.8	3	3.
. fermenti	2	BU_	3	4.8	5	4.2	6	6.
. buchneri	4	3.5	2	3.2	36-2 4	altinate d	ica - Asi	
. viridescens	2	1.7	1	1.6	45-050		2	2.
Microceccus luteus	2	1.7	5	7.9	8	6.7	10	10
M. roseus	10	8.6	4	6.3	11	9.2	7	7.
M. varians	11	9.5	3	4.8	10	8.3	9	9.
Morexella spp.	12	10.3	7	11.0	-		38 21	
Pseudomonas cepacia	2	1.7	1	1.6	1	0.8	-	
Ps. diminuta	3	2.6	2	3.2	2	1.7	-	
Ps. fragi	2	1.7	2	3.2	-	-	-	
Ps. fluorescens	4	3.5	1	1.6	Singer 1	Nay Tug	in State	
Proteus retegeri	13	11.2	6	9.5	17	14.2	10	10
proteus mirabilis	11	9.5	8	12.7	8	6.7	11	11
Total	116	100	63	100	120	100	96	10

ABE EL-RAHMAN and AHMED

Table (3)
Proteclytic Mesophiles In Some Selected Foods

	Hamb	urger	Saus	age	Milk		Cheese	
Proteolytic mesophiles	F	00	F	20	F	%	F	%
Aeromonas hydrophilia	11	5.7	3	2.5	7	4.5	-	-
" var	4	2.1	2	1.6	1	0.6	6	7.0
proteolytica								
Acinetobacter	7	3.6	3.	2.5	-	-	-	-
Bacillus dereus	20	10.3	16	13.2	11	7.1	5	5.7
B. " var mycoides	17	8.8	2	1.6	13	8.4	2	2.
B. subtilis	26	13.4	19	15.6	15	9.7	6	7.0
B. licheniformis	9	4.6	2	1.6	-	-	-	-
B. megaterium	9	4.6	6	4.9	10	6.5	15	17.2
Citrobacter freundii	-	-	2	1.6	8	5.2	-	-
E. Coli	17	8.8	10	8.2	14	9.0	10	11.5
Enterobacter liquefacient	4	2.1	2	1.6	7	4.5	3	3.4
Flaobacter Spp.	3	1.5	1	0.8	12	7.7	6	7.0
Lactobacillus Spp.	16	8.2	17	13.9	15	9.7	7	8.0
Micrococcers luteus	8	4.1	2	1.6	10	6.5	71	12.6
Morexella Spp.	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proteus rettegeri	20	10.3	16	13.2	19	12.2	7	8.0
P. vulgaris	17	8.8	10	8.2	13	8.4	9	10.
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	-	-	9	7.4	-		-	-
	194	100	122	100	155	100	87	100

Table (4)
Proteolytic Thermophiles In Some Selected

	Ham	burger	Sau	sage	M	ilk	Ch	Cheese	
Proteolytic Thermophiles	.F	0/	F	%	F	%	F 70	%	
Bacillus cereus	5	5.8	2	4.9	19	18.1			
B. cereus var mycoides	7	8.1	3	7.2	13	12.5	7	10.4	
B. circulans	6	7.0	4	9.8	10	9.5	9	13.4	
B. coagulans	4	4.7	1	2.4	16	15.3	12	18.0	
B. licheniformis	2 -	2.3	4	9.8	10	9.5	4	6.0	
B. megaterium	8	9.3	2	4.9	5	4.8	2	. 3.0	
B. polymyxa	9	10.5	-	- 1	5	4.8	- 1	1.5	
B. pulvifaciens	7	8.1	1	2.4	1	0.9	1	1.5	
B. pumilus	5	5.8	2	4.9	1	0.9	2	3.0	
B. subtilis	18	21.0	12	29.3	13	12.4	10	13.4	
B. stearo thermophilus	15	17.4	10	24.4	12	11.4	5	13.4	
Total	86	100	41	100	105	1000 .	67	100	

				10 Tay 200