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ABSTRACT

Traditional medicinal knowledge has played an important role in identifying living organisms that are endowed with medicinal values for treating human and livestock health problems. This study explores the existing extent and awareness to use animals for traditional medicine and attitudes towards ethnozoological knowledge among communities of Menz Keya Gabriel District, North Ethiopia. The data were collected through questionnaires and interviews from three selected kebeles of the district with 70 respondents. The majority (88.6%) of the local people knew animals that were used as traditional medicine for human and animal disease. Also, local people enforced the use of animals for traditional medicines due to different reasons such as economical reasons (30%), effectiveness (24.3%), sociocultural reason (20%), insufficient or lack of modern medicine (14.3%) and availability and accessibility of medicinal animals around the area (11.4%). Most respondents perceived that traditional medicinal animals are used sometimes (38.6%), while 35.7% and 25.7% use in situational and always manner, respectively. The main threat for medicinal animals in the area arises from habitat loss due to agricultural expansion, firewood and charcoal production. Whereas threats that erode and put the continuity under the question of ethnozoological knowledge emanate from the disinterest of the young generation, and unwillingness, secrecy and oral-based knowledge transfer of healers. Therefore, to avoid erosion of this knowledge and to sustain animals, awareness creation should be given to healers and local people. Further biological researches on medicinal animals should also be conducted.

INTRODUCTION

Traditional medicinal knowledge and their practice continue receive high patronage across the globe (Negi et al., 2007; Soewu, 2008), which used in identifying living organisms that are endowed with medicinal values and the treatment of various human and livestock diseases (Mishra et al., 2011; Yirga et al., 2011). Over 70% of many developing nations depend solely on traditional medicines to meet their basic and primary health care need (Elujoba et al., 2005; Salome et al., 2018). Traditional medical practitioners made new findings that have healed major illnesses (Salome et al., 2018)
and they eliminate dangerous diseases like epilepsy, cancer, convulsion, paralysis, snake bites, mental illness (Soewu, 2008), and other hereditary diseases (Salome et al., 2018) among many cultures in the society.

Different animals and their body parts have been played a significant role in the practices of healing medicines of societies all over the world too (Alves et al., 2013; Borah and Prasad, 2017; Salome et al., 2018). As World Health Organization (WHO) estimated, out of the 252 essential chemicals discovered from natural products, about 9% came from animals (Zootherapy) (Dedeke et al., 2006). Zootherapy/animal therapy is a process of healing human ailments by using medicines prepared from different animals or animal derivative products (Salome et al., 2018). It creates a significant auxiliary for other known therapies practiced (Alves and Rosa, 2005). Traditional healing methods are involving hundreds of invertebrate and vertebrate animal species (Lev, 2003; Alves et al., 2007; Meyer-Rochow, 2017).

Since ancient times, these zoological or animal and their products have served as medicinal foods especially in European and African cultures (Lev, 2003; Alves et al., 2013b; Salome et al., 2018). In recent years, the awareness has grown that the unsustainable use of medicinal animals contributes to the risk of extinction of certain species (Alves et al., 2007), yet the links between that body of knowledge and concerns about public health, harvesting impacts, and stakeholders’ involvement remain understudied. For example in Ethiopia, 70% of human and 90% of livestock health depend on traditional medicine (Kendie et al., 2018), although the vast knowledge of the traditional uses of animal species of therapeutic value is not well documented in the country (Birhanu, 2013). In Ethiopia, animal species have been used medicinally by indigenous societies for millennia, but little attention has been paid to zootherapeutic and its cultural, medical, economic and ecological significance due to insufficient ethnozoological studies (Kendie et al., 2018). Besides these, the traditional knowledge, as well as the products used by these people, is under threat (Birhanu, 2013; Kendie et al., 2018).

Increased understanding of medical systems in a historical context can potentially bring new insights into the medical significance of fauna in the past, as well as open new therapeutic perspectives in the future and sustained use of naturally occurring compounds (Alves et al., 2013b). This study explored the existing extent and attitude of local people on the medicinal use of animals and ethnozoological knowledge.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Area:**

The study was conducted in Menz Keya Gebriel District, North Shewa Zone, Amhara, Ethiopia. It is located between latitudes of 10°01'0" and 11°11'0"N and Longitudes of 36°41'30" and 37°50'0"E. The District is located 312 km from 2960 meters above sea level (masl). The area receives a mean annual rainfall of 1000 mm with mean minimum and maximum annual temperature of 10 and 25°C, respectively. The agroecology of the area is 20% low land, 42% midland and 38% highland (Molla et al., 2015). Menz Keya district has 66,581 total populations residing in 12 rural kebeles and one urban kebeles (Zemero town).

**Sampling and Data Collection Method:**

The data collection was conducted from January to May, 2021 in three purposively selected kebeles. From these three Kebeles, 70 respondents were selected for the questionnaire survey randomly to see the general knowledge of medicinal animals and traditional medicine. The informants were traditional healers, farming experts, and spiritual intellectuals. Based on their recognition as experts and knowledgeable members
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concerning folk medicine (Haileselasie, 2012), 4 key informants for interview also were selected purposively from each Kebele. The data were collected using a questionnaire, and interview to obtain indigenous knowledge of healers, use and conservation of the medicinal animals.

Data Analysis:
A descriptive statistical method such as percentage and frequency will be employed to analyze and summarize the data.

RESULTS

Demographic Features of Respondents:
A total of 70 informants were interviewed, out of which 16 were traditional healers and the rest were inhabitants of the research area. The majority of informants consisted of male 38 (54.3%) whereas females were 32 (45.7%). Most (31.4 %) of the respondents were aged between 41-50 years. Respondents explained that the people in this range of age were more experienced and have wider knowledge in animal healing practice. While the respondent between 51-60 years old were only 18.6%. Most (31(44.3%)) of the respondents were farmers. And also 44 (62.9%) of respondents were literate (formally educated), while 26 (37.1%) were illiterate. Additional socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents such as sex and marital status are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic information</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Literate</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livelihood</td>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Work</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merchant</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious Work</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondent’s Attitude Towards Traditional Medicinal Animals and Ethnozoological Knowledge:
The majority of the respondents (88.6%) were new animals that were used as traditional medicine for human and animal disease, while only 11.4% of respondents did not know animals are using for traditional medicine. Of the total respondents, most 43 (61.4%) were stated that medicinal animals found in gorge/kola area followed by Dega (20%) region, but the remaining 18.6% of respondents were agreed medicinal animals are found in Weyna Dega agro-ecology. Local people enforced the use of animals for traditional medicines to treating human and livestock disease due to different reasons (Fig. 1) such as economical reason (30%), effectiveness
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(24.3%), sociocultural reason (20%), insufficient or lack of modern medicine availability around the area (14.3%) and availability and accessibility of medicinal animals around the area (11.4%).

![Graph showing reasons for using animals for traditional medicine]

**Fig. 1.** Reasons that enforced people to use animals for traditional medicines

Most (38.6%) of respondents perceived that local people are using traditional medicinal animals (TMAs) sometimes, while 35.7% and 25.7% of respondents reported we use animals for traditional medicine in situational and always manner, respectively (Table 2). Respondents were stated that TMAs use more advantages than modern medicine because of easily availability (31.4%) and effectiveness (28.6%) of TMAs to cure the disease. While 14 (%) respondent stated traditional medicine are cheapest than modern medicine as an advantage. Also, most 34 (48.6%) of respondents revealed that using TMAs has a disadvantage because of unknown doses. However, 17 (24.3%) respondents perceived that medicinal animals are not supported by modern medicine.

**Table 2.** Frequent utilization, and advantage and disadvantage of traditional medicinal animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alternatives</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The frequency of</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people uses TMAs</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Situational</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantage of using</td>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMAs</td>
<td>Easily available</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheap</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No use</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantage of</td>
<td>Unknown dose</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using TMAs</td>
<td>Not support by</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>modern medicine</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No disadvantage</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the questionnaires survey, not all local people were used animals for traditional medicine equally in the study area. Therefore, most of the time ethnic groups or farmers (61.4%) were using traditional medicines from animals followed by religious persons or patriarchs (14.3%). However, very few (5.7%)
modern educated people were using animals for traditional medicine. The remaining 18.6% of respondents were answered all categories of local people are using animals for medicine in the study area (Table 3).

Table 3. Categories of people who are using traditional medicines more

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious persons or patriarchs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All People</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The respondent said that Ethnozoological knowledge learnt mostly from the local community (34.3%) followed by experience (24.3%) and family (21.4%). Also, 12.9% and 7.1% of respondents reported that sources of knowledge of traditional medicine are the religious institution and modern school respectively (Fig. 2A). According to respondent’s information, healers transfer their Ethnozoological knowledge mostly to their sons (57.1%) followed by all family members (21.4%). But, only 8.6% of respondents said healers want to transfer their knowledge to their daughter (Fig. 2B). It is not easy to get traditional medicinal information in Ethiopia as healers considered their indigenous knowledge a professional secret, only to be passed orally to their older son, at their oldest age (Yirga, 2010; Yirga et al., 2011; Zerabruk and Yirga, 2011; Yirga et al., 2018).

![Fig. 2. Inheritance of healing wisdom (A. Sources of Ethno-zoology Knowledge, and B. Healers willingness to transfer their healing wisdom)](image)

Challenges to use Animals for traditional medicine and development of Ethnozoological knowledge

According to respondent’s survey, the negative attitude of the local people and lack or absence of supportive bodies to motivate traditional
healers and (27.1% each), extinction or loss of medicinal animals in the area (20%) were the most challenge that hinders to use animals for traditional medicine in the study area (Fig. 3). On the other hand, 51.4% of respondents also were reported even family members of traditional healers agreed traditional medicinal animals are not better than modern medicine as a challenge.

Fig. 3. Challenges to use Traditional Medicinal Animals to heal human and livestock diseases

Respondents also mentioned major factors that hind the development of knowledge of ethnozoology i.e. lack of information about animals used for traditional medicine (32.9%), negative attitude of people to healers or their knowledge (30.0%) and the unwillingness of healers to transfer their knowledge to the next generation (Table. 4). Traditional knowledge is eroding very rapidly, which calls for urgent action to document all related data before the traditional knowledge is lost forever. The knowledge with the traditional healing practices using animals is now fast disappearing due to modernization (Jain et al., 2007; Yirga et al., 2011; Yirga et al., 2018). Loss of traditional knowledge has much impact on the development of modern medicine (Yirga et al., 2018).

Table 4. Major factors for the development of ethnozoological knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors ethnozoological knowledge</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative attitude of people for healer</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of information on uses of animals for medicinal value</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The unwillingness of healers to transfer their knowledge to the next generation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conservation practices for Traditional medicinal animals and Ethnozoological knowledge:

There is a massive loss of wildlife in Ethiopia and also across the globe which has been causing severe constraints on the availability and accessibility of plant and animal species used for medicinal purposes (Anyinam, 1995; Yirga et al., 2011; Yirga et al., 2018). Indigenous people have been collecting medicines from local plants and animals without threatening the population dynamics of the species because of the low level of harvesting (Jain et al., 2007). However, based on focus group discussion and interview data, the main threat for medicinal animals in the area arises from habitat loss due to agricultural expansion, firewood and charcoal production. Of 70 respondents, 21 (30.0%) and 24 (34.3%) respondents were revealed that there are no and low conservation activities in the study area to manage animals for traditional medicine and Ethnozoological knowledge, respectively. However, the remaining 22.9% and 12.9% of respondents were stated that there are medium and high conservation and management practices in the study area (Fig. 4).

![Fig. 4. Conservation and protection status of traditional medicinal animals](image)

Although the conservation practice of traditional medicinal animals were less and low by concerned bodies or local people in the study area as mentioned above, however, 44.3%, 12.9% and 11.4% of respondents stated community, agriculture and rural development office and culture and tourism office where the three responsible bodies to protect and conserve medicinal animals and ethnozoological knowledge in the study area (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Responsible body</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Agriculture and rural development office</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Health center</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Culture and tourism office</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>NGO’s</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the interview of informant’s information, various factors were recorded as the main threats for the traditional medicinal animal/knowledge. There are extinction and migration of animals as a result of agricultural encroachment, firewood
and charcoal collection. According to the traditional healers, nowadays searching of medicinal animal require a long time and moving long-distance even going to neighboring Districts to collect. The death of elder people and assimilation by alien culture was the major threat for the loss of Indigenous knowledge. In general, the knowledge on traditional medicine becomes lesser and lesser due to its secrecy, the unwillingness of the young generation to gain the knowledge, oral-based transfer, unavailability of the species, influence of modern medicine and miss awareness. As a result, the community suffered important erosion of ethnomedicinal knowledge. On the other hand, the younger generation could be attributed to the low interest to inherit and use ethnomedicinal knowledge.

This study was also gathered recommendations from the healers and local people on what endorse to do forward to sustained medicinal animals and ethnozoological knowledge for the future. Besides this, most (40.0%) of respondents were recommended that both awareness creation and integration of traditional medicine with modern medicine should be done to transfer ethnozoological knowledge to the next generation. While other 35.7% and 24.3% of respondents were respectively forwarded awareness creation and integration of traditional medicine with modern medicine. Key informants also were stated that for better transfer of the indigenous knowledge to the younger generation government and non-governmental organizations must pay due attention. This requires identifying the causes and trying to solve them in collaboration with the extension and research system.

Conclusion and Recommendations:

The findings suggest that the traditional animal-derived medicines are an alternative to treat various common ailments in Menzkeya Gabriel District. The result revealed that many old generation people were found to lack formal education, but they have acquaintance about use of local faunal resources for traditional medicinal. It is suggested that the government should integrate this health care system into the existing one to ensure proper development and harnessing ethnomedicine in Ethiopia as well as in the study area.

The main threat for medicinal animals in the area arises from habitat loss due to agricultural expansion, firewood and charcoal production. Whereas threats that erode and put the continuity under the question of ethnozoological knowledge emanate from the disinterest of the young generation in traditional medicine, and unwillingness, secrecy and oral-based knowledge transfer of healers. The local community’s knowledge in the use of animal resources is very important for conservation efforts directed at protecting the animals. Folk medicine practitioners tend to have extensive knowledge of the ecology and use of the local fauna. However, as many local cultures are increasingly threatened, the need to document their knowledge of animals for medicinal and other uses becomes more urgent. Therefore, to avoid erosion of the indigenous knowledge and to ensure sustainable use of animals continual awareness creation should be given to healers with training and club formation. Moreover, awareness rising should be made to young generations and communities in the study area in order to avoid egocentric and abusive behavior and to enhance the participatory role regard to issues of traditional medicinal knowledge, resource use, value, management and conservation of medicinal animals. Further projects concerning the conservation and management of medicinal animals are needed. Further biological researches on medicinal animals should also be conducted and documented well and create a project by the ministry of health to utilize them in drug development.
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