# RELEASE STRATEGY OF Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens) USED AGAINST APHIDS IN AN IPM PERSPECTIVE

(Received: 31.8.2006)

By

### S. A. El Arnaouty, H. M. A. Badawy, A. M. El Gantiry<sup>\*</sup> and N.M. Gaber<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Economic Entomology and Pesticides Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt. \* Plant Protection Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza.

#### ABSTRACT

The residual effect of the chosen pesticides; bancol 50% WP, confidor 20% SL, aphox 50% DG, trebon 30% EC, vertimec 1.8% EC and topas (100) 10% EC on the second larval instar of Chrysoperla carnea and also on their developing stages were evaluated under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. The direct toxic effect of the tested pesticides on the second larval instar and the cumulative effect on the developed stages were compatible with time elapsed and successive stages. The same trend of toxicity and biological affects of the tested pesticides to the second larval instar of Ch. carnea and their developed stages in laboratory was also found in greenhouse. The toxicity results of pesticide residues against the second larval instar at initial time in greenhouse can be classified into three categories. The first category includes confidor and trebon which caused 30.0% mortality. The second category includes the insecticide aphox and the acaricide vertimec with 23.3% mortality. The third category includes bancol and the fungicide topas (100), which showed low toxicity to the second larval instar with 16.7 and 13.3% mortality. The results of total mortality for different stages at initial time of application indicated that aphox was the most toxic one, while topas (100) was the least toxic. The total percent mortality was mostly higher in the laboratory tests than those in greenhouse trials except in case of aphox and confidor. The residual lethal toxicity of chemical pesticides persisted for three days after application in greenhouse trials and four days in case of laboratory tests. Results show that the treated second larval instar with chosen pesticides induced adverse effects on the female's fecundity and egg hatching. Data indicated that the adverse effect on the fecundity of Ch. carnea females using the tested pesticides was shorter in greenhouse trials than those in laboratory tests. All pesticide treatments are affected the survival of Ch. carnea hatching. The percent hatchability increased while the percent sterility decreased with time elapsed in all treatments of pesticides. Percent hatchability was increased from the range of 77-82% at initial time to 90-93% after four days of pesticides application. There were slightly differences in percent hatchability of eggs between pesticide treatments and control after three and four days post application. The residual effect of chosen pesticides; bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100) on the mortality of the different stages and female's fecundity of Ch. carnea indicating that four days waiting period is required after application of these pesticides to prevent any effect on the release stage  $(2^{nd})$  larval instar) and their developed stages.

*Key words*: Chrysoperla carnea, cumulative effect, release timing, safety period, toxicity of pesticides residue .

#### **1-INTRODUCTION**

*Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera :Chrysopidae) appears to be a good candidate for use in (IPM) programs, where it is a voracious feeder (Balasubramani and Swamiappan, 1994), has a relatively broad range of acceptable preys (Hydron and WhiteComb, 1979), relative ease of mass production (Morrison, 1985), and tolerance to

some groups of pesticides (Hassan *et al.*, 1985, Bigler and Waldburger 1994, Chen and Liu 2002). Classical biological control (*i.e.* the introduction of a predator or parasite for the control of a particular pest species) and inundation control (*i.e.* repeated mass release of a control agent) programs impose high requirements on the selectivity of chemical control agents and also knowledge about the residual effect of the candidate chemicals on biological control agents to be combined within them.

Within most crop ecosystems, exist some pest insects that have no effective biological controls (Castane *et al.*, 1996). The use of pesticides to manage these pests frequently devastates natural enemy complexes and in turn encourages pest resurgence or secondary pest flares (Grundy *et al.*, 2000). The toxic effects of insecticide application occur through a continuum of events from direct exposure during application to contact with dry deposited residues (Croft 1990). However, it is often the residual effects of insecticides that cause mortality and physiological disorders to populations of biological enemies for considerable length of time (Bellows and Morse 1993, Bellows *et al.*, 1993).

Integrated pest management (IPM) was developed as a consequence of the incompatibility of pesticides with biological control. IPM practice on different vegetable crop production in greenhouse recommends the preservation of beneficial insects for control of various insect pests. Emphasis on IPM is especially important in early season of vegetable crops, when biological enemies are capable of maintaining some pests (Elzen below economic thresholds 2001). Chemical insecticides sprays become necessary however, as the growing season progresses and numbers of pest insect's increase and plant fruiting structures become more susceptible to attack (Frisbie et al., 1989). Information on the toxicities of various pesticides used on vegetable crops in the greenhouse to the Ch. carnea is therefore important in selection of compounds that will minimize mortality of this predator.

Badawy *et al.*, (2006) suggested that the bancol 50% WP, confidor 20% SL, aphox 50% DG, trebon 30% EC, vertimec 1.8% EC and topas (100) 10% EC are the less harmless pesticides for *Ch. carnea* and may be suitable for integration with *Ch. carnea* in IPM program. These pesticides were registered in Egypt for the use against leaf miners, thrips, aphids, whiteflies, red spider mites and powdery mildew disease on vegetable crops, respectively. The purpose of this study was to determine the residual toxicity and safety periods of these pesticides to the release stage (second larval instar) of *Ch. carnea* and their developed stages in the laboratory and greenhouse.

# 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

# 2.1. Used pesticides

The tested pesticides belong to three categories; insecticides, acaricides and fungicides.

They were selected on the basis of their commercially use in the control of different insects and diseases on vegetable crops and also due to their low toxicity to Ch. carnea as described by Badawy et al., (2006). The chosen pesticides in this study were bancol 50% WP, confidor 20% SL, aphox 50% DG, trebon 30% EC, vertimec 1.8% EC and topas (100) 10% EC belong to the following groups; nereistoxin analogue, neonicotinoid, carbamate, non ester-pyrethroid, bio-pesticide and triazole, respectively. All tested pesticides were used at their recommended rate of application.

### 2.2. Rearing of Ch. carnea

Adults of Ch. carnea were placed in boxes (16 cm x 24 cm x 11 cm) and covered with a black crepe sheet for oviposition. The droplets of semi artificial diet (4g yeast hydrolyzed, 8g honey and 4 ml distilled water) were provided for adults on wax paper. The boxes were changed three times weekly and the eggs were collected daily. The eggs of Ch. carnea were placed in Plexiglas boxes (60 cm long x 40 cm large). Ephestia kuehniella eggs were used as food source for larval rearing and dry sawdust was used as a substrate to avoid cannibalism. After pupation, the cocoons were placed immediately together for emergence. The adults were collected daily and 50 couples were placed in boxes. Ch. carnea was reared in controlled rooms at  $25 \pm 2$  °C and 65± 5% of relative humidity. Aphids were reared on cucumber seedlings under standard conditions according to the method of El-Gantiry et al., (1999), while E. kuehniella was reared according to El-Arnaouty (2001).

The population of *Ch. carnea* that is rearing since ten years in "Chrysopa mass rearing unit" Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University was used as laboratory susceptible strain to candidate pesticides in this study.

# 2.3. Evaluation of pesticide residues in laboratory

Evaluation method of Weichel and Nauen (2003) was used to study the residual effect of tested pesticides on the second larval instar of Ch. carnea and its developmental stages in the laboratory and greenhouse. Pepper seeds (Capiscum annuam, cv. Mazurka) were grown individually on peat moss sphagnum in plastic pots (10 x10 x10 cm). After one month, seedlings were transported into bigger plastic pots (30 x 30 x 30 cm) and kept in the laboratory at  $27 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C and  $65 \pm$ 5% of R.H. for another month. All tested pesticides were used as foliar sprays. Each pesticide was applied on ten pepper seedlings using a one liter hand sprayer. The recommended rates were sprayed and then pepper seedlings were left under laboratory conditions till complete dryness. Another ten pepper seedlings were sprayed with water and used as a check treatment. Five larvae of the second instar per each seedling were exposed to pesticide deposit on pepper leaves individually in clip leaf cage for 24 hours. Eggs of E. kuehniella were provided as food for the larvae in the inner wall of each clip leaf cage. Fifty larvae of Ch. carnea were tested for each pesticide. After exposure, the larvae were transferred to clean vials and reared individually on E. kuehniella eggs. Treated and untreated larvae were observed daily to determine the mortality percentage until it reached the adult stage. The same method was done after one, two, three and four days of application to study the residual effect of the tested pesticides on the mortality of the second larval instar and their developed stages in laboratory. Mortality counts were recorded in each stage and corrected by Abbott's formula (1925).

About ten pairs from each treatment were reared individually in small boxes (7cm in diameter and 6 cm height) and covered with black fabric as egg laying support. The droplets of semiartificial diet (4g yeast hydrolyzed, 8g honey and 4 ml distilled water) on wax paper and moist cotton wool were provided for the adults. The laid eggs were collected daily and fecundity was estimated as the total number of eggs per each female. Deterrent index was calculated according to Lundgren (1975) *i.e.* Deterrent index = (B-A) 100/ (A+B), where A and B are the number of eggs laid in the treatment and control, respectively.

# 2.4. Evaluation of pesticide residues in the greenhouse

The same previously technique in laboratory study was also used to evaluate the residual effect of the tested pesticides in the greenhouse at  $27 \pm$ 5°C, 65± 5% of R.H. and a photoperiod of 15:9 (light : dark) in Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. With one exception cucumber seedlings were used instead of pepper seedlings. Larvae resulting from exposure to pesticide deposit on cucumber leaves were maintained reared to reach the adult stage to determine the different biological aspects. The percentage of sterility was calculated according to the equation of Tappozada *et al.*, (1966).

% Sterility =100-{(a x b)/(A x B)}X 100

Where, a = Number of eggs laid/female in treatment. b = % of hatchability in treatment. A = Number of eggs laid/female in control. B = % of hatchability in control.

There was another trial in the greenhouse using the same method as described previously to study the residual effect of the tested pesticides on the second larval instar when fed on aphids instead of eggs of *E. kuehniella* at the third and fourth day of application only.

The data were statistically analyzed using proc ANOVA in SAS. Mean replicates were conducted using Dunce Multiple Range Test in SAS (SAS 1985).

# 3. RESULTS

# 3.1. Residual effect of chosen pesticides on the second larval instar (release stage) of *Ch. carnea* and its developed stages in the laboratory.

The present study aimed to evaluate the residual effect of the chosen pesticides, which gave low efficacy against laboratory strain and field populations of *Ch. carnea* in our previous work (Badawy *et al.*, 2006), in order to determine the release time of the second larval instar after application of these pesticides. The candidate pesticides were used at the recommended rates of application for spraying the pepper seedlings. Data show the direct effect of the tested pesticides on the second larval instar of *Ch. carnea* at initial, 1, 2, 3 and 4 days of spraying and also their biological effects on the developing stages of the treated second larval instar in the laboratory.

The toxicity results are set out in Tables (1-6). There is a relationship between mortality percentage and time elapsed for all pesticides. All candidate pesticides affected the survival of the second larval instar of *Ch. carnea* at the initial time. They can be classified into two groups according to their toxicity. Confidor, aphox, trebon and vertimec demonstrated the highest mortality percentages to the  $2^{nd}$  larval instar of *Ch. carnea* with percent mortality ranged between 26.7 and 33.3%. The second group, bancol and the fungicide topas (100) gave the lowest mortality percentage (20 and 16.7%). The percentage mortality of the second larval instar at the initial time decreased to 0.0 -3.3% in the fourth day of application.

The previous data of our working group (Badawy *et al.*, 2006) indicate that bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100) were the safest available pesticides for the predator *Ch. carnea* at initial time, which caused percent mortalities to the second larval instar of laboratory culture reached 40, 36, 32, 30, 30 and 16%, respectively, using dry film method in small plastic cups. The corresponding values after exposure to treated pepper leaves were 20.0, 26.7, 33.3, 30.0,

26.6 and 16.7%, respectively. These results indicated that there were slightly differences in the toxicity of tested pesticides at the recommended rates by using two different evaluation methods with the exception of bancol and confidor.

The cumulative effect of candidate pesticides on mortality of developed stages produced from the treated second larval instar of Ch. carnea was evaluated. The third larval instar of Ch. carnea was more affected than pupae and adults. The percent mortality of the third larval instar ranged between 6.7 and 13.3% at the initial time and then gradually decreased to reach 0.0% in the fourth day of application. No cumulative effect for topas (100) and vertimec residues on the third larval instar was found in the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  days post application. Slightly cumulative effect on Ch. carnea pupae was observed at the initial and first day with 3.3% mortality except in the case of vertimec, which gave 6.6% at the initial time of application. Also, residues of chosen pesticides caused low mortality against the developed adults in the first two days of application. However topas (100) 10%EC did not produce any effect on the adults developed from the treated  $2^{nd}$  larval instar through experimental period. Although the cumulative mortality in the developed stages at initial time was low but the total mortality was high and reached sometimes 50% in the case of aphox and trebon, due to increase in the mortality of treated second larval instar. The total percent mortality at initial time was 46.3, 40.0, 36.6 and 30% using vertimec, confidor, bancol and topas (100), respectively, then gradually decreased with time elapse and reached 3.3% in the fourth day post application for all the tested pesticides except trebon (0.0%).

Treated second larval instar with the recommended rates of application for chosen pesticides induced adverse effect on the fecundity of Ch. carnea females. Data in Tables (1-6) showed that in treatments of confidor, trebon, vertimec and topas (100) the deposited eggs significantly decreased until the third day post application. On the other hand, the significant decrease reached the second day post application using bancol and aphox treatments. At the initial time, trebon highly reduced the number of eggs per female from 700 in control to 412 with deterrent index 25.9%. Confidor, aphox, vertimec and topas (100) had intermediate activity and reduced egg number per female from 620, 800, 750 and 760 in control treatments to 393, 514, 477 and 500, respectively. The deterrent indices values of these treatments were 22.41, 21.77, 22.25 and 20.64%, respectively. Bancol treatment was least effective

in reducing the numbers of egg-laying with deterrent index 6.78% only. The deterrent indices decreased gradually with time elapse and reached 0.0, 0.84, 0.07, 1.45, 1.56 and 1.45% at the fourth day post application using bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100), respectively. The residual effect of chosen pesticides; bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100) on the mortality of the different stages and female's fecundity of *Ch. carnea* indicated that four days waiting period is required after the application of these pesticides to prevent any effect on the release stage ( $2^{nd}$  larval instar) and their developed stages.

# 3.2. Residual effect of chosen pesticides on the second larval instar (release stage) of *Ch. carnea* and its developed stages in the greenhouse.

The same tests that were conducted on pepper seedlings in the laboratory to study the residual effect of chosen pesticides on the second larval instar and their developed stages were also applied in greenhouse trials on cucumber seedlings. Also, the second larval instar (release stage) was fed on the eggs of *Ephestia kuheniella*. Although the cucumber seedlings were used in the greenhouse instead of pepper seedlings that were used in laboratory tests but the same toxicity trend of the tested pesticides to the second larval instar of *Ch. carnea* and their developed stages in laboratory was also found in the greenhouse.

The direct toxic effect of the tested pesticides on the second larval instar and the cumulative effect on the developed stages was compatible with time elapsed and successive stages. The toxicity results of pesticide residues against the second larval instar and their further stages of Ch. carnea are set out in Tables (7-12). They can be classified into three categories according to their toxicity against the second larval instar at initial time. The first category includes confidor and trebon which caused 30.0% mortality. The second category includes the insecticide aphox and the acaricide vertimec with 23.3% mortality. The third category includes bancol and the fungicide topas (100) which showed low toxicity to the second larval instar at initial time with 16.7 and 13.3% mortality. The percentage mortality of the second larval instar was gradually decreased from 16.7, 30.0, 23.3, 30.0, 23.3 and 13.3% at the initial time using bancol, confider, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100), respectively, to 3.3% in the treatments of bancol, aphox, trebon and topas (100) and to 6.7% by confidor and vertimec at the third day post

fecundit	y of Ch. carnea (Ste					2 iarva	ı ınstar ar	nd further 3 <sup>rd</sup> l	arval	instar, pu	ipae, aduns	
Days	Mortality (%) of	pitens) in t	inc labo	atory	•		Cum	ulative effect				
post application	the 2nd larval		Mortality (%)						Fecundity			
	instar	-1									-	
		3 <sup>rd</sup> larval i	instar Pu	ipae	adu	ilts	Total	Mean No.	of eggs		Deterrer	it index (
								Treatment		Control		
Initial	20.0	10.0		33		3.3	36.6	742 <sup>b</sup>		850 <sup>a</sup>		6.78
1-day	10.0	6.6		3.3		3.3	23.2	774 <sup>b</sup>		875 <sup>a</sup>		6.13
2 days	6.6	3.3		0.0		0.0	9.9	835 <sup>b</sup>		865 <sup>a</sup>		1.77
3 days	6.6	3.3		0.0		0.0	9.9	842 <sup>a</sup>		855 <sup>a</sup>		0.77
4 days	3.3	0.0		0.0		0.0	3.3	865 ª		865 <sup>a</sup>		0.00
	al effect of confidor						val instar	and further 3 <sup>rd</sup>	larva	l instar, p	upae, adult	s
Days	Mortality (%) o		ens) m t	ne lab	orator	у.	Cumu	lative effect				
post application	the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	1			Mortal	lity (%)	Cunit			Fecundi	tay.	
post apprication	instar				wioitai	III y (70)				recului	Ly.	
		3 <sup>rr</sup>	<sup>d</sup> larval	Puj	pae	adults	Total	Mean No.	of egg	gs/female*	Deterren	nt index
		i	instar					Treatme	nt	Contro	1 (%	5)
<b>X</b> 1	267		67		2.2	2.2	40.0	202 h		<20 å		
Initial	26.7		6.7		3.3	3.3	40.0	393 <sup>b</sup> 417 <sup>b</sup>		620 <sup>a</sup>		2.41
1-day	16.7		6.7		3.3	0.0	26.7	417° 492 b		630 <sup>a</sup> 650 <sup>a</sup>		0.34
2 days	10.0 6.7		6.7 3.3		0.0	0.0	16.7 10.0	492 °		650 ª		35
3 days 4 days	3.3		0.0		0.0	0.0	3.3	540 a		620 a		.35 .84
	idual effect of apho	v 50% DC				f the 2 <sup>nd</sup>			3rd le			.04
	ults and fecundity of								5 10	n vai msu	n, pupac,	
Days	Mortality (%		eu (biep	mens)	in the	laborato		lative effect				
post application					Mortal	lity (%)			F	ecundity		
1	instar											
		3 <sup>rd</sup> 1	larval ins	star puj	pae	adults	Total	Mean No. of			Deterrent in	ndex
								Treatment	C	ontrol	(%)	
Initial	33.3		10.0	_	3.3	3.3	49.9	514 <sup>b</sup>		300 <sup>a</sup>	21.77	,
1-day	23.3		6.7		3.3	3.3	36.6	523 b		300 <sup>a</sup>	20.94	
2 days	16.7		6.7		0.0	0.0	23.4	568 <sup>b</sup>		750 <sup>a</sup>	13.81	
3 days	6.7		3.3		0.0	0.0	10.0	745 <sup>a</sup>		750 <sup>a</sup>	0.33	
4 days	3.3		0.0		0.0	0.0	3.3	749 <sup>a</sup>		750 <sup>a</sup>	0.07	
Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (%	of Ch. carn		ohens)	in the	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato	larval ins ry.	tar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> la	rval insta		
Days post	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of Ch. carn		ohens)	in the	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup>	larval ins ry.	tar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> la			
Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of <i>Ch. carn</i> b) of al	ea (Step	ohens)	<b>in the</b> Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%)	larval ins ry. Cumu	tar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> la	<b>urval insta</b>	ar, pupae,	
Days post	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of <i>Ch. carn</i> b) of val	<i>ea</i> (Step	ohens)	<b>in the</b> Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato	larval ins ry.	tar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> la Feggs/f	ecundity	a <b>r, pupae,</b> Deterrent in	ndex
Days post	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of <i>Ch. carn</i> b) of val	ea (Step	ohens)	<b>in the</b> Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%)	larval ins ry. Cumu	tar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> la Feggs/f	<b>urval insta</b>	ar, pupae,	ndex
Days post	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{f \ Ch. \ carn} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	<i>ea</i> (Step	ohens)	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%)	larval ins ry. Cumu	tar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> la Fe eggs/f	ecundity	a <b>r, pupae,</b> Deterrent in	
Days post application	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar	$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{f \ Ch. \ carn} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ $	<i>ea</i> (Step <sup>d</sup> larval instar	pupae	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults	larval inst ry. Cumu Total	tar and further llative effect Mean No. of Treatment	3 <sup>rd</sup> la	ecundity emale*	<b>ar, pupae,</b> Deterrent in (%)	)
Days post application Initial	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0	f Ch. carn b) of val 3 <sup>rr</sup> i	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3	pupae 3.3	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults 3.3	Total	lative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup>	Field States	ecundity emale* ontrol	Deterrent in (%)	)
Days post application Initial 1-day	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0	f Ch. carn b) of val 3 <sup>rr</sup> i	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0	in the Mortal	adults         3.3         3.3         0.0<	Iarval instruction           ry.           Cumu           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7	lative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup>	Field Colors	ecundity emale* ontrol 700 <sup>a</sup> 720 <sup>a</sup> 590 <sup>a</sup>	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67	)
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0	ff Ch. carn b) of /al 3 <sup>n</sup> i	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato         lity (%)           adults         3.3           0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0	Iarval instruction           Cumu           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0	A rand further and further and further Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 560 b 616 b 680 a	Feeggs/ff	ecundity emale* ontrol $700^{a}$ $720^{a}$ $590^{a}$ $700^{a}$	Deterrent ii (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45	)
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0	ff Ch. carn b) of /al 3 <sup>n</sup> i	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato         lity (%)           adults         3.3           0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0	Iarval instruction           Cumu           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0	A rand further and further and further Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 560 b 616 b 680 a	Feeggs/ff	ecundity emale* ontrol $700^{a}$ $720^{a}$ $590^{a}$ $700^{a}$	Deterrent ii (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45	)
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days <b>Table (5): Res</b>	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0	of Ch. carn           a) of           yal           3 <sup>rn</sup> i           immec 1.8%	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b>	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato         lity (%)           adults         3.3           0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0           %) of th         th	Total 49.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 2 and larrian	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y.	Feeggs/ff	ecundity emale* ontrol $700^{a}$ $720^{a}$ $590^{a}$ $700^{a}$	Deterrent ii (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45	)
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vector pae, adults and fector Mortality (%	of         Carn           a)         of           al	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b>	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 %) of th ) in the	Total 49.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 2 and larrian	A rand further and further and further Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and	3 <sup>rd</sup> la Fe eggs/f	ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 700 a	Deterrent ii (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45	)
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect Mortality (% on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of         Carn           a)         of           al	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b>	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato         lity (%)           adults         3.3           0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0           %) of th         th	Total 49.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 2 and larrian	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y.	3 <sup>rd</sup> la Fe eggs/f	ecundity emale* ontrol $700^{a}$ $720^{a}$ $590^{a}$ $700^{a}$	Deterrent ii (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45	)
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vector pae, adults and fector Mortality (%	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           a           a           a           a	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0	Mortal Mortal a a a b b b b b c b c b c b c b c b c b	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (%) of th ) in the lity (%)	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 e 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv Cumu	tar and further lative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. lative effect	Grand Land Strength Land Stren	ecundity ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 720 a 590 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> lary ecundity	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 'al instar,	
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect Mortality (% on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           a           a           a           a	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0	in the Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 %) of th ) in the	Total 49.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 2 and larrian	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. alative effect Mean No. of	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F( C) C) furthe F( eggs/f	ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 720 a 7	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>val instar</b> , Deterrent in	
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect Mortality (% on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           a           a           a           a	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0	Mortal Mortal a a a b b b b b c b c b c b c b c b c b	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (%) of th ) in the lity (%)	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 total available Cumu Total Total Total	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F( C) C) furthe F( eggs/f	ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>/al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%)	) i ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect Mortality (% on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           a           a           a           a	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 mort <i>ea</i> (Ste	Mortal Mortal a a a b b b b b c b c b c b c b c b c b	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato lity (%) adults 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 (%) of th ) in the lity (%)	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 e 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv Cumu	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F( C) C) furthe F( eggs/f	ecundity ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>val instar</b> , Deterrent in	) i ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days <b>Table (5): Res</b> pu Days post application	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           a           a           a           a	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6	pupae 3.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Mortal A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laty (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           itty (%)           adults	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 total available Cumu Total Total Total	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. Ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F( C) C) furthe F( eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 700 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>/al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%)	) i ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days <b>Table (5): Res</b> <b>pu</b> Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           30.0           23.3           16.7           10.0           o.0           tidual effect of vector           adults and fect           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           instar           23.3           16.7           10.0           0.0           tidual effect of vector           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           26.7           13.3           10.0	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           b           a           a           a	ea (Step d'larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>b EC on</b> h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3	pupae 3.3.3 0.0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	Mortal Mortal Mortal Mortal Mortal Mortal Mortal 000 Mortal 000 Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           (%) of th           ) in the           lity (%)           adults           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           0.0	Instance           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lart           laborator           Cumu           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 660 b 680 a val instar and y. alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 b 496 b 702 b	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F( C) C) furthe F( eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 700 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>/al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31	) i ) ndex i i
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           30.0           23.3           16.7           10.0           0.0           idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           20.1           23.3           16.7           10.0           0.0           idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect instar           26.7           13.3           10.0           6.6	of Ch. carn           a) of           a           b           a           a           b           a           a           a	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 EC on h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0	pupae 3.3.3 3.3.3 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.000 0.0.00000 0.0.000000	Mortal Mortal () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           in the           lity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           adults           3.3           3.3           0.0           0.0	Instance           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           me           2nd larr           Laborator           Cum           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3           6.6	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. Ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F( C) C) furthe F( eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 700 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>/al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3	imec 1.8%	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>b EC on</b> <i>h. carno</i> 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0	pupae 3.3.3 3.3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 mort ea (Stee	Mortal Mortal A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           itty (%)           adults           itty (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           itty (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0	Total Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 re 2 <sup>nd</sup> lar laborator Cumu Total 46.3 26.5 13.3 6.5 3.3	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> lz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C F f urthe eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 7 <b>al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79 1.56	ndex
Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days Table (6): Resi	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas	ff Ch. carn           of           (ral)           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Cl           (100) 10%	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3	pupae 3.3.3 3.3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 pupue a mortal	Mortal Mortal ality ( phens 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           state           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lar laborator Cumu Total 46.3 26.5 13.3 46.3 26.5 13.3 3.3 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> lz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C F f urthe eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 7 <b>al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79 1.56	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Resi ad	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of	ff Ch. carn           of           (ral)           3"           imec 1.8%           mdity of Cl           (a)           (b)           (	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3	pupae 3.3.3 3.3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 pupue a mortal	Mortal Mortal ality ( phens 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           state           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 16.7 10.0 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 560 b 616 b 680 a val instar and y. alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 b 496 b 702 b 662 b 727 a instar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> lz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C F f urthe eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 7 <b>al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79 1.56	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res put Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Res ad Case 1 days 2 days 3 days 4 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 3 days 4 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 3 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas ults and fecundity (%	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3	pupae 3.3.3 3.3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 pupue a mortal	Mortal Mortal Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0	Instance           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lard           laborator           Cumu           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3           6.6           3.3           2 <sup>nd</sup> larval           ry.           Cumu	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> lz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C F f urthe eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 700 a 750 a 700 a 750 a 700 a 750 a 700 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>/al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79 1.56 <b>star, pupae</b>	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Resi ad	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu- instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3	pupae 3.3.3 3.3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 pupue a mortal	Mortal Mortal Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           state           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0	Instance           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lard           laborator           Cumu           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3           6.6           3.3           2 <sup>nd</sup> larval           ry.           Cumu	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 560 b 616 b 680 a val instar and y. alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 b 496 b 702 b 662 b 727 a instar and further	3 <sup>rd</sup> lz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C F f urthe eggs/f	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 <b>/al instar,</b> Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79 1.56 <b>star, pupae</b>	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res put Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Res ad Case 1 days 2 days 3 days 4 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 3 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas ults and fecundity (%	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3	pupae a. 3.3 a. 3.3 a. 3.3 a. 3.3 a. 0.0 b. 0.0 a. mort a. (Ste mortal mortal bhens)	Mortal Mortal Mortal	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           itty (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           itty (%)           adults           3.3           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           1aborato	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lar laborator Cumt Total 46.3 26.5 13.3 26.5 13.3 2 <sup>nd</sup> lar laborator Cumt Cu	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup> instar and further nulative effect	3 <sup>rd</sup> la F eggs/f C C C C C C C C F furthe eggs/f f urthe furthe furthe furthe her 3 <sup>r</sup>	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 7 <b>al instar</b> , Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.00 3.31 2.79 1.56 <b>star, pupae</b>	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res put Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Res ad Case 1 days 2 days 3 days 4 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 2 days 2 days 3 days 2 days 3 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu- instar 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> ea (Step	pupae           3.3.3           3.3.3           0.00	Mortal Mortal Mortal G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           itty (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           itty (%)           adults           3.3           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           1aborato	Total Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lar laborator Cumt Total 46.3 26.5 13.5 15	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup> instar and further mulative effect	3 <sup>rd</sup> Iz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C C C C C C	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 7 <b>al instar</b> , Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.00 3.31 2.79 1.56 <b>star, pupae</b>	ndex
Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res put Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Resi ad Days post application Days gays ad Days ad Days ad Days ad Days ad Days ad Days ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar 30.0 23.3 16.7 10.0 0.0 idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecu- instar 26.7 13.3 26.7 13.3 10.0 6.6 3.3 dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv instar	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d'larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> ea (Step 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv, instar	pupae a.3.3.3 a.3.3	Mortal Mortal Mortal Annual An	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato         lity (%)         adults         3.3         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         (%) of the         3.3         3.3         0.0         adult	Total 49.9 39.9 26.7 16.7 0.0 26.7 16.7 0.0 26.7 16.7 0.0 26.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 1	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. Ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup> instar and further nulative effect Mean No.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Iz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C C C C C C	rval inst ecundity emale* portrol 700 a 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 700 a 750 a	Deterrent in (%) 25.90 23.35 12.50 5.67 1.45 7al instar, Deterrent in (%) 22.25 17.06 3.31 2.79 1.56 star, pupae	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res put Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Resi ad Days post application Days adus 1 days 1 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           30.0           23.3           16.7           0.0           idual effect of vert pae, adults and fecundity (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           26.7           13.3           0.0           idual effect of topas ults and fecundity of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           26.7           13.3           0.0           6.6           3.3           dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           16.7	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 <b>EC on</b> h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> arval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 <b>EC on</b> b 2.3 1.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	pupae a.3.3.3 a.3.5 a.5.5	Mortal Mortal Mortal General Content Mortal General Content Mortal Mortal Mortal Mortal Mortal Second Secon	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           of the           laborato           ality (%)           alutt	Instance           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           again	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 <sup>b</sup> 435 <sup>b</sup> 560 <sup>b</sup> 616 <sup>b</sup> 680 <sup>a</sup> val instar and y. ilative effect 477 <sup>b</sup> 496 <sup>b</sup> 702 <sup>b</sup> 662 <sup>b</sup> 727 <sup>a</sup> instar and further mulative effect Mean No. of Treatment 0 500 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Iz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C C C C C C	rval inst ecundity emale* pontrol 700 a 700 a 700 a r 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 7	ar, pupae,         ar, pupae,         Deterrent in (%)         25.90         23.35         12.50         5.67         1.45         yal instar,         Deterrent in (%)         22.25         17.06         3.31         2.79         1.56         star, pupae         ty	) i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 3 days Table (6): Resi ad Days post application Days post application Initial I-day Initial I-day	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           30.0           23.3           16.7           0.0           0.0           idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           26.7           13.3           10.0           6.6           3.3           dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           0.0           6.6           3.3           dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           16.7           12.7	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 EC on h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 EC on ea (Step 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar	pupae a.3.3.3 a.3.5 a.5.5	Mortal Mortal Anticology Anticol	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato         laborato         lity (%)         adults         3.3         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         adults         3.3         3.3         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         adults         laborato         ality (%)         adult         3         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         adult         3         0.0         0.0         0.0         adult         3         0.0         0.0         0.0          0.0          0.0          1.0     <	Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           e           2nd lar           laborator           Cumu           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           10.0           e           2nd lar           laborator           Cumu           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3           6.6           3.3           constant laborator           cumu           s           cumu           s           cumu           s           cumu           s           cumu           cumu	tar and further ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 560 b 616 b 680 a val instar and y. Ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 b 662 b 702 b 662 b 727 a instar and further nulative effect Mean No. of Treatment 496 b 702 c 662 b 727 a instar and further 0 500 b 5 549 b	3 <sup>rd</sup> Iz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C C C C C C	rval inst ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 720 a 73 rd larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 700 a 750 a 700 a 750 a 760 a 760 a 760 a	ar, pupae,           Deterrent in (%)           25.90           23.35           12.50           5.67           1.45           yal instar,           Deterrent in (%)           22.25           17.06           3.31           2.79           1.56           star, pupae           ty           Deterrent (%)           22.25           17.06           3.31           2.79           1.56           star, pupae           ty           Deterrent (%)           20           10	ndex
Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (6): Resi ad Days post application Days post application Initial 1-day 2 days 3 days 4 days	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           30.0           23.3           16.7           10.0           0.0           idual effect of vert           ac, adults and fect           mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           0.0           idual effect of vert           26.7           13.3           10.0           6.6           3.3           ults and fecundity (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           0.0           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           10.0           6.6           3.3           ults and fecundity (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           16.7           16.7           12.7           10.0	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 b. carne 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 EC on EC on ea (Step 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	pupae a.3.3.3 a.3.5 a.5.5	in the           Mortal           Mortal           i           i           i           i           i           i           i           i           i           i           i           i           iii           iii           paae           6.6           3.3           0.0	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           lity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           adults           adult           adult           adult           adult           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0	Instance           ry.           Cumt           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           e 2 <sup>nd</sup> lar           laborator           Cumt           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3           6.6           3.3           grd larval           ry.           Cumt           s           Total           9           3.3           9 <sup>rd</sup> larval           ry.           Cumt	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 560 b 616 b 680 a val instar and y. Ilative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 b 496 b 702 b 662 b 727 a instar and further nulative effect Mean No. 560 b 5549 b 55549 b 0585 b	3 <sup>rd</sup> Iz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C C C C C C	rval inst ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 220 a 700 a 220 a 700 a 700 a rr 3 <sup>rd</sup> larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 750 a 760 a 760 a 760 a 760 a	ar, pupae,         ar, pupae,         Deterrent in         (%)         25.90         23.35         12.50         5.67         1.45         val instar,         Deterrent in         (%)         22.25         17.06         3.31         2.79         1.56         star, pupae         ty         Deterrent (%)         22         (%)         22         1.56         star, pupae         ty         20         10         12	) i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 4 days Table (5): Res pu Days post application Initial I-day 2 days 3 days 3 days Table (6): Resi ad Days post application Days post application Initial I-day Initial I-day	ults and fecundity of Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           30.0           23.3           16.7           0.0           0.0           idual effect of vert pae, adults and fect on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           26.7           13.3           10.0           6.6           3.3           dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           0.0           6.6           3.3           dual effect of topas ults and fecundity of on           Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvinstar           16.7           12.7	f Ch. carn           of           al           3 <sup>n</sup> imec 1.8%           mdity of Ci           of           of           (100) 10%           of Ch. carn           of Ch. carn	ea (Step d larval instar 13.3 10.0 10.0 6.7 0.0 EC on h. carno 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar 9.7 6.6 3.3 0.0 0.0 EC on ea (Step 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar	pupae a.3.3.3 a.3.5 a.5.5	Mortal Mortal Anticology Anticol	f the 2 <sup>nd</sup> laborato           laborato           lity (%)           adults           3.3           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           adults           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           3.3           0.0           adults           adult           adult           adult           adult           adult           adult           adult           adult           adult	Instance           Total           49.9           39.9           26.7           16.7           0.0           add arr           Total           46.3           26.5           13.3           6.6           3.3           2 <sup>rd</sup> larval           ry.           Cum           s           Total           0           30.0           0           20.2.0           0           20.0	tar and further alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 412 b 435 b 660 b 660 a val instar and y. alative effect Mean No. of Treatment 477 b 496 b 702 b 662 b 702 c 100	3 <sup>rd</sup> Iz F eggs/f C C C C C C C C C C C C C	rval inst ecundity emale* ontrol 700 a 720 a 73 rd larv ecundity emale* Control 750 a 700 a 750 a 700 a 750 a 760 a 760 a 760 a	ar, pupae,         ar, pupae,         Deterrent in         (%)         25.90         23.35         12.50         5.67         1.45         /al instar,         Deterrent in         (%)         22.25         17.06         3.31         2.79         1.56         star, pupae         ty         20         12         20         12         20         12         21         22         13         24         14         15         16         17         16         17         12         12         12         13          14	ndex

application. In the fourth day of post application there was no residual effect on the second larval instar in all pesticide treatments. These results confirmed that the persistence of the tested pesticides were shorter in the greenhouse than those in the laboratory test. In general, the toxicity of the chosen pesticides against the second larval instar at initial time in the greenhouse was slightly lower than those in the laboratory test.

Also, the cumulative effect of the chosen pesticides on mortality of developed stages produced from the treated second larval instar of Ch. carnea was evaluated in the greenhouse trials. The percentage mortalities of the third larval instar ranged between 6.6 and 13.3% at the initial time and then gradually decreased to reach 3.3% in the second day post application with all tested pesticides except topas 100 (0.0). The chosen pesticides caused 3.3% mortality only in the pupa stage at the initial and first day post application except aphoxic, which was the most potent pesticide and gave 10.0, 6.7 and 3.3% mortality at  $0, 1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  day post application, respectively. Bancol, confidor, trebon and topas (100) had no cumulative effect on the adults emergence developed from the treated second larval instar. In contrary, aphox and vertimec were effective against adult's emergence and caused 6.7% and 3.3% mortality at the initial time.

The results of total mortality for different stages at initial time of application indicated that aphox was the most toxic one, while topas (100) was the least toxic. The tested pesticides were arranged according to the total mortality percentage at initial time in the following descending order; aphox (53.3%), confidor (46.6%), trebon (39.9%), vertimec (39.9%), bancol (33.3%) and topas (23.3%). The corresponding values in laboratory experiments were 49.9, 40.0, 49.9, 46.3, 36.6 and 30.0%, respectively. Accordingly, the total percentage mortality was mostly higher in the laboratory tests than those in the greenhouse trials except in the case of aphox and confidor. It was gradually decreased with time elapsed and reached 3.3% in bancol, aphox, trebon and topas (100) treatments and 6.7% using confidor and vertimec at the third day of application. The chosen pesticides had no residual effect on the different stages of Ch. carnea at the fourth day post application. The residual lethal toxicity of the tested pesticides persisted for three days after application in greenhouse trials and four days in the case of laboratory tests.

The results presented in Tables (7-12) show that the treated second larval instar with the chosen pesticides induced adverse effects on the female's fecundity and egg hatching. At the initial time, the deposited eggs per female were drastically decreased from 563.8 for control treatment to 321.2, 245.6 and 320.0 in bancol, confidor and aphox treatments with deterrent indices 27.4, 39.3 and 27.6%, respectively. The same trend was also found using trebon, vertimec and topas (100), which the deposited eggs decreased from 560.7 for control to 265.0, 258.5 and 318.8 with deterrent indices 35.8, 36.9 and 27.5%, respectively. The deposited eggs were significantly decreased up to the second day post application for all tested pesticides with deterrent indices 3.0, 9.6, 15.0, 8.9, 3.9 and 21.4% for the treatments of bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100), respectively. All the tested pesticides had no adverse effect on the fecundity of Ch. carnea females in the third and fourth day post application. Data indicated that the adverse effect on the fecundity of Ch. carnea females using the tested pesticides was shorter in greenhouse trials than those in laboratory tests.

The cumulative effect of the tested pesticides on eggs hatching of Ch. carnea is presented in Tables (7-12). All pesticide treatments are affected the survival of Ch. carnea hatching. The positive relationship between the percentage hatchability and time elapsed was found for all tested pesticides. The candidate pesticides were arranged according to sterility percentage at initial time in the following descending order; confidor (64.6%), vertimec (60.3%), trebon (60.2%), aphox (53.0%), bancol (52.0%) and topas (51.4%). The percentage hatchability increased while the percentage sterility decreased with time elapsed in all treatments of pesticides. Percentage hatchability was increased from the range of 77-82% at initial time to 90-93% after four days of pesticides application. There were slightly differences in the percentage hatchability of eggs between pesticide treatments and control after three and four days of application. The percentage sterility decreased from the range of 51.4-64.6% in pesticide treatments at initial time to 2.2-7.8% after four days post application. Based on the percentage sterility at the fourth day post application the tested pesticides can be arrange in the following descending order; aphox (7.8%), vertemic (4.3%), topas (3.9%), bancol (3.2%), confidor (2.2%) and trebon (2.2%).

Although in the greenhouse trials the pesticide deposit was on cucumber seedlings instead of pepper seedlings in laboratory tests but the same toxicity trend of the tested pesticides to the second larval instar of *Ch. carnea* and their developed stages in laboratory tests was also found in the greenhouse trials. The toxicity data of the tested pesticides in the greenhouse confirmed the previously results of the laboratory tests, which indicated that four days waiting period is required after application of the chosen pesticides to prevent any effect on the  $2^{nd}$  larval instar (release stage) and their developed stages.

The same trials that were conducted on cucumber seedling in the greenhouse to study the residual effect of chosen pesticides on the second larval instar and their developed stages were also applied with feeding the second larval instar with aphids (Aphis gossypii) instead of Ephestia kuheniella eggs to be identical for field conditions. Although the Aphis gossypii was used for feeding in these trials but the same toxicity trend of tested pesticide residues at the third and fourth day of application was also found. The results in Table (13) indicated that the residues of the tested pesticides had no effect on mortality, fecundity and egg hatchability of Ch. carnea after four days of application. Also, the data confirmed that the preferable time for releasing the second larval instar is four days after application with bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas against leaf miners, thrips, aphids, whiteflies, red spider mites and powdery mildew disease, respectively, to avoid any toxic effect on the different stages of Ch. carnea.

# 4. DISCUSSION

Integrated use of natural enemies particularly Ch. carnea for controlling vegetables aphid with different pesticides against other pests appears possible by the use of selective pesticides or the use of reduced concentrations of other pesticides, so that at least one life stage of Ch. carnea will be conserved. In fact, it is difficult and not acceptable reducing the recommended rate of pesticides in controlling different pests in order to decrease their side effects on natural enemies. Accordingly, the unique way in integrated use of natural enemies with different pesticides is by choosing the safer pesticides to these natural enemies especially there are a several recommended pesticides against each pest. The combination of Ch. carnea and selective pesticides opened an unprecedented window of opportunity for an increased impact of biological control on vegetable crops in greenhouses and fields. The negative impact of many agricultural pesticides on biological control agents is well documented (Croft and Brown 1975, Hassan et al., 1985, Croft 1990, Bigler and Waldburger 1994, Elarnaouty and Badawy 1998, Badawy and Elarnaouty 1999, Chen and Liu 2002 and Bueno and Freitas 2004). The integration of biological and chemical control tactics requires a thorough understanding of how pesticide residues affect biological control agents.

Any pesticide applied during the growing season has the potential to disrupt biological control. The chosen insecticides in this study were bancol (bensultap), confidor (imidacloprid), aphox (pirimicarb) and trebon (etofenprox), which are less harmful to Ch. carnea (Badawy et al., 2006). These insecticides belong to the following nereistoxin analogue, chemical groups; neonicotinoid, carbamate and non ester-pyrethroid, respectively. Also, the acaricide vertimec (abamectin) and the fungicide topas 100 (penconazole) used in this study, belong to biopesticide and triazole groups. The results of total mortality for different stages of Ch. carnea at initial time of application in greenhouse trials indicated that aphox was the most toxic one, while topas (100) was the least toxic. The tested pesticides were arranged according to the total mortality percentage at initial time in the following descending order; aphox, confidor, trebon, vertimec, bancol and topas (100), while in the laboratory test were as follows; aphox, trebon, vertimec, confidor, bancol and topas (100), respectively. These results were supported by Croft (1990) who reported that organophosphate, carbamate and synthetic pyrethroid insecticides are generally considered highly toxic to biological control agents due to their broad spectrum activity. With one exception of this finding is the carbamate insecticide pirimicarb, which was less toxic to Ch. carnea than the other insecticides that belong to the same chemical group according to the observation of Helgesen and Tauber (1974), El-Arnaouty and Badawy (1998), Jansen (2000) and Badawy et al., (2006). Also, the data presented here about the candidate pesticides support the observation of Biddinger and Hull 1995, Raguraman and Singh 1999, Smith and Krischik 1999, Elzen et al., 2000, Hill and Foster 2000 and Villanueva-Jimenez et al., 2000 who found that new insecticide chemistry, with novel modes of action, are potentially more selective by having greater impact on the target pest than on natural enemies, thus conserving biological control agents in agricultural environments.

Mass-rearing methods are being developed to produce *Ch. carnea* for augmentative release which are expected to overcome the problem of low predator abundance. When *Ch. carnea* is mass released as a biological control agent against

Days after application	Mortality (%) of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	Ma	ortality (%	<u>(</u> )		Cu	mulative effect Fecundity	Hatchability (%)			
instar**		3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar	pupae	adults	Total	Mean No. o	f eggs/female*	Deterrent index	Treatment	Control	Sterility
			F - F			Treatment	Control	(%)			(%)
Initial	16.7	13.3	3.3	0.0	33.3	321.2 <sup>b</sup>	563.8 <sup>a</sup>	27.41	80	95	52.03
1-day	16.7	6.7	3.3	0.0	26.7	352.4 <sup>b</sup>	564.6 <sup>a</sup>	23.14	81	95	46.78
2 days	10.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	544.0 <sup>b</sup>	<b>577.6</b> <sup>a</sup>	3.00	85	93	13.92
3 days	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	<b>570.0</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>588.8</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.62	90	95	8.29
4 days	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	582.0 <sup>a</sup>	<b>588.8</b> <sup>a</sup>	0.58	93	95	3.24
	l effect of confidor 20%	SL on mortality (%)	of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> la	arval insta	r and further 3 <sup>rd</sup>			d hatchability of Ch. ca	urnea (Stephens) in	the greenhou	ise.
Days after	Mortality (%) of					Cu	mulative effect				
application	the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	Mo				Fecundity			ability (%)		
	instar**	3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar	pupae	adults	Total		f eggs/female*	Deterrent index	Treatment	Control	Sterility
					Treatment	Control	(%)			(%)	
Initial	30.0	13.3	3.3	0.0	46.6	245.6 <sup>b</sup>	563.8 <sup>a</sup>	39.31	78	96	64.61
1-day	16.6	6.7	3.3	0.0	26.6	362.0 <sup>b</sup>	<b>564.6</b> <sup>a</sup>	21.87	83	95	43.98
2 days	10.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	476.6 <sup>b</sup>	577.6 <sup>a</sup>	9.58	85	95	26.17
3 days	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	588.8 <sup>a</sup>	588.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.00	90	93	3.23
4 days	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	588.2 <sup>a</sup>	588.8ª	0.05	93	95	2.21
	l effect of aphox 50% Do		the 2 <sup><i>nu</i></sup> lar	val instar a	and further 3 <sup>74</sup> la	arval instar, pupae,		hatchability of Ch. car	nea (Stephens) in f	he greenhous	е.
Days after	Mortality (%) o the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	1		(0/)			Cumulative effect		-		(0.())
application		ord 1 11 1	Mortalit				Fecundity	Hatchability (%)			
	instar**	3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst	ar pupa	e adul	ts Total		lo. of eggs/female*	Deterrent index (%)	x Treatment	Control	Sterili
Initial	23.3	13.3	10.0	) 6.7	53.3	Treatment 320.0 <sup>b</sup>	Control 563.8 <sup>a</sup>	27.59	77	93	53.01
1-day	16.7	6.7	6.7 0.0			324.6 <sup>b</sup>	564.6 <sup>a</sup>	26.99	82	93	48.76
2 days	13.3	3.3	3.3			427.2 <sup>b</sup>	577.7 <sup>a</sup>	14.97	85	93	32.41
3 days	3.3	0.0	0.0			588.8 <sup>a</sup>	588.8ª	0.00	90	95	5.26
4 days	0.0	0.0	0.0			573.3ª	588.8ª	1.34	90	95	7.77
	al effect of trebon 30% l										
Days after	Mortality (%) of						umulative effect				
	application the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval		Mortality (%)				Fecundity	Hatchability (%)			
	instar**	3 <sup>rd</sup> larval instar	pupae	adults	Total	Mean No.	of eggs/female*	Deterrent index	Treatment	Control	Sterility (%)
						Treatment	Control	(%)			
Initial	30.0	6.6	3.3	0.0	39.9	265.0 <sup>b</sup>	560.7 <sup>a</sup>	35.81	80	95	60.20
1-day	16.7	10	3.3	0.0	30.0	402.5 <sup>b</sup>	563.4 <sup>a</sup>	16.66	81	95	39.09
2 days	10	3.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	492.8 <sup>b</sup>	588.8 <sup>a</sup>	8.88	85	93	23.50
3 days	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	<b>595.6</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>596.5</b> <sup>a</sup>	0.08	90	95	5.41
4 days	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>596.0</b> <sup>a</sup>	596.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.04	93	95	2.19

S. A. El Arnaouty et al., .....

Days after	Mortality (%	b) of					Cumulativ	e effect					
application	the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv		Mortality (	%)		Fecundity				Hatchability (%)			
	instar**	3 <sup>rd</sup> larval in	star pupae	adults	Total	Mean M Treatment	No. of eggs/fe	male* ontrol	Deterrent index (%)	Treatment	Control	Sterility (%)	
Initial	23.3	10.0	3.3	3.3	39.9	258.5 <sup>b</sup>		60.7 <sup>a</sup>	36.89	80	93	60.34	
1-day	16.7	6.7	3.3	3.3	30.0	488.3 <sup>b</sup>		63.4 <sup>a</sup>	7.14	83	95	24.28	
2 days	13.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	16.6	545.0 <sup>b</sup>		88.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.86	<u> </u>	95	13.29	
3 days	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	586.0 <sup>a</sup>		96.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.89	90	93	4.93	
4 days	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	590.0 <sup>a</sup>		96.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.55	91	94	4.25	
	lual effect of topas	(100) 10% EC on mor	tality (%) of the	e 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	instar and furtl	ner 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins			and hatchability of C	Ch. carnea (Stepl	nens) in the	greenhouse.	
Days after	Montality (9/	) of	Mantalitar (	0/)		T	Cumulativ			II.	4 . h . h . 1 . 4	(0/)	
application	Mortality (% the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larv		Mortality (		Total	Moor	Fe No. of eggs/fe	cundity	Deterrent index (%)	Ha Treatment	tchability ( Control		
	instar**		star pupae	adults	Totai	Treatment		ontrol			Contro	ol Sterili (%)	
Initial	13.3	6.7	3.3	0.0	23.3	318.8 <sup>b</sup>	5	60.7 <sup>a</sup>	27.50	82	96	51.43	
1-day	10.0	67	3.3	0.0	20.0	342.7 <sup>b</sup>	5	63.4 <sup>a</sup>	24.36	85	95	45.58	
2 days	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	381.4 <sup>b</sup>	5	88.8 <sup>a</sup>	21.38	89	94	38.67	
3 days	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	<b>589.4</b> <sup>a</sup>	5	96.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.60	92	95	4.31	
4 days Means in the sa	0.0 ame row not follow	0.0 red by the same letter a	0.0 are significantly	0.0 different (l	0.0 P< 0.05) using I	591.2ª Dunce Multiple	Range Test.	02.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i>	<b>93</b> Ephestia kuheniel	95 Ila.	3.89	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho upplication in the g	0.0 red by the same letter a sen pesticides on mort greenhouse.	0.0 are significantly	0.0 different (l	0.0 P< 0.05) using I	591.2ª Dunce Multiple	60 Range Test. tar, pupae, adu	02.2 <sup>ª</sup> ** ılts, fecundity a	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i>	<b>93</b> Ephestia kuheniel	95 Ila.	3.89	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho upplication in the g	0.0 red by the same letter a sen pesticides on mort	0.0 are significantly	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i	0.0 P< 0.05) using I nstar and furth	591.2ª Dunce Multiple	60 Range Test. tar, pupae, adu	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho	95 lla. ens) at the th	3.89 aird and fourth	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho opplication in the g Days after	0.0 red by the same letter a sen pesticides on mort greenhouse. Mortality (%) of	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality	0.0 P< 0.05) using I Instar and furth	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Dunce Multiple I er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst	Range Test. tar, pupae, adu Cum	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ilts, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho	95 lla. ens) at the th	3.89 aird and fourth	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho opplication in the g Days after	0.0       red by the same letter a       usen pesticides on mort       greenhouse.       Mortality (%) of       the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	0.0 are significantly	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality	<b>0.0</b> P< 0.05) using I Instar and furth	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Dunce Multiple er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst Total <u>N</u>	60 Range Test. tar, pupae, adu	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ilts, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>i</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Ha	95 lla. ens) at the th	3.89 aird and fourth	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho opplication in the g Days after	0.0       red by the same letter a       usen pesticides on mort       greenhouse.       Mortality (%) of       the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality ar puj	$\frac{0.0}{P < 0.05}$ using I astar and furth $\frac{1}{7}$	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Dunce Multiple er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst Total <u>N</u>	6 Range Test. itar, pupae, adu Cum Mean No. of e	02.2 <sup>ª</sup> ilts, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female*	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Ha Treatmen	95 lla. ens) at the th	3.89 aird and fourth (%) Sterility	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho pplication in the g Days after application	0.0 red by the same letter a sen pesticides on mort greenhouse. Mortality (%) of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval instar**	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality tar puj 0	0.0 P< 0.05) using I astar and furth (%) pae adu Its	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Dunce Multiple er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst Total <u>N</u>	6 Range Test. itar, pupae, adu Cum Mean No. of c Treatment	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ilts, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%)	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Ha Treatmen t	95 lla. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1	3.89 aird and fourth (%) Sterility (%)	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50%	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho opplication in the g Days after application 3 days	0.0 red by the same letter a seen pesticides on mort greenhouse. Mortality (%) of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval instar** 3.3	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality tar puj 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Dunce Multiple er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst Total <u>N</u> 6.6	6 Range Test. itar, pupae, adu Cum Mean No. of e Treatment 562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>I</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%) 1.58	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Ha Treatmen t 91	95 Va. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94	3.89 ird and fourth (%) Sterility (%) 7.18	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP	0.0 ame row not follow nal effect of the cho opplication in the g Days after application 3 days 4 days	0.0 red by the same letter a sen pesticides on mort greenhouse. Mortality (%) of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval instar** 3.3 0.0	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0	0.0 different () 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality tar puj 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Dunce Multiple er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst Total <u>M</u> 6.6 0	6           Range Test.           itar, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>I</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%) 1.58 0.28	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Ha Treatmen t 91 93	95 la. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94 95	3.89 aird and fourth (%) Sterility (%) 7.18 2.65	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor	0.0ame row not follownal effect of the choapplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days	0.0       red by the same letter a       ssen pesticides on mort       greenhouse.       Mortality (%) of       the 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval       instar**       3.3       0.0       6.7	0.0 ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality tar puj 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           N           6.6           0           10.0           0.0           6.7	6 Range Test. itar, pupae, adu Cum Mean No. of e Treatment 562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>I</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Ha Treatmen t 91 93 87	95 la. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94 95 95 95	3.89 aird and fourth (%) Sterility (%) 7.18 2.65 11.69 3.61 7.26	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor 20% SL Aphox 50% DG	0.0ame row not follownal effect of the choapplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days4 days	0.0red by the same letter asen pesticides on mortgreenhouse.Mortality (%) ofthe 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvalinstar**3.30.06.70.06.70.06.70.00.0	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality ar pu 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           M           7           6.6           0           10.0           0.0           6.7           0.0	60           Range Test.           star, pupae, adu           ttar, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup> 558.0 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup> 583.8 <sup>a</sup> 570.0 <sup>a</sup> 589.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuheniet h. carnea (Stephe Treatmen t 91 93 87 93 93 90 90	95 la. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94 95 95 95 95	3.89 aird and fourth (%) Sterility (%) 7.18 2.65 11.69 3.61 7.26 5.46	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor 20% SL Aphox 50% DG Trebon 30%	0.0ame row not follownal effect of the chopplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days4 days3 days3 days4 days3 days4 days3 days	0.0red by the same letter asen pesticides on mortgreenhouse.Mortality (%) ofthe 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvalinstar**3.30.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.7	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 different () 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality (ar pu) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           M           6.6           0           10.0           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0	60           Range Test.           star, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup> 558.0 <sup>a</sup> 588.0 <sup>a</sup> 560.0 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup> 583.8 <sup>a</sup> 570.0 <sup>a</sup> 589.2 <sup>a</sup> 572.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>J</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i>	93 Ephestia kuhenie h. carnea (Stepho Treatmen t 91 93 87 93 93 90 90 91	95 (la. ens) at the th ttchability ( Contro 1 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	3.89           and fourth           (%)           Sterility           (%)           7.18           2.65           11.69           3.61           7.26           5.46           6.25	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor 20% SL Aphox 50% DG Trebon 30% EC	0.0ame row not followhal effect of the choapplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days4 days	0.0red by the same letter asen pesticides on mortgreenhouse.Mortality (%) ofthe 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvalinstar**3.30.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.00.06.70.00.0	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 different () 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality (ar pu) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           M           6.6           0           10.0           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0	60           Range Test.           star, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup> 558.0 <sup>a</sup> 588.0 <sup>a</sup> 560.0 <sup>a</sup> 578.2 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup> 583.8 <sup>a</sup> 570.0 <sup>a</sup> 589.2 <sup>a</sup> 572.2 <sup>a</sup> 582.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%) 1.58 0.28 2.35 0.78 1.06 0.10 1.08 0.35	93 Ephestia kuhenier h. carnea (Stepho Treatmen t 91 93 87 93 90 90 90 91 93	95 lla. ens) at the th ttchability ( Contro 1 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	3.89           and fourth           (%)           Sterility           (%)           7.18           2.65           11.69           3.61           7.26           5.46           6.25           2.78	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor 20% SL Aphox 50% DG Trebon 30% EC Vertimec	0.0ame row not followhal effect of the chopplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days	0.0red by the same letter asen pesticides on mortgreenhouse.Mortality (%) ofthe 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvalinstar**3.30.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.7	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           M           6.6           0           10.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7	60           Range Test.           Attar, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup> 558.0 <sup>a</sup> 588.0 <sup>a</sup> 560.0 <sup>a</sup> 578.2 <sup>a</sup> 586.8 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup> 583.8 <sup>a</sup> 570.0 <sup>a</sup> 589.2 <sup>a</sup> 572.2 <sup>a</sup> 582.2 <sup>a</sup> 596.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%) 1.58 0.28 2.35 0.78 1.06 0.10 1.08 0.35 0.80	93 Ephestia kuhenier h. carnea (Stepho Treatmen t 91 93 87 93 90 90 91 93 90 91 93 90 90 91 93 90	95 la. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	3.89           and fourth           (%)           Sterility           (%)           7.18           2.65           11.69           3.61           7.26           5.46           6.25           2.78           4.75	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor 20% SL Aphox 50% DG Trebon 30% EC Vertimec 1.8% EC	0.0ame row not followhal effect of the chopplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days4 days	0.0red by the same letter asen pesticides on mortgreenhouse.Mortality (%) ofthe 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvalinstar**3.30.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.00.0	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i Mortality tar pu] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           M           6.6           0           10.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0	66           Range Test.           star, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup> 558.0 <sup>a</sup> 588.0 <sup>a</sup> 560.0 <sup>a</sup> 578.2 <sup>a</sup> 586.8 <sup>a</sup> 597.2 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity eggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup> 583.8 <sup>a</sup> 570.0 <sup>a</sup> 589.2 <sup>a</sup> 572.2 <sup>a</sup> 582.2 <sup>a</sup> 596.2 <sup>a</sup> 599.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%) 1.58 0.28 2.35 0.78 1.06 0.10 1.08 0.35 0.80 0.15	93 Ephestia kuhenier h. carnea (Stepho Ha Treatmen t 91 93 87 93 90 90 91 93 90 91 93 90 93 90 93	95 la. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	3.89           and fourth           (%)           Sterility           (%)           7.18           2.65           11.69           3.61           7.26           5.46           6.25           2.78           4.75           2.40	
4 days *Means in the sa able (13): Residu of a Pesticides Bancol 50% WP Confidor 20% SL Aphox 50% DG Trebon 30% EC Vertimec	0.0ame row not followhal effect of the chopplication in the gDays afterapplication3 days4 days3 days	0.0red by the same letter asen pesticides on mortgreenhouse.Mortality (%) ofthe 2 <sup>nd</sup> larvalinstar**3.30.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.70.06.7	0.0 are significantly ality (%) of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval ins 3.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 different (1 2 <sup>nd</sup> larval i 2nd larval i 2nd larval i 2nd larval i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0           P< 0.05) using I	591.2 <sup>a</sup> Ounce Multiple           er 3 <sup>rd</sup> larval inst           Total           M           6.6           0           10.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7           0.0           6.7	60           Range Test.           Attar, pupae, adu           Cum           Mean No. of e           Treatment           562.0 <sup>a</sup> 577.0 <sup>a</sup> 524.8 <sup>b</sup> 574.8 <sup>a</sup> 558.0 <sup>a</sup> 588.0 <sup>a</sup> 560.0 <sup>a</sup> 578.2 <sup>a</sup> 586.8 <sup>a</sup>	02.2 <sup>a</sup> ** ults, fecundity a ulative effect Fecundity ggs/female* Control 580.0 <sup>a</sup> 580.2 <sup>a</sup> 550.0 <sup>a</sup> 583.8 <sup>a</sup> 570.0 <sup>a</sup> 589.2 <sup>a</sup> 572.2 <sup>a</sup> 582.2 <sup>a</sup> 596.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 larvae were feed on <i>l</i> and hatchability of <i>C</i> 7 Deterrent index (%) 1.58 0.28 2.35 0.78 1.06 0.10 1.08 0.35 0.80	93 Ephestia kuhenier h. carnea (Stepho Treatmen t 91 93 87 93 90 90 91 93 90 91 93 90 90 91 93 90	95 la. ens) at the th tchability ( Contro 1 95 95 94 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	3.89           and fourth           (%)           Sterility           (%)           7.18           2.65           11.69           3.61           7.26           5.46           6.25           2.78           4.75	

\*\*larvae were fed on Aphis gossypii.

aphids, its success may depend on its tolerance to these pesticides targeted at non-host pests and also the release timing after application with pesticides. This study aimed to evaluate the residual effect of the chosen pesticides in order to appoint the release time of the second larval instar after the application of these pesticides at their recommended rates. The present data showed that the total percent mortality in the different stages of Ch. carnea was mostly lower in the greenhouse trials than those in the laboratory tests except in the case of aphox and confidor. Also, the persistence of the tested pesticides was slightly shorter in greenhouse than in laboratory test. The residual lethal toxicity of the tested pesticides persisted for three days after application in greenhouse trials and four days in the case of laboratory tests. It may be due to the differences in weather conditions between the laboratory and the greenhouse. This finding agree with Croft (1990) who stated that the persistence of pesticides residues depends largely on the product formulation, the applied rate, weather conditions and the type of surface on which a pesticide is applied. Also, Verkerk and Wright (1996) reported that number of factors, such as photostability, translaminar uptake, and leaf nutritional status will affect residual efficacy. The intrinsic efficacy (the oral ingestion toxicity) of the tested pesticides did not occur by the second larval instar of Ch. carnea. This allows the conclusion that there were no significant differences in the toxicity of the tested insecticides on pepper or cucumber seedlings against the second larval instar and we cannot explain the slight differences responding the plant specific properties as described by Verkerk and Wright (1996).

Results presented here show that the treated second larval instar with the chosen pesticides induced adverse effects on the female's fecundity and egg hatching. The deposited eggs were significantly decreased up to the second day post application for all tested pesticides in the greenhouse. All pesticide treatments affected the survival of Ch. carnea hatching. The percent hatchability increased, while the percent sterility decreased with time elapsed in all treatments of pesticides. There were slight differences in the percentage hatchability of eggs between pesticide treatments and control after three and four days of application. These results were confirmed by Huerta et al. (2003) who found that the residues of natural pyrethrin and imidacloprid had a strong effect on survival and egg hatchability of Ch. carnea. It was also observed in this study that

some treated larvae of the  $2^{nd}$  instar had developed to  $3^{rd}$  instar, but completely failed to produce silk for cocooning as a result, these larvae could not successfully pupate and died. Among the larvae that succeed in spinning a complete cocoon, some died within it without reaching the adult stage. The same finding was obtained by Shour and Crowder (1980) and Chen and Liu (2002).

Although there are differences in the mode of effect for the tested pesticides, which bancol, and trebon are contacts, while aphox, confidor and topas (100) are systemic in addition to vertimec which has limited plant systemic activity but there are no great differences in their efficacy against the 2<sup>nd</sup> larval instar of *Ch. carnea* through all exposure periods were found. These results can be explained from the study of Buchholz and Nauen (2001) who reported that successful pest control by foliar application is not simply related to systemic behaviour alone, but it is much more a combination of systemic and contact properties depending on physico-chemical characteristic of the active ingredient, the plant species (e.g., different barrier properties of cuticles), metabolism and the functional morphology of the pest species considered (e.g. mobile or quiescent stages).

In some pest management systems pesticides that have been touted as selective show negative impacts on beneficial species. А better understanding of how insecticides residue impact selected predators is necessary when developing a pest management system that strives to conserve biological control agents. The efficacy results of pesticides residue in the greenhouse indicated that four days waiting period is required after the application of the chosen pesticides; bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100) to prevent any effect on the release stage  $(2^{nd} \text{ larval})$ instar) and their developed stages. Also, Deole et (2000) found that the toxicity al.. of monocrotophos and fenvalerate to Ch. carnea larvae persisted for two days, whereas chlorpyrifos was toxic for up to five days. On the other hand, the residual effect of the insecticides; clothianidin, dinotefuran, pyridaben. pymetrozine and etofenprox on whitefly adults on tomato seedlings was studied by Barakat et al., (2005). They found that etofenprox caused complete mortality of whitefly adults at the first 48 h. and its residual effect reached the minimum value (27%) at the eighth day of application. Also, the toxic effect of clothianidin on whitefly adults was more persistent than the other tested insecticides, while etofenprox had least persistence. These results confirmed the finding of Villanueva-Jimenez et al., (2000) who reported that new insecticides have greater impact on the target pest than on natural enemies.

There is low literature about the side effects of pesticides residue on the different stages of Ch. *carnea*. Data presented here can be used as a rough guide to the relative influence of pesticides residue on Ch. carnea when each of the tested pesticides is sprayed on vegetable crops at the recommended rate. Therefore, we need more information concerning the residual activity of chemicals against predators. Such information would enable scheduling the safe release of natural enemies for augmentation. Collectively, these data have considerable implication for growers who are selecting insecticides for the use in an integrated pest management program where Ch. carnea is present. Also, these results suggest that the chosen pesticides; bancol, confidor, aphox, trebon, vertimec and topas (100) are less harmful, have short residual activity to Ch. carnea than to the pests and hence favor natural control by this predator.

# **5. REFERENCES**

- Abbott W.S. (1925). A method of computing effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265-270.
- Badawy H.M.A. and El-Arnaouty S.A. (1999). Direct and indirect effects of some insecticides on *Chrysoperla carnea* Stephens (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). J. of Neuropterology. 2: 67-74.
- Badawy H.M.A., El-Arnaouty S.A., El Gantiry A.M. and Gaber N.M. (2006). Toxicity of recommended pesticides against several vegetable pests to different populations of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) in the laboratory. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 57(3): 549-570.
- Balasubramani V. and Swamiappan M. (1994).
  Development and feeding potential of the green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens). (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on different insect pests of cotton. Anzeiger-fuer-schadling-skunde-pflanzenschutz-ummeitschutz. 8: 165-167.
- Barakat A.A., Badawy H. M.A., El-Mahy S.A. and Ibrahim E. S. (2005). Toxicological studies on new insecticides against piercing sucking insects. Recent Technologies In Agriculture, the 3<sup>rd</sup> conference 14-16 Nov. 2005.Faculty of Agriculture- Cairo University.
- Bellows T.S. and Morse J.G. (1993). Toxicity of pesticides used in citrus to *Aphytis melinus* (Debach) (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) and

*Rhizobius lophanthae* (Blaisd) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Can. Entomol. 125: 987-994.

- Bellows T.S., Morse J.G. and Gaston L.K. (1993).
  Residual toxicity of pesticides used for Lepidoptera insect control on citrus to *Aphytis melinus* (Debach) (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Can. Entomol. 125: 955-1001.
- Biddinger D.J. and Hull L.A. (1995). Effects of several types of insecticides on the mite predator *Stethorus punctum* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) including insect growth regulators and abamectin. J. Econ. Entomol. 88. 358-366.
- Bigler F. and Waldburger M. (1994). Effect of pesticides on *Chrysoperla carnea* Stephens. (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) in the laboratory and semi field. J. Appl. Entomol. 17: 55-59.
- Buchholz A. and Nauen R. (2001). Translocation and translaminar bioavailability of two neonicotinoid insecticides after foliar application to cabbage and cotton. Pest – Management Science, 58: 10-16.
- Bueno A. F. and Freitas S. (2004). Effect of the insecticides abamectin and lufenuron on eggs and larvae of *Chrysoperla externa* under laboratory conditions. Biocontrol 49(3):277-283.
- Castane C., Arino J. and Arno J. (1996). Toxicity of some insecticides and acaricides to the predatory bug *Dicyphus tamaninii* (Heteroptera: Miridae). Entomophaga 41: 211-216.
- Chen T.Y. and Liu T.X. (2002). Susceptibility of immature stages of *Chrysoperla rufilabris* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) to Pyriproxyfen, a juvenile hormone analog. J. Appl. Entomol. 126: 125-129.
- Croft B.A. (1990). Arthropod biological control agents and pesticides. Wiley, New York.
- Croft B.A. and Brown A.W.A. (1975). Response of arthropod natural enemies to insecticides. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 20: 285-335.
- Deole S.A., Bodhade S.N., Mahajan L.B. and Deotale V.Y. (2000). Residual toxicity of some pesticides used in cotton pest management against a Chrysopid (*Ch. carnea*). J. of Soil and Crop 10(2): 279-281.
- El-Arnaouty S.A. (2001). Technical improvements in mass rearing of *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) as a substitution prey for a cost- effective production of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens). Integrated Pest Management Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> Congress 22-23 April 2001. Faculty of Agriculture-Cairo University.

- El-Arnaouty S.A. and Badawy H.M.A. (1998).
  Biological effects of some insecticides on immature stages of *Chrysoperla carnea* Stephens *Sensu lato* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). Proceeding of the 1<sup>st</sup> Regional Symposium for Applied Biological Control in Mediterranean Countries Cairo, Egypt, October 81-86.
- El-Gantiry A.M., Abou-Setta M.M. and Moussa S.F.M. (1999). Certain biological studies on *Aphis craccivora* and *Schizaphis graminum* (Homoptera: Aphididae) under different constant temperatures. J. Egypt. Ger. Soc. Zool., 30: 123-132.
- Elzen G.W. (2001). Lethal and sublethal effects of insecticide residues on *Orius insidiosus* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) and *Geocoris punctipes* (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae). J. of Econ. Entomol. 94(1): 55-59.
- Elzen G.W., Maldonado S.N. and Rojas M.G. (2000). Lethal and sublethal effects of selected insecticides and an insect growth regulator on the boll weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) ectoparasitoid *Catolaccus grandis* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 93: 300-303.
- Frisbie R.E., El-Zik K.M. and Wilson L.T. (1989). Integrated pest management systems and cotton production. Wiley, New York.
- Grundy P.R., Maelzer D., Collins P.J. and Hassan E. (2000). Potential for integrating eleven agricultural insecticides with the predator bug *Pristhesancus plagipennis* (Hemiptera: Reduviidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 93(3): 581-589.
- Hassan S.A., Klinghauf F. and Shanin F. (1985).Role of *Chrysopa carnea* as an aphid predator on suger beet and the effect of pesticides. Zeitschrift fuer angewandte Entomologie, 100: 163-174.
- Helgesen R.G. and Tauber M.J. (1974). Pirimicarb an aphicide non-toxic to three entomophagous arthropods. Environ. Entomol. 3: 99-101.
- Hill T.A. and Foster R.E. (2000). Effect of insecticides on the diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) and its parasitoid *Diadegma insulare* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 93: 763-768.
- Hydron S.B. and WhiteComb W.H. (1979). Effects of larval diet on *Chrysopa rufilabris*. Fla. Entomol. 62: 293-298.

- Huerta A., Medina P., Castanera P and Vinuela E. (2003). Residual effects of some modern pesticides on *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) adults under laboratory conditions. Bulletin-OILB/ SROP. 26(10): 165-170.
- Jansen J.P. (2000). A three year field study on the short term effects of insecticides used in control cereal aphids on plant dwelling aphid predators in winter wheat. Pest – Management Science 56(6): 533-539.
- Lundgren L. (1975): Natural plant chemicals acting as oviposition deterrents on cabbage butterflies (*Pieris brassicae* L., *P. rapae* L. and *Pieris napi* L.). Zool. Sci. 253-258.
- Morrison R.K. (1985). *Chrysopa carnea*, PP. 419-426. in P. Singh and R. F. Moore [eds.], Handbook of insect rearing, Vol. I. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Raguraman S. and Singh R.P. (1999). Biological effects of neem (Azadirachta in dica) seed oil on an egg parasitoid, *Trichogramma chilonis*. J. Econ. Entomol. 92: 1274-1280.
- SAS Institule (1985). SAS user, s guide: statistics. SAS Institute, Cary, N. C.
- Shour M.H. and Crowder L.A. (1980). Effect of pyrethroid insecticides on the common green lacewing. J. Econ. Entomol. 73: 306-309.
- Smith S.F. and Krischik V.A. (1999). Effects of systemic imidacloprid on *Coleomegilla maculate* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Environ. Entomol. 28: 1189-1195.
- Tappozada A., Abdallah S. and El-Defrawi E. (1966). Chemosterilization of larvae and adults of the Egyptian cotton leafworm Prodenia litura by apholate, metepa and tepa. J. Econ. Entomol., 59: Zool. Fenn., 12: 275-279.
- Verkerk R.H.J. and Wright D.J. (1996). Effects of interactions between host plants and selective insecticides on larvae of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) in the laboratory. Pesticides Science 46: 171-181.
- Villanueva-Jimenez J., Hoy M.A. and Davis F. S. (2000). Field evaluation of integrated pest management compatible pesticides for the citrus leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) and its parasitoid *Ageniaspis citricola* (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 93: 357-367
- Weichel L and Nauen R. (2003). Uptake, translocation and bioavailability of imidacloprid in several hop varieties. Pest manag. Sci., 60: 440-446.

استراتيجية إطلاق المفترس كريز وبيرلا كارنيا المستخدم لمكافحة المن ضمن منظومة الادارة المتكاملة للآفات

سيد أشرف الأرناؤوطي ، هاني محمود عاشور بدوي ، عزيزة محمد الجنتيري\* ، نيفين محمود جابر\*

قسم علوم الحشرات الأقتصادية والمبيدات – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة – الجيزة- مصر \* معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات – مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة

ملخص

تم تقييم التأثير المتبقى للمبيدات المختارة بانكول 50% WP ، كونفيدور 20% SL ، أفوكس 50% DG ، تريبون EC %30 ، فيرتيميك 1.8% EC وتوباس (100) 10% EC على العمر اليرقي الثاني لمفترس أسد المن وأيضا الأطوار . الناتجة منه في المعمل والصوبة الزراعية. كان التأثير السام المباشر للمبيدات المختبرة على يرقات العمر الثاني والتأثير التراكمي للأطوار الناتجة منها متناسقا مع مرور الوقت وتعاقب الأطوار. وكانت نتائج السمية والتأثيرات الحيوية لهذه المبيداتُ المختبرة على العمر اليرقى الثاني لمفترس أسد المن والأطوار الناتجة منه في المعمل عند نفس المستوى في الصوبة الزراعية. وأوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها من أختبارات الصوبة الزراعية أن سمية متبقيات المبيدات المختارة الحادثة في الطور اليرقى الثانى بعد المعاملة مباشرة يمكن تقسيمها إلى ثلاث فئات، الفئة الأولى تضم مبيدي الكونفيدور والتريبون حيث أحدثًا 30% موت. اشتملت الفئة الثانية على المبيد الحشري أفوكس والمبيد الأكاروسي فيرتيميك محدثين نسبة موت 23.3% ، أما الفئة الثالثة فقد احتوت على مبيد البانكول والمبيد الفطرى توباس (100) حيث اظهرا فاعلية منخفضة ضد يرقات العمر الثاني من المفترس وكانت نسبتي الموت 16.7و 13.3%. أظهرت نسبة الموت الكلية للأطوار المختلفة من المفترس بعد المعاملة مباشرة أن مبيد الأفوكس كان أكثر المبيدات سمية بينما كان مبيد التوباس (100) أقلهما سمية. وغالباً ما كانت نسب الموت الحادثة لأسد المن باستخدام المبيدات المختارة أعلى في المختبر من مثيلتها في الصوبة الزراعية فيما عدا مبيدي افوكس وتريبون. وكانت السمية القاتلة لمتبقيات المبيدات ثابتة لمدة ثلاثة أيام بعد المعاملة في حالة اختبارات الصوبة الزراعية بينما كانت أربعة أيام في المختبر. أوضحت النتائج أن معاملة يرقات العمر الثاني من مفترس أسد المن بالمبيدات المختارة أدى إلى حدوث تأثيرات عكسية على خصوبة الإناث الناتجة وأيضا على فقس البيض حيث أظهرت النتائج أن الفترة الزمنية الحادث بها التأثير العكسي على خصوبة الإناث كانت اقصر في الصوبة الزراعية عن مثيلتها في المختبر وكان لجميع معاملات المبيدات تأثير على نسبة فقس بيض المفترس وكانت نسبة الفقس في ازدياد بينما نسبة العقم في انخفاض مع انقضاء الوقت في جميع المعاملات. از دادت نسبة الفقس من 77 - 82% بعد المعاملة مباشرة بالمبيدات المختارة إلى 90 – 93% بعد أربعة أيام من المعاملة. أظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات طفيفة في نسب فقس البيض بين معاملات المبيدات وتجربة المقارنة بعد ثلاثة و أربعة أيام من المعاملة.

أكدت الدراسة التأثير المتبقي لهذه المبيدات المختارة بانكول، كونفيدور، أفوكس، تريبون، فيرتيميك وتوباس (100) على نسب الموت لمختلف الأطوار وعلى خصوبة إناث مفترس أسد المن وبالتالي فإنه يلزم انتظار أربعة أيام بعد المعاملة بهذه المبيدات قبل إطلاق العمر اليرقى الثاني وذلك لمنع أي تأثير عليه أو على الأطوار الناتجة منه.

المجلة العلمية لكلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة – المجلد (58) العدد الاول (يناير 2007): 92-104.