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#### Abstract

A general, simple and straight forward approach was used for the aqueous phase synthesis of bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes via phosphotungstic acid as catalyst and provides efficient and environmentally benign route. Knoevenagel-type condensation followed by Michael reaction was carried between 4-hydroxycoumarin and an aldehyde in water as a solvent in shorter duration with high yields. Coumarin is a biological active chemical compound found in many plants, notably in high concentration in the Tonka bean, woodruff, and bison grass. Coumarins have potential in therapeutic application as anticoagulant and sustaining agents, they have results as antibiotics and antitumor drug. We have determined various biological properties of the synthesized compounds on basis of pharmacophores, structures. We docked the above synthesized compounds and evaluated hydrogen bonding, steric interaction with both enzymes (carbonic anhydrase and glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase). Also, structural activities relationship of the compounds in reference molecular modelling, Lipinski rule of five, drug likeness, toxicity profiles were determined.




Keywords: Bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes, carbonic anhydrase, biological properties, docking.

## 1 Introduction

In last decade, an enhancement in the number of different chemotypes other than the sulfonamides were reported, who showed as potential inhibitor for carbonic anhydrase (CAs, EC 4.2.1.1). CAs play crucial roles in processes connected with respiration and transport of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ bicarbonate, pH and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ homeostasis, electrolyte secretion in a variety of tissues/organs, biosynthetic reactions, bone resorption, calcification, tumori-genicity, and many other physiologic or pathologic processes thoroughly studied in vertebrates [1-5]. The carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs) belong to four main classes: (i) sulfonamides, (ii) phenols, (iii) the polyamines, and (iv) the recently reported class of effective CAIs, the coumarins. The main problem
encountered with this class of CAIs is related either to the low solubility in aqueous media for the potent, structurally complex such compounds, or the low affinity to the enzyme for the simple, water soluble derivatives, which precluded us in obtaining good quality crystals of adducts of these inhibitors with various CA isoforms[6-13].

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (abbreviated as GAPDH) (EC1.2.1.12) is an enzyme of $\sim 37 \mathrm{kDa}$ that catalyzes the sixth step of glycolysis and thus serves to break down glucose for energy and carbon molecules. In addition to this long established metabolic function, GAPDH has recently been implicated in several non-
metabolic processes, including transcription activation, initiation of apoptosis, and ER to Golgi vesicle shuttling. It catalyses the conversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to D-glycerate 1,3-bisphosphate. GAPDH can also be inhibited by arsenate, inhibiting glycolys is in red blood cells and causing hemolytic anemia [6-13]. Coumarins are biological active chemical compound found in many plants, notably in high concentration in the tonka bean, woodruff, and bison grass. A number of coumarins exhibit interesting pharmacological activities and are therefore of therapeutic use. Along with these, coumarins have recently revealed new biological activities with interesting potential in therapeutic application besides their traditional employment as anticoagulant and sustaining agents, they have yielded important results as antibiotics and antitumor drug. Thus, herein we investigated a number of such derivatives by computational and docking studies, allowing us to understand in some detail the inhibition mechanism of bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes for carbonic anhydrase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [14-21].

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes

In a 50 mL round-bottomed flask, 4-hydroxycoumarin (20 mmol ) and aromatic aldehyde ( 10 mmol ) in water were taken and the resulting mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min, after then phosphotungstic acid ( $15 \mathrm{mmol} \%$ ) was
added to the reaction mixture. The progress of the reaction was well monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC). After the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled until the solidification appears and then filtered the solid and washed it water and then the filtrate was centrifuged at $8,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 min to pellet out the catalyst and washed with absolute ethanol to remove all the organic impurities and then kept at 90 C for 30 min . The phosphotungstic acid was reused for evaluating the performance in the next reaction. The isolated products were subjected to further purification by column chromatography using petroleum ether and ethylacetate with increasing polarity as eluent to yield bis-(4-hydroxy-coumarin-3-yl)methanes as in Scheme 1 and mentioned in Table 1. Structural assignments of the products are based on their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR, IR and Mass analysis. The analysis of complete spectral and compositional data revealed the formation of bis-(4-hy-droxycoumarin-3-yl) methanes [14].


Scheme 1 Aqueous phase synthesis of bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes via reaction of 4hydroxycoumarin using phosphotungstic acid as catalyst.

Table 1. Aqueous phase synthesis of bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes (3a-3t) by coupling of 4-hydroxycoumarin and aromatic aldehydes.

| Compd. No. | Reactant | Product | Product No. | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 3 a | 93 |
| 2 |  |  | 3 b | 95 |
| 3 |  |  | 3 c | 93 |

?
(
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### 2.2 Software's used

Structures were drawn using ChemDraw12. Docking was carried out using Molegro Molecular Viewer 2.5. Several biological properties (GPCR ligands, kinase inhibitors, ion channel modulators, nuclear receptors) of the coumarins are calculated from the http://www.molinspiration.com/cgibin/properties (Molnspiration bioactivity score v2011.06). Molinspirationa is also used to determine $\log \mathrm{P}$, polar surface area, number of hydrogen bond donors and acceptors and others. Other biological properties methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (NADPH) inhibitor, monodehydroascorbate reductase (NADH) inhibitor, nitrate reductase (cytochrome) inhibitor, cholestanetriol 26monooxygenase inhibitor and membrane integrity agonist were determined from www.pharmaexpert.ru/passonline/predict.php.
Molinspiration and pharmaexperts are free on-line services for calculation of important molecular properties.

### 2.3 Selection of PDB files

The PDB file having ID 3 K 34 , 1K3T and 3F8E were taken from the RCSB protein data bank (www.rcsb.org/) and these PDB files are of carbonic anhydrase and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

## 3 Result and Discussion

### 3.1 Enzyme inhibition studies

The enzyme inhibition activity (or bioactivity) of compound are taken from pharma expert /pass (Prediction of Activity Spectra for Substances) available online. The prediction is based on the structure activity - relationships .The biological activity spectrum represents the "intrinsic" property of a substance depending only on its structure and physical-chemical characteristics. By analyzing the data given in the Table 2, it can be seen that all derivatives showed better inhibitory activity and selectivity against
enzyme (1 to 5).All compound gives greater $P a$ (probability "to be active") value against enzyme.

Compound ( $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 j}, \mathbf{3 k}$ ) have highest inhibitory activity against MTHFR (NADPH) enzyme because compound 3a are resonance stabilize and compound $\mathbf{3 j} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{3 k}$ have hydroxyl group at 2 nd and 4 th position which are stabilize the benzene ring . Compound $3 n$ have less inhibitory activity against MTHFR (NADPH) enzyme because of electron donating group which are destabilize the benzene ring. Compound ( $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 g}, \mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{3 i}$ ) have better inhibitory activity against MADR (NADH) enzyme because compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ are resonance stabilize and compound $\mathbf{3 g}$, $\mathbf{3 h}$, 3i have $\mathrm{NO}_{\square}$ group at $-o,-m \& p$ - position of benzene, having electron withdrawing property to make more resonance stabilize ring.

Compound 3a and 3c have better inhibitory and selectivity against enzyme Nitrate reductase (cytochrome) and compound $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{3 e} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{3 d}$ also gives better results against this enzyme because compound $3 \mathbf{c}$ have -Cl group at ortho position, at ortho -Cl group withdraw electron more easily and more stabilize the ring than para-position. The compound 3a have better activity and selectivity against Cholestanetriol 26-monooxygenase enzyme than compound 3n because of more resonance stabilize than compound 3n .Compound 3n have more antagonistic property with Membrane integrity enzyme than all derivatives because of
electron donating group. We are conclude that compound have electron withdrawing groups or electronegative atoms $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{~N})$ increase the hydrophilic property \& gives better steric interaction and hydrogen bonding with enzymes/proteins and compound have electron donating groups increase the hydrophobicsity so more easily penetrate the membrane so this type of compound gives better antagonistic property [21-29].

Table 2 Bioactivity of compounds 1 to 20 from pharma expert website

| Compound No. | Pa Value |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MTHFR (NADPH) inhibitor | MADR (NADH) inhibitor | $\begin{gathered} \text { NR } \\ \text { (cytochrome) } \\ \text { inhibitor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CM <br> inhibitor | Membrane integrity agonist |
| 3a | 0.929 | 0.918 | 0.901 | 0.894 | 0.892 |
| 3b | 0.861 | 0.712 | 0.889 | 0.789 | 0.881 |
| 3 c | 0.861 | 0.712 | 0.905 | 0.789 | 0.903 |
| 3d | 0.812 | - | 0.871 | 0.708 | 0.868 |
| 3 e | 0.857 | 0722 | 0.890 | 0.790 | 0.881 |
| 3f | 0.861 | 0.762 | 0.789 | 0.789 | 0.841 |
| 3 g | 0.852 | 0.954 | 0.809 | 0.805 | - |
| 3h | 0.828 | 0.945 | 0.778 | 0.773 | - |
| 3 i | 0.852 | 0.953 | 0.809 | 0.805 | - |
| 3 j | 0.914 | 0.896 | 0.876 | 0.862 | 0.881 |
| 3k | 0.914 | 0.896 | 0.876 | 0.862 | 0.907 |
| 31 | 0.878 | 0.761 | 0.868 | 0.753 | 0.879 |
| 3 m | 0.880 | 0.778 | 0.819 | 0.818 | 0.914 |
| 3 n | 0.782 | - | - | 0.707 | 0.935 |
| 30 | 0.812 | - | 0.774 | 0.730 | - |
| 3p | 0.861 | 0.836 | 0.789 | 0.789 | 0.733 |
| 3 q | 0.877 | 0.766 | 0.755 | 0.753 | 0.761 |
| 3 r | 0.861 | 0.836 | 0.789 | 0.789 | - |
| 3 s | 0.810 | - | 0.713 | 0.810 | - |
| 3 t | 0.859 | - | 0.826 | 0.708 | 0.864 |

* MTHFR - Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase
** MDAR - Monodehydroascorbate reductase
*** NR - Nitrate reductase
**** CM - Cholestanetriol 26-monooxygenase


### 3.2 Physiochemical parameter studies

## Preliminary QSAR study of compound given in Table 1

The QSAR study and drug likeness score by using molinspiration software .Preliminary studies including log P value, TPSA (Topological polar surface area), molecular volume.
$\log \mathrm{P}=($ octanol/water partition coefficient $)$
The physiochemical parameter are determined by using various parameter such as miLog P , TPSA, n atoms, nON, Nohnh, MW, Volume, all these parameters are given in the Table 3 used for the determination of bioactivity and physiochemical property of coumarins. By analyzing the data given in the Table 3, firstly we discussed the miLog P \{molinspiration Log P (octanolwater partition) $\}$ value of all coumarins. $\log \mathrm{P}$ is one criterion used in medicinal chemistry to assess the drug likeness of a given molecule, and used to calculate lipophilic efficiency, a function of potency and LogP that evaluate the quality of research compounds. Octanol-water partition coefficient $\log \mathrm{P}$ is used in QSAR studies and rational drug design as a measure of molecular hydrophobicity. It affects drug absorption, bioavailability,
hydrophobic drug-receptor interactions, metabolism of molecules, as well as their toxicity. Log P has become also a key parameter in studies of the environmental fate of chemicals. The logarithm of the ratio of the concentrations of the un-ionized solute in the solvents is called $\log \mathrm{P}$ : The $\log \mathrm{P}$ value is also known as a measure of lipophilicity [2736]. Method for $\log P$ prediction developed by Molinspiration, based on group contributions. The following formula used to calculate the $\log \mathrm{P}$ value of twenty compounds given in the Table 3.

Log P OCTANOL/WATER $=\log$ ([solute]octanol / [solute]water un-ionized)

By analysis of data given in the Table 3, we seen that compound 3 d shows greater $\log \mathrm{P}$ value so compound are highly lipophilic in nature showed greater hydrophobicity ( affect drug absorption, bioavailability, hydrophobic drugreceptor interactions, metabolism of molecules, as well as their toxicity). Some compound also have better $\log \mathrm{P}$ value such as compound $\mathbf{3 e}, \mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{3 m}, \mathbf{3 q}, \mathbf{3 r}$ and $\mathbf{3 s}$ than other derivatives. The reference compound gives negative $\log \mathrm{P}$ value so it measure of the effectiveness of a compound in inhibiting biological or biochemical function and because of less lipophilic in nature [21-29].

Table 3 Parameter evaluation of the compounds $3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{t}$ as in Table 1 using molinspiration.

| Compound <br> No. | Physico-chemical paprameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | miLogP | TPSA | n atoms | MW | nON | nOHNH | volume |
| 3a | 4.523 | 100.878 | 31.0 | 412.397 | 6 | 2 | 348.81 |
| 3b | 5.153 | 100.878 | 32.0 | 446.842 | 6 | 2 | 362.346 |
| 3c | 5.201 | 100.878 | 32.0 | 446.842 | 6 | 2 | 362.346 |
| 3d | 5.807 | 100.878 | 33.0 | 481.287 | 6 | 2 | 375.882 |
| 3e | 5.783 | 100.878 | 33.0 | 481.287 | 6 | 2 | 375.882 |
| 3f | 4.687 | 100.878 | 32.0 | 430.387 | 6 | 2 | 353.742 |
| 3g | 4.434 | 146.702 | 34.0 | 457.394 | 9 | 2 | 372.145 |
| 3h | 4.458 | 146.702 | 34.0 | 457.394 | 9 | 2 | 372.145 |
| 3i | 4.482 | 146.702 | 34.0 | 457.394 | 9 | 2 | 372.145 |
| 3j | 4.463 | 121.106 | 32.0 | 428.396 | 7 | 3 | 356.828 |
| 3k | 4.044 | 121.106 | 32.0 | 428.396 | 7 | 3 | 356.828 |
| 31 | 4.58 | 110.112 | 33.0 | 442.423 | 7 | 2 | 374.356 |
| 3m | 5.279 | 100.878 | 33.0 | 438.435 | 6 | 2 | 376.227 |
| 3n | 4.622 | 119.346 | 35.0 | 470.433 | 8 | 2 | 389.542 |
| 3o | 4.673 | 116.669 | 34.0 | 451.434 | 7 | 3 | 377.788 |
| 3p | 4.468 | 100.878 | 32.0 | 444.464 | 6 | 2 | 366.057 |
| 3q | 5.412 | 114.018 | 36.0 | 478.456 | 7 | 2 | 401.787 |
| 3r | 5.418 | 100.878 | 35.0 | 480.394 | 6 | 2 | 380.108 |
| 3s | 5.195 | 100.878 | 33.0 | 442.467 | 6 | 2 | 387.821 |
| 3t | 4.169 | 119.346 | 35.0 | 472.449 | 8 | 2 | 399.902 |

## Molecular Polar Surface Area TPSA (Topological polar surface area)

Another parameter TPSA molecular polar surface area (PSA) is a very useful parameter for prediction of drug transport properties. Polar surface area is defined as a sum of surfaces of polar atoms (usually oxygen, nitrogen and attached hydrogen) in a molecule. This parameter has been shown to correlate very well with the human intestinal absorption, Caco-2 monolayer permeability, and bloodbrain barrier penetration.

The methodology for the calculation of TPSA is based on the summation of tabulated surface contributions of polar fragments (atoms regarding also their environment).So we that discussed the transport property of all compound given in Table 3. The compound 3 g , 3 h and 3 i have highest TPSA values so have high transport property to penetrate the blood - brain barrier and good intestinal absorption. The compounds 3 j and 3 k also high transport property. This property are comes from highly electronegative atom O and N - centered polar fragments. The compound $\mathbf{3 g}, \mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{3 i}$ have $-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ group at ortho, meta and para position of the benzene ring and compound $\mathbf{3 j} \mathbf{\& 3 k}$ also have -OH group are polar fragment at ortho and para position of the benzene ring, are this type of property increase the transport, penetration and absorption property of the compound [30-39]

Molecular Volume
Molecular volume determines transport characteristics of molecules, such as intestinal absorption or blood-brain barrier penetration. Volume is therefore often used in QSAR studies to model molecular properties and biological activity. Various methods may be used to calculate molecular volume, including methods requiring generation of 3D molecular geometries, or fragment contribution methods such as McGowan volume approximation. Method for calculation of molecule volume developed at Molinspiration is based on group contributions. By analyzing the Table $\mathbf{3}$, we seen that the compound $\mathbf{3 q}, \mathbf{3 t}$, 3n \& 3s have greater molecular volume than other compounds so have high transport characteristics such as intestinal absorption or blood-brain barrier penetration but standard drug have very low molecular volume so this is not shows greater transport characteristics such as intestinal absorption or blood-brain barrier penetration [35, 40-45].

## Drug likeness score of compounds (3a-3t) as in Table 4

Drug likeness may be defined as a complex balance of various molecular properties and structure features which determine whether particular molecule is similar to the known drugs. These properties, mainly hydrophobicity, electronic distribution, hydrogen bonding characteristics, molecule size and flexibility and of course presence of various pharmacophoric features influence the behaviour of molecule in a living organism, including bioavailability, transport properties, affinity to proteins, reactivity, toxicity,

Table 4 Bioactivity prediction of the compounds $3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{t}$ as in Table 1 using molinspiration.

| Compound <br> No. | GPCR <br> ligand | Ion channel <br> modulator | Kinase <br> inhibitor | Nuclear receptor <br> ligand | Protease <br> inhibitor | Enzyme <br> inhibitor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -0.27 | -0.33 | -0.52 | -0.07 | -0.20 | 0.09 |
|  | -0.31 | -0.35 | -0.48 | -0.13 | -0.25 | -0.01 |
| 3c | -0.26 | -0.33 | -0.52 | -0.09 | -0.23 | 0.05 |
| 3d | -0.29 | -0.33 | -0.48 | -0.14 | -0.25 | -0.02 |
| 3e | -0.27 | -0.31 | -0.44 | -0.10 | -0.21 | 0.03 |
| 3f | -0.25 | -0.33 | -0.48 | -0.05 | -0.21 | 0.07 |
| 3g | -0.38 | -0.33 | -0.53 | -0.16 | -0.29 | -0.04 |
| 3h | -0.37 | -0.36 | -0.58 | -0.15 | -0.30 | -0.02 |
| 3i | -0.36 | -0.35 | -0.58 | -0.15 | -0.23 | 0.01 |
| 3j | -0.33 | -0.38 | -0.49 | -0.06 | -0.19 | 0.09 |
| 3k | -0.25 | -0.31 | -0.49 | -0.02 | -0.23 | 0.04 |
| 3l | -0.29 | -0.37 | -0.52 | -0.08 | -0.03 | 0.05 |
| 3m | -0.19 | -0.25 | -0.30 | -0.06 | -0.00 | 0.15 |
| 3n | -0.16 | -0.22 | -0.36 | -0.05 | -0.17 | 0.10 |
| 3o | -0.16 | -0.24 | -0.29 | -0.09 | -0.16 | -0.03 |
| 3p | -0.41 | -0.41 | -0.48 | -0.13 | -0.25 | 0.01 |
| 3q | -0.24 | -0.44 | -0.42 | -0.13 | -0.16 | 0.07 |
| 3r | -0.20 | -0.25 | -0.42 | 0.04 | -0.17 | 0.10 |
| 3s | -0.26 | -0.34 | -0.47 | 0.15 | -0.25 | 0.03 |
| 3t | -0.28 | -0.37 | -0.49 | -0.10 |  |  |

Table 5 Docking Studies of the synthesized bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methanes (3a-3t) as in Table 1 as potent inhibitor for carbonic anhydrase, Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

| PDB ID | Compound No. | Total energy |  | External Ligand interactions |  | Protein - Ligand interactions |  | Water - Ligand interactions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mol dock score | Rerank score | Mol dock score | Rerank score | Mol dock score | Rerank score | Mol dock score | Rerank score |
| 3K34 | 3a | 48624.32 | 6785.501 | 1045.161 | 6038.4 | 1069.196 | 6062.147 | -24.036 | -23.747 |
|  | 3b | 52570.6 | 6436.625 | 1039.898 | 5823.289 | 1062.542 | 5845.661 | -22.644 | -22.372 |
|  | 3 c | 46418.06 | 5774.726 | 919.98 | 5167.001 | 934.222 | 5181.072 | -14.242 | -14.071 |
|  | 3d | 52521.94 | 5820.159 | 974.195 | 5203.892 | 989.509 | 5219.022 | -15.314 | -15.13 |
|  | 3 e | 58664.13 | 6477.789 | 1092.389 | 5858.536 | 1115.522 | 5881.392 | -23.133 | -22.855 |
|  | 3f | 46429.13 | 5825.933 | 929.605 | 5219.131 | 944.784 | 5234.128 | -15.18 | -14.998 |
|  | 3 g | 64772.9 | 7251.011 | 1122.407 | 6491.047 | 1144.119 | 6512.498 | -21.712 | -21.451 |
|  | 3h | 49543.05 | 6361.858 | 996.75 | 5688.676 | 1011.21 | 5702.962 | -14.46 | -14.287 |
|  | 3 i | 48474.99 | 6004.982 | 951.518 | 5344.789 | 961.039 | 5354.196 | -9.521 | -9.407 |
|  | 3 j | 52563.08 | 6670.74 | 1029.707 | 6029.048 | 1051.418 | 6050.499 | -21.712 | -21.451 |
|  | 3k | 46420.08 | 5954.854 | 921.064 | 5329.484 | 935.658 | 5343.903 | -14.594 | -14.419 |
|  | 31 | 47424.72 | 5912.156 | 913.635 | 5263.699 | 923.438 | 5273.384 | -9.803 | -9.685 |
|  | 3 m | 45365 | 5760.392 | 887.873 | 5154.754 | 899.999 | 5166.735 | -12.126 | -11.981 |
|  | 3n | 28539.22 | 6638.242 | 1046.473 | 5958.746 | 1065.923 | 5977.963 | -19.45 | -19.216 |
|  | 30 | 41461.64 | 6559.805 | 987.615 | 5921.891 | 999.651 | 5933.782 | -12.036 | -11.891 |
|  | 3p | 41356.06 | 5877.51 | 910.201 | 5286.836 | 925.704 | 5302.153 | -15.503 | -15.317 |
|  | 3 q | 47588.73 | 6620.196 | 1035.785 | 5944.237 | 1059.323 | 5967.493 | -23.538 | -23.256 |
|  | 3 r | 50491.93 | 5599.849 | 953.14 | 4952.698 | 958.164 | 4957.66 | -5.023 | -4.963 |
|  | 3 s | 50670.59 | 6867.707 | 1060.668 | 6053.539 | 1083.391 | 6075.989 | -22.723 | -22.45 |
|  | 3 t | 54731.57 | 6810.839 | 1045.264 | 5911.058 | 1062.251 | 5927.842 | -16.987 | -16.783 |
| 1K3T | 3a | 47615.27 | 787.078 | 36.118 | 39.977 | -25.109 | -20.515 | 61.227 | 60.492 |
|  | 3 b | 51590.78 | 682.081 | 60.081 | 68.745 | -26.813 | -17.106 | 86.893 | 85.851 |
|  | 3c | 45620.72 | 794.79 | 122.638 | 187.065 | -27.607 | 38.623 | 150.245 | 148.442 |
|  | 3d | 51668.7 | 801.679 | 120.954 | 185.411 | -27.642 | 38.599 | 148.596 | 146.813 |
|  | 3 e | 57631.27 | 687.458 | 59.528 | 68.206 | -26.837 | -17.123 | 86.365 | 85.329 |
|  | 3 f | 45616.59 | 778.969 | 117.069 | 172.167 | -27.753 | 29.084 | 144.821 | 143.083 |
|  | 3 g | 63710.27 | 828.231 | 59.778 | 68.268 | -26.813 | -17.284 | 86.591 | 85.552 |
|  | 3h | 48666.1 | 853.383 | 119.801 | 180.2 | -27.684 | 34.486 | 147.485 | 145.715 |
|  | 3 i | 47674.09 | 888.946 | 150.621 | 228.754 | -26.047 | 54.206 | 176.668 | 174.548 |


|  | 3 j | 51594.79 | 711.709 | 61.416 | 70.018 | -26.813 | -17.152 | 88.228 | 87.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3k | 45618.02 | 803.156 | 119.003 | 177.786 | -27.704 | 32.84 | 146.707 | 144.946 |
|  | 31 | 46661.69 | 877.203 | 150.612 | 228.746 | -26.047 | 54.207 | 176.659 | 174.539 |
|  | 3 m | 44618.59 | 819.246 | 141.469 | 213.607 | -26.117 | 48.033 | 167.586 | 165.575 |
|  | 3 n | 27569.41 | 835.657 | 76.66 | 156.161 | -16.575 | 64.045 | 93.235 | 92.116 |
|  | 30 | 40602.59 | 833.392 | 128.568 | 195.478 | -27.414 | 41.368 | 155.982 | 154.11 |
|  | 3 p | 40556.46 | 747.551 | 110.599 | 156.877 | -27.856 | 20.083 | 138.456 | 136.794 |
|  | 3 q | 46569.94 | 694.945 | 16.997 | 18.987 | -22.843 | -20.375 | 39.84 | 39.361 |
|  | 3 r | 49722.74 | 951.173 | 183.942 | 304.022 | -22.165 | -22.165 | 206.108 | 203.634 |
|  | 3 s | 49682.05 | 898.596 | 72.13 | 84.428 | -27.153 | -13.664 | 99.283 | 98.092 |
|  | 3 t | 53804.71 | 1077.427 | 118.402 | 177.646 | -27.905 | 33.095 | 146.307 | 144.551 |
| 3F8E | 3a | 48648.92 | 6815.056 | 1069.762 | 6067.955 | 1045.82 | 6044.301 | 23.941 | 23.654 |
|  | 3b | 52591.17 | 6402.326 | 1060.473 | 5788.99 | 1037.758 | 5766.548 | 22.715 | 22.442 |
|  | 3c | 46419.33 | 5711.373 | 921.248 | 5103.647 | 904.669 | 5087.266 | 16.58 | 16.381 |
|  | 3d | 52523.8 | 5757.915 | 976.052 | 5141.648 | 958.131 | 5123.941 | 17.921 | 17.706 |
|  | 3 e | 58683.19 | 6442.147 | 1111.453 | 5822.895 | 1089.086 | 5800.797 | 22.366 | 22.098 |
|  | 3 f | 46432.24 | 5765.574 | 932.712 | 5158.771 | 915.658 | 5141.922 | 17.054 | 16.849 |
|  | 3 g | 64787.57 | 7228.095 | 1137.081 | 6468.132 | 1121.603 | 6452.839 | 15.478 | 15.292 |
|  | 3h | 49552.63 | 6330.94 | 1006.331 | 5657.758 | 990.691 | 5642.306 | 15.64 | 15.452 |
|  | 3 i | 48468.12 | 5896.289 | 944.653 | 5236.096 | 929.94 | 5221.559 | 14.713 | 14.537 |
|  | 3 j | 52581.25 | 6634.002 | 1047.876 | 5992.311 | 1027.428 | 5972.108 | 20.448 | 20.203 |
|  | 3k | 46422.98 | 5903.291 | 923.968 | 5277.921 | 906.573 | 5260.735 | 17.394 | 17.186 |
|  | 31 | 47416.84 | 5795.434 | 905.76 | 5146.977 | 891.593 | 5132.979 | 14.167 | 13.997 |
|  | 3 m | 45378.29 | 5787.072 | 901.166 | 5181.434 | 884.95 | 5165.412 | 16.216 | 16.022 |
|  | 3 n | 28583.45 | 6688.452 | 1090.703 | 6008.956 | 1068.889 | 5987.404 | 21.814 | 21.552 |
|  | 30 | 41469.0 | 6609.039 | 994.975 | 5971.125 | 978.091 | 5954.444 | 16.883 | 16.681 |
|  | 3p | 41371.089 | 5953.015 | 925.232 | 5362.342 | 906.658 | 5343.991 | 18.574 | 18.351 |
|  | 3 q | 47617.14 | 6750.008 | 1064.191 | 6074.049 | 1039.268 | 6049.425 | 24.924 | 24.624 |
|  | 3 r | 50478.41 | 5480.761 | 5480.761 | 4833.609 | 930.405 | 4824.512 | 9.208 | 9.098 |
|  | 3 s | 50688.9 | 6869.682 | 1078.971 | 6055.514 | 1057.424 | 6034.225 | 21.547 | 21.289 |
|  | 3t | 54744.67 | 6808.118 | 1058.36 | 5908.337 | 1038.814 | 5889.025 | 19.546 | 19.312 |

Table 6 Hydrogen bond interaction, steric interaction and Docking views of the synthesized bis-(4-hydroxycoumarin-3yl)methanes (3a-3t) as in Table 1 as potent inhibitor for carbonic anhydrase, Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDB } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Compou nd No. | Hydrogen- Bond Interaction | Steric Interaction | Docking View |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3K34 | 3a |  |  |  |
|  | 3 b |  |  |  |




| 30 | [HOH 2402 [A]] <br> Asn 232 <br> Asn 61 <br> Thr 169 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 p |  |  |  |
| 3 q | HOH 2402 [A] <br> Lys 170 <br> Asn 61 |  |  |
| 3 r |  | Fhe 231 |  |
| 3s | HOH 2402 [A] |  |  |
| 3 t |  |  |  |


| 1 153 | $3{ }^{3}$ |  | 为 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3b |  | 为 |  |
|  | 3 c |  | 为 |  |
|  | 3d |  | $y^{2}$ |  |
|  | 3 e |  | 5ix |  |
|  | 3 F |  | $=$ |  |


|  | 3 g |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 h |  |  |  |
|  | 3 i |  |  |  |
|  | 3j |  |  |  |
|  | 3 k |  |  |  |
|  | 31 |  |  |  |



|  | 3 S | HOH 975 [C] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 t |  | Ser 281(I) |  |
| 3F8E | 3 a |  |  |  |
|  | 3 b |  |  |  |
|  | 3 c |  |  |  |
|  | 3 d |  |  |  |



| 3k |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $320$ |  |  |
| 3 m | $30$ |  |  |
| 3 n |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |
| 3p |  |  |  |


metabolic stability and many others. The diversity of possible drug targets (of which each requires a different combination of matching molecular characteristics) is so enormous, that it is possible to find a common denominator for all of them and to express molecule drug-likeness by a single "magic number". Simple count criteria (like limits for molecular weight, $\log \mathrm{P}$, or number of hydrogen bond donors or acceptors) have also relatively limited applicability and are useful only to discard obvious nondrugs [34-45].

At Molinspiration we believe that the strategy which leads to success is not a universal drug-likeness score, but focus on particular drug classes and development of specific activity score for each of these classes. The method implemented uses sophisticated Bayesian statistics to compare structures of representative ligands active on the particular target with structures of inactive molecules and to identify substructure features (which in turn determine physicochemical properties) typical for active molecules [34-45].

By analyzing the data from Table 4, we seen that compound 3a to $3 t$ are act as ligand for various receptors like G-

Protein coupled receptor (GPCR), Ion channel modulator, Kinase inhibitor, Nuclear receptor ligand, Protease inhibitor, Enzyme inhibitor .The synthesized compound obey the Lipinski rule of five. So the synthesized compound 3a to 3 t may useful as lead compound for various diseases.

The biological properties of selected compound are mentioned in Table $\mathbf{1}$ was determined using Molinspiration. Parameters evaluated are helpful in the prediction of biological potent compounds. The globular protein coupled receptors (GPCR) provides correlation data between GPCRs and their ligands, along with chemical information on the ligands, as well as access information to the various web databases regarding GPCRs. These data are connected with each other in a relational database, allowing users in the field of GPCR-related drug discovery to easily retrieve such information from either biological or chemical starting points. GPCR value of compound no. 3 p is -0.41 , therefore, found to be most potent ligand according GPCR value. The ion channel modulator (ICM)] activity for $\mathbf{3 p} \boldsymbol{\&}$ $\mathbf{3 q}$ was found to be $-0.41 \&-0.44$ and said to be more biological potent. Protein kinase inhibitors (PKI) are a type
of enzyme inhibitor that specifically blocks the action of one or more protein kinases. The protein kinase inhibition value for $3 \mathbf{h} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{3 i}$ were found to be $-0.58 \&-0.58$. Therefore above said are more biological potent. Nuclear receptor (NR) ligand plays a role in every aspect of development, physiology and disease in humans. Value for 3h \& 3i was found to be -0.15 . This indicates that Compound no. 3h \& 3i are biological potent. Protease inhibitors (PIs) are a class of drugs used to treat or prevent infection by viruses, including HIV and Hepatitis C. PIs value for $3 \mathrm{~h} \& 3 \mathrm{i}$ were found to be -0.30 . Therefore, compound no. $3 \mathrm{~h} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{3 i}$ will be more biologically potent. Enzyme inhibitors (EIs) are molecules that interact in some way with the enzyme to prevent it from working in the normal manner. Enzyme inhibition value for $\mathbf{3 g} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{3 h}$ were found to be $-0.04 \&-0.02$. Therefore, compound no. 3h \& $3 i$ will be more potent.
After further studies (Table $5 \boldsymbol{\&}$ 6), Molecular docking of the molecules has been performed using Molegero Molecular Viewer 2.5 and we came to know that the mol doc score of interaction between the molecules (3a-3t) and PDB ID 3K34, 1K3T \& 3F8Eand mol dock score in positive, which clearly indicates the inhibition of the enzymes means higher the mol dock score, lesser the stability of the complex. Amongst all the ligands, $\mathbf{3 g}$ gave highest mol dock score with all the PDB mentioned above and indicates 3 g as the most potent inhibitor. Compound 3 g showed good inhibition for carbonic anhydrase and the Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. It means 3 g can control the activity of inter-conversion of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into bicarbonates and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or vice versa. Further, it can control the phosphorylation of a Monosaccharide [34-45]. Further, it came to know that most of the compounds binds with ASN at 61 position of the carbonic anhydrase PDB and can be one of the reason for its inhibition.
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