Review Article:

Knee Osteoarthritis Evidence Based Guidelines Management: The Missing

Truth

Manhal Abdulwahab Alhamo, Assistant Lecturer Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Tishreen University, Syrian Arab Republic

Soheir Mohamed Weheida, Professor

Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Alexandria University

Hoda Mohamed Aly Abdel-Naby, Lecturer

Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University

Abstract

Today, knee osteoarthritis (KOA) is the most prevalent arthropathies, affecting up to 251 million people worldwide. In Egypt, recent epidemiologic studies reported that KOA prevalence was 29.2 per 1000, and embodies an enormous public health burden. To enhance remediation this ubiquitous condition, numerous authoritative evidence based guidelines have been developed, with roughly fifty-one clinical guidelines currently exist representing national, international, and several stakeholder organizations. Surprisingly, a current systemic review that critically appraises these guidelines revealed that there is a relative consensus on a lot of KOA management recommendations. This disagreement may be partially explained by the research evidence examined and population characteristics. Ironically, there is no explicit Egyptian guideline available for managing KOA heretofore. Nevertheless, the paramount commonality among all guidelines was a tenet identified as a core intervention encompassing education and self-management, exercise, and weight control. Additionally, to optimize the treatment a coordinated multidisciplinary team approach was strongly supported. Considering these facts, nurses are in a unique position to inform and support their KOA patient's management. Furthermore, given such core interventions are inherent in nursing science, nursing led modalities are envisioned to have substantial positive health outcomes. Therefore, nurses must be aware about their critical role in handling this disease, and must be encouraged to actively participate in evolving effective strategies targeted these core elements. To sum, the significance of this paper stem from at least three reasons. First, it highlighted the lack of agreement in guideline's recommendations. Second, it acknowledged the imperative need for discrete Egyptian KOA guideline. Third, it emphasized the importance of nursing role in counter this disease.

Keywords: Knee Osteoarthritis; Evidence Based Guidelines; Management.

Introduction

Globally, knee osteoarthritis (KOA) is the most prevalent arthropathies, affecting up to 251 million of the adult population. It is a main contributor to impaired mobility and chronic pain, with estimation of being the fourth leading cause of Year Lived with Disability (YLDs) throughout the world^(1,2). Therapeutically, heretofore, there is no treatment option available for KOA that can reverse or halt the inexorable disease progression. For that reason, existing therapy principally aims to alleviate the symptoms, optimize functional status, enhance patient's quality of life and retard the disease progression. To this end, there are a variety of therapeutic options from which to choose⁽³⁻⁵⁾.

Further, to promote remediation this condition, numerous authoritative evidence based guidelines have been developed, with roughly fifty-one clinical guidelines currently exist representing national, international, and several stakeholder organizations^(6,7). Surprisingly, there are

relative consensuses on a lot of KOA management recommendations across these guidelines⁽⁸⁾. То spotlight on this disagreements, a summarize information from currently published treatment guidelines or recommendations will be presented, as well as the controversial therapeutic modalities will be highlighted. Also, to clarify the differences, therapeutic spectrum for KOA was conceptualized into four broad categories; core interventions, nonpharmacological, pharmacological and surgical interventions.

I- Core Interventions:

Core interventions are a set of general nonpharmacological modalities that considered as basis treatments by the most popular clinical guidelines. They should be initiated early for all patients irrespective of KOA severity and reinforced continuously as an integral part of the KOA therapeutic plans. This group of modalities is dominated by education and self management programs, therapeutic exercise, and weight control⁽⁹⁾.

Patient education and self management programs. The large number of treatment guidelines for KOA agrees that patient education and involved in self management programs should be the first step in any treatment plan. As per the guidelines, such programs typically cover specific issues including; clarify the nature ailment. nonpharmacological of the medications management of pain, management, exercise and rest, weight control, and the principles of joint protection. Interestingly, despite the widespread recommendation for these programs, they have small effect sizes on physical outcomes. However, evidence indicates that patient's education can enhance the practice of healthy behaviors, help manage and cope with the disease, improve self efficacy, and allow to be fully involved in shared decision making. Considering this evidence, patient education and self management programs have now become the standard of $care^{(10,11)}$.

Therapeutic exercise is an essential aspect of management the patients with KOA. As a whole, the supporting evidence suggests that both strengthening and aerobic fitness exercise can effectively relieve pain and reduce activity limitations. Beside its effects on pain and disability from KOA, therapeutic exercise improves the reduced muscle strength, promotes functional independence and ameliorates psychological health⁽¹²⁾.

Weight control. Patients should be advised on the importance of weight control. Also, they should be advised to maintain Body mass index (BMI) within the 20-25 g/m^2 range. Whereas, if the patient is overweight or obese, weight loss should be a priority, and should be achieved by a combination of diet modification and regular exercise. A more recent systematic review suggests that weight reduction has a positive effect on pain, stiffness, and functional improvement. Therefore, weight loss has been a key recommendations in current guidelines for the management of KOA^(12,13).

II- Nonpharmacological Interventions:

Nonpharmacological interventions are a set of specific treatment modalities for the affected knee, and often considered as adjunct to core interventions. In that sense, they should be undertaken depending on individual patient requirements. This group of modalities consists of physiotherapy and biomechanical interventions⁽¹⁴⁾.

Physiotherapy. A variety of physiotherapy approaches can be utilized to manage KOA, with ultimate aim to control pain and maximize function. Among forty physiotherapy modalities were identified, therapeutic ultrasound, acupuncture, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, temperature modalities and manual therapy are the most commonly featured in clinical practice⁽¹⁵⁾.

• Therapeutic ultrasound (US) is the local application of sound waves in the affected knee, which is usually

recommended for manage acute pain. Remarkably, the efficacy of therapeutic US for KOA treatments seems questionable, with limited support to its use from systematic reviews⁽¹⁶⁾. As a result, the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) guidelines and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) guidelines were unable to make recommendation for its $use^{(17,18)}$. The Dutch physiotherapy practice guideline in hip and knee osteoarthritis (HKOA) guidelines recommend against its use in patients with KOA⁽¹⁹⁾. While, therapeutic US is only recommended in the Turkish League Against Rheumatism (TLAR) guidelines⁽²⁰⁾.

- Acupuncture involves penetrating • the skin at anatomical points on the body with thin, solid, metallic needles that most commonly used for pain relief. Although acupuncture may provide relief to some patients, evidence is weak^(20,21). the Consequently, it nevertheless recommended by the AAOS and the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines^(18,23). The OARSI were neither recommending for or against it⁽¹⁷⁾. Whereas, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) guideline advocate its use for patients who meet a certain such as patient with absolute contraindication to surgery⁽²⁴⁾.
- Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) involves electrical stimulation of cutaneous nerve fibers, which in turn inhibit the transmission of painful stimuli to the spinal cord via gate control theory. It is often used as an adjunct treatment for instant pain relief^(25,26). Again, there is controversy around its utilization, the OARSI guidelines

recommend that TENS should not be used for patients with multi joint OA, and uncertain about its use for KOA only⁽¹⁷⁾. Alike, the AAOS and HKOA guidelines were unable to recommend for or against its use for KOA^(18,19). Conversely, the ACR and NICE guidelines support its use for patients with KOA^(23,24).

- Temperature modalities, also known as hot or cold therapy, involve local applications of heat or cold to the affected knee. This can be implemented through the use of hot or cold packs, damp towels, wax baths or hot showers. Locally applied heat can ameliorate discomfort. induce muscle relaxation and facilitate the exercise program. Whereas, applying cold can abate pain and swelling resulting in a convincing beneficial effect on joint range of motion, knee strength and function. Given this advantages, relative safety and low cost, there is universal support for its $use^{(27,28)}$.
- **Manual therapy** refers to the hands on treatment of a trained therapist, designed to reduce pain by passively facilitating normal functioning of restricted joints. Importantly, the most guidelines recommended manual therapy in combination with exercise for KOA in cases of pain and reversible limitation in joint mobility^(29,30).

Biomechanical Interventions include a broad range of apparatus and appliances that are intended to improve patients' function, relieve pain and potentially reduce disease progression. The main mechanism underlying these approaches is to support and correct biomechanical deficits, reducing stress on the knee by redistributing load and to enhance joint stability. For KOA, walking aids, footwear, braces and insoles are the most of interest in clinical practice^(31,32).

- Walking aid such as cane, crutch or wheeled walker can reduces mechanical loading through the knee, relieve pain and improve function. Thus, the most guidelines recommended its use for patients with KOA⁽³³⁾.
- **Appropriate footwear** can be of benefit in patients with KOA because of the reduction of pain and activity limitations. That is, a shoe with soft thick soles and no raised heel is recommended in many guidelines⁽³⁴⁾.
- **Knee braces** includes the use of taping, knee sleeves and unloading knee braces. They are designed to modulate increased focal stresses on the knee compartments and provide mechanical support, are often used in patients with varus and valgus deformities^(35,36). Although there appears good face validity for using braces, the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) provided guidelines а recommendation against its use^(37,38). The AAOS and the ACR guidelines were unable to recommend for or against its use. Conversely, the NICE. the OARSI and TLAR guidelines advocate its use for patients with KOA^(17,20,23).
- Insoles designed to shock absorption ٠ leading to reduce knee load, pain and improve function in patients with KOA. Medially wedged insoles are in the case of lateral used compartment OA and laterally wedged insoles are used in the case of medial compartment OA⁽³⁹⁾. However, the recommended use of insoles in patient with KOA was controversial. On the one hand, the NICE, ACR, TLAR and the OARSI guidelines support its use for patients with $KOA^{(17,20,23,24)}$. On the other hand. The AAOS, the NHMRC and

the EULAR guidelines were not recommending their $use^{(18,37,38)}$.

III- Pharmacological Interventions:

First of all, pharmacological treatments of KOA are principally adjuncts to core treatments that undertaken when the nonpharmacological interventions are not adequate to induce constant reduction in pain. As such, the chief purposes of pharmacologic management of KOA are to combine pain relief and decrease knee inflammation. Pharmacologic options includes the following^(40,41);

- Paracetamol (acetaminophen) is a favorable first line oral analgesic in patient with KOA, because of its safety profile compared with oral NSAIDs. Nevertheless, the relative safety of paracetamol has currently come into question, as recent data suggest that it shares the same spectrum of side effects as oral possible NSAIDs. and а overestimation of the magnitude of relief $^{(42)}$. Consequently, pain paracetamol was not the first line oral analgesic in the OARSI guidelines⁽¹⁷⁾. As well, in the NICE</sup> guidelines, it was prorogued pending a safety review⁽⁴³⁾.
- **Topical agents** are regarded as alternative first line analgesic in with patient KOA in many guidelines, particularly for those with comorbidity. The two most commonly recommended types are preparations containing capsaicin and those containing topical NSAIDs. Capsaicin is extracted from hot chili peppers with potential antiinflammatory and relief pain properties. Whereas, topical NSAIDs have been shown to be as efficacious as oral NSAIDs and with a lower incidence of gastrointestinal events. Unfortunately, topical agents frequently cause local skin irritation. eruptions, burning, itch and $rash^{(44)}$.

- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Oral NSAIDs such ibuprofen, naproxen as and diclofenac are widely prescribed as a second line treatment option in patients for whom previous treatment has failed to provide sufficient pain relief. Oral NSAIDs are generally quite effective for the management of KOA pain. However, they have substantial and frequent side effects including GI pathologic changes, renal toxicity and potential increased cardiovascular risk. Therefore, they should be used at the lowest effective dose and for the shortest possible duration to avoid such adverse events⁽⁴⁵⁾
- **Duloxetine**. Duloxetine is а serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor and is traditionally used as an antidepressant. However, it is believed that KOA pain perception can have a central sensitization component, duloxetine is putatively inhibit centrally mediated pain pathways. Duloxetine has minor adverse effects including nausea, insomnia, dizziness, dry mouth. constipation and fatigue⁽⁴⁶⁾.
- Opioids. In many modern KOA guidelines, opioid analgesics e.g., tramadol and codeine are recommended only for manage refractory pain that is unresponsive to prior therapies or when other analgesics are contraindicated. Opioids can be used alone or in formulations together with acetaminophen or aspirin. Undoubtedly, the long term utility of these agents are limited owing to the frequent gastrointestinal side effects and the potential for $addiction^{(47)}$.
- Slow acting drugs for osteoarthritis (SADOA) refers to a heterogeneous group of nutritional products that claim to be beneficial for KOA, with distinctive interest

focused on glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate $^{(48)}$;

- ➢ Glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate are both an important constituent of the cartilage matrix. Orally supplementing of these agents, either individually or in combination, are thought to possibly alleviate pain or retard the KOA progression. Although the definite mechanism of action of these agents remains ambiguous, they potentially inhibit inflammation and alter the viscoelastic properties of cartilaginous tissue. Nevertheless, evidence supporting the clinical benefits of these preparations used alone or in combination was inconsistent^(49,50). Accordingly, they utilization of these agents remains conflicting, with recommendation against its use by the NICE guidelines⁽²³⁾. While, the OARSI, the ACR and the EULAR guidelines were unable to recommend for or against its use for KOA^(17,24,38)
- **Intra articular injections (IAI)**. The most common IAI agents used to treat KOA include corticosteroids and hyaluronic acid⁽⁵¹⁾;
 - > Intra articular steroid injections (IASI). Corticosteroids are excellent anti-inflammatory agents, which are injected directly into the knee space. They commonly indicate in case of persistent pain not responded to oral analgesics, painful knee effusion and crystal synovitis. Importantly, а more recent systematic review confirmed the effectiveness of IASI in pain relief was usually short and did not appear to have appreciable long term effects on KOA progression. As well, there was a propensity to decreasing effectiveness with

repeated injections^(52,53). Consequently, the OARSI and the NICE guidelines recommend that IASI for the treatment of OA should be performed in the short term only^(17,23).

▶ Intra articular injections of hvaluronic acid (IAHA). IAHA involve direct injection of exogenous hyaluronic acid (HA) into the knee space. It has been approved for manage of KOA that hasn't responded to pharmacologic treatment. The proposed mechanism of action of HA includes protection of the chondrocytes, improving HA production by synovial fibroblasts and restore normal viscoelastic properties to synovial fluid. Paradoxically, most trials have shown that IAHA are only minimally better than IAI of saline in improving pain and function in patients with KOA. Moreover, a recent cost effectiveness analysis reviled that IAHA higher than any other treatment category^(54,55). As a result, the NICE guidelines has advised against its use⁽²³⁾. In parallel, the OARSI and AAOS unable guidelines were to recommend IAHA as a treatment option^(17,18).

IV- Surgical Interventions:

Principally, surgery is the final treatment option in patients with persistent pain and reduced function impairing their quality of life for whom all conservative treatments have been exhausted. Indeed, there are a variety of evidence based surgical options for KOA including arthroscopic lavage and debridement, osteotomy, conserving surgery and knee arthroplasty. deciding Whereas. which of these procedures is the most appropriate relies on various factors such as the patient's age, comorbidities and the severity of the disease. Importantly, the timing of surgery is critical,

and it should be undertaken before decreased function has become advanced as this may compromise a good outcome⁽⁵⁶⁾.</sup>

- Arthroscopic lavage and debridement are an arthroscopy based procedures permit direct inspection and treatment of intraarticular conditions of the knee. Arthroscopic lavage involves simply irrigating the knee joint to rid the debris and inflammatory cytokines. While. arthroscopic debridement refers to the procedure of removing calcified loose bodies, smoothing off the roughened cartilage surfaces and shaving osteophytes. However, these measure appears to be of questionable value, since substantial body of evidence now indicates they did not have appreciable effect on patient symptoms⁽⁵⁷⁾.
- Osteotomy involves excision of bone and reorienting the alignment of the knee. The goals of the procedure are to relieve pain, slow degenerative process the and postpone arthroplasty. This procedure has generally been suited to younger patients with unicompartmental KOA accompanied by а varus or valgus deformity. However, it is worth noting that cutting the bone during this procedure may make subsequent ioint replacement a more complicated process⁽⁵⁸⁾.
- Conserving surgery. Recently, a number of joint conserving surgeries have improved including chondral defect drilling, abrasion chondroplasty and microfracture. These approaches are intended to invoke the body's own repair mechanisms to regenerate new tissue to heal the damaged articular cartilage and subchondral bone. Other methods such as autologous chondrocyte implantation and autologous osteochondral

transplantation are designed to promote regeneration of damaged articular tissues. While appealing in concept, the successful of these modalities is inconsistent^(59,60).

Knee arthroplasty also known as • knee replacement, is by far the most surgical modality common for patients with advanced KOA. It include either unicompartmental knee arthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty. Generally, this procedure involves resecting the ends of the bones of the knee and replacing them with a combination of metal and plastic. Although the lives of patients can be transformed by successful knee replacement, many patients are not candidates for this procedure. Further, up to 15 % of reported patients persisting complaints as well as the need for revision is an important issue. Finally, this procedure is highly expensive $^{(61,62)}$.

Discussion

In this review. the recommendations of contemporary guidelines for KOA management from numerous stakeholder organizations were summarized. On balance, there were a great controversy regarding the efficacy and cost effectiveness of several treatment modalities. These discrepancies may partially explained by the research evidence populations examined. characteristics difference, variance in the guidelines development methodology, and the expert consensus⁽⁶³⁾.

However, these guidelines concur that core interventions such as education and exercise should be given initially for all patients, whereas nonpharmacological and pharmacological modalities should consider on an individual basis. Unquestionably, surgery is regarded as the final option that is reserved for patients with unremitting pain and loss of function impairing their quality of life which are not responded satisfactorily to conservative treatments⁽⁶⁴⁾.

Remarkably, it is very difficult to arrange these modalities in a fixed or stepwise treatment algorithm owing to the heterogeneous clinical nature of KOA. Therefore, an individualized approach is recommended which in the core interventions are given initially for all patients and the other treatment options are considered depending on patient requirements^(65,66). Finally, given the long term as well as waxes and wanes nature of the KOA, the successful management necessitates collaborative working with various professional groups. In this respect, nurses are key assessors of and navigators in the overall management of patients with KOA. Furthermore, the roles of nurses have been expanded to embrace a much larger spectrum of activities such as patient education and counselling, pain management, exercise provision, nutritional support, and running specialist clinics^(67,68).

References

- Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, Bridgett L, Williams S. The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: Estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2014; 73(7):1323-30.
- Nelson A. Osteoarthritis year in review 2017: Clinical. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 2018; 26(3):319-25.
- Gay C, Guiguet-Auclair C, Mourgues C, Gerbaud L. Physical activity level and association with behavioral factors in knee osteoarthritis. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine 2019; 62(1):14-20.
- Dadabo J, Fram J, Jayabalan P. Noninterventional therapies for the management of knee osteoarthritis. The Journal of Knee Surgery. 2019; 32(01):46-54.
- 5. Bortoluzzi A, Furini F, Scirè C. Osteoarthritis and its management-epidemiology, nutritional aspects and environmental factors. Autoimmunity Reviews 2018; 17(11): 1097-104.
- Geenen R, Overman CL, Christensen R, Åsenlöf P, Capela S, Huisinga K. EULAR recommendations for the health professional's approach to pain management in inflammatory arthritis and osteoarthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2018; 77(6):797-807.
- 7. Yusuf E. Pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatment of osteoarthritis. Current Treatment Options in Rheumatology 2016; 2(2):111-25.
- Nelson A, Allen K, Golightly Y, Goode A. A systematic review of recommendations and guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis: The chronic osteoarthritis management initiative of the US bone and joint initiative. Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism 2014; 42(5): 701-12.
- 9. Schmidt T. Approach to osteoarthritis management for the primary care provider. Primary Care: Clinics in Office Practice 2018; 45(2):361-78.
- Deveza L, Bennell K. Patient education: Osteoarthritis treatment (Beyond the Basics). Topic last updated: Mar 21, 2018. Available at:

https://www.uptodate.com/contents/osteoarth ritis-treatment-beyond-the-basics. Retrieved on: (10/1/2019).

11. Taylor N. Nonsurgical management of osteoarthritis knee pain in the older adult: An update. Rheumatic Disease Clinics of North America 2018; 33(1): 1-7.

- Bope E, Kellerman R. Conn's current therapy 2017. Philadelphia: Elsevier co., 2017; 856-60.
- 13. Atukorala I, Makovey J, Lawler L, Messier S, Bennell K, Hunter D. Is there a dose - response relationship between weight loss and symptom improvement in persons with knee osteoarthritis?. Arthritis Care & Research 2016; 68(8):1106-14.
- Innes J, Maxwell S. Davidson's essentials of medicine. 2nd ed. USA: Elsevier co., 2016; 573-5.
- 15. Larmer P, Reay N, Aubert E, Kersten P. Systematic review of guidelines for the physical management of osteoarthritis. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2014; 95(2):375-89.
- 16. Mumtaz S, Shah S. Role of ultrasound therapy in osteoarthritis knee. Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal 2018; 68(4):914-8.
- 17. McAlindon T, Bannuru R, Sullivan M, Arden N, Berenbaum F. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 2014; 22(3):363-88.
- Jevsevar D. Treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: Evidence-based guideline. Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons 2013; 21(9):571-6.
- 19. Peter W, Jansen M, Hurkmans E, Bloo H, Dekker-Bakker L, Dilling R, Hilberdink W, Kersten-Smit C, de Rooij M, Veenhof C, Vermeulen H. Physiotherapy in hip and knee osteoarthritis: development of a practice guideline concerning initial assessment, treatment and evaluation. Acta Reumatologica Portuguesa 2011; 36(3): 268-81.
- 20. Tuncer T, Cay H, Kacar C, Altan L, ATİK O, Aydin A, Ayhan F, Yanik B, Durmaz B, Eskiyurt N, Hakan G. Evidence based recommendations for the management of knee osteoarthritis: A consensus report of the Turkish League Against Rheumatism. Archives of Rheumatology 2012; 27(1):1-17.
- 21. Li S, Xie P, Liang Z, Huang W, Huang Z, Ou J. Efficacy comparison of five different acupuncture methods on pain, stiffness, and function in osteoarthritis of the knee: A network meta-analysis. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2018; 2018: 1-5.
- Benzon H, Liu S, Cohen S. Essentials of pain medicine. 4th ed. USA: Elsevier co., 2018; 546-9.
- 23. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Osteoarthritis: Care and

management in adults. NICE Clinical Guideline 177. London: Royal College of Physicians; 2014. Available at: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177</u>. Retrieved on: (5/1/2019).

- 24. Hochberg M, Altman R, April K, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, McGowan J. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. Arthritis Care & Research 2012; 64(4):465-74.
- Pawar P, Parbat R, Yeole U. Effects of TENS vs IFT in patients on pain and function on osteoarthritis of knee: A randomized control trial. International Journal of Yoga, Physiotherapy and Physical Education. 2018; 3(2):83-6.
- 26. Raeissadat S, Rayegani S, Sedighipour L, Bossaghzade Z, Abdollahzadeh M. The efficacy of electromyographic biofeedback on pain, function, and maximal thickness of vastus medialis oblique muscle in patients with knee osteoarthritis: A randomized clinical trial. Journal of Pain Research 2018; 11:2781-6.
- Longe J. The Gale encyclopedia of medicine.
 4th ed. USA: Cengage Learning co., 2015; 3875-9.
- Archanah T, Shashikiran H, Shetty P, Chandrakanth K. Effect of a hydrotherapy based alternate compress on osteoarthritis of the knee joint: A randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences 2018; 6(4):1444-9.
- 29. Qinguang X, Chen B, Wang Y. The effectiveness of manual therapy for relieving pain, stiffness, and dysfunction in knee osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pain Physician 2017; 20:229-43.
- Anwer S, Alghadir A, Zafar H, Brismée J. Effects of orthopaedic manual therapy in knee osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Physiotherapy 2018; 104: 264-9.
- 31. Beaudreuil J. Orthoses for osteoarthritis: A narrative review. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine 2017; 60(2):102-6.
- 32. Le T, Bhushan V, Sochat M, Kallianos K, Chavda Y. First aid for the USMLE step 1 2017. New York: McGraw-Hill co., 2017; 439-41.
- 33. Reginster J. Optimizing the management of osteoarthritis-Transitioning evidence-based guidelines into practical guidance for realworld clinical practice. Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism 2016; 45(4): 1-2.
- 34. Deveza L, Bennell K. Management of knee osteoarthritis. Topic last updated: Jun 11,

2018. Available at: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/managem ent-of-knee-osteoarthritis. Retrieved on: (17/1/2019).

- 35. Khosravi M, Arazpour M, Saeedi H, Rezaei M. Design evaluation in novel orthoses for patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. Journal of Biomedical Physics and Engineering 2018; 8(4): 1-5.
- 36. Mistry D, Chandratreya A, Lee P. An update on unloading knee braces in the treatment of unicompartmental knee osteoarthritis from the last 10 years: A literature review. The Surgery Journal 2018; 4(03):110-8.

37. The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. Guideline for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis. 2nd ed. 2018. Available at: <u>http://www.acsep.org.au/content/Document/g</u> <u>uideline-for-the-management-of-knee-andhip-oa-2nd-edition.pdf</u>. Retrieved on: (29/3/2019).

- 38. Fernandes L, Hagen K, Bijlsma J. EULAR recommendations for the nonpharmacological core management of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2013; 72(7):1125–35.
- 39. Mirzaei F, Arazpour M, Roodsari R, Bahramizadeh M, Mardani M. Combined effects of a valgus knee brace and lateral wedge insole on walking in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics 2018; 30(1):39-45.
- Walker B, Colledge N. Davidson's principles and practice of medicine. 22th ed. USA: Elsevier co., 2014; 1102-9.
- 41. Esherick J, Clark D, Slater E, Gonzales R. Current practice guidelines in primary care 2016. New York: McGraw-Hill co., 2016; 300-9.
- 42. Moore N, Salvo F, Duong M, Gulmez S. Does paracetamol still have a future in osteoarthritis?. The Lancet 2016; 387(10033):2065-6.
- 43. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Surveillance report 2017-Osteoarthritis: care and management (2014) NICE guideline CG177. Available at: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177/reso</u> <u>urces/surveillance-report-2017-osteoarthritiscare-and-management-2014-nice-guidelinecg177-4550088781/chapter/Surveillancedecision. Retrieved on: (15/1/2019).</u>
- Rodriguez-Merchan E. Topical therapies for knee osteoarthritis. Postgraduate Medicine 2018; 130(7):607-12.
- 45. Da Costa B, Reichenbach S, Keller N. Effectiveness of non-steroidal anti-

inflammatory drugs for the treatment of pain in knee and hip osteoarthritis: A network meta-analysis. The Lancet 2017; 390(10090):21-33.

- Papadakis M, McPhee S. Current medical diagnosis & treatment. 54th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill co., 2015; 808-10.
- 47. Bhat P, Dretler A, Gdowski M, Ramgopal R. The Washington manual of medical therapeutics. 35th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins co., 2016; 794-5.
- 48. Le T, Bhushan V, Sochat M, Kallianos K, Chavda Y, Zureick AH, Kalani M. First aid for the USMLE step 1 2018. New York: McGraw-Hill co., 2018; 477-9.
- 49. Rovati L, Girolami F. Effects of glucosamine sulfate on the use of rescue non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in knee osteoarthritis. Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism 2016; 45(4): 34-41.
- 50. Runhaar J, Rozendaal R, van Middelkoop M, Bijlsma H, Doherty M. Subgroup analyses of the effectiveness of oral glucosamine for knee and hip osteoarthritis: A systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2017; 76(11): 1-4.
- Ombregt L. A System of orthopaedic medicine. 3rd ed. USA: Elsevier co., 2013; 113-7.
- Nguyen C, Rannou F. The safety of intraarticular injections for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: A critical narrative review. Expert Opinion on Drug Safety 2017; 16(8):897-902.
- AL-Shahwanii ZW. Efficacy of hyaluronic acid and corticosteroid intra-articular injection in knee joint with primary osteoarthritis. Al-Kindy College Medical Journal 2018; 14(1):78-86.
- 54. Weick J, Bawa H, Dirschl D. Hyaluronic acid injections for treatment of advanced osteoarthritis of the knee: Utilization and cost in a national population sample. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 2016; 98(17):1429-35.
- 55. Trojian T, Concoff A, Joy S, Hatzenbuehler J, Saulsberry W, Coleman C. AMSSM scientific statement concerning viscosupplementation injections for knee osteoarthritis: Importance for individual patient outcomes. British Journal of Sports Medicine 2016; 50(2):84-92.
- 56. l'Escalopier N, Anract P, Biau D. Surgical treatments for osteoarthritis. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine 2016; 59(3): 227-33.
- 57. Thorlund J. Arthroscopic surgery for degenerative knee: Systematic review and

meta-analysis of benefits and harms. BMJ 2015; 350: 1-3.

- 58. Boyer M. AAOS comprehensive orthopaedic review 2. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins co., 2014; 102-4.
- Regatte R. Advanced quantitative imaging of knee joint repair. USA: World Scientific Publishing co., 2014; 133-6.
- 60. Poulet B, Staines K. New developments in osteoarthritis and cartilage biology. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2016; 28:8-13
- Misra D, Lu N, Felson D, Choi H, Seeger J, Einhorn T, Neogi T. Does knee replacement surgery for osteoarthritis improve survival? The jury is still out. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2017; 76(1):140-6.
- 62. Buechel F, Pappas M. Principles of human joint replacement: Design and clinical application. London: Springer Science & Business Media co., 2015; 282-7.
- 63. Bortoluzzi A, Furini F, Scirè C. Osteoarthritis and its management-epidemiology, nutritional aspects and environmental factors. Autoimmunity Reviews 2018; 17(11): 1097-104.
- 64. Bert J, Endres N, Tucker C, Davey A. The conservative treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Orthopedics 2018; 41(5):256-60.
- 65. Stannard J, Cook J, Farr J. Articular cartilage injury of the knee: Basic science to surgical repair. Stuttgart: Thieme co., 2013: 32-5.
- Doherty M, Bijlsma H, Arden N. Oxford textbook of osteoarthritis and crystal arthropathy. 3rd ed. U.K: Oxford co., 2016; 141-6.
- 67. Minnock P. The role of the clinical nurse specialist/advanced nurse practitioner in rheumatology practice. 2018. Available at: <u>https://rheumatology.medicinematters.com/nu rsing/treatment/the-role-of-the-clinical-nurse-specialist-advanced-nurse-practit/15464290</u>. Retrieved on: (15/3/2019).
- Harris H, Crawford A. Recognizing and managing osteoarthritis. Nursing 2015. 2015; 45(1):36-42.