Benha Veterinary Medical Journal 40 (2021) 184-188



Original Paper

Estimation of non-genetic parameters affecting total milk yield and occurrence of mastitis in Holstein Friesian dairy cows

Zienab H. Abo-Gamil*, Hadeel S. El-Qaliouby, Eman A. Manaa, Sherif I. Ramdan

Department of Animal Wealth Development, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords This study was performed on 1480 productive records collected from private farm of Holstein Friesian cows. In addition, collections of 70 milk samples for the measurement of somatic cell count Holstein Friesian (SCC). This study aims to evaluate the effect of some non-genetic factors (days in milk (DIM), peak Productive traits milk yield (PMY), dry period (DP), calving interval (CI), parity, and season of calving) on total milk Reproductive traits yield (TMY), and studying the effect of 305-day milk yield (305-DMY), peak milk yield (PMY), days in milk (DIM), parity and season of calving on mastitis in Holstein Friesian cows. All data Total milk yield were analyzed by general linear model (GLM) using SAS software ver.9.1.3. The current results Mastitis disease revealed that DIM and parity had a significant effect on TMY, where the highest milk yield was **Received** 18/03/2021 obtained when DIM were more than 357 days and in the 3rd lactation season. Season of calving Accepted 31/03/2021 showed a significant effect on occurrence of mastitis, where the highest incidence of mastitis was Available On-Line recorded for spring calvers. 01/07/2021

1. INTRODUCTION

The most widely used dairy cattle all over the world is the Holstein-Friesian, because of its high milk producing ability. Moreover, it is known that it can adapt hot climatic conditions (Ojango et al., 2005). In Egypt, the population of cows is continuously increasing and was recently estimated to be about 4.95 million heads (FAO, 2015). This population produces about 53.64 % of the total milk production (5.90 million tons) and 45.40 % of the total red meat production (1.04 million tons) (FAO, 2015).Ratwan et al. (2017) reported that there were many non-genetic factors affecting milk production traits as parity, season of calving and calving interval in cattle. During the last three decades, milk yield per lactation has greatly increased, whereas fertility, health and productive life have decreased (Mirhabibi et al., 2018).

Mastitis is considered the major health and the most frequent, and serious disease of dairy cattle, because of its high economic losses and huge impact on the dairy industry via reduction in milk yield worldwide. It results in massive antibiotic use, low milk quality, and milk becomes unfit for human consumption, and finally high culling rate (Halasa et al., 2007). Tiezzi et al. (2015) defined mastitis as an inflammation of the mammary gland due to the introduction and multiplication of pathogenic microorganisms, they considered mastitis as the major infectious disease of dairy cows. Ndahetuye et al. (2019) reported that the risk of subclinical mastitis increased with advancing in age and increased parity. They attributed that to the several exposures of multiparous cows to pathogens of mastitis from suboptimum hygienic environment during milking, and due to the easy access of bacterial infection to the

mammary gland because of ageing and teat canal poor integrity. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of some non-genetic factors days in milk (DIM), peak milk yield (PMY), dry period (DP), parity, season of calving, and calving interval (CI) on total milk yield (TMY). And the effect of days in milk (DIM), peak milk yield (PMY), 305day milk yield (305-DMY), parity, and season of calving on mastitis disease in Holstein Friesian cows.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Animals

Productive data of 1480 records were collected from private farm of Holstein Friesian dairy cows belonged to DINA Farms for Agricultural investment, located at Km 80 in Cairo-Alexandria desert road. In addition, 70 milk samples were collected to measure somatic cell count (SCC) and somatic cell score (SCS) as followed:

$$SCS = \log 2 \left(\frac{SCC}{100} \right) + 3$$

2.2. Herd Management

Animals were kept in open system and under open sheds all over the year. They were supported by a cool spraying system during the hot climate. The animals were fed on total mixed ration (T.M.R) all over the year with four different groups of rations for pre-freshening, freshly calved, high producing and low producing cows depending on dry matter intake (DMI).

For freshly calved cows (during 21 days after calving), the animals take about 20 kg dry matter of a ration formed from 18.19 kg Alfalfa 2nd cut, 11.01 kg Corn silage, 4.57 kg Corn, 3.00 kg Glutien feed, 3.00 kg Soya beans meal, 0.88 kg Linseed meal, 0.7 kg Magna bac, 0.22 kg Cotton

^{*} Corresponding author: Zienbhamdy@yahoo.com

seed meal, 0.18 kg Sodium chloride, 0.08 kg Di calcium phosphate, 0.04 kg Calcium carbonate, 0.03 kg Sodium bicarbonate.

For high producers, the animals take about 22.5 kg dry matter of a ration formed from 14.65 kg Alfalfa 2nd cut, 12.60 kg Corn silage, 6.03 kg Corn, 2.84 kg Glutien feed, 2.63 kg Linseed meal, 1.88 kg Soya beans meal, 1.23 kg Cotton seed meal, 0.50 kg Hay, 0.48 kg Magna bac, 0.20 kg Sodium bicarbonate, 0.19 kg Calcium carbonate, 0.13 kg Sodium chloride.

For low producers, the animals take about 19.58 kg dry matter of a ration formed from 25.15 kg Alfalfa 2nd cut, 11.00 kg Corn silage, 6.89 kg Corn, 3.80 kg Cotton seed meal, 2.50 kg Glutien feed, 1.19 kg Soya beans meal, 0.25 kg Molasses, 0.17 kg Calcium carbonate, 0.13 kg Sodium bicarbonate, 0.04 kg Sodium chloride.

2.3. Ethical approval

The Committee of Animal Care and Welfare, Benha University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Egypt approved this study with an approval number of BUFVTM: 04-07-20.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The effect of non-genetic factors was performed using analysis of variance with days in milk, peak milk yield, dry period, calving interval, parity, and calving season as a fixed effect in a general linear model (GLM) using the SAS software ver.9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) (SAS, 2001).

Model 1:

To analyze the factors affecting on TMY, the following model was assumed.

 $Y_{ijklmno} = \mu + DIM_i + PMY_j + DP_k + CI_l + P_m + S_n + b(AFC) + e_{ijklmno}$

Symbols in the model are defined as the following:

Yijklmno: The observed value; (i.e. total milk yield).

 μ : The overall mean.

 DIM_i : The effect of the ith days in milk; (i= 1, 2, 3, and 4: where 1= less than 211 days; 2= 211-357 days, and 3=more than 357 days).

 PMY_j : The effect of the jth peak milk yield; (j=1, 2, and 3: whereas 1=less than 35 kg; 2= 35-43 kg, and 3=more than 43 kg).

 DP_k : The effect of the kth dry period; (k=1, 2, and 3: whereas 1=less than 54 days; 2=54- 61 days, and 3=more than 61 days).

Cli: The effect of the l^{th} calving interval; (l=1, 2, and 3: whereas 1= less than 13 months; 2=13 - 15 months, and 3=more than 15 months).

 P_m : The effect of the mth parity; (m= 1, 2, 3, and 4: where 1=first parity; 2=second parity; 3=third parity, and 4= fourth parity or more).

 S_n : The effect of the nth season of calving; (n=1, 2, 3, and 4: whereas 1= winter season; 2= spring season; 3=summer season, and 4=autumn season).

b(AFC): partial linear regression coefficients of Y_{ijklmno} on age at first calving.

eijklmno: random error.

Model 2:

To analyze the factors affecting on mastitis, the following model was assumed.

 $Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + L_i + PMY_j + DIM_k + P_l + S_m + e_{ijklmn}.$

Symbols in the model are defined as the following:

 $Y_{ijklmn}\!\!:$ The observed value; (i.e somatic cell score for mastitis disease).

 μ : The overall mean.

 L_i : The effect of the ith level of production (305-DMY); (i=1, 2, and 3: whereas 1= less than 8643 kg; 2=8643-10440 kg, and 3=more than 10440 kg).

PMY_j: The effect of the jth peak milk yield; (j=1, 2, and 3: whereas 1=less than 35 kg; 2=35-44 kg, and 3=more than 44 kg).

 DIM_k : The effect of the kth days in milk; (k=1, 2, and 3: whereas 1=less than 156 days; 2= 156-370 days, and 3=more than 370 days).

 P_1 : The effect of the lth parity; (l= 1, 2, 3, and 4: where l=first parity; 2=second parity; 3=third parity, and 4= fourth parity or more).

 S_m : The effect of the mth season of calving; (m=1, 2, 3, and 4: whereas 1= winter; 2= spring; 3=summer, and 4=autumn).

eijklmn: random error.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Effect of non-genetic factors on total milk yield (TMY) The least squares means, standard errors for different factors affecting total milk yield (TMY) were shown in table 1. Days in milk had a highly significant ($P \le 0.01$) effect on TMY. The maximum milk yield was 12052 kg when DIM was more than 357 days while the lowest yield was 7606 kg obtained when DIM was less than 211 days. Parity had a significant (P \leq 0.05) effect on TMY. First season of lactation showed the lowest amount of milk (9210 kg) followed by the second parity (9456 kg) then the fourth or more parity (9557 kg), and finally the third season of lactation showed the maximum yield (10792 kg). Peak milk yield, dry period, calving season, and CI showed a non-significant effect on TMY. The maximum milk yield was 9947 kg when DP more than 61 days. Calving in summer season had the highest milk production (10021kg).

3.2. Effect of non-genetic factors on mastitis disease

The least squares means, standard errors for different factors affecting mastitis disease were shown in table 2. Season of calving had a significant effect on the occurrence of mastitis; cows that calved in spring season had the highest somatic cell score (SCS) for mastitis (18.57) cells/ml milk. All other factors had a non-significant effect on mastitis (DIM, parity, peak milk yield, and 305-DMY).

reference value in all different affections except in cystitis. The mean value of Basophil, Eosinophil and monocyte were within the normal reference value in all different affections. Table (1): Least-squares means (±SE) of various factors affecting total milk yield (TMY).

Classification	Ν	$L.S.M \pm S.E$	
1. Days in milk			
<211	146	7606.42 ^b ±572.50	
211-357	283	9604.17 ^b ±299.92	
>357	292	12052.26 ^a ±287.53	
2. Peak milk yield (daily)			
<35	259	9551.99 ±633.53	
35-43	191	9472.23 ±675.36	
>43	271	10238.64 ± 643.04	
3. Dry Period (days).			
<54	220	9680.71 ±665.72	
54-61	276	9634.49±647.78	
>61	225	9947.66 ± 637.82	
4. Calving of interval (months)			
<13	282	7992.43 ± 352.41	
13-15	308	8213.48± 337.05	
>15	293	8577.10 ± 345.73	
5. Parity.			
The 1 st lactation	276	9210.27 ^b ±630.19	
The 2 nd lactation	205	9456.89 ^b ±662.09	
The 3 rd lactation	142	10792.25 ^a ±694.23	
The 4 th lactation and more.	98	9557.73 ^{ab} ±771.09	
6. Season of Calving.			
Winter	162	9879.48 ±685.20	
Spring	114	9440.93 ±743.84	
Summer	217	10021.99 ±672.54	
Autumn	228	9674.73±633.05	

Within the same classification, the appearances of least square means with the different letters are significantly different ($p \le 0.05$). Otherwise, they do not.

Table (2): Least-squares means (±SE) of various factors affecting mastitis.

Classification	N	$L.S.M \pm S.E$	
<u>1. 305 DMY</u>			
<8643	22	17.09 ± 0.78	
8643-10440	24	17.16±0.71	
>10440	24	16.89 ± 0.67	
2. Peak milk yield (daily)			
<35	22	17.55 ±0.75	
35-44	28	16.45 ± 0.66	
>44	20	17.14 ± 0.77	
3. Days in milk			
<156	24	17.87 ± 0.77	
156-370	22	16.55 ± 0.77	
>370	24	16.72 ± 0.75	
4. Parity.			
The 1 st lactation	14	16.42±1.13	
The 2^{nd} lactation	14	17.65 ± 0.72	
The 3 rd lactation	22	17.05 ± 0.72 16.82 ±0.75	
The 4 th lactation and more.	15	17.300 ± 0.87	
	15	17.300 ±0.87	
5. Season of Calving.	21	17 (28 + 0 (7	
Winter	21	$17.62^{a} \pm 0.67$	
Spring	5	$18.57^{a}\pm 1.43$	
Summer	24	15.31 ^b ±0.63	
Autumn	20	$16.68^{ab} \pm 0.77$	

4. DISCUSSION

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of some non-genetic factors (days in milk (DIM), peak milk yield (PMY), dry period (DP), calving interval (CI), parity, and calving season) on total milk yield and mastitis disease. Days in milk showed a highly significant ($P \le 0.01$) effect on TMY. In agreement to these finding, Auldist et al. (2007) who found that there was an increase in the kilograms of milk produced per cow, as lactation length increased for total

yield of milk of Holstein cows. The extended lactation strategy or increase DIM leads to fewer dry days per cow and more milk production accompanied with fewer calvers and fewer replacement heifers due to diseases so that more profit to the farm (Sehested et al., 2019), while the current results disagreed with Vijayakumar et al. (2017) who reported that cow's milk yield decreased gradually as the lactation length increased in Holstein dairy cows. The significant effect of parity on TMY was similar with the reports of Getahun et al. (2020) for Holstein Friesian, Hunde et al. (2015) and Beneberu et al. (2020) for pure Jersey breed. This might be because the size of udder increased with the maturity of the cows and subsequently the milk production increased with parity (Beneberu et al., 2020). In contrast, Tadesse (2006) and Bolacali and Öztürk (2018) reported that parity did not have significant effect on TMY for Holstein Friesian and Simmental cattle.

Concerning DP, the results agreed with Kok et al. (2017) who said that dry period had a non-significant effect on TMY in Dutch dairy cow. When the DP is shortened, milk amount after calving might be reduced, remain the same, or increased. A reduction of milk yield could result from less functional epithelial cells in the mammary gland during the next lactation (Collier et al., 2012). Milk yield could stabilize or increase if cows adapt to continuous milking (Rémond and Bonnefoy, 1997) or might be due to increase of renewal mammary epithelial cells during lactation (Capuco et al., 2001). In contrast, Watters et al. (2008) showed that dry period significantly affected milk yield in Holstein cows.

Regarding CI, the obtained results were in agreement with those obtained by Remmik et al. (2020) who reported that cows with CI shorter than 12 months have an average 2345 kg lower milk yield in the first 1000 days of lifetime than those with CI between 14 and 16 months in Holstein cows. Concerning to the effect of season of calving, calving in summer season had the highest milk production in this study. This might be due to maintaining a proper summer cooling management and a proper reproductive management, which might achieve a good and professional result throughout the year in this farm. In agreement with present study, Bolacali and Öztürk (2018) and Beneberu et al. (2020) found that season of calving had a nonsignificant effect on TMY in pure Jersey cows and Simmental cattle, respectively. Also, Lavon (2018) stated that milk production increased in summer season than in winter in dairy farms, assumed that these farms have a better cooling system. In contrast, Mohammed and Atta (2019) found a significant increase of milk yield in winter season than in summer.

Mastitis remains one of the most common and devastating diseases affecting the dairy cow industry elsewhere in the world (De Vliegher et al., 2012). Calving season had a significant effect on the occurrence mastitis. The obtained results were in agreement with those obtained by Elghafghuf et al. (2014) who showed that in the first 13 DIM, the highest incidence of mastitis was for cows that calved in spring and summer compared with autumn and winter. This might be due to the higher bacterial counts in warmer months, so increased stress and decreased immunity that associated with hot weather. Regarding DIM, Hammer et al. (2012) did not observe an increased risk of mastitis for cows during the first 30 DIM or between 30 and 90 DIM. In contrast, Hertl et al. (2011)and Elghafghuf et al. (2014) found that parity had a significant effect on the incidence of mastitis, and hazard of mastitis tended to increase with increasing parity. This might be the result of new incidence infections during the several lactations and long exposure time to milking machines(Green et al., 2002). Also, these results were in disagreement with previous findings of where Ismail et al. (2018) who found a significant effect of peak milk yield and 305-DMY on acute and chronic mastitis.

5. CONCLUSION

This study revealed that the effects of non-genetic factors (DIM, parity, and season of calving) must be considered when evaluating dairy cows. The highest milk production was obtained when DIM was more than 357 days and when the cow became in the 3rd lactation. Also, calving in summer season had the highest milk production in this study. Accordingly, the proper management of the dairy farm while maintaining a proper summer cooling system and a proper reproductive management, might achieve good professional results throughout the year. Spring season was noticed for high somatic cell score of mastitis than any season of calving.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We express our gratitude to the people who helped us to collect the necessary data and information needed for this study.

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they had no conflict of interest.

6. REFERENCES

- Auldist, M.J., O'brien, G., Cole, D., Macmillan, K.L., Grainger, C., 2007. Effects of varying lactation length on milk production capacity of cows in pasture-based dairying systems. Journal of Dairy Science 90, (7) 3234-3241.
- Beneberu, N., Shibabaw, W., Getahun, K., Alemayehu, K., 2020. Effect of non-genetic factors on milk production traits of pure jersey dairy cattle in central highland ethiopia. Food Science and Quality Management 103, 7-12.
- Bolacali, M., Öztürk, Y., 2018. Effect of non-genetic factors on milk yields traits in simmental cows raised subtropical climate condition. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia 70, (1) 297-305.
- Capuco, A.V., Wood, D.L., Baldwin, R., Mcleod, K., Paape, M.J., 2001. Mammary cell number, proliferation, and apoptosis during a bovine lactation: Relation to milk production and effect of BST. Journal of Dairy Science 84, (10) 2177-2187.
- Collier, R.J., Annen-Dawson, E.L., Pezeshki, A., 2012. Effects of continuous lactation and short dry periods on mammary function and animal health. Animal 6, (3) 403-414.
- De Vliegher, S., Fox, L.K., Piepers, S., Mcdougall, S., Barkema, H.W., 2012. Invited review: Mastitis in dairy heifers: Nature of the disease, potential impact, prevention, and control. Journal of Dairy Science 95, (3) 1025-1040.
- Elghafghuf, A., Dufour, S., Reyher, K., Dohoo, I., Stryhn, H., 2014. Survival analysis of clinical mastitis data using a nested frailty cox model fit as a mixed-effects poisson model. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 117, (3) 456-468.
- 8. FAO, 2015. FAOSTAT database results.
- Getahun, K., Tadesse, M., Hundie, D., Tadesse, Y., 2020. Productive performance of crossbred dairy cattle. Ethiopian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 30, (2) 55-65.
- Green, M.J., Green, L.E., Medley, G.F., Schukken, Y.H., Bradley, A.J., 2002. Influence of dry period bacterial intramammary infection on clinical mastitis in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 85, (10) 2589-2599.
- Halasa, T., Huijps, K., Østerås, O., Hogeveen, H., 2007. Economic effects of bovine mastitis and mastitis management: A review. Veterinary quarterly 29, (1) 18-31.
- 12. Hammer, J.F., Morton, J.M., Kerrisk, K.L., 2012. Quarter milking, quarter, udder and lactation level risk factors and indicators for clinical mastitis during lactation in pasture fed dairy cows managed in an automatic milking system. Australian Veterinary Journal 90, (5) 167-174.
- Hertl, J.A., Schukken, Y.H., Bar, D., Bennett, G.J., González, R.N., Rauch, B.J., Welcome, F.L., Tauer, L.W., Gröhn, Y.T.,

2011. The effect of recurrent episodes of clinical mastitis caused by gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and other organisms on mortality and culling in holstein dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 94, (10) 4863-4877.

- 14. Hunde, D., Mészáros, G., Dessie, T., Assefa, G., Tadesse, M., Sölkner, J., 2015. Milk yield and reproductive performance of pure jersey dairy cattle in the central highlands of ethiopia. Livestock Research for Rural Development 27, (7). Article #130.
- 15. Ismail, Z.B., Muhaffel, M.M., Abu-Basha, E., 2018. The effect of dry cow therapy using systemic tylosin in combination with common intramammary medications on mastitis rate, cull rate, somatic cell count, and milk production in dairy cows affected with subclinical mastitis. Veterinary World 11, (9) 1266-1271.
- Kok, A., Van Knegsel, A.T.M., Van Middelaar, C.E., Engel, B., Hogeveen, H., Kemp, B., De Boer, I.J.M., 2017. Effect of dry period length on milk yield over multiple lactations. Journal of Dairy Science 100, (1) 739-749.
- 17. Lavon, Y., 2018. The effects of summer on milk production and its components, SCC and conception rate. Engormix. Israel Cattle Breeders Association (ICBA).
- Mirhabibi, S., Kashan, N., Gharahveysi, S., 2018. Genetic evaluation of survival traits in the Holstein dairy cows of Iran. Egyptian Journal of Veterinary Sciences 49, (1) 71-74.
- Mohammed, A.M., Atta, M., 2019. Effects of parity order, age at first calving and season of calving on productive performance of Friesian crossbred cattle in Sudan. Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production 8, (2) 138-146.
- Ndahetuye, J.B., Persson, Y., Nyman, A., Tukei, M., Ongol, M.P., Båge, R., 2019. Etiology and prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy herds in peri-urban areas of Kigali in Rwanda. Tropical animal health and production 51, (7) 2037-2044.
- 21. Ojango, J.M.K., Ducrocq, V., Pollott, G.E., 2005. Survival analysis of factors affecting culling early in the productive life of Holstein-Friesian cattle in Kenya. Livestock Production Science 92, (3) 317-322.

- Ratwan, P., Mandal, A., Kumar, M., Chakravarty, A.K., 2017. Genetic analysis of milk production efficiency traits in Jersey crossbred cattle. Indian Journal of Animal Research 51, (4) 644-647.
- Remmik, A., Värnik, R., Kask, K., 2020. Impact of calving interval on milk yield and longevity of primiparous Estonian Holstein cows. Czech Journal of Animal Science 65, (10) 365-372.
- 24. Rémond, B., Bonnefoy, J.C., 1997. Performance of a herd of Holstein cows managed without the dry period. Annales de Zootechnie 46, 3-12.
- 25. SAS, 2001. Statistical analysis system, user's guide computers by SAS institute. USA .
- 26. Sehested, J., Gaillard, C., Lehmann, J.O., Maciel, G.M., Vestergaard, M., Weisbjerg, M.R., Mogensen, L., Larsen, L.B., Poulsen, N.A., Kristensen, T., 2019. Review: Extended lactation in dairy cattle. Animal 13, 65-74.
- 27. Tadesse, Y., 2006. Genetic and non-genetic analysis of fertility and production traits in Holetta and Adea Berga dairy herd. MS Thesis. Alemaya University, Ethiopia.
- Tiezzi, F., Parker-Gaddis, K.L., Cole, J.B., Clay, J.S., Maltecca, C., 2015. A genome-wide association study for clinical mastitis in first parity US Holstein cows using singlestep approach and genomic matrix re-weighting procedure. PLoS ONE 10, (2) DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0114919.
- 29. Vijayakumar, M., Park, J.H., Ki, K.S., Lim, D.H., Kim, S.B., Park, S.M., Jeong, H.Y., Park, B.Y., Kim, T.I., 2017. The effect of lactation number, stage, length, and milking frequency on milk yield in korean holstein dairy cows using automatic milking system. Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences 30,(8) 1093–1098.
- 30. Watters, R.D., Guenther, J.N., Brickner, A.E., Rastani, R.R., Crump, P.M., Clark, P.W.,Grummer, R.R., 2008. Effects of dry period length on milk production and health of dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 91,(7) 2595-2603.