Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering Available online at http://zjar.journals.ekb.eg http:/www.journals.zu.edu.eg/journalDisplay.aspx?Journalld=1&queryType=Master



# POTENTIAL USE OF SOME NATURAL MATERIALS FOR REMEDIATING POLLUTED WATER

Sameh M. Abdelrehim<sup>\*</sup>, S.M. Shaddad and E.A. El-Naka

Soil Sci. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt

Received: 24/10/2021; Accepted: 07/11/2021

**ABSTRACT:** In the light of water scarcity and high population growth of Egypt, there is an urgent need to exploit and reuse every drop of water. Remediation of the available marginal water resources such as drainage water for irrigation purposes became mandatory. A laboratory experiment was conducted at Soil Science Department Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University during 2021 to find effective and low-cost ways of wastewater remediation to be easily available. For that, the water samples were synthetically contaminated with two heavy metals, namely nickel and lead which were added in concentrations of 10 mg/l and 0.5 mg/l, respectively. Four natural materials *i.e.*, moringa seeds cake (M), rice straw biochar (B), sugarcane bagasse biochar (S) and zeolite (Z) were used in this investigation to study their potentiality on removing lead and nickel from polluted water. All materials were used at two rates of 10 and 20g/l. Four different particle diameters were used for each of rice straw biochar, bagasse biochar and zeolite as follows: < 0.125 mm, 0.125 - 0.212 mm, 0.212 - 0.250mm and > 0.250 mm. All materials used gave considerable efficiencies in removing lead and nickel ions from polluted water showing an inverse proportional efficiency with particle diameters. The rate of 20g/l surpassed the rate of 10 g/l for all treatments under the same diameter. The most efficient treatment for removing lead was sugarcane bagasse biochar at rate of 20 g/l and particles diameter less than 0.125 mm with a removal efficiency of 90.39 % whereas the most efficient treatment for removing nickel was rice straw biochar at rate of 20g/l and diameter of particles less than 0.125mm with removal efficiency of 81.6%. The removal efficiency of lead for the investigated natural materials could follow the order: sugarcane biochar> rice straw biochar> moringa seeds cake> zeolites while the order was rice straw biochar> sugarcane bagasse biochar> moringa seeds cake> zeolites for nickel.

Key words: Polluted water, heavy metals, biochar, zeolite, moringa.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The main source of irrigation water in Egypt is Nile water which provides a quantity of 55.5 billion cubic meters per year being used for agriculture, industry and domestic purposes. The agricultural sector is the main consumer of Nile water, about 86% (FAO, 2016). However, this quantity is not enough especially with the increasing population and increasing demand of water for reclamation projects adopted by Egypt vision 2030. The per capita share of renewable water resources in Egypt has been reduced from about 2500 m<sup>3</sup>/capita/year in 1950's, to about 700 m<sup>3</sup>/capita/year in 2015 and it is expected to reach 250 m<sup>3</sup>/capita/year in 2050. To overcome this problem there are some suggested scenarios to decrease the gap between the required amount of water and the available resources **Amer** *et al.* (2017). These are water reuse, use of non-renewable groundwater and treating marginal water such as desalinization, treating of wastewater for the purpose of agricultural use.

According to Zidan and Dawoud (2013) there are three major types of marginal-quality water in Egypt: these are wastewater from urban and peri-urban areas, saline and sodic agricultural drainage water, and brackish groundwater. Around cities, farmers use wastewater from residential,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel. :+201009684850 E-mail address: shaddadsm@gmail.com

commercial, and industrial sources, sometimes diluted but often without treatment. Sometimes farmers in deltaic areas and tail end sections of large-scale irrigation schemes irrigate with a blend of canal water, saline drainage water, and wastewater. Others irrigate with saline or sodic groundwater, either exclusively or in conjunction with higher quality surface water. Many of those farmers cannot control the volume or quality of water they receive.

There are simple and effective ways for treating wastewater to be used as irrigation water. These ways include the use of Moringa seeds as a natural coagulant that heavy metals from the polluted water (Suhartini et al., 2013; Shan et al., 2017; Swelam et al., 2019). Moringa seed cake was used to filter water using flocculation to produce potable water for animal or human consumption (Ndabigengesere et al., 1995; Hellsing et al., 2013). Moringa seeds contain dimeric cationic proteins (Ghebremichael et al., 2005) which absorb and neutralize colloidal charges in turbid water, causing the colloidal particles to clump together, making the suspended particles easier to remove as sludge by either settling or filtration. Moringa seed cake removes most impurities from water.

They also include the use of biochar produced from different plant sources (Kobya et al., 2005; Han et al., 2013; Alhashimi and Aktas, 2017) such as rice straw, bagasse, etc. Biochar can be prepared by performing what so called pyrolysis which is one of the main thermal processes used for converting organic wastes into carbonaceous materials that can be used for wastewater depollution (Selmi et al., 2018). During pyrolysis, lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and fat in the feedstock are thermally broken down in oxygen-free conditions to enrich the carbon content of the starting material by eliminating non-carbon species such as oxygen and hydrogen. Carbonization temperature, heating rate, nitrogen flow rate, and carbonization time are the main factors controlling the process of pyrolysis and profoundly determine the nature and the distribution of carbonization products: biochar (solid fraction), bio-oil (small quantities of condensable liquid), and non-condensable gases (syngas) e.g., CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub> (Ahmad et al., 2012; Suliman et al., 2016). The

removal of different elements (carbon, hydrogen, oxygen) in the form of gases and volatiles results in a decrease in O/C and H/C atomic ratios and correspondingly an increase in aromaticity and carbon content, which enhances the biochar stability (Brewer, 2012; Windeatt et al., 2014). This tendency becomes more pronounced as the pyrolysis temperature increases. Increasing pyrolysis temperature (>500 °C) results also in greater hydrophobicity and higher surface area and micropore volume (Keiluweit et al., 2010), which make of the produced biochar highly amenable for the removal of organic pollutants. Lower pyrolysis temperature (<500 °C), however, allowed for a biochar with smaller pore size, lower surface area, and higher oxygen-containing functional groups, which was more suitable for the removal of inorganic pollutants. The increase in pyrolysis temperature also increases the pH of biochar due to the enrichment of ash content (Brewer et al., 2012; Gul et al., 2015). The heating rate is also an important factor that must be controlled during the process of carbonization. A high heating rate promotes the release of gases and the decrease in the solid yield.

Natural zeolite has been known as a useful adsorbent for polluted water. Natural zeolite is a kind of porous material with large specific surface area but with limited adsorption capacity. Many researches were carried out to study the effect of natural zeolites in removing metal ions from wastewater (**Turan and Ergun**, 2009; BAO *et al.*, 2013; Sočo and Kalembkiewicz, 2013; Pandová *et al.*, 2018).

The main aim of the present study is to evaluate the potential use of some natural materials for removing some heavy metals from polluted water to be used in irrigation purposes as a low cost and readily way for wastewater remediation.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The primary field survey of water samples taken from several non-point sources pollution for local drains led to the fact that their contents of heavy metals were within the permissible limits according to **FAO** (1992). Due to the difficulty of obtaining polluted wastewater from their point-source pollution outlets, a laboratory experiment was conducted at the laboratory of Soil Science department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University during the year of 2021. Contaminated water samples with two heavy metals, namely nickel and lead, were synthetically prepared. The procedures and methods used in this study are described in the following sections.

#### Synthetic Polluted Water

According to **FAO** (1992) the recommended maximum heavy metal contents of lead and nickel in irrigation water are 5 mg/l and 0.2 mg/l, respectively. Therefore, synthetic polluted water by lead and nickel were prepared with quasi two folds of the permissible limits. The polluted water of both elements was prepared using the AAS standard solution after dilution to reach a final concentration of 10 and 0.5 mg/l of lead and nickel, respectively.

#### **Natural Materials**

Four natural materials were used to study their effectiveness in removing heavy metals from polluted water. These are rice straw biochar, sugarcane bagasse biochar, zeolite, and moringa seeds cake. Biochar of rice straw and sugarcane bagasse were produced by pyrolyzing air-dried samples at 400C- 600C under oxygenlimited conditions in a muffle furnace. The temperature was gradually increased to the target pyrolysis temperature, where it was held for 2 h. Once cool the biochar of rice straw and sugarcane bagasse as well as zeolite were ground and passed through series of sieves of 0.25 mm, 0.212, mm, and 0.125 mm.

Four separate groups with different diameters of each material were obtained, and these were as follows: < 0.125 mm, 0.125 - 0.212 mm, 0.212-0.250 mm and >0.250 mm. The chemical characteristics of these materials are presented in Table 1.

#### **Experimental Setup and Procedures**

Two different rates of each material were used for both synthetic polluted waters of lead and nickel. Two rates of each group (10 g/l and 20 g/l) were weighted and then added to one liter glass Erlenmeyer flask with stopper containing the synthetic polluted water for lead and nickel. The experiment was carried out at room temperature of 25°C under atmospheric pressure with three replicates. The flasks were shaken for two hours and then were filtered using Whatman No.42 filter papers. The filtrates were collected and subjected to lead and nickel analysis. Atomic absorption spectrometer AA analyst 200 Perkin Elmer Instrument, (Shelton, Connecticut, USA) was used for determining Pb and Ni contents in the filtrates. pH was measured at (1:10) water suspension using a glass electrode while, EC was measured at (1:10) water extract using EC meter. CEC was determined according to the barium chloride (pH 8.2) method (Jackson, 1973). The removal amount of each metal was calculated by subtracting the final concentration from the initial one. The products were used to calculate the removal efficiency for the materials under investigation.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to compare the means of different treatments under a complete randomized design calculating the least significant difference (LSD, p < 0.05) using the CoStat software version 6.303, Monetery, USA (**Fisher, 1936**).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Effect of Treatments on Lead and Nickel Removal

The ANOVA test was performed to compare the investigated materials with different rates and diameters to allow the selection of the most efficient treatment for removing lead and nickel with statistically significant difference. Table 2 presents the output data for the inspected treatments and their significances.

#### Moringa

Moringa had a considerable positive effect on lead removal showing an efficiency of 84.60 and 86.00% at rates of 10 g/l and 20 g/l, respectively. Adding moringa seeds cake resulted in reducing lead concentration from 10 mg/l to 1.54 and 1.40 mg/l at rates of 10 g/l and 20 g/l, respectively (Table 3). Based on LSD value (0.530) no significant difference between the two rates is found and then it is suggested to use the first rate (10 g/l). This positive effect may be

#### Abdelrehim, et al.

Material	pH, in H <sub>2</sub> O	EC, dSm <sup>-1</sup>	CEC, cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	Total C, %	Ash content, %
Rice straw biochar	6.7	2.85	48.6	38.2	39.5
Sugarcane bagasse biochar	7.2	0.62	88.5	69.3	8.6
Moringa seeds cake	6.3	0.35	79.4	57.8	9.4
Zeolite	6.8	1.20	34.7	-	-

# Table 1. Some chemical properties of the investigated natural materials

pH in (1:10) water suspension; EC in (1:10) water extract.

Treatment code	Pb <sup>2+</sup> mean value (mg/l)	Ni <sup>2+</sup> mean value (mg/l)
M R1	1.54 <sup>jkl</sup>	0.137 <sup>mn</sup>
M R2	1.40 <sup>klm</sup>	0.123 <sup>no</sup>
B R1 D1	1.29 <sup>klm</sup>	0.109 <sup>op</sup>
B R1 D2	2.06 <sup>ij</sup>	0.124 <sup>n</sup>
B R1 D3	3.23 <sup>h</sup>	0.156 <sup>kl</sup>
B R1 D4	4.11 <sup>g</sup>	0.188 <sup>hi</sup>
B R2 D1	1.06 lm	0.092 <sup>q</sup>
B R2 D2	1.15 <sup>lm</sup>	0.103 <sup>pq</sup>
B R2 D3	2.08 <sup>i</sup>	0.127 <sup>mn</sup>
B R2 D4	3.29 <sup>h</sup>	0.163 <sup>jk</sup>
S R1 D1	1.21 <sup>klm</sup>	0.104 <sup>pq</sup>
S R1 D2	1.69 <sup>ijk</sup>	0.142 <sup>lm</sup>
S R1 D3	4.87 <sup>ef</sup>	0.162 <sup>jk</sup>
S R1 D4	5.34 <sup>de</sup>	0.177 <sup>ij</sup>
S R2 D1	0.96 <sup>m</sup>	0.099 <sup>pq</sup>
S R2 D2	1.28 <sup>klm</sup>	0.134 <sup>mn</sup>
S R2 D3	4.07 <sup>g</sup>	0.154 <sup>kl</sup>
S R2 D4	4.66 <sup>f</sup>	0.161 <sup>k</sup>
Z R1 D1	6.54 <sup>b</sup>	0.225 <sup>g</sup>
Z R1 D2	7.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.312 <sup>e</sup>
Z R1 D3	7.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.352 °
Z R1 D4	7.76 <sup>a</sup>	0.397 <sup>a</sup>
Z R2 D1	5.61 <sup>cd</sup>	0.202 <sup>h</sup>
Z R2 D2	6.08 <sup>bc</sup>	0.280 <sup>f</sup>
Z R2 D3	6.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.329 <sup>d</sup>
Z R2 D4	7.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.375 <sup>b</sup>
LSD at 0.05	0.530	0.014

M: moringa seeds cake, B: rice straw biochar, S: sugarcane bagasse biochar, Z: zeolite, R1: rate of 10g/l, R2: rate of 20g/l, D1: diameter < 0.125 mm, D2: diameter 0.125 - 0.212 mm, D3: diameter 0.212 - 0.250 mm, D4: diameter > 0.250 mm.

1260

Concentration after treatment (mg/l)	Tre	eatment
	M R1	M R2
Lead (Pb <sup>2+</sup> )	1.54	1.40
Efficiency (%)	84.60	86.00
Nickel (Ni <sup>2+</sup> )	0.137	0.123
Efficiency (%)	72.60	75.40

Table 3. Lead and nickel concentrations in polluted water after moringa seeds cake treatment

M: Moringa seeds cake, R1: rate of 10g/l, R2: rate of 20g/l

attributed to the coagulation effect of moringa seeds (Ndabigengesere *et al.*, 1995; Hellsing *et al.*, 2013). Moringa seeds contain dimeric cationic proteins which absorb and neutralize colloidal charges in turbid water (Ghebremichael *et al.*, 2005).

Comparing the two rates of moringa seeds cake on nickel removal, the rate of 20g/l gave higher efficiency of 75.40% compared with the rate of 10 g/l which gave a removal efficiency of 72.60% (Table 3). However, the rate of 10g/l should be used since no significant difference between both rates is found (Table 2).

#### **Rice Straw Biochar**

Table 4 shows the effect of rice straw biochar on lead removal where it can be seen that at both rates of 10 and 20 g/l, the removal efficiencies decreased with increasing particulates diameter indicating that the smaller the particle size the higher surface area, adsorption capacity and removal efficiency. Rice straw is the most abundant agricultural wastes in many countries. Converting these low cost, high carbon and abundant residuals into biochar can act as a kind of efficient and cost-effective sorbent which is capable of removing organic or inorganic pollutants from aqueous environments (Tan et al., 2015). However, removal efficiency of the second rate (20g/l) surpassed the first rate (10g/l) for all particles diameters. This may be attributed to the presence of more quantity of rice straw biochar and hence more surface area for lead adsorption. Particulate size and resulting total surface area available for adsorption are both important factors in metal adsorption

processes (**Luoma, 1989**). Small particles with large surface-area-to-mass ratios allow more adsorption than an equivalent mass of large particles with small surface-area-to-mass ratios.

The most effective treatment regardless of the different particles diameters and addition rates was B R2 D1 (Table 2) with a removal efficiency of 89.36% followed by B R1 D1 (87.07%). However, it is suggested to use the treatment B R1 D1 although no significant difference between the two application rates. Table 4 shows the effect of rice straw biochar on nickel removal which followed the same trend of Pb. The adsorption of Ni<sup>2+</sup> to biochars reached equilibrium rapidly which may be due to the small particle size of the biochars and the buffing effect of the biochars (Shen et al., 2017). However, the efficiency of the second rate (20 g/l) surpassed the first rate (10g/l) for all The diameters. most effective treatment regardless the different diameters and rates was B R1 D2 showing a removal efficiency of 81.6% followed by B R1 D4 (79.4%).

#### Sugarcane Bagasse Biochar

Table 5 shows the effect of sugarcane bagasse biochar on lead and nickel removal. At rates of 10 and 20 g/l, the efficiencies of lead and nickel removal decreased with increasing in diameter indicating that the smaller the particle size the higher the removal efficiency. Particle diameters can strongly influence the ability of adsorbent materials to bind metal contaminants. Fine particles are more reactive and have a higher surface area than coarser material (**Gerber** *et al.*, **1991**). Biochar made from sugarcane bagasse Abdelrehim, et al.

Treatment	Diameter (mm)	Pb <sup>2+</sup> concentration after treatment (mg/l)	Pb <sup>2+</sup> removal efficiency (%)	Ni <sup>2+</sup> concentration after treatment (mg/l)	Ni <sup>2+</sup> removal efficiency (%)
B R1 D1	< 0.125	1.29	87.07	0.109	78.20
B R1 D2	0.125 - 0.212	2.06	79.45	0.124	75.20
B R1 D3	0.212 - 0.250	3.23	67.75	0.156	68.80
B R1 D4	> 0.250	4.11	58.89	0.188	62.40
B R2 D1	< 0.125	1.06	89.36	0.092	81.60
B R2 D2	0.125 - 0.212	1.15	88.49	0.103	79.40
B R2 D3	0.212 - 0.250	2.08	79.16	0.127	74.60
B R2 D4	> 0.250	3.29	67.13	0.163	67.40

Table 4. Lead concentrations in polluted water containing after rice straw biochar treatment

B: rice straw biochar, R1: rate of 10g/l, R2: rate of 20g/l, D1: diameter < 0.125 mm, D2: diameter 0.125 - 0.212 mm, D3: diameter 0.212 - 0.250 mm, D4: diameter > 0.250 mm.

bagasse biochai treatment					
Treatment	Diameter mm	Pb <sup>2+</sup> concentration after treatment (mg/l)	Pb <sup>2+</sup> removal efficiency %	Ni <sup>2+</sup> concentration after treatment (mg/l)	
S R1 D1	< 0.125	1.21	87.89	0.104	79.20
S R1 D2	0.125 - 0.212	1.69	83.09	0.142	71.60
S R1 D3	0.212 - 0.250	4.87	51.25	0.162	67.60
S R1 D4	> 0.250	5.34	46.59	0.177	64.60
S R2 D1	< 0.125	0.96	90.39	0.099	80.20
S R2 D2	0.125 - 0.212	1.28	87.20	0.134	73.20
S R2 D3	0.212 - 0.250	4.07	59.35	0.154	69.20
S R2 D4	> 0.250	4.66	53.36	0.161	67.80

Table 5. Lead and nickel concentrations in polluted water containing of Ni after sugarcane bagasse biochar treatment

S: sugarcane bagasse biochar, R1: rate of 10g/l, R2: rate of 20g/l, D1: diameter < 0.125 mm, D2: diameter 0.125 - 0.212 mm, D3: diameter 0.212 - 0.250 mm, D4: diameter > 0.250 mm.

and other agricultural residues may be effective alternative, low-cost environmental sorbents of lead or other metals (**Inyang** *et al.*, **2011**). However, efficiencies of the second rate (20g/l) surpassed the first rate (10g/l) for all diameters. This may be ascribed to the presence of more quantity of sugarcane bagasse biochar and then higher surface area for lead and nickel adsorption. The most effective treatment regardless the different diameters and rates was S R2 D1 showing a removal efficiency of 90.39% followed by S R1 D1 treatment (87.89%) for Pb. The same trend was found for Ni showing a removal efficiency of 80.2 and 79.2%, respectively. However, it is suggested to use the treatment S R1 D1 because no significant difference (Table 2) between both treatments is found for Pb and Ni and then low cost.

# Zeolite

Table 6 shows the effect of zeolite on lead removal where it can be seen that the efficiencies of removal decreased with increasing in diameter indicating that the smaller the particle size the higher removal efficiency it is for both rates of 10 and 20 g/l. However, efficiencies of the second rate (20g/l) surpassed the first rate (10g/l) for all diameters under study. This may be due to the presence of more quantity of zeolite and then higher surface area for lead adsorption.

The most effective treatment regardless the different diameters and rates was Z R2 D1 showing a removal efficiency of 43.91% followed by Z R2 D2 (39.24%) for Pb as well as ZR2D1 followed by ZR1D1 treatment giving a removal efficiency of 59.6 and 55.0%, respectively for Ni. This indicates that for Ni the rate of 20g/l at diameter of particles less than 0.125mm (ZR2D1 treatment) should be used since there is a statistically significant difference between both treatments.

Modified zeolites can be recommended for wastewater treatment and control of

environmental pollution (Panneerselvam *et al.*, 2009).

# Conclusion

Remediation of polluted water can be achieved using natural materials such as Moringa oleifera seeds cake, biochar produced from rice straw and sugarcane bagasse and zeolite. All treatments gave a considerable ability and efficiency in removing the two heavy metals elements under study (Pb and Ni). Biochar produced from sugarcane bagasse was the most efficient substance in removing lead whereas rice straw biochar was the most efficient substance in removing nickel from the aqueous solution. It is worth to mention that zeolite treatment at rate of 10g/l with particles diameter greater than 0.250mm gave the least removing efficiency for Pb and Ni elements. These results indicate that the natural materials of moringa seeds cake, biochar of plant residues and zeolites could act as effective and low-cost adsorbent substances for the removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater to be suitable for irrigation purposes.

Treatment	Diameter, mm	Pb <sup>2+</sup> concentration after treatment (mg/l)	Pb <sup>2+</sup> Removal efficiency %	Ni <sup>2+</sup> concentration after treatment (mg/l)	Ni <sup>2+</sup> Removal efficiency %
Z R1 D1	< 0.125	6.54	34.61	0.225	55.00
Z R1 D2	0.125 - 0.212	7.36	26.39	0.312	37.60
Z R1 D3	0.212 - 0.250	7.70	23.01	0.352	29.60
Z R1 D4	> 0.250	7.76	22.40	0.397	20.60
Z R2 D1	< 0.125	5.61	43.91	0.202	59.60
Z R2 D2	0.125 - 0.212	6.08	39.24	0.280	44.00
Z R2 D3	0.212 - 0.250	6.42	35.76	0.329	34.20
Z R2 D4	> 0.250	7.45	25.53	0.375	25.00

Table 6. Lead and nickel concentrations in polluted water after zeolite treatment

Z: zeolite, R1: rate of 10g/l, R2: rate of 20g/l, D1: diameter < 0.125 mm, D2: diameter 0.125 - 0.212 mm, D3: diameter 0.212 - 0.250 mm, D4: diameter > 0.250 mm.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M., S.S. Lee, X. Dou, D. Mohan, J.K. Sung, J.E. Yang and Y.S. Ok (2012). Effects of pyrolysis temperature on soybean stoverand peanut shell-derived biochar properties and TCE adsorption in water. Biores. Technol., 118, 536–544, (At: https://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S09608 52412007869).
- Alhashimi, H.A. and C.B. Aktas (2017). Life cycle environmental and economic performance of biochar compared with activated carbon: A meta-analysis. Res., Conservation and Recycling, 118: 13–26 (At: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/articl e/pii/S0921344916303329).
- Amer, M., S. El Hafez and M. El Ghany (2017). Water Saving in Irrigated Agriculture in Egypt.(At:https://www.icid.org/Watsr Saving \_ Egypt).
- Bao, W., L. Liu, H. Zou, S. Gan, X. Xu, G. Ji, G. Gao and K. Zheng (2013). Removal of Cu2+ from Aqueous Solutions Using Na-A Zeolite from Oil Shale Ash. Chin. J. Chem. Eng., 21, 974–982, (At: https://www. sciencedirect. com/science/ article/pii/ S1004 954113605297).
- Brewer, C.E. (2012). Biochar characterization and engineering. Iowa State Univ., Digital Repository. (At: https://lib.dr.iastate. edu/etd/ 12284/).
- Brewer, C.E., Y.Y., Hu, K. Schmidt-Rohr, T.E. Loynachan, D.A. Laird and R.C. Brown (2012). Extent of Pyrolysis Impacts on Fast Pyrolysis Biochar Properties. J. Environ. Quality, 41, 1115–1122, (At:http://doi. wiley. com/10.2134/jeq2011.0118).
- FAO (1992). Wastewater treatment and use in agriculture-FAO irrigation and drainage paper 47.
- FAO (2016). AQUASTAT Country Profile-Egypt. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Rome, Italy
- Fisher, R.A. (1936). Design of experiments. Br Med. J., 1(3923): 554-554.

- Gerber, MA., H.D. Freeman, E.G. Baker and W.F. Riemath (1991). Soil washing: A preliminary assessment of its applicability to Hanford". United States. https://doi.org/ 10.2172/ 5230655. https://www.osti.gov/ servlets/ purl/5230655.
- Ghebremichael, K.A., K.R. Gunaratna, H. Henriksson, H. Brumer and G. Dalhammar (2005). A simple purification and activity assay of the coagulant protein from Moringa oleiferaseed. Water Res. 39 (11): 2338–44. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2005.04.012. PMID 159 21719
- Gul, S., J.K. Whalen, B.W. Thomas, V. Sachdeva and H. Deng (2015). Physicochemical properties and microbial responses in biochar-amended soils: Mechanisms and future directions. Agric., Ecosystems and Environ., 206, 46–59, (At: https://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S01678 80915000997).
- Han, Y., A.A. Boateng, P.X. Qi, I.M. Lima and J. Chang (2013). Heavy metal and phenol adsorptive properties of biochars from pyrolyzed switchgrass and woody biomass in correlation with surface properties. J. Environ. Manag., 118: 196–204, (At: https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0 301479713000200).
- Hellsing, M., H.M. Kwaambwa, F.M. Nermark, B.M. Nkoane, A.J. Jackson, M.J. Wasbrough, I. Berts, L. Porcar and R. Adrian (2013). Structure of flocs of latex particles formed by addition of protein from Moringa seeds. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. and Eng. Aspects., 460: 460–467. doi:10.1016/ j.colsurfa.2013.11.03
- Inyang, M., B. Gao, W. Ding, P. Pullammanappallil, A.R. Zimmerman and X. Cao (2011). Enhanced Lead Sorption by Biochar Derived from Anaerobically Digested Sugarcane Bagasse, Separation Sci. and Technol., 46 (12): 1950-1956, DOI: 10.1080/01496395. 2011.584604.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall, Ic, Englewood Califfs, New Jersy.

- Keiluweit, M., P.S. Nico, M.G. Johnson and M. Kleber (2010). Dynamic Molecular Structure of Plant Biomass-Derived Black Carbon (Biochar). Environ. Sci. and Technol., 44: 1247–1253, (At: https://pubs.acs.org/ doi/ 10.1021/es9031419).
- Kobya, M., E. Demirbas, E. Senturk and M. Ince (2005). Adsorption of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions by activated carbon prepared from apricot stone. Biores. Technol., 96, 1518–1521, (At: https://www. sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S09608 52405000039).
- Luoma, S.N. (1989) .. Can we determine the biological availability of sediment-bound trace elements?. Hydrobiologia 176: 379– 396. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00026572
- Ndabigengesere, A., K. Narasiah and B.G. Talbot (1995). Active agents and mechanism of coagulation of turbid waters using Moringa oleifera. Water Res., 29 (2): 703– 710. doi:10.1016/0043-1354(94)00161-Y.
- Pandová, I., A. Panda, J. Valíček, M. Harničárová, M. Kušnerová and Z. Palková (2018). Use of Sorption of Copper Cations by Clinoptilolite for Wastewater Treatment. Int. J. Environ. Res. and Public Health, 15: 1364, (At: http: //www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/15/7/1364).
- Panneerselvam, P., V.S. Bala, N. Thinakaran, P. Baskaralingam, M. Palanichamy and S. Sivanesan (2009). Removal of Nickel(II) from Aqueous Solutions by Adsorption with Modified ZSM- 5 Zeolites. J. Chem., 6: 729-736.
- Selmi, T., A. Sanchez-Sanchez, P. Gadonneix, J. Jagiello, M. Seffen, H. Sammouda, A. Celzard and V. Fierro (2018). Tetracycline removal with activated carbons produced by hydrothermal carbonisation of Agave americana fibres and mimosa tannin. Indust. Crops and Prod., 115: 146–157, (At: https: //www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0926669018301018.).
- Shan, T.C., M. Matar, E.A. Al-Makky and E.N. Ali (2017). The use of Moringa oleifera seed as a natural coagulant for wastewater treatment and heavy metals removal. Appl. Water Sci., 7: 1369–1376, (At: https://doi.

org/10.1007/s13201-016-0499-8).

- Shen, Z., Y. Zhang and O. McMillan (2017). Characteristics and mechanisms of nickel adsorption on biochars produced from wheat straw pellets and rice husk. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-8847-2
- Sočo, E. and J. Kalembkiewicz (2013). Adsorption of nickel(II) and copper(II) ions from aqueous solution by coal fly ash. J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 1: 581–588, (At: https://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S22133 43713000912).
- Suhartini, S., N. Hidayat and E. Rosaliana (2013). Influence of powdered Moringa oleifera seeds and natural filter media on the characteristics of tapioca starch wastewater. Int. J. Recycling of Organic Waste in Agric., 2, 12, (At:https://doi.org/10.1186/2251-7715-2-12).
- Suliman, W., J.B. Harsh, N.I. Abu-Lail, A.-M. Fortuna, I. Dallmeyer and M. Garcia-Perez (2016). Influence of feedstock source and pyrolysis temperature on biochar bulk and surface properties. Biomass and Bioen., 84, 37–48, (At: https://www.sciencedirect. com/ science/article/pii/S0961953415301501).
- Swelam, A., S. Saied and A. Hafez (2019). "Removal comparative study for Cd(II) ions from polluted solutions by adsorption and coagulation techniques using Moringa Oleifera seeds. Egypt. J. Chem., 0–0, (At: https://ejchem.journals.ekb.eg/article\_28473. html).
- Tan, X., L. Yunguo, Z. Guangming, W. Xin, H. Xinjiang, G. Yanling and Y. Zhongzhu (2015). Application of biochar for the removal of pollutants from aqueous solutions, Chemosphere, 125: 70-85, ISSN 0045-6535, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere. 2014. 12. 058. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/ article/pii/S0045653514015008)
- Turan, N.G. and O.N. Ergun (2009). Removal of Cu(II) from leachate using natural zeolite as a landfill liner material. J. Hazardous Materials, 167 : 696–700, (At: https:// linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S030438 9409000776).

- Windeatt, J.H., A.B. Ross, P.T. Williams, P.M. Forster, M.A. Nahil and S. Singh (2014). Characteristics of biochars from crop residues: Potential for carbon sequestration and soil amendment. J. Environ. Manag., 146: 189-197,(At:https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S0301479714003983).
- Zidan, M.S. and M.A. Dawoud (2013). Agriculture Use of Marginal Water in Egypt: Opportunities and Challenges. In: Developments in Soil Salinity Assessment and Reclamation, 661–679. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

# الإستغلال المحتمل لبعض المواد الطبيعية في معالجة المياه الملوثة

سامح محمد عبدالرحيم – سامح محمد شداد – السيد أحمد الناقة قسم علوم الأراضي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق - مصر

نظرا لندرة المياه العذبة في البلدان الواقعة في المناطق الجافة وشبه الجافة ، و في ظل ندرة المياه وارتفاع عدد السكان في مصر التي تعتبر واحدة من تلك البلدان، هناك حاجة ملحة لاستغلال وإعادة استخدام كل قطرة ماء، لذلك أصبح من الضروري معالجة موارد المياه الهامشية المتاحة مثل مياه الصرف المتنوعة لاستخدامها لأغراض الري. يوجد العديد من المواد الطبيعية المتنوعة التي يمكن استخدامها لمعالجة المياه الملوثة مثل بذور المورينجا، والفحم الحيوي الناتج من المخلفات النباتية، ومعادن الزيوليت الطبيعي، حيث يمكن لهذه المواد أن تساعد في إز الة الملوثات من المياه الملوثة ومن ثم يمكن استخدامها في الري. ان الهدف الرئيَّسي من هذه الدراسة هو إيجاد طرقٌ سهلة و فعالة ومنخفضة التكلفة ومتاحةً للجميع، لمعالجة مياه الصرف الملوثة بالعناصر الثقيلة. في هذا البحث تم تناول أربعة مواد طبيعية لدر اسة مدى قدرتها على إزالة عنصري الرصاص والنيكل من المياه الملوثة. هذه المواد هي مسحوق بذور المورينغا، الفحم الحيوي لقش الأرز، الفحم الحيوى لتفل قصب السكر واخيرا الزيوليت. تم استخدام جميعُ المواد بمعدلين 10 و 20 جم/لتر، وتم استخدام أربعة أقطار مختلفة لحبيبات كل من الفحم الحيوي لقش الأرز ، والفحم الحيوى لتفل قصب السكر ، والزيوليت. أظهرت النتائج أن جميع المواد المستخدمة أعطت كفاءة كبيرة في إزالة أيونات الرصاص والنيكل من المياه الملوثة، كما أن هذه الكفاءة تناقصت بزيادة أقطار الحبيبات. من المهم أيضًا الإشارة إلى أن معدل 20 جم/لتر أعطى كفاءة ازالة أكبر من معدل 10 جم/لتر وذلك لجميع المعاملات تحت نفس القطر من الحبيبات. كانت المعاملة الأكثر كفاءة لإزالة الرصاص هي الفحم الحيوي لتفل قصب السكر بمعدل 20 جم/لتر وقطر حبيبات أقل من 0.125 مم، حيث بلغت كفاءة الاز الة 90.39%، بينما كانت المعاملة الأقل كفاءة هي الزيوليت بمعدل 10 جم/لتر وأقطار حبيبات أكبر من 0.250 مم بكفاءة إزالة 22.40%. فيما يتعلق بإزالة النيكل ، كانت المعاملة الأكثر كفاءة هي الفحم الحيوي لقش الأرز بمعدل 20 جم/لتر وقطر حبيبات أقل من . 0.125 مم بكفاءة إزالة بلغت 81.6%، بينما كانت أقل معاملة هي الزيوليت بمعدل 10 جم/لتر وأقطار حبيبات أكبر من 0.250 مم. وعموما، اظهرت النتائج ان كفاءة إزالة كل من أيونات الرصاص والنيكل من المياه الملوثة صناعيا بواسطة المواد الطبيعية التي تم در استها يمكن أن تتبع الترتيب التالي: الفحم الحيوي لتفل قصب السكر > مسحوق بذور المورينجا> الفحم الحبو ي لقش الأر ز > الزيو ليتات.

- 1 أ.د. محمد عبدالتواب حسن
- 2- أ.د. أيمن محمود حلمى
- رئيس بحوث معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه مركز البحوث الزراعية. أستاذ ورئيس قسم علوم الأراضي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق.

#### 1266

المحكمــون :