EFFECT OF SOME ORGANIC MANURES AND MINERAL FORMS OF NITROGEN ON GROWTH, YIELD AND PHYSIOLOGICAL CHARACTERS OF SUGAR BEET GROWN ON A CALCAREOUS SOIL

Raafat N. Zaki

Soils, Water & Environ. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt **ABSTRACT:**

A field experiment was conducted on a calcareous soil at Noubaria region to identify response of sugar beet to fresh or composted farmyard manures (FFYM or CFYM) at rate 10 of ton/fed in combination with 40 kg N/fed added from different sources, i.e., urea, ammonium nitrate and diluted nitric acid. Plant samples were taken after 16 and 25 weeks from planting to define vegetative parameters (plant height, leaf numbers, root length and diameter). Shoots and roots were chemically analyzed to determine chlorophyll A, B, carotene, total soluble sugar and reducing sugar contents.

Data obtained showed that applying organic manures (FFYM and CFYM) led to improve many of soil variables such as organic matter content, soil pH, soil salinity, CEC and available NPK, with superiority for CFYM. The combination of CFYM and ammonium nitrate exhibited the best results of vegetative growth parameters, yield, sugar concentration and its purity. Also, a positive correlation was found between sugar purity and potassium content in leaves. Reducing sugar content was more affected by the studied treatments as compared to the total soluble sugar content.

Key Words: Calcareous soil, FYM, N-mineral fertilizers and sugar beet.

INTRODUCTION:

Sugar beet is the second crop for sugar production in Egypt and it is widely planted in the newly reclaimed areas such as the calcareous soil at Noubaria region. Therefore, more attention must be paid to increase its yield and to improve its sugar production. In fact, this crop is sensitive for timing of nitrogen fertilization, which should be available during the period of 4 to 8 weeks from planting (Dragovic *et al.*, 1996). On the other hand, excessive nitrogen application after middle season increases impurities in produced sugar (Carter, 1986a), moisture content in root (Carter, 1986b), and consequently lowering in extractable sucrose and sucrose percent (Carter and Traveller, 1981). Urea was incorporated by disking into sandy clay loam soil of pH 8 in a rate of 0, 75 and 150 kg N/ha during sugar beet seedbed preparation in a trial conducted by Oliveira *et al.* (1993). They found that N significantly increased root yield, sucrose concentration and sugar yield, but NO₃ concentrations in petioles were not significantly affected by fertilization.

Negm *et al.* (2003) found that just after organic manure application to calcareous soil, slight increase in cation exchange capacity, and reduction of soil pH occurred, then their values lowered with advancing time. Available N, P and K in soil increased after the application of manure and then reduced gradually till time up to harvest. Moreover, soil organic matter content was increased due to organic matter application, where the curve reaching its peak after harvest.

Regarding sugar and carbohydrate contents (the main products of sugar beet), Petrovic and Kastori (1992) reported that leaf may synthesize at optimum condition 30 g of sugar/m². Dragovic *et al.* (1996) emphasized also that leaf area and photosynthetic activity were primary factors in sugar beet and sugar yields.

Mahmoud *et al.* (1990) and Saif (1991) indicated that nitrogen fertilizer increased photosynthetic leaf area, which resulted in increasing photosynthesis in sugar beet plant. Selim and El-Ghinbihi (1999) indicated that adding nitrogen in high rate (120 kg N/fed) caused a significant increase in the concentration of chlorophylls A, B and carotenoids in sugar beet leaves.

The aim of the present work is to evaluate the role of organic manure in combination with N-mineral fertilizer for improving soil properties and increasing the production of sugar beet grown on a calcareous soil at Noubaria region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A field experiment was conducted on a calcareous soil cultivated with sugar beet at Noubaria experimental Farm, Agric. Res. Station, Egypt. The main soil properties of the experimental field were determined according to the methods described by Black (1965) and Page *et al.* (1982), as shown in Table (1).

Table (1): Some physico-chemical characteristics of the studied soil (0-30 cm).

| Soil characteristics | Value | Soil characteristics. | Value |
|----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Particle size distribution | %: | Soil water extract(1:1): | _ |
| Coarse sand | 20.18 | T.S.S. % | 0.28 |
| Fine sand | 28.90 | Soluble ions (meq/L) | |
| Clay | 29.00 | Ca ⁺⁺ | 4.48 |
| Textural class | SCL | Mg^{++} | 1.98 |
| Saturation percent (SP) | 35.80 | Na ⁺ | 6.79 |
| CaCO ₃ % | 23.95 | \mathbf{K}^+ | 0.66 |
| Soil fertility status: | | CO ₃ | 0.00 |
| Available nutrients (mg/k | g soil): | HCO ₃ | 2.73 |
| Ν | 37.59 | Cl | 7.14 |
| Р | 8.80 | $SO_4^{}$ | 4.04 |
| Κ | 390.80 | pH (1:5 soil water suspension) | 8.18 |
| Fe | 3.90 | Organic matter % | 0.75 |
| Mn | 1.20 | Total N % | 0.05 |
| Zn | 0.76 | Organic carbon % | 0.55 |
| Cu | 0.63 | C/N ratio | 11.00 |

A split plot design, with 4 replicates, was used. The studied treatments were carried out on plots of $3.5 \times 6 \text{ m}^2$, the main treatments were (A), (B) application of 10 ton/fed bulk weight of fresh (FFYM) or composted (CFYM) farmyard manures as well as (C) which represents the control treatment without manuring. The sub-main ones were (1), (2), (3) and (4), which represents a N rate of 40 kg/ fed added in the forms of ammonium nitrate, urea, diluted HNO₃ and control, respectively. The main characters of both fresh and composted organic manures are presented in Table (2).

| Composition | Composted FYM | Fresh FYM |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Organic matter % | 38.38 | 43.64 |
| Organic carbon % | 22.26 | 25.37 |
| Total nitrogen % | 0.65 | 0.44 |
| C/N ratio | 34.25 | 57.65 |
| Total P % | 0.85 | 0.23 |
| Total K % | 0.68 | 1.09 |
| Available N (mg/kg) | 420.00 | 223.00 |
| Available P (mg/kg) | 280.00 | 179.00 |
| Available K (mg/kg) | 1695.00 | 1080.00 |
| EC (1:5 water extract) | 8.30 | 7.40 |
| pH (1:5 water suspension) | 7.30 | 8.50 |

On November 10^{th} 2001, fresh and composted (FFYM and CFYM) quantities were added. Sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris L*) seeds were sown on the day later. Irrigation was executed every 15 days through flooding system. The common cultivation practices were followed till plant harvesting on May 5th 2002. Ammonium nitrate and urea were added in equal two doses at 5th and 7th week from planting, while diluted HNO₃ solution was added in eight equal doses along with irrigation every 15 days starting from the 3rd irrigation day, as fertigation technique.

Plant samples were taken after 16 weeks from planting and also at harvest (after 25 weeks). Plant samples were transferred immediately to the laboratory, washed with tap water to get rid of adhering soil particles, airdried, separated into leaves and roots and weighed to determine the fresh weight of both. Samples of leave and root were oven dried at 70 °C till constant weights to determine total dry matter of leaves and roots. Contents of chlorophyll A, B and carotene were measured according to Talling and Driver (1963). N, P and K contents were estimated using Micro-Kjeldahl procedure, stannous chloride method, for the former nutrients and flame photometer for the latter one (Black, 1965).

Total carbohydrates in both dried leaves and roots were determined according the methods described by Dubios *et al.* (1951). Sucrose percentage was plametrically determined using lead acetate extract of fresh root according to the method of Le Docte (1927), and the total soluble solids (T.S.S) were measured by hand refractometer (A.O.A.C., 1990). The results obtained were statistically analyzed according to Steel and Torrie (1960).

RSULTS AND DISCUSSION:

I. Soil properties as affected by applied organic manures:

Data in Table (3) represent most of soil properties that are controlling soil fertility of the experimental field under sugar beet cultivation. These soil properties are discussed in brief notes, as follows.

a. Organic matter content:

Soil organic matter content tended to increase due to FYM application in both forms (FFYM & CFYM), with superiority for CFYM. These findings are illustrated data present in Table (3), which indicated that the application of organic manures increased soil organic contents with 6.58 and 30.26 %, as

mean values, over the control treatment for FFYM and CFYM, respectively. Concerning the effect of applied mineral forms on nitrogen on soil organic matter content, the obtained data in Table (3) indicate that the organic matter values were affected slightly. These beneficial effects were more obvious with urea application, however, the soil was still poor in organic matter content, since it ranged 0.76- 0.99, as mean values.

b. Available water range:

Regarding soil available water range, it could be noticed that a pronounced increase occurred due to FYM application, especially in case of CFYM, which could be due to its ability to retain a relatively high content of moisture. Also, the positive effect of CFYM may be associated with a favourable condition of soil aggregation, and in turn increasing water holding pores that increasing the range of available water.

c. Soil pH:

Data present in Table (3) indicate that the application of organic manure led to reduce soil pH, where applying FYM slightly decreased its value as compared to the control treatment. The relative decrease in soil pH was more pronounced in the soil treaded with CFYM, this finding is expected due to the released organic acids the composition of FYM. Therefore, such added materials should be recommended for improving pH of soil media. Similar results were obtained by Abdel Aziz *et al.* (1996) and Mohamed *et al.* (1998).

d. Soil Salinity:

Data present in Table (3) reveal that the values of soil salinity (T.S.S.) tended to decrease due to addition of manures as compared to the untreated soil by 13.18 and 29.46%, as mean values for FFYM and CFYM, respectively. The decrease in T.S.S may be related to salt removal due to creation of conductive pores associated with favourable soil aggregation. These results are in harmony with those reported by Abdel- Aziz *et al.* (1996) and Aziz *et al.* (1998)

e. Soil CEC:

With respect to the values of cation exchange capacity (CEC), data presented in Table (3) reveal that the application of organic manures showed a positive effect on CEC, where its values increased as a result of FYM addition as compared to the control treatment. The increment in CEC values reached 2.34 and 9.88, as mean values, for FFYM and CFYM, respectively. This trend may be due to demonstrated CEC of manure composted profoundly increased as colloidal organic material increased. These results are in agreement with those reported by Saharinen *et al.* (1996).

f. Available macronutrient status:

As indicated in Table (3) the positive effects of applied organic manures on available contents of N, P and K followed the order of: CFYM > FFYM > control. This trend is more related to the initial contents of these nutrients in both FFYM and CFYM. It could be noticed that soil treated with CFYM gained N, P and K with about 47, 11and 472 mg/kg vs about 41, 10 and 418 mg/kg for soil treated with FFYM and about 39, 9 and 39.5 mg/kg for untreated soil. This is due to composting activation and bacteria or fungi activities during that period increased the released nutrients from organic substances in soil into available forms. Moreover, the formation of organic acids could be responsible for releasing nutrients in available forms in soil

EFFECT OF SOME ORGANIC MANURES AND MINERAL....... 81

(Badran *et al.*, 2000). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Awad (1994).

| M manure types | types types Mineral N | | vailable ter range %. | H (1-2.5 water spension) | .S.S % | CEC /100g soil) | Avail ma (| able cont acronutrie mg/kg so | ents of ents oil) | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| FY | | U H | A wa | lq sus | L | (mg | Ν | N P K | | |
| | Dil. HNO ₃ | 0.809 | 14.3 | 7.96 | 0.227 | 17.81 | 40.95 | 9.70 | 413.64 | |
| h h | Amm. nitrate | 0.806 | 14.1 | 8.03 | 0.210 | 18.01 | 43.01 | 10.02 | 437.52 | |
| res | Urea | 0.839 | 15.9 | 8.07 | 0.228 | 17.72 | 41.84 | 9.75 | 414.44 | |
| цц | Control | 0.793 | 13.6 | 8.10 | 0.231 | 15.16 | 38.65 | 9.85 | 408.28 | |
| | Mean | 0.810 | 14.5 | 8.04 | 0.224 | 17.06 | 41.11 | 9.83 | 418.47 | |
| | Dil. HNO ₃ | 0.954 | 17.8 | 7.69 | 0.190 | 18.65 | 45.78 | 10.75 | 475.20 | |
| ost A | Amm .nitrate | 0.986 | 18.3 | 7.81 | 0.184 | 18.85 | 52.09 | 11.15 | 492.88 | |
| du VV | Urea | 1.063 | 19.6 | 7.96 | 0.171 | 18.56 | 49.40 | 10.80 | 480.60 | |
| CO | Control | 0.969 | 18.1 | 8.09 | 0.184 | 19.08 | 40.18 | 1050 | 439.08 | |
| | Mean | 0.990 | 18.5 | 7.84 | 0.182 | 18.79 | 46.86 | 10.80 | 471.94 | |
| | Dil. HNO ₃ | 0.757 | 12.1 | 8.16 | 0.258 | 16.96 | 39.72 | 8.60 | 393.68 | |
| ol | Amm nitrate | 0.750 | 12.8 | 8.13 | 0.265 | 17.16 | 41.08 | 10.40 | 401.08 | |
| ontr | Urea | 0.766 | 139 | 8.15 | 0.252 | 16.98 | 40.11 | 8.80 | 395.24 | |
| ŭ | Control | 0.750 | 12.0 | 8.11 | 0.255 | 17.30 | 36.10 | 8.40 | 391.40 | |
| | Mean | 0.760 | 12.7 | 8.14 | 0.258 | 17.10 | 39.25 | 9.04 | 395.35 | |

Table (3): Some soil properties after sugar beet harvest.

Regarding the effect of N-mineral fertilizers used, it could be noticed that the soil N, P and K contents followed: Amm. nitrate > Urea > Dil.HNO₃ > control. The aforementioned trend may be due to amm. nitrate as a source of N could have affected rhizospher pH via the cation/anion uptake balance H^+/OH^- (Florijn *et al.*, 1992).The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained by Antoun and Besada., (1990) who showed that soil NPK increased with the application of different organic manures as well as the N, P and K increased gradually during the whole period. El-Ghazoli (1998) indicated that application of different organic manures increased soil available P.

II. <u>Sugar beet characters as affected by applied organic manures</u>: a. Vegetative characters:

Table (4) reveal that organic manuring gave a positive significant effect on plant height either after 16 or 25 weeks from planting as compared to the control treatment. Composted FYM increased plant height at both ages and the difference was significant at 25 weeks from planting. Mineral nitrogen fertilization also significantly increased plant height at both ages regardless of the source of added nitrogen. The interaction of FYM manuring and mineral N fertilization followed the previous trend under both applied manure forms, where the highest value was 32 cm for the composted FYM combined with ammonium nitrate treatment at the two ages, while the lowest one (20 cm) resulted from the control without FYM-N treatment.

Concerning leaf number, manuring with FFYM or CFYM was significantly effective in increasing leaf number per plant with significant difference between both FYM forms at the two ages. Mineral nitrogen application also increased leaf number significantly at both ages as compared with N untreated plots. An exception in urea at 16 weeks age, where it resulted in an average value of 20.67 leaves/plant. It was observed that no significant differences among the used N sources for leaf number/plant in both ages, with one exception of diluted HNO₃ that was surpassed urea at 25 weeks age. Generally, the highest values of leaf number were obtained from combination of FFYM either with amm. nitrate after 16 weeks or with Dil. HNO₃ after 25 weeks from planting.

The superior vegetative growth resulted in superior plant fresh and dry weights as shown in Table (4). Each of applied manure form or N-mineral fertilizers significantly increased the shoot fresh and dry weights. Among treatments, CFYM was superior in affecting both shoot fresh and dry weights at both ages. The corresponding fresh and dry weights were 1.3-2.1 kg, 377-609g for CFYM + amm. Nitrate at 16 - 25 weeks, respectively. On the other hand, ammonium nitrate was superior to the other two nitrogen forms in both ages, and diluted HNO₃ to urea in the middle plant age (16 weeks). Amm. nitrate resulted in the highest fresh weight values through all plant ages.

The enhancing effect of N on plant growth, in general, was associated with the combined treatment of CFYM and ammonium nitrate could be attributed to its beneficial effects on stimulation of the meristimatic activity for producing more tissues and organs. Moreover, N plays a major role on protein, nucleic acids synthesis, and protoplasm formation (Marschner, 1986). Similar results were obtained by Habib *et al.* (2001), Tugnoli (2002) and Moursi *et al.* (1984) who found that nitrogen application was increased significantly the fresh and dry weights of sugar beet plants.

b. Bio chemical composition of leaves:

The effect of organic manure and N-mineral fertilizers on chlorophyll A, B and carotene could be identified from the data of Tables (4 a and b) for the samples of 16 and 25 weeks ages, respectively. CFYM was significantly superior to FFYM, which was also significantly superior to control for increasing chlorophyll A and carotene contents in leaves of both 16 and 25 weeks ages, while both CFYM and FFYM were superior significantly for increasing chlorophyll B as compared to the control treatment. The effects of mineral nitrogen forms were statistically the same effect at 16 weeks age, but 1 > 2 > 3 were significantly different at 25 weeks age in their effects on chlorophyll A, B and carotene.

Nitrogen sources Age Parameters FYM Mean L.S.D. at 0.05 (week) (1)(2)(3) (4) A 28 26 27 24 26.25 FYM 3.40 29 32 30 28 29.75 3.30 В Ν 16 С 20 22 23 18 20.75 FYMN 5.72 Plant Mean 26.7 26.0 26.3 17.5 height 55 58 53 46 53.00 FYM 4.90 A (cm) 58 54 Ν 2.98 В 64 60 59.00 25 С 52 50 46 40 47.00 FYMN 5.16 57.00 55.30 53.00 46.70 Mean A 24 23 28 20 23.75 FYM 2.40 В 28 27 25 24 26.00 Ν 3.40 16 С 12 12.75 FYMN 5.89 15 14 10 22.3 20.67 22.33 18.00 Number of Mean 44 41.25 FYM 5.82 leaves 42 46 33 A В 46 45 48 43 45.50 2.99 Ν 25 FYMN С 32 33 35 27 31.75 5.18 Mean 40.60 40.00 43.00 34.33 1.20 1.05 1.10 0.75 1.03 FYM 0.07 A 1.30 В 1.12 1.17 0.94 1.13 Ν 0.07 16 С 0.90 0.80 0.85 0.37 0.73 FYMN 0.11 Fresh 0.95 Mean 1.13 1.04 0.69 weight (leaves) in A 1.80 1.60 1.56 1.23 1.55 FYM 0.07 В 2.10 2.05 2.00 1.87 2.00 Ν 0.07 kg 25 С 1.20 1.11 1.10 0.83 1.06 FYMN 0.14 Mean 1.70 1.59 1.55 1.31 348.0 304.5 319.0 217.5 297.3 FYM 69.86 A 377.0 324.8 272.6 339.3 328.4 Ν 86.30 В 16 Dry С 261.0 232.0 246.5 107.3 211.7 FYMN 149.0 weight Mean 328.0 287.1 301.6 198.9 (leaves) in 522.0 464.0 452.4 356.7 448.8 FYM 88.24 А 609.0 594.5 580.0 542.3 В 581.5 Ν 116.5 g 25 С 348.0 321.9 319.0 240.7 307.2 FYMN 201.0 493.0 379.9 Mean 459.9 450.5

A: FFYM, B: CFYM, C: Control, 1: Amm. Nitrate, 2: Urea, 3: Diluted HNO₃ and 4: Control

| Daramatara | | EVM | | Nitrogen | sources | Maan | | + 0.05 | |
|------------|-------------|------|-------|----------|---------|------|-------|----------|--------|
| Parame | eters | ΓIM | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | Mean | L.S.D. a | 1 0.05 |
| | - | А | 9.90 | 8.65 | 9.6 | 7.89 | 9.00 | FYM | 0.93 |
| | oro II ∕ | В | 13.63 | 13.33 | 12.0 | 9.40 | 12.30 | Ν | 1.10 |
| nts | Chlo Shy | С | 8.70 | 7.88 | 7.2 | 6.66 | 7.60 | FYMN | 2.12 |
| me | i D | Mean | 10.70 | 9.90 | 9.9 | 7.90 | | | |
| pig V.) | | Α | 3.44 | 3.12 | 3.7 | 2.88 | 3.30 | FYM | 0.95 |
| tic F.V | oro 11 E | В | 4.93 | 4.50 | 4.0 | 3.10 | 4.10 | Ν | 0.74 |
| the g/g | Chlo | С | 3.30 | 3.20 | 2.2 | 2.14 | 2.70 | FYMN | 1.28 |
| syn (m, | 1 | Mean | 3.89 | 3.60 | 3.3 | 2.70 | | | |
| otos | e | Α | 1.87 | 1.62 | 1.6 | 1.53 | 1.67 | FYM | 0.13 |
| Pho | ten | В | 1.75 | 1.70 | 1.7 | 1.65 | 1.71 | Ν | 0.21 |
| | arc | С | 1.24 | 1.28 | 1.2 | 1.13 | 1.23 | FYMN | 0.37 |
| | 0 | Mean | 1.60 | 1.50 | 1.6 | 1.50 | | | |
| | 9 | Α | 1.23 | 1.10 | 0.8 | 0.52 | 0.93 | FYM | 0.64 |
| | S. ` | В | 1.43 | 1.32 | 1.2 | 0.68 | 1.20 | Ν | 0.48 |
| | T.S. | С | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.4 | 0.38 | 0.54 | FYMN | 0.83 |
| jars | | Mean | 1.10 | 1.00 | 0.8 | 0.52 | | | |
| Sug | | Α | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.39 | FYM | 0.10 |
| • • | % . | В | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.5 | 0.38 | 0.54 | Ν | 0.06 |
| | R.S | С | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.24 | 0.28 | FYMN | 0.13 |
| | Ι | Mean | 0.49 | 0.42 | 0.4 | 0.30 | | | |
| | | Α | 2.00 | 2.10 | 2.1 | 1.47 | 1.90 | FYM | 0.15 |
| Niture en | 0/ | В | 2.30 | 2.22 | 2.2 | 2.00 | 2.30 | Ν | 0.29 |
| nuroge | ×II %0 | С | 1.58 | 1.50 | 1.7 | 1.36 | 1.50 | FYMN | 0.50 |
| | | Mean | 1.96 | 1.94 | 2.0 | 1.61 | | | |
| | | Α | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.3 | 0.20 | 0.25 | FYM | 0.08 |
| Dhamha | | В | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.3 | 0.20 | 0.26 | Ν | 0.05 |
| Phospho | rus % | С | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.3 | 0.20 | 0.23 | FYMN | 0.09 |
| | | Mean | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.3 | 0.20 | | | |
| | | А | 1.40 | 1.20 | 1.5 | 1.00 | 1.30 | FYM | 0.19 |
| Deterio | | В | 1.60 | 1.50 | 1.3 | 1.10 | 1.40 | N | 0.16 |
| Potassiu | 111 % | С | 1.20 | 1.10 | 1.3 | 0.80 | 1.10 | FYMN | 0.28 |
| | | Mean | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.4 | 0.96 | | | |

 Table (4a): Effect of FYM and mineral nitrogen treatments on some biological and chemical components of sugar beet leaves at 16 weeks age.

A: FFYM, B: CFYM, C: Control, 1: Amm. Nitrate, 2: Urea, 3: Diluted HNO₃ and 4: Control

Mineral nitrogen fertilization was significantly superior to the control treatment of (4) in all cases, with exception of carotene content in 16 weeks age. This may be due to increasing photosynthetic activity in sugar beat leaf area by N fertilization as reported by Mahmoud *et al.* (1990) and Saif (1991). The higher chlorophyll contents of leaves increased activity of key stomata enzymes of the Calvin cycle (Roob and Terry, 1994 and Mostafa, 1996).

Concerning total soluble sugar (T.S.S.) and reducing sugar (R.S.) concentrations (Tables 4 a and b), data show that both the 16 and 25 weeks ages responded similarity. CFYM was significantly superior to the control in increasing T.S.S%, while the differences between CTYM, FFYM and control were significant in the case of R.S.%. The effects of mineral nitrogen forms

failed to show any significant increase over the control treatment. Amm. nitrate (treatment 1) being an exception, where it showed significant difference over the control treatment (4) in the case of T.S.S.%.

| Parameters | | EVM | | Nitroger | sources | Maan | | + 0.05 | |
|------------|---------------|--------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|----------|---------|
| | | L I MI | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | Mean | L.S.D. a | it 0.05 |
| | | Α | 11.50 | 11.00 | 10.77 | 8.9 | 10.50 | FYM | 0.08 |
| | oro II A | В | 13.45 | 13.77 | 13.00 | 11.50 | 12.90 | Ν | 0.08 |
| nts | Chlo | С | 10.70 | 9.75 | 9.00 | 8.87 | 9.58 | FYMN | 0.01 |
| me | Од | Mean | 11.90 | 11.50 | 10.90 | 9.80 | | | |
| pig V.) | 1 | Α | 4.34 | 4.18 | 3.88 | 3.00 | 4.48 | FYM | 0.21 |
| F.V | oro 11 B | В | 4.88 | 4.72 | 4.60 | 3.88 | 4.52 | N | 0.12 |
| the g/g | Chlo | С | 3.80 | 3.75 | 3.22 | 2.66 | 3.40 | FYMN | 0.21 |
| syn (mį | | Mean | 4.34 | 4.20 | 3.90 | 3.18 | | | - |
| otos | e | Α | 2.72 | 2.70 | 2.68 | 2.36 | 2.60 | FYM | 0.02 |
| Pho | ten | В | 3.98 | 3.90 | 3.85 | 3.20 | 3.70 | Ν | 0.23 |
| | aro | С | 2.63 | 2.55 | 2.60 | 2.00 | 2.40 | FYMN | 0.04 |
| | C | Mean | 3.11 | 3.05 | 3.00 | 2.52 | | | |
| | 9 | Α | 11.76 | 10.48 | 10.00 | 8.82 | 10.3 | FYM | 1.40 |
| | .S.S. 9 | В | 12.00 | 11.82 | 11.57 | 10.00 | 11.40 | Ν | 1.80 |
| | | С | 11.00 | 9.80 | 9.68 | 9.56 | 10.00 | FYMN | 3.10 |
| gars | T | Mean | 11.60 | 10.70 | 10.40 | 9.50 | | | |
| Sug | _ | А | 2.69 | 1.64 | 1.53 | 1.45 | 1.80 | FYM | 0.14 |
| | %. | В | 2.86 | 1.77 | 1.68 | 1.58 | 2.00 | Ν | 0.10 |
| | R.S | С | 1.66 | 1.45 | 1.42 | 1.25 | 1.40 | FYMN | 0.17 |
| | [| Mean | 2.40 | 1.62 | 1.50 | 1.40 | | | |
| | | А | 2.37 | 2.25 | 2.45 | 2.00 | 2.30 | FYM | 0.16 |
| Nitro oo | m 0/ | В | 2.40 | 2.30 | 2.37 | 1.79 | 1.70 | Ν | 0.11 |
| nitroge | ×11 %0 | С | 1.89 | 1.55 | 1.97 | 1.42 | 1.70 | FYMN | 0.19 |
| | | Mean | 2.22 | 2.00 | 2.30 | 1.70 | | | |
| | | А | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.300 | FYM | 0.08 |
| Dhoopho | m a 0/ | В | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.35 | Ν | 0.08 |
| Phospho | rus % | С | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.29 | FYMN | 0.13 |
| | | Mean | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.28 | | | |
| | | А | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.60 | 1.30 | 1.60 | FYM | 0.03 |
| Dotacsin | um 0/ | В | 1.70 | 1.90 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 1.60 | Ν | 0.09 |
| rotassit | 1111 70 | С | 1.40 | 1.30 | 0.90 | 0.60 | 1.60 | FYMN | 0.15 |
| | | Mean | 1.60 | 1 70 | 1 30 | 1.07 | | | |

| Table (4b): | Effect | of FYM | and | nitrogen | treatments | on | some | biological | and |
|-------------|--------|----------|------|-------------|--------------|------|---------|------------|-----|
| | chem | ical com | onen | nts of suga | r beet leave | s at | : 25 we | eks age. | |

Mean1.601.701.301.07A: FFYM, B: CFYM, C: Control, 1: Amm. Nitrate, 2: Urea, 3: Diluted HNO₃ and 4: Control

On the contrary mineral N-forms were of significant effects on R.S.%, as shown in the order 1 > 2 > 3 > 4. Thus, reducing sugars was tended to be more sensitive to the used treatments than T.S.S.%. The results indicated that the usage of organic manure significantly enhanced leaf chlorophyll, carotene, total carbohydrates and mineral contents over the control treatment at both ages. The effect of organic manure on photosynthetic pigments and nutritional status of sugar beet leaves could be attributed to the role of non symbiotic N₂-

fixing bacteria, the availability of nutrients, the modification of root growth morphology and physiology through hormonal exudates of organic fertilizer bacteria, which resulting in more efficient absorption of available nutrients. The aforementioned factors are considered main components of photosynthetic pigments (Jagnow *et al.*, 1991). The same authors concluded that the high levels of glucose in plant grown with N and supplied by biofertilizer or N-mineral was derived from photosynthesis and not degradation of starch. High N treatments reduced CO_2 incorporation process into starch by 50%. The reducing sugar or sucrose percentage was increased by increasing N rate up to 90 kg/fed (Kandil, 1993).

c. Nutrient uptake by leaves:

Data in Tables (4a and b) represent N, P and K uptake by sugar beet leaves, and application of organic manures significantly increased N, P and K uptake over the control treatment after 16 weeks, as well as, N and K after 25 weeks. Nitrogen fertilization also significantly raised the amounts of N, P and K uptake at both ages of 16 and 25 weeks. Combination of FYM manuring and N-mineral fertilizers also was generally of positive significant effect. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Dragovic *et al.*(1996); Steven (1998) and Guiping *et al.* (1998) who reported that N contents of leaves and roots of sugar beet were greater with nitrogen fertilizer than without it (control) and increased up to a plateau at 120 kg N/fed. Such effect may be attributed to a positive correlation between N supply and plant growth. The positive influences on leaf N and K contents of sugar beet plant could be resulted from increasing N dose (Wiedenfeld, 1986).

d. Root characters and yield:

Table (5) represents root length, diameter and yield as affected by manures and N-mineral fertilizers. The statistical analysis of data revealed that organic manuring significantly increased root length and diameter with superiority of CFYM to FFYM along growth periods of 16 and 25 weeks. The significant increases in both characters were observed also by N-mineral application at the end of the two studied growth periods. Among nitrogen forms, ammonium nitrate was significantly superior to diluted HNO₃ in 16 weeks for root length, urea and diluted HNO₃ in 25 weeks for root length. Urea also was superior to diluted HNO₃ in 25 weeks for root length. In case of root diameter, all nitrogen sources were statistically as the same at 16 week age, but at 25 the effective trend was as follows: ammonium nitrate> diluted HNO₃ > urea for the significance.

Farmyard manure either fresh or composted increased significantly both fresh and dry weight of roots over the control at 16 or 25 weeks age. In all cases, however, CFYM was significantly superior to FFYM. Nitrogen application reflected also significant increases in fresh and dry root weights in general.

| | Age | | | Nitrogen | sources | | | I G D | |
|------------|--------|------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
| Parameters | (week) | FYM | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | Mean | L.S.D. at 0.05 | |
| - | | А | 22.5 | 20.8 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 19.55 | FYM | 2.88 |
| | 1.0 | В | 25.0 | 23.5 | 24.4 | 18.9 | 22.95 | Ν | 2.79 |
| DI (| 16 | С | 19.5 | 17.8 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 16.10 | FYMN | 4.80 |
| Plant | | Mean | 22.3 | 20.5 | 18.9 | 16.1 | | | |
| neight | | Α | 39.5 | 36.7 | 34.6 | 31.6 | 35.6 | FYM | 0.14 |
| (cm) | 25 | В | 38.2 | 36.8 | 37.0 | 35.8 | 36.9 | Ν | 0.15 |
| | 23 | С | 33.5 | 31.0 | 28.7 | 26.8 | 31.0 | FYMN | 0.26 |
| | | Mean | 37.1 | 34.8 | 33.4 | 31.4 | | | |
| | | Α | 28.0 | 25.5 | 24.0 | 20.0 | 24.25 | FYM | 1.20 |
| | 16 | В | 30.0 | 36.0 | 32.5 | 28.4 | 31.70 | Ν | 1.90 |
| | 10 | С | 18.0 | 20.5 | 24.0 | 14.0 | 19.25 | FYMN | |
| Root | | Mean | 25.5 | 27.2 | 26.8 | 20.8 | | | |
| width (cm) | | Α | 28.7 | 46.0 | 48.0 | 44.7 | 46.90 | FYM | 0.22 |
| | 25 | В | 50.0 | 47.9 | 49.0 | 44.0 | 47.90 | Ν | 0.18 |
| | | С | 39.0 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 34.0 | 37.00 | FYMN | 0.31 |
| | | Mean | 45.9 | 43.6 | 45.2 | 40.0 | | | |
| | | А | 1.0 | 0.95 | 1.05 | 0.85 | 0.96 | FYM | 0.19 |
| | 16 | В | 1.8 | 1.60 | 1.56 | 1.30 | 1.57 | Ν | 0.15 |
| | 10 | С | 0.7 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.50 | FYMN | 0.26 |
| Fresh | | Mean | 1.17 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 0.78 | | | |
| weight (g) | | Α | 1.85 | 1.76 | 1.64 | 1.11 | 1.59 | FYM | 0.07 |
| | 25 | В | 2.60 | 2.35 | 2.30 | 1.70 | 2.20 | Ν | 0.07 |
| | 23 | С | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.45 | 0.80 | 1.24 | FYMN | 0.11 |
| | | Mean | 2.00 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.20 | | | |
| | | Α | 242.0 | 225.4 | 251.4 | 212.5 | 232.8 | FYM | 1.00 |
| | 16 | В | 365.7 | 308.2 | 384.5 | 289.1 | 336.9 | Ν | 0.99 |
| Der | 10 | С | 135.3 | 98.2 | 145.9 | 56.7 | 109.0 | FYMN | 1.70 |
| Dry | | Mean | 247.7 | 210.6 | 260.6 | 186.1 | | | |
| (kg) | | Α | 448.0 | 416.0 | 369.0 | 278.0 | 377.7 | FYM | 53.00 |
| (Kg) | 25 | В | 528.0 | 464.0 | 566.0 | 378.0 | 484.0 | Ν | 63.00 |
| | 23 | С | 271.0 | 255.0 | 352.0 | 227.0 | 267.3 | FYMN | 109.0 |
| | | Mean | 415.7 | 378.3 | 429.0 | 294.0 | | | |

A: FFYM, B: CFYM, C: Control, 1: Amm. Nitrate, 2: Urea, 3: Diluted HNO₃ and 4: Control

Concerning N forms, the effect of ammonium nitrate was significantly higher than urea at the 16 weeks sampling time, as well as, urea and diluted HNO_3 after 25 weeks in case of fresh yield. Diluted HNO_3 was the highest followed by ammonium nitrate and urea with significant difference among them in case of dry yield after 16 weeks, but all forms were statistically same after 25 weeks. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Eckhoff (1995), Azzazy (1998) and Basha (1999) who found that nitrogen application enhanced root enlargement which respecting to increments both of root length and diameter of sugar beet. Also, Goyal *et al.* (1982) and Moursi *et al.* (1984) demonstrated that nitrogen application increased significantly the fresh and dry yield of roots.

e. Sugar parameters:

Table (6) represents the values of total soluble solids, total soluble sugars, reducing sugar, sucrose, juice purity percentages and sugar yield. As for all these parameters, organic manuring caused significant increases, with superiority of CFYM to FFYM with exception of total soluble solids and reducing sugar, where the effect of CFYM was statistically similar to that of FFYM.

| Table (6): E | affect of content, | FYM and mineral nitrogen treat purity and yield in juice of sugar be | ments on sugar eet roots. |
|--------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1 | | Nitrogen sources | |

| Darameters | FVM | | Nitro | | LSD at 0.05 | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|------------------|------|-------------|-------|----------|--------|
| 1 arameters | 1 1 1 1 1 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | Mean | L.S.D. (| u 0.05 |
| T 1 | А | 18.00 | 20.0 | 18.2 | 20.0 | 19.10 | FYM | 0.64 |
| Total | В | 19.80 | 22.4 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 19.60 | Ν | 0.48 |
| Solids (%) | С | 20.40 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 18.8 | 17.30 | FYM.N | 0.83 |
| Bolids (70) | Mea | 19.40 | 19.1 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 18.67 | | |
| Total | А | 56.50 | 53.2 | 46.5 | 32.6 | 47.20 | FYM | 2.24 |
| soluble | В | 63.20 | 59.9 | 53.4 | 33.2 | 52.40 | Ν | 2.17 |
| sugars (%) | С | 39.90 | 29 ^{.8} | 23.3 | 20.6 | 28.40 | FYM. | 3.76 |
| | Mea | 52.90 | 47.6 | 41.0 | 28.8 | 42.67 | | |
| | А | 1.70 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 0.90 | 1.35 | FYM | 0.36 |
| Reducing | В | 1.90 | 1.60 | 1.40 | 1.20 | 1.53 | Ν | 0.62 |
| sugars | С | 1.30 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.05 | FYM. | 1.07 |
| (70) | Mea | 1.60 | 1.40 | 1.25 | 0.93 | 1.31 | | |
| a | А | 14.82 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 14.29 | FYM | 0.24 |
| Sucrose | В | 17.63 | 16.6 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 15.74 | Ν | 0.17 |
| (%) | С | 12.66 | 11.0 | 1Î.3 | 10.3 | 11.33 | FYM. | 0.92 |
| | Mea | 15.00 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 13.7 | | |
| | А | 82.30 | 71.8 | 78.0 | 68.2 | 75.08 | FYM | 4.76 |
| Juice purity | В | 86.90 | 74.2 | 82.4 | 77.0 | 80.13 | Ν | 2.41 |
| (%) | С | 62.00 | 73.3 | 75.5 | 55.0 | 66.45 | FYM. | 4.17 |
| | Mea | 77.07 | 73.1 | 78.6 | 66.7 | 73.89 | | |
| Curcan | Α | 218.0 | 197. | 183. | 187. | 196.7 | FYM | 124.8 |
| Sugar | В | 234.4 | 223. | 210. | 198. | 216.9 | Ν | 124.1 |
| (g/nlant) | C | 189.7 | 174. | 122. | 113. | 149.7 | FYM. | 214.9 |
| (S plant) | C | 2 | 00 | 10 | 28 | 6 | N | 6 |
| | Mea | 214.0 | 198. | 172. | 166. | 187.8 | | |

A: FFYM, B: CFYM, C: Control, 1: Amm. Nitrate, 2: Urea, 3: Diluted HNO₃ and 4: Control

Mineral nitrogen application increased these parameters with exception of total soluble solids and reducing sugar, as follows in a descending order of ammonium nitrate > urea > diluted HNO₃, with a significant difference between each other being their effects on total soluble sugar, sucrose percentage and sugar yield. Diluted HNO₃, however, reduced total soluble solids significantly than the other two N-forms and control as well as increased juice purity significantly over urea.

Leaf contents of chlorophyll A, B, carotene, total soluble solids, reducing sugar, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were put under consideration. Concerning their relation with juice purity or total sugar yield, correlation coefficients were calculated as Table (7) clarifies. It is obvious that the only significant coefficient was that between juice purity and potassium content in leaves whether at 16 or 25 weeks age. Therefore, juice purity could be calculated by knowing the K% in leaves at 16 or 25 weeks age from the following regression equations:

J.P.%= $50.86+14.93K_{16}$ % or = $54.12+10.82K_{25}$ %, respectively

| The offected factors | | Plant age (weeks) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| $\frac{1110}{(\mathbf{V})}$ | Juice | purity | Total sugar yield | | | | | | |
| (1). | 16 | 25 | 16 | 25 | | | | | |
| Chlorophyll A | -0.023 | 0.158 | -0.060 | -0.026 | | | | | |
| Chlorophyll B | 0.107 | 0.188 | -0.097 | -0.007 | | | | | |
| Carotene | 0.135 | 0.011 | -0.126 | -0.035 | | | | | |
| Reducing sugars | 0.088 | -0.229 | -0.075 | -0.143 | | | | | |
| Total soluble solids | -0.229 | 0.269 | -0.018 | -0.045 | | | | | |
| N content | 0.157 | 0.140 | -0.019 | -0.190 | | | | | |
| P content | 0.043 | -0.003 | -0.206 | -0.251 | | | | | |
| K content | 0.297* | 0.296* | -0.082 | -0.169 | | | | | |
| The significant l | imit or Tal | ole (r) at d | $f 46 = \pm 0.23$ | 85 | | | | | |

Table (7): Correlation coefficients of juice purity and total sugar yield with some effective factors.

REFERENCES:

- **A.O.A.C.** (1990). Association of Official Methods of Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis in the D.N. Washington D.C. U.S.A.
- Abdel-Aziz, S.M., EL.Fayoumy, M.E., Gomaa, MH, and Ramadan, H.M. (1996). Soil and crop responses to applied soil conditioners. Alex. Sci. Exch., 17 (3): 261.
- Antoun, G.G and Besada, Y.B (1990). Nitrogen transformation during mineralization of some organic materials in clay soil. Agricultural Research Review, 68(2): 373.
- Awad, A.M.A (1994). Crop residues effects on soil organic matter, wheat yield and nutrients dynamics. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Alexandria University, Egypt
- Aziz, M.A., Sallam, M.F.A. El. Gendy, A.M. and Abd El-Moniem, M. (1998). Effect of natural soil conditioners and irrigation on some chemical properties of sandy soils of Inshas and cucmber yield. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 38 (1): 377.
- Azzazy, N.B. (1998). Effect of sowing data, irrigation interval and nitrogen, fertilization on yield and quality of sugar beet under Egypt conditions. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 76 (3): 1099-1113
- Badran, N.M.; Khalil, E.A.and El-Emam, M.A.A (2000). Availability of NPK in sandy and clayey soil as affected by the addition of organic materials .Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 40 (1-2): 265.

- Basha, H.A. (1999). Response of two sugar beet cultivars to level and method of nitrogen application in sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 26 (1): 11-26.
- Black, C.A.(1965). Methods of Soil Analysis. Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, Wisconsin.
- **Carter, J.N. (1986a).** Potassium and sodium uptake by sugar beets as affected by nitrogen fertilizer rate, location and year. J. Am. Soc. Sugar Beet Technol., 23: 121-141.
- Carter, J.N. (1986b). Potassium and sodium uptake effects on sucrose concentration and quality of sugar beet roots. J. Am. Soc. Sugar Beet Technol., 23: 183-202
- Carter, J.N. and D.J. Traveller (1981). Effect of time and amount of nitrogen uptake on sugar beet growth and yield. Agron. J., 73: 665-671.
- **Dragovic, S; L. Maksimovic and Karagic (1996).** Effect of stand density on formation of leaves and leaf area of sugar beet under irrigation. Journal of Sugar Beet Research, 33: 45-54.
- Dubios, M.K.A.; J.K. Gilles; Hamiltan; P.A. Robers, and F. Smith, (1951). Determination of reducing sugars and carbohydrates. Anal. Chem., 28, 350 (1956); Nature, 168, 167 (1951).
- Eckhoff, J.L.A. (1995). Split application of nitrogen on irrigated sugar beet. Journal of Sugar Beet Research, 32:4.
- El-Ghazoli, M.A. (1998). Studies on some orgnic residues enriched with some macro and micronutrients. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric at Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Florijn, P.J., Nelemans, J.A. and Van Beusichem, M.I (1992). The influence of the form of nitrogen nutrition on uptake and distribution of cadmium in lettuce varieties . J. of Plant Nutrition, 15, 2404
- **Goyal, S.S.; O.A. Lorenzy and R.G. Huffakor** (1982). Inhibitory effects of ammonical nitrogen on growth of radish plants. I: Characterization of toxic effects of NH₄⁺ on growth and its alleviation by NO₃⁻. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 107 (1): 125-129.
- Guiping, Yan; Wenhua, Li.; Feng M.A Ming,; G.P. Jan; W.H. Li and M.A. FM (1998). Research on glutamate dehydrogenase activity in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) under different nitrogen levels. Journal of Northeast Agric. University, English Edition, 5 (2): 171-181; 13 reg. (Computer Research).
- Habib, F.M.; M.A. Negm, and M.M. Hassan, (2001). Composting of sugar beet residue. A study on conditions and period of composting. Egypt. J. of Agric. Res., 79 (2): 373-383.
- Jagnow, G.; G. Hoflich and K. Hoffman (1991). Inoculation of non symbiotic rhizosphere bacteria. Possibilities of increasing and stabilizing yields. Amgew Botanic, 65: 97-120.
- Kandil, A.A. (1993). Response of some sugar beet varieties to potassium fertilizer under salinity conditions toward rational use of high salinity tolerant plant. Vol.2, Agric. and forestry under marginal soil water condition. Proceeding of the ASWAS Conference, 8-15 Dec. 1990.
- Le-Docte, A. (1927). Commercial determination of sugar in beet root using the sachs. Le Docte Process. Int. Sug., J. 29: 448-492.
- Mahmoud, E.A.; N.A. Khalil and S.M. Bekheet (1990). Effect of nitrogen fertilization and plant density on sugar beet root weight, root top, and sugar yield and sugar quality. Proc. 4th Conf. Agron., Cairo, 15-16 Sept., 2: 447-454.

EFFECT OF SOME ORGANIC MANURES AND MINERAL....... 91

- Marschner, H. (1986). Mineral Nutrition in Higher Plant. Academic Press, Harcout Brace. Jovanovish Publisher, pp. 6-74.
- Mohamed, S.A, Atta, S.K.H.Z. and Hassan, M.A. (1998). Effect of nitrogen fertilization organic matter application and surface drainage period of flooded rice growth and some physico- chemical changes in the soil. Egypt. J. Soil Sci., 38 (1-4): 467.
- Mostafa, M.M. (1996). The effect of N, P and K fertilizers on growth, yield and some physiological characters of sugar beet. M.Sc. Thesis. Fac. of Agric. at Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Moursi, M.A.; A. A. Abd El-Gowad; A.S. El-Tabbakh; A.A. Abd El-Halim and I.H. El- Geddawy (1984). The relative importance of some yield components of sugar beet and their relationship with nitrogen and potassium fertilizer. 2nd Conf. Agric. Res. Center, Egypt.
- Negm, M.A., Madlain M. Salib and H.El-Zaher (2003). A field trial on biocomposite and sulphur applications for improving the productivity of soil calcareous in nature. Fayoum J. Agric. Res. and Dev., 17 (1): 77-89.
- **Oliveira, M.D., C.F. Carranca, M.M. Oliveira and M.R. Gusmao (1993).** Diagnosing nutritional status of sugar beet by soil and petiole analysis. 8th International Colloquium for the Optimizing Plant Nutrition Proceeding, Klawer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, pp. 147-151.
- Page, A.I.; Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R, (Eds.) (1982): Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nd Edition, Amer. Soc. of Agron., Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- **Petrovic, N. and R. Kastori (1992).** Production of leafy vegetable with the low concentration of nitrate. Proceeding of the 2nd Congress of the European Society of Agronomy, pp. 422-423 (c.f. Soils and Fert. 5 (5) [1467].
- Roob, T.K. and N. Terry (1994). Nitrogen sources regulation of growth and photosynthesis in Beta vulgaris L. Plant Physiology, 105 (4): 1159-1160.
- Saharinen, M.H.; Vuorinen, A.H.; Hostikka, M. (1996). Effective cation exchange capacity of manure compost of varying maturity stages determined by the saturation displacement method. Soil Sci. and Plant Analysis, 27 (15-17): 2917- 2923.
- Saif, L.M. (1991). Yield and quality of sugar beet as affected by nitrogen sources and rate of some micro-elements in Kafr El Sheikh. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Selim, A.F.H. and F.H. El-Ghinbihi, (1999). Studies on sugar beet plants (*Betavulgaris,L.cv.*Raspoly) as affected by NPK nutrients in sand cultures.
 II: Water relations, photo-synthetic pigments and some biochemical aspects. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 24 (1): 1851.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. (Eds) (1960): Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Mc Graw-Hill, New York, USA.
- **Steven R.W.** (1998). Sugar beet response to residual and applied nitrogen in Texas. J. of Sugar Beet Research, 35: 43-62.

Talling, J.F. and D. Driver (1963). Some problems in the estimation of chlorophyll A in phytoplankton. Proceeding, Conference of Primary Productivity Measurement, Marine and freshwater, Hawaii U.S. Atomic Energy Comm. TID 7633:142-146.

Tugnoli, V. (2002). Quality compost: effective help for sugar beet. Mando-Macchina, National SugarBeet Association, Italy, 11(1): 26-29.

Wiedenfeld, R.P. (1986). Rate timing and slow release nitrogen fertilizers on bell pepers and muskmelon. Hort. Sci., 21: 233-235.

تأثير بعض المخصبات العضوية ومصادر النتروجين المعدني على نمو ومحصول وبعض صفات بنجر السكر النامي في ارض جيرية

رأفت نظمى زكى معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت تجربة حقلية على أرض جيرية بمنطقة النوبارية لاستبيان مدى استجابة بنجر السكر لإضافات من كلا السماد البلدي الطازج أو المكمور بمعدل ١٠ طن/ فدان بالاشتراك مع ٤٠ كجم نتروجين/ فدان في صور مختلفة (يوريا، نترات أمونيوم، محلول مخفف من حمض النيتريك). وقد أخذت عينات نباتية بعد ١٦، ٢٠ أسبوع من الزراعة لتحديد حالة النمو الخصري (طول النبات، عدد الأوراق، طول وعرض الجذور)، وقد تم تحليل العرش والجذور كيميائيا لتقدير المحتوى من كلوروفيل B&A، الكاروتين، السكر الكلى الذائب والسكريات المختزلة.

وتشير النتائج المتحصل عليها إلى أن إضافة السماد البلدي في صورتية (الطازجة والمكمورة) قد أدى إلى تحسين كثير من صفات التربة ممثلة في المحتوى من المادة العضوية، Soil pH، ملوحة التربة، السعة التبادلية الكاتيونية، المحتوى الميسر من عناصر النتروجين، الفسفور، البوتاسيوم، مع أفضلية للسماد البلدي المكمور، إما بالنسبة للقياسات النباتية (النمو الخضري، المحصول، تركيز السكر ونقاوتة) فقد أتضح إن أفضل المعاملات تأثيرا كانت تلك المشتركة ما بين السماد البلدي المكمور ونترات الأمونيوم، كما وجد أن هناك علاقة إيجابية موكدة ما بين نقاوة السكر وتركيز البوتاسيوم في الاورق. وتدل النتائج على أن المحتوى من السكريات المخترلة كان أكثر تأثرا بالمعاملات تحت الدراسة مقارنة بالمحتوى من السكريات الكلية الذائبة.