## Growth, Chlorophyll, Yield and Tubers Chemical Composition, and Quality Characters of Potato Cv. Spunta as Affected by Planting Dates and Irrigation Regimes

Noura Mohammed Taha<sup>1</sup>, Alaa El-Den Hussein Roshdy<sup>2</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

During the two successive seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 the feild experiment was conducted in Al-Aqwaz, Giza Governorate, aiming to study the effect of three planting dates (1st of December, 15th of December and 1<sup>st</sup> of January) and three irrigation regimes (50, 75 and 100% of the irrigation requirements for each of the selected planting date) on the vegetative growth. chlorophyll, tuber chemical composition (nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus and calcium), tuber yield and its quality parameters (specific gravity, dry matter, protein and starch). The results showed that there were significant effects for the treatments of planting dates and irrigation regimes on all of the studied characters, in both seasons of study. Also, the effect of irrigation regems was more pronounced comparing with the effect of plantting dates on studied characters. However, The planting on the first of December and/or the irrigation with 100% of the irrigation water requirements were the most satisfactory treatments for exhibiting the most favorable vegetative growth, highest yield as well as tuber quality for potato cv. Spunta planted in Al-Aqwaz, Giza Governorate or any other similar areas.

Keywords: potato, planting dates, irrigation, growth, yield, tubers quality

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Potato is one of the most important crops that provide energy and nutrients to humans especially in the low-income countries (Jansky et al., 2019). Concerning potato production, Egypt is occupied the first position in Africa with total production estimated by 4,896,476 ton in 2018 (FAOSTAT)

It is now clear to state that the climatic changes all over the world have become a truth, and this is not possible to misjudge its negative impact on the agricultural sector as well as other social and economic activities, which cannot be underestimated (Omran, 2020).

According to the investigations of GRiSP project that monitoring the Egyptian climatic changes, it is apparent that there is a significant rise in the average

<sup>2</sup>Horticulture Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University,

Damanhour, Egypt. Email: alaa.roshdy@agr.dmu.edu.eg

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9236-1019

Received July 10, 2021, Accepted, August 11, 2021.

of maximum temperature all over Egypt, which estimated by 0.6 °C and 0.4 °C in winter and summer, respectively. Also, they stated that the short dry season, which started in August, has shifted to July, which may lead to shift the starts of other climate seasons (Bouman, 2013). Moreover, it is expected that the average of annual temperature in Egypt will be raised about 1 °C by 2030 (Agrawala et al., 2004).

Consequently, there are many changes in agricultural practices should be considered in response to changes in climate conditions (Omran, 2020). The well-known agriculture practices that subjected to modification due to the climatic changes are planting dates and irrigation requitements (Kang et al., 2009); especially, the planting dates of potato and other crops (Hiimans, 2003; Sacks et al., 2010). There were many literatures discussed the different effects of planting date on the performances of many crops, particularly potato (Ezekiel and Bhargava, 1992; Mansour and Abu El-Fotoh, 2018; Muhammad et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2018). It could be concluded from these studies that the significance of the effect of potato planting date is related to the geographical location in which the experiment is carried out in addition to the used potato cultivar(s).

The changing in planting date, consequently, should modify many other agricultural practices such as irrigation, fertilization, ... etc. that should be take into consideration (Andarzian et al., 2015). Irrigation is well known to be the vital agricultural practice that significantly affect the growth, nutrient uptake, all of physiological process, quantity and quality of yield and its components of the potato plant (Nunes et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2018; Mankotia and Sharma, 2020). This sensitivity of potato to irrigation quantity may be due to its shallow root zone. Also, the soil in which potatoes are usually produced in medium- to coarse-textured, which is characterized by low water holding capacity (King et al., 2020).

DOI: 10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2021.189714

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Horticulture Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Email: dr noura@agr.asu.edu.eg

The aim of this study was to examine the effect of different three planting dates and three levels of irrigation regimes on the growth, tuber yield and the chemical quality of the tubers of potato "Spunta" cultivar.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiment was carried out in privet farm in Al-Aqwaz, Giza Governorate (Latitude 29° 36'N, Longitude 31° 16' E) in of sandy loam soil to study the effect of planting dates and irrigation levels and their interaction on growth, yield and chemical quality of potato tubers during two successive seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020. The meteorological data during this period are listed in Table 1.

#### Treatments

The examined treatments in this investigation included two main factors as follows:

 Planting date: Three planting dates were selected, which were the 1<sup>st</sup> of December, 15<sup>th</sup> of December and 1<sup>st</sup> of January, which were symbolized as PD<sub>1</sub>, PD<sub>2</sub>, and PD<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

Irrigation regime: three selected irrigation regimes: 50, 75 and 100% of the irrigation requirements for each of the selected planting date, which were symbolized as IR<sub>50%</sub>, IR<sub>75%</sub>, and IR<sub>100%</sub>, respectively.

Drip irrigation system was applied. The different irrigation treatments were controlled by manual valves for each hose on the top of the lines in the experimental plot. For each planting date, the total amounts of water for each irrigation treatment in the two seasons are shown in Table 2, which was calculated according to the method stated by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) according to Allen et al. (1998).

#### **Experimental layout and planting**

The experimental design was complete block design in split-plot arrangement with three replicates, in which the main plots were devoted to planting dates and the irrigation treatments occupied the sub-plots. The total area of each sub-plot was 14 m<sup>2</sup> included four rows with 5 m long and 70 cm width for each. Local produced certified potato seed cv. Spunta, with an average size of 100-150 g were obtained from Agric. Res. Center (ARC), Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation (MALR) were planted at different planting dates, a guard row was left between each two sub-plots to protect against interferences.

#### **Recorded data**

#### Vegetative growth

After 85 days of planting, the plant height was recorded, from the base to the terminal growing point. The average number of each of main stems and leaves were calculated as an average of three plants. The main stems diameter was measured using caliper. The potato leaf chlorophyll was determined *in situ* using SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter (Markwell et al., 1995).

## **Tuber chemical composition**

The nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium of the potato tubers were determined according to the laboratory manual of Estefan et al. (2013) where the N content was estimated by Kjeldahl method, each of P, K and Ca was determined spectrophotometrically.

#### Yield and tuber quality

Total tuber yield was calculated by weighing the yield of the plot, then converted into tons per hectare. Concerning the quality properties of potato tubers, the specific gravity of tubers was determined using the equation of Kleinschmidt and Kleinkopf (1984) as follows:

Specific Gravity (SG) =Tuber weight in air/(tuber weight in the air – tuber weight in water)

For determination of dry matter percentage, three whole tubers were randomly selected from each treatment and their fresh weight was measured. After that, the potato tuber samples were cut into small slices, and dryied at 70°C for 72 h in a forced air oven. The dry weight of samples was measured. The following formula was used for determining dry matter percentage (DM%):

Dry matter (%) = (dry weight/fresh weight)  $\times 100$ 

The crude protein of potato tuber was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen content with the factor of 6.25 (Van Gelder, 1981). The potato tuber starch content was estimated as percentage in dry matter basis by the method of Vainio (1968)

#### Statistical analyses

All the optained data were statistically analyzed using CoStat program (COSTAT, 2005). Least Significant Difference test (LSD) was used at 0.05 confidence level to verify the significance between treatments by using the same program.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Vegetative growth characters

Plants grown during the first planting date or irrigated with the high irrigation requirements produced the highest values of plant height, main stem number, main stem diameter, leaf number and chlorophyll of potato cv.

	2	018/2019 seas		2019/2020 season				
	RAIN	TMAX	TMIN		RAIN	Тмах	T <sub>MIN</sub>	
Dec-18	0.03	21.51	10.66	Dec-19	0.05	23.86	11.58	
Jan-19	0.87	19.75	8.51	Jan-20	0.66	18.05	7.31	
Feb-19	0.67	21.53	8.98	Feb-20	0.58	20.65	8.10	
Mar-19	2.33	25.29	11.07	Mar-20	1.80	25.07	10.19	
Apr-19	0.07	27.99	12.30	Apr-20	0.06	29.40	14.18	
May-19	0.00	36.81	17.83	May-20	0.00	33.91	17.94	

Table 1. Monthly average rainfall (mm day<sup>-1</sup>), maximum ( $T_{MAX}$ ) and minimum ( $T_{MIN}$ ) temperatures (°C) for the two growing seasons.

Table 2. Seasonal irrigation requirements (m<sup>3</sup>/faddan) of different water level treatments (percentage of irrigation water requirements) for potato Spunta cultivar in 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Planting dates	2018/2019				2019/2020			
	50%	75%	100%	Mean	50%	75%	100%	Mean
1 <sup>st</sup> Dec.	564.8	622.4	778	655.06	588.9	751.9	814.9	718.56
15 <sup>Th</sup> Dec.	518.9	758.3	948.2	741.8	714.4	819.2	1024	852.53
1 <sup>st</sup> Jan.	779.2	905.9	1132.1	939.91	735.0	980.9	1226.1	980.9
Mean	620.96	762.2	952.8		679.43	850.66	1021.6	

Spunta (Table 3) the interaction between both treatments gave the highest significant mean values of the studied vegetative growth and chlorophyll characters, in both seasons.

Plants grown during the third planting date and/or received the low irrigation requirements gave the lowest values of plant growth and chlorophyll characters in both seasons.

These findings refer to that the planting date significantly affected the growth parameters of potato plants and the planting date of  $1^{st}$  December (PD<sub>1</sub>) is the most suitable planting date more than the ordinary planting date of  $15^{th}$  December (PD<sub>2</sub>). Many other researchers stated the effect of planting dates on growth attributes (Thongam et al., 2017; Mansour and Abu El-Fotoh, 2018; Patel et al., 2018; Meligy et al., 2020). However, the exact planting date is strongly related to the geographical location.

Moreover, the potato plants were found to be more affected by irrigation regimes, which was reflected on their recorded vegetative growth characters. These results are in agreement with those of King et al. (2020) and Fabeiro et al. (2001). Under the climatic conditions of this experiment, the irrigation requirements of potato must not be decreased the at all planting dates because the rainfall in this period cannot reinforce the irrigation regime of potato plants with considerable quantities of water which supposed to complete with irrigation (Table 1). Moreover, the obtained experiment results came to the harmony with those of Maralian et al. (2017); Mansour and Abu El-Fotoh (2018); Ali et al. (2019) and Meligy et al. (2020) who showed that that the 100% of irrigation requirements gave the highest mean values of plant growth and chlorophyll content.

#### **Tuber chemical composition**

In Table 4, showed that the main effects of PDs and IRs were significant for all chemical composition traits e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium in potato tubers. Among the PD treatments, it was clear that the PD<sub>1</sub> treatment resulted in the highest significant mean values of all of the studied elemental composition traits of potato tubers, which was in contrast with those of PD<sub>3</sub>, which gave the lowest mean values, in both seasons of study. the PD1 treatment was resulted in increment percentages of 13.80%, 21.69%, 7.71%, and 19.89% for each of tuber's nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and calcium, respectively as an average of both seasons. As an interpretation of increasing the growth parameters when planted on  $1^{st}$  December (DP<sub>1</sub>), the chemical composition of potato tubers exhibited the highest mean values at the same date comparing with other tested planting dates.

Concerning the IR treatments (Table 4), the full application of IR was found to give significant the highest tubers contents of nitrogen phosphorus, potassium and calcium comparing with the other IR treatments, in both seasons.

	Planting Dates									
Irrigation		2018/	/2019		2019/2020					
regimes	$PD_1$	$PD_2$	PD <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$PD_1$	$PD_2$	PD <sub>3</sub>	Mean		
				Plant he	ight (cm)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	41.41de	37.65e	33.02f	37.36C	38.64de	37.53ef	34.90f	37.03C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	48.67ab	44.10cd	34.14ef	43.30B	46.81b	43.59c	40.53d	43.64B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	52.48a	46.74bc	41.35de	46.85A	50.61a	46.33bc	44.98bc	47.31A		
Mean	47.52A	42.83B	37.17C		45.36A	42.48B	40.14C			
				Main ster	n number					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	3.00c	3.00c	3.00c	3.00C	2.67c	2.67c	2.67c	2.66C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	4.00ab	3.33bc	3.00c	3.44B	3.67a-c	3.00bc	3.33а-с	3.33B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	4.00ab	4.00ab	4.33a	4.11A	4.00ab	3.67а-с	4.33a	4.00A		
Mean	3.67A	3.44A	3.44A		3.44A	3.44A	3.11A			
			Ν	<mark>/Iain stem d</mark> i	iameter (mm	)				
IR <sub>50%</sub>	14.30c	13.27de	13.17e	13.58C	13.78bc	12.96c	12.85c	13.20C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	16.04a	15.00bc	14.25cd	15.10B	15.57ab	14.57ab	13.74bc	14.63B		
IR100%	16.08a	16.01a	15.46ab	15.84A	15.58a	15.41a	15.13a	15.37A		
Mean	15.48A	14.76AB	14.29B		14.98A	14.31AB	13.91B			
				Leaf n	umber					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	62.35bc	59.89bc	56.42c	59.55C	60.22de	59.52ef	53.68f	57.81C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	83.67a	65.67b	62.36bc	70.56B	79.67ab	65.67cd	63.00с-е	69.44B		
IR100%	85.00a	81.33a	65.02b	77.12A	85.33a	75.33b	67.67c	79.11A		
Mean	77.00A	68.96B	61.27C		75.07A	66.84B	61.45C			
				Chlorophy	yll (SPAD)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	50.29bc	45.90d	41.59e	45.93C	47.33b-e	46.00с-е	43.33e	45.56C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	53.95ab	51.05bc	45.35de	50.12B	51.33ab	48.67b-d	45.67de	48.56B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	57.57a	52.64b	47.89cd	52.70A	54.32a	51.33ab	50.25a-c	51.97A		
Mean	53.94A	49.86B	44.94C		51.00A	48.67B	46.42C			

Table 3. The effect of planting dates and irrigation levels on growth chracters and chlorophyll of potato *c.v.* "Spunta" in 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

\*The mean values with the same letters do not differ significantly at 0.05 level.

This preference for  $IR_{100\%}$  treatment was more apparent by calculating the percentages of increase in the mean values of these characters than of those corresponding in  $IR_{50\%}$  treatment, which was estimated by 31.24% for nitrogen, 45.46% for phosphorus, 23.84% for potassium and 48.61% for calcium, as an average of both seasons.

The effect of planting dates and irrigation regimes on chemical composition of potato was also reported by Nunes et al. (2006); Mansour and Abu El-Fotoh (2018); Ali et al. (2019) and Mankotia and Sharma (2020). Moreover, it could be noticed that the increment percentages of most of studied characters due to  $IR_{100\%}$ were found to be higher than those of due PD<sub>1</sub>, which could be due to the vital and well-known role of availability of soil moisture for increasing absorbtion by plant roots (Marschner and Rengel, 2011). Also, the decrease of absorbed of water causing decline in all physiological processes in plant (Fathi and Tari, 2016). It clear that the potato tuber nutrient contents were significantly decreased linearly with decreasing the amount of irrigation water. Also, the results revealed that the highest decreases were found with phosphorus and calcium. Concerning the phosphorus shortage under  $IR_{50\%}$ , it could be due to that the phosphorus is immobile nutrient element in soil and strongly dependent on the degree of the moisture availability in soil where the ability of phosphorus acquisition by plant root declined significantly due to moisture decreasing (Sun et al., 2015). The high decline of calcium content in tubers could be due to that calcium is transmitted entirely through the transpiration flow of plants, which depends on the amount of water absorbed by the plant's roots (Vos and Haverkort, 2007).

The interaction between PDs and IRs, which was found to have significant influence on potato tubers content of nitrogen phosphorus, potassium and calcium, in both seasons of study.

T	Planting Dates									
Irrigation		2018/	/2019		2019/2020					
regimes	$PD_1$	PD <sub>2</sub>	PD <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$PD_1$	PD <sub>2</sub>	PD <sub>3</sub>	Mean		
	Nitrogen (%)									
IR <sub>50%</sub>	2.01d	1.64e	1.56e	1.74C	2.04c	1.81d	1.71e	1.85C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	2.35b	2.23c	2.05d	2.21B	2.35b	2.11c	2.06c	2.17B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	2.67a	2.71a	2.44b	2.60A	2.74a	2.74a	2.39b	2.62A		
Mean	2.34A	2.19B	2.01C		2.37A	2.21B	2.05C			
				Phospho	orus (%)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	0.29ef	0.27fg	0.24g	0.26C	0.21de	0.17f	0.12g	0.17C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	0.38c	0.35d	0.31e	0.34B	0.29c	0.24d	0.20ef	0.24B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	0.47a	0.43b	0.41bc	0.44A	0.38a	0.34b	0.30c	0.34A		
Mean	0.38A	0.35B	0.32C		0.29A	0.25B	0.21C			
				Potassi	um (%)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	2.73d	2.57e	2.51e	2.60C	2.32d	2.23d	2.05e	2.20C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	3.27b	3.13c	3.04c	3.15B	2.75b	2.57c	2.48c	2.60B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	3.49a	3.54a	3.36b	3.46A	2.91a	2.94a	2.71b	2.85A		
Mean	3.16A	3.08B	2.97C		2.66A	2.58B	2.41C			
	Calcium (%)									
IR <sub>50%</sub>	0.79c	0.61d	0.48e	0.63C	0.58d	0.56d	0.44e	0.53C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	0.96b	0.78c	0.77c	0.84B	0.80c	0.73c	0.80c	0.78B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	1.31a	1.25a	0.95b	1.17A	1.16a	1.10a	0.97b	1.08A		
Mean	1.02A	0.88B	0.73C		0.85A	0.80B	0.74C			

Table 4. The main effects of planting dates and irrigation levels and their interaction on nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and calcium of potato *c.v.* "Spunta" tubers in 2018/2109 and 2019/2020 seasons.

\* The mean values with the same letters do not differ significantly at 0.05 level.

Also, the interaction between PD<sub>1</sub> and IR<sub>100%</sub> gave the most favorable increases in tuber chemical composition comparing with PD<sub>3</sub> and IR<sub>50%</sub> interaction, in both seasons of study. Moreover, it was found that the highest interaction of PD<sub>1</sub>+IR<sub>100%</sub> increased the average of both seasons mean-values of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and calcium by 39.58%, 55.49%, 28.82%, and 64.20%, respectively when compared with the corresponding interaction mean-values of PD<sub>3</sub>+IR<sub>50%</sub> that gave the lowest interaction mean values.

### Yield and its quality

According to the mean values of main factors of PDs and IRs (Table 5), there is a clear significant effect of these treatments on all yield and its quality characters of potato tubers, in both seasons. Also, each of PD<sub>1</sub> or IR<sub>100%</sub> exhibited the highest mean values of tubers yield, specific gravity, as well as dry matter, protein and starch percentages, in both seasons of study. Moreover, the potato tubers yield was found to be the most responsive trait to these treatments that were evident in the average percentage increase, which was estimated by 35.44% under PD<sub>1</sub> treatment and by 42.33% under IR<sub>100%</sub> treatment when compared with corresponded mean values of PD<sub>3</sub> and IR<sub>50%</sub>, as an average of both seasons

of study. The results of this context are in agreement with the results of Thongam et al. (2017); Patel et al. (2018) and Meligy et al. (2020). Moreover, Fabeiro et al. (2001) published that in semi-arid climates, the different potato attributes are significantly responded to the amount of irrigation water. In addition, each of King et al. (2020) and Fabeiro et al. (2001) pointed out that the irrigation amounts reflects on growth and nutrients absorption, which exhibited on yield and quality of potato tubers. In addition, Yenagi et al. (2010) found significant variation between characters in response to planting dates due to an advantage of favorable environmental factors for potato yield and its quality traits.

In the case of interaction between planting dates and irrigation regimes on potato tuber yield and its quality characters, the mean values (Table 5) illustrated significant effect of such interaction on all of the characters, in both seasons of study. Also, the most favorable interaction was found to be between PD<sub>1</sub> with  $IR_{100\%}$  comparing with the other combination treatments.

	Planting Dates									
Irrigation		2018/2019				2019/2020				
regimes	$PD_1$	PD <sub>2</sub>	PD <sub>3</sub>	Mean	$PD_1$	PD <sub>2</sub>	PD <sub>3</sub>	Mean		
	Yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )									
IR50%	22.92ef	19.02g	15.14h	19.04C	17.14de	14.95f	11.35g	14.47C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	31.89b	23.75de	20.94fg	25.54B	27.30b	18.52d	15.95ef	20.59B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	38.06a	28.23c	25.35d	30.54A	33.34a	23.01c	21.71c	26.01A		
Mean	30.96A	23.66B	20.49C		25.94A	18.83B	16.33C			
				Specific gra	wity (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )					
IR50%	1.20b	1.08d	0.98f	1.09C	1.08cd	1.02d	0.95e	1.02C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	1.23b	1.13c	1.04e	1.13B	1.6ab	1.06cd	1.04d	1.09B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	1.28a	1.15c	1.05e	1.16A	1.21a	1.11bc	1.07cd	1.13A		
Mean	1.23A	1.12B	1.09C		1.15A	1.06B	1.02C			
				Dry ma	tter (%)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	21.83b-d	20.50de	19.25e	20.53C	22.28bc	19.14d	16.99e	19.47C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	23.33ab	22.56bc	20.97cd	22.29B	23.21b	20.65cd	18.80de	20.89B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	24.88a	23.39ab	21.61cd	23.30A	25.58a	22.76b	18.81de	22.39A		
Mean	23.34A	22.15B	20.61C		23.69A	20.85B	18.20C			
				Prote	in (%)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	12.59d	10.23e	9.75e	10.86C	12.73c	11.29d	10.69e	11.57C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	14.71b	13.92c	12.79d	13.81B	14.67b	13.17c	12.88c	13.57B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	16.67a	16.92a	15.23b	16.27A	17.10a	17.13a	14.94b	16.39A		
Mean	14.65A	13.69B	12.59C		14.83A	13.86B	12.84C			
				Starc	ch (%)					
IR <sub>50%</sub>	55.45b-d	54.27de	53.16e	54.29C	55.85bc	53.06d	51.14e	53.35C		
IR <sub>75%</sub>	56.79ab	56.11bc	54.68cd	55.86B	56.69b	54.41cd	52.75de	54.61B		
IR <sub>100%</sub>	58.18a	56.85ab	55.26cd	56.76A	58.8a	56.28b	52.77de	55.95A		
Mean	56.81A	15.74B	14.37C		57.11A	54.58B	52.22C			

Table 5. The main effects of planting dates and irrigation levels and their interaction on yield, specific gravity, dry matter, protein and starch of potato *c.v.* "Spunta" tubers in 2018/2109 and 2019/2020 seasons.

\* The mean values with the same letters do not differ significantly at 0.05 level.

The average increment percentages of both seasons were found to be 63.09%, 22.47%, 28.11%, 39.50% and 34.18% for each of tuber's yield, specific gravity, dry matter, protein and starch, respectively, compared to the interaction between the third planting date with the lowest irrigation level.

According to the interaction results, it could emphasize the importance of selecting the most suitable combination between planting dates and irrigation regimes for maximizing the profits of potato production, which varies according to the geographical location and their meteorological properties.

## CONCLUSION

According to the abtained results, it could be concluded that among the tested planting dates of potato cv. Spunta, the date of 1<sup>st</sup> December was found to be the most suitable planting date in Giza governorate or any other area similar in its mereological properties. this gives the potato farmers in these areas the ability to cultivate the Spunta potato cultivar earlier than the ordinary date of planting potato in summer season that starts from 15<sup>th</sup> December. Also, it is not recommended to the potato farmers in Egypt to decrease the quantities of the used irrigation water.

## REFERENCES

- Agrawala, S., A. Moehner, M. El Raey, D. Conway, M. Van Aalst, M. Hagenstad, and J. Smith. 2004. Development and climate change in Egypt: focus on coastal resources and the Nile. Organ. Econ. Co-operation Dev. 1: 1–68.
- Ali, R.A.M., M.M. Attia, A.E. Abd El-All, and C. Mark. 2019. Influence of Irrigation Treatments and Humic Acid (HA) Application on Vegetative Growth, Yield, Tuber Quality Water Requirements and Water Utilization Efficiency (WUE) of Potato Plants. J. Plant Prod. Mansoura Univ 10: 793–798.

https://doi.org/10.21608/jpp.2019.60026

- Allen, R.G., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith. 1998. Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper #56, scscourt.org. Rome, Italy.
- Andarzian, B., G. Hoogenboom, M. Bannayan, and M. Shirali, B. Andarzian. 2015. Determining optimum sowing date of wheat using CSM-CERES-Wheat model. J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci. 14: 189–199.
- Bouman, B. 2013. GRiSP Partnership in Motion. Los Baños (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute. 29 p.
- COSTAT. 2005. Version 6.4, Copyright(c), CoHort Software, 798, Lighthouse Ave. PMB 320, Monterey, CA, 93940, U., 2005. Costat.
- Estefan, G., R. Sommer, and J. Ryan. 2013. Methods of Soil, Plant, and Water Analysis: A manual for the West Asia and North Africa region, third. ed, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA). International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Beirut, Lebanon.
- Ezekiel, R., and S.C. Bhargava. 1992. Physiological analysis of growth of potato in relation to planting date. Indian J. Plant Physiol. XXXV: 56–63.
- Fabeiro, C., F. Martín De Santa Olalla, and J.A. De Juan. 2001. Yield and size of deficit irrigated potatoes. Agric. Water Man. 48: 255–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(00)00129-3
- Fathi, A., and D.B. Tari. 2016. Effect of Drought Stress and its Mechanism in Plants. Int. J. Life Sci. 10: 1–6. https://doi.org/10.3126/ijls.v10i1.14509
- Hijmans, R.J. 2003. The effect of climate change on global potato production. Am. J. Potato Res. 80: 271–279. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02855363
- Jansky, S., R. Navarre, and J. Bamberg. 2019. Introduction to the Special Issue on the Nutritional Value of Potato. Am. J. Potato 96: 95–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12230-018-09708-1
- Kang, Y., S. Khan, and X. Ma. 2009. Climate change impacts on crop yield, crop water productivity and food security -A review. Prog. Nat. Sci. 19 (12): 1665-1674 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2009.08.001
- King, B.A., J.C. Stark, and H. Neibling. 2020. Potato Irrigation Management, in: Potato Production Systems. Springer, Switzerland, pp. 417–446.
- Kleinschmidt, G., and G. Kleinkopf. 1984. Specific gravity of potatoes (CIS 609). Moscow Univ. Idaho.
- Kumar, R., R. Sharma, and S.K. Bhatia. 2018. Effect of irrigation and Fertigation levels on growth and yield of potato. IJCS 6: 1458–1462.
- Mankotia, S., and S. Sharma. 2020. Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) Yield, NPK Concentration and Uptake as Influenced by Variable Levels of Drip Irrigation and Fertigation. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 9: 1277– 1289.

- Mansour, F., and H. Abu El-Fotoh. 2018. Effect of planting date, irrigation level and foliar spraying with calcium and boron treatments on potato 1. Plant growth, plant water relationship and plant chemical constituents. J. Prod. Dev. 23: 755–788. https://doi.org/10.21608/jpd.2018.42623
- Maralian, H., S. Nasrollahzadeh, and Nasrollahzadeh, -Safar. 2017. Effect of limited irrigation on potato yield and chlorophyll content, in: 1st International and 5th National Conference on Organic vs. Convetional Agriculture. pp. 1–5.
- Markwell, J., J.C. Osterman, and J.L. Mitchell. 1995. Calibration of the Minolta SPAD-502 leaf chlorophyll meter. Photosynth. Res. 46: 467–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00032301
- Marschner, P., and Z. Rengel. 2011. Nutrient Availability in Soils, in: Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Elsevier Ltd., pp. 315–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384905-2.00012-1
- Meligy, M., A. Abou-Hadid, M. El-Shinawy, and U. El-Behairy. 2020. Impact of Climate Change on Water Requirements and The Productivity on Potato Crop. Egypt. J. Hortic. 47: 57–68. https://doi.org/10.21608/ejoh.2020.27403.1130
- Muhammad, A., I.U. Mohammed, H. Yusuf, N.M. Kwaifa, and M.U. Tanimu. 2018. Yield of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) as Influenced by Variety and Planting Date in the Sudan Savanna Ecological Zone of Nigeria. Int. J. Life. Sci. Sci. Res 4: 1649–1651.
- Nunes, J.C.S., P.C.R. Fontes, E.F. Araújo, and C. Sediyama. 2006. Potato plant growth and macronutrient uptake as affected by soil tillage and irrigation systems. Pesqui. Agropecuária Bras. 41: 1787–1792.
- Omran, E.-S.E. 2020. Exploring Changes in the Agricultural Calendar as a Response to Climate Variability in Egypt. Springer Water, pp. 249–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41629-4\_12
- Patel, C.K., S. Kumar Chongtham, and J.K. Patel. 2018. Growth, yield and productivity of potato as influenced by date of planting and genotypes. Int. J. Agric. Sci. ISSN 9107: 0975–3710. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34013.26089
- Sacks, W.J., D. Deryng, J.A. Foley, and N. Ramankutty. 2010. Crop planting dates: an analysis of global patterns. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 19: 607–620.
- Sun, Y., X. Cui, and F. Liu. 2015. Effect of irrigation regimes and phosphorus rates on water and phosphorus use efficiencies in potato. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 190: 64– 69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.04.017
- Thongam, B., A. Anil Singh, Y. Herojit Singh, A.A. Singh, A.S. Kadam, A.A. Singh, and Y.H. Singh. 2017. Influence of planting dates on growth and yield of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 6: 1243–1246.
- Vainio, K.A. 1968. Determination of starch by iodine colorimetry. Agric. Food Sci. 40: 60–66. https://doi.org/10.23986/afsci.71700
- Van Gelder, W.M.J. 1981. Conversion factor from nitrogen to protein for potato tuber protein, Potato Res. 24 (4): 423-425.

- Vos, J., and A.J. Haverkort. 2007. Water Availability and Potato Crop Performance, in: Vreugdenhil, D. (Ed.), Potato Biology and Biotechnology. Elsevier Science B.V., pp. 333–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044451018-1/50058-0
- Yenagi, B.S., S.S. Meli, and S.S. Angadi. 2010. Effect of row spacing, planting date and nitrogen level on tuber grade, yield and economics of potato. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 17: 220–223.

## الملخص العربى

# النمو، الكلوروفيل، المحصول والتركيب الكميائي والجودة لدرنات البطاطس صنف سبونتا متأثرًا بمواعيد الزراعة ومقننات الري

نورا محمد طه، علاء الدين حسين رشدي

وجود تأثيرات معنوية لمعاملات مواعيد الزراعة ومقننات الري على جميع الصفات المقاسة في موسمي الدراسة. كما كان تأثير مقننات الري أكثر وضوحا مقارنة بتأثير مواعيد الزراعة على الصفات المقاسة. ومع ذلك، فإن الزراعة في الأول من ديسمبر و/أو الري بنسبة ١٠٠٪ من متطلبات مياه الري كانت المعاملات الأكثر تأثيرا لإظهار أفضل أداء لصفات النمو الخضري، وأعلى إنتاجية وكذلك جودة الدرنات لصنف البطاطس اسبونتا الذي تم زراعته بالأقواز بمحافظة الجيزة أو أى مناطق أخرى مماثلة في ظروفها المناخية.

خلال الموسمين المتتاليين ٢٠١٩/٢٠١٨ و ٢٠١٩/٢٠١٨، أجريت التجربة الميدانية في الأقواز بمحافظة الجيزة بهدف دراسة تأثير ثلاثة مواعيد زراعة (١ ديسمبر، ١٥ ديسمبر، ١ يناير) وثلاثة مقننات ري (٥٠، ٥٧ و ١٠٠٪ من متطلبات الري لكل من تواريخ الزراعة المختارة) على النمو الخضري، الكلوروفيل، التركيب الكيميائي للدرنات (النيتروجين، البوتاسيوم، الفوسفور، والكالسيوم)، محصول الدرنات وصفات جودتها (الجاذبية النوعية والمادة الجافة والبروتين والنشا). أظهرت النتائج