

Plant Production Science

Available online at http://zjar.journals.ekb.eg http:/www.journals.zu.edu.eg/journalDisplay.aspx?Journalld=1&queryType=Master



# GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY OF SOME EGGPLANT CULTIVARS AS AFFECTED BY DIFFERENT PLANT SPACINGS

# Doaa R. Abou Al-Azm<sup>\*</sup>, A.A. Gad, H.G. Zyada and H.E.M.A. Ismail

Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Zagazig Egypt

# Received: 09/11/2021 ; Accepted: 01/12/2021

**ABSTRACT:** This work was carried out at Vegetable Private Farm at Bani Amer Village, Zagazig Distract, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt during the two successive summer seasons of 2017 and 2018, to study the performance of ten eggplant genotypes; i.e., Little Fingers (L.F.), Ping Tung (P.T.), Antigua (An.), Aswad (As.), Japanese White Egg (J.W.E.), Apple Green (A.G.), Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S.), Korean Red (K.R.), Black Oblong (B.O.) and Black very Long (B.V.L.); under three intra- row plant spacings, (30, 45 and 60 cm). The ten cultivars were evaluate in clay soil and surface irrigation. The ten cultivars were evaluated transplanting R.B.S. and B.V.L. cvs. at 45 cm gave the tallest plants. Transplanting A.G. and K.R. cvs. at 60 cm increased number of branches and number of leaves/ plant without significant differences between each other the case of number of branches/ plant in both seasons. Transplanting K.R. and B.O. cvs. at 60 cm increased leaf area and dry weight of leaves, respectively. However, transplanting R.B.S. cv. at 60 cm increased both chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll (a+b) in leaf tissues, While the interaction between transplanting K.R. cv. on spacing at 30 cm gave the lowest concentration of chlorophyll a, b, total (a+b) and carotenoids in leaf tissues of eggplant in 2018 season. With respect yield and its components, planting P.T. cv. at 60 cm and B.O. cv. at 45 increased number of fruits/plant, yield/plant, respectively. While planting As. cv. at 30 cm increased average fruit weight and total yield /fad. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, planting K.R. cv. at 60 cm, B.O. cv. at 45 cm, B.O. cv. at 60 cm and B.O. cv. at 30 cm increased number of fruits/ plant, average fruit weight, yield/ plant and total yield/ fad., respectively.

Key words: Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.), cvs, intra row plant spacings.

# INTRODUCTION

Solanum melongena L. (2n=24), commonly known as aubergine or eggplant, is an economically important vegetable crop of tropical and temperate parts of the world. It is a good source of vitamins and minerals (particularly iron). It has been used in traditional medicines for example, tissue extracts have been used for treatment of asthma, bronchitis, cholera and dysuria's; fruits are beneficial in lowering blood cholesterol (**Kashyap** *et al.*, 2003).

Plant spacing for various cultivars is a major problem faced by farmers in their production under different soil textures. The use of appro priate spacing in crop production is very important and good because it reduces competition between plants and weeds. When adequate spacing is done in plant production, crop growth and yield increases.

Competition for water and nutrients in dense plant stands might be responsible for the decrease in plant growth and yield. One of the most important factors in flourishing plant productivity is correct spacing, because it allows plant to develop their full potential above and underneath the ground. Adequate space ensures less competition for sunlight, water and fertilizer as well as prevents the spread of pests and diseases from one plant to another.

There are many cultivars of eggplant that are grown commercially in Egypt. These cultivars

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel. :+201125037135 E-mail address: doaaaboalazm55@gmail.com

have wide range of variability in their needs for appropriate planting distances, depending on the nature of the growth of each cultivar and its general characteristics. Therefore, determining the appropriate cultivation distance for each cultivar leads to achieving the appropriate productivity and the desired quality. Some authors studied the effect of plant spacing on growth. leaf pigments and productivity of eggplant such as: (Sultana, 2006; Baloch et al., 2012; Abu and Odo, 2017), leaf pigments (Aminifard et al., 2012; Rasheed and Shareef, 2019), and productivity (Silva and Silva, 2005; Kogbe, 2006; Ikissan, 2007; Degri, 2014; Kaur et al., 2017; Hassan et al., 2018; Liagat et al., 2019).

There were significant differences among cultivars and genotypes regarding plant growth (Hussein *et al.*, 2010; Zakari *et al.*, 2017; Al-Zubaidi, 2018; Iwuagwu *et al.*, 2019) photosynthetic pigments (Zakari *et al.*, 2017; Rasheed and Shareef, 2019) yield (Msogoya *et al.*, 2014; Nandwani *et al.*, 2015; Arguedas and Monge, 2017; Hassan *et al.*, 2018; Parmar *et al.* (2018).

The main objective of this work as study the general performance of ten cultivars of eggplant under different three intra-row plant spacing to determine the best eggplant cultivar and an optimum intra- row plant spacings which achievement high growth and best productivity under clay soil conditions and surface irrigation conditions.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present work was carried out at Vegetable Private Farm at Bani Amer Village, Zagazig Distract, Sharkia Governorate during the two summer seasons of 2017 and 2018, to study the performance of some eggplant genotypes, i.e., Little Fingers (L.F.), Ping Tung (P.T.), Antigua (An.), Aswad (As.), Japanese White Egg (J.W.E.), Apple Green (A.G.), Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S.), Korean Red (K.R.), Black Oblong (B.O.) and Black Very Long (B.V.L.) and evaluate them under different plant spacings, (30, 45 and 60 cm) on growth, yield and its components and fruit quality under clay soil. The cultivars were varied for general characteristics as shown in Table 1. The experimental units consisted of 30 treatments (three plant spacings and ten cultivars). The experimental layout was splitplot in randomized complete blocks design with three replicates. Plant spacing's were randomly arranged in the main plots and genotypes were randomly distributed in the sub plots.

Seeds of all eggplant cultivars were sown in speedling trays (209 sells) under plastic house as a nursery in 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. in both of the two seasons 2017 and 2018. The transplanting at different spacing's on one side of the ridge in 10<sup>th</sup> March in both seasons. All experimental units' area was 7.2 m<sup>2</sup> and it contained three ridges with 3 m long and 80 cm wide. The entries in each experimental unit consisted of 30 plants, planted at a spacing of 45 x 80 *cm*; 20 plants, planted at a spacing of 60 x 80 *cm*. The cultural practices; i.e., irrigation, fertilization and the pest and weed control were applied as recommended for eggplant.

### **Data Recorded**

#### **Plant growth characters:**

A random sample of four plants from each experimental unit was randomly taken at the end of growing seasons to determine plant height, number of branches/plant as well as dry weight of leaves/plant (g)., Whereas, number of leaves and leaf area/plant were determined at flowering stage in both seasons.

#### **Photosynthetic pigments**

Ten discs samples from the fourth upper leaf of the plant tip from every experimental unit were randomly taken at flowering stage in the  $2^{nd}$  season, to determine chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophylls as well as carotenoids content, according to the method described by **Wettestein (1957)**.

#### Yield traits

At harvest stage, the mature fruits of eggplant for each plot were collected (twice every week). Total picked fruits/plot during the whole harvesting season were weighed, and counted to determine and the total yield per plant and per fad. A average fruit weight were calculated as total weight of all harvested fruits per plant divided by their number.

	Cultivars	Abbriv.	Fruit Shape	Fruit Colour	Source
1	Little Fingers	L.F.	Long	Purple Black	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
2	Ping Tung	P.T.	Long	Purple Rose	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
3	Antigua	An.	Long	White Purple with Strips	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
4	Black Very Long	B.V.L.	Long	Dark Black	E. I. Metwally <sup>2</sup>
5	Aswad	As.	Like a squat teardrop	Black Purple	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
6	Japanese White Egg	J.W.E.	Oval	Snow White	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
7	Apple Green	A.G.	Oval	Light Green	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
8	.Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa	R.B.S.	Round	White - Rose - Pink	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
9	Korean Red	K.R.	Round	Red - Orange	Baker Greek <sup>1</sup>
10	Black Oblong	B.O.	Oval	Black	E. I. Metwally <sup>2</sup>

Table1. Variability among the cultivars under study and their sources

1: Baker Greek Heiriool Seed Company, 2278 Baker Greek Road Mansfield, MO-65704

World Wide Web: RareSeeds.com

2: Prof. Dr.E. I. Metwally, Fac, Agric, Kafr El-Sheikh Univ. Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate Egypt

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Collected data were subjected to proper statistical analysis of variance according to **Snedecor and Cochran (1980)** and the differences among treatments were compared using Duncans' multiple range test (**Duncan, 1958**).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Plant Growth Characters**

## Effect of plant spacing

Data in Table 2 show that there were significant effects of plant spacing (30, 45 and 60 cm) on plant height, number of branches, number of leaves/plant, leaf area and dry weight of leaves at the end of growing season in both seasons.

Transplanting of eggplants at 30 cm (narrow spacing) gave the tallest plant in both seasons. However, transplanting of eggplants at 60 cm (wide spacing) increased number of branches/ plant, number of leaves/ plant and dry weight of leaves. Plant spacing at 45 cm increased leaf area/ plant.

This increase in plant height in closer spacing can be explained from the fact that in case of higher population density, penetration of light was decreased which might have led to increase the endogenous auxins formation and enhanced the growth of the buds which due to competition tended to grow faster in order to outperform the next plant (**Maya** *et al.* **1997**).

These results are harmony with those reported by Sultana (2006), Baloch *et al.*, (2012) and Abu and Odo (2017) on eggplants.

#### **Response of cultivars to plant spacing**

There were significant differences among eggplant cultivars in plant growth at the end of growing season (Table 3). Rotonda Bianca di Rosa (R.B.S.) and Black very long (B.V.L.) cultivars recorded the tallest plants, whereas Apple green (A.G.) recorded the shortest plants in both seasons. Korean Red (K.R.) cultivar gave the highest values of number of branches / plant and leaf area/ plant, whereas Black oblong (B.O.) cultivar gave the highest values of dry weight of leaves / plant in both seasons. Abou Al-Azm, et al.

Treatments	nents At the end of season		At flowering stage			
	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches/ plant	Number of leaves / plant	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	dry weight of leaves (g)	
			1 <sup>st</sup> season			
30 cm	83.45 a	5.28 b	76.19 c	45.81 b	69.08 c	
45 cm	81.07 b	5.25 b	90.59 b	47.62 a	79.69 b	
60 cm	79.88 c	6.21 a	109.59 a	42.69 c	92.07 a	
			2 <sup>nd</sup> season			
30 cm	91.96 a	5.81 b	83.93 c	48.21 b	80.01 c	
45 cm	88.95 b	5.82 b	98.28 b	50.01 a	91.80 b	
60 cm	87.80 c	6.64 a	120.03 a	44.24 c	106.77 a	

Table 2. Effect of plant spacings on plant growth traits at the end of growing season of eggplantfor plant height and branch No./ plant and at flowering stage for anther traits ofeggplant during 2017 and 2018 summer seasons

Table 3. Effect of cultivars on plant growth tratis at the end of growing season of eggplant for plant height and branch No./ plant and at flowering stage for anther traits of eggplant during 2017 and 2018 summer seasons

Cultivars	At the en	d of season	At fl	owering	stage
	Plant	Number of	Number	Leaf	Dry
	height	branches/	of leaves /	area	weight of
	( <b>cm</b> )	plant	plant	$(\mathrm{cm}^2)$	leaves (g)
		1	<sup>st</sup> season		
Little Fingers (L.F)	82.73 bc	5.77 bc	58.42 i	47.76 c	57.57 h
Ping Tung (P.T)	78.05 e	5.19 f	84.25 f	42.42 d	86.64 d
Antigua (An)	84.83 b	5.44 e	97.47 d	37.68 ef	72.28 g
Aswad (As)	79.55 de	5.41 e	74.11 h	52.55 b	79.90 f
Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	74.19 f	5.88 b	120.58 a	42.16 d	93.63 b
Apple Green (A.G)	65.16 g	5.75 c	101.94 c	38.72 e	71.20 g
Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	91.44 a	5.16 f	79.58 g	47.51 c	70.08 g
Korean Red (K.R)	84.97 b	6.30 a	95.38 d	60.18 a	84.09 e
Black Oblong (B.O)	80.88 cd	5.58 d	92.22 e	48.33 c	96.62 a
Black Very Long (B.V.L)	92.86 a	5.33 e	117.28 b	36.46 f	90.81 c
		2	<sup>nd</sup> season		
Little Fingers (L.F)	91.75 b	6.27 bc	64.25 i	50.98 c	66.67 h
Ping Tung (P.T)	85.75 c	5.69 d	92.53 f	44.66 d	101.31 d
Antigua (An)	93.17 b	5.97 bcd	106.25 d	38.55 e	83.40 g
Aswad (As)	87.33 c	5.88 cd	81.44 h	54.97 b	92.47 f
Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	81.56 d	6.38 ab	132.42 a	43.27 d	108.67 b
Apple Green (A.G)	71.67 e	6.08 bcd	111.19 c	39.65 e	81.61 g
Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	100.69 a	5.86 cd	87.44 g	50.00 c	81.33 g
Korean Red (K.R)	93.39 b	6.75 a	104.86 d	63.53 a	97.22 e
Black Oblong (B.O)	88.44 c	6.05 bcd	101.44 e	50.88 c	111.95 a
Black Very Long (B.V.L)	101.97 a	5.97 bcd	125.64 b	38.38 e	103.97 c

1360

The differences among the tested cultivars and genotypes in growth characters could be due to the genetic factors. These results agree with the findings of **Hussein** *et al.* (2010), **Zakari** *et al.* (2017), **Al-Zubaidi** (2018) and **Iwuagwu** *et al.* (2019) all on eggplants.

#### Effect of interaction

The interaction between plant spacing and cultivars had significant effect on plant growth of eggplant at the end of growing seasons (Tables 4 and 5)

Transplanting R.B.S. and B.V.L. cultivars at 45 cm gave the tallest plants. Transplanting (A.G.) cultivar at 60 cm increased number of branches and number of leaves/plant with no significant differences with K.R. cultivar at 60 cm with respect to number of branches/ plant in both seasons. Transplanting K.R. and B.O. cultivars at 60 cm increased leaf area and dry weight of leaves, respectively.

These results coincide with those reported by Hassan et al. (2018). They showed that the interaction between transplanting Wizo cultivar on spacing at 50 cm recorded the tallest plant and highest value of number of leaves / plant, while black beauty cultivar at the same spacing gave the highest of number of branches/ plant. Also, Iwuagwu et al. (2019) indicated that the interaction between eggplant cultivars and plant spacing had significant effect on leaf area/ plant at three and six weeks after transplanting, however transplanting white garden eggplant cultivar at 60cm x 40cm gave the best results, while the interaction between transplanting green garden egg plant cultivar at the same spacing gave the highest root length than other interaction treatments. Rasheed and Shareef (2019) showed that plant spacing at level (60 cm) and Kyme cultivar significantly improved leaf area/ plant than other interaction treatments.

# **Photosynthetic Pigments**

# Effect of plant spacing

The concentration of chlorophyll a, b, total (a+b) and carotenoides in leaf tissues were increased with increasing plant spacing at 60 cm (wide spacing) followed by plant spacing at 45 cm, while plant spacing at 30 cm recorded the

lowest concentration of all leaf pigments of eggplant in 2018 summer season (Table 6).

The stimulative effect of low plant density (wide spacing) on leaf pigments may be due to the more exposing to solar radiation, that is necessary for photosynthetic activity and photosynthetic apparatus. The reduction of leaf chlorophyll content due to high plant density (narrow spacing) could be explained partially by the effects of shading of the lower canopy, causing poor canopy interception of the photosynthetically active radiation (**Brahim** *et al.*, **1998**).

Theses results are harmony with those reported by **Aminifard** *et al.* (2012) and **Rasheed and Shareef** (2019) on eggplant.

### **Response of cultivars to plant spacing**

There were significant effect among eggplant cultivars in chlorophyll a, b, total (a+b) and carotenoides in leaf tissues (Table 6). R.B.S. cultivar gave the highest concentration of chlorophyll a, b and total (a+b) in leaf tissues, whereas Ping Tung (P.T.) cultivar gave the highest concentration of carotenoides in leaf tissues.

The obtained results are in conformity with those reported by **Zakari** *et al.* (2017) and **Rasheed and Shareef** (2019). They found that there were significant differences between hybrids cultivars regarding chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll of eggplants.

#### Effect of the interaction

Transplanting R.B.S. cultivar at 60 cm increased chlorophyll a, b and total (a+b) in leaf tissues, whereas planting P.T cultivar at 30 cm increased the concentration of carotenoides in leaf tissues (Table 7). While the interaction between transplanting KR cultivar on spacing at 30 cm gave the lowest concentration of chlorophyll a, b, total (a+b) and carotenoides in leaf tissues of eggplant in 2018 season.

The obtained results are in accordance with those reported by **Rasheed and Shareef (2019)**. They showed that the interaction between Kyme cultivar and planting on 60 cm gave the highest concentration chlorophyll content in leave than other interaction treatments.

Table 4. Effect of the interaction treatments between plant spacing and cultivars on plant<br/>growth characters at the end of growing season of eggplant for plant height and<br/>branch No./ plant and at flowering stage for anther traits of eggplant during 2017<br/>summer season

	Treatments		d of season	At flowering stage		
		Plant	Number of		Leaf	Dry
Spacing	CVS	height (cm)	branches/ plant	of leaves / plant	area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	weight of leaves (g)
30 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	86.75 ef	5.75 f	55.33 p	47.99 f	61.881
	Ping Tung (P.T)	79.75 hij	5.16 hi	65.83 n	39.19 klm	67.99 k
	Antigua (An)	88.66 de	5.16 hi	84.17 jk	37.43 mno	59.89 lm
	Aswad (As)	80.83 ghi	5.50 g	68.58 n	51.71 e	76.61 ij
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	78.83 hij	6.08 de	116.17 d	53.39 cde	109.88 b
	Apple Green (A.G)	62.00 n	4.50 k	68.25 n	32.04 q	46.28 o
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	92.33 bcd	4.251	65.42 n	44.09 hij	48.53 no
	Korean Red (K.R)	93.00 abc	5.83 f	85.33 j	60.66 b	77.18 ij
	Black Oblong (B.O)	80.50 ghi	5.08 i	80.001	52.25 de	85.32 fg
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	91.91 bcd	5.50 g	72.83 m	39.34 klm	57.27 m
45 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	84.25 fg	6.25 d	59.75 o	47.92 f	58.94 lm
	Ping Tung (P.T)	78.08 hij	4.58 k	80.83 kl	43.92 hij	88.99 e
	Antigua (An)	79.41 hij	4.50 k	96.50 h	41.53 jkl	74.66 j
	Aswad (As)	77.66 ij	4.83 j	67.00 n	60.99 b	79.04 hi
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	72.75 kl	5.50 g	118.33 d	38.57 lmn	87.89 ef
	Apple Green (A.G)	64.83 mn	5.50 g	90.17 i	46.24 fgh	69.71 k
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	94.33 ab	5.33 gh	73.58 m	56.44 c	79.21 hi
	Korean Red (K.R)	82.16 gh	6.08 de	97.42 h	54.97 cd	69.58 k
	Black Oblong (B.O)	80.25 g-j	5.41 g	77.831	51.53 e	85.10 fg
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	97.00 a	4.58 k	144.50 a	34.13 pq	103.85 c
60 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	77.21 ij	5.33 gh	60.17 o	47.37 fg	51.89 n
	Ping Tung (P.T)	76.33 jk	5.83 f	106.08 f	44.15 g-j	102.96 c
	Antigua (An)	86.41 ef	6.66 c	111.75 e	34.07 pq	82.30 gh
	Aswad (As)	80.16 g-j	5.91 ef	86.75 ij	44.95 f-i	84.05 g
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	71.001	6.08 de	127.25 c	34.51 opq	83.15 g
	Apple Green (A.G)	68.66 lm	7.25 a	147.42 a	37.87 mn	97.61 d
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	87.66 ef	5.91 ef	99.75 gh	42.01 ijk	82.52 gh
	Korean Red (K.R)	79.75 hij	7.00 b	103.40 fg	64.90 a	105.52 c
	Black Oblong (B.O)	81.91 gh	6.25 d	118.83 d	41.21 jkl	119.46 a
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	89.66 cde	5.91 ef	134.50 b	35.91 nop	111.33 b

1362

Table 5. Effect of the interaction treatments between plant spacing and cultivars on plant
growth characters at the end of growing season of eggplant for plant height and
branch No./ plant and at flowering stage for anther traits of eggplant during 2018
summer season

Treatme	nts	At the en	d of season	At flowering stage		
Spacing	CVS	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches/ plant		Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Dry weight of leaves (g)
30 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	97.75 c-f	6.25 c-f	60.92 o	53.67 fg	71.72 o
	Ping Tung (P.T)	87.67 jkl	5.66 e-i	72.33 m	41.26 jk	78.68 n
	Antigua (An)	97.42 def	5.75 e-i	92.58 j	36.07 mn	69.37 op
	Aswad (As)	88.50 ijk	5.91 d-g	75.33 m	54.44 ef	88.60 kl
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	86.75 jkl	6.41 b-e	127.75 d	56.21 def	127.28 b
	Apple Green (A.G)	68.25 p	5.00 i	75.75 m	33.74 mn	54.10 r
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	101.50 bcd	5.33 ghi	72.00 m	46.41 hi	56.28 qr
	Korean Red (K.R)	102.25 abc	6.33 cde	93.83 j	63.86 b	88.95 k
	Black Oblong (B.O)	88.50 ijk	5.50 f-i	88.00 k	55.01 ef	98.85 gh
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	101.08 b-e	6.00 c-g	80.831	41.41 jk	66.29 p
45 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	92.50 ghi	6.75 abc	65.67 n	49.74 gh	68.18 op
	Ping Tung (P.T)	85.75 jkl	5.08 hi	88.75 k	46.24 hi	103.30 f
	Antigua (An)	87.33 jkl	5.00 i	103.42 h	43.73 ij	84.28 lm
	Aswad (As)	85.42 kl	5.33 ghi	73.67 m	63.15 bc	91.55 jk
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	79.92 mn	6.00 c-g	129.92 d	40.61 jkl	101.30 fg
	Apple Green (A.G)	71.33 ор	6.00 c-g	99.08 i	48.68 h	80.71 mn
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	103.75 ab	5.83 d-h	80.831	59.41 cd	92.30 ijk
	Korean Red (K.R)	90.25 hij	6.58 a-d	107.08gh	58.39 de	80.53 mn
	Black Oblong (B.O)	86.75 jkl	5.91 d-g	85.67 k	54.25 ef	98.65 gh
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	106.50 a	5.75 e-i	148.67 b	35.93 mn	117.21 d
60 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	85.00 kl	5.83 d-h	66.17 n	49.51 gh	60.09 q
	Ping Tung (P.T)	83.83 lm	6.33 cde	116.50 f	46.48 hi	121.95 c
	Antigua (An)	94.75 fgh	7.16 ab	122.75 e	35.87 mn	96.55 hi
	Aswad (As)	88.08 i-1	6.41 b-e	95.33 j	47.32 hi	97.26 gh
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	78.00 n	6.75 abc	139.58 c	33.00 n	97.43 gh
	Apple Green (A.G)	75.42 no	7.25 a	158.75 a	36.54 lmn	110.02 e
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	96.83 efg	6.41 b-e	109.50 g	44.16 ij	95.42 hij
	Korean Red (K.R)	87.67 jkl	7.33 a	113.67 f	68.33 a	122.19 c
	Black Oblong (B.O)	90.08 hij	6.75 abc	130.67 d	43.38 ij	138.36 a
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	98.33 c-f	6.16 c-f	147.42 b	37.80 klm	128.42 b

Treatments	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll	Total	Carotenoides
	а	b	chlorophyll	
			(a+b)	
		Effect of p	lant spacing	
30 cm	2.928 b	1.435 b	4.364 b	1.156 b
45 cm	2.928 b	1.408 b	4.337 b	1.115 c
60 cm	3.197 a	1.497 a	4.695 a	1.244 a
		Effect of	f cultivars	
Little Fingers (L.F)	2.553 f	1.288 f	3.841 f	1.011 g
Ping Tung (P.T)	3.720 b	1.711 b	5.431 b	1.585 a
Antigua (An)	2.279 g	1.140 g	3.419 g	0.975 h
Aswad (As)	2.867 e	1.396 e	4.263 e	1.074 f
Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	3.221 c	1.499 d	4.720 c	1.289 c
Apple Green (A.G)	3.151 cd	1.557 c	4.708 cd	1.136 e
Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	4.005 a	1.782 a	5.788 a	1.507 b
Korean Red (K.R)	2.128 h	1.092 g	3.221 h	0.800 i
Black Oblong (B.O)	3.182 cd	1.505 d	4.687 cd	1.258 d
Black Very Long (B.V.L)	3.075 d	1.500 d	4.575 d	1.080 f

Table 6. Effect of plant spacing and cultivars on leaf pigments (mg/g DW) at flowering stag	ge of
eggplant during 2018 summer seasons	

Table 7. Effect of the interaction treatments between spacing and cultivars on leaf pigments (mg/ g DW) at flowering stage of eggplant during 2018 summer seasons

Treatmen	ts	Chlorophyll	Chlorophyll	Total	Carotenoides
Spacing	CVS	- a	b	chlorophyll	
1				(a+b)	
30 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	2.808 lmn	1.288 f	4.212 ij	1.043 n
	Ping Tung (P.T)	3.850 b	1.711 b	5.596 b	1.809 a
	Antigua (An)	2.341 p	1.140 g	3.5121	1.021 o
	Aswad (As)	2.952 jkl	1.396 e	4.394 hi	1.143 hi
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	2.962 jkl	1.499 d	4.410 hi	1.155 h
	Apple Green (A.G)	3.344 efg	1.557 c	4.996 e	1.120 j
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	3.520 de	1.782 a	5.253 d	1.454 e
	Korean Red (K.R)	1.928 r	1.092 g	2.952 n	0.649 t
	Black Oblong (B.O)	2.674 no	1.505 d	4.002 jk	1.116 jk
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	2.906 klm	1.500 d	4.313 hi	1.050 n
45 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	2.256 pq	1.288 f	3.417 lm	0.949 q
	Ping Tung (P.T)	3.666 bcd	1.711 b	5.400 bcd	1.209 g
	Antigua (An)	2.133 q	1.140 g	3.210 m	0.990 p
	Aswad (As)	2.706 mno	1.396 e	4.040 jk	1.010 o
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	3.410 ef	1.499 d	4.882 ef	1.448 e
	Apple Green (A.G)	2.896 klm	1.557 c	4.310 hi	1.074 m
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	3.752 bc	1.782 a	5.494 bc	1.527 d
	Korean Red (K.R)	2.240 pq	1.092 g	3.360 lm	0.706 s
	Black Oblong (B.O)	3.048 ijk	1.505 d	4.525 gh	1.140 i
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	3.178 ghi	1.500 d	4.734 fg	1.103 k
60 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	2.597 o	1.288 f	3.896 k	1.043 n
	Ping Tung (P.T)	3.642 cd	1.711 b	5.297 cd	1.739 b
	Antigua (An)	2.362 p	1.140 g	3.5371	0.914 r
	Aswad (As)	2.944 kl	1.396 e	4.356 hi	1.071 m
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	3.290 fgh	1.499 d	4.869 ef	1.266 f
	Apple Green (A.G)	3.213 fghi	1.557 c	4.820 ef	1.214 g
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	4.744 a	1.782 a	6.616 a	1.541 c
	Korean Red (K.R)	2.218 pq	1.092 g	3.351 lm	1.045 n
	Black Oblong (B.O)	3.824 bc	1.505 d	5.536 b	1.518 d
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	3.141 hij	1.500 d	4.678 fg	1.0891

1364

#### Yield and its Components

#### **Effect of plant spacing**

Plant spacing had significant effect on number of fruits/plant, yield/plant and total yield /fad. in both seasons and average fruit weight in the  $2^{nd}$ season (Table 8). Number of fruits/ plant and yield/plant increased with increasing plant spacing at 60 cm, whereas total yield/fad. increased with decreasing plant spacing at 30 cm in both seasons.

Dense spacing designs may increase competition for water and fertilizers, which results in inadequate vegetative growth and low yields (**Knavel, 1988**). At low plant density, greater nutrients uptake and improved light environment and water at lower plant population, hence the competition was low which would increase branching, flowers and fruit yield/ plant.

Also, reduced number of fruits under wider spacing undergone less inter or intra plant competition which caused an increased number of fruits per plant. Plants tended to have higher photosynthetic potential as in-row spacing increased due to excess light source for photosynthesis within the canopy. This could however only improved the individual performance but could not compensate for the low leaf area per unit area of land as a result of the sparse population density (**Mishriky and Alphonse 1994**).

The obtained results are in conformity with those reported by Silva and Silva (2005), Kogbe (2006), Ikissan (2007), Degri (2014), Kaur *et al.*, (2017), Hassan *et al.* (2018) and Liaqat *et al.* (2019) all on eggplants.

#### **Response of cultivars to plant spacing**

There were significant differences among eggplant cultivars in number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight, yield / plant and total yield /fad. in both seasons (Table 9).

Black Oblong cultivar recorded maximum value of average fruit weight in the  $2^{nd}$  season and yield/plant and total yield/fad., in both seasons. As for number of fruits/plant P.T and K.R cultivars increased number of fruits/ plant in the  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  seasons, respectively. Aswad cultivar gave the maximum average fruit weight in the  $2^{nd}$  season.

Significant differences between cultivars and genotypes regarding yield and its components of eggplants were reported by many others such as. Msogoya *et al.* (2014), Nandwani *et al.* (2015), Arguedas and Monge (2017), Hassan *et al.* (2018) and Parmar *et al.* (2018)

#### **Effect of the interaction**

The interaction between plant spacing and cultivars had significant effect on number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight, yield/plant and total yield/fad. In both seasons (Tables 10 and 11). In the 1<sup>st</sup> season, planting P.T. at 60 cm and B.O. cultivar at 45 increased number of fruits/ plant, yield/plant respectively, while planting As. cultivar at 30 cm increased average fruit weight and total yield/fad. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, planting K.R. at 60 cm, B.O. cultivar at 45 cm, B.O. cultivar at 60 cm and B.O. cultivar at 30 cm increased number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight, yield/plant and total yield/fad., respectively.

These results are harmony with those reported with Paturde et al. (2002) conducted an experiment for the performance of Arka mahima (Tetraploid) against Arka sanjeevini (Diploid) varieties of wild brinjal under different plant spacing 60 x 30 or 30 x 30 cm. Arka sanjeevini recorded significantly more dry berry yield than Arka mahima. Also, Arguedas and Monge (2017) showed that the interaction between genotype JMX-1099 at a planting density of 1.30 plants/ $m^2$  gave the highest marketable yield was (18.90 fruits/m<sup>2</sup> and 6.21 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) than other interaction treatments of eggplant. However, Hassan et al. (2018) found that the interaction between Wizo cultivar and plant spacing at 50 cm gave the best results for increasing number of fruits/plant, average fruit weight, fruit length, while black beauty cultivar and the same spacing gave the maximum fruit diameter. In addition, Rasheed and Shareef (2019) showed that the interaction between Kyme cultivar and plant spacing at 60 cm had significantly enhanced average fruit weight, plant yield (Kg.plant<sup>-1</sup>) and total yield (ton.ha<sup>-1</sup>).

From the forgoing results, it could be concluded that, planting B.O. cultivar at 45, 60 and 30 cm increased average fruit weight, yield / plant and total yield/fad., respectively.

Abou Al-Azm, et al.

Treatments	Number of fruits/ plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Yield / plant (g)	Yield /fad. (ton)
		2017 season		
30 cm	13.52 c	142.87 a	1558.6 c	27.276 a
45 cm	18.76 b	141.33 a	2043.8 b	26.824 b
60 cm	20.98 a	141.39 a	2282.1 a	19.969 c
		2018 season		
30 cm	14.13 c	133.47 a	1487.8 c	26.036 a
45 cm	15.71 b	133.19 a	1645.6 b	21.599 b
60 cm	21.09 a	129.08 b	2209.8 a	19.336 c

Table 8. Effect of plant spacing on yield and its	components of eggplant during 2017 and 2018
summer seasons	

# Table 9. Effect of cultivars on yield and its components of eggplant during 2017 and 2018 summer seasons

Treatments	Number of	Average fruit	Yield / plant	Yield /fad.
	fruits/ plant	weight (g)	(g)	(ton)
		2017 sea	ason	
Little Fingers (L.F)	19.08 f	63.08 g	1200.8 f	15.214 gh
Ping Tung (P.T)	26.52 a	72.15 f	1919.8 d	23.667 e
Antigua (An)	20.14 e	77.83 e	1572.8 e	19.082 f
Aswad (As)	7.93 i	332.08 a	2632.1 b	35.350 b
Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	22.91 c	105.71 d	2426.7 c	29.696 d
Apple Green (A.G)	24.30 b	106.90 d	2592.4 b	31.475 c
Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	7.14 j	268.57 b	1919.7 d	24.072 e
Korean Red (K.R)	21.52 d	55.39 h	1194.1 f	14.892 h
Black Oblong (B.O)	11.22 h	263.68 c	2943.2 a	37.802 a
Black Very Long (B.V.L)	16.80 g	73.26 f	1213.5 f	15.646 g
		2018 sea	ason	
Little Fingers (L.F)	20.84 b	56.51 h	1487.8 c	14.618 i
Ping Tung (P.T)	15.10 f	63.99 g	1645.6 b	12.040 j
Antigua (An)	18.78 c	71.65 f	2209.8 a	16.769 h
Aswad (As)	8.30 i	265.30 b	1487.8 c	26.975 с
Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	19.35 c	107.31 d	1645.6 b	25.886 d
Apple Green (A.G)	17.41 d	107.26 d	2209.8 a	23.099 e
Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	9.70 h	238.91 c	1487.8 c	28.989 b
Korean Red (K.R)	32.98 a	48.72 i	1645.6 b	20.641 f
Black Oblong (B.O)	10.62 g	276.87 a	2209.8 a	36.445 a
Black Very Long (B.V.L)	16.69 e	82.60 e	1487.8 c	17.775 g

1366

Treatments		Number	Average	Yield /	Total
Spacing	CVS	of fruits/ plant	fruit weight (g)	plant (g)	yield (ton/fad.)
30 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	18.33 g	57.29 no	1049.8 lm	18.371 n
	Ping Tung (P.T)	20.58 f	70.25 jkl	1444.8 j	25.285 ј
	Antigua (An)	12.54 k	75.59 ij	946.9 no	16.570 o
	Aswad (As)	8.28 no	359.57 a	2976.9 c	52.096 a
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	15.67 i	103.35 g	1618.2 i	28.318 h
	Apple Green (A.G)	17.49 gh	104.80 fg	1834.7 h	32.107 ef
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	6.53 p	249.41 e	1623.7 i	28.414 h
	Korean Red (K.R)	17.03 h	53.20 op	906.7 op	15.867 o
	Black Oblong (B.O)	8.58 no	273.74 с	2349.5 f	41.116 c
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	10.25 m	81.52 hi	835.2 p	14.617 p
45 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	16.45 hi	68.61 klm	1128.41	14.810 p
	Ping Tung (P.T)	25.40 c	71.76 jkl	1820.3 h	23.891 k
	Antigua (An)	23.30 e	75.81 hij	1754.5 h	23.027 kl
	Aswad (As)	7.86 no	318.33 b	2493.2 de	32.723 de
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	24.48 cd	105.48 fg	2566.8 d	33.688 d
	Apple Green (A.G)	21.26 f	110.96 f	2359.5 f	30.968 g
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	6.23 p	279.98 c	1740.9 h	22.8491
	Korean Red (K.R)	24.37 d	50.71 p	1233.6 k	16.192 o
	Black Oblong (B.O)	13.76 ј	259.13 d	3563.4 a	46.769 b
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	24.50 cd	72.53 jk	1777.1 h	23.324 kl
60 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	22.48 e	63.35 mn	1424.2 j	12.462 q
	Ping Tung (P.T)	33.58 a	74.42 jk	2494.4 de	21.826 m
	Antigua (An)	24.58 cd	82.09 h	2017.1 g	17.649 n
	Aswad (As)	7.66 o	318.35 b	2426.3 ef	21.231 m
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	28.58 b	108.31 fg	3095.0 b	27.081 i
	Apple Green (A.G)	34.16 a	104.92 fg	3583.0 a	31.351 fg
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	8.66 n	276.33 c	2394.5 f	20.952 m
	Korean Red (K.R)	23.16 e	62.25 mn	1441.9 j	12.617 q
	Black Oblong (B.O)	11.331	258.18 d	2916.7 c	25.521 ј
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	15.66 i	65.73 lm	1028.2 mn	8.997 r

 Table 10. Effect of the interaction treatment between plant spacing and cultivars on yield and its components of eggplant during 2017 summer seasons

 Table 11. Effect of the interaction treatments between plant spacing and cultivars on yield and its components of eggplant during 2018 summer seasons

Treatments		Number	Average	Yield/	Total
Spacing	CVS	<ul> <li>of fruits/ plant</li> </ul>	fruit weight (g)	plant (g)	yield (ton/fad.)
30	Little Fingers (L.F)	18.62 f	56.111	1044.51	18.279 k
	Ping Tung (P.T)	11.201	55.001	616.8 n	11.128 p
	Antigua (An)	15.85 hi	73.83 ij	1168.2 k	20.110 j
	Aswad (As)	6.87 n	282.91 ab	1930.7 f	33.787 bc
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	14.87 ij	106.41 f	1579.4 h	27.639 ef
	Apple Green (A.G)	13.33 k	107.79 f	1436.6 i	25.141 g
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	7.50 n	249.89 d	1872.4 f	32.768 c
	Korean Red (K.R)	28.45 c	53.781	1528.1 hi	26.741 f
	Black Oblong (B.O)	9.04 m	264.31 c	2379.5 d	41.642 a
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	15.62 hi	84.63 g	1321.6 ј	23.128 hi
45 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	15.33 i	56.631	867.6 m	11.387 p
	Ping Tung (P.T)	15.60 hi	66.90 jk	1043.31	13.694 o
	Antigua (An)	17.08 g	66.17 k	1130.5 kl	14.837 mn
	Aswad (As)	6.36 n	276.78 b	1755.5 g	23.042 hi
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	19.94 e	106.79 f	2128.9 e	27.942 e
	Apple Green (A.G)	16.58 gh	106.52 f	1766.5 g	23.186 h
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	9.87 m	234.56 e	2315.7 d	30.393 d
	Korean Red (K.R)	31.66 b	50.471	1597.0 h	20.961 j
	Black Oblong (B.O)	9.00 m	285.15 a	2566.4 c	33.684 bc
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	15.70 hi	81.88 gh	1284.6 j	16.8601
60 cm	Little Fingers (L.F)	28.58 c	56.771	1621.3 h	14.186 no
	Ping Tung (P.T)	18.50 f	70.06 ijk	1291.3 j	11.299 p
	Antigua (An)	23.41 d	74.95 hi	1755.4 g	15.360 m
	Aswad (As)	11.661	236.20 e	2753.8 b	24.096 gh
	Japanese White Egg (J.W.E)	23.25 d	108.73 f	2522.9 с	22.076 i
	Apple Green (A.G)	22.33 d	107.48 f	2396.6 d	20.971 j
	Rotonda Bianca Stumata di Rosa (R.B.S)	11.751	232.28 e	2720.5 b	23.804 h
	Korean Red (K.R)	38.83 a	41.91 m	1625.1 h	14.220 no
	Black Oblong (B.O)	13.83 jk	281.14 ab	3886.7 a	34.009 b
	Black Very Long (B.V.L)	18.75 ef	81.29 gh	1524.2 hi	13.336 o

#### REFERENCES

- Abu, N.E. and C.V. Odo (2017). The effect of plant density on growth and yield of 'NsukkaYellow' aromatic pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Afr. J. Agric. Res., 12 (15): 1269-1277.
- **Al-Zubaidi A.H.A. (2018).** Effects of salinity stress on growth and yield of two varieties of eggplant under greenhouse conditions. Res. on Crops, 19 (3) : 436-440.
- Aminifard, M.H., H. Aroiee, A. Ameri and H. Fatemi (2012). Effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilizer on growth, yield and fruit quality of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). Afr. J. Agric. Res., 7 (6): 859-866.
- Arguedas, G.C. and P.J. Monge (2017). Effect of planting density on yield and quality of fruits of two genotypes of eggplants (*Solanum melongena* L.) grown under greenhouse conditions in Costa Rica. Tecnología en Marcha, 30(4): 66-79.
- Baloch, Q.B., B. Dero and N. Memon (2012). Influence of sowing patterns on the growth and productivity of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*). J. Agric. Techn., 8 (6) : 2043-2051.
- Brahim, K., D.T. Ray and D.A. Dierig (1998). Growth and yield characteristics of *Lesquerella fendleri* as a function of plant density. Ind. Crops Prod., 9: 63-71.
- **Degri, M.M. (2014).** The effect of spacing of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) (Solanaceae) on Shoot and Fruitborer (*Leucinodes orbonalis Guen.*) Lepidoptera:Pyralidae) Infestation in the Dry Savanna zone of Nigeria. Agric. Biol. J. N. Am., 5(1): 10-14.
- **Duncan, D.B.** (1958). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test. Biometrics, 11: 1-5.
- Hassan, F.I.M., Y.A. Eisa and M.A.A. Adlan (2018). Effect of intra-row spacing on growth and yield of two eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) cultivars under Blue Nile State conditions, Sudan. Net J. Agric. Sci., 6 (2): 11-15.
- Hussein, H.A., K.A. Farghaly and A.K. Metwally (2010). Effect of irrigation

intervals on vegetative growth and yield of two cultivars of eggplant. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 41 (3):13 -28.

- Ikissan (2007). Brinjal Crop Establishment. IKissan.com., 1-6.
- Iwuagwu, C.C., D.O Okeke, F.C. Onejeme, D.E. Iheaturu, A.C. Nwogbaga and M.T. Salaudeen (2019). Effect of plant spacing on yield and disease assessment on two varieties of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in Awka. East Afr. Scholars J. Agric. Life Sci., 2 (5): 281-288.
- Kashyap, V., S. Vinod Kumar, C. Collonnier,
  F. Fusari, R. Haicour, G.L. Rotino, D.
  Sihachakr and M.V. Rajam (2003).
  Biotechnology of eggplant. Sci. Hort. 97: 1-25.
- Kaur, R.D., B. Kaur, S. Singh and N. Gandhi (2017). Effect of Plant density on phenological and vield contributing characters of Shamli variety of Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.). Int. Conf. Recent Innovations in Sci. Agric. Eng. and Mangment, Univ. college of computer applications Guru Kash Univ, Bathinda, Punjab (India) 20<sup>th</sup> Nov.2017.
- Knavel, D.E. (1991). Productivity and growth of short-internode muskmelon plants at various spacings or densities. J. Ame. Soc. Hort. Sci., 116(6): 926-929.
- Kogbe, J.O.S. (2006). Effects of spacing on the yield of local and exotic species of eggplant. ISHS Acta Horticulturae 123: Proc. VI Afr. Symposium on Hort. Crops, 341-344.
- Liaqat, A., M.Z. Ihsan, M.S. Rizwan, A. Mehmood, M. Ijaz, M. Alam, M. Abdullah, M. Wajid, R. Hussain, M. Naeem and M.S. Yaqub (2019) .Inducing effect of chitosan on the physiological and biochemical indices of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes under heat and high irradiance. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res., 17 (5): 11273-11287.
- Maya, P., S. Natarajan, S. and Thamburaj (1997). Effect of spacing, N and P on growth and yield of sweet pepper cv. California Wonder. South Indian Hort. 45:16-18.

- Mishriky, J.F. and M. Alphonse (1994). Effect of nitrogen and plant spacing on growth, yield and fruit mineral composition of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ., 45(2):413-431.
- Msogoya, T.J., O.M. Ramadhani and A.P. Maerere (2014). Effects of harvesting stages on yield and nutritional quality of African eggplant (*Solanum aethiopicum* L.) fruits. J. Appl. Biosci., 78:6590 – 6599.
- Nandwani, D., S. Dennery, V. Forbes, T. Geiger and V. Sidhu (2015). Effect of biostimulants on the yield performance of organically-grown eggplant cultivars in the US virgin islands. Proc. Caribbean Food Crops Soc., 51:181-106.
- Parmar, D.K., D.R. Thakur and R.S. Jamwal (2018). Evaluation of tomato cultivars for yield, profit and quality performance in an organic management system in North Western Himalayas, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(10): 498-506.
- Paturde, J.T., S.G. Khode and A. Khan (2002). Performance of Arka mahima (Tetraploid) against Arka samkeevomo) (Diploid) varieties of wild brinjal under different plant spacings and fertility levels. Agric. Sci. Digest., 22 (1): 67-68.

- Rasheed S.M. and R.S. Shareef (2019). Effect of seaweed extract and plant spacing on growth and yield of two eggplant hybrids (*Solanum Melongena* L.). J. Duhok Univ., 22 (2): 101-112.
- Silva, U.D. and R.A. Silva (2005). Eggplant production Evaluation under normal friable soils the north-west region of Minas Gerais. Hort. Brasileira. 17(1-2):231-235.
- **Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. (1967).** Statistical methods. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed., Oxford and IBH Publication Co.
- Sultana K. (2006). Effect of spacing and bud pruning on the growth and yield of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) .MSc. Thesis, Shere-Bangla Agric. Univ., Dhaka.
- Wettestein, D. (1957). Chlorophyll. Lethale under submikroskopische formwechsel der plastiden. Exptl. Cell Reso., 12: 427-506.
- Zakari, S.A., S.A. Tadda, N.I. Galadanci and K.T. Aliyu (2017). Response of growth and yield characters of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) varieties to nitrogen rates during the rainy season. The Nigerian Agric. J., 48 (2): 26-33.

1371 Zagazig J. Agric. Res., Vol. 48 No. (6) 2021 تأثير مسافات الراعة المختلفة على نمسو وإنتاجية بعض أصناف الباذنجان

دعاء رمضان ابوالعزم - عبدالمنعم عامر جاد - هاني جمال زيادة - هاني السيد محمد علي قسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق – مصر

تم اجراء هذا العمل في مزرعة خضر خاصة في قرية بني عامر، مركز الزقازيق، محافظة الشرقية، مصر أثناء الموسميين الصيفيين المتاليين 2017و 2018، لدر اسة سلوك عشرة أصناف باذنجان هي ليتيل فينجـرز (L.F.)، بيـنج تـونج (P.T.)، أنتيجـوا(An.)،بـلاك فـري لـونج (B.V.L.)، أسـود (As.)،جابـانيز وايـت ايـج (J.W.E.)، ابـل جـرين (A.G.)، روتونـدا بيانكـا سـتوماتا دي روزا (R.S.B.)، كـورين ريـد (K.R.) وبـلاك اوبلنج (B.O.) تحت ثلاثة مسافات بين النباتات داخل الخط 30، 45و 60 سم في التربة الطينية والري بالغمر. وقـد أوضـحت النتـائج أن شـكل الصـنفان R.S.B و B.V.L علـي مسـافة 45 سـم أعطـي أطـول نباتـات. وشـكل K.R. علي مسافة 60 سم زاد من عدد الافرع وعدد الاوراق لكل نبات بدون فروق معنوية مع صنف. K.R على مسافة 60 سم بالنسبة لعدد الافرع في كلا الموسمين. أن زراعة شتلات الصنفان K.R. وB.O. على مسآفة 60 سم أدت لزيادة مساحة الورقة والوزن الجاف للاوراق علي التوالي. زراعة الصنف R.S.B علي مسافة 60 سم أدت الي زيادة محتوي الكلوروفيل أو ب والكلي من أ+ب في أنسجة الورقة، بينما التفاعل بين شتل K.R على مسافة 30 سم أعطى أقل تركيز من كلوروفيل أوب والكلى من أ+ب والكاروتينيدات في أنسجة أوراق الباذنجان في موسم 1828 . بالنسبة للمحصول ومكوناتة وجد أن زراعة الصنف P.T عل مسافة 60 سم و الصنف B.O. علي مسافة 45 سم أدت لزيادة عدد الثمار لكل نبات والمحصول الكلي لكل نبات علي التوالي، بينما زراعة الصنف As. علي مسافة 30 سم أدي لزيادة متوسط وزن الثمرة والمحصول الكلي للفدان. في موسم النمو الثاني وجد أن زراعة الصنف K.R على مسلفة 60 سم أدت لزيادة عد الثمار، الصنف B.O. علي مسافة 45 سم زادت من متوسط وزن الثمرة، B.O. علي مسافة 60 سم زادت من محصول النبات و B.O. على مسافة 30 سم زادت من المحصول الكلي على التوالي .

المحكمون :

 <sup>1 -</sup> أ.د. محمود عبادي و هب الله
 2 - أ.د. عبدالله برديسي أحمد

أستاذ الخضر – كلية الزراعة- الشاطبي- جامعة الإسكندرية. أستاذ الخضر المتفرغ – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق.