Journal of Plant Production

Journal homepage: <u>www.jpp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

Estimation of Heterosis and Combining Ability for some White Maize Inbred Lines Using Half-Diallel Crossing

Abd El-Zaher, I. N.*

Agron. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Assiut Branch

Cross Mark

ABSTRACT



Eight S₇ white maize inbred lines were derived from selfing of S₅ inbred lines of two populations, i.e., Giza-2 and IW-469 were chosen to be used as parents of a half-diallel crossing and obtained on 28 F₁ crosses, which evaluated along with the 2 check hybrids at Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut Branch in 2021 to identify the best parental general combiners and specific combinations to improve maize for earliness and yield. Results manifested that highly significant differences were detected among crosses for all the studied traits. Also, means squares due to GCA and SCA were highly significant for all traits. The ratio of σ^2 GCA/ σ^2 SCA was less than one for all traits. The 4 crosses; P₁ x P₄, P₃ x P₆, P₅ x P₆ and P₇ x P₈ possessed desirable significant positive heterotic effects for increasing grain yield/plant relative to the checks SC-136 and SC-10, and among them the cross P₃ x P₆, also possessed significant negative desirable standard heterotic effects for tasseling and silking earliness relative to both the check hybrids. The parent P₈ possessed significant desirable GCA effects for grain yield and its components with silking earliness. The 14 crosses; P₁ × P₂, P₁ × P₄, P₁ × P₅, P₁ × P₈, P₂ × P₃, P₂ × P₈, P₃ × P₆, P₃ × P₇, P₃ × P₈, P₄ × P₅, P₅ × P₆, P₅ × P₇ and P₇ × P₈ possessed significant positive desirable SCA effects for high yielding ability and among them the 2 crosses; P₁ × P₈, and P₂ × P₃, also possessed significant desirable SCA effects for tasseling and silking earliness.

Keywords: Maize, combining ability, gene action, heterosis, yield and earliness

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important crops for staple food, livestock feed, edible oil and biofuel (Mackay, 2009). It ranks as the third position among cereal crops after wheat and rice in the world. Maize breeders do their best to explore the genetic material in order to develop new high yielding ability of maize genotypes to face the gap between production and consumption. They need information on heterosis as essential in hybridization programs to develop new superior hybrids. Diallel mating system is one of the most powerful breeding analysis methods to give different genetic variances information about inheritance pattern of any specific trait of specific inbred lines, which give guidance to the suitable breeding system to improve and release high yielding hybrids (Griffing, 1956). The important genetic parameters of diallel analysis are general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability, which are essential in developing plant breeding. Therefore, the concepts of GCA and SCA defined by Sprague and Tatum (1942), which have been used extensively in breeding of several economic species. For maize yield, it could be found that GCA was relatively more important than SCA for non-selected inbred lines, whereas SCA was more important than GCA previously selected lines. The concepts of GCA and SCA became useful for characterization of inbred lines in crosses and often have been in the description of an inbred line (Hallauer and Miranda, 1988). The variances of general and specific combining ability are related to the type of gene action effects. Variance for GCA includes additive portion, while that of SCA includes non-additive portion of total variance arising largely from dominance and epistatic deviations (Rojas and Sprague, 1952).

The objectives of the present investigation were to estimate standard heterosis, as well as GCA and SCA effects of the eight S_7 white maize inbred lines and its 28 cross combinations to identify the best parental general combiners and crosses to improve earliness and yield of maize.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight S₇white maize inbred lines were derived from selfing of S₅ inbred lines of two populations, i.e., Giza-2 (5 S₇ inbred lines; P_1 - P_5) and IW-469 (3 S₇ inbred lines; P_6 - P_8) were chosen to be used as parents of a half diallel cross. The name, pedigree and origin of these 8 inbred lines are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The name, pedigree and origin of the 8 parental inbred lines.

| 11 | indi cu mico. | |
|----------------|---------------|--------|
| No. | Pedigree | Origin |
| P_1 | Giza-2 | Egypt |
| P_2 | Giza-2 | Egypt |
| P_3 | Giza-2 | Egypt |
| \mathbf{P}_4 | Giza-2 | Egypt |
| P_5 | Giza-2 | Egypt |
| P_6 | QPM POP 68 | Mexico |
| P_7 | QPM POP 68 | Mexico |
| P_8 | QPM POP 68 | Mexico |

Procedures and field experiments:

This study was carried out at Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Assiut Branch during 4 successive seasons from 2018 to 2021. In 2018 and 2019 summer seasons, the self-pollination was done of the S_5 inbred lines from each population to obtain S_6 and S_7 inbred lines, respectively. In 2020 summer season, the 8 S_7 inbred

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: ibrahemnagagh78@gmail.com DOI: 10.21608/jpp.2021.204904

lines were crossed in a half-diallel mating design and obtained on the 28 F1 crosses. In 2021 summer season, the 28 F1crossesalong with the 2 check hybrids, i.e., SC-136 and SC-10 were evaluated. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Planting was carried out on 26th of May. Experimental plot size was one ridge, 3 m in long with 70 cm between ridges. Planting was done in hills spaced 25 cm apart on one side of the ridge. The recommended agricultural practices of maize production were applied at the proper time.

Data were recorded for number of days to 50% tasseling (day), number of days to 50% silking (days), plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of rows/ear, 100-kernel weight (g) and grain yield/plant (g), which was adjusted on the basis of 15.5% grain moisture content.

Statistical Analysis Procedure:

An ordinary analysis of variance of RCBD for the obtained data was performed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1967) to test the significance of differences among the genotypes and treatments means were compared statistically using the test of the Revised Lest Significant Differences (Rev. LSD). Standard heterosis (relative superior over the check) was determined for each cross as the percentage deviation of F1 mean from each of the commercial check hybrids mean and expressed as percentage according to Fehr (1991) as follows: Standard heterosis% = $\frac{F1- check}{check} \times 100$ Appropriate Rev. LSD values were computed

according to the following formulae to test the significance of standard heterotic effects:

Rev. LSD for the standard heterosis = t`0.05 or $0.01 \times \sqrt{\frac{2MSe}{r}}$

General (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability estimates were computed according to Griffing's (1956), diallel cross analysis, Method 4, Model 1(fixed model).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance:

Observed mean squares from ordinary analysis and combining ability for number of days to 50% tasseling, number of days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear, 100-kernel weight and grain yield/plant of the 28 F1 white maize crosses in 2021 season is presented in Table 2. The obtained results exhibited that highly significant differences were detected among crosses for all the studied traits, indicating a wide range of diversity among the parental inbred lines used in the present study and combining ability analysis according to Griffing's (1956), method 4, model I could be done. Partition sum of squares due to crosses into its components showed that mean squares due to GCA and SCA was highly significant for all the studied traits, indicating the importance of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the inheritance of all the studied traits. These obtained results could be supported with the findings by Aly and Mousa (2011), Attia et al. (2013), Singh et al. (2014), Sultan et al. (2016), Gamea et al. (2018), Anees et al. (2019), Hussain et al. (2019), Ali (2020), Hemada et al. (2020) and El-Shahed et al. (2021).

The ratio of σ^2 GCA/ σ^2 SCA after subtraction the environmental effect of both GCA and SCA variances as estimated according to Singh and Chaudhary (1979) was less than one for all the studied traits, indicating the non-additive gene action played the major role in the inheritance of all the studied traits, therefore selection procedure in the late or advanced generations will be very important to improve these traits. These obtained results are in the same line with those obtained by Abdel-Moneam et al. (2009), Attia et al. (2013), Ali (2016), Azad et al. (2015), Hassan et al. (2019), El Hosary (2020), Hemada et al. (2020) and El-Shahed et al. (2021).

Table 2. Observed mean squares from ordinary analysis and combining ability for all the studied traits of the 28 F_1 white maize crosses in 2021 season.

| S.O.V | D.F | Days to 50% | Days to 50% | Plant | Ear | Ear | Ear | Number of | 100-kernel | Grain |
|---------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | | tasseling | silking | height | height | length | diameter | rows/ear | weight | yield/plant |
| Replication | 2 | 30.58 | 7.00 | 243.00 | 148.05 | 2.37 | 0.14 | 0.62 | 93.08 | 39.23 |
| Crosses | 27 | 6.83** | 10.82** | 4610.13** | 1464.05** | 23.24** | 1.17^{**} | 5.02** | 101.21** | 9183.79** |
| Error | 54 | 2.81 | 2.36 | 46.30 | 11.06 | 1.16 | 0.01 | 0.82 | 7.27 | 28.28 |
| GCA | 7 | 1.58^{**} | 3.16** | 841.79** | 506.07** | 10.89** | 0.43** | 2.16** | 44.98** | 2918.20** |
| SCA | 20 | 2.52** | 3.76** | 1779.93** | 481.70** | 6.65** | 0.38^{**} | 1.50^{**} | 29.80** | 3111.33** |
| Error | 54 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.0001 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.17 |
| σ ² GCA | | 0.11 | 0.40 | 137.73 | 83.73 | 1.75 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 7.09 | 484.80 |
| σ ² SCA | | 1.59 | 2.98 | 1764.50 | 478.01 | 6.26 | 0.37 | 1.23 | 27.38 | 3101.91 |
| $\sigma^2 GCA / \sigma^2 SCA$ | | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.16 |

** indicates to significant at 0.01 level of probability.

Mean performance:

Mean performance values for all the studied traits of the 28 crosses along with the 3 check hybrids, i.e., SC-136 and SC-10 are presented in Table 3. The obtained results exhibited that the five crosses; P1 x P8, P2 x P3, P3 x P6, P3 x P7 and P₃ x P₈ had significantly the lower mean performance values for both tasseling date with values 50.67, 50.33, 51.00, 50.00 and 50.00 days, respectively and silking date with values 54.33, 54, 54, 54 and 54 days, respectively, as well as were significantly earlier tasseling and silking dates than both the check hybrids, i.e., SC-136 and SC-10. The cross P₃ x P4had significantly the lowest mean performance value for both plant height and ear height with 199.00 and 77.67cm, respectively and was significantly shorter plant and lower ear placement than both the check hybrids, on the other side the cross P₄ x P₆ had significantly the highest mean performance value for both plant height and ear height with 323.00 and 153.67cm, respectively and was significantly taller plant and higher ear placement than both the check hybrids. The cross $P_2 \ge P_4$ was significantly the longest ear with value 25.00cm and longer ear than both the check hybrids. The cross $P_2 \times P_7$ had significantly the highest mean performance value for both ear diameter and number of rows/ear with 5.30 cm and 16.00rows/ear, respectively and was significantly wider ear

and higher rows/ear than both the check hybrids. The cross P_1 x P_4 was significantly the heaviest kernel weight with value 46.67 g and heavier than both the check hybrids. The 3 crosses; $P_1 \ge P_4$, $P_5 \ge P_6$ and $P_7 \ge P_8$ had significantly the highest grain yield/plant mean performance values with

300.07, 302.07 and 295.10 g, respectively, as well as with the previous 3 crosses the 7 crosses; $P_1 \times P_5$, $P_1 \times P_8$, $P_3 \times P_6$, $P_3 \times P_7$, $P_3 \times P_8$, $P_4 \times P_6$ and $P_6 \times P_8$ with values 266.50, 269.03, 294.40, 269.77, 265.93, 267.40 and 275.17 g, respectively out-yielded significantly both the check hybrids.

Table 3. Mean performance values of the 28 F₁ white maize crosses and the 2 check hybrids i.e., SC-136 and SC-10 for all the studied traits in 2021 season.

| Crosses | | Days to | Days to | Plant | Ear | Ear | Ear | Number of | 100-kernel | Grain |
|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| | | 50% | 50% | height | height | length | diameter | rows/ear | weight | yield/plant |
| | | tasseling | silking | (cm) | (cm) | (cm) | (cm) | | (g) | (g) |
| $P_1 x P_2$ | | 52.67 | 58.00 | 210.00 | 83.33 | 21.67 | 4.57 | 15.33 | 17.00 | 253.57 |
| $P_1 x P_3$ | | 56.00 | 59.67 | 242.33 | 98.33 | 12.67 | 4.50 | 12.67 | 36.67 | 89.83 |
| $P_1 x P_4$ | | 53.33 | 57.67 | 255.33 | 95.00 | 22.33 | 5.07 | 15.33 | 46.67 | 300.07 |
| $P_1 x P_5$ | | 53.33 | 58.67 | 252.00 | 100.00 | 21.00 | 4.20 | 12.67 | 36.33 | 266.50 |
| $P_1 x P_6$ | | 54.67 | 60.00 | 295.00 | 107.67 | 18.33 | 4.23 | 14.00 | 33.33 | 239.77 |
| $P_1 x P_7$ | | 52.33 | 57.67 | 304.00 | 102.00 | 21.00 | 4.63 | 12.67 | 38.67 | 238.47 |
| $P_1 x P_8$ | | 50.67 | 54.33 | 307.33 | 108.67 | 23.00 | 4.63 | 16.00 | 41.33 | 269.03 |
| $P_2 x P_3$ | | 50.33 | 54.00 | 305.33 | 112.67 | 17.67 | 4.17 | 12.00 | 40.00 | 199.43 |
| $P_2 \times P_4$ | | 52.67 | 56.67 | 248.33 | 74.67 | 25.00 | 5.30 | 15.33 | 29.33 | 180.33 |
| P2 x P5 | | 53.00 | 58.00 | 246.33 | 101.00 | 15.00 | 4.90 | 13.33 | 39.67 | 89.67 |
| P2 x P6 | | 53.33 | 58.33 | 305.67 | 112.67 | 22.33 | 2.20 | 12.67 | 26.33 | 225.60 |
| P2 x P7 | | 55.67 | 60.00 | 316.33 | 118.33 | 18.00 | 5.30 | 16.00 | 32.33 | 169.60 |
| $P_2 \ge P_8$ | | 51.00 | 55.00 | 303.33 | 129.00 | 23.00 | 4.93 | 16.00 | 29.67 | 254.90 |
| P3 x P4 | | 53.00 | 58.00 | 199.00 | 77.67 | 21.33 | 5.03 | 13.33 | 27.67 | 240.63 |
| P3 x P5 | | 53.00 | 58.33 | 224.33 | 106.00 | 17.67 | 4.40 | 14.00 | 36.00 | 201.17 |
| P3 x P6 | | 51.00 | 54.00 | 248.67 | 122.00 | 18.33 | 4.67 | 14.67 | 36.00 | 294.40 |
| P3 x P7 | | 50.00 | 54.00 | 215.67 | 147.00 | 16.67 | 4.20 | 14.67 | 38.67 | 269.77 |
| P3 x P8 | | 50.00 | 54.00 | 312.67 | 128.00 | 22.67 | 5.00 | 13.33 | 34.67 | 265.93 |
| P4 x P5 | | 51.67 | 55.00 | 312.67 | 152.00 | 21.00 | 4.70 | 14.00 | 38.00 | 258.23 |
| P4 x P6 | | 51.67 | 56.00 | 323.00 | 153.67 | 16.67 | 3.63 | 12.00 | 38.67 | 267.40 |
| P4 x P7 | | 52.33 | 57.33 | 312.33 | 150.33 | 21.00 | 5.33 | 14.67 | 40.33 | 245.17 |
| P4 x P8 | | 54.33 | 59.33 | 212.00 | 89.33 | 22.00 | 4.67 | 13.33 | 42.00 | 160.47 |
| P5 x P6 | | 52.67 | 58.67 | 279.67 | 102.00 | 23.00 | 5.20 | 13.33 | 39.33 | 302.07 |
| P5 x P7 | | 51.67 | 56.33 | 317.33 | 144.33 | 20.33 | 4.43 | 14.00 | 39.00 | 242.23 |
| P5 x P8 | | 53.33 | 58.00 | 287.67 | 99.33 | 20.67 | 4.50 | 14.67 | 37.00 | 216.33 |
| P6 x P7 | | 52.00 | 56.67 | 233.33 | 98.67 | 18.67 | 4.07 | 15.33 | 35.33 | 211.40 |
| P6 x P8 | | 52.33 | 57.00 | 247.67 | 124.33 | 20.67 | 4.53 | 16.00 | 38.33 | 275.17 |
| P7 x P8 | | 52.33 | 57.33 | 272.67 | 116.67 | 21.67 | 5.00 | 16.00 | 37.00 | 295.10 |
| Mean | | 52.51 | 57.07 | 271.07 | 112.67 | 20.12 | 4.57 | 14.19 | 35.90 | 232.94 |
| Checks | SC-136 | 55.33 | 58.67 | 269.33 | 115.67 | 20.00 | 4.50 | 14.21 | 31.67 | 223.52 |
| Checks | SC-10 | 54.33 | 57.33 | 275.67 | 118.33 | 20.67 | 4.65 | 12.67 | 38.33 | 257.29 |
| Rev. L.S.I | 0.05 | 3.22 | 2.57 | 9.72 | 4.75 | 1.57 | 0.14 | 1.43 | 4.07 | 7.60 |
| rev. L.S.I | 0.01 | 4.41 | 3.40 | 12.72 | 6.22 | 2.06 | 0.19 | 1.88 | 5.35 | 9.94 |

Standard heterosis:

Standard heterosis values of the 28 F1 crosses relative to the two check hybrids, i.e., SC-136 and SC-10 for all the studied traits are presented in Table 4. The obtained results illustrated that the 7 crosses; P₁ x P₈, P₂ x P₃, P₂ x P₈, P₃ x P₆, $P_3 \ge P_7$, $P_3 \ge P_8$ and $P_4 \ge P_5$ possessed significant negative desirable standard heterotic effects for tasseling and silking earliness relative to both the check hybrids, i.e., SC-136 and SC-10. The 6 crosses; P₁ x P₂, P₁ x P₃, P₃ x P₄, P₃ x P₅, P₄ x P8and P6 x P7 possessed desirable significant negative heterotic effects for decreasing both plant height and ear height relative to both the check hybrids, while the 6 crosses; P₂ x P₈, P₃ x P₈, P₄ x P₅, P₄ x P₆, P₄ x P₇ and P₅ x P₇ possessed desirable significant positive heterotic effects for increasing both plant height and ear height relative to both the check hybrids. The 11 crosses; P₁ x P₂, P₁ x P₄, P₁ x P₈, P₂ x P₄, P₂ x P_6 , $P_2 \times P_8$, $P_3 \times P_4$, $P_3 \times P_8$, $P_4 \times P_8$, $P_5 \times P_6$ and $P_7 \times P_7$ P₈possessed desirable significant positive heterotic effects for increasing ear length relative to both the check hybrids. The 7 crosses; P1 x P4, P2 x P4, P2 x P7, P2 x P8, P3 x P6, P4 x P7 and $P_7 \ x \ P_8$ possessed desirable significant positive heterotic effects for increasing both ear diameter and number of rows/ear relative to both the check hybrids. The 5 crosses; P1 x P₄, P₁ x P₈, P₂ x P₃, P₄ x P₇ and P₄ x P₈ possessed desirable significant positive heterotic effects for increasing kernel weight relative to both the check hybrids. The 4 crosses: $P_1 x$ P₄, P₃ x P₆, P₅ x P₆ and P₇ x P₈ possessed desirable significant positive heterotic effects for increasing grain yield/plant relative to the check hybrid SC-136 with values 34.25, 31.71, 35.14 and 32.02 %, respectively and the check hybrid SC-10 with values 16.63, 14.42, 17.40 and 14.70 %, respectively and among them the crossP3 x P6, also possessed significant negative desirable standard heterotic effects for tasseling and silking earliness relative to both the check hybrids, therefore this cross possessed the highest frequency of favorable alleles for high yielding ability with earliness.

Abd El-Zaher, I. N.

| Table 4. Standard heterosis values of the 28 F ₁ white maize crosses relative to the 2 check hybrids i.e., SC-136 and SC- | |
|--|--|
| 10 for all the studied traits in 2021 season. | |

| Crosses | | Days to 50° | % tasseling | Days to 50 | % silking | Plant | height | Ear height | | |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--|
| | | SC-136 | SC-10 | SC-136 | SC-10 | SC-136 | SC-10 | SC-136 | SC-10 | |
| P ₁ x P ₂ | | -4.81** | -3.06* | -1.14 | 1.17 | -22.03** | -23.82** | -27.96** | -29.58** | |
| P ₁ x P ₃ | | 1.21 | 3.07^{*} | 1.70 | 4.08^{**} | -10.02* | -12.09* | -14.99** | -16.90** | |
| $P_1 x P_4$ | | -3.61* | -1.83 | -1.71 | 0.59 | -5.20 | -7.38 | -17.87** | -19.72** | |
| P ₁ x P ₅ | | -3.61* | -1.83 | -0.01 | 2.33 | -6.43 | -8.59 | -13.55** | -15.49** | |
| P1 x P6 | | -1.20 | 0.62 | 2.27 | 4.66** | 9.53* | 7.01 | -6.92** | -9.01** | |
| $P_1 \ge P_7$ | | -5.42** | -3.68* | -1.71 | 0.59 | 12.87** | 10.28^{*} | -11.82** | -13.80** | |
| P1 x P8 | | -8.43** | -6.74** | -7.39** | -5.23** | 14.11^{**} | 11.48^{*} | -6.05* | -8.16** | |
| P ₂ x P ₃ | | -9.03** | -7.36** | -7.96** | -5.81** | 13.37** | 10.76^{*} | -2.59 | -4.78^{*} | |
| $P_2 \times P_4$ | | -4.81** | -3.06* | -3.41** | -1.16 | -7.80 | -9.92* | -35.45** | -36.90** | |
| $P_2 \times P_5$ | | -4.21* | -2.45 | -1.14 | 1.17 | -8.54 | -10.64* | -12.68** | -14.65** | |
| $P_2 \times P_6$ | | -3.61* | -1.83 | -0.57 | 1.75 | 13.49** | 10.88^{*} | -2.59 | -4.78^{*} | |
| P2 x P7 | | 0.61 | 2.46 | 2.27 | 4.66** | 17.45** | 14.75** | 2.30 | 0.00 | |
| $P_2 \times P_8$ | | -7.83** | -6.13** | -6.26** | -4.06** | 12.62** | 10.03^{*} | 11.52** | 9.02** | |
| P ₃ x P ₄ | | -4.21* | -2.45 | -1.14 | 1.17 | -26.11** | -27.81** | -32.85** | -34.36** | |
| P3 x P5 | | -4.21* | -2.45 | -0.57 | 1.75 | -16.71** | -18.62** | -8.36** | -10.42** | |
| P ₃ x P ₆ | | -7.83** | -6.13** | -7.96** | -5.81** | -7.67 | -9.79* | 5.47^{*} | 3.10 | |
| P3 x P7 | | -9.63** | -7.97** | -7.96** | -5.81** | -19.92** | -21.77** | 27.09** | 24.23** | |
| P3 x P8 | | -9.63** | -7.97** | -7.96** | -5.81** | 16.09** | 13.42** | 10.66** | 8.17^{**} | |
| P4 x P5 | | -6.62** | -4.90** | -6.26** | -4.06** | 16.09** | 13.42** | 31.41** | 28.45** | |
| P4 x P6 | | -6.62** | -4.90** | -4.55** | -2.32 | 19.93** | 17.17^{**} | 32.85** | 29.87** | |
| P4 x P7 | | -5.42** | -3.68** | -2.28 | 0.01 | 15.97** | 13.30** | 29.96** | 27.04** | |
| P4 x P8 | | -1.80 | 0.01 | 1.13 | 3.49** | -21.29** | -23.10** | -22.77** | -24.51** | |
| P5 x P6 | | -4.81** | -3.06* | -0.01 | 2.33 | 3.84 | 1.45 | -11.82** | -13.80** | |
| P5 x P7 | | -6.62** | -4.90** | -3.98** | -1.74 | 17.82^{**} | 15.11^{**} | 24.78** | 21.97** | |
| P5 x P8 | | -3.61* | -1.83 | -1.14 | 1.17 | 6.81 | 4.35 | -14.13** | -16.06** | |
| P_6xP_7 | | -6.02** | -4.29* | -3.41** | -1.16 | -13.37** | -15.36** | -14.70** | -16.61** | |
| P6 x P8 | | -5.42** | -3.68* | -2.85* | -0.58 | -8.04 | -10.16* | 7.49** | 5.07^{*} | |
| P7 x P8 | | -5.42** | -3.68* | -2.28 | 0.01 | 1.24 | -1.09 | 0.86 | -1.40 | |
| Rev. L.S.D | 0.05 | 2.9 | | 2.4 | | | 46 | | 68 | |
| NCV. L.S.D | 0.01 | | | | 3.06 | | 12.38 | | 6.12 | |

* and ** indicate to significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

General combining ability effects:

Estimates of the general combining ability (GCA) effects for all the studied traits of the 8 S₇ parental inbred lines are presented in Table5. The obtained data exhibited that none of the parents possessed significant negative desirable GCA effect for tasseling earliness, while the 2 parents; P₃ and P₈ possessed significant negative desirable GCA effects for silking earliness. The parent P₁ had significant negative desirable GCA effects in direction to plant shortness and low ear placement, on the contrary the 3 parents; P₅, P₆ and P₇had significant positive desirable GCA effects in direction to plant heightens and ear placement heightens. The 2 parents; P₄ and P₈had significant positive desirable GCA effects for the formation of the parent positive desirable GCA effects for the parent positive desirable GCA effects for the parent plane placement heightens. The 2 parents; P₄ and P₈had significant positive desirable GCA effects for the plane placement placement placement heightens. The 2 parents; P₄ and P₈had significant positive desirable GCA effects for the plane placement placement placement placement placement placement plane placement placement placement plane placement plane placement placement plane placement plane placement plane placement plane placement plane plane plane placement plane plane

length. The 2 parents; P_7 and P_8 possessed significant positive desirable GCA effects toward increasing both ear diameter and number of rows/ear. The 4parents; P_4 , P_5 , P_7 and P_8 had significantly favorable alleles for kernel heaviness. The 5 parents; P_1 , P_4 , P_6 , P_7 and P_8 had significantly favorable alleles for high yielding ability and among them the parent P_8 , also possessed significant positive desirable GCA effects for yield components; ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear and 100-kernel weight, as well as silking earliness, therefore this parent could be considered as good general combiner for developing high yielding ability with earliness white maize genotypes.

| Table 5. General combining ability | (GCA) effects of the eight S ₇ | parental lines for all the studied traits in 2021 season. |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| ruble et General combining ability | (Goll) enceus of the eight by | pur chiur mico for un the studied truits in 2021 seuson |

| Demanda | Days to 50% | Days to | Plant | Ear | Ear | Ear | Number of | 100-kernel | Grain |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Parents | tasseling | 50% silking | height | height | length | diameter | rows/ear | weight | yield/plant |
| P1 | 0.90^{*} | 1.08^{**} | -5.25** | -15.61** | -0.14 | -0.03 | -0.11 | -0.22 | 4.45** |
| P ₂ | 0.18 | 0.08 | 6.31** | -9.50** | 0.31 | -0.11** | 0.22 | -6.17** | -42.91** |
| P3 | -0.71 | -1.25** | -24.92** | 0.50 | -2.31** | -0.01 | -0.78** | -0.28 | -11.57** |
| P ₄ | 0.24 | 0.08 | -5.81** | 0.67 | 1.42^{**} | 0.29^{**} | -0.22 | 1.89** | 3.62** |
| P5 | 0.18 | 0.58 | 3.75* | 2.67^{**} | -0.36 | 0.06^{*} | -0.56** | 2.33** | -9.06** |
| P ₆ | 0.01 | 0.19 | 5.92** | 5.39** | -0.47* | -0.58** | -0.22 | -0.67 | 30.87** |
| P ₇ | -0.21 | -0.03 | 12.36** | 14.78^{**} | -0.58 | 0.16^{**} | 0.67^{**} | 1.67** | 6.86** |
| P8 | -0.60 | -0.75* | 7.64** | 1.11 | 2.14** | 0.21** | 1.00^{**} | 1.44^{*} | 17.73** |
| USD(ai) 0.05 | 0.74 | 0.68 | 3.00 | 1.47 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 1.19 | 2.34 |
| L.S.D (gi) $\begin{array}{c} 0.05\\ 0.01\end{array}$ | 0.98 | 0.90 | 3.99 | 1.95 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.53 | 1.58 | 3.12 |

* and ** indicate to significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively. L.S.D is least significant difference.

Specific combining ability effects:

Estimates of the specific combining ability (SCA) effects of the 28 F_1 white maize crosses for all the studied traits are presented in Table6.The obtained results illustrated that the 2 crosses; $P_1 \times P_8$ and $P_2 \times P_3$ possessed significant

negative desirable SCA effects for both tasseling and silking earliness. The8 crosses; $P_1 \times P_2$, $P_2 \times P_4$, $P_2 \times P_5$, $P_3 \times P_4$, $P_3 \times P_5$, $P_4 \times P_8$, $P_6 \times P_7$ and $P_7 \times P_8$ possessed significant negative desirable SCA effects for both plant shortness and low ear placement, on the other hand the 10 crosses; $P_1 \times P_6$, $P_1 \times P_8$,

 $P_2 \times P_3$, $P_2 \times P_6$, $P_2 \times P_8$, $P_3 \times P_8$, $P_4 \times P_5$, $P_4 \times P_6$, $P_4 \times P_7$ and $P_5 \times P_7$ possessed significant positive desirable SCA effects for both plant heightens and ear placement heightens. The 9 crosses; $P_1 \times P_2$, $P_1 \times P_5$, $P_1 \times P_7$, $P_2 \times P_4$, $P_2 \times P_6$, $P_3 \times P_4$, $P_3 \times$ P_8 , $P_5 \times P_6$ and $P_5 \times P_7$ possessed significant positive desirable SCA effects for increasing ear length. The 6 crosses; $P_1 \times P_2$, $P_1 \times P_4$, $P_2 \times P_4$, $P_2 \times P_7$, $P_3 \times P_6$ and $P_6 \times P_8$ possessed significant positive desirable SCA effects for increasing both ear diameter and number of rows/ear. The 5 crosses; $P_1 \times P_4$, $P_1 \times P_8$, $P_2 \times P_3$, $P_2 \times P_5$ and $P_4 \times P_8$ possessed significant positive desirable SCA effects for kernel heaviness. The 14 crosses; $P_1 \times P_2$, $P_1 \times P_4$, $P_1 \times P_5$, $P_1 \times P_8$, $P_2 \times P_3$, $P_2 \times P_8$, $P_3 \times P_4$, $P_3 \times P_6$, $P_3 \times P_7$, $P_3 \times P_8$, $P_4 \times P_5$, $P_5 \times P_6$, $P_5 \times P_7$ and $P_7 \times P_8$ possessed significant positive desirable SCA effects for high yielding ability and among them the 2 crosses; $P_1 \times P_8$ and $P_2 \times P_3$, also possessed significant desirable SCA effects for tasseling and silking earliness, therefore these 2 crosses could be considered as good combinations for high yielding ability with earliness.

| Table 6. Specific combining ability | V(SCA) effects of the 28 F ₁ white maize crosses for all the s | studied traits in 2021 season. |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Tuble of Speenie combining using | (Sell) cheeds of the 2011 white malle crosses for an the | |

| Crosses | | Days to 50% | Days to 50% | Plant | Ear | Ear | Ear | Number of | 100-kernel | Grain |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | | tasseling | silking | height | height | length | diameter | rows/ear | weight | yield/plant |
| $P_1 x P_2$ | | -0.93 | -0.24 | -62.13** | -4.22* | 1.38* | 0.13* | 1.03* | -12.52** | 59.09** |
| P1 x P3 | | 3.29** | 2.76^{**} | 1.43 | 0.78 | -5.01** | -0.04 | -0.63 | 1.26 | -135.98** |
| $P_1 x P_4$ | | -0.32 | -0.57 | -4.68 | -2.72 | 0.94 | 0.23** | 1.48^{**} | 9.10** | 59.06** |
| P1 x P5 | | -0.26 | -0.07 | -17.57** | 0.28 | 1.38^{*} | -0.40** | -0.86 | -1.68 | 38.18** |
| P1 x P6 | | 1.24 | 1.65^{*} | 23.26** | 5.22** | -1.17* | 0.27^{**} | 0.14 | -1.68 | -28.49** |
| $P_1 x P_7$ | | -0.87 | -0.46 | 25.82** | -9.83** | 1.60^{**} | -0.07 | -2.08** | 1.32 | -5.78* |
| $P_1 x P_8$ | | -2.15* | -3.07** | 33.87** | 10.50^{**} | 0.88 | -0.12* | 0.92^{*} | 4.21** | 13.92** |
| P2 x P3 | | -1.65* | -1.90^{*} | 52.87** | 9.00^{**} | -0.45 | -0.29** | -1.63** | 10.54** | 20.97** |
| $P_2 \ge P_4$ | | -0.26 | -0.57 | -23.24** | -29.17** | 3.16** | 0.55** | 1.14^{*} | -2.29 | -13.32** |
| $P_2 x P_5$ | | 0.13 | 0.26 | -34.79** | -4.83** | -5.06** | 0.38** | -0.52 | 7.60** | -91.30** |
| $P_2 \ge P_6$ | | 0.63 | 0.98 | 22.37** | 4.11^{*} | 2.38** | -1.69** | -1.52** | -2.74* | 4.70 |
| $P_2 \ge P_7$ | | 3.18** | 2.87^{**} | 26.60** | 0.39 | -1.84** | 0.67^{**} | 0.92^{*} | 0.93 | -27.29** |
| $P_2 x P_8$ | | -1.10 | -1.40 | 18.32** | 24.72** | 0.44 | 0.26^{**} | 0.59 | -1.52 | 47.14** |
| P3 x P4 | | 0.96 | 2.10^{**} | -41.35** | -36.17** | 2.10^{**} | 0.18^{**} | 0.14 | -9.85** | 15.64** |
| P3 x P5 | | 1.02 | 1.93* | -25.57** | -9.83** | 0.21 | -0.22** | 1.14^{*} | -1.96 | -11.15** |
| $P_3 x P_6$ | | -0.82 | -2.02** | -3.40 | 3.44* | 0.99 | 0.68^{**} | 1.48^{**} | 1.04 | 42.15** |
| P3 x P7 | | -1.60 | -1.79* | -42.85** | 19.06** | -0.56 | -0.53** | 0.59 | 1.37 | 41.53** |
| P3 x P8 | | -1.21 | -1.07 | 58.87** | 13.72** | 2.71** | 0.22^{**} | -1.08 | -2.40 | 26.83** |
| P4 x P5 | | -1.26 | -2.74** | 43.65** | 36.00** | -0.17 | -0.22** | 0.59 | -2.13 | 30.73** |
| P4 x P6 | | -1.10 | -1.35 | 51.82** | 34.94** | -4.40** | -0.65** | -1.75** | 1.54 | -0.03 |
| P4 x P7 | | -0.21 | 0.21 | 34.71** | 22.22** | 0.05 | 0.31** | 0.03 | 0.87 | 1.74 |
| $P_4 \ge P_8$ | | 2.18** | 2.93** | -60.90** | -25.11** | -1.67** | -0.40** | -1.63** | 2.76^{*} | -93.82** |
| P5 x P6 | | -0.04 | 0.82 | -1.07 | -18.72** | 3.71** | 1.15** | -0.08 | 1.76 | 47.32** |
| P5 x P7 | | -0.82 | -1.29 | 30.15** | 14.22** | 1.16^{*} | -0.35** | -0.30 | -0.90 | 11.49** |
| P5 x P8 | | 1.24 | 1.10 | 5.21 | -17.11** | -1.23* | -0.34** | 0.03 | -2.68* | -25.27** |
| P6 x P7 | | -0.32 | -0.57 | -56.02** | -34.17** | -0.40 | -0.09 | 0.70 | -1.57 | -59.27** |
| P6 x P8 | | 0.40 | 0.48 | -36.96** | 5.17** | -1.12* | 0.33** | 1.03^{*} | 1.65 | -6.37* |
| P7 x P8 | | 0.63 | 1.04 | -18.40** | -11.89** | -0.01 | 0.06 | 0.14 | -2.02 | 37.57** |
| L.S.D (Sij) | 0.05 | 1.63 | 1.50 | 6.64 | 3.25 | 1.05 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 2.63 | 5.19 |
| | 0.01 | 2.17 | 1.99 | 8.83 | 4.32 | 1.40 | 0.15 | 1.17 | 3.50 | 6.90 |
| * and ** indica | te to signifi | cant at 0.05 and 0. | 01 levels of prob | ability rest | ectively | | | | | |

* and ** indicate to significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively. L.S.D is least significant difference.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Moneam, M. A., A. N. Attia, M. I. EL-Emery and E. A. Fayed (2009). Combining ability and heterosis for some agronomic traits in crosses of maize. Pakistan, J. of Bio. Sci.12(5): 433-438.
- Ali, M. (2020). Diallel analysis of maize inbreds for grain yield, protein and tryptophan content. Egypt, J. Agron. 42(1): 1-17.
- Ali, M. M. A. (2016). Estimation of some breeding parameters for improvement grain yield in yellow maize under water stress. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura, Univ., 7(12): 1509 -1521.
- Aly, R. S. H. and S. Th. M. Mousa (2011). Combining ability for grain yield and other yield component traits using half diallel crosses of maize inbred lines. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotech., Mansoura, Univ., 2(12): 317-329.
- Anees, A., K. Al-Zubaidy, W. AL-Rawi and S. Al-Dawody (2019). Study of genetic behavior for some productivity and morphology traits in half diallel cross of maize. Syrian, J. of Agric., Res., SJAR 6(1): 134-150.

- Attia, A. N. E., M. A. Badawi, A. M. Salama, M. A. Abd El-Moneam and A. A. A. Leilah (2013). Combining ability and heterosis for maize grain yield and some agronomic characters. J. Plant Prod., Mansoura, Univ., 4(4): 551-560.
- Azad, A. K., Mohammad, Biswas, B. Kumar, Alam, Nazmu, Alamand S. Shamimu (2015). Combining ability and heterosis for some quantitative traits in experimental maize hybrids. Plant Breed. and Seed Sci., 70, 41-54.
- El Hosary, A. A. (2020). Diallel analysis of some quantitative traits in eight inbred lines of maize and Gge biplot analysis for elite hybrids. J. of Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 11(3): 145-151.
- El-Shahed, H. M., A. M. Abu Shosha, M. A. M. El-Ghonemy and R. H. A. Alsebaey (2021). Diallel analysis of eight yellow maize inbred lines for earliness and grain yield. J. of Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 12 (3): 329-332.

- Fehr, W. R. (1991). Principles of cultivar development. Theory and technique. MacMillan publishing Co., 1:536.
- Gamea, H., M. Darwich and H. A. Aboyousef (2018). Combining ability for some inbred lines in half-diallel crosses of maize under two different locations conditions. Arch. of Agric., sci., 1(3): 14-25.
- Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Aust. J. of Biol. Sci., 9: 463-493.
- Hallauer, A. R. and J. B. Miranda (1988). Quantitative genetics in maize breeding.2nd ed. Iowa State Univ. Press. IA.
- Hassan, A. A., A. A. Jama, O. H. Mohamed and B. K. Biswas (2019). Study on combining ability and heterosis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) using partial diallel analysis. Inter. J. of Plant Breed. and Crop Sci.,6 (2): 520-526.
- Hemada S.Sh., H. E.Yassien, I. N.Abd El-Zaher andM. H. Haridy (2020). Combining ability and genetic parameters of some white maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines using diallel analysis. Arch. of Agric. Sci. J., 3(3): 82-100.
- Hussain, M. A., Rezgaridreessaaed, H. A. Askandar and B. Alokhether (2019). Estimation of some genetic parameters and heterosis in maize by using half diallel cross. J. of Univ., of Duhok., Agri., and Sci., 22(2): 37-48.

- Mackay, T. F. C. (2009). A-maize-ing diversity. Science 325, 688–689. doi: 10.1126/science.1178420.
- Rojas, B. A. and G. F. Sprague (1952). A comparison of variance components in corn yield trials: III. General and specific combining ability and their interaction with locations and years, Agro. J., (44): 462-466.
- Singh, R. K. and B. D. Chaudhary (1979). Biometrics techniques in genetics and breeding. Publishes. PP. 118
- Singh, P. K., R. Ranjan, J. P. Shahi, A. K. Singh (2014). Studies on combining ability analysis in white kernel color of quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.). Agric. Sci. Digest., 34(1):21-25.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1967). Statistical Methods. 6thEd., Iowa State Univ., Press, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A. pp.
- Sprague, G. F. and L. A. Tatum. (1942). General versus specific combining ability in single crosses of corn. J. Amer. Soc. Agron., 34: 923-932.
- Sultan. M. S., M. A. Abdel-Moneam, A. M. Khalil and H. E. El-Wady (2016). Combining ability of some maize inbred lines and its crosses under non-stressed and water stressed conditions. J. of Plant Prod., Mansoura Univ., 7 (12): 1431-1436.

تقدير قوه الهجين والقدرة على التآلف لبعض سلالات الذرة الشامية البيضاء باستخدام التهجين النصف تبادلي إبراهيم نجاح عبد الظاهر قسم المحاصيل ـ كليه الزراعة ـ جلمعه الأزهر ــ فرع أسيوط

أجريت هذه الدر اسة خلال الفترة من 2018- 2021 بمزر عة كلية الزر اعة جامعة الأز هر فرع أسيوط لتقدير قوة الهجين القياسية والقدرة العامة والخاصة على التآلف لـ 28 هجين فردي و 8من سلالات الجيل الذاتي السابع₇2من الذرة الشامية البيضاء الحبوب المستنبطة من عشير تين هما جيزة -2 بعدد 5 سلالات (P5-P1) والعشيرة IW-469بعدد 3 سلالات (P8-P6). في موسمي 2018 و 2019 تم إجراء التلقيح الذاتي لسلالات الجيل الذاتي الخامس 5₅ والسادس S₆ للحصول على سلالات الجيل الذاتي السابعS, في موسم 2020 تم إجراء التهجين بين الـ 8 سلالات S₇يكل الطرق الممكنة بدون إجراء الهجن العكسية لإنتاج حبوب الـ 28 هجين فردي. في موسم 2021 تم تقبيم الـ 28 هجين فردي مع اثنين من هجن المقارنة هما الهجين الفردي 136 والهجين الفردي 10 باستخدام تصميم القطاعات الكاملة العشوائية في ثلاث مكررات. تم تحليل البيانات وراثيا تبعا للطريقة الرابعة، الموديل الأول للعالم جريفنج1956. تم تسجيل البيانات لصفات، عدد الأيام حتى ظهور 50٪ من النورة المذكرة، عدد الأيام حتى ظهور 50٪ من النورة المؤنثة، ارتفاع النبات، أرتفاع الكوز، طول الكوز، قطر الكوز، عدد صفوف الكوز، وزن الـ 100 حبة ومحصول حبوب النبات. كأن التباين الراجع إلى الهجن عالي المعنوية لجميع الصفات المدروسة. كان التباين الراجع إلى القدرة العامة والخاصة على التآلف عالى المعنوية لجميع الصفات المدروسة، مما يشير إلى أهمية كلا من فعّل الجين المضيف وغير المضيف في وراثتها. كانت نسبة تباين القدرة العامة على التآلف إلى تباين القدرة الخاصة على التآلف أقلّ من واحد لجميع الصفات المدروسة، مما يشير إلى أن الفعل الجيني غير المضيف لعب الدور الأهم في توريثها. تفوقت الهجن الأربعة، P₁ × P₄ ، P₃ ، P₁ P7 × P6 · P5 × P6 · × P6 معنويًا في محصول حبوب النبات نسبة إلى كلا من هجيني المقارنة بزيادة قدر ها 34,25 ، 17,11 ، 34,25 ، 32,02 % عن الهجين الفردي 136 على التوالي و 16,63 ، 14,42 ، 17,40 % أو 14,70 م عن الهجين الفردي 10 على التوالي. امتلك الأب P₈ تأثير قدرة عامة على التآلف معنوي ومرغوب لمحصول حبوب النبات وتبكير النورة المؤنثة وطول الكوز وقطر الكوز وعدد صفوف الكوز ووزن الـ 100 حبة. $P_5 \times P_5 \times P_6 \cdot P_4 \times P_5 \cdot P_3 \times P_8 \cdot P_3 \times P_7 \cdot P_3 \times P_6 \cdot P_3 \times P_4 \cdot P_2 \times P_8 \cdot P_2 \times P_3 \cdot P_1 \times P_8 \cdot P_1 \times P_5 \cdot P_1 \times P_4 \cdot P_1 \times P_2$ P7 × P8،P7) قدرة خاصة على التألف موجب ومعنوي لزيادة محصول حبوب النبات وأظهرا الهجينين P2 × P3،P1 × P4 أيضا قدرة خاصة على التآلف سالية ومعنوية لتبكير النورتين المذكرة والمؤنثة