Benha Journal of Applied Sciences (BJAS) **print: ISSN 2356–9751**
Vol. (6) Issue (5) Part (1) (2021), (117-121) **online: ISSN 2356–976x**
http://bjas.journals.ekb.eg

Hip Injuries in Young Athletes

S.M.Zahed, A.I.Bakre, A.H.Foad and M.H.Mabrouk
Orthopedic Surgery, Dept., Faculty of Medicine, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt
E-mail:Drm4455@yahoo.com

Abstract

Orthopedic doctors frequently evaluate biomechanical factors such as joint movement and muscle strength to determine if impairment occurs. This information is very significant since it provides information on the functional effects of disease. Recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of hip pathology have been achieved. The knowledge of hip diseases has developed and more specialised tests and physical exams are being utilised simultaneously to provide a more precise and specific diagnosis. Recovery may be quicker with intra-articular arthroscopy than with open surgery. Recent studies have demonstrated that hip arthroscopy surgery is beneficial and may lead to symptomatic alleviation and a quicker return to sport. The aims of joint preservation are to restore stability and decrease pathological stress on the acetabular border without causing joint incongruity. Conclusion. Recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of hip pathology have been achieved. Hip arthroscopy is successful and may lead to a symptom alleviation and return to sport. Orthopedics frequently evaluate biomechanical factors such as joint movement and muscle force to see whether damage occurs.

Keywords: Hip Injuries, Arthroscopic Intra-Articular Surgery, Open Surgery.

1. Introduction

Athletes in their daily activities are exposed to tremendous pressures and ranges of movement. The hip joint is strongly impacted by extra tension and is susceptible to injury [1].

Hip injuries may be a major cause of athletic impairment. Amateur and professional athletes may suffer from discomfort, mechanical problems and a substantial athletic decrease. The hip of the athlete may, depending on sport, be exposed to different extra- physiological pressures which can cause acute or chronic hip damage. These forces may be on anterior, medial, or rotary planes [2].

Mechanisms for injury may be abrupt or overuse recurrent. Athlete hip injuries are frequently identified as extra-articular or intra-articular diseases [3].

Pain is the most frequent symptom in hip injured athletes. It is an unspecified pathological indication that may be seen on the groyne, lateral hip and/or buttocks. The athlete may also complain about mechanical symptoms (catching and painful clicking). The athlete may also complain of density and restricted movement. These symptoms may be described in the instances of femoroacetabular impingement by musculoskeletal guarding or quadratuslumborum, or ossic restriction [3].

Examinations are also crucial for impingement indications. A complete radiological training of a hip injured athlete comprises plain x-rays with anterior and lateral views. Ultrasound, arthrography of magnetic resonance, and computed tomography are other useful techniques to visualise damaged hip [1].

Athletic hip injury treatment must be carried out quickly and should depend on the kind and degree of the injury[1]. Conservative treatment for rest, intra-articular injections and physical therapy [5].

Suitable physical therapy is a cornerstone of successful hip injury treatment in young athletes. The goal of exercise is to enhance the function, discomfort or disease of specific activities by selecting or avoiding them [6].

Hip problems not resolved over a period of three to six months may necessitate hip arthroscopy; many athletes have been freed of their discomfort, and are returning to high activity quickly. The effectiveness of this procedure depends on a correct arthroscopic technique, a well established rehabilitation programme and a suitable patient selection [1].

2. The aim of this work

Is to through some lights on the different causes of sports hip injuries in young athletes, how to diagnose the pathology by a full history and clinical and radiological workup and to explore the new effective techniques in management of athletic hip injuries.

Clinical Diagnosis of Hip Injuries

Pain is the most frequent symptom in hip injured athletes. It is an unspecified pathological indication that may be seen on the groyne, lateral hip and/or buttocks. The athlete may also complain about mechanical symptoms (catching and painful clicking). The athlete may also complain of density and restricted movement. These symptoms may be described in the instances of femoroacetabular impingement by musculoskeletal guarding or quadratuslumborum, or ossic restriction [3].

A transient loss in coordination and in musculoskeletal balance may also contribute to the risk factors of adolescent hip disease as the limb length grows at an earlier rate throughout puberty than the limb mass. As well as the resultant inflexibility of hip flexors and hamstrings, sprains, strains and avulsion fractures predispose the young athlete. In addition, open physical and developing cartilage are more likely to cause damage and shear stress that may lead to premature detention of the physis, apophysical avulsion fractures and chondral injuries [7].

A recent comprehensive examination found the most frequent causes of hip-related pain in athletes included FAI (32%), athletic pubalgia (24%), adductor-related disease (12%) and inguinals (10 percent).

Three, four The possible overlap of various clinical entities needs the integration of a thorough medical history, physical examination, and x-rays to ensure that the hip pain patient group has an appropriate diagnosis[8].

A complete medical and operational history focusing on the hip joint, the surrounding soft tissue and the related structures of spine, pelvic and lower extremities must involve a thorough examination of the hip. These information may lead the physical exam and offer insight into the source of the main symptoms of the patient. A suitable test comprises physical examination, while the patient is right, supine, susceptible and lateral, and a gait assessment. The physical exam, guided by a comprehensive history, allows the surgeon to differentiate between intraarticular and extraarticular contributor to hip pain, choose suitable imaging methods, and ultimately assist medical decision-making[9].

Plain x-rays should be taken if pathology is suspected. Centered AP pelvic views may show acetabulary version (i.e., crossover sign and conspicuous ischial spine sign), acetabulary dysplasia (i.e. acetabulary index), and femoral head under- or overclocking (ie, lateralcenter-edge angle). In patients with suspected hip dysplasia or instability, anterior acetabular covering is evaluated using a false profile view. An aberrant head-to-neck connection may be determined by a cross table lateral or Dunn view seen as an elevated α-angle (>55 °)[10].

The anterior and posterior radiographic rims of the acetabulum normally connect or meet on the lateral border of the brow. When the acetabulum is reverse, the front of the acetabulum span unnaturally extends over the anterolateral femoral head and crosses the relatively vertical (and frequently poor) back border of the acetabulum medial to the lateral edge of the eyebrow, thereby producing a crossing sign[11].

The retroversion of acetabulary and pelvic ischial spines is excessively pronounced. A somewhat medial acetabulum, typically deep, is characterised as coxa deep or protrusian acetabuli. In the coxa deep the lateral teardrop line meets or is medial to the ilioish line. The medial border of the femoral head in protrusioacetabuli is medial to the ilioish line. Joint space thickness is a secondary OA indication. Anterior femoral head acetabular coverage is evaluated on the standing view of fake profiles[11].

The proximal femoral head-neck connection and pathological morphology are described. For an anterolateral examination and the frog leg to evaluate the anterior head-neck connection, we recommend the 45° Dunn view. Functional Supine AP x-rays may be suggested in an adduction, flexion, abduction and internal rotation (ie, Rosen view) to evaluate joint congruency with the hip[12].

MRI and magnetic resonance arthrography are useful to assess for soft-tissue pathologies. Whereas noncontrast MRI can detect osteonecrosis,pre-slip slipped capital femoral epiphysis, and tendon injury, magnetic resonance arthrography is more effective at demonstrating labral and articular cartilage pathologies [7].

CT with three-dimensional

reconstruction can aid in the assessment of complex bony deformities about the hip, but it should be used sparingly because of the high radiation [7].

Treatment of Hip Injuries

Treatment of the athletic hip injuries must be rapidly as possible and depend on the type and the severity of injury[1].

Conservative treatment as rest, physical therapy, intra-articular injections [5]. **Appropriate physical therapy** is a cornerstone for effective management of hip injury in the young athlete. The aim of exercise is to improve function pain or pathology through the selection or avoidance of particular activities [6].

Hip injuries that do not resolve over the course of a 3-6 month time period should prompt hip arthroscopy; many athletes have been relieved of pain, and are promptly returning to their high levels of activity. Proper arthroscopic technique, a well-defined rehabilitation protocol, and appropriate patient selection are critical for success of this technique[1].

Joint-preserving surgical techniques: The goals of joint preservation are to restore stability and reduce pathologic stress on the acetabular rim without creating joint incongruity and resulting impingement.

1. Acetabular redirectional osteotomy is effective in relieving symptoms. Occasionally, adjunctive proximal femoral realignment osteotomy may be indicated [13].
2. For the skeletally immature child, such as one in whom the triradiate cartilage is open, triple innominate osteotomy can be effective in the correction of acetabular dysplasia [14].
3. For the skeletally mature patient, Bernese periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) and rotational acetabular osteotomies (eg, Nakamura, Schramm, Wagner) have demonstrated variable efficacy[15].

Femoroacetabular Impingement

FAI is divided into two distinct types: cam and pincer. Cam impingement is caused by the femur, whereas the impingement of pincer is caused by acetabulum. Combined cam/pincers are very frequent anomalies. A Dunn or lateral frogleg radiograph[13] evaluates the α-angle(i.e., radiographical evaluation of the relative pathological prominence of the anterolateral head-neck junction).

FAI Surgical Management: Open, arthroscopic and combination methods are refined. FAI has many etiologies and may be seen simultaneously with structural hip instability. Secondary FAI is a concern after surgery to repair or contain femoral head structural instability [16].

Slipped Femoral Epiphysis Capital SCFE

The femoral head is later positioned on the femoral neck using conventional SCFE. Displaced femoral anterolateral prominence of metaphysical (i.e. bump) frequently causes pathologic cam-impingement and then early degenerative wear of the previous acetabulary labrum and surrounding joint cartilage. In contrast, when the femoral head is more severe, the extremely prominently metaphysical bump may reach the border of the acetabulum and therefore can injure the intraarticular acetabular cartilage less directly [17].

Femoral proximal osteotomy PFO

The majority of redirectional PFOs used in order to treat reverse slip deformation are performed on an intertrochanteric level and involve flexion, internal rotation and occasionally osteotomy valgus. The anterolateral technique (for example, Watson-Jones) offers both PFO exposure and anterior hip capsulotomy. Alternatively, a modified Smith-Petersen technique exposes the hip joint before, and the proximal femur is exposed via a second incision utilising a lateral approach. Intertrochanteric osteotomy takes place at the lower trocan and is secured with a blade plate. An end-to-second non-wedge application of the pieces of osteotomy is desired. The distal fragment is bent, rotated internally and preceded by the proximal piece. Femoral shaft adjustment enables total hip arthroplasty (THA), typically later in age. Even after a successful redirectional PFO, the significant metaphysical metaphysical bump may still affect the bending and internal rotation of the acetabulum. Anterolateral osteochondroplasty combined with osteotomy restores a more normal anterior offset of the head and the neck and therefore reduces anterolateral FAI [14] to a minimum.

Osteochondroplasty and PFO via Surgical Dislocation

Several authors utilised transtrochanteric surgical hip dislocalization to control exposure to slip deformity during proximal femoral repair and/or osteochondroplastics. Surgical dislocation enables the femoral head and acetabulum to be optimally seen. Complete anterior and lateral medial osteochondroplasty may be needed for the proximal femur. The cartilage of the labrum and acetabulary joint is examined, debridged and/or mended. The osteotomy may alternatively be done and fixed by the proximal femoral intertrochanteric redirection (flexion and rotation) with a blade plate. The trochanter is either integrated into the insertion plate of the blade or subsequently put around the implanted blade plate independently. The latter is preferable. Ganz et al. [17] also conducted osteotomy via the genuine surgical neck; only 1 of 21 patients had osteonecrosis. In North America's unique report to date on real osteotomy of the ossic neck and surgical hip dislocation, osteonecrosis has occurred in 3 out of 5 hips [18].

Treatment of arthroscopy

Recovery may be quicker with intra-articular arthroscopic operation than with open surgery. Arthroscopic options include labral debridement, labral repair, synovectomy partial, chondroplasty, microfracture, removal of loosed corpses, ligament teres debridement and acetabular rib and/or femoral headneck joint osteochondroplasty [19].

3. Discussion

In fact, moderate types of FAI and/or labral disease in young active patients are the most frequent indications for hip arthroscopy. For cameras, the combination of hip arthroscopy and restricted open osteochondroplasty at the femoral head neck joint may be helpful. Patterns of femoral and acetabular impingement diseases are also conducive to arthroscopic treatment.

Hip arthroscopy may be used as a complement to hip structural surgery. Arthroscopic treatment of labral, articular and ligament teris lesions, for example, may be coupled with PAO to control intra-articular acetabular dysplastic disease. We suggest that in certain instances hip arthroscopy may allow more accurate intraarticular disease treatment than previous PAO arthrotomy [20, 21].

Hip Replacement and Arthrodesis

A small number of adolescent and young adult patients fail surgical treatment or have severely advanced hip degeneration at the time of presentation. For this subgroup of young patients with end-stage joint disease and severe symptoms, surgical options usually include THA and hip arthrodesis. The goals of management are to provide pain relief, improve function, and avoid complications[22].

Total hip arthroplasty (THA)

Elective treatment due to degenerative diseases for individuals with hip discomfort. Highly efficient treatment that alleviates pain and improves quality of life function [22].

Suitable for individuals who have not followed other conservative treatments, such as injections of corticosteroids, physical therapy, weight loss or prior therapy [22].

These operations are frequently technically difficult in young patients due to prior operations or significant structural deformations. THA requires thorough preoperative templating to maximise hip repair and guarantee that suitable implants are available during an operation. Specific plans should be established for surgical approach, hardware removal and bone stock increase. For young people it is important to explore alternative bearing materials

(i.e., metal-on-metal, ceramic-on-ceramic, highly cross-linked polyethylene)[23].

4. Conclusion

Recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of hip pathology have been achieved. Hip arthroscopy is successful and may lead to a symptom alleviation and return to sport. Orthopedics frequently evaluate biomechanical factors such as joint movement and muscle force to see whether damage occurs.

References

[1] M.J. Philippon, and M.L. Schenker, Athletic hip injuries and capsular laxity. Operative Techniques in Orthopaedics. vol.15(3), pp.261-266, 2005.

[2] Y.Tsai, J.McCrory, T.Sell, P.Draovich, M.Philippon, J.Myers, and S.Lephart. HIP STRENGTH, FLEXIBILITY, AND STANDING POSTURE IN ATHLETES WITH AN ACETABULAR LABRAL TEAR: PL12. journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical.vol.34(1),pp.155-180,2004.

[3] M.Philippon, Arthroscopy of the hip in the management of the athlete. Operative arthroscopy. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven.vol.15,255-268,2003.

[4] M.J.Philippon, S.C.Faucet, and K.K.Briggs, Arthroscopic hip labral repair. Arthroscopy techniques.vol.2(2),pp.73-76,2013.

[5] D.J.Magee,and R.C.Manske, Orthopedic Physical Assessment-E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences.vol.17,pp123-145,2020.

[6] K.L.Bennell,and R.S.Hinman,A review of the clinical evidence for exercise in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport.vol.14(1),pp.4-9,2011.

[7] J.S.Frank, P.L.Gambacorta, and E.A.Eisner, Hip pathology in the adolescent athlete. JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.vol.21(11),pp.665-674,2013.

[8] C.A.Agten, R.Sutter, F.M.Buck, and C.W.Pfirrmann. Hip imaging in athletes: sports imaging series. Radiology.vol.280(2),pp.351-369,2016.

[9] S.Frangiamore, S.Mannava, A.G.Geeslin, J.Chahla, M.E.Cinque, and M.J.Philippon, Comprehensive Clinical Evaluation of Femoroacetabular Impingement: Part 1, Physical Examination. Arthroscopy Techniques.vol.6(5),pp.1993-2001,2017.

[10] P.L.Schoenecker, J.C.Clohisy, M.B., Millis, and D.R.Wenger, Surgical management of the problematic hip in adolescent and young adult patients. JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.vol.19(5),pp.275-286,2011.

[11] J.C.Clohisy, J.C.Carlisle, P.E.Beaulé, Y.J.Kim, R.T.Trousdale, R.J.Sierra, M.Leunig, P.L.Schoenecker, and M.B.Millis, A systematic approach to the plain radiographic evaluation of the young adult hip. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American .vol. 90 ,pp.47-66,2008.

[12] D.Allen, P.E.Beaulé, O.Ramadan, and S.Doucette, Prevalence of associated deformities and hip pain in patients with cam-type femoroacetabular impingement. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume.vol.91(5),pp.589-94,2009.

[13] J.C.Clohisy, L.C.St John, R.M. Nunley, A.L.Schutz, and P.L.Schoenecker, Combined periacetabular and femoral osteotomies for severe hip deformities. Clin Orthop Relat Res.vol. 467(9),pp.2221-7,2009.

[14] R.F.Santore, , T.R.Turgeon, , W.F.Phillips, , 3rd, and S.R.Kantor, Pelvic and femoral osteotomy in the treatment of hip disease in the young adult. Instructional course lectures.vol. 55,pp.131-44,2006.

[15] J.C.Clohisy, P.E.Beaulé, A.O'Malley, M.R.Safran, and P. Schoenecker, AOA symposium. Hip disease in the young adult: current concepts of etiology and surgical treatment. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume.vol. 90(10),pp. 2267-81,2008.

[16] J.Parvizi, M.Leunig, and R.Ganz, Femoroacetabular impingement. The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.vol.15(9),pp.561-70,2007.

[17] R.Ganz, T.W.Huff, and M.Leunig, Extended retinacular soft-tissue flap for intra-articular hip surgery: surgical technique, indications, and results of application. Instructional course lectures.vol.58,pp. 241-255,2009.

[18] K.Ziebarth, C.Zilkens, S.Spencer, M.Leunig, R.Ganz, and Y.-J.Kim, Capital realignment for moderate and severe SCFE using a modified Dunn procedure. Clinical orthopaedics and related research.vol.467(3),pp.704-716,2009.

[19] J.W. Byrd, and K.S. Jones, Prospective analysis of hip arthroscopy with 2-year follow-up. Arthroscopy : the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association.vol. 16(6),pp.578-87,2000.

[20] A.Hartmann, and K.P.Günther, Arthroscopically assisted anterior decompression for femoroacetabular impingement: technique and early clinical results. Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery.vol.129(8),pp.1001-9,2009.

[21] C.M.Larson, and M.R.Giveans, Arthroscopic management of femoroacetabular impingement: early outcomes measures. Arthroscopy : the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association.vol.24(5),pp.540-6,2008.

[22] C.Restrepo, T.Lettich, N.Roberts, J.Parvizi, and W.J.Hozack, Uncemented total hip arthroplasty in patients less than twenty-years. Acta orthopaedica Belgica.vol.74(5),pp.615-22,2008.

[23] D.Haber, and Goodman, S.B. Total hip arthroplasty in juvenile chronic arthritis: a consecutive series. The Journal of arthroplasty.vol.13(3),pp.259-65,1998.