# **Journal of Animal and Poultry Production**

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jappmu.journals.ekb.eg

# The Effects of Magnetic Water on Growth Performance and Blood Parameters of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) under Different Stocking Densities

Mabrouk, M. M.; A. G. A. Gewida and A. F. B. Abdelhamid<sup>\*</sup>



Department of Fish Production, Faculty of Agriculture in Cairo, Al-Azhar University, Cairo 11884, Egypt.

# ABSTRACT



The present study was designed to investigate the effects of magnetic water and different stocking densities on water quality parameters, growth performance, feed utilization, chemical composition and Blood biochemical of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). A total of 180 healthy monosex Nile tilapia fingerlings with an average initial body weight of  $33.24 \pm 0.47$  g were randomly distributed into 18 glass aquaria. The experiment was based on a  $2 \times 3$  factorial design with three stocking densities; (5, 100, and 150 fingerlings/m<sup>3</sup>), and two types of water, normal water (NW) and magnetic water (MW). Experimental fish were fed on the commercial diet (30.11% protein) based on a feeding rate of 3% from body weight for all groups. Water quality parameters, growth performance parameters, feed utilization, chemical composition and blood parameters were calculated in all groups at the end of the experiment after eight weeks. The results indicated that water quality, growth performance, feed utilization, and chemical composition improved significantly in magnetic water groups compared to normal water groups at the three stocking densities. As for blood parameters, there were no significant differences in all groups. In conclusion, applying magnetic water on aquaculture of Nile tilapia farming improves water quality parameters, fish growth performance, feed utilization, and chemical composition at different stocking densities.

Keywords: Nile tilapia, Magnetic water, Stocking density.

# INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture production is a rapidly evolving area that meets a significant amount of human protein needs. Tilapia species, particularly Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), are the most important in world fish production among all cultured freshwater fish, since they are considered the second most farmed finfish species of aquaculture in the world (FAO, 2018).

The usage of the electromagnetic field has increased in recent years in a variety of sectors, including agriculture, food processing, wastewater treatment, aquaculture, and others. Magnetic treatment's potential in several domains of environmental management has been recognized (Ali *et al.*, 2014). Magnetic water treatment is a simple and efficient approach where the water flows through a magnetic field or combination of magnetic fields. It is a nonchemical treatment of water that does not require any filtration substitutes Mabrouk *et al.* (2016). The biological technique using magnetic field to purify water is considered as a simple simulation of what happens in nature, when water is subjected to a magnetic field and as a result, becomes more biologically active (Mushattat *et al.*, 2009).

According to (El Katcha *et al.*, 2017; Sedigh *et al.*, 2019), exposing water to a magnetic field changes the physiochemical characteristics of the water, which affects the biological properties of the organisms that consume the water. Furthermore, Magnetic water treatment stimulating the activity of proteins, enzymes, movement of free radicals and

enhancing the overall biochemical processes inside the living cells (Mahmoud *et al.*, 2019).

Magnetic biological technology offers several advantages over traditional chemical treatments and has been shown to improve growth rates and reduce the mortality rate in cats (Rosen, 2010). Tang *et al.*, (2015) reported the enhancing effect of exposure to magnetic field on growth, immune and digestive enzyme levels in juvenile sea cucumbers (*Apostichopus japonicus*). Furthermore, Mabrouk *et al.*, (2016); Hassan *et al.*, (2018 a & 2019); Irhayyim *et al.*, (2020) and Abdelkhalek *et al.*, (2021) studies the effect of magnetic field treated water on improving water quality, growth parameters, feed utilization and immunity. However, the field application of the magnetic treatment in tilapia reared under different stocking densities is still new and needs further research.

Therefore, the main aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of magnetic water treatment under different stocking densities and how it affects water parameters, fish growth performance, feed utilization, body composition, and some blood parameters of Nile tilapia (<u>O. nilolicus</u>) fingerlings.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Experimental Design**

This experiment was carried out at the Fish Experimental Unit of the Department of Fish Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. Tilapia (*O. niloticus*) with an average initial weight of  $33.24 \pm 0.47$  g were randomly distributed into 18 glass aquaria (60

 $\times 42 \times 40$  cm – 101 L<sup>3</sup> of water) in three treatments (3 replicates per treatment). Each aquarium was filled with water up to level of 42 cm and the level was maintained throughout the experimental period. The experiment was based on a 2 × 3 factorial design with three stocking densities; (5, 10, and 15 fish/ aquarium –50, 100 and 150 fingerlings/m<sup>3</sup>) and two types of water, normal water (NW) and magnetic water (MW). Magnetic source device was from Delta water Co. for water treatment (Japan), with magnet strength of 11.000 Gauss (1.1 Tesla). This device is composed of an inner magnet surrounded by a copper housing and an outer magnet protected by a steel shield from outside with in and out one-way openings for water current.

All experimental aquaria were supplied with dechlorinated tap water through a water pipeline system and were supplied with an air through air pipeline using an air blower (2 HP). The water was renewed at a rate of 50% every 24 hours. Fish feces and feed wastes were removed everyday by siphoning. The study lasted for 8 weeks after two weeks of adaptation.

### **Experimental Fish**

Apparently healthy sex-reversed (all male) (*O. niloticus*), fingerlings were purchased from aCommercial hatchery, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt. The fingerlings were transported in the morning using a special fish transport car with aeration tools. Fingerlings were adapted to the experimental conditions for 15 days before starting the experiment.

## Water Quality Parameters

Water temperature was measured in each aquarium daily using a mercury thermometer of 0 to 100°C range. Dissolved oxygen was measured directly using an oxygen thermometer apparatus (XSI model 58, Yellow Spring Instrument Co., Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA). Ammonia (NH<sub>4</sub>-N mg/L), Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub> -N mg/L), Nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub> – N mg/L) and pH were measured monthly during the experimental period by Hanna Instrument 83205 Boiler and cooling tower photometer. Ammonia (NH<sub>4</sub> –N mg/L) was measured using Ammonia MR reagent Hi 93715-01. Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub> –N mg/L) was measured using Nitrate (NO<sub>2</sub> – N mg/L) was measured using Nitrate reagent Hi 93728-01. Nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub> – N mg/L) was measured using pH reagent Hi 937110-01.

#### **Experimental Diet**

All fish groups were fed on Commercial diet. The ingredients and chemical composition of the commercial diet used in this experiment are shown in Table (1).

Table 1. Diet formulation and proximate analysis (g/l	kg	)
---	----	---

Diet ingredients%					
Fish meal	18.0				
Soybean meal	29.0				
Yellow corn	20.0				
Wheat bran	15.0				
Alfalfa hay	12.0				
Sunflower oil	3.0				
Minerals mixture	1.0				
Vitamin mixture	1.0				
Carboxymethyl cellulose	1.0				
Total	100				
Chemical composit	tion (g kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )				
Crude protein	30.11				
Ether extract	12.35				
Ash	14.34				
NFE <sup>1</sup>	43.20				
GE <sup>2</sup>	4600 Kcal/kg				

<sup>1</sup>NFE, nitrogen free extract = 100 - (CP+ EE+ Ash %).

<sup>2</sup>GE (gross energy), calculated according to Jobling (1983), using the

5.65, 9.45, and 4 for CP, EE and NFE, respectively.

Fish were fed at the rate of 3% of wet body weight per day and were offered three times at 8.00, 12.00 and 15.00 hours. The fish in each aquarium were weighed biweekly, and the feed weight was adjusted after each fish weighing.

# Measurement of Fish Growth and Feed Utilization

All fishes were separately weighed to the nearest 0.1 g at the beginning of the experiment and once every two weeks throughout the experimental period. The mortality of fish was recorded biweekly at the time of weighing. The growth performance and feed utilization efficiency were calculated as follows:

Weight gain (WG) = final weight (g) – initial weight (g) Daily weight gain (DWG) = body weight gain (BWG) / period (day). Survival rate (SR) % = (No. of fish survived at the end of the experiment / whole number of fish at the beginning) ×100. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = feed intake (g) / body weight gain (g) Feed efficiency ratio (FER,%) = body weight gain (g) / feed intake (g)) x100

Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = body weight gain (g) / protein intake (g)

# Diet and carcass composition

Diet and fish samples were oven- drying at 60c° to the constant weight and kept at 20c° for further analysis. A standard method used for chemical composition (Moisture, ash, Fiber, lipids and crude protein) of the whole fish body and the nutritional profile of test diets (AOAC,2007). The moisture content was measured by oven-drying at 110 c° to constant weight, while the crude protein by the kjeldahl method. The crude lipid was also determined by the soxhlet extraction method and ash by combustion in muffle furnace at 550 c° for 6 h.

#### **Blood Parameters**

At the end of the trial, fish were not fed for 24 hrs., immediately prior to blood sampling. Fish per aquarium were anesthetized with buffered tricaine methane sulfonate (30 mg/L) Three fish were taken from each aquarium and prepared for blood analysis. The blood samples were obtained from the heart of the fish with a hypodermic syringe and were collected in sterilized tubes, then kept in the state position at room temperature for 30 minutes, and then in the refrigerator overnight. The separation of blood serum was completed by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 3000 rpm, blood Hemolysis was avoided. Serum total protein, albumin, triglycerides, cholesterol, glucose, serum aspartate aminotransfears, AST (u/ml) were determined (Reitman, 1957). Serum total protein (g/dL), albumin (g/dL), cholesterol (mg %) and glucose (mg %) were determined colorimeterically using kits supplied by El-Nasr Pharmaceutical Chemicals Co. (Egypt) (Henery, 1974). Serum globulin (g/dL) levels were obtained by differences between total protein (g/dL) and albumin (g/dL) according to Sundeman (1964). Serum triglycerides (mg/dl) were determined colormetrically using commercial kits from Bio-diagnostic Co. (Egypt).

#### **Statistical Analyses**

The data were statistically analyzed with SAS (2002) according to the following model:

#### $\mathbf{Y}_{ijk} = \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{D}_i + \mathbf{M}_{j} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}_{ij} + \mathbf{e}_{ijk}$

Where, μ is the overall mean, D is the fixed effect of stocking density (i = 1 ...3), M is the fixed effect of magnetic water (j = 1 ... 2), DM<sub>ij</sub> is the interaction effect of stocking density and magnetic water, and e<sub>ijk</sub>is random error. Differences between treatments were tested with Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Results

### Water Quality Parameters

As presented in Table (2), averages of ammonia (NH<sub>4</sub>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>), hydrogen ion (pH) and dissolved oxygen (DO) as affected by stocking density show that NH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> in the 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> group recorded high significant (P<0.001) values (0.045, 0.052 and 0.055 mg/L, respectively), while their values in 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> group were the lowest values (0.016, 0.017 and 0.018 mg/L, respectively). As for pH, it didn't record significant differences between all groups. While DO recorded a high significant value (P<0.001) 6.75 mg/L in 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> group compared to the other groups. Regardless of stocking density, averages of water quality parameters of NH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, pH and DO as affect with the effect of type of water; magnetic water were presented in Table (2). Results of this table reveal that the values of NH4, NO3 and NO2 decreased significantly (P<0.001) in MW group (0.022, 0.025 and 0.027 mg/L, respectively) compared to the NW group (0.033, 0.032 and 0.030 mg/L, respectively). As for pH, there were non-significant differences between its values in the MW and NW group, while DO record a highly significant increase (P<0.001) in the MW group at 6.75 mg/L compared to the NW group at 5.65 mg/L.

As presented in Table (2), results of water quality parameters as affected by the interaction between the stocking density and the type of water showed that the NH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> recorded low significant decreases in all 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + MW groups (0.011mg/L for all), while the highest values were recorded in the 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + NW group (0.052, 0.052 and 0.053 mg/L, respectively). As for pH, there were no significant differences between all groups. While DO recorded high significant increase (P<0.001) in MW group with 50, 100 and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> (7.34, 6.75 and 6.12 mg/L, respectively) in comparison with NW group with 50, 100 and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> (6.14, 5.51 and 5.30 mg/L, respectively).

 

 Table 2. Effect of stocking density, magnetic water and their interaction on water quality parameters of Nile tilapia.

of the thupin:									
Item	NH4 mg/L	NO3 mg/L	NO2 mg/L	pH ppm	DO. mg/L				
Stocking density (SD, fish/m <sup>3</sup> )									
50	0.016 <sup>c</sup>	0.017°	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	7.68 <sup>a</sup>	6.75 <sup>a</sup>				
100	0.025 <sup>b</sup>	0.028 <sup>b</sup>	0.027 <sup>b</sup>	7.61 <sup>a</sup>	6.13 <sup>b</sup>				
150	0.045 <sup>a</sup>	0.052 <sup>a</sup>	0.055 <sup>a</sup>	7.54 <sup>a</sup>	5.88 <sup>b</sup>				
Standard error	±.002	$\pm .002$	±.003	±0.033	±.143				
P-value	< 0.0001	$<\!\!0.0001$	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	$<\!\!0.0001$				
	Type of water								
NW	0.033 a	0.032 <sup>a</sup>	0.030 a	7.60	5.65 <sup>b</sup>				
MW	0.022 <sup>b</sup>	0.025 <sup>b</sup>	0.027 <sup>b</sup>	7.57	6.75 <sup>a</sup>				
Standard error	±0.002	$\pm 0.002$	±0.002	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.002$				
P-value	< 0.0001	$<\!\!0.0001$	< 0.0001	$<\!\!0.0001$	$<\!\!0.0001$				
Interaction	between sto	cking dei	nsity and t	type of wa	ater				
50 +NW	0.014 <sup>d</sup>	0.016f <sup>e</sup>	0.017 <sup>d</sup>	7.60	6.14 <sup>c</sup>				
100 +NW	0.032 <sup>b</sup>	0.034 <sup>c</sup>	0.026 <sup>b</sup>	7.62	5.51 <sup>d</sup>				
150 +NW	0.052 <sup>a</sup>	$0.052^{a}$	0.053 <sup>a</sup>	7.58	5.30 <sup>e</sup>				
50 + MW	0.011 <sup>d</sup>	0.011 <sup>f</sup>	0.011 <sup>e</sup>	7.55	7.34 <sup>a</sup>				
100 +MW	0.018 <sup>c</sup>	0.022 <sup>d</sup>	0.022 <sup>c</sup>	7.58	6.75 <sup>b</sup>				
150 +MW	0.033 <sup>b</sup>	0.045 <sup>b</sup>	0.049 <sup>a</sup>	7.57	6.12 <sup>c</sup>				
Standard error	±0.003	±0.003	±0.004	±0.046	±0.202				
P-value	< 0.0001	$<\!\!0.0001$	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001				
37 1 0									

Means in the same column of each parameter having different letters are significantly differ (P < 0.05).

#### **Growth performance**

When fish are exposed to high stocking density in different stages of culture, this leads to a decrease in growth performance. After 8 weeks of treatment applied, final weight (FW), weight gain (WG) and daily weight gain (DWG) were significantly (P<0.001) affected by stocking density. In 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> stocking density group FW, WG and DWG recorded higher values102.66, 69.45 and 1.24 g/ fish, respectively in 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> stocking density group as shown in Table (3). As for magnetic effect, groups treated with magnetic water recorded higher significant values (P<0.001) in FW, WG and DWG; 103.44, 70.22 and 1.25 g /fish, respectively. As shown in Table (3) FW, WG and DWG as affected with the interaction between the stocking density and magnetic water treatment showed that the highest value was recorded in the 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup>MW group (111.06, 77.80 and 1.39) g/fish, respectively followed by the 100 fish/m<sup>3</sup> MW group (104.02, 70.73 and 1.26) g/fish, respectively. It was noticed that there were non-significant differences between 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> NW and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> MW groups. While the groups of 100 fish/m<sup>3</sup> NW and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> NW recorded the lowest values.

Table 3. Effect of stocking density, magnetic water and their interaction on growth performance of Nile tilapia

unuphu								
Item	IW (g)	FW (g)	WG (g)	DWG(g/fish/day)				
Stocking density (SD, fish/m <sup>3</sup> )								
50	33.21	102.66 <sup>a</sup>	69.45 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 <sup>a</sup>				
100	33.31	95.83 <sup>b</sup>	62.51 <sup>b</sup>	1.16 <sup>b</sup>				
150	33.20	89.83 <sup>c</sup>	56.63°	1.01 <sup>c</sup>				
Standard error	±0.05	±1.15	±1.13	±0.020				
P-value	<.0.0001	<.0.0001	<.0.0001	<.0.0001				
	r	Type of w	ater					
NW	33.26	88.77	55.51	0.99				
MW	33.22	103.44	70.22	1.25				
Standard error	±0.038	±0.95	±0.93	±0.016				
P-value	<.0.0001	<.0.0001	<.0.0001	<.0.0001				
Interaction	between s	stocking d	ensity and	type of water				
50 +NW	33.23	94.33°	61.10 <sup>c</sup>	1.09c				
100 +NW	33.36	87.66 <sup>d</sup>	54.30 <sup>d</sup>	0.97d				
150 +NW	33.20	84.33 <sup>d</sup>	51.13 <sup>d</sup>	0.91d				
50 +MW	33.20	111.06 <sup>a</sup>	77.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.39a				
100 +MW	33.26	104.02 <sup>b.</sup>	70.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.26b				
150 +MW	33.20	95.33°	62.13 <sup>c</sup>	1.11c				
Standard error	±0.06	±1.63	±1.61	±0.02				
P-value	<.0.0001	<.0.0001	<.0.0001	<.0.0001				
Moong in the con	no oolummu	of each not	monaton ho	wing different letters				

Means in the same column of each parameter having different letters are significantly differ (P < 0.05).

#### Feed utilization

There were no significant differences (P<0.001) in feed intake and protein intake concerning stocking density between all groups (Table 4). The 150 fish/ m<sup>3</sup> recorded the highest FCR value; 2.02 and the 50 fish/ m<sup>3</sup> treatment recorded the best value of 1.66. It was noticed that PER and FER recorded their highest values; 60 and 2.02, respectively in the 50 fish/ m<sup>3</sup> treatment, while 150 fish/ m<sup>3</sup> recorded the least values (0.49 and 1.66), respectively. Concerning the effect of type of water, magnetic water (MW) showed significant increase (P<0.001) in FI, PI, FER and PER (115.44, 34.63, 0.60 and 2.02) compared to Normal water (NW). While FCR recorded the lowest value in MW1.65 in comparison with the NW:2.02. As shown in Table (4) Feed utilization as affected with the interaction between the

stocking density and magnetic water treatment showed that the highest value was recorded in the 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup>MW group (116.00, 34.80, 1.49, 0.67 and 2.23), respectively followed by the 100 fish/m<sup>3</sup> MW group (115.66,34.70, 1.63, 0.6 and 2.03), respectively. It was noticed that there were nonsignificant differences between 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> NW and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup>MW groups. While the groups 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup>NW recorded the lowest values in FCR.

Table 4. Effect of stocking density, magnetic water and<br/>their interaction on Feed utilization of Nile<br/>tilapia.

Item	FI (g)	PI (g)	FCR	FER(%)	PER		
Stocking density (SD, fish/m <sup>3</sup> )							
50	114.16	34.25	1.66 <sup>c</sup>	$0.60^{a}$	2.02 <sup>a</sup>		
100	113.16	33.95	1.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.55 <sup>b</sup>	1.83 <sup>b</sup>		
150	113.50	34.05	2.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.49 <sup>c</sup>	1.66 <sup>c</sup>		
Standard error	±0.68	±0.20	±0.03	±0.009	±0.03		
P-value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
	Type	of water					
NW	111.77	33.53	2.02	0.49	1.65		
MW	115.44	34.63	1.65	0.60	2.02		
Standard error	±0.56	±0.16	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.02$		
P-value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
Interaction betwe	een stocki	ng densi	ty and t	ype of wat	er		
50 +NW	112.33 <sup>bc</sup>	33.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.84 <sup>c</sup>	0.54 <sup>c</sup>	1.81 <sup>c</sup>		
100 +NW	110.66 <sup>c</sup>	33.20 <sup>b</sup>	2.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>d</sup>	1.63 <sup>d</sup>		
150 +NW	112.33 <sup>bc</sup>	33.70 <sup>a</sup>	2.20 <sup>a</sup>	0.45 <sup>d</sup>	1.51 <sup>d</sup>		
50 + MW	116.00 <sup>a</sup>	34.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.49 <sup>e</sup>	$0.67^{a}$	2.23 <sup>a</sup>		
100 +MW	115.66 <sup>a</sup>	34.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.63 <sup>d</sup>	0.61 <sup>b</sup>	2.03 <sup>b</sup>		
150 +MW	114.66 <sup>ab</sup>	34.40 <sup>ab</sup>	1.84 <sup>c</sup>	0.54 <sup>c</sup>	1.80 <sup>c</sup>		
Standard error	±0.96	±0.29	±0.04	±0.013	$\pm 0.04$		
P-value	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		
Means in the same col	umn havi	ng differ	ent lette	rs are sign	ificantly		
(P<0.05) different.							

#### **Chemical composition**

Dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), ash and growth energy (GE) as affected by the stocking density showed that the highest DM value (P<0.001) was noticed in 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> groups (23.77%) compared the other groups. Crude protein recorded high significant increase (P<0.001) in 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> and 100 fish/m<sup>3</sup> groups (71.92 and 71.67%, respectively) compared to 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> group. On the other hand, Ash recorded low significant value in both 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> and 100 fish/m<sup>3</sup> groups compared to 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> group. As for EE, GE and DE recorded no significant (P>0.001) between all groups.

Chemical composition as affected with the effect of type of water, MW was presented in Table (5). Results of this table reveal that the values of DM and Ash decreased significantly (P<0.001) in MW group (22.51and 14.22%, respectively) compared to the NW group (23.1and 15.62%, respectively). As for CP, it recorded high significant increase (P<0.001) in the MW group (72.01%) compared to the NW group 70.93%.

As presented in Table (5), results of chemical composition as affected with the interaction between the stocking density and the type of water show that DM recorded significant decrease (P<0.001) in 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> and 100 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + MW groups (21.97 and 21.73%, respectively) compared to the other groups. As for CP and ether extract, are showed high significant value (P<0.001) in 50,100 and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + MW (72.37, 72.83 and 71.37%) and (13.57, 13.77 and 14.03%), respectively compared to 50,100 and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + NW groups. While Ash % recorded the

highest significant (P<0.001) values in 50,100 and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> +NW groups (15.03, 16.23 and 16.10%, respectively). As for GE and DE recorded significant increase in 50,100 and 150 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + MW and 50 fish/m<sup>3</sup> + NW compared to the other groups.

 
 Table 5. Effect of stocking density, magnetic water and their interaction on chemical composition of Nile tilenia

	e ulapia	•							
Itom	DM	СР	EE	Ash	GE	DE			
Item	%	%	%	%	Kcal/kg	Kcal/kg			
	Stocking density (SD, fish/m <sup>3</sup> )								
50	22.65 <sup>b</sup>	71.92 <sup>a</sup>	13.48 <sup>a</sup>	14.61 <sup>b</sup>	5337 <sup>a</sup>	4003 <sup>a</sup>			
100	22.43 <sup>ab</sup>	71.67 <sup>a</sup>	13.52 <sup>a</sup>	14.82 <sup>b</sup>	5326 <sup>a</sup>	3995 <sup>a</sup>			
150	23.77 <sup>a</sup>	70.95 <sup>b</sup>	13.71 <sup>a</sup>	15.35 <sup>a</sup>	5303 <sup>a</sup>	3978 <sup>a</sup>			
Standard error	±.364	±.136	±.141	±.131	$\pm 11.120$	±8.341			
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
		Type	of water						
NW	23.31 a	70.93 <sup>b</sup>	13.44	15.62ª	5278	3959			
MW	22.51 <sup>b</sup>	72.01 <sup>a</sup>	13.69	14.22 <sup>b</sup>	5367	4025			
Standard error	±.297	±.111	±.115	±.107	±9.08	±6.81			
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Interac	tion betw	een stock	ing densit	y and typ	e of water	r			
50+NW	23.33 a	70.77 <sup>b</sup>	13.20 <sup>b</sup>	15.03 <sup>a</sup>	5349ª	4012 <sup>a</sup>			
100 +NW	23.13ª	70.51 <sup>b</sup>	13.26 <sup>b</sup>	16.23 <sup>a</sup>	5237 <sup>b</sup>	3928 <sup>b</sup>			
150 +NW	23.71 <sup>a</sup>	70.53 <sup>b</sup>	13.37 <sup>b</sup>	16.10 <sup>a</sup>	5248 <sup>b</sup>	3936 <sup>b</sup>			
50+MW	21.97 <sup>b</sup>	72.37 <sup>a</sup>	13.57ª	14.06 <sup>b</sup>	5325 a	3994ª			
100 +MW	21.73 <sup>b</sup>	72.83ª	13.77 <sup>a</sup>	13.30°	5316ª	4062 <sup>a</sup>			
150 +MW	23.83 a	71.37 <sup>a</sup>	14.03 <sup>a</sup>	14.60 <sup>b</sup>	5358ª	4019 <sup>a</sup>			
Standard error	±.515	±.192	±.200	±.186	±15.73	$\pm 11.80$			
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Means in the sa	ame colur	nn of eac	h param	eter havi	ng differe	nt letters			

are significantly differ (P < 0.05).

#### **Blood Parameters**

As presented in Table (6), results of blood parameters [Total protein (TP), albumin (Albu), globulin (Glob), Triglyceride (Trig), glucose (Gluc), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST)] as affected with the stocking density or magnetic water or interaction between the stocking density and the magnetic water treatment show non-significant differences between all groups.

Table 6. Effect of stocking density and magnetic water on Blood biochemical of Nile tilapia.

on Blood blochemical of Nile tilapla.								
Itom	TP	Albu	Glob	Trig	Gluc	AST		
Item	(g/dL)	(g/dL)	(g/dL)	(Mg/dL)	(Mg/dL)	(U/L)		
Stocking density (SD, fish/m <sup>3</sup> )								
50	6.41	4.61	1.80	142.3	109.3	36.7		
100	6.38	4.55	1.83	167.8	107.7	42.5		
150	6.35	4.60	1.75	140.2	109.7	44.33		
Standard error	±0.09	±0.07	±0.04	±25	±1.5	$\pm 2.5$		
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		
		Тур	e of water	r				
NW	6.51	4.65	1.86	140.5	111.5	35.5		
MW	6.36	4.56	1.80	169.7	105.5	37.7		
Standard error	$\pm 0.07$	$\pm 0.08$	±0.03	±2.3	±1.5	±6.1		
P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		
Intera	ction bet	ween stoc	king dens	sity and typ	be of water	r		
50+NW	6.51	4.70	1.81	114.0	111.3	38.70		
100 +NW	6.39	4.50	1.89	150.7	105.3	37.70		
150 +NW	6.50	4.62	1.88	167.0	109.7	44.33		
50+MW	6.37	4.47	1.90	168.7	107.7	40.67		
100 +MW	6.43	4.60	1.83	134.0	109.7	42.33		
150 +MW	6.37	4.47	1.90	168.7	113.3	34.70		
Standard error	±0.11	±0.10	±0.04	±4.3	±2.5	±1.8		
P-value	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		
Means in the	same colu	ımn of ea	ach parar	neter havi	ing differe	ent letters		
are significant	ly differ (	P < 0.05)	•					

TP=Total protein, Albu. = Albumin, Glob.= Globulin, Trig = Triglyceride, Gluc. =Glucose and AST = Aspartate aminotransferase

#### Discussion

### Water Quality Parameters

These results agree with the findings obtained by (Hassan et al., 2018 a,b) who suggested that the ammonium concentration could be reduced by increasing the magnetic intensity. Also, other studies have found that magnetic can significantly improve water quality (AbdelHady, 2011; Hassan et al., 2018a). Krzemieniewski et al. (2004) found that increasing the magnetic intensity could reduce ammonia-N in the breeding tank. Nevertheless, reduction in ammonia-N was also observed in wastewater from sewage when a constant magnetic field was used. Alkhazan et al. (2010) also showed a significant increase in pH as the magnetic intensity increased. Ahmed and Abd El-Hamed (2020) recorded that DO and pH values in magnetic water increased as compared to control water, but ammonia was inversely decreased. Similar results were recorded in previous studies Hassan et al., 2017 Mahmoud et al., 2019), which and pointed that the increase in magnetic intensity led to an increase in dissolved oxygen concentration compared to normal water. And this ensures that magnetic device improve water quality. The increase of DO may be due to the decrease in organic matter in magnetic water (Yacout et al., 2015). High pH value probably related to the increase in free carbonate content in water according to the salt dissociate due to magnetic field (Alabdraba et al., 2013). The magnetic field increased the free radical formation while the high reactivity and oxidation potential of those chemical compounds may have reduced the concentration of organic matter contained in the analyzed liquids (Krzemieniewski et al., 2003). The lowest value of ammonium may be as the result of oxidizing NH4 into NO2 and NO3. While the maximum value of NH4 may be attributed to higher pH and high stock of fish. The results are in agreement with Konsowa (2007) who reported that ammonia concentration was correlated with the amount of stocked fish population. Hassan et al. (2018 b) showed significant (p < 0.05) increases of the DO and pH.

On the other hand, Irhayyim *et al.* (2020) revealed that the magnetized water had no effects on the concentrations of ammonium nitrogen, nitrite nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen. Hassan *et al.* (2019) conducted that there was a slight improvement in water quality parameters for example DO and Ammonia-N were better to manage in magnetized water compared to the control.

#### Growth performance and feed utilization

These results with the findings of previous report Zhang *et al.*, (1987) whose experiments showed that the fish in magnetic field grew faster than those in non-magnetized water (ordinary water). Also, Tang *et al.* (2015) found that the effect of magnetic treatment had a positive effect on growth performance and feed utilization in juvenile sea cucumbers.

It has been concluded that magnetized water improved the growth performance of tilapia and common carp (Hassan *et al.*, 2018 a; Irhayyim *et al.* 2020 and Mannan *et al.*, 2012). Hassan *et al.*, (2019) reported that magnetized water improved growth performances of Jade Perch fish. Results of the current study were in agreement with the findings obtained previously Hassan *et al.*, (2018 b) with red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis sp.*) in RAS and Nofouzi *et al.*, (2016) with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*  *mykiss*). However, the results were in contrast with the findings obtained by Krzemieniewski *et al.*, (2004) who found no significant difference between the growth of European sheatfish *Silurus glanis L*. larvae reared in the system modified by the constant magnetic field and the control group.

According to Tyari *et al.*, (2014) magnetic water eventually improves the transfer of nutrients to all parts of the body. Irhayyim *et al.* (2020) revealed that the use of magnetized water in the RAS improved the growth performance and feed utilization in common carp. Mabrouk *et al.* (2016) recorded that applying magnetic water on aquaculture, definitely on Nile tilapia farming improved growth performance and feed utilization.

# **Chemical Composition**

The results are in accordance with the study of Hassan *et al.* (2019) who reported that in magnetic water, the fish were more efficiently converting food into muscle and energy.

#### **Blood Parameters**

The obtained results concerning stocking density are in agreement with the findings of Ibrahim (2000) who found that TP, and AST of Nile tilapia were not significantly affected by increasing stocking density. Also, Mahmoud (2012) showed that TP, Albu, ALT and AST were significantly increased with increasing stocking density, while globulin concentration was non-significantly affected. On the other hand, Ayyat *et al.* (2011) found that TP, Albu decreased in fish groups reared at high stocking density compared to those reared at low stocking density. Generally the elevation in some parameters may be due to the crowding effect in groups stocked at higher level which may lead to completion on space, feed and aggression.

The obtained results concerning magnetic water are in agreement with the findings of Sargolzehi *et al.* (2009) who indicated that conditioning the magnetic water did not affect blood metabolites (glucose and urea). On the other hand, Zhao *et al.* (2015) who reported that the effect of magnetic treatment had an immune status in juvenile sea cucumbers. Also, Sallam and Awad (2008) who evaluated the effect of static magnetic field on some liver function tests in rats. Magnetic fields were observed to influence enzyme action. Behari *et al.* (1997) reported a decrease in glucose level in rats exposed to magnetic fields. Gordon and Gordon (1981) who demonstrated that the blood cholesterol, glucose and triglyceride levels of diabetic rats were lowered by acute exposure to magnetic field.

### CONCLUSION

Results of the present study indicated that the water quality, growth performance, and feed utilization of the magnetic water treatments showed significant improvement compared to normal water with different stocking densities. The present study also recommends that the use of magnetic water generally improves the production of Nile tilapia.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors would like to express deepest gratitude to Delta Water Magnetic Water Treatment Corporation for supporting this work by providing us with the device to conduct the current study.

## REFFRENCES

- AbdelHady, R. S., Younes, M. A., Ibrahim, A. M., & AbdelAziz, M. M. (2011). An empirical model for salt removal percentage in water under the effect of different current intensities of current carrying coil at different flow rates. Journal of Advanced Research, 2(4), 351-355.
- Abdelkhalek, N. K., Abdelhamid, A., Rezk, S., & Mabrouk, M. (2021). Effects of magnetic water on growth performance and immunity of Nile Tilapia. *Mansoura Veterinary Medical Journal*, 22(3), 97-104.
- Ahmed, N. H., & Abd El-Hamed, N. N. B. (2020). Impact of magnetic water treatment technology on water parameters, growth performance and blood parameters of the Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 24(7-Special issue), 645-655.
- Alabdraba, W. M., Albayati, M. B., Radeef, A. Y., & Rejab, M. M. (2013). Influence of magnetic field on the efficiency of the coagulation process to remove turbidity from water. Int Rev Chem Eng, 5, 1-8.
- Ali, Y.; Samaneh, R.; Zohre, R.and Mostafa, J. (2014)'. Magnetic water treatment in environmental management': A review of the recent advances and future perspectives. Current World Environment, 9(3):1008-1016.
- Al-Ibady, A. A. K. (2015). Influence of the Dipolar Magnetized Water on the Ecological Factors of Freshwater Ostracod Cypris laevis. Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSRJESTFT) e-ISSN, 2319-2402.
- Alkhazan, M. M. K., & Saddiq, A. A. N. (2010). The effect of magnetic field on the physical, chemical and microbiological properties of the lake water in Saudi Arabia. Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research, 2(1), 7-14.
- Ayyat, M.S., H.I. El-Marakby and S.M. Sharaf (2011). Effect of dietary protein level, stocking density, and dietary pantothenic acid supplementation rate on performance and blood components of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. J. Appl. Aquac., 23: 122-135.
- AOAC.2007. Method 2007-04. Association of Official Analytical Chmists Washington, DC.
- Behari, J. and R. Mathur (1997). Exposure effects of static magnetic field on some physiological parameters of developing rats. Indian J. Experim. Biol., 35: 894-897.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multible Range and Multible F- taste Biometrics, 11: 1 42.
- El-Katcha, M.I.; Soltan, M.A.; El Naggar, K. and Farfour, H.T. (2017). Effect of magnetic water treatment and some additives on growth performance, some blood biochemical parameters and intestinal health of growing Pekin Ducklings'. Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Science, 53:143-156.
- FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2018). Aquaculture Department, the State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture; FAO: Rome, Italy.

- Gordon, R.T. and D. Gordon (1981). Selective resolution of plaques and treatment of atherosclerosis by biophysical alteration of "cellular" and "intracellular" properties. Medical Hypotheses, 7 : 217-229.
- Hassan, S. M., Sulaiman, M. A., Rahman, R. A., & Kamaruddin, R. (2018 a). Effects of long term and continuous magnetic field exposure on the water properties, growth performance, plasma biochemistry and body composition of tilapia in a recirculating aquaculture system. Aquacultural Engineering, 83, 76-84.
- Hassan, S. M., Sulaiman, M. A., Madlul, N. S., Fadel, A. H., & Abdul Rahman, R. (2019). Influence of continuous magnetic field exposure on water properties and subsequent effects on the growth performance, plasma biochemistry, nutritive value and liver histopathology of Jade Perch Scortum barcoo in a recirculating system. Aquaculture Research, 50(7), 1931-1941.
- Hassan, S. M., Ridzwan, A. R., Madlul, N. S., & Umoruddin, N. A. (2018 b). Exposure effect of magnetic field on water properties in recirculation aquaculture systems (Ras). The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science, 49(6), 1018.
- Hassan, S. M., Rahman, R. A., & Kamaruddin, R. H. (2017). The exposure effects of magnetic field on water properties and hatchability of Tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus. Biological and Applied Environmental Research, 1(2), 116-130.
- Henery, R.J. (1974). Clinical Chemistry, Principles and Technique, 2nd Ed., Harper and Row.
- Ibrahim, M.S.M. (2000). National studies on different levels of energy in fish nutrition. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Irhayyim, T., Beliczky, G., Havasi, M., & Bercsényi, M. (2020). Impacts of magnetic water treatment on water quality, feeding efficiency and growth performance of common carp in integrated recirculating aquaculture systems. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 21(2), 246-255.
- Jobling, M. (1983). A short review and critique of methodology used in fish growth and nutrition studies. Journal of Fish Biology, 23(6), 685-703.
- Konsowa, A. H. (2007). Ecological studies on fish farms of El-Fayoum depression (Egypt). Egyptian journal of aquatic research, 33(1). p. 290-300
- Krzemieniewski, M., Teodorowicz, M., Debowski, M., & Pesta, J. (2004). Effect of a constant magnetic field on water quality and rearing of European sheatfish *Silurus glanis L.* larvae. Aquaculture Research, 35(6), 568-573.
- Krzemieniewski, M., Debowski, M., Janczukowicz, W., & Pesta, J. (2003). Changes of tap water and fish-pond water properties induced by magnetic treatment. Polish Journal of Natural Sciences, 14.
- Mabrouk, M. M., Abdel Hakim, N. F., Hussein, M. S., Zaghloul, A. M., & Ayyat, M. S. (2016). Effects of magnetic water technique on growth performance, feed utilization, water quality and some blood parameters of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) fry under different stocking density. Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research, 43(5), 1615-1626.

### J. of Animal and Poultry Prod., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 13 (2), February, 2022

- Mahmoud, M. F., Daader, A. H., El-Darawany, A. A., & Bahgat, L. B. (2019). Impacts of drinking magnetized water on some semen and blood parameters of rabbit bucks under winter and summer condition in Egypt. Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research, 46(3), 829-841.
- Mahmoud, H.K.E. (2012). Some managerial and environmental on fish performance. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Mannan, M., Islam, M., Suravi, R. H., & Meghla, N. T. (2012). Impact of water quality on fish growth and production in semi-intensively managed aquaculture farm. Bangladesh J. of Environmental Science, 23, 1561-110.
- Mushattat, S. J., Al-hadraawy, S. K., & Abadi, J. (2009). Effect of magnetized water on reducing the histological and physiological effects of experimental infection with Ascaridia galli in domestic chicken. *Blood*, 32, 7.
- Nofouzi, K., Sheikhzadeh, N., Mohamad-Zadeh Jassur, D., Ashrafi Helan, J., Shahbazfar, A. A., Tukmechi, A., ... & Mahmoudi, R. (2016). Effects of low frequency electromagnetic fields on growth, total antioxidant activity and morphology of the intestine in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Iranian Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology, 8(1), 18-24.
- Rosen, A. D. (2010). Studies on the effect of static magnetic fields on biological systems. *Piers Online*, 6(2), 133-136.
- Sallam, S.M. and A.M. Awad (2008). Effect of magnetic field on the electrical properties and enzyme function of rat liver, Romanian J. Biophys., 18 (4): 337–347.
- Sargolzehi, M.M., M. Rezaee Rokn-Abadi and A.A. Naserian (2009). The effects of magnetic water on milk and blood components of lactating Saanen goats, Int. J. Nut. and Metabolism, 1 (2): 20-24.

- SAS (2002). SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA. NOTE: SAS Proprietary Software Version 9.00 (TS M0).
- Sedigh, E.; Heidari.B.; Roozati,A. and Valipour,A.(2019). The Effect of different intensities static magnetic field on stress and selected reproductive indices of the Zebrafsh (*Danio rerio*) during acute and subacute exposure. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxiclogy, 102:204-209.
- Sundeman, M.F.W. (1964). Studies of the serum proteins. Am. J. Clim. Path, 1-21.
- Tang, J., Zhao, W., Chi, J., Liu, G., Yu, X., & Bian, L. (2015). Effects of magnetic treatment on growth and immune and digestive enzyme activity in the juvenile sea cucumber Apostichopus japonicus (Selenka). Aquaculture, 435, 437-441.
- Tyari, E., Jamshidi, A. R., & Neisy, A. (2014). Magnetic water and its benefit in cattle breeding, pisciculture and poultry. Advances in Environmental Biology, 1031-1037.
- Yacout, M. H, Hassan, A. A, Khalel, M. S, Shwerab, A. M, Abdel-Gawad, E. I., Abdel-kader, Y. I. (2015). Effect of magnetic water on the performance of lactating goats. J. Dairy Vet. Anim. Res. 2(5):159-170.
- Zhang, Z. R., Xie, R. S., Xiao, L. Y., & Wong, S. (1987). Effects of magnetic field and the water heated by magnetic field on the growth of Oreochromis nilotica. Trop. Oceanol, 6(1), 91-93.
- Zhao, W., J. Tang, J. Chi, G. Liu, X. Yu and L. LujunBian (2015). Effects of magnetic treatment on growth and immune and digestive enzyme activity in the juvenile sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka). Aquac., 435: 437–441.

# تأثير إستخدام المياه الممغنطة على أداء النمو ومقاييس الدم في البلطي النيلي تحت كثافات التخزين المختلفة محمد محمود مبروك ، احمد جويده عبدالنبى و احمد فاروق بسيونى عبدالحميد قسم الإنتاج السمكي، كلية الزراعة بالقاهرة، جامعة الأزهر.

صممت الدراسة الحالية لدراسة تأثير تعرض المياه للمغنطة ومعدلات التسكين المختلفة على أداء النمو، والإستفادة من الغذاء، ومقابيس جودة المياه، والتركيب الكيميائي ومقابيس الدم في البلطي النيلي. تم توزيع ١٨٠ إصبعية من أصبعيات البلطي النيلي وحيد الجنس بمتوسط وزن ابتدائي يبلغ ٣٣,٢٤ ± ٢٢,٧ جم بشكل عشوائي في ١٨ حوض زجلجي. تم استخدام ثلاث كثافات تخزين (٥٠، ١٠٠ ، ١٠ إصبعية / م<sup>٢</sup>) ونو عين من الماء ، ماء عادي (NW) ومياه معنطة. تم تغذية الأسماك في التجربة على علف تجاري (٣٠، ٣٠، ٢٠ ) بعدل تغذية ٣٪ من وزن الجسم لجميع المجموعات. تم قياس مقابيس جودة المياه - مقابيس أداء النمو - الإستفادة الغذائية - التركيب الكيميائي ومعايير الده في جميع المجموعات في نها لمجموعات. تم قياس مقابيس جودة المياه - مقابيس أداء النمو - الإستفادة الغذائية - التركيب الكيميائي ومعابير الده في جميع المجموعات في نهاية التجربة بعد ثمانية أسابيع. أشارت النتائج إلى أن جودة المياه، وأداء النمو - والإستفادة الغذائية - التركيب الكيميائي ومعابير الده في جميع المجموعات في نهاية التجربة بعد ثمانية أسابيع. النمو - و الإستفادة الغذائية - التركيب الكيميائي ومعابير الده في جميع المجموعات في نهاية المعنع مقابيس جودة المياه، وأداء النمو - و الإستفادة الغذائية - والتركيب الكيميائي تحسنت معنويا (٥٠) P في مجموعات المياه الممغنطة مقار نه بمجموعات المياه العادية عند كثافات التخزين الندو - و الإستفادة الغذائية ، والتركيب الكيميائي ومعابير (١٥) P في مجموعات المياه الممغنطة مقار نه بمجموعات المياه العادية عند كثافات التخزين النمو - و الإستفادة الغذائية ، والتركيب الكيميائي ومعاني (٥٠) P في مجموعات الميا الممغنطة مقار نه بمجموعات المياه العادية عند كثافات التخزين الندو - و الإستفادة الغذائية ، والتركيب الكيميائي ومعانية (١٥، P حيل P عليه المعنوبي حيلة المانية المعادي ال الثلاثة. أما بالنسبة لمعابير رائم لفي منها في هذاك مو و الإستفادة الغذائية، والتركيب الكيميائي للأسمال عند كثافات التخزين المعار المعار

الكلمات الدالة: البلطى النيلي، المياه الممغنطة، معدلات التسكين.