

## Effect of the School Meal on Improving The Health Status of Children Ages (6-12) Years

Adel A.Moaty , Nehad R. EL-Tahan and Ramiz M. Salahelden

Nutrition and Food Science Department., Faculty of Home Economics,  
Menoufia University, Shebin El-kom , Egypt.

### Abstract

This study was designed to determine the effect of school meal on improving the health status of children (6-12 years old). The study was conducted on 100 male children. The meal contained 70 g fino bread, 40 g tahini sweet, 30 g cooked cheese and 150 g orange fruit for a period of 6 months. The nutritional status of children was determined by a 3-day and 24 h. recall and food habits questionnaire. Body composition was analyzed by body mass index was calculated. Blood samples were collected to determine hemoglobin and red blood cells. The results showed that there was a marked improvement in the health status of children after they were given the school meal. The school meal supplies children 41.771% calorie, protein, 36.7% and fat 44.81%, while carbohydrates were 46.67% .Calcium, iron and zinc were 29.10%, 19.49% and 41.33%, respectively in the school meal .The hemoglobin level and red blood cells were improved after eating the school meal. There was statistically significant differences in BMI before and after eating school meal: (P value  $\leq$  0.05); it was higher in after eating school meal than before 17.66 vs. 16.62 respectively. So, these results can be recommended that school children need a good meal in order to grow in a healthy way and provide them with protection from diseases of deficiency and malnutrition.

**Keywords:** School meal, children, health, improvement, hemoglobin.

## Introduction

School meals are one way in which the programmers operate. Children are fed breakfast, lunch or both in school, These meals can be prepared in schools in the community or be delivered from centralized kitchens. Some in-school meal programmers provide complete meals and while others provide high energy biscuits or snacks ( **Visith et al., 2009**).

The school meals are improved micronutrient intake and macronutrient intake leads to enhanced nutrition and child health, increased learning and decreased morbidity for students. School meals can help to get children into school and to keep them there, through enhancing enrolment and reducing absenteeism. School meals transfers resources to households, averting negative coping strategies and allowing investments in productive assets. School meals is often linked to health and nutrition/essential package interventions. School feeding favors spin offs to community development and local production, in particular when food is being sourced from poor, small-holding farmers (**Lillian et al., 2012**).

Nutrition programs and subsequent healthy school programs showed that the academic performance and mental ability of students with good nutritional status are significantly higher than those of pupils with poor nutritional status (**Daneshkazemi and Davari, 2015**).

School meals support good nutrition throughout the school day. Program participants are less likely to have nutrient inadequacies and are more likely to consume fruits, vegetables, and milk at breakfast and lunch. For school breakfast, similar dietary benefits are observed among students attending schools that provide breakfast at no cost to all students, when compared to students who eat away from school or through a traditional means-tested breakfast program. For school lunch, researchers conclude “school lunches provide superior nutrient quality than lunches obtained from other sources, particularly for low-income children. This is consistent with other studies comparing school lunches to packed lunches brought from home or elsewhere. School meals support and improve student physical and mental health, including weight-related outcomes. For instance, free or reduced-price school lunches reduce rates of poor health by at least 29 percent and rates of obesity by at least 17 percent, based on estimates using national data.<sup>26</sup>

Multiple studies find an association between school breakfast participation and lower body mass index (BMI), lower probability of being overweight, and lower probability of obesity. School breakfast, including breakfast offered at no cost to all students in a school, also has been linked with fewer visits to the school nurse, particularly in the morning,<sup>31</sup> and positive impacts on mental health, including reductions in behavioral problems, anxiety, and depression (**Fletcher& Frisvold,2017 and Fox & Gearan,2019**).

The main objective of the present study was studied the effect of the school meal on improving the health status of children ages (6-12 years).

## **Subjects and methods**

### **Subjects**

This study was carried out on 100 male who were chosen randomly from primary schools in Menouf city, Menoufia Governorate, Egypt. Aged was from 6 to 12 years. The study was carried out in early October 2018 and ended in March 2019.

### **Instrumentation**

The instrument of this study consisted of a structured interviewing questionnaire. Questionnaire consisted of three parts. The first was to collect the data about the anthropometric measurement. The second part was to collect data about socio-economic parameters while the third part was about the diet and food intake by using 24h. recall method .

### **Methods**

#### **Socio-economic parameters**

Socio- economic status was included the educational level of father, the educational level of mother, family size and family income.

#### **Food habits**

Food habits was to collect data about number of consumed meals, omitted meals, snacks, opinions of students about variable items of different food groups ( **Fouque et al., 2007**).

#### **Daily food intake**

The daily food intake had been assessed from the data collected by using 24-hours recall method. This included consumed foods in

breakfast, lunch , dinner and snacks between meals or after dinner (KDOQI, 2000).

### **Anthropometric measurements**

The body weight was measured in light cloth and without cloth. Height was measured by measuring tape. BMI was calculated as the ratio of body weight in Kg divided by the square of the height in meters (Din-Mohammadi and Pourmemari, 2003).

### **Laboratory investigation**

Hemoglobin and red blood cells were carried out according the methods of Dacie and Lewis (1998).

### **Statistical analysis**

The results were analyzed using SPSS for Windows (Version 10.0) statistical software and expressed as Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Analysis of variance between groups was performed using one-way ANOVA test followed by Duncan's multiple range test at a significance level of ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) (SPSS,1998 ).

### **Results and discussion**

The results of table (1) represent the characteristics of social variables. With respect to sex, all children were male with (100%). For father's education, the higher percentage of children having secondary education to their fathers (54.0%); however (12.0%) having primary, but the lowest percentage was universal (8.0%). In case of mother's education, the higher percentage of children having secondary education to their mothers (40.0%); however (8.0%) having universal, but the lowest percentage was illiterate (6.0%).The majority of children having daily income (54.0%); followed by monthly (48.0%). The high percentage of children having 4 persons (46.0%) as family size; followed by 5 persons (34.0), but the lowest percentage was 7 persons (6.0%)

Table (1) the characteristics of social variables

| Variable                  | Study Sample (100) |              |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|                           | Frequency          | Percent %    |
| <b>Sex</b>                |                    |              |
| Male                      | 100                | 100.0        |
| Total                     | 100                | 100.0        |
| <b>Father's Education</b> |                    |              |
| Primary                   | 12                 | 12.0         |
| Preparatory               | 26                 | 26.0         |
| Secondary                 | 54                 | 54.0         |
| University                | 8                  | 8.0          |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>100</b>         | <b>100.0</b> |
| <b>Mother's Education</b> |                    |              |
| Illiterate                | 6                  | 6.0          |
| Primary                   | 30                 | 30.0         |
| Preparatory               | 16                 | 16.0         |
| Secondary                 | 40                 | 40.0         |
| University                | 8                  | 8.0          |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>100</b>         | <b>100.0</b> |
| <b>Income</b>             |                    |              |
| Monthly                   | 48                 | 48.0         |
| Daily                     | 52                 | 52.0         |
| Total                     | 100                | 100.0        |
| <b>Family Size</b>        |                    |              |
| 4Persons                  | 46                 | 46.0         |
| 5Persons                  | 34                 | 34.0         |
| 6Persons                  | 14                 | 14.0         |
| 7Persons                  | 6                  | 6.0          |
| <b>Total</b>              | <b>100</b>         | <b>100.0</b> |

Table (2) illustrate the characteristics of the food habits for children. For the number of meals you eating at a day, the highest percentage of children eaten three meals (82.0%); however 18.0% were eaten two meals. The lowest percentage of children omitted breakfast meal (18.0%); while the highest percentage of sample study none omitted meals (82.0%). In case of the cause of not eating breakfast, the highest percentage of sample study have other reasons (82.0%); while the lowest percentage of sample study have low appetite (6.0%). Concerning to the kind of food snacking

between meals, the highest percentages of children eaten Potato chips (42.0%); followed by sweets (30.0%); however the lowest percentage of sample study drunk juices (4.0%); followed by eaten fruits (6.0%).The majority of children added two sugar spoons to tea (84.0%); while the lowest percentage of sample study added three sugar spoons to tea (16%).The majority of children sometimes eaten pickles (84.0%); while the lowest percentage of sample study eaten pickles (6.0%); followed by wasn't eaten pickles (10.0%).With regard to drink tea directly after meals, the highest percentage of sample study wasn't drunk tea directly after meals (44.0%); followed by sometimes drunk tea directly after meals (40.0%); while the lowest percentage of sample study drunk tea directly after meals (16.0%). The majority of children added moderate salt to food (98.0%); sometimes drunk milk (78.0%); wasn't drunk soft drink during and after eating food (80.0%) and watched T.V during eating food (50.0%);while the lowest percentage of sample study added salty to food (2.0%), drunk milk (22.0%), drunk soft drink during and after eating food (2.0%) and watched T.V during eating food (10.0%). From the obtained results, it could be noticed that the majority of children ate three meals, ate potato chips as a snack between meals, sometimes drunk milk and sometimes drunk tea directly after meals. This indicated that the lack of calcium and iron due to lack of milk and drunk the tea . Eating the potato chips led to increase the weight gain and lack the appetite to healthy food (Fox & Gearan,2019 )

Table (2) the characteristics of the food habits

| Variable  | Study Sample (100) |           |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
|   | Frequency          | Percent % |
| <b>What is the number of meals you eating?</b>            |                    |           |
| Two Meals   | 18                 | 18.0      |
| Three Meals   | 82                 | 82.0      |
| More than three meals                                     | 0                  | 0.0       |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>What is the omitted meal?</b>                          |                    |           |
| None  | 82                 | 82.0      |
| Breakfast   | 18                 | 18.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>What is the Cause of not eating breakfast?</b>         |                    |           |
| Low Appetite  | 6                  | 6.0       |
| Non Habitual  | 12                 | 12.0      |
| Other reasons   | 82                 | 82.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>What is the Kind of food snacking between meals?</b>   |                    |           |
| Sweets  | 30                 | 30.0      |
| Juices  | 4                  | 4.0       |
| Sandwich  | 18                 | 18.0      |
| Fruits  | 6                  | 6.0       |
| Potato Chips  | 42                 | 42.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>What is the number of Sugar spoons you add to tea?</b> |                    |           |
| Two   | 84                 | 84.0      |
| Three   | 16                 | 16.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>Do you eat pickles?</b>                                |                    |           |
| Yes   | 6                  | 6.0       |
| No  | 10                 | 10.0      |
| Sometimes   | 84                 | 84.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>Do you drink tea directly after meals?</b>             |                    |           |
| Yes   | 16                 | 16.0      |
| No  | 44                 | 44.0      |
| Sometimes   | 40                 | 40.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>What is the quantity of salt in food?</b>              |                    |           |
| Moderate  | 98                 | 98.0      |

| Variable  | Study Sample (100) | Percent % |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
|   | Frequency          |           |
| Salty   | 2                  | 2.0       |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>Do you drink milk?</b>                                   |                    |           |
| Yes   | 22                 | 22.0      |
| Sometimes   | 78                 | 78.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>Do you have soft drink during and after eating food?</b> |                    |           |
| Yes   | 2                  | 2.0       |
| No  | 80                 | 80.0      |
| Sometimes   | 18                 | 18.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |
| <b>Do you watch T.V during eating food?</b>                 |                    |           |
| Yes   | 10                 | 10.0      |
| No  | 40                 | 40.0      |
| Sometimes   | 50                 | 50.0      |
| Total   | 100                | 100.0     |

The results of table (3) represent the means and standard deviations of nutrients intakes compared with **RDA (2013)**. It could be noticed that total protein, phosphorus, total iron, sodium, potassium and magnesium intake (64.43, 951.44, 13.99, 2433.39, 2103.11, 267.05 and 128.265), respectively which were higher than recommended dietary allowances; but calories, total fat, fiber, carbohydrates, calcium and zinc intake (1583.54, 61.63, 10,76, 192.86, 380.95 and 7.78) which were lower than recommended dietary allowances. It could be noticed that vitamin C, vitamin E, vitamin B2, niacin, vitamin B12 and folate intake (93.62, 15.09, 1.98, 17.08, 6.01 and 164.42) respectively by which were higher than recommended dietary allowances; but vitamin A, vitamin D, vitamin B1 and vitamin B6 intake (501.61, 1.12, 5.02 and 1.05) were lower than recommended dietary allowances. From the above results, it could be observed that the high intake of protein especially plant protein source, phosphorus, total iron especially plant source, sodium, potassium, magnesium intake and cholesterol led to problem for long period as effect of normal growth, anemia, lose teeth, abnormal bones, kidney



disease and high blood cholesterol to increase the fat animal source (**Daneshkazemi and Davari, 2015 and Fletcher& Frisvold,2017**).

**Table (3) Means and standard deviations of nutrients intakes compared with RDA**

| Nutrients intake  | Sample study (100)   |        |          |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------|----------|
|                   | Mean $\pm$ SD        | RDA    | % RDA    |
| Calories (kcal)   | 1583.54 $\pm$ 21.719 | 2030   | 78.01    |
| Protein A (g)     | 38.08 $\pm$ 13.484   | 20     | 214.76 % |
| Protein p (g)     | 26.35 $\pm$ 8.053    | 10     |          |
| Total protein (g) | 64.43 $\pm$ 14.424   | 30     |          |
| Fat A (g)         | 34.17 $\pm$ 12.497   | 28.7   | 71.66    |
| Fat P (g)         | 27.48 $\pm$ 9.035    | 57.3   |          |
| Total fat (g)     | 61.63 $\pm$ 15.372   | 86     |          |
| Carbohydrates (g) | 192.86 $\pm$ 15.491  | 225    | 85.71    |
| Fiber (g)         | 10.76 $\pm$ 2.707    | 30     | 35.86    |
| Ca (mg)           | 380.95 $\pm$ 17.139  | 800    | 47.61    |
| P (mg)            | 951.44 $\pm$ 12.168  | 700    | 135.92   |
| Iron A (mg)       | 4.56 $\pm$ 1.178     | 6.7    | 139.90   |
| Iron B (mg)       | 9.45 $\pm$ 1.930     | 3.3    |          |
| Total iron (mg)   | 13.99 $\pm$ 2.148    | 10     |          |
| Na (mg)           | 2433.39 $\pm$ 25.369 | 400    | 608.34   |
| K (mg)            | 2103.11 $\pm$ 27.386 | 1014.6 | 207.28   |
| Zinc (mg)         | 7.78 $\pm$ 1.045     | 10.53  | 73.88    |
| Mg (mg)           | 267.05 $\pm$ 14.80   | 150.42 | 177.53   |
| Vit A ( $\mu$ g)  | 501.61 $\pm$ 21.821  | 693.21 | 72.36    |
| Vit C (mg)        | 93.62 $\pm$ 15.839   | 45     | 208.04   |
| Vit D ( $\mu$ g)  | 1.12 $\pm$ 0.832     | 10     | 11.20    |
| Vit E ( $\mu$ g)  | 15.09 $\pm$ 1.778    | 7.31   | 206.42   |
| Vit B1 (mg)       | 5.02 $\pm$ 1.797     | 5.07   | 99.01    |
| Vit B2 (mg)       | 1.98 $\pm$ 0.144     | 1.21   | 163.63   |
| Niacin (mg)       | 17.08 $\pm$ 2.635    | 13.38  | 127.65   |
| Vit B6 (mg)       | 1.05 $\pm$ 0.564     | 1.35   | 77.77    |
| Vit B12 (mg)      | 6.012 $\pm$ 2.684    | 4.36   | 137.88   |
| Folate (mg)       | 164.42 $\pm$ 1.155   | 101.7  | 161.67   |
| Cholesterol (mg)  | 256.53 $\pm$ 24.587  | 200    | 128.26   |

Table (4) showed that the school meal supplies children 41.771% calorie, protein, 36.7% and fat 44.81%, while carbohydrates were 46.67% and given the proportion of calcium, iron and zinc, it was 29.10%, 19.49% and 41.33%, respectively, while the school meal was extended by 26.89% as well as 13.28% for both vitamin A and vitamin C, respectively. The academic performance and mental ability of students with good nutritional status are significantly higher than those of pupils with poor nutritional status (**Haapala and Probart, 2004**). Also, It concluded that children having a school meal had better quality diets. Improving the quality of packed lunches is difficult (**Charlotte, 2015**). School meals in twelve or more countries provide high-energy food with high nutritional values either free or at economical rates (**Aliyar et al., 2015**).

**Table (4) The average nutrient from a school meal (100g)**

| Nutrients intake | Amount % |
|------------------|----------|
| Calories( kcal)  | 41.6719  |
| Protein (g)      | 36.7008  |
| Fat (g)          | 44.8166  |
| Carbohydrate(g)  | 46.673   |
| Ca (mg)          | 29.1079  |
| Fe (mg)          | 19.9469  |
| Zn (mg)          | 41.3348  |
| Vit A ( $\mu$ g) | 26.8926  |
| Vit C (mg)       | 13.2868  |

Data presented in table (5) explained the differences in anthropometric measurements before and after eating school meal. There was statistically significant differences in height before and after eating school meal: (P value  $\leq 0.05$ ); it was higher after eating school meal than before  $126.10 \pm 11.440$  vs.  $124.15 \pm 11.453$  respectively. There was statistically significant differences in weight before and after eating school meal: (P value  $\leq 0.05$ ); it was higher after eating school meal than before  $28.01 \pm 8.000$  vs.  $26.16 \pm 7.449$  respectively. There was statistically significant differences in BMI before and after eating school meal: (P value  $\leq 0.05$ ); it was higher in after eating school meal than before  $17.66 \pm 1.959$  vs.  $16.62 \pm 2.333$

respectively. From that, children having a school meal had better quality diets. School meals gave high nutritional values which effect on the anthropometric parameters of children for a long time (*Aliyar et al., 2015*).

**Table (5) Anthropometric measures before and after eating school meal**

| Variable       | Before        | After         | Mean difference | T       | P value  |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
|                | Mean ±SD      | Mean ±SD      |                 |         |          |
| <b>Height:</b> |               |               |                 |         |          |
| Mean ± SD      | 124.15±11.453 | 126.10±11.440 | -1.95           | -12.073 | 0.000*** |
| <b>Weight</b>  |               |               |                 |         |          |
| Mean ± SD      | 26.16±7.449   | 28.01±8.000   | -1.85           | -5.358  | 0.000*** |
| <b>BMI:</b>    |               |               |                 |         |          |
| Mean ± SD      | 16.62±2.333   | 17.66±1.959   | -1.04           | -9.759  | 0.000*** |

\*\*\*  $P \leq 0.001$  :

Each value is presented as Mean ± standard deviation (n=100)

Data presented in table (6) explained the differences in blood analysis before and after eating school meal. There was statistically significant differences in hemoglobin before and after eating school meal: (P value  $\leq 0.05$ ); it was higher in after eating school meal than before  $12.37 \pm 0.703$  vs.  $10.85 \pm 0.667$  respectively. There was statistically significant differences in red blood cells before and after eating school meal: (P value  $\leq 0.05$ ); it was higher in after eating school meal than before  $3.99 \pm 0.325$  vs.  $3.57 \pm 0.419$  respectively. Increasing the level of hemoglobin due to containing the diet iron source and vitamin C which increase the absorption of iron especially plant source (*Lillian et al., 2012*).

**Table (6) Blood analysis before and after eating school meal**

| Variable               | Before      | After       | Mean difference | T       | P value  |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|----------|
|                        | Mean± SD    | Mean± SD    |                 |         |          |
| <b>Hemoglobin:</b>     |             |             |                 |         |          |
| Mean ± SD              | 10.85±0.667 | 12.37±0.703 | -1.52           | -59.807 | 0.000*** |
| <b>Red blood cells</b> |             |             |                 |         |          |
| Mean ± SD              | 3.57±0.419  | 3.99±0.325  | -0.424          | -30.270 | 0.000*** |

\*\*\*  $P \leq 0.001$  :

Each value is presented as Mean ± standard deviation (n=100)

## References

- Aliyar, R. ; Gelli, A. and Hamdany, S. H. ( 2015 ):** A Review of Nutritional Guidelines and Menu Compositions for School Feeding Programs in 12 Countries". *Frontiers in Public Health*.3: 148.
- Charlotte, E. E (2015):** Chapter Two - School-Based Interventions to Reduce Obesity Risk in Children in High- and Middle-Income Countries. *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research*.
- Dacie, A. and Lewis, J. (1998):** *Practical Hematology*. Churchill livingstone . New york, USA,PP.
- Daneshkazemi , D. and Davari, M.(2015):** Extruded rice fortified with micronized ground ferric pyrophosphate reduces iron deficiency in Indian schoolchildren: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Am. .J. Clin. Nutr.*, 84: 822-829.
- Din-Mohammadi, M. and Pourmemari, M. (2003):** Nutritional status of haemodialysis patients, [Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Zanjan] *Persian. J. Zanjan Med. Sci.*, 39(4): 180-186.
- Fletcher, J. M. and Frisvold, D. E. (2017):** The relationship between the School Breakfast Program and food insecurity. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 51(3): 481–500.
- Fouque, D. ; Vennegoor , M.; TerWee, P. ; Wanner, C. ; Basci, A.; Canaud, B.; Haage, P. ; Konner, K.; Kooman, J.; Martin-Malo, A.; Pedrini, L.; Pizzarelli1,F.; Tattersall1,J.; Tordoir, J. and Vanholder, R. (2007):** EBPG Guideline on Nutrition. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 22 (suppl 2):ii45-ii87.
- Fox, M. K. and Gearan, E. (2019):** *School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study:Summary of Findings*. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service.
- Haapala ,I, and Probart, C. ( 2004):** Food safety knowledge, perceptions, and behaviors among middle school students. *J. Nutr. Educationand Behavior*, 36(2):71-76.
- KDOQI National Kidney Foundation (2000):**Clinical practice guidelines for nutrition in chronic renal failure. *Am. J Kidney Dis (suppl 2)* 35:S1- 140.
- Lillian, M.; Anthony, W. and Joseph, M.(2012):** School and anemia prevention: Current reality and opportunities - a Tanzanian case study. *Health Promot. Int.*, 16 (4): 321-331.

**RDA (Recommended daily allowance) (2013):** RDA refers to the minimum daily intake that fulfills the needs of almost all healthy people in a particular life-stage or group. Encyclopedia of Human Nutrition (Third Edition).

**SPSS (1998):** Statistical package for social science, computer software, ver.10. SPSS company. London, UK. Statistics version 10. copyright 1995 Analytical software windows version 95.

**Visith, C.; Tippawan, P.; Sueppong, G.; Bruce, R.; Emorn, W. and Rosalind, S. G.(2009) :** A micronutrient-fortified seasoning powder reduces morbidity and improves short-term cognitive function, but has no effect on anthropometric measures in primary school children in northeast Thailand: a randomized controlled trial; Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 87 (6): 1715-1722 .

**تأثير الوجبة المدرسية على تحسين الحالة الصحية للأطفال****من سن (٦-١٢) سنة**

أ.د./ عادل عبد المعطي أحمد      أ.د./ نهاد رشاد الطحان  
 أستاذ التغذية وعلوم الاطعمه - كلية      أستاذ التغذية وعلوم الاطعمه - كلية  
 الاقتصاد المنزلي جامعة المنوفية      الاقتصاد المنزلي جامعة المنوفية

رامز محمد صلاح الدين فهمي

باحث ماجستير

صممت هذه الدراسة لتحديد أثر الوجبة المدرسية في تحسين الحالة الصحية للأطفال (٦-١٢) سنة، فأجريت الدراسة على ١٠٠ من الأطفال الذكور. واحتوت الوجبة على ٧٠ جرام خبز فينو، ٤٠ جرام حلوة طحينية، ٣٠ جرام جبن مطبوخ و ١٥٠ جرام فاكهة البرتقال لمدة ٦ أشهر. تم تحديد الحالة الغذائية للأطفال من خلال استرجاع المتناول اليومي من الغذاء من خلال استرجاع ٢٤ ساعة لمدة ٣ أيام واستبيان العادات الغذائية. تم حساب مؤشر كتلة الجسم. تم جمع عينات الدم لتقدير الهيموجلوبين وكرات الدم الحمراء . وأظهرت النتائج أن هناك تحسناً ملحوظاً في الحالة الصحية للأطفال بعد تناول الوجبة المدرسية. حيث أمدت الاطفال من الطاقة ٤٤,٧٧ % والبروتين ٣٦,٧% والدهون ٤٤,٨١% بينما الكربوهيدرات كانت ٤٦,٦٧ % . بينما الكالسيوم ، الحديد والزنك كانت ١٩,٤٩ % ، ٢٩,١٠ % ، ٤١,٣٣ % على التوالي في الوجبة المدرسية. مستوى الهيموجلوبين وخلايا الدم الحمراء قد تحسن بعد تناول الوجبة المدرسية. وجد اختلافات معنوية ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) ما بين مؤشر كتلة الجسم قبل وبعد تناول الوجبة المدرسية حيث كانت اعلى بعد تناول الوجبة المدرسية عن قبلها ١٧,٦٦ مقابل ١٦,٦٢ على التوالي. لذلك توصى هذه النتائج بأن أطفال المدارس يحتاجون إلى وجبة جيدة من أجل النمو بطريقة صحية وتوفير الحماية لهم من أمراض نقص وسوء التغذية .

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** الوجبة المدرسية، الأطفال، الصحة، التحسن، الهيموجلوبين.