COMPARISON BETWEEN PEDIGREE SELECTION AND INDEPENDENT CULLING LEVELS TECHNIQUS FOR IMPROVING SEED YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS IN SESAME

(Received: 27.4.2020)

By

A. Abd-Elsaber and N. E. G. Mekhaile*

Oil Crops Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, and * Central Laboratory for Design & Statistical Analysis Research, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The current experiments were carried out at El-Mattana Agricultural Research Station, Luxor Governorate, Egypt, during the three summer seasons from 2016 to 2018, to compare the relative efficiency of two selection procedures, namely pedigree selection and independent culling level (ICL), for improving seed yield and its components in sesame. The genetic materials were the F₃, F₄ and F_{5} generations. This study was carried out on 182 of F_{3} families derived from a single cross between Introduction 688 X hybrid 102 family 2, and added F₃ bulked random sample. Variations among the F₃ families were highly significant for all the studied traits. The results indicated that pedigree selection decreased the genetic variability measured as genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) of seed yield/plant and other studied traits. The GCV of seed yield/plant decreased from 28.22 % in the base population to 7.79 % after two cycles of selection, from 32.89 to 13.37 % for capsules No./plant, from 11.11 to 7.63 % for fruiting zone length, and from 13.24 to 9.20 % for 1000-seed weight. Heritability in the broad sense after two cycles of selection was high for seed yield/plant (85.69 %), capsules No./plant (96.55 %), fruiting zone length (89.78 %) and 1000-seed weight (76.40 %). The realized heritability for pedigree selection increased, indicating that increasing seed yield was accompanied with increases in related traits, and the realized heritability increased after the two cycles of ICL technique by 33.9 % from the bulk sample for grain yield/plant. Heritability in the broad sense after two cycles of (ICL) was high for all the studied traits.

Key words: Sesamum indicum, Selection methods, Segregating generations, Heritability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L., Pedaliaceae), a self-pollinated crop, is an ancient cultivated oil crop (Ashri, 2007), better known as "queen of oil seed crops" by virtue of its high oil quality.

Sesame growing areas in Egypt are decreasing due to low seed yield and prices compared to the other competitive summer crops crop rotation, and in the its susceptibility to root-rot diseases. Plant searching breeders are continuously for more effective and efficient methods to improve seed vield. Although several selection methods were used to improve several traits, pedigree selection method has become the most popular of plant breeding procedures. Plant breeders prefer it because it is versatile, relatively rapid and makes possible the conducting of genetic studies

along with the plant breeding work. Abo-Elwafa and Ahmed (2005) found that the observed gain in seed yield of two populations was better when selection was practiced for seed yield per se (25.24 and 15.22 % of the better parent) than selection for weight of capsules/plant after two cycles pedigree selection. In addition, of the positive relationship between seed yield and the other traits such as capsules number, fruiting zone length and 1000-seed weight proved rewarding in breeding for high yield in sesame (El-Shakhess et al., 2002). El-Shimy (2005) found that the observed gain in seed yield/plant reached 49.12 and 45.78 % of the better parent when selection was practiced for seed yield in two populations after two cycles. However, the correlated gains were 40.29 and 3.87 %, and 29.90 and 6.19 % when selection was exerted for fruiting zone length and capsules No./plant, respectively. In another two populations, El-Shimy et al. (2005)found great depletion of genetic coefficient of variability from F_3 (22.5 and 22.82 %) to F_6 generation (3.66 and 4.18 %) and recorded observed gain of 28.64 and 31.53 % of the bulk sample of the two populations after three cycles of pedigree selection for seed yield. High heritability, phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variability for plant height, capsules No./plant, days to 50 % flowering and seed yield/plant were reported by Parameshwarappa et al. (2009), Chowdhury et al. (2010), and Akbar et al. (2011). And, high expected gain were reported by Sumathi and Muralidharam (2010), Ismaila and Usman (2012) and Menzir (2012). On the contrary, Sivaprased and Yadavalli (2012) found low genotypic variability, heritability and expected gain in yield/plant in the F_2 seed and F₃ generations. Many investigators reported high genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variability and genetic advance in seed yield and its components in sesame (Gidey et al., 2013; and Ismail et al., 2013).

The efficiency of a breeding program is largely dependent on the efficiency of selection criteria and the selection method used. The present study was carried out to compare the efficiency of two selection methods, namely pedigree method and independent culling levels method in one population of sesame.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at El Mattana Agricultural Research Station. Luxor Governorate, Egypt, during the three successive summer seasons 2016, 2017 and 2018. The breeding material used in this study was 180 F₃ families traced back to random F_2 plants from the cross: Introduction 688 X hybrid 102 family2 (Table 1).

sample (a mixture of equal No. of seeds from each plant to represent the generation mean) were sown on April 15th in a randomized complete block design with three replications according to Steel et al. (1997). Each plot was a single row of 4 m long, 55 cm apart, and 10 cm between hills within a row. Each parent was sown in two rows, in addition to F₃ family was sown in one row and the bulked population was sown in two rows each replicate. The recommended cultural practices were adopted throughout the growing season. The following traits were measured on ten guarded random plants in each plot:

Plant height (cm), fruiting zone length (cm), branches No./plant, capsule length (cm); capsules No./plant, seed yield/plant (g), 1000-seed weight (g) (calculated from the selected plants), seed oil percentage: determined by using petroleum either (Bp 40-60°) as solvent in Soxhalet apparatus according to the method of A.O.A.C. (1980).

Concerning the pedigree selection method, the best 30 plants of the base F₃ population based on superiority of seed yield/plant were saved to give the F₄ families. Furthermore, the best 30 plants fulfilled the following ICL method for the three traits were selected for the next cycle of the population and selection was applied for the studied traits according to the following levels (fruiting zone length \geq 103 cm, capsules No./plant ≥ 82 and seed yield/plant \geq 22 g).

In 2017 season (F_4 generation), the 30families selected for pedigree selection and independent culling levels along with the parents and F_4 bulk sample were sown on May 15th in two separate experiments in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each group of families (30 families) for seed yield/plant (pedigree selection) and independent culling levels were analyzed separately. The best 10 families for seed yield/plant and independent

 Table (1): The details of the studied sesame genotypes in this experiment.

No	Construns	Ouicin	Main description						
	Genotype	Origin	Capsules No./ leaf axel	Branching habit					
1	Introduction 688	FAO	Single	Non branched					
2	Hybrid 102 family 2	Egypt	Three	Branched					

In 2016 season, the 182 F_3 families with the original parents and F_3 bulked random

culling levels were saved to give the F_5 families.

In 2018 season, the 10-F₅ families covering all selection procedures with the the parents and F₅ bulk sample were sown as previous seasons. The data were scored as in the earlier experiments.

2.1. Statistical analysis

For each season, estimates of phenotypic $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ ph \end{pmatrix}$ and genotypic variance $\left(\sigma_g^2\right)$ and $\left(\sigma_{nh}^{2}\right)$ covariance, as well as broad sense heritability estimates were calculated from expected mean square (EMS) of the variance and covariance components of the selected families as presented in Table (2).

Table (2): The analysis of variance and mean square expectations.

S.O.V	d.f	MS	Expected mean squares
Replications	r— 1		
Genotypes	g – 1	M ₂	$\sigma^2 e + r\sigma^2 g$
Error	(r-1)(g-1)	M ₁	$\sigma^2 e$

Where: r and g = replications No. and genotypes No., respectively.

 σ_e^2 = error variance. σ_g^2 = genetic variance. The phenotypic (σ_{ph}^2) and genotypic (σ_g^2) variances were estimated as given by Al-Jibouri et al. (1958):

The genotypic variance $\sigma_g^2 = (M_2 - M_1)/r$

The phenotypic variance $\sigma_{ph}^2 = \sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2 / r$

The genetic covariance (cov. g_{12}) and phenotypic covariance (cov. p_{12}) between pairs of traits (1 and 2) were calculated according to Steel et al. (1997) as follows:

-Broad sense heritability $H = (\sigma_g^2 / \sigma_{ph}^2) * 100$

- The phenotypic (PCV %) and genotypic (GCV %) coefficients of variability were estimated according to Burton (1952).

Phenotypic coefficient variability (PCV):

$$PCV\% = \left(\frac{\sigma_{ph}}{\frac{1}{x}}\right) * 100$$

Genotypic coefficient of variability:

$$PCV\% = \left(\frac{\sigma_g}{\frac{1}{x}}\right) * 100$$

Where: σ_{ph} and σ_g are the phenotypic and genotypic standard deviations of the families, respectively, and x is the family mean for a given trait.

Realized heritability was calculated according to Falconer (1989) from the equation of response, i.e. $R = S h^2$, which were discussed earlier from the point of view of predicting the response to selection, the heritability being estimated as the ratio of the $h^2 = R/S$, where:

R = is response to selection

S = is selection differential.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 3.1. Description of the base population

The analysis of variance (Table 3) indicated highly significant differences among F₃- families for all the studied traits, indicating the presence of variability among the genotypes. The results of (GCV) and (PCV) are presented in Table (4). The traits of the starting population had a significant effect on early generation selection and the slight difference between (GCV) and (PCV) resulted in high estimates of broad sense heritability for most studied traits.

Greater response to selection could be expected in a population having greater phenotypic and genotypic variances. These data resulted in wide ranges and high estimates of broad sense heritability (more than 64 %) for all studied traits in base population (F_3 generation).

High genotypic and phenotypic variations and heritability for yield and its components were reported by Parameshwarappa et al. (2009), Sumathi and Muralidharon (2010) Ismaila and Usman (2012) and Kumar et al. (2012).

Mean squares 1000-Fruiting Seed Oil Capsules Plant zone Capsule Branches yield/ seed % **S.O.V** No./ plant d.f height length length No./ plant plant weight 1.23 Replication 2500.65 3122.51 0.90 1.59 16919.13 372.19 24.15 2 817.64** 1.09** 0.57** 1.64** 181 1429.65** 3067.09** 202.62** 139.54** Genotypes 362 358.94 274.59 0.20 0.41 658.65 72.02 0.32 34.38 Error

Table (3): Mean squares for the studied traits of the base population (F₃).

**significant at the 0.01 level.

		Fruiting					1000-	
	Plant	zone	Capsule			Seed	seed	Oil
	height	length	length	Branches	Capsules	yield/plant	weight	%
Item	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	No./plant	No./plant	(g)	(g)	
Mean	186.27	121.12	3.49	3.48	86.14	23.38	3.83	50.57
Maximum	253.33	157.67	5.40	7.67	191.67	46.10	6.67	66.58
Minimum	123.33	68.67	2.33	1.00	50.40	10.07	2.73	32.67
P ₁	189.33	116.67	3.63	3.67	79.00	19.33	3.12	57.69
P ₂	245.00	153.33	4.00	4.00	95.33	22.40	2.90	55.00
Bulk	179.70	121.12	3.49	3.48	86.14	23.38	3.83	48.72
CV%	10.17	13.68	12.71	18.49	29.79	36.30	14.66	11.59
GCV %	10.14	11.11	10.03	18.35	32.89	28.22	13.24	11.71
PCV %	11.72	13.63	12.43	21.23	37.12	35.15	15.71	13.49
Heritability %	74.89	66.42	65.14	74.72	78.53	64.46	70.97	75.36

 Table (4): Means, phenotypic (PCV %), genotypic (GCV %) coefficients of variability and heritability in broadsense for the studied traits in the F3 families.

3.2. Pedigree selection

The pedigree selection was practiced on the population of sesame for seed yield/plant.

3.2.1. Variances and means

The analysis of variance for the selected families for seed yield/plant and other traits in cycle 1 (F_4 generation) and cycle 2 (F_5 generation) is presented in Table (5). The results indicated highly significant differences among genotypes. This result reflected the genetic make-up of those selected families in population; consequently offer good chance for selection.

The average seed yield/plant of the selected families in Table (6) increased from 34.74 g in F_4 generation (cycle 1) to 41.49 g in F_5 generation (cycle 2). The average seed yield/plant for the bulk population was 20.00 and 27.00 g in the F_4 and the F_5 generations, respectively.

The results indicated that pedigree selection

decreased the genetic variability measured as genotypic coefficient of variability of the selection criterion and other traits after two cycles of selection. This may due to the low No. of selected families (ten families out of 30 families) and increasing the percentage of the homozygosity than in the F_5 generation. However, the (GCV) of seed yield/plant decreased from 28.22 % in the base population (Table 6) to 7.79 % after two cycles of selection from 32.89% to 13.37% for capsules No./plant, from 11.11 % to 7.63 % for fruiting zone length, and from 13.63 to 7.96 % for capsule length. The phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) values were in line with those recorded for (GCV) in population. Falconer (1989) stated that selection reduces genotypic variance of the following generation. The results indicated that pedigree selection decreased the genetic variability measured as genotypic coefficient of variability for seed yield/plant the studied traits

			•	e, 1	^		1 0	1.	• •	e 1	• • • • /	1 4
IANIAI	51. Anol	VCIC OT	VORIONCO	ottor tho	tiret ond	cocond	CVCLOC OT	nodiaroa	COLOCTION .	tor cood	VIA d/m	Mont
1 avic 1	JI. Allal	V 515 UI	variance	anter une	in si anu	i seconu		DEUISIEC	SCIECHOIL	IUI SCCU	VICIU/D	лапі.

				Mean squares										
Cycle No.	S.O.V	d.f	Plant height	Fruiting zone length	Capsule length	Branches No. / plant	Capsules No. / plant	Seed yield/ plant	1000- seed weight	Oil %				
	Replication	2	72.12	506.80	5.26	0.67	6157.33	10.52	1.08	13.16				
C ₁ (F4)	Genotypes	29	1037.34**	466.65**	0.74**	1.39**	2220.57**	2135.02**	0.72**	123.95**				
()	Error	58	141.97	52.48	0.19	0.42	225.24	1023.64	0.12	16.77				
C2	Replication	2	441.23	295.61	1.19	0.13	1997.65	35.47	2.47	8.93				
(F5)	Genotypes	9	846.19**	334.11**	0.46**	1.18**	1018.23**	85.60**	0.60**	83.60**				
(= 0)	Error	18	86.40	49.54	0.09	0.27	94.20	17.24	0.14	8.57				

**significant at 0.01 level.

of the two cycles of selection which is in agreement with Abo-Elwafa and Ahmed (2005), El-Shimy (2005), Ismail *et al.*(2013) and Mahdy *et al.* (2015).

3.2.2. Heritability and realized heritability estimates

Theoretically, heritability estimate of family means increases with the increase in homozygosity. The results in (Table 6) indicated that heritability estimates increased from the F_4 (cycle 1) to the F_5 generation (cycle 2) for all studied traits. Estimates of heritability in broad sense after two cycles of selection were high for seed yield/plant (85.69 %), 1000-seedweight (95.98 %) capsules No./plant (96.55 %) and fruiting zone length (89.78 %).

The realized heritability estimated from the realized gain in both cycles of single trait selection in the studied population decreased from C_1 to C_2 for seed yield/plant and all studied traits, revealing the less genetic variation in C_2 comparing to C_1 (Table 6).

3.2.3. The expected genetic gain from selection

Results in Table (7) show the expected genetic gain (ΔG) based on the proportion of the selected families in population after carrying out two cycles of pedigree selection. High and moderate estimates of broad sense heritability were coupled high estimates of expected genetic gain from selection of 16.5 % and 33 % superior plants of pedigree selection for seed yield/plant in the F₄ and F₅ generations, respectively (Table 7). With respect to the expected genetic gain from selection calculated from bulk means and better parents, the data clearly demonstrate high percentage of the expected genetic gain in relation to better parents in most studied traits.

Table (6): Means, range, phenotypic (PCV %), genotypic (GCV %) coefficients of variability, heritability in broad-sense (H.B.S) and realized heritability in the two cycles of pedigree selection for seed viold/sense

	yn y	1/ piant.								
	Cycle Item		Fruiting				Seed	1000-		
Cycle	I.t.		Plant	zone	Capsule	Branches	Capsules	yield/	seed	Oil
No.	10	em	height	length	length	No./	No./	plant	weight	%
			(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	plant	plant	(g)	(g)	
	Danas	Min.	185.00	112.00	2.73	2.47	82.00	28.00	2.91	37.60
	Kange	Max.	253.33	169.00	5.10	5.30	182.67	47.03	4.90	61.00
	F ₄ select	ted								
C	families		212.39	132.57	4.20	3.59	120.95	34.74	4.02	52.94
	P ₁		189.33	116.67	3.63	3.33	80.67	17.67	3.12	57.69
	P ₂		232.33	147.33	4.00	3.67	95.33	20.90	2.90	55.50
C_1	Bulk		203.33	138.33	4.00	4.00	106.00	20.00	3.80	51.93
(F ₄)	CV%		5.61	5.46	10.36	17.96	12.41	12.09	9.94	7.74
	GCV %)	8.13	8.86	10.21	15.89	21.32	12.43	11.11	11.29
	PCV %		8.76	9.41	11.83	18.97	22.49	14.26	12.20	12.14
	H.B.S %		86.31	88.75	74.46	70.13	89.86	76.03	82.90	86.47
	Realized									
	heritability		0.63	0.87	0.79	0.49	0.96	0.88	0.70	0.24
	Banga	Min.	174.00	122.67	3.82	2.77	117.33	36.80	3.47	42.15
	Kange	Max.	233.50	163.67	5.06	4.64	172.67	46.50	4.87	59.26
	F ₅ select	ted								
	families		213.07	136.77	4.42	3.67	135.35	41.49	4.26	53.97
	P ₁		189.33	116.67	3.63	3.67	79.00	17.00	3.12	57.69
	P ₂		220.00	153.33	4.00	4.00	95.33	20.47	2.90	55.00
C_2	Bulk		203.33	138.33	4.00	4.00	106.00	27.00	3.20	48.50
(Г5)	CV%		4.36	5.13	6.62	14.20	7.17	9.98	8.86	5.43
	GCV %	•	7.47	7.63	7.96	15.01	13.37	7.79	10.32	9.27
	PCV %		7.88	8.06	8.83	17.10	13.61	8.42	10.53	9.78
	H.B.S %	6	89.79	89.78	81.27	77.01	96.55	85.69	95.98	89.74
	Realize	d								
heritability		0.91	0.17	0.28	0.36	0.32	0.24	0.59	0.54	

) :						
				Fruiting				Seed	1000-	
		Expected	Plant	zone	Capsule	Branches	Capsules	yield/	seed	Oil
		gain	height	length	length	No./	No./	plant	weight	%
Item	Generation	estimates	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	plant	plant	(g)	(g)	
		ΔG	24.08	16.60	0.56	0.72	36.67	5.65	0.60	8.34
	F ₄	∆G/bulk	11.84	12.00	13.89	17.93	34.59	28.25	15.67	16.05
	generation	ΔG/better	10.36	11.27	13.89	19.56	38.47	27.03	19.08	14.45
		ΔG	17.49	10.43	0.37	0.56	19.39	4.95	0.40	5.50
	F5	ΔG/bulk	8.60	7.54	9.19	14.02	18.30	18.33	12.44	11.33
SY/PL	generation	ΔG/better	7.95	6.80	9.19	14.02	20.34	24.18	12.75	9.53
		ΔG	32.19	27.90	0.46	0.90	48.35	12.52	0.72	6.97
	F ₄	ΔG/bulk	15.83	20.17	11.41	22.48	45.62	62.61	18.90	13.42
	generation	ΔG/better	12.87	23.92	12.56	24.52	61.21	96.32	12.88	11.96
		ΔG	14.09	19.36	0.49	0.79	30.75	4.76	0.44	5.95
	F ₅	ΔG/bulk	6.93	14.00	12.20	19.82	29.01	23.81	12.45	11.46
ICL	generation	$\Delta G/better$	6.41	12.63	12.20	19.82	32.26	23.26	15.31	10.81

Table (7): Expected genetic advance (ΔG) of the population and as percentage from bulk mean and better parent after F₄ (cycle 1) and F₅ (cycle 2) generation of pedigree selection for seed yield/plant and independent culling levels (ICL).

SY/PL= Seed yield/plant, ICL= Independent culling level, ΔG = Population expected genetic gain, ΔG /bulk= Bulk mean expected genetic gain, ΔG /bulk= Better mean expected genetic gain.

the genetic Concerning advance as percentage of bulk mean after carrying out of pedigree selection for seed two cycles vield/plant was high for seed yield/plant (18.33%) followed by capsules No. (18.30 %), branches No./plant (14.02 %) and 1000-seeds weight (12.44%). It was minimum for each of fruiting zone length (7.54 %) and plant height (8.60 %). Similarly, genetic advance as percentage of better parent was high for seed yield/plant (24.18 %) followed by capsules No. (20.34 %) after carrying out two cycles of pedigree selection (Table 7).

3.2.4. Observed direct and correlated responses after carrying out two cycles of pedigree selection for seed yield/plant

Results in Table (8) show the means (observed direct) of the best 10 families selected according to seed yield/plant and correlated response after carrying out two cycles of pedigree selection in the F_5 generation. The correlated response was estimated as percentage from bulk mean and better parent. The pedigree selection method succeeded to isolate superior genotypes in seed yield/plant with one or more effective attributed traits.

Plant height ranged from 184.0 cm for family No.52 to 243.5 cm for No.115. Nine and eight families out of ten were significantly taller than the bulk mean and the taller parent, respectively (Table 8). Likewise, the highest length of the fruiting zone length of the selected families ranged from 122.7 cm for family No.52 to 163.7 cm for No.128 with an average of 136.8 cm. Branches No./plant of the selected families ranged from 2.8 for No.111 to 4.6 branches for No.127. All families had branches No./plant than the better parent, except only three families (No.52, No.127 and No.128).

The results revealed that there was a wide range in capsules No./plant, i.e. from 117.3 for No.122 to 155.2 for No.128 with an average of 135.4 capsules. Most of the selected families were significantly higher in capsules No./plant than both of bulk sample and the better parent. It is also clear that the family No.127 was superior from bulk sample and better parent by 62.9 and 81.1 %, respectively. The seed yield of the ten selected families ranged between 37.3 and 46.5 g with an average of 41.5 g. All families did not differ significantly and the family No. 122 was the best family from them and recorded increase of about, 72.2 and 127.2 % in seed yield than bulk mean and better parent, respectively (Table 8).

Concerning 1000-seed weight, the ten selected families ranged between 3.5 and 4.9 g with an average of 4.3 g. The family No. 115 differed significantly and had heavier 1000-seeds weight than both of bulk sample and better parent, and recorded an increase of 52.1 and 56.0 %, respectively. For oil percentage trait, eight

Plant height		Fruiting zone length			Capsule length			Branches No./plant				
		lant neigh		ITun				ipsuie ieng	, en	Dia	itenes 1 (0./	plant
Families	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk
51	226.0	2.73	11.15	136.0	-11.30	-1.69	4.1	6.75	1.42	3.64	-9.08	3.90
52	184.0	-16.36	-9.51	122.7	-20.00	-11.33	4.4	15.53	9.75	4.30	7.58	22.95
61	230.0	4.55	13.11	138.3	-9.78	0.00	4.4	15.53	9.75	3.27	-18.25	-6.57
109	222.0	0.91	9.18	133.0	-13.26	-3.86	4.6	22.11	16.00	3.94	-1.58	12.48
111	225.5	2.50	10.90	131.2	-14.46	-5.18	3.8	0.61	-4.42	2.77	-30.75	-20.86
115	243.5	10.68	19.75	141.7	-7.61	2.41	4.6	22.11	16.00	3.97	-0.75	13.43
122	222.0	0.91	9.18	135.3	-11.74	-2.17	4.6	22.11	16.00	2.94	-26.58	-16.10
127	233.0	5.91	14.59	138.7	-9.57	0.24	5.1	33.07	26.42	4.64	15.92	32.48
128	231.0	5.00	13.61	163.7	6.74	18.31	3.9	2.37	-2.75	4.14	3.42	18.19
166	207.7	-5.61	2.13	127.2	-17.07	-8.07	4.6	22.11	16.00	3.10	-22.42	-11.33
Average	222.5	1.12	9.41	136.8	-10.80	-1.13	4.4	16.23	10.42	3.67	-8.25	4.86
P ₁	189.3			116.7			3.6			3.67		
P ₂	220.0			153.3			4.0			4.00		
Bulk	203.3			138.3			4.0			4.00		
RLSD 0.05	14.72			11.15			0.48			0.92		
	Conc	L. N. /	1	G 1							0:1.0/	
	L (sules NO./r	nant	Seed	l vield/plai	nt (g)	1000-	-seed weig	ht (g)		UII 70	
F		sules No./p	blant	Seed	l yield/plai	nt (g)	1000-	-seed weig	ht (g)		OII %	
Families	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Seed Mean	Better P	nt (g) Bulk	1000- Mean	-seed weig Better P	ht (<u>g)</u> Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk
Families	Mean 120.9	Better P 26.8	Bulk	Seed Mean 40.0	Better P 95.4	nt (g) Bulk 48.1	1000- Mean 4.0	-seed weig Better P 28.7	ht (g) Bulk 25.5	Mean 56.0	Better P -3.0	Bulk 15.4
Families 51 52	Mean 120.9 136.1	Better P 26.8 42.7	Bulk 14.1 28.4	Mean 40.0 36.8	Better P 95.4 79.8	nt (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5	-seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3	Mean 56.0 58.3	Better P -3.0 1.1	Bulk 15.4 20.2
Families 51 52 61	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7	Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5	Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -6.4	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3
Families 51 52 61 109	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0	Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5
Families 51 52 61 109 111	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 105.2	Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0	1000 Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -4.3 -4.3	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1	at (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9	Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -4.3 -1.2	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4	at (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 38.3	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -16.4 -2.3 -1.2 2.7	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2	at (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 30.3	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -16.4 -2.7 -26.9 -26.9	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2 -13.1
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127 128	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7 155.2	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1 62.8	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9 46.4	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5 45.8	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2 123.5	Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2 69.4	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7 4.1	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 31.9	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4 28.6	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2 52.0	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -16.4 -2.7 -26.9 -9.9	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2 -13.1 7.2
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127 128 166	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7 155.2 126.0	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1 62.8 32.1	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9 46.4 18.8	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5 45.8 40.3	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2 123.5 97.1	Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2 69.4 49.4	1000 Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7 4.1 4.9	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 31.9 48.0 48.0	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4 28.6 44.3	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2 52.0 57.6	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -4.3 -1.2 2.7 -26.9 -9.9 -0.2	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2 -13.1 7.2 18.7
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127 128 166 Average	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7 155.2 126.0 135.4	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1 62.8 32.1 42.0	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9 46.4 18.8 27.7	Seed 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5 45.8 40.3	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2 123.5 97.1 102.7	at (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2 69.4 49.4 53.7	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7 4.1 4.6 4.3	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 31.9 48.0 36.7	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4 28.6 44.3 33.3	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2 52.0 57.6 54.0	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -16.4 -26.9 -26.9 -9.9 -0.2 -6.4	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 -13.1 7.2 18.7 11.3
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127 128 166 Average P1	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7 155.2 126.0 135.4 79.0	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1 62.8 32.1 42.0	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9 46.4 18.8 27.7	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5 45.8 40.3 41.5 17.0	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2 123.5 97.1 102.7	at (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2 69.4 49.4 53.7	1000- Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7 4.1 4.6 4.3 3.1	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 31.9 48.0 36.7	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4 28.6 44.3 33.3	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2 52.0 57.6 54.0 57.7	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -16.4 -26.9 -9.9 -0.2 -6.4 -0.2	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2 -13.1 7.2 18.7 11.3
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127 128 166 Average P1 P2	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7 155.2 126.0 135.4 79.0 95.3	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1 62.8 32.1 42.0	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9 46.4 18.8 27.7	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5 45.8 40.3 41.5 17.0 20.5	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2 123.5 97.1 102.7	at (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2 69.4 49.4 53.7	1000 Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7 4.1 4.6 4.3 3.1 2.9	seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 31.9 48.0 36.7	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4 28.6 44.3 33.3 	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2 52.0 57.6 54.0 57.7 55.0	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -16.4 -4.3 -1.2 2.7 -26.9 -9.9 -0.2 -6.4	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2 -13.1 7.2 18.7 11.3
Families 51 52 61 109 111 115 122 127 128 166 Average P1 P2 Bulk	Mean 120.9 136.1 121.7 134.0 119.7 150.0 117.3 172.7 155.2 126.0 135.4 79.0 95.3 106.0	Better P 26.8 42.7 27.6 40.6 25.6 57.3 23.1 81.1 62.8 32.1 42.0	Bulk 14.1 28.4 14.8 26.5 12.9 41.5 10.7 62.9 46.4 18.8 27.7	Seed Mean 40.0 36.8 42.7 39.9 40.0 45.7 37.3 46.5 45.8 40.3 41.5 17.0 20.5 27.0	yield/plan Better P 95.4 79.8 108.5 95.0 95.2 123.1 82.4 127.2 123.5 97.1 102.7	nt (g) Bulk 48.1 36.3 58.0 47.8 48.0 69.1 38.3 72.2 69.4 49.4 53.7	1000 Mean 4.0 3.5 4.5 4.5 4.1 4.9 3.8 4.7 4.1 4.6 4.3 3.1 2.9 3.2	Seed weig Better P 28.7 11.1 45.5 43.2 30.3 56.0 20.7 51.2 31.9 48.0 36.7	ht (g) Bulk 25.5 8.3 41.9 39.6 27.1 52.1 17.7 47.4 28.6 44.3 33.3	Mean 56.0 58.3 54.0 48.3 55.2 57.0 59.3 42.2 52.0 57.6 54.0 57.7 55.0 48.5	Better P -3.0 1.1 -6.4 -16.4 -1.2 2.7 -26.9 -9.9 -0.2 -6.4	Bulk 15.4 20.2 11.3 -0.5 13.9 17.5 22.2 -13.1 7.2 18.7 11.3

 Table (8): Observed direct and correlated responses after two cycles of pedigree selection for seed yield/plant (SY/P) in percentage of the bulk and better parent (P).

and two families out of ten showed P't significant increase than the bulk mean and the better parent, respectively.

3.3. Independent culling levels procedure 3.3.1. Variances and means

The analysis of variance for the selected families of independent culling levels for cycle 1 (F_4 generation) and cycle 2 (F_5 generation) is shown in Table (9). The results indicated highly significant differences among genotypes.

Means, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variability and heritability in broad-sense (H.B.S) of cycles 1 and 2 of independent culling levels for studied traits are presented in Table (10).

The average seed yield/plant of the selected families (Table 10) increased from 36.01 g in F_4 generation (cycle 1) to 42.20 g in F_5 generation (cycle 2). The length of the fruit zone increased from 148.09 cm in F_4 generation (cycle 1) to 165.02 in F_5 generations (cycle 2). While, increasing the length of the fruit zone and the number of capsules per plant from (148.09 cm and 122.32) in F_4 generation (cycle 1) to (165.02 and 140.16) in F_5 generation (cycle 2), respectively.

Cycle				Mean squares										
No.	SOV	đf	Plant beight	Fruiting zone length	Capsule	Branc hes No. / plant	Capsules No. /	Seed vield/plant	1000- seed weight	Oil %				
-	Replication	2	242.14	884.44	4.36	4.06	3087.14	485.64	1.53	6.66				
$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_1 \\ (\mathbf{F}_1) \end{bmatrix}$	Genotypes	29	1671.30**	1315.58**	0.61**	1.46**	3521.48**	7721.85**	0.89**	80.39**				
(14)	Error	58	151.97	146.87	0.20	0.20	208.25	1759.07	0.11	8.26				
G	Replication	2	142.97	410.91	0.31	0.10	310.89	192.99	0.35	0.19				
(\mathbf{F}_2)	Genotypes	9	627.50**	1099.68**	0.67**	1.64**	2329.63**	581.05**	0.53**	90.74**				
(15)	Error	18	100.41	140.91	0.07	0.13	113.38	133.86	0.05	6.15				

Table (9): Analysis of variance after the first and the second cycles for independent culling levels.

After two selection cycles of independent culling levels procedure, the (GCV) of seed yield/plant, fruiting zone length and capsules No./plant were decreased to 10.40, 10.83 and 18.68 %, respectively, compared to 11.47, 7.10 and 12.97 % in single trait selection for seed yield/plant, respectively. El-Shimy (1995) found that the realized seed yield/plot was 46.34, 26.83 and 21.95 % in population I and 22.95, 36.07 and 40.16 in population II using selection index, independent culling levels and pedigree selection, respectively after two cycles of selection.

In general, it could be concluded that the genetic variability retained after

independent culling levels was larger than that obtained from single trait selection. These results were expected because single trait selection was exerted and directed to one trait only (selection criterion), however, independent culling levels was directed to all traits incorporated. El-Shimy (2005) found that the genetic variability retained after (ICL) was larger than that after single trait selection.

3.3.2.Heritability and realized heritability estimates

The results in Table (10) showed that estimates of heritability in the broad sense after two cycles of independent culling

Table (10): Means, range, phenotypic (PCV %), genotypic (GCV %) coefficients of variability, heritability in broad-sense (H.B.S) and realized heritability in the two cycles of independent culling levels.

			l l	Fruitin				Seed	1000-	
Cycle			Plant	σ zone	Cansule	Branches	Cansules	vield/	seed	Oil
No	Ite	em	height	length	length	No /	No /	nlant	weight	0/0
1.00			(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	plant	plant	(g)	(g)	/0
		Min.	123.33	68.67	2.33	1.00	50.40	10.07	2.73	32.67
	Range	Max.	253.33	157.67	5.40	7.67	191.67	46.10	6.67	66.58
	F ₄ selected								,	
	families		206.52	148.09	3.78	3.84	122.32	36.01	4.10	49.32
	P ₁		189.33	116.67	3.63	3.67	79.00	19.33	3.12	57.69
	P ₂		245.00	153.33	4.00	4.00	95.33	22.40	2.90	55.00
$C_{1}(F_{4})$	Bulk		179.70	121.12	3.49	3.48	86.14	23.38	3.83	48.71
-1(-4)	CV%		5.97	8.18	11.84	11.75	11.80	15.29	8.10	5.83
	PCV %		10.90	13.33	9.80	16.84	27.17	24.63	12.48	9.94
	GCV %		11.43	14.14	11.95	18.15	28.01	26.16	13.33	10.50
	H.B.S %		90.91	88.84	67.27	86.03	94.09	88.61	87.69	89.73
	Realized									
	heritability		0.33	0.66	0.30	0.87	0.82	0.94	0.73	0.70
	Danga	Min.	202.17	138.33	3.42	1.67	110.37	38.33	3.54	42.15
	Kange	Max.	247.33	204.33	5.06	4.64	187.47	52.33	4.72	63.64
	F ₅ select	ted								
	families		231.70	165.02	4.04	3.47	145.54	42.20	4.09	53.17
	P ₁		189.33	116.67	3.63	3.67	79.00	17.00	3.12	57.69
C	P ₂		220.00	153.33	4.00	3.67	95.33	20.47	2.90	55.00
(\mathbf{C}_2)	Bulk		203.33	138.33	4.00	4.00	106.00	20.00	3.57	51.90
(Г5)	CV%		4.32	7.19	6.73	10.23	7.32	6.50	5.30	4.66
	PCV %		5.72	10.83	11.05	20.51	18.68	9.96	9.80	9.99
	GCV %)	6.24	11.60	11.72	21.35	19.15	10.32	10.27	10.34
	H.B.S %	6	84.00	87.19	89.00	92.34	95.13	93.03	91.12	93.23
	Realized	d						8		
	heritability		0.37	0.15	0.91	0.68	0.58	0.16	-0.76	0.43

levels procedure were high for all traits. The realized heritability estimated from the realized gain in both cycles of independent culling levels selection in the studied population decreased from C_1 to C_2 and all correlated traits, revealing the less genetic variation in C_2 comparing to C_1 . El-Shimy (1995) and Ismail *et al.* (2013) reported similar results.

3.3.3. The expected genetic gain from selection

The expected genetic gain from selection in relation to bulk mean and better parents (Table 7), revealed high percentage in most studied traits. Genetic advance as percentage of bulk means after carrying out two cycles of independent culling levels was high for capsules No./plant (29.01 %) followed by seed yield/plant (23.81 %) and fruiting zone length (14.0 %). Similarly, genetic advance as percent of better parent was high for capsules No./plant (32.26 %) followed by seed yield/plant (23.26 %) after carrying out two cycles of independent culling levels selection.

3.3.4. Observed direct and correlated responses after applying two cycles of independent culling levels selection.

Table (11) shows the means (observed direct) of the best 10 families selected according to the selection independent culling levels and correlated responses after carrying out two cycles in F_5 generation. The correlated responses were estimated as percentage from bulk's mean and better parent. Results show that the independent culling levels selection succeeded to isolate superior genotypes in seed yield/plant with one or more effective attributed traits.

After two cycles of selection, all the selected families were highly significantly improved than the bulk sample and the best parent for length of

 Table (11): Observed direct and correlated responses after two cycles of pedigree selection for independent culling levels in percentage of the bulk and better parent (P).

]	Plant height		Lengt	h of fruiting	g zone	C	apsule leng	th	Branches No. /plant		
Families	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk
34	202.2	-8.11	-0.57	156.7	2.17	13.25	3.4	-10.09	-14.58	3.5	-12.50	0.00
35	239.0	8.64	17.54	172.7	12.61	24.82	4.0	5.26	0.00	1.7	-58.33	-52.38
39	241.5	9.77	18.77	161.5	5.33	16.75	4.3	11.84	6.25	3.3	-16.67	-4.76
49	234.0	6.36	15.08	168.0	9.57	21.45	4.0	5.26	0.00	3.7	-8.33	4.76
52	220.0	0.00	8.20	162.3	5.87	17.35	3.9	3.07	-2.08	3.4	-14.17	-1.90
56	222.0	0.91	9.18	138.3	-9.78	0.00	4.4	15.53	9.75	3.3	-18.25	-6.57
87	247.3	12.42	21.64	204.3	33.26	47.71	4.0	5.26	0.00	3.8	-4.17	9.52
122	223.0	1.36	9.67	138.7	-9.57	0.24	5.1	33.07	26.42	4.6	15.92	32.48
130	245.0	11.36	20.49	169.7	10.65	22.65	3.9	3.07	-2.08	3.7	-6.67	6.67
142	243.0	10.45	19.51	178.0	16.09	28.67	3.4	-10.09	-14.58	3.6	-10.00	2.86
Average	231.7	5.32	13.95	165.0	7.62	19.29	4.0	6.22	0.91	3.5	-13.32	-0.93
P ₁	189.3			116.7			3.6			3.7		
P ₂	220.0			153.3			4.0			4.0		
Bulk	203.3			138.3			4.0			4.0		
LSD 0.05	16.61			19.68			0.43			0.56		
	No. a	of capsules/p	olant	Seed	Seed yield/plant (g)			-seed weigh	ıt (g)		Oil %	
Families	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk	Mean	Better P	Bulk
34	110.4	15.8	4.1	40.5	98.0	102.7	4.6	46.6	28.2	53.4	-7.4	2.9
35	187.5	96.6	76.9	38.7	88.9	93.3	3.7	18.8	3.9	63.6	10.3	22.6
39	116.8	22.5	10.2	38.3	87.3	91.7	4.1	30.0	13.7	53.7	-6.9	3.5
49	135.1	41.7	27.4	41.9	104.8	109.5	3.5	13.5	-0.7	51.7	-10.4	-0.4
52	160.9	68.7	51.8	52.3	155.7	161.7	4.0	28.4	12.3	49.1	-15.0	-5.5
56	121.7	27.6	14.8	42.7	108.5	113.3	4.5	45.5	27.3	54.0	-6.4	4.0
87	156.4	64.1	47.5	42.5	107.7	112.6	4.3	36.4	19.3	51.7	-10.3	-0.3
122	172.7	81.1	62.9	46.5	127.2	132.5	4.7	51.2	32.2	42.2	-26.9	-18.8
130	173.5	81.9	63.6	38.3	87.3	91.7	3.9	25.2	9.5	56.9	-1.4	9.6
142	120.7	26.6	13.8	40.2	96.4	101.0	3.6	15.4	0.9	55.5	-3.8	6.9
Average	145.5	52.7	37.3	42.2	106.2	111.0	4.1	31.1	14.7	53.2	-7.8	2.5
P ₁	79.0			17.0			3.1			57.7		
P ₂	95.3			20.5			2.9			55.0		
Bulk	106.0			20.0			3.6			51.9		
LSD 0.05	16.2			4.3			0.3			3.9		

the fruiting zone, number of capsules No./plant and seed yield/plant. It is important to remark that the selected family No.35 exceeds significantly its best parent and bulk for seed yield/plant and branches No./plant %. It has been observed that there are common families between the pedigree method of selection and the method of independent culling levels. Of these families, are No.56 and No. 122, which were considered good families selected to achieve high potentiality of seed yield/plant trait.

4. REFERENCES

- Al-Jibouri H.A., Hiller P.A. and Robinson H.F. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agron. J., 50: 633-636.
- Abo-Elwafa A. and Ahmed T.A. (2005). Efficiency of pedigree line selection and contributions of different traits in seed yield and oil through two cycles of selection in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Assiut J. Agri. Sci., 36(2):1-24.
- A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Agric. Chemists 13th ed., A.O.A.C., Washington, D.C., USA.
- Akbar F., Rabbani M.A., Shinwari Z.K. and Khan S.J. (2011). Genetic divergence in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) landraces based on qualitative and quantitative traits. Pak. J. Bot., 43: 2737-2744.
- (2007). Ashri A. Sesame (Sesamum indicum. L.). In: Singh RJ (ed.) Genetics Resources. Chromosome Engineering, and Crop Improvement,. Vol.4, Oilseed Crops, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL., USA.
- Burton G. W. (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. 6th Int'l. Grassland Congr., 1: 277-283.
- Chowdhury S., Datta A.K., Saha A., Sengupta S., Paul Maity R..S. and Das A. (2010). Traits influencing yield in sesame (*Sesamum indicum*, L.) and multi locational trials of yield parameters in some desirable plant types. Indian J. Sci. Technol., 3: 163-166.
- El-Shakhess Samar A.M., Madkour M.A. and El-Bas M.G.M. (2002). Yield Improvement in Sesame (*Sesamum*

indicum, L.) *via* selection index. Egypt J. Plant Breed., 6 (2): 121-132.

- El-Shimy A.A. (1995). The efficiency of some selection procedures in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Assiut Univ., pp. 1-164.
- El-Shimy A.A. (2005). Contributions of different traits in seed yield through two cycles of pedigree line selection in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 36 (4): 125-141.
- El-Shimy A.A., Sedeck F.S., Ismail A.A. and Bahy R. (2005). Pedigree selection and independent culling levels methods in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). The 11th Conference of Agronomy, Agron. Dept., Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Nov. 15-16, Egypt. pp: 401-419.
- Falconer D.S. (1989). Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Longman, London (UK), New York (USA).
- Gidey Y.T., Kebede S.A. and Gashawbeza G.T. (2013). Assessment of genetic variability, genetic advance, correlation and path analysis for morphological traits in sesame genotypes. International J.Plant Breed. Genet., 7: 21-34.
- Ismail A.A., Abo-Elwafa A., Sedeck F.S. and Abd-Elsaber A. (2013). Pedigree selection for yield and its components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). 1-Response to selection for yield, correlation and path coefficients analyses. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 44(3):1-14.
- Ismaila A. and Usman A. (2012). Genetic variability for yield and yield components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum*, L.). Int'l J.Sci. and Res., 3:358-361.
- Kumar S., Gupta R.R., Chandra R. and Gupta G.R. (2012). Selection parameters for high yield and oil content in sesame (*Sesamum indicum*, L.). Curr. Ad. Agric. Sci. (Indian), 4: 2, 156-158.
- Mahdy E.E., Ismail A. A., El-Shimy A.A., Sayed M.A. and Salah A. (2015). pedigree selection to improve seed yield in sesame. Egypt. J. Plant Breed., 19(2): 337 – 353.
- Menzir A. (2012). Phenotypic variability divergence analysis and heritability of characters in sesame (*Sesamum*

indicum L.) genotypes. Nat. and Sci., 10 (10): 117-126.

- Parameshwarappa S.G., Palakshppa M.G, Salimath P.M. and Parameshwarappa K.G. (2009). Studies on genetic variability and character association in germplasm collection of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 22(2): 252-254.
- Sivaprasad Y.V.N. and Yadavalli V. (2012). Correlation, path analysis and genetic variability in F2 and F3 generations of cross Padma × JLSV 4 in sesames

(Sesamum indicum L.). Int'l. J. Agric. Sci., 2 (12): 311- 314.

- Steel R.G.D., Torrie J.H. and Dickey D.A. (1997). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach. 3rd ed., Mc Grow-Hill Inc., New York, USA.
- Sumathi P. and Muralidharan V. (2010). Analysis of genetic variability, association and path analysis in the hybrids of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Tropical Agric. Res. & Exten., 13(3): 63-67.

مقارنة بين الإنتخاب بطريقة تسجيل النسب وطريقة المستويات المستبعدة المستقلة في تحسين محصول البذور ومكوناته في السمسم

أحمد عبد الصابر - نبيل إسحق جرجس ميخائيل*

قسم بحوث المحاصيل الزيتية – معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية و *المعمل المركزي لبحوث التصميم والتحليل الإحصائي-مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

ملخص

أجرى هذا البحث بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالمطاعنة – محافظة الأقصر - مصر خلال ثلاث مواسم صيفية من 2016 إلى 2018، لمقارنة الكفاءة النسبية لتأثير طريقتين من الإنتخاب وهما طريقة الإنتخاب المنسب بإستخدام صفة محصول البذور كصفة إنتخابية والإنتخاب للمستويات المستبعدة المستقلة بإستخدام ثلاث صفات محصولية لتحسين محصول البذور ومكوناته في عشيرة إنعز الية من أحد هجن السمسم. كانت المواد الوراثية هي أجيال 5, F₃، F₄، F₅. كانت الإختلافات بين عائلات F₃ ذات أهمية كبيرة لجميع الصفات. وأشارت النتائج إلى أن طريقة الإنتخاب المنسب قد قللت من الإنتلاف بين عائلات F₃، F₄، F₅. كانت الإختلافات بين عائلات F₃ ذات أهمية كبيرة لجميع الصفات. وأشارت النتائج إلى أن طريقة الإنتخاب المنسب قد قللت من التباين الوراثي الذي تم قياسه كمعامل وراثي لصفة محصول البذور للنبات وغيرها من الصفات المدروسة، كما إنخفض معامل الإختلاف الوراثي ألوراثي ألوراثي (GCV) لصفة محصول البذور للنبات من 28.22٪ في العشيرة الأساسية إلى 7.79٪ بعد دورتين من الإنتخاب، أيضاً من 32.89٪ إلى 30.17٪ لصفة محصول البذور النبات من 28.22٪ في العشيرة الأساسية إلى 7.79٪ بعد دورتين من الإنتخاب، أيضاً من 32.89٪ إلى 30.71٪ لصفة طول المنطقة الثمرية ومن ألوراثي (GCV) الحفة وزن الألف بذرة. كانت كفاءة التوريث بالمعني الواسع بعد دورتين من الإنتخاب، أيضاً من 32.89٪ إلى 30.71٪ لصفة حدد الكبسولات/ نبات ومن 11.11٪ إلى 71.71٪ لصفة طول المنطقة الثمرية ومن ألفرا أيضاً من 32.89٪ إلى 30.71٪ لصفة وزن الألف بذرة. كانت كفاءة التوريث بالمعني الواسع بعد دورتين من الإنتخاب عالية أيضاً من 32.89٪ إلى 30.71٪ لصفة وزن الألف بذرة. كانت كفاءة التوريث بالمعني الواسع بعد دورتين من الإنتخاب عالية ووزن الألف بذرة (89.78٪)، وعدد الكبسولات/ النبات (65.69٪)، وعدد الكبسولات/ النبات (65.69٪)، وعدد الكبسولات/ النبات (35.69٪)، وطول المنطقة الثمرية (يوزن الألف بذرة (89.78٪). كما زادت كفاءة التوريث المحققة للإنتخاب المنسب، وكذاك زادت كفاءة التوريث بعد أن تم ووزن الألف بذرة (89.59٪). كما زادت كفاءة التوريث المنعب، وكذار مالمنب، وكذاك مالغات المنسب، وكذاك مالية المنسب، وكذاك مالي ووزن الألف بذرة (80.79٪). كما زادت كفاءة التوريث المحققة للإنتخاب المنسب، وكذاك زادت كفاءة التوريث من مراوي البفور المنسب دورتين من العية المناب. (

المجلة العلمية لكلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة- المجلد (71) العدد الثاني ابريل (2020): 61-71.