# EFFECT OF SOIL AND FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF DIFFERENT DOSES OF NPK FERTILIZERS ON QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum* L.) CROP

Ismail, S. A.; Galal, O. A, M.; and Sarhan, M. G. R\*

\* Soil, Water and Environment, Res. Inst., Agricultural Research Center

# ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were designed in the Farm of Sids Agricultural Reasearch Station, ARC, Beni Swif Governorate, Egypt to study the effect of different doses of N, P, and K through soil and foliar applications and their interactions on wheat plant (Triticum aestivum L.). Three levels of soil NPK applications, i.e., 0.0, 50%, and 100% from its recommended rates (RR) and four foliar spraying treatments were used (without spraying and spraying two, threee and four times). The results revealed that, plant height, dry weight/plant, number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains/spike, grain and straw yields, N, P and K concentration and uptake by grains and/or straw as well as protein percentages in grains were significantly increased by increasing the soil application of N, P and K up to the recommended rates or by spraying the plants four times. Combined 50% RR NPK + foliar spraying four times gave highest values of the abovementioned parameters, equal to those obtained by 100% RR NPK. The highest values of N, P and K uptake by grains and/or straw and protein percentage in grains produced under 100% RR + foliar spraying four times. The weight of thouthand grain significantly increased by increasing soil application rates, while, it did not affect by the number of foliar spraying numbers.

**Key words:** Wheat, Soil application NPK, Foliar application NPK, Growth; yield and its components, Nutrient content

## **INTRODUCTION**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is considered the most important crop in food security prospective. Wheat represents the stable food for more than one third of the world population. In Egypt, wheat provides about 37% of the total calories for the people and 40% of the protein in the Egyptian diet. Also, it is major source of straw for animal fedding. However, the local production of wheat grains (about 8.8 million ton) covers only 60% of local consumption demand which reflect the need to import about 40% of wheat grains from abroad (Abd El-Ghany *et al.*, 2012). Wheat yield can be increased by the use of the recently developed high yielding; lodging and disease resistant varieties, irrigation and use of appropriate amounts of macro and micro-nutrients either as a soil or foliar application.

Primary nutrients are used by plants in comparatively large quantity and often complemented as fertilizers (nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium). The function of nutrients is one of the chief importance in improving quality and productivity of

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 29, No.2, July, 2015

*108* 

cereals which require mineral nutrients in large amounts and continuous inorganic fertilizers consumption which results in micronutreients deficiency, disproportion in physiochemical properties of soil, low production of crops and environmental pullution. For this reasons these mineral are practiced in foliar form. Foliar form of application is most effective when roots are incapable for absorbing required amount of nutrients from soil due to some reasons like high degree of fixation, lack of soil moisture, losses from leaching and low soil temperature.

The beneficial effect of foliar nutrition of N, P and K in mineral form as supplemental or a partial substitution to soil application were repoted by many authors such as Abou El-Nour and Abdel-Maguid (2003) and Afifi *et al.* (2011) on maize, Brar and Brar (2004) on cotton; Shaaaban *et al.* (2009), Yassen *et al.* (2010), Abass and Ali (2011), and Salem and Al- Doss (2014) on wheat, and Afifi *et al.* (2010) on faba bean.

Moreover Rahman *et al.* (2014) mentioned that among fertilizer application methods, one of the most important methods of application is foliar nutrition because foliar nutrients facilitale easy and quick consumption of nutrients by penetrating the stomata of leaf cuticle and enters the cells. It is determined that during crop growth supplementary foliar fertilization increase plants mineral status and improve crop yields. They added that foliar feeding of mineral nutrients at tillering, jointing, booting and varions stages of wheat crop in utilization of nutrients has been shown much effective and increase yield.

With this idea in mind, the experiments were conducted to study the individual effect of foliar applied of NPK and soil applied of NPK and also to study the combined effect of foliar + soil application in growth and yield as well as nutrients status of wheat.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two field trails on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cv Sids 1 were preformed during the consecutive winter seasons of 2013/2014 and 2014/2015 at the Farm of Sids Agricultural Research Station, ARC, Egypt to evaluate the effects of soil and foliar application of different concentrations of NPK on different growth (plant height, cm; dry weight/plant (g); yield comoneds, i.e. number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains/spike and 1000-grain weight, (g); grain and straw yields (ardab/feddan and ton/feddan, respectively) as well N, P and K status. For this purposes, 12 treatments (2 factors) with four replicates for each were done in factorial complete randomized block disgen.

The NPK soil application treatments were 0.0, 50, 100% of its recommended rates, RR (the recommended rates of NPK were 70, 15.5 and 24 kg N, P2O5 and K2O/feddan, respectively). While, NPK foliar application treatments were 0.0; twice at 15 and 35 days; three times at 15, 25 and 35 days and four times at 15, 25, 35 and 45 days after sowing. The soil applications were ammonium nitrate (33.5% N), calcium superphosphate (15.5 P2O5) and potassium sulphate (48% K2O) for N, P, and K, respectively. However, foliar applications were 2% urea solution for nitrogen treatment and 2% KH2PO4 (monopotassium phosphate) solution for both phosphorus and potassium treatments.

EFFECT OF SOIL AND FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF...... 110

A presentative surface soil sample were taken from the field of each experiment were taken and particle size distribution was determined according to Klute (1986) and some chemical properties were determined according to Page *et al.* (1982) and listed in Table (1).

Wheat grains were sowing in plots (plot area was  $10.5 \text{ m}^2 = 1/400 \text{ feddan}$ ) in November  $13^{\text{th}}$  and  $20^{\text{th}}$  in the two seasons, respectively. Soil application treatments of P and K were added before sowing, during land preparation as calcium superphosphate (15.5 P2O5) and potassium sulphate (48 % K2O), respectively. While soil nitrogen application was added at two equal doses before the first and the second irrigation. At maturity, wheat plants were harvested in May and plant growth and yield and its components were recorded. Samples of grain and straw were taken for determine its content of N, P and K according to Chapman and Pratt (1978). Then the NPK uptake by grains and straw were calculated. Standard cultural practice for growing wheat in district were adapted.

studied soli Delore sowii	ig.	
Soil properties	First season	Second season
Physical properties:		
Particle size distribution:		
Clay (%)	53.04	53.85
Silt (%)	31.85	29.39
Sand (%)	15.11	16.76
Texture grade	Clay	Clay
Chemical properties:		
pH (1:2.5 soil-water suspension)	8.11	8.06
EC, soil paste (ds $m^{-1}$ )	1.11	1.23
Organic matter (%)	1.66	1.75
CaCO3 (%)	2.75	3.35
Available N (ugg <sup>-1</sup> )	29.71	25.79
Available P (ugg <sup>-1</sup> )	12.54	11.82
Available K (ugg <sup>-1</sup> )	186.00	187.00

 Table 1: Partical size distribution and some chemical properties of the studied soil before sowing.

Data were subjected to statistical of variance, and means of treatments and were compared by LSD at 5% level of significance according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### **<u>1. Plant growth</u>**

Data in Table 2 show that the method of fertilizers application exhibited a significant variation in their plant height and dry weight of wheat plants in both seasons of study. As for soil application, the tallest and heaviest plants were given by adding NPK at 100% from its recommended rates which surpassed that received 0.0 and 50% from its recommended rates by about 34.4 and 9.0% in the first season, and 34.0 and 9.2% in the second one. The corresponding values for wheat dry weight were 46.4 and 15.3% in the first season and 44.9 and 14.1% in second one. This explained by the fact that among the essential nutrients needed by the plant, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium play a highly recognized role in plant life. An adequate

supply of these nutrients greatly improves the quality and quantity of productivity (Jamal and Chaudhary, 2007). These results are in similar to those obtained by Galal (2007) and Ali *et al.* (2009) who reported that wheat plant growth was significantly responded to NPK fertilization.

Concerning the foliar application the results clearly show that both plant height and dry weight were markedly improved by adding N, P and K as foliar application. It is obvious to notice that as increasing the times of foliar application, the values of plant height and dry weight were increased. Maximum plant height and dry weight were recorded in those plots received mixture of N, P and K solution as foliar spray four times, while minmum values of the two growth parameters were recorded on that without foliar application. This may be attributed to foliar feeding of nutrient may actually promote root aborbition of the same nutrient (Oosterhuis, 1998 and Soepardi, 1998) or other nutrients through improving root growth and increasing nutrients uptake (EL-Fouly and El-Sayed, 1997). The positive effect of foliar application of NPK on wheat growth were reported by many authors such as Al-Qurashi (2005) and Rahman *et al.* (2014).

Soil	Foliar application of	Plant hei	ght (cm)	Dry weigh	t/plant (g)
application of NPK (A)	NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015
	0.0	70.8	72.6	2.53	2.60
0.0	Twice times	77.2	78.1	2.80	2.89
0.0	Three times	80.6	81.9	2.95	3.02
	Four times	86.4	87.2	3.20	3.31
	Mean	78.8	80.0	2.87	2.96
500/ C NIDIZ	0.0	87.6	88.1	3.16	3.30
50% of NPK	Twice times	95.7	95.6	3.41	3.50
Recommended	Three times	100.1	101.7	3.83	3.89
rate (50% RR)	Four times	105.2	107.3	4.25	4.35
	Mean	97.2	98.2	3.67	3.76
1000/ CND17	0.0	105.2	107.0	4.11	4.33
100% of NPK	Twice times	106.8	107.2	4.22	4.25
Recommended	Three times	105.1	106.8	4.29	4.29
rate (100% RR)	Four times	106.4	107.7	4.30	4.30
	Mean	105.9	107.2	4.23	4.29
	0.0	87.9	89.2	3.27	3.41
Mean of foliar	Twice times	93.2	93.6	3.48	3.55
application	Three times	95.3	96.8	3.69	3.73
	Four times	99.3	100.7	3.92	3.99
L.S.	D. at 5%				
	(A)	2.6	3.1	0.20	0.25
	( <b>B</b> )	1.1	1.6	0.08	0.09
(	A x B)	3.8	4.05	0.28	0.38

Table 2: Effect of soil and foliar application of NPK on plant growth.

As for the interaction effect, the data clearly reveal that added 50% RR of NPK plus spraying NPK solution four time yielded plant height and dry weight significantly equal to those fertilized with 100% RR. On the other hand the plant without NPK addition whether soil or foliar application exerted the shortest and

## 2. Yield components

Results in Table 3 indicate that number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains/spike and 1000-grain weight were significantly affected by fertilizer doses as soil application, where higher soil application at its recommended rate gave higher values for these parameters in both seasons. The increasing percentages of these parameters due to 100% RR reached to 14.3 and 4.4, 35.6 and 8.5, and 29.2 and 14.4% comparing to 0.0 and 50% RR, in the first season, respectively. The same trends were obtained for the second season. This is mainly due to N, P and K are major element found in plant; N combined with C, H, O, and sometimes S to form amino acids, amino enzymes, nucleic acids, chlorophyll, alkaloids and purine bases, while P is a component of certain enzymes and proteins, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), ribonucleic acids (RNA), deoxyribonucleic acids (DNA), and phytin. Furthermore, K involved in maintaining the water status of the plant and the turgor pressure of its cells and the opening and closing of its stomata. Potassium is required in the accumulation and translocation of newly formed carbohydrates. For these functions of N, P and K in plant nutrition, adding these elements in adequate doses improved growth as well as yield components of wheat (Jones et al., 1990). These results are in harmony with those obtained by Salwau (1994) and Jamal and Chaudhary (2007) and Shaaban et al. (2009).

Soil Foliar		No. of s	pikes/m²	No. of gra	ains/spike	1000-grai	n weight (g)
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015
	0.0	270.1	273.3	50.3	50.4	34.01	34.03
0.0	Twice times	277.3	279.6	58.2	58.6	34.26	34.10
0.0	Three times	282.6	286.0	62.5	63.0	34.52	34.09
	Four times	290.6	293.3	66.3	67.7	34.69	34.11
Μ	lean	280.1	283.1	59.3	59.9	34.37	34.08
50% of NPK	0.0	292.1	294.5	67.7	68.2	38.77	38.79
Recommend	Twice times	305.5	306.9	72.1	73.6	38.76	38.77
ed rate (50%	Three times	310.1	312.3	76.3	77.4	38.81	38.69
RR)	Four times	319.6	322.0	80.4	80.8	38.81	38.75
Μ	lean	306.8	308.9	74.1	75.0	38.79	38.75
100% of	0.0	320.2	322.7	80.2	80.7	44.36	44.43
NPK		320.1	321.9	80.6	80.5	44.39	44.41
Recommend	Twice times	319.8	322.1	80.2	80.6	44.41	44.52
ed rate	Three times Four times	320.5	321.8	80.4	80.6	44.39	44.56
(100% RR)	r our times						
Μ	lean	320.2	322.1	80.4	80.6	44.39	44.48
Mean of	0.0	294.1	296.8	66.1	66.3	39.05	39.08
foliar	Twice times	301.0	302.8	70.3	70.9	39.14	39.09
	Three times	304.2	306.8	73.0	73.7	39.25	39.10
application	Four times	310.2	312.4	75.7	76.4	39.30	39.14
L. S. I	D. at 5%						
(	(A)	2.16	2.20	1.13	1.20	1.01	1.16
(	<b>B</b> )	0.96	0.97	0.36	0.42	N. S.	N. S.
(A	<b>x B</b> )	3.19	3.21	2.66	2.79	N. S.	N. S.

Table 3: Effect of soil and foliar application of NPK on yield components.

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 29, No.2, July, 2015

Concerning foliar application, the data in Table 3 reveal that NPK foliar application has positive effect on the studied yield component parameters, except 1000-grain weight. Irrespective of soil application the highest number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and number of grains/spike were obtained from those plots sprayed with 2% N, P and K four times. On the other hand the minimum number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and number of grains/spike wer recorded in plots without NPK foliar application in both seasons. This might be due to foliar application of N, P and K solution enhance plant height and dry wheat of plant as discussed earlier, which in turn resulted in maximum number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and number of grains/spike (Gul *et al.*, 2011). These results agree with those obtained by Rogalski (1994) and Abd El-Ghany *et al.* (2012).

Regarding the interaction effect, the results reveal the combined foliar + soil applied treatments gave higher values of number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and number of grains/spike. The highest values of the two parameters were obtained under the treatment of 100% RR alone or in combined with foliar spray at any of the different times. Also, it is obvious to notice that 50% RR as soil application + 2% NPK as foliar spraying at four times exerted highest number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and number of grains/spike significantly equal to those produce under 100% RR NPK treatments. It is worthy to mention that number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and number of grains/spike are actually determined the yield of wheat. The results are in line with those obtained by Jamal and Chaudhary (20007) and Gul *et al.* (2011).

### 3. Grain and straw yields

It is quite clear from the data presented in Table 4 that addition of N, P and K at its recommended rates as soil application increased grain and straw yields in both seasons. This increments reached to 21.9 and 100%; and 8.2 and 45.2% over 50% RR and 0.0% RR in the first season, respectively. The corresponding inceases for grain and straw yields in the second season were 7.1 and 74.6%; and 8.9 and 50% in the obovementined respect. This may be attributed to the fact that N, P and K are the most important nutrients for the plants which needed it in large amounts, so their application showed marked positive effect on growth and yield components of wheat (Tables 2 and 3), consequantly increased both grain and straw yields. The present results are in line with the results of Ali *et al.* (2009) and Rahman *et al.* (2014).

Soil	Foliar	Grain yield (	ardab/feddan)	Straw yield	d (ton/feddan)
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015
	0.0	10.3	10.5	3.13	3.27
0.0	Twice times	12.4	12.5	3.51	3.54
0.0	Three times	13.6	13.7	3.83	3.70
	Four times	14.9	15.1	4.14	4.07
Mea	n	12.8	13.0	3.65	3.65
500/ £ NDV	0.0	17.1	17.3	4.40	3.53
50% of NPK Recommended	Twice times	19.1	19.4	4.73	4.81
	Three times	22.0	22.3	5.01	5.14
rate (50% RR)	Four times	25.6	25.8	5.44	5.65
Mea	n	21.0	21.2	4.90	5.03
100% of NPK	0.0	25.5	25.7	5.32	5.44
Recommended	Twice times	26.1	25.8	5.30	5.52
	Three times	25.3	25.4	5.26	5.41
rate (100% RR)	Four times	25.6	25.7	5.33	5.56
Mea	n	25.6	22.7	5.30	5.48
	0.0	17.6	17.8	4.28	4.41
Mean of foliar	Twice times	19.2	19.2	4.51	4.62
application	Three times	20.3	20.5	4.70	4.75
	Four times	22.0	22.2	4.97	5.09
L. S. D.	at 5%				
(A	)	2.9	2.8	0.25	0.26
( <b>B</b>	)	1.6	1.4	0.06	0.07
(A x	<b>B</b> )	3.3	3.1	0.37	0.29

With regard to the foliar application effect, the results clearly show that wheat grain and straw yields were significantly responded to add NPK as foliar application. Both grain and straw yields were graudually increased as the number of foliar spraying increased in both seasons. The wheat plants sprayed with NPK solutions four times produced grain and straw yields increased than that sprayed 3, 2 and 0.0 times by about 5.3, 14.6 and 25; and 5.7, 10.2 and 16.1% in the first season, respectively. Similar trend were obtained in the second season. The positive effect of foliar application of N, P and K on grain and straw yields is mostly due to the effect of these method on growth and yield components as mentioned former, which in turn increased grain and straw yields.

As the interaction effect, the results reveal that combined 50% RR +foliar spray of NPK four times gave grain and straw yields significantly equal to those produce under 100% RR with or without foliar application which meaning the possibility of supplement 50% from the recommened rates of N, P and K by spray 2% of N, P and K four times. On the other hand wheat plants without N, P and K fertilization, whether soil or foliar application recorded the lowest grain and straw yields. It is obvious to notice that using foliar method was not significantly affected grain or straw yield under 100% RR, which may be prove the non importance of the additional foliar application after soil addition of recommended dose of fertilizers. These finding are similar to those obtained by El-Ghamry *et al.* (2009), Parvez *et al.* (2009) and Wazir *et al.* (2011).

# *Ismail, S. A., et al.* <u>4. Nutrient contents and protein percentage</u>

Data in Tables 5 and 6 presented the effect of soil and foliar application of N, P and K on N, P and K concentration in both grains and straw as well as protein percentage in grains. As for the effect of soil application, the results clearly show that grain and straw N, P and K concentrations as well as protein percentage had a linear relationship with N, P and K rates, where NPK at its recommended rates gave the highest values of these nutrient concentrations in both seasons. Comparing with 0.0 and 50% RR, additional N, P and K fertilizers at the full recommended rates increased N, P and K contents in grains by about 25.2 and 8.9; 57.1 and27.9; and 53.3 and 25.5% in grains in the first season, respectively. Similar results were noticed in the second season for grains and the two seasons for straw. These results support the importance of N, P and K nutrients, especially at large amounts. Similar results were obtained by Ismail *et al.* (2014).

Table 5: Effect of soil and foliar application of NPK on N, P and K concentrations as well as protein (%) in grains.

concentrations as well as protein (70) in grams.									
Soil	Foliar	N	1%	Р	%	K	%	Protein%	
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015
	0.0								
	Twice	1.01	1.00	0.30	0.28	0.41	0.43	6.31	6.25
0.0	times	1.06	1.04	0.33	0.31	0.44	0.46	6.63	6.50
0.0	Three	1.09	1.08	0.36	0.34	0.46	0.49	6.81	6.75
	times	1.12	1.11	0.39	0.37	0.49	0.52	7.00	6.94
	Four times								
Mea	n	1.07	1.06	0.35	0.33	0.45	0.48	6.69	6.61
	0.0								
50% of NPK	Twice	1.16	1.14	0.41	0.40	0.51	0.53	7.25	7.13
S0% of NPK Recommended	times	1.20	1.21	0.44	0.42	0.53	0.55	7.50	7.56
rate (50% RR)	Three	1.25	1.23	0.46	0.44	0.56	0.59	7.81	7.69
rate (50% KK)	times	1.30	1.31	0.49	0.46	0.58	0.62	8.13	8.19
	Four times								
Mea	n	1.23	1.22	0.45	0.43	0.55	0.57	7.67	7.64
	0.0								
100% of NPK	Twice	1.31	1.33	0.52	0.50	0.62	0.64	8.19	8.31
Recommended	times	1.33	1.35	0.54	0.52	0.67	0.68	8.31	8.44
rate (100%	Three	1.35	1.37	0.56	0.54	0.71	0.74	8.44	8.56
RR)	times	1.37	1.39	0.58	0.56	0.75	0.78	8.56	8.69
	Four times								
Mea	n	1.34	1.36	0.55	0.53	0.69	0.71	8.38	8.50
	0.0								
	Twice	1.16	1.16	0.41	0.39	0.51	0.53	7.25	7.23
Mean of foliar	times	1.20	1.20	0.44	0.42	0.55	0.56	7.48	7.50
application	Three	1.23	1.23	0.46	0.44	0.58	0.61	7.69	7.67
	times	1.26	1.27	0.49	0.46	0.61	0.64	7.90	7.94
	Four times								
L. S. D. a									
(A)		0.06	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.37	0.43
(B)		0.04	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.25	0.26
(A x ]	B)	N. S.							

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 29, No.2, July, 2015

EFFECT OF SOIL AND FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF...... 116 Table 6: Effect of soil and foliar application of NPK on N, P and K concentrations in straw

	concentrations in straw								
Soil	Foliar	N%	/o	P%	6	K	%		
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015		
	0.0	0.29	0.28	0.08	0.07	1.11	1.13		
0.0	Twice times	0.32	0.31	0.09	0.09	1.19	1.21		
0.0	Three times	0.36	0.35	0.10	0.10	1.25	1.26		
	Four times	0.40	0.38	0.12	0.11	1.29	1.32		
М	ean	0.34	0.33	0.10	0.09	1.21	1.23		
50% of NPK	0.0	0.41	0.40	0.11	0.10	1.34	1.36		
	Twice times	0.44	0.43	0.13	0.12	1.38	1.39		
Recommended rate (50% RR)	Three times	0.47	0.46	0.14	0.13	1.42	1.44		
rate (50% KK)	Four times	0.50	0.50	0.15	0.15	1.49	1.52		
M	ean	0.46	0.45	0.13	0.13	1.41	1.43		
100% of NPK	0.0	0.51	0.50	0.15	0.14	1.49	1.53		
Recommended	Twice times	0.54	0.52	0.16	0.15	1.53	1.55		
rate (100%	Three times	0.57	0.55	0.18	0.17	1.57	1.59		
RR)	Four times	0.61	0.60	0.20	0.19	1.61	1.63		
Μ	ean	0.56	0.54	0.17	0.16	1.55	1.58		
	0.0	0.40	0.39	0.11	0.10	1.31	1.34		
Mean of foliar	Twice times	0.43	0.42	0.13	0.12	1.37	1.38		
application	Three times	0.47	0.45	0.14	0.13	1.41	1.43		
	Four times	0.50	0.49	0.17	0.15	1.46	1.49		
L. S. I	). at 5%								
(	A)	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.11	0.13		
(	<b>B</b> )	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03		
(A	x B)	N. S.							

Considering the foliar application, data reveal that N, P and K concentrations in both grains and straw were positively and graudually responded the times of foliar spraying treatments. The relative increasing of N, P and K concentrations in grains reached to 8.6, 5.0 and 2.4%; 19.5, 11.4 and 6.5%; and 19.6, 10.9 and 5.2% in the first season, respectively. The corresponding values for straw in the first season were 25.0, 16.3 and 6.4; and 54.5, 30.8 and 21.4; and 11.5, 6.6 and 3.5% in the abovementioned order. Similar trends were obtained for grains and straw in the second season. This is may be due to the stimulating effect of the N, P and K solution through improving the physiological performance of plants and multiple advantage of foliar application method such as, rapid and efficient response to plant needs, less product needed and independence of soil conditions (Yildirim et al., 2007). These results are similar to those obtained by Kolota and Osinka (2001) and Yasseen et al. (2010). Furthermore, protein percentage had similar trends as the effect of N, P and K addition on N percentages whether soil or foliar application, since protein percentage calculated as multiplyed nitrogen percentage in grains by 6.25. The higher N, P and K application as soil or foliar method, the higher is protein percentage in grains.

As for the interaction, the data reveal that N, P and K percentages in grains or straw as well as protein percentage in grains did not affect by the interaction between the two studied treatments. This meaning that as increasing fertilizers rate whether soil or foliar application, the nutrient concentrations and protein percentage increased. It is obvious to mention that wheat grain and straw

yields did not respond to foliar spraying of N, P and K solutions under supplied wheat plants with the recommended rates of N, P and K fertilizers, while protein percentage increased as N, P and K fertilizers increased, whether as soil or foliar methods. Similar results were obtained by Yasseen *et al.* (2010).

#### 5. N, P and K uptake

Data in Tables 7, 8 and 9 show the effect of soil and foliar application of N, P and K on N, P and K uptake by grains and/or straw. Remarkable increases in nutrients uptake by grains and/or straw were observed due to increasing soil application of N, P and K up to the recommended rate. The increment of total N, P and K uptake due to additional of 100% RR reached to 142.8, 189.7 and 105.3%, respectively in the first season. The corresponding values for the second season were 148.7, 228.1 and 109.2% in the same abovementioned order. This mostly explained by the positive effect of soil application of fertilizers on both grain and straw yields as well as its effect on nutrient concentrations in grains and straw, since nutrient uptake calculated by myltiplying grains or straw yield by its nutrient concentration. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Ismail *et al.* (2014).

Table 7: Effect of soil and foliar	application	of NPK	on N, P	and K upt	take
(kg/feddan) by grains.					

(***	(Kg/icudai) by grains.								
Soil	Foliar	I	N	I	2	H	K		
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015		
	0.0	15.69	15.66	4.61	4.49	6.45	6.73		
0.0	Twice times	19.70	19.83	6.17	5.96	8.30	8.63		
0.0	Three times	22.23	22.36	7.46	7.03	9.25	10.22		
	Four times	24.96	25.26	8.82	8.42	11.10	11.71		
Me	an	20.64	20.78	6.77	8.63	8.78	9.32		
50% of NPK	0.0	29.35	29.53	10.45	10.62	13.16	13.79		
Recommende	Twice times	34.43	33.05	12.73	12.36	15.27	16.16		
d rate (50%	Three times	40.87	40.96	15.26	14.96	18.31	19.63		
RR)	Four times	49.75	50.44	18.73	17.99	22.34	23.77		
Me	an	38.60	38.50	14.29	13.98	17.27	18.34		
100% of NPK	0.0	50.23	51.33	19.93	19.42	23.82	24.39		
Recommende	Twice times	52.07	52.11	21.36	20.37	26.40	26.11		
d rate (100%	Three times	52.19	52.35	21.44	20.46	27.12	28.31		
RR)	Four times	52.75	53.46	22.36	21.63	28.96	29.78		
Me	an	51.81	52.31	21.27	20.47	26.58	27.15		
Mean of	0.0	31.76	32.17	11.66	11.51	14.48	14.97		
foliar	Twice times	35.40	35.00	13.42	12.90	16.66	16.97		
application	Three times	38.43	38.56	14.72	14.15	18.26	19.39		
application	Four times	42.49	43.05	16.64	16.01	20.80	21.75		
L. S. D	. at 5%								
(4	<b>A</b> )	3.75	4.03	2.25	2.02	2.65	2.85		
(1	B)	1.13	1.26	0.78	0.63	1.13	1.39		
(A :	x B)	4.26	4.85	4.10	3.96	4.06	4.35		

It is also noticed from the data presented in Tables 7, 8 and 9 that nutrients uptake in grains and/or straw were significantly increased by increasing the time of spraying. Spraying plants with 2% N, P and K solutions four times gave 35.5, 21.2 and 11.3; 46.3, 26.4 and 13.6; 30.8, 18.7 and 10.0%

(Kg/icutal) by straw.									
Soil	Foliar	N	I	]	P	1	X		
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015		
	0.0	9.13	9.33	2.55	2.34	34.15	36.79		
0.0	Twice times	11.35	10.91	3.36	3.27	41.58	42.93		
0.0	Three times	13.70	12.76	3.95	3.76	47.96	46.55		
	Four times	16.66	15.69	4.78	4.53	53.37	53.67		
М	ean	12.71	12.17	3.66	3.48	44.27	44.99		
500/ -6 NDV	0.0	17.92	18.37	4.76	4.61	58.91	61.49		
50% of NPK	Twice times	20.73	20.81	6.21	5.82	65.16	66.92		
Recommended	Three times	23.36	23.75	7.34	6.63	71.40	73.89		
rate (50% RR)	Four times	27.01	28.06	8.26	8.56	81.25	85.67		
М	ean	22.26	22.75	6.64	6.41	69.18	71.99		
100% of NPK	0.0	27.36	27.11	8.03	7.83	79.55	83.36		
Recommended	Twice times	28.57	28.83	8.32	8.34	81.17	85.62		
rate (100%	Three times	29.76	29.84	9.27	9.31	82.66	86.27		
RR)	Four times	32.19	33.43	10.71	10.43	85.70	90.49		
M	ean	29.47	29.80	9.08	8.98	82.27	86.44		
	0.0	18.14	18.27	5.11	4.93	57.54	60.55		
Mean of foliar	Twice times	20.22	20.18	5.96	5.81	62.64	65.16		
application	Three times	22.27	22.12	6.85	6.57	67.34	68.90		
	Four times	25.29	25.73	7.92	7.84	73.44	72.05		
L. S. I	). at 5%								
(	A)	3.36	3.76	1.34	1.19	7.38	8.67		
(	<b>B</b> )	0.65	0.77	0.26	0.27	1.16	1.38		
(A	<b>x B</b> )	6.59	7.06	3.08	3.16	8.94	10.16		

Table 8: Effect of soil and foliar	application of N	NPK on N, P	and K uptake
(kg/feddan) by straw.			_

The statistical analysis of the data in Tables 7, 8 and 9 reveal that nutrient uptake did not responded to the interaction between the two studied treatments. In general, the highest values of N, P and K uptake by grains and/or straw were recorded for the plants received 100% RR as soil application + N, P and K application as foliar spraying four times. Meanwhile, the plants without N, P and K as soil or foliar application exerted the low nutrients uptake.

# CONCLUSION

Results presented have confirm the beneficial use of foliar N, P and K fertilization in wheat, which sprayed wheat plants with 2% of N, P and K solutions four times plus N, P and K at 50% RR gave quality and quantity of wheat production equal to those obtained when supplied the plants with 100% from its N, P and K recommended rates. This meaning that it could save about 50% RR of NPK fertilizers. Also, using foliar application under fertilized wheat plants with 100% from its recommended rates improve the quality of grains by increasing protein percentage.

Soil	Foliar	ľ	N	]	2	I	K
application of NPK (A)	application of NPK (B)	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015	2013/2014	2014/2015
	0.0	24.75	24.91	7.25	6.89	40.63	43.39
0.0	Twice times	31.17	30.82	9.60	9.31	49.72	51.51
0.0	Three times	35.91	35.26	11.47	10.83	57.29	56.83
	Four times	41.58	40.96	13.58	12.91	64.38	65.41
Me	an	33.35	32.99	10.48	8.99	53.01	54.29
50% of NPK	0.0	47.22	48.15	15.26	15.36	72.13	75.33
	Twice times	55.30	53.77	18.90	18.23	80.47	83.23
Recommended	Three times	64.21	64.82	22.63	21.61	89.56	90.25
rate (50% RR)	Four times	76.83	78.46	26.85	26.50	103.45	109.16
Me	an	60.89	61.3	20.91	20.43	86.40	89.49
100% of NPK	0.0	77.44	78.41	27.79	27.11	103.16	107.86
Recommended	Twice times	80.57	80.79	29.75	28.93	107.66	111.65
rate (100%	Three times	81.81	82.31	30.71	29.94	109.83	114.72
RR)	Four times	84.03	86.72	33.19	32.01	114.58	120.11
Me	an	80.96	82.06	30.36	29.50	108.81	113.59
	0.0	49.80	50.49	16.77	16.45	71.97	75.53
Mean of foliar	Twice times	55.68	55.13	19.42	18.82	79.28	82.13
application	Three times	60.64	60.80	21.60	20.79	85.56	87.27
	Four times	67.48	68.71	24.54	23.81	94.14	98.23
L. S. D.	. at 5%						
(A	<b>A</b> )	3.49	4.10	1.99	1.69	7.86	8.06
(I	<b>B</b> )	1.36	1.147	0.79	0.71	2.67	2.86
(A )	<b>(B)</b>	7.54	8.13	4.19	4.03	9.05	9.16

 Table 9: Effect of soil and foliar application of NPK on total N, P and K uptake (kg/feddan) by wheat plants.

# REFERENCES

- Abbas, M. K. and A. S. Ali (2011). Effect of foliar application of NPK on some growth characters of two cultivars of roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.). American J. of Plant Physiology, 6: 220-227.
- Abd El-Ghany, H. M.; A. M. El-Saady and R. Kh. M. Khalifa (2012). Evaluation of some new durum wheat genotypes for growth, yield and micronutrients use efficiency under sandy soil conditions. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Sci., 6(10): 494-499.
- Abou El-Nour, E. A. A. and A. A. Abdel-Maguid (2003). Foliar feeding of NPK as partial substitution of soil applied fertilizers for improving corn growth and decrease pollution. Al-Azhar J. Agric. Res., 38: 117-128.
- Afifi, M. H. M.; F. M. Mohamed and S. H. A. Shaaban (2010). Yield and nutrient uptake of some faba bean varieties grown in newly cultivated soil as affected by foliar application of of humic acid. J. of Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 1(1): 137-145.
- Afifi, M. H. M.; R. Kh. M. Khalifa and C. Y. El-Dewing (2011). Urea foliar application as a foliar substitution of soil-applied nitrogen fertilization for maize cultivars in newly cultivated soil. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Sci.

EFFECT OF SOIL AND FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF...... 120

- Ali, M. E.; S. A. Ismail; O. H. M. El-Hussieny, and A. M. Abd El-Hafeez (2009). Effect of organic manure and some macro and micro nutrients on wheat grown on a sand soils (1. yield potentiality). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 34 (3): 2397-2407.
- Al-Qurashi, A. D. S. (2005). The effect of foliar fertilization of NPK on early growth and nutrient concentrations of guava (Pisidium guajava L.) plants. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 36: 121-128.
- Brar, M. S. and A. S. Brar (2004). Foliar nutrition as a supplement to soil fertilizer application to increase yield of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). Indian J. of Agric. Sci., 74(8): 472-475.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Pratt (1978). Methods of Analysis of Soils, Plants, and Water. Univ. Calf., Dep. of AGRIC. Sci. USA, pp: 320.
- El-Defan, T. A. A.; H. M. A. El-Kholi; M. G. M. Rifaat; and A. E. A. Allah (1999). Effect of soil and foliar application of potassium on yield and mineral content of wheat grains grown in sandy soils. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. 77: 2, 513-522.
- **El-Fouly, M. M. and A. A. El-Sayed (1997).** Foliar fertilization: An environmentally friendly application of fertilizers. Dahlia Greidinger International Symposium on "Fertilization and Environment" 24-27 March, Haifa, Israel, John, 1. (ed.): 346-357.
- El-Ghamry, A. M.; A. M. El-Hamid, and A. M. Mosa (2009). Effect of farmyard manure and foliar application of micronutrients on yield characteristic of wheat grown on salt affected soil. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 5: 460-465.
- Galal, O. A. M. (2007). Studies on balanced fertilization of wheat plant. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Benha Univ., Egypt..
- Gul, H.; A. Said; B. Saeed; F. Mohammad, and I. Ahmed (2011). Effect of foliar application of nitrogen, potassium and zinc on wheat growth. ARPN J. of Agric. And Bio. Sci., 6 (4): 56-58.
- Ismail, S. A.; A. M. Abd El-Hafeez; O. A. Galal; and H. A. Awadalla (2014). Impact of some alternative fertilizers such as anhydrous ammonia, humic acid, rock phosphate and feldspar on growth, yield and its components and nutrient uptake of wheat as well as nutrient availability. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., 28 (1): 89-107.
- Jamal, Z. and M. F. Chaudhary (2007). Effect of soil and foliar application of different concentrations of NPK and foliar application of (NH4)2SO4 on growth and yield attributes in wheat (*Triticium aestivum* L.). Pak. J. Pl. Sci., 13 (2): 119-128.
- Jones; J. B. Jr. and V. W. Case (1990). Sampling, Handling, and Analyzing Plant Tissue samples. In Soil Testing and Plant Analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.; Westerman, R. L., ed. SSSA Book Series No. 3, Soil Sci. Soc. of Amer.: Madison, WI, USA.

- **Klute, A. (1986).** Methods of Analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods. American Society of Agronomy, Mudision, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kolota, E. and M. Osinska (2001). Efficiency of foliar nutrition of field vegetables grown at different nitrogen rates. In: Proc. IC Environ. Probl. N-Fert. Acta Hort., 563: 87-91.
- **Oosterhuis, D. M. (1998).** Foliar fertilization of cotton with potassium in the USA. Proc. Symp. "Foliar Fertilization: A Technique to Improve Production and Decrease Pollution" 10-14 Dec. 1995, Cairo.
- Page, A. L.; R. H. Miller; and D. R. Keeny (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Madisons, Wisconsin, USA.
- Parvez, K.; Y. M. Muhammad; I. Muhammad, and A. Muhammad (2009). Response of wheat foliar and soil application of urea at different growth stages. Pak. J. Bot., 41(3): 1197-1204.
- Rahman, I. UR.; A. Afzal; Z. Iqbal, and S. Manan (2014). Foliar application of plant mineral nutrients on wheat: a review. J. of Agric., & Allied Sci., 3 (2): 19-22.
- **Rogalski, L. (1994).** Influence of supplementary foliar spray nutrition with plant protection on yield of winter wheat. Acta Aced. Agric. Techn. Olsteninsis, Agric. (57): 111-118.
- Salem, A. K. M. and A. A. Al-Doss (2014). Performance of new promising genotypes of wheat under different nitrogen and salinity levels of irrigation water. J. Plant Production Mansoura Univ. 5 (1): 163-173.
- Salwau, M. I. M. (1994). Effect of soil and foliar application of nitrogen levels on yield and yield components of wheat. (T. aestivum L.). Ann. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 32: 705-715.
- Shaaban, S. H. A.; F. M. Manal and M. H. M. Afifi (2009). Humic acid foliar application to minimize soil applied fertilization of surface – irrigated wheat.World J. of Agric. Sci., 5(2): 207-210.
- **Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980).** "Statistical Methods" 7<sup>th</sup> Edin. Iowa State Univ., Press, Iowa, USA.
- **Soepardi, G. (1998).** Fosfo N: high concentrated N and P foliar fertilizer. Proc. Symp. "Foliar Fertilization: A Technique to Improve Production and Decrease Pollution" 10-14 Dec. 1995, Cairo.
- Wazir, A.; M. Yaseen; M. Arshad, and Q. Ali (2011). Response of Wheat (Triticum aestivum) to Foliar Feeding of micronutrients. Intern. J. for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences, 5(2): 209-220.
- Yassen, A.; E. A. A. Abou El-Nour and S. Shedeed (2010). Response of wheat to foliar spray with urea and micronutrients. J. of Amer. Sci., 6 (9):14-22.

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol. 29, No.2, July, 2015

*121* 

تأثير الاضافة الارضية أو الرش الورقي بالمعدلات المختلفة من الاسمدة النيتروجينية والفوسفاتية. والبوتاسية على محصول القمح كما ونوعا

> صفوت احمد اسماعيل واسامة احمد محمد جلال ومحمد جمال رمضان سرحان\* \* معهد بحوث الاراضي والمياة والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية.

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بالمزرعة البحثية بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسدس، مركز البحوث الزراعية، محافظة بني سويف، مصر لدراسة تأثير اضافة معدلات مختلفة من الاسمدة النيتروجينية والفوسفاتية والبوتاسية علي صورة اضافة ارضية او رش ورقي وتداخلاتهم علي محصول القمح كما ونوعا. تم استخدام ثلاث معدلات للتسميد الارضي (بدون، ٥٠%، ١٠٠% من الكمية الموصي بها لنبات القمح من الاسمدة النيتروجينية والفوسفاتية والبوتاسية) واربع مستويات من عد مرات الرش الورقي (بدون، رش مرتان، رش ثلاث مرات، رش اربع مرات)، وكانت اهم النتائج المتحصل عليها هي:-

- ادي زيادة معدلات التسميد الارضي او الرش الورقي الي زيادة طول النبات ، الوزن الجاف للنبات وعدد السنابل في المتر المربع وعدد الحبوب في السنبلة ومحصول الحبوب والقش وتركيز وامتصاص عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في الحبوب والقش والنسبة المئوية للبروتين في الحبوب.
- ادي رش عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم اربع مرات مع الاضافة الارضية لهذة العناصر بمعدل ٥٠% من المعدل الموصي به الي قيم للصفات السابقة متساوية معنويا مع التسميد الارضي للنيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم بمعدل ١٠٠% من الموصي به ما يعني امكانية توفير ٥٠% من هذة الاسمدة بالرش الورقي اربع مرات.
- ادي زيادة التسميد الارضي او الورقي الي زيادة تدريجية لتركيز وامتصاص العناصر في الحبوب والقش وكذلك زيادة نسبة البروتين في الحبوب، مما يعني امكانية الاستفادة من التسميد الورقي مع الارضي بالمعدلات الموصي بها الي زيادة نوعية حبوب القمح بزيادة نسبة البروتين بها.
- \_ استجابة وزن الالف حبة الي زيادة التسميد الارضي، بينما لم يتأثر بزيادة عدد مرات الرش الورقي.
- \* وتؤكد نتائج الدراسة على فائدة استخدام الرش الورقي لعناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم لنبات القمح، حيث ان الاضافة الارضية للاسمدة النيتروجينية والفوسفاتية والبوتاسية بمعدل • 0% من الموصي بها + رش نبات القمح بمحلول ٢ % من الاسمدة النيتروجينية والفوسفاتية والبوتاسية اربع مرات اعطي قيم لانتاجية القمح كما ونوعا متساوية معنويا مع تلك التي انتجت باضافة تلك الاسمدة ارضيا بالمعدل الموصي بة. وهذا يعني امكانية توفير حوالي ٥٠% من كمية الاسمدة النيتروجينية والفوسفاتية والبوتاسية الموصي بها لنبات جودة حبوب القمح من حيث زيادة نسبة البروتين بها باستخدام التسميد الورقي مع الاضافة الارضية بالمعدل الموصي به.