Online ISSN: 2735-3982

DOI: -----

MNJ **Menoufia Nursing Journal Faculty of Nuring Menoufia University**

Quality of Nursing Documentation and its Effect on Continuity of patients' care

Ahmed I. Abd El Rahman¹, Manal M. Ibrahim²& Gehan M. Diab³

¹B.Sc. Nursing Science, ²³ Professor of Nursing Administration, Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University

Abstract: Background: Nursing documentation is defined as the record of nursing care that is planned and given to individual patients and clients by qualified nurses or other caregivers under the control of a qualified nurse. Purpose of the study: Assess the relation between quality of nursing documentation system and the continuity of patient care at Tanta university hospitals. Study design: Descriptive correlational design was utilized to conduct this study. Study setting: The study was conducted at Tanta University Hospitals affiliated to Ministry of Health. Study sample: A convenient sample of 80 nurse supervisors and simple random sample of 80 staff nurses in intensive care units. Data collection instruments: Data was collected using two instruments adapted by the investigator. The first instrument was a quality of nursing documentation questionnaires. The second instrument was Auditing continuity of patient care checklist. Results: The present study showed that the most of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality and the majority of total studied samples resulted in average level of continuity of patient care. Conclusion: There was a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care. **Recommendations:** Encourage nurses to comply with standard of nursing documentation at intensive care units.

Keywords: Continuity of patients' care- Quality of nursing documentation - Intensive care units.

Introduction

Nursing documentation is defined as the record of nursing care that is planned and given to individual patients and clients by qualified nurses or other caregivers under the control of a qualified nurse. In addition, nursing documentation can be used for specific purposes such as quality assurance. Despite continuous and consistent advice from quality-improvement programs and professional bodies over several years, achieving maintaining good standards of clinical documentation is still a problem in the

health profession (Alkouri, AlKhatib and Kawafhah, 2016).

Nursing documentation is an essential function of professional nursing practice (Hariyati, Yani, Eryando, Hasibuan and Milanti, 2016). The documentation should be factual, current, and comprehensive to provide consistent information about the assessment, care provided, and evaluation of patient responses to care (Perry, Potter and Ostendorf, 2019). Current health care systems require that documentation ensure continuity of care, provide legal evidence of nursing care provided, and support evaluation of quality patient care. To enhance patient outcomes that include patient safety, accurate and complete clinical information is required as a valid and reliable source to be used for communication, quality improvements, research and policymaking (Akhu-Zaheya, Alloubani and Awwad, 2018).

Documentation of the nursing care is important for continuity of care, communication between nurses and other professionals, as well as the legal aspect of care (Petrakaki, Klecun and Cornford, 2016). Documentation is important to provide quality and safe nursing care to patients. Furthermore, nursing documentation also serves as the indicator of the service quality, evidence of responsibility accountability of nurses, and database for research purpose or evidence-based policymaking (Palmer, Marengoni, Forjaz, Jureviciene, Laatikainen, Mammarella and Onder, 2018).

essential characteristics quality information in patient records include completeness and comprehensiveness. Nursing documentation based on the nursing process facilitates effective care as patient's needs can be traced from assessment and nurses are empowered in clinical decision-making. Criteria for effective or quality documentation include use of common vocabulary, legible writing, use of authorized abbreviations and symbols (Liu, Galik, Boltz, Nahm, Lerner and Resnick, 2016). Quality criteria of nursing documentation includes completeness,

quantity, legibility, patient identification, chronological report of comprehensiveness events, description, nursing assessment, objective information, signature, date and timeliness (Doenges, Moorhouse and Murr, 2016). High quality of nursing documentation aims to promote structured, consistent and effective communication between caregivers, and facilitate continuity of care and patient safety. Inadequate communication between caregivers is associated with discontinuity of care, a factor that contributes to errors. The consequences of discontinuity of care are linked to increased cost and length of hospital stay, readmissions, poorer patient satisfaction, adverse events, delays in treatment and diagnosis, inappropriate treatment and omission of care (Petersen, Marais, Abdulmalik, Chisholm Ahuja, Alem, and Thornicroft, 2017). In order to ensure continuity of care, Nurses are obligated by law to document care provided in the patient record, as well as exchange relevant necessary information. and This obviously also includes passing information across health care settings during patient transfer. The requirements for in what way the information should be exchanged differ, however, depending on what kind of health care setting the patient is transferred from. While transfer from institutions, such as hospitals and nursing homes, requires a written (or electronically) summary of the patient record, the act does not have any requirements for in what form the

information should be provided by the

home health care ((Dang and Dearholt, 2017).

Significance of the study:

Despite numerous research studies which have focused on quality nursing documentation. The relationship between quality of nursing documentation and continuity patient care has received far less attention in literature. Few studies which looked at this topic have noted that quality of nursing documentation system is associated with better continuity in patient care. It was found during training experience that there were problems in documentation in university hospitals as inaccurate, incomplete inappropriate, disorganized charts or records. The records could not be reliable in clinical decision or incomplete patient care for medical and nursing personnel. Therefore, in the current study, the investigator will spot the light on the relationship between quality of nursing documentation system and continuity of patient care at university hospitals.

Purpose of the study:

The purpose of the current study is to assess the relation between quality of nursing documentation system and the continuity of patient care at Tanta university hospitals through the following research questions:

- 1- What is the quality of current nursing documentation at Tanta university hospitals?
- 2- What is the current level of continuity of patients' care at Tanta university hospitals?

3- What is the relation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care?

II. Methods:

1- Research Design:

A descriptive correlational design was utilized to conduct this study.

2- Study Setting:

The present study was conducted at Tanta University Hospitals affiliated to Ministry of Health. These hospitals including The Main University (1000)Hospital bed) included orthopedic, medical, pediatric, general surgery, obstetric, neonates, urology, chest and cardiology, intensive care (ICU), neuropsychiatric, units dehydration treatment unit and plastic surgery. The Student Hospital (166beds) included renal dialysis, medical department, chest and openheart operation (OR), ICUs and general ward. The Ophthalmology Hospital (65beds) included: Ear, Nose, Throat (ENT) and ophthalmic departments. The Emergency Hospital (275 beds) included neurosurgery, medical, surgery, recovery, poisoning, burn, orthopedic departments and ICU.

3- Study Sample:

Two groups of subjects were used on the current study:

1. Convenient sample of nurse supervisors (80 nurse supervisor) are used in the time of data collection which included in this study as following: (35) in the Main University Hospital, (25) Nurse Supervisors in Mubarak Hospital, (14) Nurse Supervisors in

- Emergency Hospital and (6) Nurse Supervisors in Ophthalmology Hospital.
- 2. Simple random sample of staff nurses who give direct patient care and use records, and reports in ICU units at the university hospitals. Using the Slovin's formula to calculate the 2nd subjects' group (**Tejada**, 2012).

4- Instruments for data collection:

- Data were collected by using the following two instruments.

First Instrument: "Quality of nursing documentation questionnaires. It was adapted from Afify, Awad and Abd el Wahab (2003). This instrument aimed to assess the quality of nursing documentation from nurse supervisors' point of view. It is consisted of two parts: First part: demographic data of

nurse supervisors as gender, years of educational experience, level. residence, and job position. Second part: It covered (10) dimensions with 78 items as follow: types of nursing records formats availability (15 items), the reasons of unavailability of formats (3 items), types of nursing records formats kept in patient's file after discharge patient (15 items), responsibilities of reviewing recorded nursing format (3items), standards of nursing documentation quality (14 items), attendance of training programs related to nursing documentation(3 factors lead items). to poor documentation (8 items), importance nursing documentation quality (8items), importance of continuity of patient care from nurse's point of view (6items) and responsibility of writing shift report (3 items).

The scoring system of Instrument one:

Dimension	Number of items	Scale
Types of nursing formats availability	15 items	 Available and use (2). Available but not used (1). Unavailable (0).
 Reason of unavailability of format. Types of nursing record formats kept in patient file 	3Items 15 items	
after patient discharge. 3. Responsibilities of reviewing recorded nursing	3 items	A. yes (1).
formats. 4. Standard of nursing documentation quality. 5. Attendance of training programs related to nursing	14 items 3 items	B. No (0).
documentation. 6. Factors lead to poor documentation.	8 items	
 Importance of nursing documentation quality. Importance of continuity of patient care. Responsibility of writing shift report. 	8 items 6 items 3 items	A.Strongly agree (4). B.Agree (3). C.Disagree (2). D.Strongly disagree (1). E.Do not know (0).

The total score for this entire instrument is 144. The quality of nursing documentation was estimated

at level :> 60% Accepted level of nursing documentation= (66) score or more and <60% Unaccepted level of

nursing documentation=below (66) score.

Second **Instrument:** Auditing continuity of patient care checklist. The aim of this instruments to assess continuity of patient care by auditing checklist (observational checklist).It was Adapted from Abdo, Bashaier, Mohamed El Sayed (2014). It covered (6) dimensions with 29 items as follow: giving medication (2items: complete and incomplete medication order), vital signs (4 items; T, P, R and Bp), fluid balance (4 items: intravenous, Ryle, urine and drainage), laboratory investigations (4 items; ordering, implementing, sending and resulting), nursing intervention (9 items) and shift report (6 items).

Scoring system:

Observed continuity of patient care was checked against (5) points Likert scale as follow: Done and recorded (4), done but not recorded (3), not done but recorded (2), not applicable (1) and not done and not recorded (0) respectively. The total score was (116) scores. The levels for continuity of patient care as follow:

- 1) Cut point level of continuity of patient care = (58%).
- 2) Low continuity of care from 0 to <58scores.
- **3**) Average Continuity of care from 58 to <87 scores.
- 4) High Continuity of care from >87 to 116 scores.

Validity of the instruments:

Validity of two instruments were assessed using content validity by five

experts (3 experts from Menoufia University and 2 experts from Tanta University) in nursing administration department at nursing faculties. The relevance, clarity, fluency and simplicity of each component in the two instruments was examined by experts and they found that the two instruments are useful and helpful according to the objectives of the research.

Necessary modification was done and unnecessary questions were deleted to reach the final valid version of the instruments which considered valid from the expert's perspective.

Reliability of the instruments:

instruments were tested reliability by the Cronbach's alpha. Reliability was estimated among 10% participants for related each questionnaire by using test-retest method with two weeks apart between them. Then correlation coefficient was between calculated two scores. Correlation coefficient was 0.84 for questionnaire, 0.83 for checklist of continuity of patient care.

Pilot study:

The investigator conducted a pilot before administering study final questionnaire. The purpose of pilot study was to ascertain clarity, relevance, applicability, sequence of the study instruments and to determine obstacles that may be encountered during data collection. It also helped to estimate time required to fill the questionnaire sheets. The pilot study was carried out on (10%) of subjects (8 nurse supervisors), also they were excluded from the main study subjects to fill out the questionnaire. Based on the results of pilot study, rephrasing of some questions was done to ensure clarity of questions and to be easily understood by nurse supervisors. The time required for each nurse supervisor to fill the questionnaires was estimated to be 15-20 minutes.

Data collection procedure:

Before beginning to collect data from the study sample the investigator introduce himself to them, explained the aim of the study and informed them that their information was treated confidential and used only for purpose of the research. Additionally, each participant was notified about right to accept or refuse to participate in the study. Data was collected in the morning and afternoon shift and subject response to questions in the presence of investigator to ascertain that all questions were answered. Data was collected upon four months started from January 2020 to April 2020.

Continuity of patient care was done through using observational checklist to observe all hospital staff tasks and activities through done or not done. Data were collected by the investigator for each staff nurse three times in three different days per week, and total higher frequency of either done or not done was taken as a final data collection for each time of each task.

Ethical consideration:

Before any attempt to collect data, written approval letter was submitted to director of Tanta University Hospital. The letter contains the title, aim of the study and method of data collection. Data collection procedures, analysis and reporting of finding were undertaken in a manner designed to protect confidentiality of subjects. The study was conducted with careful attention to ethical standards of research and rights of the participants. The ethical committee at faculty of nursing, Menoufia University revised study protocol and accepts the topic as they assured this study did not violate the rights of participants. The sample rights were protected by ensuring participation. voluntary So informed consent was obtained by explaining purpose, nature, time of conducting study, potential benefits of the study and how data will be collected

The subjects were assured that the data will be treated as strictly confidential. Furthermore, the respondents' anonymity was maintained, as they were not required to mention their names.

Statistical design:

The collected data were organized, tabulated and statistically analyzed using SPSS software statistical computer package version 22 and Epiinfo program. For quantitative data, the range, mean and standard deviation were calculated. For qualitative data, comparison was done using Chi-square test ($\chi 2$).

Correlation between variables was evaluated using Pearson and Spearman's correlation coefficient r and Fisher Exact test. A significance was adopted at P<0.05 for interpretation of results of tests of significance (*). Also, a highly

significance was adopted at P<0.01 for interpretation of results of tests of significance

III. Results:

Table (1): Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied subjects (nurses and patients):

	The studied nurses (n=145)			
Characteristics	`	ı ´		
	N	%		
Age (in years): (nurses)				
• 20-30	98	61.25		
■ 30-40	42	26.25		
• >40	20	12.5		
■ Mean ±SD		32.7±5.22		
Gender:				
Male	30	18.8		
Female	130	81.2		
Marital status:				
 Married 	120	75		
Unmarried	40	25		
Qualification:				
 Bachelor degree in nursing 	80	50		
 School diploma degree 	80	50		
Job position:				
 Nurse supervisor 	80	50		
Staff nurse	80	50		
Years of experience:				
• <5	12	7.50		
■ 5-<10	96	60		
■ 10-20	52	32.5		
■ Mean ±SD		10.0±5.34		
Age / years (patients)				
■ <40	10	12.5		
4 0 -< 50	15	18.75		
■ 50 -<60	40	50		
• >60	15	18.75		
■ Mean ±SD		50.2±13.0		
Gender				
Male	8	10.0		
Female	72	90.0		
Units				
 Anesthesia ICU 	40	50		
 Emergency ICU 	20	25		
Medical ICU	20	25		

This table clarified that, according to the age of studied nurses, the nearly two third of studied nurses ranged from 20 to 30 years (61.25%). Concerning their gender, the majority of studied nurses were female (81.2%).

Regarding their marital status, the majority of studied nurses were married (75%). In relation to their qualification, the half of studied nurses (50%) had a bachelor degree in nursing. Concerning their job position,

the half of studied nurses were nurse supervisor (50%). Regarding years of experience, the nearly two third of studied nurses` years of experience (60%) ranged from 5 to 10 years with Mean $\pm SD = (10.0\pm5.34)$. while concerning age of studied patients, the half of studied patients aged from 50 to

<60 years (50%). According to their gender, the most of the studied patients were female (90%). Regarding the types of units that the studied patients were admitted in, the half of studied patients were admitted in anesthesia intensive care unit (50%).

Table (2): Percentage distribution of nursing records formats type's availability as reported by nurse supervisors (n=80):

Types of nursing records formats	Available and used		Available but not used		Not available	
availability	No.	%	No ·	%	No.	%
Nursing notes	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Changing position of patient format	68	85.0	6	7.50	6	7.50
Medication administration sheet	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Fluid balance sheet	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Handover form	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Patient assessment form on admission	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Nursing care plan form	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Discharge planning form	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Insulin administration form	68	85.0	0	0.00	12	15.0
Heparin administration form	19	23.8	8	10.0	53	66.2
Vital signs sheet	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Informed consent form	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00
Progress note sheet	68	85.0	0	0.00	12	15.0
Incident report sheet	12	15.0	0	0.00	68	85.0
Pain assessment form	73	91.3	0	0.00	7	8.70

This table clarified that all nursing notes, medication administration sheets, fluid balance sheets, handover forms, patient assessment forms on admission, nursing care plan forms, discharge planning forms, vital signs sheets and informed consent forms were available and used (100%). As indicated in this table, the highest percentage of nurse supervisors

reported that changing position of patient formats., insulin administration forms, progress note sheets and pain assessment forms were available and used (85% and 91.3% respectively). While the least percentage of nurse supervisors reported that heparin administration forms and incident report sheets were available and used (23.8% and 15% respectively).

Table (3): Percentage distribution of staff nurse's attendance of training programs related to nursing documentation and factors lead to poor documentation as reported by nurse supervisors(n=80):

Items	Y	'es	No				
	No.	%	No.	%			
Staff nurse's attendance of training programs related to nursing documentation							
In the unit	74	92.5	6	7.50			
In the hospital	52	65.0	28	35.0			
Outside the hospital	13	16.3	67	83.7			
Factors lead to poor documentation							
Lack of good monitoring system	30	37.5	50	62.5			
Lack of understanding of coding	59	73.8	21	26.2			
Leaving banks on forms	37	46.2	43	53.8			
Not dating, timing and signing entries	43	53.8	37	46.2			
Use of inappropriate abbreviations	77	58.8	33	41.2			
Entering information into wrong chart	41	51.2	39	48.8			
Documentation takes long time	80	100	0	0.00			
Nursing shortage	40	50.0	40	50.0			

This table showed that, concerning staff nurse's attendance of training programs, the highest percentage of staff nurses (92.5%) were attended training programs related to nursing documentation in the unit. While the majority of staff nurses (83.7%) did not attend training, programs related to nursing documentation outside the hospital. Regarding to factors which lead to poor documentation, the half of the nurse supervisors agreed on not dating, timing and signing entries, entering information into wrong chart

and nursing shortage as factors lead to poor documentation (50%, 51.2% and 53.8% respectively). Also, the majority of nurse supervisors (73.8%) agreed on lack of understanding of coding as a factor lead to poor documentation. On the other side, the two third of the nurse supervisors (62.5%) did not agree on lack of good monitoring system as a factor lead to poor documentation. Finally, all nurse supervisors (100%)agreed on documentation took a long time as a factor lead to poor documentation.

Table (4): Total quality of nursing documentation at university hospitals

Studied variable	No.	%		
Total quality of documentation	7.5	02.7		
Accepted level of nursing documentation	75	93.7		
Un accepted level of nursing documentation	5	6.30		
Total quality of documentation				
Mean ±SD	114.6±6.14			
Min - Max	87 - 123			

This table shows that the most of studied samples resulted in accepted

level of nursing documentation quality (93.7%).

Quality of Nursing Documentation and its Effect on Continuity of patients' care

Table (5): Percentage distribution of auditing continuity of patient care related to nursing intervention, vital signs and laboratory investigation (n=80):

Item		e and orded	n	e and ot	b	done ut		Not licable	n	done, ot
		0.4		rded		rded				rded
37% 1 •	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Vital signs Morning shift										
- Temperature	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Pulse	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Respiration	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Respiration - Blood pressure	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Evening shift	80	100	U	0.00	U	0.00	U	0.00	U	0.00
- Temperature	64	80.0	0	0.00	16	20.0	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Pulse	64	80.0	0	0.00	16	20.0	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Respiration	64	80.0	0	0.00	16	20.0	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Respiration - Blood pressure	64	80.0	0	0.00	16	20.0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Night shift	04	80.0	U	0.00	10	20.0	U	0.00	U	0.00
C	72	90.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Temperature	72		8	10.0	0				0	
- Pulse	72	90.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	0	0.00		0.00
- Respiration	72	90.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
- Blood pressure	12	90.0	8	10.0	U	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Lab. Investigations	00	100	1 0	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
A) Ordering	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
B) Implementing	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
C) Sending	72	90.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
D) Resulting	80	100	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Nursing intervention										
Care of tubes	48	60.0	16	20.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	8	10.0
Hygienic care	40	50.0	8	10.0	32	40.0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Patients exercises	40	50.0	16	20.0	16	20.0	0	0.00	8	10.0
Health education	40	50.0	8	10.0	16	20.0	0	0.00	16	20.0
Nursing observation	40	50.0	8	10.0	16	20.0	0	0.00	16	20.0
Changing position	16	20.0	40	50.0	16	20.0	0	0.00	8	10.0
Glasgow coma scale	16	20.0	24	30.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	32	40.0
Physical, psychological	40	50.0	8	10.0	16	20.0	0	0.00	16	20.0
assessment										
Patient complaints	48	60.0	16	20.0	8	10.0	0	0.00	8	10.0

This table highlights percentage distribution of auditing continuity of patient care related to vital signs, laboratory investigation and nursing intervention. Concerning vital signs, at morning shift, all vital signs were done

and recorded in all studied sample (100%). At evening shift, all vital signs were done and recorded only in the majority of total studied sample (80%). At night shift, all vital signs were done and recorded only in the most of total

studied sample (90%). Regarding to lab. investigation, ordering, implementing and resulting of lab. investigation were done and recorded in all studied samples (100%) but sending results of lab. investigation were done and recorded in the most of total studied samples (90%). In relation to nursing intervention, care of tubes and patient complaints were doing and recorded in the near two third of total

studied samples (60%). While hygienic care, patient exercises, nursing observation, physical, psychological assessment and health education were doing and recorded in the half of total studied sample (50%).On the other side, changing position of patient was doing and not recorded in the half of total studied samples (50%).

Table (6): Frequency distribution of total continuity of care at university hospitals

Studied variable	No.	%			
Continuity of patient care					
Average	64	80.0			
High	16	20.0			
Continuity of patient care					
Mean ±SD	87.0±5.39				
Min - Max	83- 102				

This table shows that the majority of total studied samples resulted in

average level of continuity of patient care (80%).

Table (7): Correlation between quality of Nursing documentation and continuity of patient care:

Studied variable	Quality of documentation			
	r	P value		
Continuity of patient care	0.434	0.001**		

This table clarified that there was highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care (r=0.434 -p value=0.001).

IV. Discussion:

Nursing documentation provides an important indicator of the quality of care provided for hospitalized patients. Nursing documentation has

been defined as the record of nursing care that is planned and given to individual patients and clients by qualified nurses or by other caregivers under the direction of a qualified nurse (Alkouri et al., 2016). In general, most of the literature does not deal with behaviors and communication skills between the nurse-patient relationship and the role of both. There has been a large amount of documentation on selfcare strategies, medication adherence, psychological interventions. patient and nurse satisfaction (Molin and Gallo, 2020).

Therefore, the present study was carried out to assess the relation between quality of nursing documentation system and the continuity of patient care in university hospitals. Before discussing the study results related these research to questions, light should be directed the socio-demographic toward characteristics of the study participants who were presented into two groups. The first group included a sample of the studied nurses (160) who were working at the previously mentioned study settings and the second group included a sample of critically ill patients (80), supervised by the studied nurses in the same study settings.

In relation to the studied nurses, the results of the present study clarified that the highest percent of nurses in the study sample were at age ranges from 20 to 30 years and nearly two third of

the study sample has (5-<10) years of experience. Concerning their job position, the half of studied nurses were nurse supervisor. Regarding educational level, about half of the study sample nurses had bachelor degree in nursing. Furthermore, in relation to gender and marital status, the majority of the studied nurses were female and married.

The present study revealed that the most of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality. This result provides the answer of the first research question of the present study. In agreement with the present study result, the study of Molin and Gallo, (2020) showed that the majority of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality. It is believed that the quality of nursing documentation plays very important roles encouraging in structured, consistent and effective communication between caregivers facilitates continuity and and individuality of care and safety of patients.

The present study result also was congruent with the study of Lindo et al., (2016) in which the results showed that the most of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality. The complex task of nursing documentation is often guided by the nursing process, a framework for solving patient care problems, and ensuring the provision of high-quality nursing care. Furthermore, this result was supported

by results of Molina-Mula et al., (2018) which revealed that the majority of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality.

On the other hand, two studies of Taiye (2015) and Nakate et al., (2014)were contradicting to the present study result as the results reported that the two third of studied samples resulted in unaccepted level of nursing documentation quality.

From the investigator's point of view, the present study result, the reason for good levels of nurses' knowledge about documentation in hospitals may be due to the educational nature of those hospitals as the continuing education was a recently followed strategy in the last years. In addition, better educational facilities, more inservice training programs, organizing of educational processes are considered factors that enhance a better competence level. It was observed also that the study nurses were trained on the quality of performance standards of their units as the patients on these units need special care

The current study showed that, concerning the total continuity of patient care, the majority of total studied samples resulted in average level of continuity of patient care. This result provides the answer of the second research question of the present study. In agreement with the present study result, the study of Mendes et al., (2017) showed that the majority of total studied samples resulted in average continuity of patient care. In order to develop strategies for continuity of care, health organizations must establish different organizational mechanisms, including: training, planning and decision making at the inter-institutional level through information systems, interdisciplinary clinical evaluation, protocols, follow-up and professional feedback.

The present study result also was congruent with the study of Mirlashari et al., (2016) in which the results showed that most of total studied samples resulted in average continuity of patient care. It illustrated that employing interested and competent nurses and considering the standards required in the Neonatal Intensive Care Units can increase the quality of care in those wards. Also, the study of Nilsson et al., (2016) which supported our study result, clarified that the majority of total studied samples resulted in average continuity of patient care. It showed that the professional documentation of nursing is crucial importance for high quality care and patient related outcomes.

Finally, the other studies of Hassan, (2018) and Thabet et al., (2019) supported the present study result as they showed that the majority of total studied samples resulted in average level of continuity of patient care.

On the other hand, two studies of Bowen, et al., (2015) and Palmer, et al., (2018) contradicting the present study result as the results reported that the majority of total studied samples resulted in low level of continuity of patient care and showed that several factors can adversely affect continuity of patient care. Physicians who establish good rapport with consumers

are more likely to retain patrons, and in effect maintain continuity of service. Conversely, physicians who do not build good rapport might lose patients regularly, disrupting continuity of service due to consumers seeking new care providers. In fact, patient satisfaction has historically influenced continuity of care and vice versa.

From the investigator's point of view, this result may be because an observation checklist, instead of a questionnaire, was used to assess the level of continuity of patient care that related directly to patient care and as the majority of the studied patients in that was presented to those patients through assessing the presented care and nurses' activities.

The present study result showed that there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care at Intensive Care Units. This result provided the answer of the third research question of the present study. The result of Nobahar, (2016) agreed with the present study result as it showed that having good level of nursing documentation leads to an improved quality of patient care and an increased patient satisfaction with the nurses and helps promote nursing as a profession and improve nursing education clinical nursing. and Therefore, it illustrated that there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity patient care.

The present study result also was congruent with the study of Schober,

(2016) in which the results showed that the level of quality of nursing documentation directly affects the continuity care of patients and there is highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care. Furthermore, this result was supported by results of Dang and Dearholt, (2017) which revealed that there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care.

Additionally, other studies of Marie, et al., (2016) and Hariyati et al., (2016) supported the present study result as they showed that there is a highly significant statistically positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity patient care. Our study was also in line with Petresen, et al., (2017) who reported that there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity patient care. Besides, another study of Maarsingh, et al., (2016)consistent with the present study result as it showed that that there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing continuity documentation and patient care.

From the investigator's point of view, High quality nursing documentation aims to promote structured, consistent and effective communication between caregivers, and facilitate continuity of care and patient safety. Inadequate communication between caregivers is associated with discontinuity of care, a factor that contributes to errors. The consequences of discontinuity of care are linked to increased cost and length of hospital stay, readmissions, poorer patient satisfaction, adverse events, delays in treatment and diagnosis, inappropriate treatment and omission of care.

Finally, the present study results showed that the highest percentage of nurses in the study sample were at age ranges from 20 to 30 years, the half of studied nurses were nurse supervisor, the majority of the studied nurses were female and married, the most of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality (93.7%), the majority of total studied samples resulted in average level of continuity of patient care (80%) and there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing documentation and continuity of patient care at Intensive Care Units (r=0.434 - p value=0.001).

V. Conclusion

The present study was carried out to investigate the relation between quality of nursing documentation system and the continuity of patient care in university hospitals. All nurses of university Hospitals agreed about importance of quality of nursing documentation and continuity patient care, the most of studied samples resulted in accepted level of nursing documentation quality, the majority of total studied samples resulted in average level of continuity of patient care. Finally, this study emphasized that there is a highly statistically significant positive correlation between quality of nursing

documentation and continuity of patient care.

VI. Recommendations

Based on the findings of the present study, the following recommendations were suggested:

- Holding workshop with the staff about importance of nursing documentation and documentation standard& principles.
- Encourage nurses to comply with standard of nursing documentation at intensive care units.
- Apply nursing documentation policies to guide nurse's performance.
- Continuous supervision of nursing documentation through regular and periodic auditing is suggested, with constructive feedback, as well as disciplinary actions for defaulters and rewards for good achievers.
- The hospital administration should address the barriers to adequate nursing documentation identified by the nurses, and provide all needed resources.
- Provide opportunities to attend national and international nursing conferences to improve their knowledge about nursing documentation principles and importance.
- Further research is proposed to assess the impact of on-the-job training and application of electronic health records on nurses' practices in documentation.

• Replication of the study on large sample size and different settings.

References:

- Akhu Zaheya, L., Al Maaitah, R., & Bany Hani, S. (2018). Quality of nursing documentation: Paper based health records versus electronic based health records. Journal of clinical nursing, 27(3-4), e578-e589.
- Alkouri, O. A., AlKhatib, A. J., & Kawafhah, M. (2016). Importance and implementation of nursing documentation: review study. European Scientific Journal, 12(3).
- Ammenwerth, E., Rauchegger, F., Ehlers, F., Hirsch, B., & Schaubmayr, C. (2011). Effect of a nursing information system on the quality of information processing in nursing: An evaluation study using the HISmonitor instrument. International journal of medical informatics, 80(1), 25-38.
- Dang, D., & Dearholt, S. L. (2017).

 Johns Hopkins nursing
 evidence-based practice: Model
 and guidelines. Sigma Theta
 Tau.
- Dehghan, M., Dehghan, D., Sheikhrabori, A., Sadeghi, M., & Jalalian, M. (2013). Quality improvement in clinical documentation: does clinical governance work? Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare, 6, 441.

- Geurden, B., Wouters, C., Franck, E., Weyler, J., & Ysebaert, D. (2013). Does documentation in nursing records of nutritional screening on admission to hospital reflect the use of evidence-based practice guidelines for malnutrition? International journal of nursing knowledge, 25(1), 43-48.
- Hariyati, R. T. S., Yani, A., Eryando, T., Hasibuan, Z., & Milanti, A. (2016). The effectiveness and efficiency of nursing care documentation using the SIMPRO model. International journal of nursing knowledge, 27(3), 136-142.
- Hassan, N. A. (2018). Assessing Nurses' Knowledge and Auditing their Practices Regarding Nursing Care Documentation. Port Said Scientific Journal of Nursing, 5(1), 95-112.
- Instefjord, M. H., Aasekjær, K., Espehaug, B., & Graverholt, B. (2014). Assessment of quality in psychiatric nursing documentation—a clinical audit. BMC nursing, 13(1), 32.
- Jefferies, D., Johnson, M., Nicholls, D., & Lad, S. (2012). A ward-based writing coach program to improve the quality of nursing documentation. Nurse education today, 32(6), 647-651.
- Kamil, H., Rachmah, R., & Wardani, E. (2018). What is the

- problem with nursing documentation? Perspective of Indonesian nurses. International journal of Africa nursing sciences, 9, 111-114.
- Lindo, J., Stennett, R., Stephenson Wilson, K., Barrett, K. A., Bunnaman, D., Anderson Johnson, P., & Wint, Y. (2016). An audit of nursing documentation at three public hospitals in Jamaica. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 48(5), 499-507.
- Maarsingh, O. R., Henry, Y., van de Ven, P. M., &Deeg, D. J. (2016). Continuity of care in primary care and association with survival in older people: a prospective cohort 17-year study. British Journal of General Practice. 66(649), e531-e539.
- Marie, T., & Jaroslav, P. (2016).

 Provision of Nursing Care with or without Therapeutic Communication Intervention.

 US-China L. Rev., 13, 75.
- Mendes, F. R. P., Gemito, M. L. G. P., Caldeira, E. D. C., Serra, I. D. C., & Casas-Novas, M. V. (2017). Continuity of care from the perspective of users. Ciencia&saudecoletiva, 22, 841-853.
- Mirlashari J., Qommi R., Nariman S., Bahrani N. & Begjani J., (2016). Clinical Competence and Its Related Factors of Nurses in Neonatal Intensive

- Care Units. Journal of Caring Sciences, 2016, 5(4), 317-324.
- Molina-Mula, J., & Gallo-Estrada, J. (2020). Impact of nurse-patient relationship on quality of care and patient autonomy in decision-making. International journal of environmental research and public health, 17(3), 835.
- Molina-Mula, J., Gallo-Estrada, J., & Perelló-Campaner, C. (2018).**Impact** of Interprofessional Relationships from Nurses' Perspective on the Decision-Making Capacity of Patients in a Clinical Setting. International journal ofenvironmental research and public health, 15(1), 49.
- Nilsson J., Gardulf A. & Lepp M., (2016). Process of translation and adaptation of the Nurse Professional Competence (NPC) Scale. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice 2016, Vol. 6, No. 1 EXPERIENCE EXCHANGE
- Nobahar, M., (2016). Competence of nurses in the intensive cardiac care unit, May 2016, Volume: 8, Issue: 5, Pages: 2395-2404, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.19082/2395
- Ofi, B., & Sowunmi, O. (2012).

 Nursing documentation:

 Experience of the use of the nursing process model in selected hospitals in Ibadan,

 Oyo State, Nigeria.

- International journal of nursing practice, 18(4), 354-362.
- Okaisu, E. M., Kalikwani, F., Wanyana, G., & Coetzee, M. (2014). Improving the quality of nursing documentation: An action research project. curationis, 37(2), 1-11.
- Olsen, R., Hellzén, O., Skotnes, L., & Enmarker, I. (2014). Breakdown in informational continuity of care during hospitalization of older homeliving patients: A case study. International journal of integrated care, 14(2).
- Petersen, J. A., Rasmussen, L. S., & Rydahl-Hansen, S. (2017). Barriers and facilitating factors related to use of early warning score among acute care nurses: a qualitative study. BMC emergency medicine, 17(1), 1-9.
- Schober, M. (2016). Introduction to advanced nursing practice.

 Springer International Publishing.174. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-32204-9.

- Scruth, E. A. (2014). Quality nursing documentation in the medical record. Clinical nurse specialist, 28(6), 312-314.
- Thabet, O., Ghanem, H., Ahmed, A. & Abd-ElMouhsen, S., (2019). Effect of Developing and Implementing Nursing Care Standards on outcome of Patients Undergoing Cardiac Catheterization. IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science (IOSR-JNHS), vol. 8, no. 01, 2019, pp. 42-54.
- Thoroddsen, A., Sigurjonsdottir, G., Ehnofors, M., & Ehrenberg, A. (2013). Accuracy completeness and comprehensiveness of information on pressure ulcers recordedin the patient record. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Science, 27, 84-91.
- Wang, N., Hailey, D., & Yu, P. (2011). Quality of nursing documentation and approaches to its evaluation: a mixed method systematic review. Journal of advanced nursing, 67(9), 1858-1875.