EVALUATION OF TWO EGYPTIAN COTTON CULTIVARS IN UPPER EGYPT

(Received:26.4.2013)

By H. A. Idris and S. M. Seyam

Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The goal of the present study was suggesting an evaluation of cotton using the least unit of the latin square design (2 x2). Two Egyptian cotton (Gossypium barbadense, L.) cultivars, viz., G80 and G90 were used. Cultivars were evaluated at three different locations (Beni Souif, Minia and Assuit) in Upper Egypt during 2010 and 2011 seasons except for Assuit in 2010 season. The studied traits were seed and lint yield, boll components (dry weight, seed cotton, lint cotton, seeds weight and number of seeds), indices (harvest, seed, lint and lint percentage) and fiber properties (fiber length, micronaire reading and Pressely index). Five of $(2 x^2)$ latin square designs were used in individual locations. The data of five $(2x^2)$ latin square design were used together to produce cross over designs. G90 significantly surpassed G80 with respect to seed cotton yield in Beni Souif. In both locations, lint percentage of G80 was greater than the G90. In contrast, harvest index of G90 was greater than G80 since it had the lowest value of dry weight per boll than 80 in both locations. On the other hand, the difference between cultivars with respect to the number of seeds per boll was slight except at Assuit where the differences were significant. The results of fiber properties in both locations revealed that G80 had the longest fiber length compared with G90 due to genetic differences between them and it gave a high micronaire value followed by G90 due to coarseness of fibers. The results of multiple regression revealed that the effects of dry weight per boll, the number of seeds per boll and seed index on seed and lint cotton yield were strong for G80. Results of the present study is important for the regional program to evaluate cotton genotypes.

Key words: cotton, genotypes, locations, statistical analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Experimental units in a latin square design are organized into two groups referred to as rows and columns with regard to the organization of data in a two-way table. Each treatment is assigned the same number of times (usually once) within each group so that differences between groups are not due to treatment effects. At least as many replications are required as there are treatments. Latin squares are usually not practical with more than eight treatments. Only when both rows and columns vary appreciably, will the latin square design improve the detection of treatment differences over the randomized complete block (Little and Hills, 1978).

Abou-Tour *et al.* (1996) evaluated five Egyptian cotton cultivars, *viz.*, G85, G3, G80, Dendera and G75 at three locations in Upper Egypt (Fayoum, Assuit and Sohag) using a (5 x 5) latin square design in each location. Results revealed significant differences among cultivars with respect to lint cotton yield, seed index, lint

percentage and fiber length in the individual locations. In contrast, non-significant variation due to cultivars was recorded for boll weight.

Awad *et al.* (2004) evaluated two cultivars G90 and G83 with respect to yield and fiber properties in Upper Egypt (Assuit and Sohag). The results showed that G90 gave 5% higher yields (seed and lint) than G83. It slightly surpassed G83 for boll weight and gave the same range of lint percentage of G83. Fiber quality for G90 was nearly the same for the long staple cotton group in Upper Egypt.

Idris *et al.* (2011) evaluated four genotypes using (4 x 4) latin square design at four locations through two seasons. A compressed latin square design was used to estimate variances of locations and genotypes. The data of each location (two seasons) were considered column and each cell of the design included eight readings. Statistical analysis of compressed was similar to analysis of simple latin square for more than one observation per experimental unit. Idris (2012) evaluated two groups of cotton in different zones. The first group was evaluated at two locations in the Delta using a (4×4) latin square design. The second group was evaluated in the two locations in Upper Egypt using (4×4) latin square design. Analysis of multiple latin square designs was used to estimate the variance among genotypes in different zones. The data of both the Delta and Upper Egypt locations (4×4) were used together to produce a latin square design (8×8) . Statistical analysis of the multiple design was similar to the analysis of the simple latin square design.

Researchers need a statistical measure to evaluate genotypes under different locations when the number of treatments is small. Thus, the final goal was to study the possibility of suggesting an evaluation of cotton using the least unit of latin square design (2 x2).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five (2 x2) latin square designs were carried out at three different locations (Beni Souif, Minia and Assuit) in Upper Egypt during 2010 and 2011 seasons except for Assuit in 2010 season. Latin square design followed was according to Cochran and Cox (1950), Federer (1955), Snedecor and Cochran (1967) and Gomez and Gomez (1984), Table (1).

2.1. Statistical analysis

2.1.1 Analysis of the least unit of latin square design

For the only (2x2) latin square design, this is zero degrees of freedom associated with the residual sum of squares. Thus, the data of five (2x2) latin square design were used together to produce cross over designs (Table 2). Statistical analysis (Table 3) was straightforward as Bailey (1994), Roger (1994) and Mcpherson (2001). The cultivar means were compared by the least significant difference (L.S.D.) test as given by Steel and Torrie (1980). All comparisons were done at 0.05 level of significance.

2.1.2 Multiple regression

The analysis of multiple regression was used to estimate the effect of boll components (x) and indices (x) on both seed and lint cotton yield (y) in the three locations. Statistical analysis was straightforward as Little and Hills (1978), Fowler *et al.* (1998) and Sing and Narayanan (2000).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance of the data from individual locations revealed the presence of significant columns, (partitioning columns to squares and columns within squares), rows and genotypes (Table 4).

 Table (1) : Layout of five (2 x 2) latin square designs in individual locations.

Squar	re (1)		Squa	re (2)	_	Squa	re (3)	_	Squa	re (4)		Squar	re (5)
А	В		Α	В		В	Α		В	Α		А	В
В	Α		В	Α		Α	В		Α	В		В	Α
A =	= G80	_	B = G90)	-			_			_		

Table	(2): I	avout	of	cross	over	designs	in	indi	lenhiv	locations.
Lanc	(2)•1	Layour	UI	CI 055	0,01	ucsigns	111	mui	luuai	iocations.

Α	В	А	В	В	Α	В	А	А	В
В	Α	В	А	Α	В	А	В	В	А
A =	= G80	B = G90							

The materials used in this study were two Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense*, L.) cultivars, *viz.*, G80 and G90. Cultivars were evaluated for seed cotton yield (S.C.Y.) and lint cotton yield (L.C.Y.) in kentar / feddan. One sample of 50 bolls was obtained from each plot to estimate boll components (dry weight g, seed cotton g, lint cotton g, seeds weight g and number of seeds), indices (harvest, seed, lint and lint percentage) and fiber properties (fiber length (mm), micronaire reading and Pressely index). The lint cotton samples were tested by the Cotton Research Laboratories, Cotton Research Institute.

 Table (3): Analysis of variance of cross over designs.

Source of variation	df
Columns	r - 1
Rows	t - 1
Genotypes	t - 1
Experimental error	(t-1)(r-2)
Total	t r -1

3.1 Analysis of cross over design 3.1.1 Beni Souif location

In the first season, significant variation due to genotypes was observed for seed cotton yield, dry

Beni Souif (2010 Season)										
		Yi	eld	Fi	iber properties					
Source of variation	df	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely				
Columns	9	2.41**	3.71**	0.454	0.018	0.690				
Squares (S)	4	1.62	2.30	0.528	0.029	0.718				
Columns within (S)	5	3.05**	4.77**	0.393	0.009	0.669				
Rows	1	1.76	1.93	6.85**	0.200	0.012				
Genotypes	1	2.87*	1.10	1.11	0.512*	0.180				
Experimental error	8	0.446	0.629	0.249	0.092	1.02				
Total	19			oll Component						
Source of variation	df	Dry weight	Seed	Lint cotton	Seeds	No.				
			cotton		weight	seeds				
Columns	9	0.009**	0.014	0.002	0.005	0.719				
Squares (S)	4	0.013**	0.028	0.002	0.011	1.11				
Columns within (S)	5	0.006*	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.403				
Rows	1	0.005	0.012	0.004	0.002	1.49				
Genotypes	1	0.164**	0.029	0.001	0.035	2.97				
Experimental error	8	0.001	0.036	0.007	0.012	1.69				
Total	19	5.001	0.000	Indices	5.012	1.07				
Source of variation	df	Harvest Seed		Lint						
Columns	9	0.042	0.273	0.129	Lint percent 0.255					
Squares (S)	4	0.042	0.347	0.206	0.255					
Columns within (S)		5 0.032 0.214		0.200	0.324					
Rows			0.014	0.007	0.716					
Genotypes	1	0.011 1.52**	0.001	0.627*	13.61**					
Experimental error	8	0.022	0.109	0.071	0.157					
Total	19	0.022	0.107	2011 Season	0.157					
	17	Yi	eld		iber properties					
	_		eld Lint	Fi	iber properties Micronaire	Presselv				
Source of variation	df	Seed	Lint	Fi Length	Micronaire	Pressely				
Source of variation Columns	df 9	Seed 1.49*	Lint 2.50	Fi Length 0.372	Micronaire 0.050	0.147				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S)	df 9 4	Seed 1.49* 0.973	Lint 2.50 1.97	Length 0.372 0.151	Micronaire 0.050 0.006	0.147 0.088				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S)	df 9 4 5	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085	0.147 0.088 0.193				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows	df 9 4 5 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13*	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes	df 9 4 5 1 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96**	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error	df 9 4 5 1 1 8	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Be	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error	df 9 4 5 1 1 8	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds Seeds	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No.				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 011 Component Lint cotton	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds weight	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds Seeds	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No.				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S)	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 bll Component Lint cotton 0.011	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.016	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 bll Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.031	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 Dll Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.016 0.031 0.004 0.004	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108				
Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 5 1 19 df 9 4 5 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 011 Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.031 0.004 0.128 0.004	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental error	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.128 0.004 0.004	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypes	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 8	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102*	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002	Fi Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 bll Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.128 0.004 0.004	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotal	df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 11 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 8 19	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.016 0.031 0.004 0.004	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumns	df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 19 df 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009 Harvest	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135 Seed Seed	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices Lint	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.046	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variation	df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 11 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009 Harvest 0.050	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135 Seed 0.309	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices Lint 0.195	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.004 0.128 0.004 0.046 0.276	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)	df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 1 8 19 4 5 1 1 1 8 19 4 5 1 1 1 8 19 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009 Harvest 0.050 0.034	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Beed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135 Seed 0.309 0.490	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices Lint 0.195 0.212	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.046 Lint percent 0.276 0.213 0.213	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)Rows	df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009 Harvest 0.050 0.034 0.064	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135 Seed 0.309 0.490 0.164 0.025	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices Lint 0.195 0.212 0.181	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.276 0.213 0.327	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypes	df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1 9 4 5 1 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009 Harvest 0.050 0.034 0.064	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Beed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135 Seed 0.309 0.490 0.164 0.025 0.234	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices Lint 0.195 0.212 0.181 0.025 0.707	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.0213 0.327 0.013	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				
Source of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumns within (S)RowsGenotypesExperimental errorTotalSource of variationColumnsSquares (S)Columns within (S)Rows	df 9 4 5 1 8 19 df 9 4 5 1	Seed 1.49* 0.973 1.90* 3.26* 4.14* 0.371 Dry weight 0.007 0.003 0.010 0.043 0.102* 0.009 Harvest 0.050 0.034 0.064 0.001 0.874**	Lint 2.50 1.97 2.92 5.13* 4.35 0.830 Bo Seed cotton 0.051 0.100 0.012 0.381 0.002 0.135 Seed 0.309 0.490 0.164 0.025	Length 0.372 0.151 0.549 0.049 6.96** 0.165 DI Component Lint cotton 0.011 0.020 0.003 0.068 0.001 0.024 Indices Lint 0.195 0.212 0.181 0.025	Micronaire 0.050 0.006 0.085 0.162 0.098 0.068 ts Seeds weight 0.016 0.004 0.004 0.128 0.004 0.046 0.213 0.276 0.213 0.327 0.013 2.97 0.013	0.147 0.088 0.193 0.005 0.041 0.212 No. seeds 1.91 4.17 0.108 10.18 1.32				

Table (4): Mean square of yield, boll components, indices and fiber properties.
Beni Souif (2010 Season)

Table (4): Cont. I

Columns 9 4.09 6.55 1.14 0.065 0.115 Squares (S) 4 7.70 11.41 0.709 0.063 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.21 2.2.66 1.48 0.065 0.0 Genotypes 1 2.12.2* 28.97 1.51 0.085 0.005 Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Boll Components Source of variation df Dry weight Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0.837 Genotypes 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.008 0.012 1.80 Squares (S) 4 0	Columns		Minia (2010 Season)										
Columns 9 4.09 6.55 1.14 0.065 0.115 Squares (S) 4 7.70 11.41 0.709 0.063 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.21 2.66 1.48 0.065 0.0 Genotypes 1 2.1.22* 28.97 1.51 0.085 0.005 Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Boll Components 0.025 0.004 0.254 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0.033 Squares (S) 4 0.025 0.008 0.022 1 Rows 1 0.51** 0.025 0.008 0.022 1 Squares (S) 4 0.093 0.036 0.014 0.833 1.80 Total 19 </th <th>Columns</th> <th></th> <th>Y</th> <th></th> <th>H</th> <th>iber properties</th> <th></th>	Columns		Y		H	iber properties							
Squares (S) Columns within (S) 4 5 7.70 1.21 11.41 2.66 0.709 1.48 0.063 0.005 0. 0.005 Rows 1 21.22* 28.97 1.51 0.085 0.001 Genotypes 1 2.24 0.391 0.479 0.005 0.005 Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Seed cotton Lint cotton Seed weight No. see Squares (S) 4 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Genotypes 1 0.024 0.022 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.010 0.13** Genotypes 1 0.351*** 0.022 0.001 0.335 Experimental error 8 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Genotypes <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Length</th> <th>Micronaire</th> <th>Pressely</th>					Length	Micronaire	Pressely						
Columns within (S) 5 1.21 2.66 1.48 0.065 0.0 Rows 1 21.22* 28.97 1.51 0.085 0.001 Genotypes 1 2.24 0.391 0.479 0.005 0.055 Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Bed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No seed cotton Source of variation df Dry Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.014 0.055 0.008 0.022 D.001 Rows 1 0.351** 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.333 Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.843 1.6* Squares	Squares (S)	9	4.09	6.55		0.065	0.115						
Rows 1 21.22* 28.97 1.51 0.085 0.001 Genotypes 1 2.24 0.391 0.479 0.005 0.005 Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Boll Components Boll Components No. see Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0.837 Genotypes 1 0.014 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.008 0.022 0.01 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19 Experimental error 8 0.026 0.107** 0.346** Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.845 8.62** Genotypes 1			7.70	11.41	0.709	0.063	0.023						
Genotypes12.240.3910.4790.0050.005Experimental error83.105.880.4920.0640.254Total19Image transmissionJongSeed cottonLint cottonSeeds weightNo. seeSource of variationImage transmission90.0160.0330.0050.0140.837Squares (S)40.0190.0070.0020.0040.0070.0020.0040.007Columns within (S)50.0140.0250.0080.0220.0131.380.2250.0130.366**21.47**Genotypes10.0040.828**0.107**0.346**21.47**0.0330.0060.0131.38Experimental error80.020.0360.0060.0131.380.0250.0060.0131.38Source of variationdfHarvestSeedLintLint percentColumns90.0960.2160.0560.8430.0250.0200.0111.38*Genotypes10.950*1.020.0463.17*F1.020.0463.17*1.020.0310.1111.38*Source of variationdfSeedLintLengthMicronairePressoGenotypes10.950*1.020.0463.17*1.020.0310.1111.38*Source of variationdfSeedLintLengthMicronairePresso <th>Columns within (S)</th> <th>5</th> <th>1.21</th> <th>2.66</th> <th>1.48</th> <th>0.065</th> <th>0.187</th>	Columns within (S)	5	1.21	2.66	1.48	0.065	0.187						
Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Source of variation df Dry weight Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0. Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.023 0.036 0.010 0.033 Total 19 Image: Columns 9 0.026 0.021 0.036 Squares (S) 4 0.096 0.216 0.056 0.101 1.38* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** 1.4 Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62**	Rows	1	21.22*	28.97	1.51	0.085	0.001						
Experimental error 8 3.10 5.88 0.492 0.064 0.254 Total 19 Source of variation df Dry weight Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0. Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.023 0.023 0.022 0.001 0.033 Squares (S) 4 0.023 0.026 0.021 0.843 Squares (S) 4 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Genotypes	Genotypes	1	2.24	0.391	0.479	0.005	0.005						
Total 19 Boil Components Source of variation df Dry weight Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0. Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.023 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19 Indices Source of variation df Harvest Seed Lint Lint percent Columns 9 0.096 0.216 0.0056 0.843 Secies Experimental error 8 0.102 0.443 Secies Secies Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.350 0.350 Total 19		8	3.10	5.88	0.492	0.064	0.254						
Source of variation df Dry weight Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0. Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.055 0.008 0.022 1. Rows 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47* Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.366 0.010* 0.13 1.80 Total 19 Indices Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns 9 0.096 0.216 0.865 8.62** Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.665 0.813 . Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62***		19		L	Boll Compone	nts							
columns90.0160.0330.0050.0140.837Squares (S)40.0190.0070.0020.0040.0Columns within (S)50.0140.0550.0080.0220.11Rows10.0040.828**0.107**0.346**21.47**Genotypes10.0330.0250.0060.0131.80Experimental error80.0230.0360.0060.0131.80Total19 \mathbf{V} $\mathbf{Miropental}$ \mathbf{Nas} \mathbf{Nas} Source of variationdfHarvestSeedLintLint percentSource of variation10.0960.2160.0560.843Genotypes10.990*0.3660.1011.38*Genotypes10.950*1.020.0463.17*Genotypes10.3460.6358.62** \mathbf{Nas} Genotypes10.3460.0460.8658.62**Fourter of variationdfSeedLintMicronairePressGenotypes11.31*0.0460.8658.62** \mathbf{Nas} Golumns91.422.190.6160.0310.111Squares (S)41.211.760.4700.0480.Genotypes40.0131.011.250.0050.013Genotypes10.0131.011.250.0050.013Genotypes10.013 <th>Source of variation</th> <th>df</th> <th>Dry</th> <th>Seed cotton</th> <th>Lint cotton</th> <th>Seeds weight</th> <th>No. seeds</th>	Source of variation	df	Dry	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds						
Columns 9 0.016 0.033 0.005 0.014 0.837 Squares (S) 4 0.019 0.007 0.002 0.004 0. Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.055 0.008 0.022 0.014 Rows 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47*: Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19 Indices Source of variation df Harvest Seed Lint Lint percent Squares (S) 4 0.096 0.216 0.056 0.843 0.036 Genotypes 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* 0.412 Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** 0.350 Total 19 244 0.19 0.616 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th></t<>						0							
Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.055 0.008 0.022 1 Rows 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19 Indices Source of variation df Harvest Seed Lint Lint percent Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Genotypes 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 </th <th>Columns</th> <th>9</th> <th></th> <th>0.033</th> <th>0.005</th> <th>0.014</th> <th>0.837</th>	Columns	9		0.033	0.005	0.014	0.837						
Columns within (S) 5 0.014 0.055 0.008 0.022 1 Rows 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19 Indices Source of variation df Harvest Seed Lint Lint percent Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Genotypes 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 </th <th></th> <th>4</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>0.248</th>		4					0.248						
Rows 1 0.004 0.828** 0.107** 0.346** 21.47** Genotypes 1 0.351** 0.025 0.022 0.001 0.033 Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19 Image: constraint of the theorem of theorem of the theorem of theorem of theorem of the theo							1.31						
Genotypes10.351**0.0250.0220.0010.033Experimental error80.0230.0360.0060.0131.80Total19SeedLintLint percentSource of variationdfHarvestSeed0.0560.843Squares (S)40.0990.3660.1011.38*Columns within (S)50.0940.0970.0200.412Rows10.950*1.020.0463.17*Genotypes11.31*0.0460.8658.62**Experimental error80.1190.4650.1580.350Source of variationdfSeedLintLengthMicronairePressSource of variationft1.422.190.6160.0310.111Squares (S)41.211.760.4700.0480.Genotypes10.0131.011.250.0050.013Genotypes10.0131.011.250.0050.013Genotypes10.99***16.76*4.42*0.0410.013Genotypes11.99***16.76*4.42*0.0410.013		1					21.47**						
Experimental error 8 0.023 0.036 0.006 0.013 1.80 Total 19		1											
Total 19 Indices Source of variation df Harvest Seed Lint Lint percent Columns 9 0.096 0.216 0.056 0.843 Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Total 19 Eed Fiber properties Fiber properties Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presse Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Golumns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Genotypes 1 <th1.9.96**< th=""> <th16.76*< th=""> 4.4</th16.76*<></th1.9.96**<>													
Source of variation df Harvest Seed Lint Lint percent Columns 9 0.096 0.216 0.056 0.843 Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.0465 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Total 19 2011 Season Presse Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presse Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>0.020</th> <th>0.020</th> <th></th> <th>01010</th> <th>1100</th>			0.020	0.020		01010	1100						
Columns 9 0.096 0.216 0.056 0.843 Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Total 19 2011 Season 111 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.047 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 <th></th> <th></th> <th>Harvest</th> <th>Seed</th> <th></th> <th>Lint percent</th> <th></th>			Harvest	Seed		Lint percent							
Squares (S) 4 0.099 0.366 0.101 1.38* Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* 4.112 Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** 4.112 Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 4.12 Total 19													
Columns within (S) 5 0.094 0.097 0.020 0.412 Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* 4.14 Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** 4.14 Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 4.14 Total 19 Columns Out Out Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presson Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0.0 Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 1.996** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Baperimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100		-											
Rows 1 0.950* 1.02 0.046 3.17* Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Total 19 Zoll Season Zoll Season Presson Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presson Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100													
Genotypes 1 1.31* 0.046 0.865 8.62** Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Total 19		-											
Experimental error 8 0.119 0.465 0.158 0.350 Total 19 2011 Season Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presson Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presson Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100													
Total 19 2011 Season Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Press Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100													
Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Presson Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100			0.117	0.405									
Source of variation df Seed Lint Length Micronaire Pressonance Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100	10001	17		Zield									
Columns 9 1.42 2.19 0.616 0.031 0.111 Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100	Source of variation	df					Pressely						
Squares (S) 4 1.21 1.76 0.470 0.048 0. Columns within (S) 5 1.60 2.53 0.732 0.017 0. Rows 1 0.013 1.01 1.25 0.005 0.013 Genotypes 1 19.96** 16.76* 4.42* 0.041 0.013 Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100													
Columns within (S)51.602.530.7320.0170.Rows10.0131.011.250.0050.013Genotypes119.96**16.76*4.42*0.0410.013Experimental error81.041.720.6780.0970.100		4	1.21				0.062						
Rows10.0131.011.250.0050.013Genotypes119.96**16.76*4.42*0.0410.013Experimental error81.041.720.6780.0970.100							0.150						
Genotypes119.96**16.76*4.42*0.0410.013Experimental error81.041.720.6780.0970.100		1											
Experimental error 8 1.04 1.72 0.678 0.097 0.100													
Source of variation df Dry Seed cotton Lint cotton Seeds weight No. see			Drv	Seed cotton			No. seeds						
weight			-			8							
Columns 9 0.009 0.085 0.016 0.027 3.13	Columns	9	0.009	0.085	0.016	0.027	3.13						
		4	0.008	0.150	0.029	0.047	5.53						
		5	0.009	0.034	0.007	0.011	1.22						
		1											
	Rows												
	Rows Genotypes						1						
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error			1		L int norcont							
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total	19	Harvest	Seed	Lint								
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation	19 df	Harvest 0.070	Seed 0.045			-						
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns	19 df 9	0.070	0.045	0.062	0.712							
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S)	19 df 9 4	0.070 0.080	0.045 0.066	0.062 0.084	0.712 0.662							
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S)	19 df 9 4 5	0.070 0.080 0.062	0.045 0.066 0.028	0.062 0.084 0.044	0.712 0.662 0.752							
	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows	19 df 9 4 5 1	0.070 0.080 0.062 0.021	0.045 0.066 0.028 0.749	0.062 0.084 0.044 0.002	0.712 0.662 0.752 4.03**	-						
Genotypes 1 1.97** 0.271 0.384 13.41** Experimental error 8 0.025 0.475 0.179 0.302	Rows Genotypes Experimental error Total Source of variation Columns Squares (S) Columns within (S) Rows Genotypes	19 df 9 4 5 1 1	0.070 0.080 0.062 0.021 1.97**	0.045 0.066 0.028 0.749 0.271	0.062 0.084 0.044 0.002 0.384	0.712 0.662 0.752 4.03** 13.41**							

..........

••

Table (4): Cont. II						
		Assui	t (2011 Season)			
		Yi	ield	I	Fiber properties	
Source of variation	df	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely
Columns	9	3.61	6.34	1.85	0.132	0.122
Squares (S)	4	2.11	3.99	2.72	0.167	0.165
Columns within (S)	5	4.82	8.23	1.15	0.103	0.088
Rows	1	0.152	0.253	1.74	0.001	0.018
Genotypes	1	4.53	3.86	0.002	0.112	0.512
Experimental error	8	3.36	5.44	0.929	0.114	0.482
Total	19		I	Boll Componen	ts	
Source of variation	df	Dry weight	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds
Columns	9	0.009	0.053	0.008	0.021	2.12*
Squares (S)	4	0.003	0.040	0.007	0.015	2.16*
Columns within (S)	5	0.013	0.063	0.009	0.025*	2.09*
Rows	1	0.003	0.265**	0.043**	0.094**	0.990
Genotypes	1	0.013	0.269**	0.021*	0.143**	5.46**
Experimental error	8	0.023	0.020	0.004	0.007	0.450
Total	19			Indices		
Source of variation	df	Harvest	Seed	Lint	Lint percent	
Columns	9	0.081	0.591	0.201*	0.324	
Squares (S)	4	0.067	0.182	0.158	0.293	
Columns within (S)	5	0.093	0.918	0.237*	0.349	
Rows	1	0.432	1.61*	0.471*	0.062	
Genotypes	1	0.730*	0.615	0.051	9.22**	
Experimental error	8	0.123	0.269	0.052	0.215	
Total	19					

Table (4): Cont. II

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

weight per boll, harvest index, lint index, lint percentage and micronaire reading, (Table 4). G90 significantly surpassed G80 with respect to seed cotton yield and harvest index due to the lowest dry weight per boll. In contrast, G80 significantly exceeded G90 in dry weight per boll, lint index, lint percentage and micronaire reading (Table 5).

In the second season, significant variation due to genotypes was recorded for seed cotton yield, dry weight per boll, harvest index and fiber length (Table 4). G90 significantly exceeded G80 with respect to seed cotton yield and harvest index. G80 significantly surpassed G90 for dry weight per boll and fiber length (Table 5).

Results also showed that G90 was the best cultivar with respect to yield since it gave the highest seed cotton yield and harvest index in both seasons. G80 had the highest value for fiber length compared with G90 in 2011 season.Non–significant differences between the two cultivars were observed for boll components except for dry weight per boll in both seasons, indicating that the boll components were similar in the two cultivars except for dry weight per boll (Table 5).

3.1.2 Minia location

In the first season, significant variation due to cultivars was observed for dry weight per boll, harvest index, lint index and lint percentage (Table 4). G80 significantly exceeded G90 with respect to dry weight per boll, lint index and lint percentage. G90 significantly surpassed G80 with respect to harvest index since it had the lowest value of dry weight per boll than G80 (Table 5).

In the second season, significant variation due to genotypes was detected for seed cotton yield, dry weight per boll, harvest index, lint percentage and fiber length (Table 4). G90 significantly surpassed G80 with respect to seed and lint yield and harvest index. In contrast, G80 significantly exceeded G90 in fiber length, dry weight per boll and lint percentage (Table 5).

On the other hand, G80 had the highest values of dry weight per boll and lint percentage, significantly surpassed G90 in the two seasons (Table 5).

3.1.3 Assuit location

Non-significant variation due to cultivars was observed for seed and lint yield and fiber properties.In contrast. significant variation between cultivars was recorded for boll components and indices except for dry weight per boll.seed and lint index (Table 4).G90 significantly surpassed G80 with respect to seed cotton per boll, lint cotton per boll, seed weight, number of seeds per boll and harvest index, G80 significantly exceeded G90 for lint percentage,(Table 5).

As an explanation of such results, cultivar

		Beni Souif (2010 Season)	• •					
Genotypes	Yi	eld		Fiber properties					
	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely				
G80	8.92	11.51	32.43	4.31 *	9.89				
G90	9.68 *	11.98	32.90	3.99	9.70				
L.S.D.	0.69			0.31					
Genotypes]	Boll Componen	ts					
	Dry weight	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds				
G80	1.06 *	2.37	0.97	1.40	15.49				
G90	0.88	2.45	0.96	1.49	16.26				
L.S.D.	0.03								
Genotypes			Indices						
	Harvest	Seed	Lint	Lint percent					
G80	2.24	9.16	6.29 *	40.97 *					
G90	2.79 *	9.15	5.93	39.33					
L.S.D.	0.15		0.27	0.41					
L.D.D .	0.15 0.27 0.41 2011 Season								
Genotypes	Vi	eld		Fiber properties					
Genocypes	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely				
G80	10.07	13.14	31.28 *	4.72	10.07				
G90	10.98 *	14.07	30.10	4.58	10.16				
L.S.D.	0.63		0.42	4.50 					
Genotypes	0.05		Boll Componen						
Genotypes	Dry weight	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds				
G80	1.03 *	2.51	1.04	1.47	14.18				
G90	0.89	2.53	1.04	1.47	14.18				
L.S.D.	0.89	2.35	1.05	1.50	14.09				
	0.10		 Indices						
Genotypes	Harvest	Seed	Lint	I int noncont					
<u></u>	2.44			Lint percent 41.46					
G80		10.40	7.37						
G90	2.86 *	10.18	6.99	40.69					
L.S.D.	0.23								
<u> </u>	T 7		10 Season)	T *1 /*					
Genotypes		eld		Fiber properties					
~~~	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely				
G80	10.24	13.44	33.15	4.25	10.07				
G90	10.91	13.87	32.84	4.22	10.10				
L.S.D.									
Genotypes			Boll Componen						
	Dry weight	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds				
G80	1.26 *	2.66	1.11	1.55	17.07				
G90	0.99	2.59	1.04	1.55	17.15				
L.S.D.	0.16								
Genotypes		ſ	Indices						
	Harvest	Seed	Lint	Lint percent					
G80	2.13	9.09	6.51*	41.73 *					
G90	2.64 *	9.00	6.09	40.42					
L.S.D.	0.36		0.41	0.61					

Table (5): Means of yield, boll components, indices and fiber properties.

able (5): Cont.	Ι											
Genotypes			2011 Season									
	yi	eld		Fiber properties								
	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely							
G80	12.07	15.41	31.22 *	4.39	9.99							
G90	14.07 *	17.24 *	30.28	4.48	9.94							
L.S.D.	1.05	1.35	0.85									
Genotypes		]	Boll Component	ts								
	Dry weight	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds							
G80	1.12 *	2.51	1.02	1.49	15.87							
G90	0.85	2.42	0.94	1.48	15.43							
L.S.D.	0.10											
Genotypes		Indices										
	Harvest	Seed	Lint	Lint percent								
G80	2.23	9.38	6.39	40.51 *								
G90	2.86 *	9.62	6.11	38.88								
L.S.D.	0.16			0.57								
		Assuit (20	11 Season)									
Genotypes	yi	eld	Fiber properties									
	Seed	Lint	Length	Micronaire	Pressely							
G80	7.56	9.85	31.12	4.22	9.51							
G90	8.51	10.73	31.11	4.07	9.19							
L.S.D.												
Genotypes	Boll Components											
	Dry weight	Seed cotton	Lint cotton	Seeds weight	No. seeds							
G80	0.94	2.12	0.87	1.24	15.41							
G90	0.89	2.35 *	0.94 *	1.41 *	16.45 *							
L.S.D.		0.15	0.06	0.08	0.69							
Genotypes			Indices									
	Harvest	Seed	Lint	Lint percent								
G80	2.29	8.07	5.60	41.39 *								
G90	2.67 *	8.55	5.70	40.03								
L.S.D.	0.36			0.48								

--: Not significant at .05 level. *: Cultivar significantly surpassed.

differences in cotton yield are primarily due to differences in reproductive sink. Reproductive sink development depends on the occurrence of the first flower, the time interval between successive flowers and the rate of boll growth (Hearn, 1969). On the other hand, Culp and Harrell (1975) reported that maintaining a high lint percentage was necessary to ensure high lint cotton yield.

G80 had the longest fiber length compared with G90 due to genetic differences between them. G80 gave higher micronaire value followed by G90 due to coarseness of fibers.

The results showed that both genetics and locations affected boll components.

In both locations, the difference between cultivars with respect to the number of seeds per boll was slight but at Assuit these differences were significant.

Harrell and Culp (1976) reported that more numbers of seeds per boll were desirable because of the greater amount of surface area for lint production within the boll. Scholl and Miller (1976) found that selection for both greater seed per boll and larger seed would produce a reduction in lint yield.

Harvest index is a compound character since it depends on two primary factors, weight of seed cotton per boll and weight of dry weight per boll. It is expected to vary considerably according to fluctuations of the two factors.

In both locations, harvest index of G90 was greater than G80 due to second factor (dry weight per boll) since it had the lowest value. This may explain the transcend of G80 over G90 and significant differences for dry weight per boll

	Seed cotton yield (y)									
Boll components (x)		Beni Souif	2	Min					Assuit	
Source of variation	df	G80	G90	G80	G90		df		G80	G90
Regression	5	2.17	2.09	5.12	7.38	5			7.36	0.741
<b>Dry weight</b> $(x_1)$	1	1.08	1.93	22.38**	9.83			1	0.090	0.998
Seed cotton (x ₂ )	1	2.94	2.01	1.35	4.85			1	10.84	2.04
Lint cotton $(x_3)$	1	0.186	2.03	1.44	13.91			1	0.644	0.009
Seeds weight $(x_4)$	1	0.125	0.502	0.412	3.26			1	25.00	0.081
No. Seeds (x ₅ )	1	6.51*	3.97	0.010	5.05			1	0.218	0.578
Residual	14	0.859	2.03	2.11	5.63	4			4.17	0.593
Total	19			•		9				
Indices (x)		Beni Souif		Min	ia				Assuit	
Source of variation	df	G80	G90	G80	G90		df		G80	G90
Regression	4	2.16	1.90	5.40	9.66	4			1.69	0.626
Harvest (x ₆ )	1	2.14	3.27	12.71*	13.91			1	3.52	0.433
Seed (x ₇ )	1	5.33*	4.05	0.131	19.38			1	0.009	0.004
Lint (x ₈ )	1	0.494	0.103	8.18	0.602			1	3.07	2.05
Lint percent. (x ₉ )	1	0.691	0.170	0.575	4.76			1	0.167	0.017
Residual	15	0.947	2.08	2.23	5.14	5			9.34	0.714
Total	19					9				
				Lint co	tton yield	<b>(y)</b>				
Boll components (x)		Beni Souif Minia			Assuit					
			~ ~ ~ ~		COO		df		G80	G90
Source of variation	df	G80	G90	G80	G90		ai			
Regression	<b>df</b> 5	4.09	4.60	6.01	7.59	5	ai		12.42	1.24
		4.09 2.14	4.60 1.91	6.01 27.38*	7.59 6.69	5		1	12.42 0.180	1.24 2.47
Regression	5	4.09	4.60	6.01 27.38* 2.20	7.59	5	<u>a</u>	1 1	12.42	1.24
Regression           Dry weight (x1)           Seed cotton (x2)           Lint cotton (x3)	5 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06	5	<u>aı</u>		12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125
Regression         Dry weight (x1)       Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)       Seeds weight (x4)	5 1 1 1 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80	5	<u>a</u>	1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090
RegressionDry weight (x1)Seed cotton (x2)Lint cotton (x3)Seeds weight (x4)No. Seeds (x5)	5 1 1 1 1 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85*	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30		<u>aı</u>	1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935
Regression Dry weight (x ₁ ) Seed cotton (x ₂ ) Lint cotton (x ₃ ) Seeds weight (x ₄ ) No. Seeds (x ₅ ) Residual	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 14	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80	4	ai	1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total	5 1 1 1 1 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93		ai	1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 19	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 Beni Souif	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93	4		1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 19 <b>df</b>	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 Beni Souif G80	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b>	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b>	4	df	1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b>
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation         Regression	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 19	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 Beni Souif G80 4.23	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b> 4.76	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80 5.87	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b> 10.14	4		1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80 3.00	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b> 1.18
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation         Regression         Harvest (x6)	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 19 <b>df</b> 4 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 Beni Souif G80 4.23 3.85	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b> 4.76 6.34	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80 5.87 16.78	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b> 10.14 13.90	4		1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80 3.00 6.14	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b> 1.18 0.244
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation         Regression         Harvest (x6)         Seed (x7)	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 <b>df</b>	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 Beni Souif G80 4.23 3.85 10.84*	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b> 4.76 6.34 11.93	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80 5.87 16.78 0.003	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b> 10.14 13.90 18.26	4		1 1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80 3.00 6.14 0.03	1.24 2.47 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b> 1.18 0.244 0.024
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation         Regression         Harvest (x6)         Seed (x7)         Lint (x8)	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 1 1 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 <b>Beni Souif</b> <b>G80</b> 4.23 3.85 10.84* 1.92	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b> 4.76 6.34 11.93 0.371	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80 5.87 16.78 0.003 5.57	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b> 10.14 13.90 18.26 0.101	4		1 1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80 3.00 6.14 0.03 5.22	1.24 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b> 1.18 0.244 0.024 4.41
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation         Regression         Harvest (x6)         Seed (x7)         Lint (x8)         Lint percent. (x9)	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 1 1 1 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 <b>Beni Souif</b> <b>G80</b> 4.23 3.85 10.84* 1.92 0.303	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b> 4.76 6.34 11.93 0.371 0.391	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80 5.87 16.78 0.003 5.57 1.11	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b> 10.14 13.90 18.26 0.101 8.28	4 9 4		1 1 1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80 3.00 6.14 0.03 5.22 0.62	1.24 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b> 1.18 0.244 0.024 4.41 0.020
Regression         Dry weight (x1)         Seed cotton (x2)         Lint cotton (x3)         Seeds weight (x4)         No. Seeds (x5)         Residual         Total         Indices (x)         Source of variation         Regression         Harvest (x6)         Seed (x7)         Lint (x8)	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 1 1 1	4.09 2.14 5.44 1.67 0.322 10.85* 1.43 <b>Beni Souif</b> <b>G80</b> 4.23 3.85 10.84* 1.92	4.60 1.91 4.83 9.34 0.819 6.12 3.31 <b>G90</b> 4.76 6.34 11.93 0.371	6.01 27.38* 2.20 0.146 0.415 0.001 3.63 Min G80 5.87 16.78 0.003 5.57	7.59 6.69 7.11 10.06 5.80 8.30 8.93 <b>ia</b> <b>G90</b> 10.14 13.90 18.26 0.101	4		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12.42 0.180 18.32 0.623 42.56 0.397 7.10 Assuit G80 3.00 6.14 0.03 5.22	1.24 2.60 0.125 0.090 0.935 1.04 <b>G90</b> 1.18 0.244 0.024 4.41

Table (6): Mean square of multiple regression of yield (y), boll components (x) and indices(x).

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

compared with the first factor (seed cotton per boll).

Lint percentage is a compound character since it depends on two primary factors, weight of lint and weight of seed. It is expected to vary considerably according to fluctuations of the two factors. In both locations lint percentage of G80 was greater than G90. This may explain the transcend of G80 over G90 with lint index.

## **3.2 Multiple regression**

The analysis of multiple regression revealed the effect of boll components (x) and indices (x) on both seed and lint cotton yield (y) in the three locations (Table 6).

In the first analysis, when considered the effect of boll components on seed cotton yield exhibited effects of both number of seeds per boll and dry weight per boll were significant with respect to G80 in Beni Souif and Minia, respectively.

In the second analysis, when considered the effect of indices on seed cotton yield revealed that the effects of seed index and harvest index were significant with respect to G80 in Beni Souif and Minia, respectively.

On the other hand, when considered the effect of boll components on lint cotton yield, exhibited effects of both number of seeds per boll and dry weight per boll were significant with respect to G80 in Beni Souif and Minia, respectively. Also, the effect of indices on lint cotton yield revealed that the effect of seed index was significant with respect to G80 in Beni Souif.

As an explanation of such results, the effects of dry weight per boll, number of seeds per boll and seed index were strong on seed and lint cotton yield for G80.

In this respect, Idris (2008) in his evaluation of some Egyptian cotton genotypes in the Delta, found that the effect of boll weight and then the additional effect of seed index on seed cotton yield exhibited that the effect of boll weight was significant with respect to G86. On the other hand, when considered the effect of boll weight and then the additional effect of lint percentage on lint cotton yield exhibited that effect of lint percentage was significant with respect to G89 x G86 in the Delta.

# 4. REFERENCES

- Abou-Tour H. B., Seyam S. M. and Abd El Rahman L. M. (1996). Analytical study on the economic characters of new and commercial Egyptian cotton. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 74 (3) : 781-791.
- Awad H.Y., Awaad M.M., Mohamed S.A., El Adly H.H., El Ameen T.M., Mohamed A.A. and Eissa A.E. (2004). Giza 90, a new, long staple Egyptian cotton variety for Middle and Upper Egypt. Egyptain Journal of Agricultural Research Center, 82 (3) 1349-1361.
- Bailey N. T. (1994). Statistical Methods in Biology. Third Edit. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Cochran W.G and Cox G. M. (1950). Experimental Designs. John Wiley and Sons, New York., U.S.A.
- Culp T. W. and Harrell D. C. (1975). Influence of lint percentage, boll size and seed size on lint yield of Upland cotton with high fiber strength. Crop Sci. 15; 741 - 746.
- Federer W. T. (1955). Experimental Design. Theory and Application. The MacMilan Company New York, U.S.A.

Fowler J., Cohen L. and Jarvis P. (1998). Practical

Statistics for Field Biology. Second Edit., John Wiley and Sons, New York, U.S.A.

- Gomez K. A. and Gomez A. A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York, U.S.A.
- Harrell D. C. and Culp T. W. (1976). Effect of yield components on lint yield of Upland cotton with high fiber strength. Crop Sci. 16 : 205 208.
- Hearn A. B. (1969). Growth and performance of cotton in a desert environment. Dry matter production. J. Agric. Sci. 73 : 75 86.
- Idris H.A. (2008). Evaluation of some Egyptian cotton genotypes in the first and the second picks by Latian square design with a combined analysis. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 59 (1): 13-23.
- Idris H.A. (2012). Comparison between some cultivars and varieties of Egyptian cotton using different analysis of latin square design. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 63 (1): 19 – 28.
- Idris H.A., El Tahan S.M. and Badr S.S. (2011). Evaluation of some Egyptian cotton genotypes in the Delta using both compressed and collected latin square design. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 62 (3): 303 – 315.
- Little T.M. and Hills F. J. (1978). Agricultural Experimentation Design and Analysis. John Wiley and Sons, New York., U.S.A.
- Mcpherson G. (2001). Applying and Interpreting Statistics A Comprehensive Guide. Second Edit., Springer Verlag, New York, Inc. U.S.A.
- Roger G. P. (1994). Agricultural Field Experiments Design and Analysis. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, U.S.A.
- Scholl R. L. and Miller P. A. (1976). Genetic association between yield and fiber strength in Upland cotton. Crop Sci., 16 : 780 -783.
- Sing P. and Narayanan S. S. (2000). Biometrical Techniques in Plant Breeding. Second Edit., Ludhiana, New Delhi, India.
- Snedecor G W. and Cochran W. G. (1967). Statistical Methods. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, U. S. A.
- Steel R. G. and Torrie J. H. (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Second Edit., McGraw. Hill. Book Co. New York, U.S.A.

تقييم صنفين من القطن المصري في الوجه القبلي

حاتم أحمد إدريس - سعيد مصطفى صيام

معهد بحوث القطن – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة – مصر

ملخص

تم تقييم محصول ى القطن الزهر والشعر و مكونات اللوزة (الوزن الجاف ، وزن القطن الزهر ، عدد البذور) ، المعاملات (معامل الحصاد للوزة ، معامل البذرة ، معامل الشعر ، معدل الحليج) ، الصفات التكنولوجية (الطول ، الميكرونير ، بريسلى) لصنفين من القطن المصري هما جيزة 80 ، جيزة 90 فى ثلاث مواقع بالوجه القبلي (بنى سويف ، المنيا ، أسيوط) فى موسمي 2010 ، 2011 ما عدا موقع أسيوط موسم 2010 بهدف تقييم القطن باستخدام أصغر وحدة من المربع اللاتيني. تم تقييم الصنفين فى خمس مربعات لاتيني (2 x 2) في كل موقع وتم تجميع الخمس مربعات معا لتكوين تصميم معام اللاتيني. موسمي 2010 ، 2011 ما عدا موقع أسيوط موسم 2010 بهدف تقييم القطن باستخدام أصغر وحدة من المربع

َّ أظهرت النتائج تفوق جيزة 90 معنويا بالنسبة لمحصول القطن الزهر في بنى سويف كما تفوق جيزة 80 فى معدل الحليج وجيزة 90 في معامل الحصاد في جميع المواقع ويرجع ذلك إلى صغر الوزن الجاف للوزة و من ناحية أخرى لوحظ أن الاختلافات بين الصنفين محدودة بالنسبة إلى عدد البذور باللوزة باستثناء موقع أسيوط.

وأشارت نتائج الصفات التكنولوجية إلى أن قيم طول الليفة وقراءة الميكرونير كانت أعلى للصنف جيزة 80. وأظهرت نتائج تحليل الانحدار المتعدد أن تأثير كل من الوزن الجاف للوزة ، عدد البذور باللوزة ومعامل البذرة كان قو يا بالنسبة للمحصول الزهر والشعر. تعتبر هذه الدراسة مهمة لبرامج التقييم من حيث هدفها وطريقة التحليل الإحصائي المستخدمة. **المجلة العلمية لكلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة – المجلد (64) العدد الثالث (يولية2013):252-268.** 

268