COMBINING ABILITY AND GENE ACTION FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN KENAF (HIBISCUS CANNABINUS L.)

ABO- KAIED, H. M. H.

Fiber Crops Section, Field Crops Res .Inst., ARC, Egypt

(Manuscript received 30 July 2006)

Abstract

This study was conducted with the objective of estimating combining ability and gene action for green stalk yield, fiber yield and their related characters in kenaf. This was achieved via evaluating six parents (P_1 =Giza3, P_2 =S.8, P_3 =S.103/4, P_4 =S.105/2, P_5 =S.108/9 and P_6 =S.116) and their 15 F_1 's progenies. In 2004 season, the six parents were crossed in a diallel mating design excluding reciprocals to obtain 15 F_1 crosses at Giza Res. Sta. of Agric. Res. Center. In 2005 season, the six parents and their 15 F_1 's progenies were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with three replications at Ismailia Agric. Res. Station Farm, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt.

The collected data indicated that the ratio of general to specific combining ability variance for plant height, technical stem length, fiber weight, fiber percentage, fiber length, seed weight, and fruiting zone length, indicated that the additive effects were more important than non-additive effects. Consequent, effects selection should be possible within these F2 and subsequent populations for these characters. On the other hand, for both of stem diameter and green weight per plant showed that the nonadditive effects were more important than additive effects. For green weight, P4 exhibited significant and positive GCA effects for green weight per plant and the most of its components as well as P₁ and P₃ for two important components (technical stem length and fiber length), indicating that the use of these parents in kenaf breeding programs could be increase green weight and consequent increasing fiber yield. For seed weight, the results indicated that P4 followed by P2 showed significant positive general combining ability values. The simple correlation between GCA Values and parental means for all traits were significantly positive with exception stem diameter. These results indicated that the parents showing higher mean performance proved to be the highest general combiners for these traits. The cross (P1xP4) exhibited significant and positive SCA effects for green weight per plant and the most of its components. This cross involved two parents of high x high GCA effects for both of technical stem length and fiber percentage. The cross (P3×P4) was exhibited the best second combiner for green weight and its three importance components (plant height, technical stem length and fiber weight). This cross (P3×P4) involved high x high general combiner parents for technical length and high x low for each of plant height, green weight and fiber weight per plant. For seed weight, one cross (P2xP4) only included high x high general combiner parents for all traits and three crosses (P2xP3, P2xP6 and P₃xP₅) included high x low general combiner parents. The correlation between cross means and their SCA values was significant and positive indicating that the crosses showing higher mean performance proved to be the highest specific combiners for

mentioned characters. Therefore, the choice of promising cross combination would be based on mean performance of the crosses.

The results of phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) correlation coefficients concluded that plant height, technical length, green weight, fiber percentage and fiber length are the major components contributing to fiber weight per plant in kenaf. Therefore, selection for these traits will improve the fiber yield in kenaf. Also, fruiting zone length as selection index to improve seed yield in kenaf.

Key words: Combining ability, Gene action, Correlation, kenaf.

INTRODUCTION

Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.) crop belongs to family Malvaceae. It is produced in many countries of the world as a successful substitute of jute. It proved to be adaptable to a wide variation of kenaf fiber enable to be applicable in early all applications where jute is mow used. Kenaf, a bast fiber is cultivated in Egypt to be used alone or mixed with jute fiber to manufacture bags, twine, ropes and other products. It would be expected as a raw material to be alternative to wood fiber in pulp and paper industry to avoid destruction of forestry. As well as, kenaf seeds contain similar oil which extracted from cotton seeds as edible for human, it is better than cotton seed oil because it is free from Gossypol which found in cotton seed oil. Kenaf in Egypt is cultivated now on small scale due to the great competition with the other summer crops in the ancient valley lands. The extension of the kenaf cultivation in reclaimed sandy soil has become a must. Therefore, in Egypt, the biggest challenge in breeding new cultivars has been to produce a cultivar that is adapted to the sandy soil conditions. So, any breeding program of kenaf breeding must be initiated and evaluated in sandy nursery, before releasing a kenaf cultivar for sandy reclaimed soil.

The success of any breeding program depends mainly on the selection of parents which, when crossed, will result in higher proportion of transgressive segregates. This necessitates the investigation of combining ability before initiating any varietal improvement program. Griffing (1956) presented a model to show that variance for general combining ability involves mostly additive gene effects. Specific combining ability, on the other hand, depends upon dominance and epistatic components of genetic variation. A knowledge of relative magnitude of additive and non-additive gene effects would be very useful in designing efficient breeding program. Such information in kenaf is very limited. Diallel analysis of fiber yield and its components in kenaf was studied by Adamson (1980) and Mourad *et al.*,(1989). Subramanam *et al.*,(1995) studied the heritability in eight kenaf hybrids. They found that,

fiber weight per plant and green plant weight showed high heritability, indicating that selection in early generations would be effective. Li Defag *et al.*, (2000) found that two hybrids (H305 and H306 in F₂) performed very high potential in fiber and stalk yields comparing with other hybrids and best new varieties in these trials. On the other hand, many investigators studied the differences between kenaf genotypes e.g., Osman and Momtaz,1982, Xiao *et al.*,1993, and Webber,1993.

Many correlation studies indicated that stem diameter, green stalk weight, fiber length and plant height were the major components contributing to fiber weight in kenaf (Mourad *et al.*,1987, El-Shimy *et al.*,1990, Subramanam *et al.*,1995 and Mostafa, 2003).

The present investigation aims are 1) estimating the combining ability of six kenaf parents and their crosses as well as the type of gene action for fiber yield and yield components, 2) selecting suitable parents and the superior crosses which can be used in kenaf breeding program and 3) estimating the phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between yield and its related characters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used for the present study consisted of six kenaf genotypes i.e. one local cultivar (P_1 =Giza3) and five advanced strains (P_2 =S.8, P_3 =S.103/4, P_4 =S.105/2, P_5 =S.108/9 and P_6 =S.116). Genotype characteristics of the material used according to their pedigree, origin, generation and year released are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Identification of six kenaf	genotypes	used,	pedigree,	origin.	generation	and
year released.				3,	ganaradon	unu

Genotypes	Pedigree	Origin	Generation	Year released
1- Giza 3	Selected from farmer fields	Local cultivar	Landraces	1961
2- S. 8	Selected from H.106 (G.5 x 77/68-1)	Advanced strain	F ₇	1993
3- S.103/4	Giza 4 x S.77/68-1	" " " " " "	F ₉	1995
4- S.105/2	Giza 5 x S.87/68-1	" " " " " "	F ₉	1994
5- \$.108/9	Giza 3 x S.127/130	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	F ₉	1996
6- S.116	S.4/59 x S.29/1451	" " " " " "	F9	1998

^{*} Year released, selected or introduced.

In 2004 season, the six parents were crossed in a diallel mating design excluding reciprocals to obtain 15 F_1 crosses at Giza Res. Sta. of Agric. Res. Center. In 2005 season, the parents and their 15 F_1 's seeds were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with three replications at the breeding nursery of Ismailia Agric.

Res. Station Farm. The soil texture was sandy (coarse sand 64.13%, fine sand 33.24%, silt 2.21%, clay 1.31%, organic matter of 0.045 %, available nitrogen 6.53 ppm and pH value of 7.22.). Seed of each entry (parents with F₁ crosses) were sown in single rows, 5 m long, 50 cm apart. Seeds were planted in hills 25 cm within row and planting date was at the second week of May.2005. Thinning to two plants / hill was taken place four weeks after sowing. The recommended cultural practices for kenaf were applied under sprinkler irrigation system (The number of sprinklers per faddan were 35 and the discharge water for each sprinkler was 1.3 cubic meter per hour. One irrigation every two days was applied. Thus, the amount of water added during season for the experiment was 2730 cubic meters per feddan). Five random quarded plants from each plot were screened for the following traits:

(1) green weight (g) / plant, as weight in grams of kenaf stalk plant after 48 hours from harvesting, (2) plant height (cm), measured as the distance from the two cotyledonary nodes up to uppermost capsule, (3) technical stem length in cm, measured as the distance from the two cotyledonary nodes to the first apical branch, (4) fruiting zone length in cm, measured as the distance from the first apical branch to uppermost capsule, (5) stem diameter in mm, at the middle part of technical length, (6) fiber weight (g) / plant, as the weight in grams of the air-dried fibers extracted from retted green stalk of kenaf plant (7) fiber length (cm), (8) fiber percentage = (fiber weight/plant ÷ green weight/plant) x 100, and (9) seed weight (g)/plant.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Plot means were used for statistical analysis. Combining abilities, general (GCA) and specific (SCA) were calculated according to Griffing's method 2, model 1 (fixed effects). Phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) correlation coefficients were calculated according to the formula suggested by Al-Jibouri *et al.*, (1958).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variances:

Mean squares due to 21 kenaf genotypes (6 parents and 15 crosses), were significant for green weight / plant and its related characters viz., plant height, technical stem length, and stem diameter, fruiting zone length as well as fiber weight, fiber percentage, fiber length and seed weight / plant (Table2). This indicated that those parents and F_1 's crosses showed reasonable degree of variability for these characters. Such variability among different kenaf genotypes in green weight and its components was also reported by Osman and Momtaz, (1982), Xiao $et\ al.$, (1993) and Webber (1993). Mean squares of parents vs. crosses as an indication to average heterosis over all hybrids was significant, revealing that heterotic effect was

pronounced for these characters, while parents νs . crosses for stem diameter was non-significant. Mean squares due to general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability variances for all characters studied were significant, indicating the presence of both additive and non-additive type of genetic variances. The ratio of general to specific combining ability variance for plant height, technical stem length, fiber weight, fiber percentage, fiber length and seed weight / plant indicated that the additive effects were more important than non-additive effects. Therefore, selection should be possible within these F_2 and subsequent populations for these characters. On the other hand, the ratio of general to specific combining ability for both of stem diameter and green weight / plant showed that the non-additive effects were more important than additive effects. These results are in harmony with that reported by Mourad et al.,(1989) who found that the additive type gene action of relatively greater importance for fiber yield/plant, technical stem length, stem diameter and fruiting zone length.

GCA effects:

The estimates of general combining ability effects (\hat{g}_i) are presented in Table (3). P_4 (S.105/2) showed highly significant positive \hat{g}_i for green weight / plant and its components with exception stem diameter. P_3 (S. 103/4) exhibited significant positive \hat{g}_i for fiber percentage, suggesting the importance of these two parents (P_1 and P_3) for increasing technical stem length and fiber length. In general, P_4 (S.105/2) exhibited significant positive GCA effects for green weight and most its components as well as P_1 (Giza 3) and P_3 (S.103/4) for two important components (technical stem length and fiber length), indicating that the use of these parents in kenaf breeding programs could increase green weight and consequent increasing fiber yield. Concerning, seed weight / plant results indicated that the P_4 (S.105/2) followed by P_2 (S.8) showed significant positive \hat{g}_i values. Therefore, it could be concluded that these two parents appeared to be best combiners for seed weight.

The simple correlation coefficient between GCA values and parental means for all traits were significantly positive with exception stem diameter. These results indicated that the parents showing higher mean performance (Table 5) proved to be the highest general combiners for these traits. Therefore, selection of parental population for initiating any proposed breeding program could be practiced either on their respective mean performance or on the basis of \hat{g}_i effects. Such agreement might add another proof to the preponderance of additive genetic variance in these cases.

SCA effects:

Specific combining ability (SCA) effects for 15 F_1 's crosses of green weight per plant and its components are present in Table (4). Out of the 15 F_1 crosses, only one

cross ($P_1 \times P_4$) showed highly significant positive SCA effects for all characters expect for stem diameter, fruiting zone length and seed weight / plant. $P_3 \times P_4$ also, showed high SCA effects in the desirable direction for each of plant height, technical stem length, green weight and fiber weight / plant. $P_5 \times P_6$ showed highly significant positive SCA effects for all characters expect for stem diameter, and seed weight / plant. $P_1 \times P_3$ showed highly significant positive SCA effects for fiber percentage. Three crosses ($P_1 \times P_5$, $P_3 \times P_5$ and $P_4 \times P_5$) exhibited significant positive SCA effects for both of green weight per plant and fiber percentage. $P_1 \times P_6$ for fiber weight / plant, fiber percentage and stem diameter, $P_2 \times P_3$ for green weight and fiber weight, $P_2 \times P_4$ for plant height, green weight and fiber weight and $P_2 \times P_6$ for green weight per plant only.

In general, the cross ($P_1 \times P_4$) exhibited significant and positive SCA effects for green weight and the most of its components. This cross ($P_1 \times P_4$) involved two parents of high x high GCA effects for both of technical stem length and fiber percentage. The cross ($P_3 \times P_4$) was exhibited the best second combiner for green weight and its three importance components (plant height, technical stem length and fiber weight). This cross ($P_3 \times P_4$) involved high x high general combiner parents for technical length and high x low for each of plant height, green weight and fiber weight / plant. Three crosses ($P_1 \times P_3$, $P_1 \times P_6$ and $P_5 \times P_6$) involved low x low for fiber percentage.

From the breeding point of view as suggested by Bhatade and Bhale (1983) for crosses exhibiting significant SCA effects which resulted from high \times high good GCA combiners, the breeding procedure which may mop up both additive and non-additive genetic variance would be more useful for improvement of character(s). The available additive genetic variance should be exploited by adopting mass selection in early generations and some form of *inter-se* mating may be followed among elite selections in later generations, which may help in fixing non-additive effects. Therefore, the cross P_1xP_4 is likely to throw good segregates for these traits if the allelic genetic systems are present in good combination and epistatic effects present in the crosses act in the same direction as to maximize the desirable characteristics.

The correlation between cross means (Table 5) and their SCA values (Table 4) was significant and positive indicating that the crosses showing higher mean performance (Table 5) proved to be the highest specific combiners for mentioned characters. Therefore, the choice of promising cross combination would be based on SCA effects or mean performance of the crosses.

Correlation studies:

Phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) correlation coefficients among nine traits of 21 kenaf genotypes (6 parents and 15 F_1 's crosses) are shown in Table (6), these results indicated that fiber weight / plant was highly significantly positive correlated

with each of plant height, technical stem length, green weight, fiber percentage and fiber length / plant. Concerning, green weight / plant was significantly positive correlated with each of plant height, fiber weight and fruiting zone length. Regarding, seed weight / plant was highly positive correlated with fruiting zone length, but it was only significant correlated with both of plant height and stem diameter. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Mourad *et al.*,1987, El-Shimy *et al.*,1990, Subramanam *et al.*,1995 and Mostafa, 2003.

In general, it can be concluded that plant height, technical stem length, green weight, fiber percentage and fiber length / plant are the major components contributing to fiber weight / plant in kenaf. Therefore, selection for these traits will improve the fiber yield in kenaf.

Table 2. Mean Squares for 21 kenaf genotypes (6 parents and 15 F₁'s crosses), general (GCA) and specific SCA) combining ability for green weight / plant and its components.

					S.O.V.					CCA/CCA
Characters	Reps. (2)#	Entries (20)	Error (40)	Crosses (c) (14)	Parents (P) (5)	P.1/5.5 (1)	GCA (5)	SCA (15)	Error (40)	ratio
Plant height (cm)	788.81**	1881,91**	107.61	1706,82**	1389.86**	6793.48**	1138.98**	456.75**	35.87	2.49
Technical stem length (cm)	558.06*	905.04**	123,90	918.79**	542.63**	2524.52**	643.32**	187.80**	41.30	3.43
Stem diameter (mm)	8,06**	3.14**	0.91	3,11**	3.83 Ns	0.97 Ns	0.92*	1.11**	0.30	0.83
Green weight/plant (g)	1479.46Ns	24418.01**	1414.98	16864.91**	6711.15**	218695,71**	6121.32**	8812,01**	471.66	0.70
Fiber weight / plant (g)	17.95 Ns	209.12**	7.14	151,45**	83.24**	1645,90**	89.39**	63.15**	2.38	1.42
Fiber percentage (%)	0.04 Ns	2.30**	0.24	2,49**	1.69**	2.58**	1.47**	0.53**	80.0	2.77
Fiber length (cm)	259.84 Ns	965.34**	181.35	1036.28**	583.91*	1879.30**	635.44**	217.23**	60.45	2.93
Seed weight / plant (g)	sN 60.0	3.23**	0.09	3.02**	4.25**	1.11**	2.12**	0.73**	0.03	2.904
Fruiting zone length (cm)	27.00 Ns	1037.20**	69.33	1104.79**,	848.30**	1035.27**	718.61**	221.44**	23.11	3.25

#: The degrees of freedom are indicated in parentheses. Ns, *, **: Non-significant, significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 3. Estimation of general combining ability effects (ĝ.) for green weight / plant and its components of six kenaf parents.

			Parents	ants			1	L.S.D (gi-gi)
Characters	P1=Giza3	P2=5.8	P3=S.103/4	P4=S.105/2	P5=S.108/9	P6=S.116		0.01
Plant height (cm)	p-q ** 18.7-	3.12 b	2.59 bc	21.20 ** a	-8.50 ** cd	-10.61 ** d	0.91 **	8.10
Technical stem length (cm)	4.40 * bc	-10.03** ab	6.19 ** bc	12.04 ** a	-3.16 с	-9,43 ** a	0.93 **	8.69
Stem diameter (mm)	0.23 a	0.13 ab	-0.37 * bc	0.26 a	-0.94 ** c	0.24 a	0.75 *	0.74
Green weight/plant (g)	-18.32 * cd	5.84 b	-30.44 ** d	49.74 ** a	-7.45 bc	0.64 b	* 08.0	29.36
Fiber weight / plant (g)	-0.66 b	-1.15 * bc	-1.16 * bc	6.71 ** a	-2.47 ** c	-1.27 * bc	0.87 **	2.09
Fiber percentage (%)	0.17 b	-0.31 ** cd	0.32 ** ab	0,59 ** a	-0.53 ** d	-0.25 ** c	0.71 *	0.38
Fiber length (cm)	5,48 * a	-8,36 ** bc	7.50 ** a	9,62 ** a	-2.25 b	-11.98** c	0.91 **	10.51
Seed weight / plant (g)	-0.14 * cd	0.38 ** b	0.00 c	0.78 ** a	-0.42 ** de	-0.61 ** e	0.82 *	0.25
Fruiting zone length (cm)	-12.21 ** e	13.16 ** a	-3.59 * cd	9.17 ** a	-5.35 ** de	-1.18 c	0.94 **	6.50

*, **: Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

r : Simple correlation coefficients between GCA values and means of parents.

The values identified by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Table 4. Estimation of specific combining ability (§_{ij}) effects for green weight / plant and its components of 15 F₁'s crosses in kenaf.

Fruiting zone length (cm)	0.420 e -4.797 ef -4.397 ef	6.490 de	-7.126 f	27.807 ** ab	-12,272** f	11.657 ** d	15.990 **b-d 29.778 ** a	-8.693 * f	-13.989 ** f	-9.260 * f	11.861 ** cd	17.195 0.811 **
Seed weight/plant (g)	-0.560** fg -0.279 ef -0.325 ef	0.228 cd	0.654 ** bc	1.422 ** a	-0.832** gh	1.388 ** a	-1.281 ** h 0.122 de	-0.145 d-f	-0.491** f	-0.677** fg	0.155 de	0,653 0,790 **
Fiber length (cm)	4.843 b-d 8.287 a-d 27.434 **a	-11.194 de	-3.079 c-e	-10.665 de	9.073 a-d	-1.935 c-e	14.912 *a-c 8.850 a-d	-1.492 c-d	4.798 b-d	-18.91** e	24.894 **a-c	27.812 0.831 **
Fiber percentage (%)	-0.196 e-h 1.310 ** a 0.672 * a-d	-0.420 f-h	-0.214 e-h	0.022 d-f	-0.410 f-h	-0.763 **h	-0.026 e-g 0.235 c-f	-0.701** gh	0.301 c-e	0.418 b-d	0.873 **ab	1.003 0,847 **
Fiber weight / plant(g)	0.970 f-h -2.721 hi 8.897 ** a-c	3.033 * e-g	8.201 ** a-d	4.326 ** d-f	-5.915**	-0.947 gh	3.940 ** ef	-0.712 gh	9.018 ** ab	-1.263 h	11.258 ** a	5.517 0.839 **
Green weight/plant (g)	23.708 f -93.801** g 63.007 ** b-f	81.543 ** a-e	122.144** a	26.868 ** b-f	-58.629** g	45.970 * c-f	59.810 ** D-f 41.496 * d-f			-42.381 * g	103.922 **a-c	77.685 0.901 **
Stem diameter (mm)	-0.004 c-f -1.074 * fg -0.136 c-f	1.615 ** ab	0.958 a-d	-0.054 c-f	-1.720 ** g	-0.554 e-g	-0.403 d-g 0.715 a-e	-0.386 d-g	J-q 980.0	-0.709 e-g	1.169 a-c	1.966 0.918 **
stem length	6.062 b-d 9.176 a-d 24.429 ** a	-11.007 d -6.070 b-d	-3.063 b-d	-12.864 ** d	9.920 a-d	-5.309 b-d	12.204 * a-c 9.801 a-d	-4.528 b-d	2.487 b-d		21,055 **a-b	22.989 0.810 **
Plant height (cm)	6.485 de 4.381 d-f 20.038** b-d					6.348 de		-13.223 * g	-11.500 * fg	-1.502 eg	32.909 ** ab	21.424 0.807 **
Crosses	P ₁ xP ₂ \$ P ₁ xP ₃ P ₁ xP ₄											.SD(s _{(j} -s _{(i}) 0.01 r

^{\$:}Number refer to parent codes, Table 1.
Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
r : Simple correlation coefficients between SCA values and means of crosses.
The values identified by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Table 5. Mean performances of 21 kenaf genotypes (6 parents and 15 F₁'s crosses) for green weight / plant and its components.

Fruiting zone length (cm)		42.57 c	79.40 a	43.57 c					64.70 cd	42.73 h	55.90 ef	52.27 fg	44.93 h	65.77 cd	113.47 a	58.87 de	86.97 b	84.90 b	84.17 b	49.87 f-h	53.17 f	62.07 cd	68.67 c	63.33
Seed weight / plant (g)		3.33 b	2.54 d	3.28 c	5.05 a	2.39 d	1.55 e		2.50 d-f	2.40 d-f	3.13 c	2.49 d-f	1.43 i	3.85 b	5.40 a	1.95 h	3.97 b	2.32 e-g	2.52 d-f	2.06 gh	2.69 d	2.31 fg	1.94 h	2.81
Fiber length (cm)		172.91 b	158.80 c	175.90 ab	185.09 a	151.92 c	151.40 c		176.60 d-f	195.90 be	217.17 a	166.67 f	164.13 f-h	170.70 f	165.23 f-h	173.10 ef	152.37 h	206.67 ab	188.73 cd	168.67 f	186.80 d-f	153.37 gh	185.30 c-e	174.64
Fiber percentage (%)		6.59 b	7.51 a	7.68 a	7.83 a	6.00 c	6.52 b		7.01 hi	9.14 a	8.77 a	6.56 j	8.08 cd	7.14 gh	7.65 d-f	6.10 k	6.02 k	8.23 bc	7.37 f-h	6.71 ij	7.71 c-f	8.10 b-d	7.44 e-h	7.34
Fiber weight / plant (g)		25.76 c	29.23 b	25.63 c	35.24 a	19.23 d	25.47 c		34.00 e	30.30 f	49.79 a	34.74 e	38.25 d	40.74 cd	44.73 b	25.31 g	31.48 f	45.46 b	35.15 e	31.70 f	48.10 a	39.02 d	42.36 c	34.84
Green weight/ plant (g)		390.71 b	390.20 b	333.47 c	450.10 a	320.22 c	397.71 b		484.78 d	331.00 f	567.98 b	529.33 c	473.83 d	571.10 b	286.00 b	413.32 e	526.00 c	552.67 b	477.17 d	472.53 d	624.40 a	481.55 d	570.67 b	473.56
Stem diameter (mm)		11.30 b	12.83 a	11.24 b	13.01 a	9.96 c	11.77 b		12.23 c-e	10.67 fg	12.23 c-e	13.23 ab	14.03 a	12.60 b-d	12.22 c-e	9.80	11.70 e	11.37 ef	11.73 e	11.37 ef	11.73 ef	11.67 e	12.80 bc	11.88
Technical stem length (cm)		177.74 b	162,80 c	180.82 ab	187,30 a	157.79 c	154.92 c		180.67 c-f	200.00 c	221.10 a	170.47 fg	169.13 g	173.33 fg	169.38 g	176.97 fg	155.47 h	210.67 b	193.07 cd	172.47 fg	191.60 cd	190.60 c-e	188.70 de	180.24
Plant height (cm)		220.30 c	242.20 b	224.38 c	260.89 a	199.50 d	215.63 c		245.37 d	242.73 d	277.00 b	222.73 f	214.07 f	239.10 d	282.83 b	235.83 e	242.43 d	295.57 a	277.24 b	222.33 f	244.77 d	252.66 c	257.37 c	243.57
Genotypes	Parents	P1= Giza3	P2 = 5.8	P3 = S.103/4	P4 = 5.105/2	P5 = S.108/9	P6= S.116	Crosses	P ₁ xP ₂	P ₁ xP ₃	P ₁ xP ₄	P ₁ xP ₅	P ₁ xP ₆	$P_2 \times P_3$	P ₂ xP ₄	P ₂ xP ₅	P ₂ xP ₆	P ₃ xP ₄	P ₃ xP ₅	P ₃ xP ₆	P ₄ xP ₅	P ₄ xP ₆	P _S XP ₆	Means

The values identified by the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Table 6. Phenotypic (r_p) and genotypic (r_g) correlation coefficients among nine characters for 21 Kenaf genotypes (6 parents and 15 F_1 's crosses).

Characters		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1-Plant height (cm)	rp								1
	r_g								
2-Technical stem length(cm)	rp	0.679**							
	r_g	0.512							
3-Stem diameter(mm)	rp	0.135	-0.042						
	r_g	0.333	0.214						
4-Green weight/plant(g)	rp	0.545**	0.298	0.467*					
	rg	0.674	0.467	0.454					
5-Fiber weight/plant(g)	rp	0.698**	0.620	0.483*	0.880**				
	rg	0.557	0.711	-0.112	0.537				
6-Fiber percentage (%)	r_p	0.516**	0.755**	0.269	0.123	0.569**			
	rg	0.437	0.663	-0.138	0.434	0.654			
7-Fiber length (cm)	rp	0.642**	0.915**	-0.006	0.299	0.567**	0.652**		
	rg	0.703	0.873	0.432	0.328	0.543	0.553		
8-Seed weight/plant (g)	rp	0.414*	-0.007	0.137	0.271	0.251	0.086	0.054	
	rg	0.456	0.221	0.333	0.532	-0.254	0.347	0.227	
9-Fruiting zone length (cm)	rp	0.705**	-0.041	0.222	0.454*	0.351	-0.027	-0.010	0.570*
	rg	0.558	-0.211	0.258	0.472	0.223	0.321	0.256	0.617

 $^{^{\}star},\,^{\star\star}:$ Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Adamson, W. C. 1980. Diallel analysis of leaf and stem characters in kenaf. (C.F. Pl. Breed. Abst. 52: 4103-4103.
- Al-Jibouri, H. A., P. A Miller and H. F. Robinson. 1958. Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agron. J. 50: 633-636.
- Bhatade, S. S. and N.L.Bhale. 1983. Combining ability for seed and fiber characters and its interaction with locations in *Gossypium arboreum* Linn.Indian J.Agric . Sci. 53: 418-22 .
- El-Shimy, G. H., S. M. Gaafar and A. M. Hella. 1990. Morphological and anatomical studies in some kenaf cultivars. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 5(7): 585-600.
- Griffing, B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Aust. J. biol. Sci. 9: 436-93.
- Li Defang, Chen Auguo and Goun Youcia. 2000. Studies on super hybrid kenaf. Proceeding of The 2000 international kenaf symposium, Yasura, Hiroshima, Jaban,181-185.
- Mostafa, S. H. A. 2003. Effect of number of plants per hill on yield and yield components in some kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) genotypes. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 81: 609-619.
- Mourad, N. K. M., A.M.A.Hella and E.A.F. El-Kady. 1989. Heterosis and combining ability studies for some quantitative characters in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.).
 J.Agric. Res.Tanta Univ., 15: 383-398.
- Mourad, N. K. M., A. I. Sahsah. and G. H. El-Shimy. 1987. Studies on correlation and path coefficients analysis of components on fiber and seed yield in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*). Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 12: 89-104.
- Osman, R. and A. Momtaz. 1982. A comparative study of oil content, fatty acid composition and agronomic characters of five kenaf cultivars Agric Res. Rev. 60. 127-139.
- Subramanyam, D., P. V. Rama Kumar, B. Krishnamurthy and S. Ismia. (1995). Heritability and correlation studies in kenaf. Indian j. Genet., 55:279-282.
- Webber, C. L. 1993. Yield components of five kenaf cultivars. Agron. J. 85: 533-535.
- Xiao, A. P., X.L. Tian and J. Leng. 1993. Analysis of kenaf seed composition.
 Bast fiber Res. Inst. CAAS, Yuanjiang, China's Fiber Crops 15: 16-17.

القدرة على الائتلاف والفعل الجينى للمحصول ومكوناته في التيل

حسين مصطفي حسين أبوقايد

مركز البحوث الزراعية - معهد المحاصيل الحقلية -قسم بحوث محاصيل الألياف - الجيزة

أجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف تقدير القدرة على الائتلاف والفعل الجيني لمحصول الساق الأخضر و الألياف ومحصول البذرة ومكواتهم في التيل من خلال تقييم ١٥ هجين ناتجة من التهجين الأخضر و الألياف ومحصول البذرة ومكواتهم في التيل من خلال تقييم ١٥ هجين ناتجة من التهجين الدائرة على ١٥ هجين الممكنة بين الستة تراكيب وراثية مصن التهجين الدائري وذلك بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالجيزة. وفي موسم ٢٠٠٥ تم تقييم الــــ آباء ١٥٠ هجين في الجيل الأول في محطة البحوث الزراعية بالإسماعيلية في تجربة قطاعات كاملة العشوائية ذات الثلاثة مكررات.

وتشير النتائج إلى أن تأثير العوامل الوراثية المضيفة أكبر من غير المضيفة في توريــث صفات الطول الكلى ، الطول الفعال ، وزن الألياف /نبات ، النسبة المئوية للألياف ، طول الألياف ، وزن البذور للنبات وطول المنطقة الثمرية. لذلك يمكن إجراء الانتخاب بكفاءة لتلك الصفات بدايةً من الجيل الثاني والأجيال التالية ، بينما العوامل الوراثية غير المضيفة كانت أكثر أهمية في توريث صفتي قطر الساق ووزن الساق الأخضر/نبات ، كما تشير النتائج إلى أن الأب س ١٠٥/ ٢ اظهــر قدرة عالية على الائتلاف لصفات وزن الساق الأخضر /نبات ومعظم مكوناته بالإضافة إلى الأبويين جيزة ٣ ، س ٤/١٠٣ أظهرا قدرة عالية على الائتلاف لأهم مكونين (الطـول الكلـي ، وطـول الألياف) . لذلك يمكن استخدام هذه الآباء لتحسين وزن الساق الأخضر /نبات ومن ثم زيادة محصول الألياف ، كما تشير النتائج إلى أن الأب س ٢/١٠٥ يليه الأب س٨ أظهرا قدرة عالية على الائتلاف لمحصول البذور/ نبات. كما أن الارتباط الموجب المعنوي الذي ظهر بين القدرة العامية علي الائتلاف وسلوك الآباء لكل الصفات ماعدا قطر الساق مما يدل على إمكانية اختيار الآباء في برنامج التربية لهذه الصفات معتمداً على متوسطاتها العالية ، كما تشير النتائج إلى أن هجين واحد فقط (جيزة ٣ X س ٢/١٠٥) أظهر تفوق في القدرة الخاصة على الائتلاف لصفات وزن الساق الأخضر /نبات ومعظم مكوناته وأن هذا الهجين ناتج من آباء متفوقة في القدرة العامة على الائتلاف (عالمي X عالي) لصفتي الطول الفعال والنسبة المئوية للألياف ، بينما الهجيين (س X ٤/١٠٣ س ٥٠١٢) أظهر قدرة خاصة على الائتلاف لأهم ثلاث مكونات (الطول الكلي ، الطول الفعال ، ووزن الألياف/ نبات) وأن هذا الهجين ناتج من آباء متفوقة في القدرة العامة على الائتلاف (عالى X عالى) لصفة الطول الفعال و(عالمي X منخفض) لصفات طول النيات ووزن الساق الأخضر /نبات ووزن الألياف/ نبات ، كما تشير النتائج إلى أن هجين واحد فقط (سX س × ٢/١٠٥) ناتج من آباء متفوقـــة فــــي القدرة العامة على الائتلاف (عالى X عالى) لصفة محصول البذور/نبات. بينما ثلاث هجن (س٨ X س ٤/١٠٣ ، س X X س ١١٦ ، س ٢ ٤/١٠٣ س X ٤/١٠٣) كانت آبائها (عالى X منخفض) لثلك الصفة ، كما تشير النتائج إلى معنوية الارتباط الموجب بين القدرة الخاصة على الائتلاف للهجن ومتوسطاتها مما يدل على أن الهجن العالية في صفاتها المحصولية أظهرت قدرة خاصة على الائتلاف عالية ، لذلك يمكن اختيار الهجن على أساس متوسطاتها العالية.

كما تشير النتائج الخاصة بالارتباط الظاهري والوراثي بين الصفات إلى أن الطول الكلي ، والطول الغليل ، ووزن الساق الأخضر /ببات ، والنسبة المئوية للألياف ، وطول الألياف أظهرت ارتباط موجب ومعنوي مع محصول الألياف/ببات لذلك يمكن الانتخاب لتلك الصفات لتحسين محصول الألياف في التيل. أيضا الارتباط كان موجب ومعنوي بين محصول البذور وطول المنطقة الشرية لذلك يمكن استخدام هذه الصفة كذليل انتخابي لتحسين محصول البذور.