ESTMATING PHENOTYPIC, GENOTYPIC AND ENVIRONMENT PATH COEFFICIENTS IN WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.) GENOTYPES

Ahmed, A. M. Atia¹ and S.K.A. Ismail² 1- Agricultural Economics Research Institute-Agric. Res. Center- Giza- Egypt.

2- Agron. Dept. Fac. Agric., Fayoum Univ. Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out during two successive seasons on six wheat genotypes at the experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, El-Fayoum Univ. In 2005/2006 season, the six parental genotypes were planted and all possible crosses excluding reciprocals were hand made to produce 15 F_1 hybrids. In the second season (2006/2007), the parents and hybrids were planted using the randomized complete block design with five replications. The correlation coefficients and path analysis were calculated between grain yield and yield components of the 21 wheat genotypes. The tested genotypes exhibited significant differences due to the presence of sufficient genetic variability, and standard error values were in the range of 0.5 for spike length to 2.54 for number of grains/spike. Consequently the latter trait showed the highest values of phenotypic, genotypic and environmental variances followed by those of grain yield/ plant. Heritability and genetic advance values were high for days to heading, days to maturity, number of grains /spike and grain yield /plant. Grain yield /plant was positively correlated phenotypically and genotypically with days to heading and days to maturity and negatively with number of grains /spike. Phenotypic path coefficient revealed that the direct effect of days to maturity on grain yield was the highest (0.57) with relative importance of 18.8% followed by those of spike length. Concerning genotypic path coefficient, both traits, respectively, ranked as the first and second traits affecting grain yield /plant. The indirect phenotypic effects on grain yield exhibited by days to heading, days to maturity, plant height and spike length were 0.39, -0.11, 0.22 and 0.028, respectively. The relative importance of indirect genotypic effects on grain yield showed by plant height, days to maturity and spike length were 27.6, 21.1, and 11.8, respectively.

Key words: ANOVA, ANCOVA, Path analysis, Wheat.

INTRODUCION

Improving yield is one of the most important goals for most plant breeders and geneticists working with quantitative traits. Grain yield is a highly polygenic quantitative character that is greatly affected by environmental fluctuations. Grain yield in wheat, as in other crops, is a complex character which resulted as the sum-total of the contributions made by its individual components. **Grafius (1959)** has even doubted the individuality of grain yield. Yield and some of its components, such as the number of spikes per plant, cannot be wholly reliably used as criteria for selection because of their low heritability and wide fluctuations as a result of their interaction with the environment. It was suggested that wheat grain yield is a function of various components, where it depends

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol.23, No.1,(B). January, 2009

76

mainly on the number of spikes per unit area, the number of grains per spike and the average grain weight. The grain yield and yield components of wheat are affected very much by the genotype and the environment. Therefore, as new cultivars are being produced by breeding, the relationships between grain yield and its components should be studied by the breeders. To increase the yield, studying the direct and indirect effects of yield components on yield provides the basis for its successful breeding program and hence the problem of yield increase can be more effectively tackled through exploitation the performance of yield components and selection for closely related characters (**Mehmet and Telat**, **2006**). Based on path analysis, it could be determined the most important sources of variation in grain yield. Significant genotypic and phenotypic variances, differentiated the yield components which may be used as good selection criteria to improve grain yield of wheat genotypes. The aim of this study was to determine the correlation and path coefficients of yield and yield components in bread wheat and evaluate their suitabilities in a breeding program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two variance-covariance matrices necessary for calculating genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients as well as for evaluating the path coefficients technique, were obtained from the mean squares and mean cross products of genotypes together with error for different characters measured in a replicated experiment. Analysis of variance for each character and analysis of covariance for all pairs of the studied characters were constructed separately. The expectations of mean squares and mean cross products are given in Table (1).

C	covariance analy	SIS OF KUBD.			
	Analysis o	f Variance	Analysis of Covariance		
Source	M.S.	Expectation of M.S.	M.P.	Expectation of M.P.	
Replicates	Mr _u		Mr ₁₂	—	
Genotypes	Mt _n	$\sigma_{e}^{2} + r \sigma_{g}^{2}$	Mt ₁₂	$\sigma_{eij} + r \sigma_{gij}$	
Error	Me _n	σ_e^2	Me ₁₂	σ_{eij}	

Table 1: Mean squares (MS), and mean products (MP) from variancecovariance analysis of RCBD.

*r : number of replications.

Mean squares were used to estimate:

 $\sigma_{2^{\text{g}}}^{2^{\text{g}}} = (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}_{n}) / r$ $\sigma_{2^{\text{ph}}}^{2^{\text{g}}} = \sigma_{e_{2}}^{2} + \sigma_{g_{2}}^{2}$, where broad-sense heritability (h²) was estimated as follows: $h^{2} = (\sigma_{g}^{2} / \sigma_{ph}^{2}) \times 100$, and the phenotypic, genotypic and environmental coefficients of variation are computed as follows:

PCV =
$$100x \sqrt{\sigma_{ph}^2} / \overline{X}$$

GCV = $100x \sqrt{\sigma_{g}^2} / \overline{X}$
ECV = $100x \sqrt{\sigma_{e}^2} / \overline{X}$

The mean products were used to estimate:

 $\sigma_{gii} = (Mt_{12} - Me_{12}) / r$

 $\sigma_{phij} = \sigma_{eij} + \sigma_{gij}$, where phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients are computed as follows:

$$\mathbf{r}_{ph} = \sigma_{phij} / \sqrt{\sigma_{phi}^2 x \sigma_{phj}^2}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{g} = \sigma_{gij} / \sqrt{\sigma_{gi}^2 x \sigma_{gj}^2}$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{e} = \sigma_{eij} / \sqrt{\sigma_{ei}^2 x \sigma_{ej}^2}.$$

Expected genetic advance: Expected genetic advance from direct selection for all studied traits was calculated according to (Singh and Chaudhary 1999) as follows:

 $\Box G \% = 100 * k * h^2 * \sigma_{ph} / \overline{X}$,

where, \overline{X} : general mean and k is selection differential (k= 1.76 for 10%) selection).

Calculation of all possible simple correlation coefficients among various studied characters, which is equal to n(n-1)/2, where n is the number of characters as in Table(2).

Table 2:	The all	possible	simple	correlation	coefficients	(correlation	matrix)
	for phe	notypic,	genotyp	pic and envi	ronmental		

]	Phenotypic correl	ations	
	Y	Ch ₁	•••	Ch _n
Y	1			
Ch ₁	.r _{ph1y}	1		
•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
Ch_n	.r _{phny}	$.r_{ph1n}$	•••	.r _{phnn}
		Genotypic correl	ations	
	Y	Ch ₁	•••	Ch _n
Y	1			
Ch ₁	.r _{g1y}	1		
•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
Ch_n	.r _{gny}	.r _{g1n}	•••	.r _{gnn}
	E	nvironment corre	elations	
	Y	Ch ₁	•••	Ch _n
Y	1			
Ch ₁	.r _{e1y}	1		
•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
Ch _n	.r _{eny}	.r _{e1n}	•••	.r _{enn}

The matrices in Table(2) are symmetric i.e., $r_{iy} = r_{yi}$, i=1, ..., n.

The path analysis is carried out according to (Wright, 1921, Dewey and Lu, 1959 and Singh and Narayonan, 2000)), let n independent variables be significantly correlated with dependent variable Y, then the correlation matrices representing correlation coefficients (phenotypic, genotypic and environment) as

given in Table (2). The correlation coefficient between ith independent variables and dependent variable Y is linearly related with the correlation coefficients of ith independent variable with remaining independent variables, the relation is denoted as follows:

r_{iy}= $P_{1y} r_{i1} + P_{2y} r_{i2} + ... + P_{ny} r_{ny}$. for i= 1, ..., n. where P_{1y} , P_{2y} , ..., P_{ny} are the coefficients in the linear relation and are known as path coefficients. P_{iy} is called the direct effect of ith independent characters (Ch_i) and dependent variable Y. $P_{1y} r_{i1}$, $P_{2y} r_{i2}$, ..., $P_{ny} r_{in}$ are called the indirect effects of Ch_i on Y. Therefore the simple correlation coefficient (total effect) between Ch_i and Y is the sum of direct and indirect effects of Ch_i on Y. The linear relations are represented by matrix notation as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{n} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{1} \\ 1 & \dots & r_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{n1} & \cdots & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{1y} \\ \vdots \\ P_{ny} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{1y} \\ \vdots \\ r_{ny} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{1y} \\ \vdots \\ P_{ny} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{n1} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} r_{1y} \\ \vdots \\ r_{ny} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the path coefficients are obtained and hence the direct and indirect effects can be determined.. Further, the residual effect is estimated as follows:

 $P_{Rv} = (1 - (P_{1v} r_{i1} + P_{2v} r_{i2} + ... + P_{nv} r_{nv}))^{1/2}.$

This investigation was conducted during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons at the Experimental Farm (Dar El-Ramed), Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt. Six bread wheat genotypes (Triticum aestivum L.) were used as parental lines. Their commercial names, pedigree and origin are presented in Table (3). In 2005/2006 season, the six parental genotypes were planted and all possible crosses excluding reciprocals were hand made among parental lines to produce 15 F_1 hybrids. In the second season of 2006/2007, the six parents and fifteen hybrids were planted in a field experiment using the randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five replications. Seeds of each entry were spaced 10 cm apart in one row 3 m long and 20 cm between rows. Each entry was represented by one row, all recommended cultural practices were considered. At harvesting time, data were recorded on random samples of 15 guarded plants from each row, for plant height, cm (X_1) , days to heading (X_2) , days to maturity(X_3), spike length, cm (X_4), number of grains/spike (X_5), 1000grain weight, g (X_6) and grain yield/plant, g (Y). Direct and indirect effects of traits were evaluated by correlation and path coefficients. Correlation and path coefficients were calculated by using **PATHC** Statistical Computer Program (Atia, 2007).

Table (3): The commercial names, pedigree and origin of the wheat parental lines used in this study.

No	Parents	Pedigree	Origin
P ₁	Sids 1	HD 2172/Pavon"s"//1158.57/Maya 74 "s"	Egypt
P_2	Giza 168	MRL/BUC//Seri CM93046-8M-OY-OM-2Y-OB	Egypt
P ₃	Sakha 94	Opata/Rayon//Kauz	Egypt
\mathbf{P}_4	Gemmiza 10	Maya 74 "s"/On//1160-47/3/Bb/4/Chat"s"/5/Ctow	Egypt
P_5	Sakha 93	Sakha 92/TR 81032858871- 15-25-15-05	Egypt
P_6	Sids 4	May S/Mon S/CMH74.A592/3/Giza 157* 2	Egypt

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Average values: The tested genotypes were significantly different for all studied traits, indicating the presence of sufficient genetic variability for selection to identify the superior genotypes (Table 4). The standard error was the highest (2.54) for number of grains /spike (X_{5}) , whereas it was the lowest (0.50) for spike length (X₄). Data belong to investigated traits, as average of 21 genotypes, variance components, heritability estimates and expected genetic advance are presented in Table(5). Estimates of phenotypic (σ_{ph}^2) and genotypic (σ_g^2) variances were high, particularly for number of grains /spike (270.9 and 238.7) and grain yield/ plant (131.5 and 109.2 respectively). The two traits also had relatively high environmental (σ_{e}^{2}) variance. But the highest σ_{e}^{2} value (25.69) was showed by plant height, therefore it had the lowest estimate of heritability (0.56), followed by 1000-grain weight (0.7). However, the results of the traits including, grain yield /plant, exhibited high heritability values more than 0.8. Concerning the expected genetic advance under selection intensity of 10%, the finding showed that it was high for spike length, number of grains /spike and grain yield /plant and low for plant height and days for maturity. These results are in agreement with those reported by Gibson and Paulsen 1999 and Garcia et al 2002.

Table 4: Mean squares for grain yield/plant (y) and other agronomic characters $(X_1 \text{ to } X_6)$.

Characters		MSS	MSE
Plant height, cm	(X_1)	190.3**	25.68
Days to heading	(X_2)	352.3**	4.260
Days to maturity	(X_3)	191.6**	4.300
spike length, cm	(X_4)	37.10**	1.200
Number of grains /spike	(X_5)	1225.7**	32.30
100-grain weight, g	(X_6)	71.60**	5.600
grain yield/plant, g	Y	568.4**	22.20

	phenotypic and genotypic and expected genetic advance.										
Char.	Mean	SE	σ^{2}_{ph}	CV%	σ_{g}^{2}	CV%	$.h^2$	σ_e^2	$\Box G \%$		
			_	$\sigma_{\rm ph}^2$		σ_{g}^{2}					
X_1	105.23	2.27	58.61	7.28	32.92	5.45	0.56	25.69	7.19		
X_2	103.52	0.92	73.86	8.30	69.60	8.05	0.94	4.27	13.77		
X ₃	155.66	0.93	41.75	4.15	37.46	3.93	0.89	4.28	6.56		
X_4	14.228	0.50	8.415	20.39	7.17	18.82	0.85	1.24	30.58		
X_5	73.276	2.54	270.9	22.46	238.7	21.08	0.88	32.28	34.83		
X ₆	51.200	1.06	18.79	8.47	13.21	7.10	0.70	5.59	10.47		
Y	55.874	2.11	131.5	20.52	109.24	18.71	0.83	22.23	30.01		

Table 5: Means, standard errors(SE), components of variance $(\sigma_{ph}^2, \sigma_g^2)$ and σ_e^2 , heritability estimates (h²), coefficient of variability for phenotypic and genotypic and expected genetic advance.

Correlation coefficients: Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients are given in Table (6). Simple correlation coefficients showed that there were relatively high positive genotypic (r=0.569) and phenotypic (r=0.500) correlations between days to heading (X_2) and grain yield. Grain yield was also positively and significantly correlated with days to maturity (X_3) genotypically (0.644) and phenotypically. (0.551). Whereas, correlation coefficient was negative and significant between grain yield and grains/spike(X_5) genotypically (-0.292) and phenotypically (-0.223). Similar results have been reported between grain yield and above mentioned characters (Ismail, 2001). Plant height and 1000- grain weight showed insignificant positive association with grain yield. This result are in agreement with the results of **El-Marakby** *et al.*, (**1994).** However, **Esmail (2000)** estimated negative correlations between grain yield and days to heading.

Path coefficient analysis: The response variable grain yield (Y) and six predictor variables ,i.e., plant height, cm (X_1) , days to heading (X_2) , days to maturity (X_3) , spike length, cm (X_4) , number of grains/spike (X_5) and 1000-grain weight, g (X_6) were studied for phenotypic, genotypic and environment path coefficient and presented in Tables (7, 8 and 9).

In regard to phenotypic path coefficient, the direct effect of days to maturity (X_3) on grain yield was the highest (0.57) and the relative importance was of 18.8%. The second value of direct effect showed by spike length(X₄) on grain yield (0.35) with a relative importance about of 7.3%, While days of heading (X₂) and plant height showed low relative importance, 1.96 and 1.61 % respectively. The indirect effects of days to heading (X₂), days to maturity(X₃) plant height (X₁) and spike length (X₄) were 0.39, -0..11, 0.215 and -.028 with relative importance of 14.4, 11.8, 9.8 and 2.1%, respectively. The results are in line with those reported by **Gebeyehou** *et al.*, **1982, Garcia** *et al.*, **1991 and Dofing and Knight, 1992.**

ESTMATING PHENOTYPIC, GENOTYPIC AND ENVIRONMENT....

		Ph	enotypic o	correlation	ns				
	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X_4	X ₅	X ₆	Y		
X ₁	1	0.462	0.444	397**	483	279	0.048		
X ₂		1	0.875**	386**	698**	167*	0.500**		
X ₃			1	391	721**	159 [*]	0.551**		
X_4				1	0.781	0.463**	0.099		
X ₅					1	0.408*	223**		
X ₆						1	0.093		
Y							1		
Genotypic correlations									
X_1	1	0.642**	0.642**	602**	722**	552**	0.042		
X ₂		1	0.931	426	741**	184*	0.569		
X ₃			1	462**	785**	178*	0.644**		
X_4				1	0.857**	0.549**	0.088		
X ₅					1	0.457**	292**		
X ₆						1	0.074		
Y							1		
		Env	vironment	correlatio	ons				
X ₁	1	0316	0579	0.0788	0.1069	0.1890	0.0709		
X ₂		1	0.245*	0456	278**	1331	0346		
X ₃			1	0.1072	2131 [*]	1020	0337		
X_4				1	0.283**	0.183*	0.1574		
X ₅					1	0.259*	0.192*		
X ₆						1	0.165		
Y							1		

Table 6: The correlation matrices for phenotypic, genotypic and environment

Table 7: Direct and indirect effects of yield components and their relative importance in grain yield of wheat for seasons of 2005 and 2006. (Phenotypic path coefficient).

Characters	D	irect effe	ct	Indirect effect			Total effect		
	Xi			X _i /X's					
	Effects	CD*	RI %	Effects	CD*	RI %	Effects	CD*	RI %
X_1	167	.278	1.61	0.215	07	9.84	.048	04	11.4
X_2	0.184	.034	1.96	0.393	.145	14.4	.577	.179	16.3
X ₃	0.571	.325	18.8	106	12	11.8	.464	.204	30.6
X_4	0.354	.126	7.27	028	02	2.07	.327	.106	9.3
X_5	050	.002	0.14	0.010	00	.06	039	.001	.202
X_6	0.025	.001	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	.025	.001	0.03
Total D+I							1.401	.447	67.9
Residual								.553	32.1
Total								1.00	100
C D = C c c	ficiant	of Johow		~	**D T	Dala	time im	nonton	~~

*C D = Coefficient of determination.

****R** I = Relative importance.

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol.23, No.1,(B). January, 2009

82

Concerning genotypic path coefficient, the highest direct effect of days to maturity (X_3) on grain yield was 1.02 with a relative importance of 12.8%. The direct effect of spike length(X_4) on grain yield (0.76) with a relative importance of 7.06% ranked as the second. The direct effect of plant height(X_1) on grain yield was negative (-0.646) and the relative importance of 5.1%. The relative importance for the indirect effects of plant height(X_1), days to maturity(X_3) and spike length(X_4) on grain yield were 27.6, 21.1 and 11.8, respectively. All of these characters had a positive direct effect on the grain yield as detected by **Gebeyehou** *et al.*, **1982, Garcia** *et al.*, **1991 and Dofing and Knight, 1992.**

Table 8: Direct and indirect effects of yield components and their relative
importance in grain yield of wheat for seasons of 2006 and 2007.
(Genotypic path coefficient)

(Genotypie putil esemicient)										
	Di	Direct effect			Indirect effect			Total effect		
Characters	Xi				X _i / X´S					
	Effects	CD*	RI %	Effects	CD*	RI %	Effects	CD*	RI %	
X_1	646	0.417	5.1	.688	89	27.6	0.04	47	32.7	
X ₂	155	0.013	0.16	1.09	25	4.92	0.98	24	5.09	
X ₃	1.025	1.052	12.8	.140	.288	21.1	1.16	1.34	33.9	
X_4	0.760	0.578	7.06	637	97	11.8	0.12	39	18.9	
X_5	562	0.316	3.86	129	.145	1.77	69	.46	5.6	
X_6	282	0.080	0.97	0.00	0.0	0.0	28	.08	0.97	
Total D+I							1.34	.777	97.3	
Residual								.223	2.7	
Total								1.00	100	

*C D = Coefficient of determination. **R I = Relative importance.

Environment path coefficient, the values of direct effect (0.138, 0.108 and 0.098), respectively were recorded for number of grains/spike (X_5) , direct effect 1000-kernel weight, g (X_6) and spike length (X_4) on grain yield. The relative importance of (1.906%, 1.164% and 0.959) were showed by number of grains/spike (X_5) , direct effect 1000- garin weight, g (X_6) and spike length (X_4) on grain yield, respectively. All of these characters had positive direct effect on the grain yield except days to maturity (X_3) . This result is in agreement with that of (Gebeyehou *et al.*, (1982), García *et al.*, (1991and 2002) and Gibson and Paulsen. (1999).

T	Table 9:	Din imj (Ei	rect and in portance i nvironmen	ndirect effe n grain yie it path coef	cts of yield ld of wheat ficient).	compone for sease	nts and th ons of 2005	eir relativ 5 and 2000	′е б.
	C1		D'		T 1	CC .	T 1	CC .	٦

Characters	D	Direct effect			Indirect effect			Total effect		
		X_i		X_i / X 's						
	Effects	CD*	RI %	Effects	CD*	RI %	Effects	CD*	RI %	
X_1	0.028	.0008	0.079	0.043	.0024	.249	.0709	.0032	.328	
X ₂	0.026	.0006	0.066	059	0031	.305	0337	002	.371	
X ₃	008	.0001	0.000	030	.0005	.085	0384	.0006	.0916	
X_4	0.098	.0096	0.959	0.059	.0116	1.15	.1573	.0213	2.112	
X_5	0.138	.0192	1.906	0.028	.0078	.774	.1666	.0269	2.681	
X ₆	0.108	.0117	1.164	0.000	0.000	.000	.1082	.0117	1.681	
Total D+I							.4311	.0613	6.75	
Residual								.9387	93.25	
Total								1.00	100	

*C D = Coefficient of determination. **R

****R I** = **Relative importance.**

REFERENCES

- **Atia, A.M.A. (2007).** PATHCA: A basic program for estimating phenotypic, genotypic and environmental path coefficient, an application on maize. The 42nd Annual Conference on Statistics Computer Sciences and Operation Research 2-5 Dec, 2007: 76-87.
- **Dewey, D. R. and K. H. Lu (1959).** A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agro. J., 51: 515–518.
- **Dofing, S.M. and C.W. Knight.** (1992). Alternative model for path analysis of small grain yield. Crop Sci., 32: 487-489.
- **El-Marakby, A.M., M. Yasein, A.A. Mohammed and A.M. Tolba.** (1994). Correlation and path analysis for yield attributes in F₂ and F₃ segregating populations of five wheat crosses. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor, 32(3): 1065-1072.
- **Esmail, R.M. (2000).** Correlation and path coefficient analysis of some quantitative traits with grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Bulletin of the National Research Centre, Cairo, 26(3): 395-408.
- Garcia, M.L.F., F.M. Ramos, M.B. Garcia and T.M.P. Jimenez. (1991). Ontogenetic approach to grain production in spring barley based on path coefficient analysis. Crop Sci., 31: 1179-1185.
- García del Moral, L.F., Y. Rharrabti, D. Villegas and C. Royo.(2002). Evaluation of Grain Yield and Its Components in Durum Wheat under Mediterranean Conditions. Agron.J.95:266-274.
- Gebeyehou, G., D.R. Knott and R.J. Baker. (1982). Relationships among durations of vegetative and grain filling phases. Yield components and grain yield in durum wheat cultivars. Crop Sci.,22:287-290.
- Gibson, L.R., and G.M. Paulsen.(1999). Yield components of wheat grown under high temperature stress during reproductive growth. Crop Sci. 39:1841–1846.

Grafius, J.S. (1959). Heterosis in barley. Agron. J., 51:551-554.

- **Ismail, A.A. (2001)**. Identification of selection traits for yield improvement of bread wheat using path analysis. Assuit Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 32(2): 63-84.
- Mehmet, A. and Y. Telat (2006) Path coefficient analysis of yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Pak. J. Bot., 38(2):417-424.
- Singh R.K. and B.D. Chaudhary (1999): Biometrical Methods In Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi
- Singh P. and S.S. Narayanan (2000): Biometrical Techniques In Plant Breeding. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.

Wright, S. (1921): The theory of path coefficient. Genetics, 8: 239-285.

تقدير معاملات المرور المظهرية والوراثية في القمح احمد عبد العزيز مرسى عطية ' ، سمير كامل على اسماعيل '

الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تحليل مسار العلاقات المتبادلة بين المحصول و مكوناته للوصول الى اهم هذة المكونات لاستخدامها في برامج التربية. ولتحقيق ذلك تم تطبيق تجربة حقلية أجريت في مزرعةً كلية الزراعة، جامعة الفيوم. في موسم ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٥ زرعت سنة أباء وتم إجراء التهجينات المتبادلة بين الأباء. وفي الموسم الثاني ٦ . ٢٠٠٧/٢٠٠ تم زراعة الآباء الستة والهجن الخمسة عشر في تصميم قطاعات كآملة العشوائية بخمسة مكرارات وتم حساب معاملات الارتباط وتحليل المسار المظهري والوراثي البيئي لكمية محصول حبوب النبات والصفات تحت الدراسة التالية: طول النبات (X1)، موعد طرد السنابل (X2)، موعد النضج (X3)، طول السنبلة (X4)، عدد الحبوب في السنبلة (X5)، وزن الالف حبة (X6). وأشارت النتائج إلى أنه استنادا إلى تحليل المسار المظهري كان الأثر المباشر لصفة النضج (X3) على محصول النبآت بلغ ٥٧. • بأهمية نسبية ١٨.٨ ٪. وكان هذا التأثير بالنسبة لطول السنبلة (X4) على المحصول ٣٥. • بأهمية نسبية حوالي٧.٣ ٪. وكانت الأهمية النسبية الصفة طرد السنابل (X2) 1.96% وطول النبات (X1) ١.٦١ ٪ اما التأثير غير المباشرة لصفة طرد السنابل (X2)،موعد النضج (X3) وارتفاع النبات (X1) ، طول السنبلة (X4) كانت، ٣٩ . ، ٢١٥ . . . و-٢٧. • على التوالي وبأهمية نسبية ١٤.٤٪ ، ٨ (١١٪ ، ٨.٩٪ و ٢.١٪ على التوالي. أما بالنسبة لتحليل المسار الوراثي ظهر أن الأثر المباشر لصفة النضج (X3) على المحصول قد بلغ نحو ١.٠٢ وبأهمية نسبية ٨ ١٢٪، والأثر المباشر لصفة طول السنبلة (X4) على محصول الحبوب ٧٦. • وبأهمية نسبية ٧.٠٦٪. الأثر المباشر لارتفاع النباتات (X1) على محصول الحبوب -٦٤٦. وبأهمية نسبية ١.٥٪. الأهمية النسبية للتأثير غير المباشر لارتفاع النباتات (X1)، موعد النضج (X3) وطول السنبلة (X4) على محصول الحبوب فكانت ٢٧.٦٪ و٢٠١١٪ و ١١.٨٪ على التوالي.

No	Parents	Pedigree	Origin
P_1	Sids 1	HD 2172/Pavon"s"//1158.57/Maya 74 "s"	Egypt
P_2	Giza 168	MRL/BUC//Seri CM93046-8M-ÖY-OM-2Y-OB	Egypt
P_3	Sakha 94	Opata/Rayon//Kauz	Egypt
\mathbf{P}_4	Gemmiza 10	Maya 74 "s"/On//1160-47/3/Bb/4/Chat"s"/5/Ctow	Egypt
P_5	Sakha 93	Sakha 92/TR 81032858871- 15-25-15-05	Egypt
P_6	Sids 4	May S/Mon S/CMH74.A592/3/Giza 157* 2	Egypt